

The Parish Council started process about 9 years accepting E.D.F's plans and trying to provide feed back in order to minimise the impact on our Parish. However over the 9 years and 4 consultations we come to the decisions we have to oppose the building of SZC because it is the wrong project in the wrong place at the wrong time. The Parish Council is not anti nuclear.

Transport Freight Strategy

Our first choice for relief is Route W because it affect less households and provide more of a long term legacy to the area. If the SLR is going to be built it needs to be completed before any construction work starts at SZC. If that doesn't happen we need traffic mitigation measures in Theberton (ie pedestrian crossing to enable people to get from one side of the village to the other children waiting for school buses, going to the playing field and other resident being able to use the village hall for numerous activities). If the SLR goes ahead we need access down pretty road to Saxmundham otherwise Theberton and Eastbridge are severed from the west meaning access to doctors surgery's, train station will be more difficult. It would also provide a non SLR route into Leiston. We accept HGVs and buses are going to have their routes controlled but LGVs need to have their routes controlled or go to the postal consolidation site at the southern park and ride to cut down the amount of deliveries made.

The roundabout at the entrance of the main site is currently planned to be five exit roundabout with two entrances into site. Which should be reduced to one entrance into site and then traffic split inside their own boundary in an effort to reduce the size of the roundabout and the amount of lights needed to light it.

The cumulative impact of the other seven or eight energy projects has yet to be properly assessed.

E.D.F. need to monitor and prevent rat running and fly parking speed limits need to be introduced in Eastbridge.

In the early years if traffic goes through Theberton there needs to be building vibration checks and checks for cracking of walls.

Main Development Site

EN6 suggests 30 hectares for a single reactor and Hinkley has 45 hectares for a double reactor the proposed platform size here is only 32 hectares leading to unacceptable compromises with in the AONB. To be worthwhile habitat replacement for lost reed bed where the platform is going Aldehurst farm reed bed needed to be developed a lot sooner to be a viable replacement. The digging and filling of the borrow pits in the position they are proposed will cause noise,dust and air pollution to Eastbridge residents and visitors. The position of a 35m high spoil heap will potentially

also cause dust, noise and air pollution too. The borrow pits and spoil heap are either inside or adjacent to the AONB. The site where the spoil heap is going is 30 meters above sea level so with a spoil heap 35 meters on top of that this will overshadow Eastbridge village the surrounding marshes and ANOB. Be plainly visible from Leiston Abbey which is a scheduled ancient monument. E.D.F have recently applied for a new upper limit to the spoil heaps at Hinkley of 45 meters which raises the possibility that could happen here. There is very little evidence of where potable and non potable water supplies needed for the build is coming from. There is also an issue of light and dust pollution coming from the main development site. The construction site is right on the boundary of our Parish, the borrow pits, water management zones and reservoir are within 250 meters of the entrance of Eastbridge.

Accommodation

Suggestions that a site which could leave a long term legacy for affordable housing has been rejected without valid justification and should be re-examined. As a parish council we first proposed a split site approach of a smaller campus at its present location and a larger site near a larger community with more infrastructure. This model has already been used at Hinkley with 500 workers on site and the rest at Bridgewater. The original campus size was designed for a maximum workforce of 5,400, the work force has now been

increased to 7,900 and EDF are relying on available local rental accommodation in social housing and tourism sectors. It is likely that there will be an increase in houses of multiple occupancy which will lead to less family rentable accommodation.

Environment and Biodiversity

SZC sits between multiple International National and local designated sites including offshore designations. The construction site severs Suffolk coast and heaths AONB for 10-12 years. After construction the access road to the platform will continue to sever the connection between Minsmere and Sizewell marshes. We support the submissions of SWT, RSPB, Suffolk coast friends of the earth and the Environment agency and Natural England.

Socio Economic

During the construction phase the local area will be impacted by the loss of local tradesmen, it will also impact the tourist sector with the potential loss of workers. There could also potentially be boom and bust after the project has been built. The local flourishing tourist sector will be decimated.