

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Subject: Deadline 8 Submission
Date: 20 September 2021 10:36:24

Good morning Sizewell C planning inspectorate,
Please see below a copy of my email to EDF in response to their latest consultation document the proposal to build a temporary desalination plant for Sizewell C.
As has been the case throughout the planning process EDF has failed to listen to concerns raised several years ago and this has once again resulted in half baked plans to fit a square peg into a round hole.

One would have expected that a competent and trustworthy company requesting permission to build such a complex and expensive project would as a minimum be aware of the availability of utilities such as water. As the planning process continues everything I hear and read only serves to increase my lack of confidence that the project is safe, affordable or viable. I oppose the building of a desalination plant for the reasons laid out in the email to EDF below.

Kind regards

Susan Morrice

[REDACTED]

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Consultation on Temporary Desalination Plant Sizewell C project

[REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, 26 August 2021, 18:48

To: info@sizewellc.co.uk

CC: SizewellC@planninginspectorate.gov.uk

Good Afternoon,

I am writing to object to your latest consultation document (proposed change 19) which proposes the building of a temporary desalination plant as part of your application for planning consent for Sizewell C and D.

Quite why it has taken EDF so long to admit that there will be insufficient portable water available locally to meet the demands of the project once again demonstrates a distinct lack of detailed planning and poor modelling. The fact that East Anglia has long been recognised as one of the driest places in the UK should surely have alerted you that securing a sustainable water supply would be problematic. During the stage 1 consultation local communities and groups raised the lack of portable water as an issue along with concerns in relation to sewerage, however you chose to ignore these concerns.

So we now find ourselves wading through yet another glossy document which as usual lacks any substance and fails to adequately address the concerns of local people. The need to bring portable water to the site and to build a temporary desalination plant is a significant change to your original planning application and raises further concerns in relation to

- Cost of the development which will have increased due to the need to build a desalination plant and transport water to the site until this is functioning.
- Time scale of build one would expect that the need to build a desalination plant will delay the construction of the plant.
- Transport strategy will surely need to be revised as additional HGV will be required to transport building materials for the desalination plant to the site. The need to transport water by Bowser will add to the number of vehicles on the road during the early years of construction and before the link road is built.
- Your already woefully inadequate environmental impact studies will need to be updated to reflect the increase of Co2 emissions from HGVs and the diesel generator used to run the desalination plant.
- Your current marine strategy does not take into account that water returned to the sea will contain concentrated saline which will impact on both marine life and the flora and fauna of a unique and fragile site and could well have impacts on the RSPB Minsmere site.
- The need for further dredging in an area where the sea banks are already unstable is also a concern as this is likely to impact on coastal erosion.

As ever your proposals lack detail so that people are unable to make informed decisions. This is either because you continue to fail to properly assess the impacts of this significant change to your current planning application or are either so badly advised or arrogant as to believe that local communities will not question your proposals or call you to account.

EDF are already trying to fit too big a project onto too small a site without the infra structure to supply and maintain it.

Your continued failure to be transparent about your plans does nothing to give me confidence that you can be trusted to safely fund build and run a nuclear power station or to deal with the waste which will be left for future generations to manage.

I oppose the building of a desalination plant.

Yours

Mrs Susan Morrice

