

TEXT_OFH1_Session2_18052021

Tue, 5/18 12:21PM • 49:18

00:04

By the next speaker, I would like just like to make participants aware that we have been notified of some difficulties and people hearing the one minute warning. This will be looked at over the lunch break and potentially an alternative put in place, which I hope is acceptable. Could I move on to ask Pete Wilkinson on behalf of together against sighs well see, to turn on your camera and microphone please. Thank you like to proceed. Thank you very much. I was hoping that I'd have difficulty hearing a one minute morning as well but never mind. Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you.

00:45

As it's my name is Pete walking along chairman of together against sysvol. See, as its name implies, task is strongly opposed to the size of CD development believes that proposed mitigation measures will be an effective amount to rearranging the deck chairs on the Titanic fundamentally disagrees that any new nuclear power stations are needed, at least of all at size well as our representation will demonstrate, and that the disadvantages of development far outweigh any putative benefits to the area.

01:16

The EU This is why I'll tell you in the next few minutes why we've held those views the European pressurised reactor design has been described as a prototype by its own French designers. The flower will plant in France is a decade behind schedule. Olkiluoto in Finland is likewise delayed and the only operating planning title in China is an insufficiently profitable according to the French auditors, which has delivered a withering attack on the design saying the bits of failure with huge financial consequences for the French nuclear industry.

01:50

EDF has sold only three EP ours in a world where over 30 countries operate 440 nuclear reactors. All EPR constructions are not only behind schedule but also massively over budget. The Office of nuclear regulation in the UK still has many outstanding react to generic and site specific issues to resolve. With the siting and operation of an EPR no final repository for the spent fuel is available. No planning permission has been granted yet EDS programme continues. The size we're seeing the project will recap environmental handbook only Suffolk is been described as a rich mosaic of sensitive wildlife habitat boasting the Suffolk coast and his area of outstanding natural beauty rich in wildlife are visited by 10s of 1000s. Every year, incomes are predominantly derived from farming and tourism. This is a part of the country which rightly has the title, the heritage coasts.

02:54

Designated imrb stretches from kissing land in the north to the river store in the south and covers 403 square kilometres. Is Suffolk's transport system is either inadequate or quaint depending on your point of view, but more suited in the remote areas. 1960s traffic with its major north south route the a 12 one

into small villages when it loses leaves the dual carriageway section north of Ipswich is coastline is famously constantly eroding and coastal dwellers suffer from storm surges and flooding which wetlands and marshes. The iron ob is home to the RSPB minsmere reserve, recognised as one of Europe's most important areas for nature and biodiversity. Reserve is an important refuge for otters, bats, bitterns, avocets and Marsh areas. 5600 species of plants and animals inhabit the area of outstanding natural beauty. The size of an estate on the southern boundary of minsmere is where EDF plans its development requiring over 900 acres of land much of it within the a and OB itself. Such a massive construction project will be catastrophic for wildlife. The building work is likely to increase erosion, upsetting the delicate balance of the reserve. It could affect the water levels in minsmere ditches impacting its real woodland wildlife. The reserves will be affected by light noise and dust pollution on a 24 seven basis for the duration of the 12 plus years it will take to build size well affecting the wildlife and their breeding patterns. effects are expected to be long term permanent.

04:27

In the immediate vicinity of the proposed developments are in an area of point seven hectares of fen Meadow habitat. In the sizable marshes site of size best special scientific interest would be permanently lost. And in a calculated act of environmental vandalism. EDF is entirely removed a 100 year old coronation would long before the planning process had even begun to evaluate this application. The access road will be built as we've heard by selecting an area even an area of outstanding natural beauty, excuse me.

04:59

The

05:00

Nexus row will be built by selecting an area of the area and OB land down lay down areas for EDF equipment will black people's homes and villages in some cases requiring compulsory purchases to beach landing facilities applying one temporary the other permanent one access from the boots to the plant for the Siebel material is yet to be established, but which will create more restrictions on beach access to the public footpath will be closed and access to the coast compromised. A culvert is proposed for the triple si crossing in actual fact rather for nine elevated road more than a culvert, thus creating disturbance disturbance, interruption to movement and increasing the risk to protected species and the flooding further damaging the landscape. The Environment Agency has commented that the culvert has the potential to significantly impact river ecology, protected species and hydro morphology, cause habitat fragmentation disturbed continuity and hinder the water framework assessment compliance. It is also has the potential to increase flood risk he suffered is one of the driest regions in the country, and already experiences people periods of water shortages. Predictions because of the impact from climate change include more extreme weather events, including greater frequency and greater severity of droughts. So as we'll see is anticipated use up to 3 million litres of mains water supply during construction up to 2 million litres per day during its 60 years operation. Any local abstraction or sourcing would risk harming local supplies for households farmers and other businesses. EDF decio application does not state the source of the potable water supply it plans to utilise. So as we'll see what had a significant impact on the marine environment in an area of North Sea which is considered to be a breeding ground for fish. It is expected to entrain and impinge up to 3 million fish a

year as a result of cooling the reactors which will require 2.5 million gallons of seawater per day, returning the water to the sea at least 10 degrees warmer together with tonnes of dead and dying fish and other marine biota as well as a cocktail of chemicals, heavy metals and radionuclides.

07:19

Ten discharges from nuclear power stations are approved for all power stations power plant by the environmental agency under its environmental permitting regime, and levels recommended by Public Health England guided by the International Commission on Radiological Protection icrp. The Healthy effective exposure of some types of radioactive material, especially those which are in particular form, and which are ingested or inhaled, is fiercely contested. Critics of icrp argued that the long held belief that the level of radiation does can be directly linked to the risk of health is grossly underestimated, particularly for alpha emitting radionuclides. This area of radiological assessment is an evolving and rarefied debate with which the government is reluctant to engage but which agencies grudgingly admit is important. Despite the uncertainty sighs we'll see we'll produce 3900 tonnes of highly radioactive waste for what might prove to be an indefinite storage on a site on the edge of a community of over 5000 people. And the regulatory bodies will continue to licence routine discharges into the sea and into the air. over its 6060 years of the client lifetime. The transport strategy announced by EDF the delivery of millions of tonnes of construction material to remote sites from site is predominantly road based, the cheapest and most convenient for the developer with our blighted and inadequate attempt to revise this to include more rail and Sebald traffic has been made. Please remind that the inevitability of relying on road rather than sea or around strategy, which is unlikely to be operationally practical, will require the upgrading of the main a 12 arterial road with five additional roundabouts. IT pro poses the possibility of 1200 hgvs 700 staff buses 10,000 car and van journeys a day, many workers estimated to be up to 4000, nearly doubling the 5500 population that released in town will arrive on site by private car and with the roads undergoing upgrade and gridlock as a result of the increased traffic most reuse route runs to avoid the trunk road. The shift patterns of the workforce will mean disturbance to residents day and night. Two huge campuses will be built Park and Ride areas for 1500 cars and the widespread clearance of land for lay down areas will further disrupt and dismiss the environment diminish the environment. The environmental potential impacts of this monstrous an entirely unnecessary proposed development are real unacceptable and must be opposed, but the long term environmental problems so we'll see we'll create our equal if not greater importance in what we

10:00

Leave as a legacy to future generations. The site itself is ridiculously small, and EDF has been forced to look for additional land on which to accommodate some of its associated activities. Hence the loss of coronation wood. It is entirely inappropriate site in that it's situated on an eroding coastline, which basically suffers from the effects of climate change and erosion, even in the 25 year blink of biological time that I've been living in the area. Moreover, concerns have recently been expressed about the strength and stability of the foundations upon which size will see will be constructed insofar as the geomorphology of the coast. At that point engineering consultant Nick scars report states that beyond the limited area of erosion resistant Coraline Craig in the thoughtless outcrop, seabed songs indicate that the sizable dunnage banks are unsegmented deposits. Historically, we know them to be capable of notable change. effects of climate change are already subject to worrying revision upward in respect to the speed of sea rise, sea level rise, and more extreme weather effects. This has caused EDF to

increase the plant height of the sea defences for the plant from 10 to 14 metres, but the integrity of the site given the IRS propensity to flood remains questionable. EDF calculates that by the time the plant ceases operation in circuit 2100, it will have generated 3900 tonnes of EPR fuel much hotter and far more reactive than even the P wr fuel currently stored on the size of a site with nowhere to go. How ironic the 20th century technology which will take 15 to 20 years to become operational, and which nuclear cheerleading leaders will claim war sought help solve a 21st century climate crisis may fall prey to the very conditions it's supposed to combat. To now when we generate 1000s of tonnes of lethal spent fuel in the middle of a community of 5500 people without the remotest idea of how, when and where and let alone if it will be safely manage, is surely the height of intergenerational environmental burden shifting and irresponsibility that did not stop stop an EDF representative telling concerned villages A few years ago, stop worrying about the waste the problem is solved. Nothing could be further from the truth. So South East Africa is facing a wholesale conversion of a predominantly rural environment into one of urban nature, one of comparative peace and tranquillity into a 12 plus year wrong building site, which will leave our precious heritage coast of product of uncoordinated and inappropriate energy policy, concocted on the hoof by a government preoccupied by Brexit and COVID recovery. The site as is common for nuclear plant is situated well away from centres of large populations much better to risk the lives of remote communities like those in leisten than those in Battersea in London where most of sizable electricity will be used is difficult to get to and even more difficult to get away from in a hurry such as you are likely to be insured God forbid, a major release of radioactivity occur at either the existing paper or a notional size or CMP complex emergency plan is recognised to be more proprietary than utilitarian. Nuclear is not a zero carbon source of electricity and even the claim of low carbon is challenged when the uranium fuel cycle is considered. 6.2 million tonnes of carbon from construction alone can hardly be considered zero. So as we'll see will perpetuate their low carbon myth and divert investment away from immediately available mitigation solutions. A climate emergency inland emergency measures the world can't wait for EDF 12 plus year construction window to contribute to an energy sector which will be by 2050. Just 10 years after it notionally begins operating already at net net zero carbon, by law he suffered is being sacrificed to a nuclear plant which has more to do with rescuing the French nuclear industry and maintaining the supply chain and skills pay for the nuclear weapons industry and for trade and renewal than it does with providing electricity for UK consumers. The only role they will play in this story stuck saga is to pay for the construction of seismic through sea through their electricity bills, and then pay again when the 100 billion bill for managing nuclear waste hits future generations. Thank you very much.

14:26

Thank you for your time. cadet Tony camera and microphone off now please.

14:36

Thank you very much. Could I ask glynnis Robertson to

14:42

Microphone please.

14:49

Hello, hello how I can see you now. You've got five minutes please. Thank you very much. Thank you for the opportunity to speak at this open hearing. My name is Dennis Robertson. A lot

15:00

Resident Firstly, I wholeheartedly endorse Mr. Pete Wilkinson's representation and all the other speakers before me. I marvelled at their knowledge on size we'll see issues. It's amazing. I would like to take this opportunity to talk about the cumulative impact on our heritage coast. In 1974, natural England then the countryside ad agency, funded three pilot heritage costs suffered being one was fully designated in the late 1970s and run with perfect storm to Lowestoft. This is a high accolade and it should be noted that size was shingle beach. It's flora and fauna is an extremely rare habitat, one of only three in the world, the other two being New Zealand and Japan. Regional and district councils, charities and voluntary supporters took over 50 years to build up Suffolk heritage coast to where it stands today, a thriving combination of leisure tourism and nature, living in harmony side by side, we should be proud of this achievement and defend it. However, some years ago, Suffolk MPs coined the phrase the energy coast to encourage investment in the area, a laudable initiative, which unfortunately has backfire. I don't think anyone envisages the onslaught of energy projects that are now proposed to invade and destroy our precious heritage coast, climate change must be addressed, but not by industrialising our coastline, without muddied nuclear power stations over carving up our countryside to accommodate massive substations. Having gone through the last six months and Scottish powers wind farm examination, they now have deep concerns about the planning process, and whether it's just fit for purpose in its present dies, the system set up to streamline important nationally significant infrastructure projects. It's beginning feeling in its ability to conduct a fair examination by taking into account the cumulative impact of other potential infrastructure projects, and only considering one decision application at a time. Should size well seem to be consented by the Secretary of State at the end of this examination. It will be the biggest of eight energy infrastructure projects in the heritage coast, which in turn will become one of the largest complexes of energy infrastructure in the UK. It consists of size we'll see East only one North offshore wind farm East Anglia two Nautilus in connector euring link in interconnector and North falls offshore wind farm will follow one quickly and two sides or two can interconnect us called SCD one nscd to

17:51

the map behind me tells it all. It's not just a couple of green electrical boxes at the bottom of your garden, or a second pretty white golf ball which shines brightly in the sunshine. This is a mega energy hub. And to locate such an energy hub in the midst of one of humanity's most fragile nature based tourism destination is tantamount to ecocide. Not only are these other these eight energy projects, but a multitude of districts and county council projects, in combination will bring the 812 to a standstill. Some of these are housing developments stuff of course still needs to deliver a 10,000 plus forms by 2036. Wave me needs to deliver 1000 plus. Then this award improvements alongside EDS a 12 bypass park in bite size will link road as in developments will require new roles and major roundabouts, including Microsoft and Woodbridge. Then there's a construction of low stuffs three enterprise zones and Newport energy how to have one minute remaining Miss Robinson

19:01

reach across the river awakening. In the south we have Felixstowe ports expansion, this will double the port size by 2030. All these massive projects will happen at the same time a sizable sea and must be considered to suffer is a rural county with traditional industries like agricultural tourism and fishing. It does not have an infrastructure capable of supporting the level of building and heavy construction that had just detailed. It is therefore paramount to consider the cumulative impact in this application. A full and robust assessment of all the additional projects should be carried out at the earliest opportunity. It is my belief that the applicants findings will prove that the benefits of size will see do not outweigh the devastation of our heritage coast. Thank you. Thank you.

19:58

microphone for me, please.

20:02

Thank you could I ask Susan Morris to turn on her camera and microphone, please and introduce yourself? Hello. Hello, can you hear me? I cannot I can see you've got

20:16

Good morning. My name is sourcing the Reese. I am speaking on behalf of myself and my family. But I am aware that my concerns are echoed by others in the community and their people who have spoken this morning. I live in Tibetan and my home is directly on the B 1122. For generations of my husband's family have lived in the property. And it is my hope that my son

20:44

and his family will eventually make it their home.

20:49

It's fine to take your time if you need to have a couple of minutes.

20:55

I know that you will hear from many experts during the coming months. And while I can't claim to be an expert, I believe that my views and thoughts of local people are important, as the development of sizewell C and D will directly impact our lives for many years.

21:16

Since the inception of this project, I under this have attended countless meetings, read numerous reports and submitted written responses, yet many of us still have no clear understanding of how the project will be delivered.

21:33

For example, who will fund it? Will it be delivered on time on budget and be safe ETFs current track record in these areas does not inspire confidence. From the outset ADF had failed to engage in any meaningful dialogue with local people. their failure to furnish interested parties with clear and accessible information and to risk share the results of impact studies and evaluations in a timely manner has only served to increase opposition to the project.

22:13

by failing to be clear about what they are going to do and how they are going to do it. They limit the ability of people to ask questions and challenge what they see in EDF ADF had clear pounds that limit the impact of the development on communities, the environment and wildlife. They need to allow these to be scrutinised. their lack of transparency has led to the planning Inspectorate to raise a record number of questions in relation to the development I believe the number is 2229 in comparison with 17 raised during the Hinkley Point DC or process.

22:59

I recognise that as projects are developed and consultations take place plans change. However, with a project of this magnitude, it would surely be prudent to have clear strategies in place for applying for decio.

23:17

It is disappointing that EDF have not done this. I would like my concerns in relation to funding transport, community impacts and legacy to be taken into account when you consider the sustainability viability and suitability of the Sizewell C and D project.

23:41

bioluminescence EDF are currently facing financial difficulties have no clear funding strategy in place. Without a clear gallantry tiered funding model, there is a risk that construction could start only to be abandoned, as happened with the Hatachi project and Wales. Such a scenario would lead communities with all of the negative impacts and disruption without any of the supposed benefits.

24:11

transport. After several changes to the original proposal, EDF now hope to transport the vast volumes of construction material by rail see under old one of the reasons Cific has remained remote, unspoiled and secluded as because of its lack of transport connectivity. It is unclear at the proposed upgrading of the railway or the building of the beach land and facilities are financially viable or if they could sustain the increased volume of freight in the coming 12 years. The nearest motorways are the N25 M11. And in one, the two other major roads as the A14 and D12. Both a mix of single and dual carriageway.

25:00

Find the P1122. The only road to the site is a single one don't rule the road.

25:08

People live in here choose to because of the rule for the way of life. We know that to access services such as education and health care, we need to travel further than in urban areas. Children and young people travelled between 10 minutes and an hour to school or college. And this is without pickup or drop off stops. Our nearest a&e department is 45 minutes away access to orthopaedic services an hour away, and currently a neurological services one hour and 45 minutes away. This is with current volumes of traffic on clear roads in favourable weather conditions.

25:52

Increased volumes of traffic will inevitably lead to congestion, delays and road closures. This will do nothing to enhance the lifestyle of people living in the area. While I welcome the process to bypass favorite. The local people and Council have always preferred the D two lot as this would leave a legacy of improved road access to Leeson and Saxmundham rather than the toll so your your representation to a close now please.

26:25

Conclusion I believe the development of the twin nucleolar reacted size will be what inversely impact 1000s of people. If this project is agreed we will leave a future generation with a degraded environment, nuclear waste to manage long after those of us making these decisions are dead and the facility decommissioned. I for one do not wish to leave such a legacy for future generations. Thank you for your time. Thank you very much.

27:01

Thank you.

27:03

I'll now come on to Joan girling. Please if you're able to

27:08

introduce yourself and then you have five minutes.

27:12

Morning, I'm thank you very much for the opportunity to speak. It's great pleasure to be able to speak directly to inspectors, we rely on you to listen very carefully to everything that's been said.

27:26

As I said, my name is john girling. And I have lived all my adult life in less than come sizable. I've known the area for space from tranquillity. And abroad All My Children are here.

27:39

I wish to express a deep compassion to the many ASAP people, including those who have spoken this morning, who have been living with a threat, the size will say for the past 10 years.

27:54

Nobody can actually understand that feels like to know that the front of your garden is going to be chopped off, or your farm dissected by roads and railway lines when you've lived there for all of your life and still have your ancestors in some cases enter for generations.

28:13

So I want to express my feeling. Please separate people, including those who have lived under the dark cloud, which has affected us with stress and uncertainty. One can only imagine the disruption and loss of peace, the proposed size we'll see we'll bring to this beautiful area.

28:37

The size we'll see slight, was nominated as a potential site. I think by what we've heard so far this morning.

28:48

That size we'll see is not a potential site at all. It is totally unsuitable.

28:54

The numerous major road as Miss Maria, Maria has just said is the eighth Well, it was the tract about 30 years ago, that is here as a class road.

29:06

The size will flow the B and Evan 22 is the other accessible road to the site or site at present.

29:16

They also the site is totally unsuitable. It's much smaller than the Hinkley site 32 Hector's and because of it, it has pushed the boundaries of the site into the triple si and onto special shingle beach which is the heritage coast

29:37

as more so because of it spilled over into many other areas, for instance of the concrete batching plant that's going to be placed along the access road is It's fine. It's noisy, and it's going to be supplied with aggregate which will come in by rail in an area that has been farmed in the past of

30:00

Or it's but with sheep and cattle and has had very little human interference

30:10

sorry, lost my place.

30:14

I don't want to take five minutes. I just want you to understand

30:19

that this this area is so special

30:25

with its AONB state

30:28

that to build a nuclear power plant

30:32

in that type of area

30:35

with two great big concrete monoliths

30:40

I industrialised and the area is completely utterly out of keeping with the island base status.

30:53

Now, what else can I say to convince you that this site is unsuitable?

30:59

I know that the planning Inspectorate team has actually visited the area.

31:05

I've known all my life. I also know that many people use all the rights of way, the footpaths, both the tourists and local people. Many people haven't completely understood exactly what size roughly means. Your remaining was googling on asking their Spectres. And we're who we trust, we trust our planners to take note of everything that's been said. And I can only endorse what

31:35

paperwork and someone has said. And we ask you to

31:40

please not to see through the ADF what we call greenwash and look very, very carefully at exactly what it means for the people who live here. Work and and the tourists that come here to visit. And I asked you to recommend the research or does the secretary of state when it comes to time when you've looked at all the other examination parts of the process? Thank you

32:08

very much.

32:13

If you're ready to switch your camera off,

32:18

then move on to Michael Taylor, please.

32:26

Sorry, my camera was missing about but hopefully you see me and hear me. Yes, I might want to bite Taylor.

32:36

Good morning on a resident of licen. Come sighs Well, since 1983.

32:43

The Planning Act documents in one two and six are clearly out of date. And as and as the ability the inability to have an operation or nuclear power station that size will see by 2025 is evidence

33:01

based strongly object to this development. There are now many more alternatives that reduce costs and deployable quicker to combat the urgency of climate change.

33:15

However, we're obliged to work to the existing policy and six in a number of aspects.

33:24

compounded by the fact that EDF France, the original developer with their partner, Chinese general nuclear, have now placed on record at their shareholders meetings in May 2021. They do not wish to be a lead part of the develop.

33:47

If you permit I'll just read verbatim from the 2020 EDF universal registration document which was presented to shareholders in May 2021.

34:05

The project is based on the assumption that third party investors will invest a very large majority and EDF plans the date of the final investment decision to become a very minority shareholder with limited rights, and today consolidate the project from the group's financial statements

34:29

including in the calculation of economic indebtedness by the rating agencies.

34:37

At this stage, it is not certain that the group or achieve this objective

34:44

the financing model has never been implanted for projects of that scale before and therefore, would be one of the largest ever. Equity issuance and project financing on the European scene.

35:01

Secure in the appropriate risk sharing mechanism and ultimately the corresponding financing structure ahead of the final investment decision. Therefore key for the project.

35:15

I'll just cut short a bit there. But it's basically depending on the operational controller, the Hinkley Point C project, and the success or otherwise of that,

35:28

and an appropriate regulatory and financing framework. None of these conditions are guaranteed at this time.

35:37

I'll then continue reading my own presentation.

35:42

There therefore appears a misunderstanding that ADF will be the developers of any size We'll see.

35:50

This would appear to raise issues of liability for Section 106,

35:57

consents and agreements licencing and other commitments, some of which may be long term.

36:06

According to the government's energy white papers,

36:10

white paper statements any project will be subject to a test for evidence value for money. Therefore, it could be argued that all future costs and liabilities will have to be properly evidence to allow this study to take place.

36:27

And to enable a properly advised investment decision, and a fully funded, spent fuel and decommissioning programme, up until site final site clearance, you have one remaining Mr. Taylor, in 200 years in advance.

36:45

The lack of detail in the developer's plans, which I've sent a copy of the freedom of information, request to the officer nuclear regulation

36:57

shows that there's insufficient information.

37:04

They claim that a replica of Hinkley, C and but Hinkley C as a different foundation closer, closer to the source of construction materials, and has a portable water supply.

37:22

The additional costs have been extraction, land raising and dispose, besides we'll say may not be properly factored in the seawater cooling system is not yet resolved at Hinkley Point C and may be equally as controversial for size, we'll see.

37:39

And then went go on to say about the viability of the grid capacity, which is would appear to be problem precisely now. Please. Mr. Taylor, thank you very much. Thank you.

37:56

Mr. Taylor, you made reference you were quoting from a document. When you submit your written submission of this hearing, would you please ensure that you provide a copy to us Thank you. So well, Dave. Thank you.

38:12

And now come to Bill Parker, please.

38:19

Good morning.

38:21

Thank you. My name is Bill Parker. And I'm the CO owner of see properties holiday lead which is set up 10 years ago in the heart of thorpeness. We know our visitors come to thorpeness for the tranquillity, easy access, especially if London and fantastic unspoiled coastline and countryside. They love the great local food the family friendly atmosphere and the big sapphic skies and sia, they say it's relaxing and restorative, like sticking back in time. A reason visitor described the calm pride atmosphere as a world away from daily life and a haven for his family. Another says it's lovely quiet, connecting with nature and to escape from the Hurly burly of the modern world. Just proper, unspoiled English coast and countryside. We believe that size we'll see will bite this area permanently, especially during construction, making our business unviable.

39:16

The loss of what our visitors value cannot be mitigated for were appalled at the rule for destruction of such a tranquil, unique and fragile place. The character of the special landscape and settlements will be irrevocably transformed by road construction, traffic congestion, and loss of postal act stress and the destruction of tourism businesses who are already under huge pressure due to COVID. The iconic views north from obrah thoughtless and the house in the clouds will be blighted by the Soviet style monstrosities these proposals will deliver wholesale industrialization to our precious closed. A really popular pleasure in thorpeness is swimming in the sea. We're really concerned that this too will be threatened by the increased turn

40:00

Better to water pollution resulting from the marine works and shipping. We also fear the increased water temperatures will exacerbate jellyfish blooms, which increasingly occur due to the unusually warm water in this location. We fear the operation of the additional cooling plants together with climate change impacts were significantly increased risk in these waters visitors and residents. Moreover, thought nurse will be a particular visit victim of the cumulative impacts of this and the SPR wind farm

proposals and suffer an onslaught a 24 hour noise, light and air pollution. Additionally, the family friendly relaxed character of thorpeness will be changed irrevocably

40:41

with the likely emergence and multi occupancy housing or Worse still, brothels as we're told happened during the construction of size will be.

40:50

We believe that unique attraction of thoughtless and the Suffolk coast area as a tourist destination will be destroyed. This matters like other holiday Next, we support a wide range of local businesses sourcing everything we can locally. We reinvest more than half our annual revenue directly back into the local economy. And of course, our visitors also support the pubs cafes, restaurants, shops, tourist attractions, not just in thorpeness, but in towns and villages. So in terms of villages or along the Suffolk coast, employment in this region is high. We anticipate that our supply chain will therefore be severely disrupted due to short term demand by EDF for workers, local businesses and residents will be badly affected by the shortages of people who provide the services we support and rely on. In our opinion, the impact on the tourist economy predicted by the applicant between the 9% will be much worse in thorpeness. Several of our loyal visitors have said they will be much less likely to return if the development goes ahead. Sorry, if development goes ahead. This loss will directly impact on the local economy. stripping the Suffolk coast of the unique character of our tourism businesses helped create and reduce the quality life for all who live here who benefit from the tourism revenue, and enjoy all that this helps to support. We do not want a different type of tourism that revolves around an industrialised coast, and to megalithic nuclear power stations.

42:21

But why should anyone care about one rental property? Mr. Paul, we have one minute remaining. We say that the examining authorities should consider sea poppies as a bellwether in the tourism ecosystem. And there will be many others in the same position. Small businesses who won't hear from which will also have to reconsider their future. We predict a collapse in the holiday let market as people realise that visiting here will mean witnessing the heartbreaking destruction of the special qualities that drew them here in the first place.

42:58

We market our house as a great place to chill out in this delightful, quirky but quiet seaside village, and a perfect place for exploring the beach and Coast, we work really hard to do everything we can to offer a holiday experience to our visitors that lives up to these expectations. We cannot pand on heart continue to offer our house in this way. If this development goes ahead. And we promise. And what we promise becomes just a shattered dream, as all that we love about the area is destroyed. Thank you.

43:30

Thank you very much.

43:34

Now, I know that the other people who had

43:39

registered speak this morning, have

43:43

either not turned up or have elected to speak at further hearing later on. So if I can now come to the applicant, please. Is there anything you would wish to say in response to anything that you've heard this morning?

43:59

Thank you very much. I'm calling events the chief planning officer representing the applicant. I think it's a really important opportunity for the panel to hear from the community. So I don't propose to spend much time speaking. I think the questions particularly around the alternatives on the site or link road tourism funding and carbon that we've heard a lot of mentioned on today will adequately hopefully address the queries and the points raised. And the progress on statements of Common Ground particularly with the local authority should also give everyone a flavour for the direction of travel, in terms of the mitigation. So I think we'll just rely on our written submissions, but very happy to take questions.

44:41

Thank you.

44:42

I don't have any questions at this stage and just check with the other panel members whether they have anything.

44:53

No thank you.

44:57

Okay.

44:59

Sorry.

45:11

Thank you, Mr. Moreland. That brings us to the end our speakers for this open floor hearing. So before I close the hearing, let me remind you that only post hearing submissions, including written summaries of the cases you've made orally at this hearing, should be submitted a deadline to Wednesday, the second of June.

45:35

If there are no other matters that anyone wishes to raise, I shall now close the hearing. Thank you all very much for your attendance and participation. Before we conclude, Mr. McKay glynnis. Robertson

has her hand up, but it might be worth just clarifying the point that she'd wish to make. Right. Thank you very much for spotting that.

45:59

Hello.

46:01

Can you hear me? Okay, we just like to confirm who you are just for the record. glynnis Robertson, local resident, I just wanted to say that when the Africans sport we didn't see the Africans know when they were introduced. And we would like to put a face to the device, please. So could you please arrange for that to happen in future? Last? It's very off putting having Can you Sorry, can you interrupt? I was able to see the applicants representative just now. I can see I can see her now as well. Can? Can you? Nope, they can't see you at all.

46:41

So I don't know. Maybe we could get the case team just to investigate that. We do have the members of the of the applicants team here. It might just be a good opportunity. If they could all just say who they are. Can I just say one more point before you go on? That? It would be good if you could have a visual one minute left sign rather than somebody speak it out. Because it's very off putting your your you're in the you know, having a diatribe there. And then all of a sudden you stop because being interrupted,

47:21

if you will did remarkably well. And apologies if that was found to be intrusive. We will investigate to see if we can find an alternative.

47:33

Although we do know that there are very many examining authorities that have operated in this way. But we'll see what we can do about that. Thank you very much for raising it. Thank you. Miss MCI, can I just confirm that the live stream does actually show the representative from sizewell C. On on the screen.

47:55

Right, thank thank you.

47:58

I think from my perspective, I can also confirm I was able to see

48:04

Carly Vince when she spoke and I can see her now. So there's a strange thing happening in the background somewhere.

48:13

Would it be helpful to introduce the sysvol team? Yeah, just just for the record, I think that will be helpful so we can see who is here for this for this event. So firstly, we've got Tom McGarry.

48:28

Hello.

48:30

Thank you. And we've also got Richard bull.

48:37

Hello, everybody.

48:39

And I believe john Rhodes has just stepped out for a moment that he will hopefully join us later this afternoon for the next hearing. Right. Thank you very much indeed for that.

48:54

So if there are no other matters that anyone wishes to raise all now close the hearing. So that's the close of open floor, hearing one