

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Subject: Response to Preliminary meeting
Date: 07 April 2021 13:30:25
Attachments: [Response to Preliminary meeting 1.docx](#)

Dear Sirs,

Please find attached our response following the preliminary meetings

We do not wish to speak at this meeting but in order to save time have asked Stop Sizewell C to speak on our behalf, the businesses we run and the PCC of St Peter's church and the Yoxmere Benefice.

Yours faithfully

Simon and Sally Ilett



TECHNICAL ISSUES AT PRELIMINARY MEETING

We experienced difficulties during the first preliminary meeting in sound breaking up at times making it difficult to follow the points being made by parties. This is a very complex application and the sooner in person meetings can resume the better for all to understand the enormity of these complexities. The unusual nature and scale of the application was raised by the applicant. Many of us do not have the luxury of fast broadband speeds or broadband width in the area surrounding the proposed site.

Since the preliminary meeting, the SPR DCO has had a 3 month extension for a smaller scheme. We urge the ExA not to start the examination process which is limited to 6 months until at least the decision has been made as to whether the applicant's new proposals are accepted or not. The applicant stated that this is a very large and complex application. It is challenge for the layman to interpret and respond to in such a short space of time. With County Council electioneering about to start and the resulting elected councillors not in cabinet until the end of May is another reason to delay.

With the Covid roadmap to opening up society published, there is now the real possibility to allow this to happen in person in the summer and a short extension will improve the chances of the vast number of people who wish to take part to be able to do so. The applicant made the case for urgency and haste over this application but we urge the ExA to consider this in full and without pressure. There is no funding in place and a decision is unlikely until well after the current scheduled deadline for the DCO application to be decided.

PRINICIPAL ISSUES

We are concerned that the applicant is saying that the coastal defences are for the O.N.R.to determine however this has potential to seriously affect the coast and environment far to the north and south of the site and it MUST be examined in public.

The applicant stated that it will rely on the Rochdale Envelope for the variance in impacts still to be determined. The National policy statement EN-1 clearly states that the significant effects of the proposed development should be properly assessed and we do not believe this is the case. In particular the length of the beach landing facility (jetty) and the effect on coastal processes.

The CO2 assessment of the build, running and decommissioning of the plant and its contribution to net zero and by what date? The main thrust of this project is to achieve carbon zero as soon as possible.

The financing of the revised application and viability of the project as a whole as well as EDF to be able to deliver the project in light of its track record with similar schemes.

The impact on marine life and numbers of fish that will be killed by the intake pumps affecting the fishing industry in coastal towns like Aldeburgh.

The impact on air quality of the number of LGV's van's buses and cars that must travel to site daily as well as the borrow pits and spoil heaps.

Any revisions accepted by the ExA must be combined to the original application rather than keeping them separate.

We wish for the loss of agricultural land and resultant loss of food production be taken into account not only by the existing link road and building site but also the revised application. In addition the impact on the population living east of the link road who will be forced to join the it with thousands of other vehicles per day to access local amenities and transport links in Saxmundham.

In order to assist and speed up the meeting we have asked Stop Sizewell C to speak on our behalf.

Yours faithfully

Simon and Sally Ilett

Representing ourselves, our businesses, Cipher Crystal, Moat Alpacas and the PCC of St Peter's church.