

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [SizewellC](#)  
**Subject:** Preliminary examination comments  
**Date:** 07 April 2021 12:43:00

---

## **EN010012 – The Sizewell C Project**

Interested Party Reference Number: 20026225

The start of the examination should be postponed for several reasons.

During the pre-examination EDF's barrister stated that there had been widespread consultation. This may have been the case, but EDF have ignored many of the comments resulting in the DCO requiring changes that have and will cause additional work and cost to organizations and individuals wishing to make representations. The changes that presumably are intended to address raised comments could easily have been foreseen from many of the comments made during consultation. The cynical may think that EDF are playing the DCO process for their advantage. Delay in examination is required to give reasonable time for objectors to prepare their case. Effectively EDF have been given extra time and objectors should be given the extra time to neutralise this advantage.

I live in [REDACTED] and have been involved in discussions with EDF from December 2019 to consider mitigation for the impact of the extra traffic due to the southern park and ride. Getting anywhere with EDF has been a slow process. These discussions should and could have taken place during the consultation process. Now that the examination process is upon us EDF have adopted what I would class as bullying tactics for us to accept their limited proposals. It is inappropriate for examination to proceed when this and other topics are not closer to resolution.

During the examination will the same criteria be used as was used at Wylfa when PINS decide on their recommendation?

During the preliminary examination there were several technical problems in the online process. It would make it much easier for many people if there was a delay until it was possible for a non-virtual event to be used.

Regards

Arthur Stansfield