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## Executive Summary

This appendix assesses the baseline conditions for four terrestrial reptile species (adder (Vipera berus), common lizard (Zootoca vivipara), grass snake (Natrix helvetica helvetica) and slow-worm (Anguis fragilis)) within the Zone of Influence (ZOI) of the Sizewell C power station at the main development site (hereafter referred to as the "proposed development").
All four species are protected under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act (Ref. 1.1) and are included within Section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act (Ref. 1.2), which identifies them as species of principal importance for the purpose of conserving biodiversity. Adder, common lizard, grass snake and slow-worm are also priority species in the Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan (Ref. 1.3).
Desk-study data from the Suffolk Biodiversity Information Service (SBIS) was obtained for notable species of conservation concern within 2 kilometres (km) of the proposed development site (hereafter referred to as the "site"). All four species were widely distributed across suitable habitats within the site.

Surveys carried out by Wood Group (formerly Entec and Amec Foster Wheeler) on the EDF Energy Estate between 2007 and 2012 recorded regular observations of all four reptile species including adults, sub-adults and juveniles. It was observed that species distribution varied for slow-worm and common lizard, but no clear habitat preference was identified for adder or grass snake.

Further surveys were carried out by Arcadis Consulting (UK) Limited (formerly Hyder Consulting, and hereafter referred to as Arcadis) to update the Wood Group data and provide more robust population estimates of the four reptile species. These involved surveys in 2015 to 2016 of all representative habitats suitable for reptiles, notably arable hedgerow margin, conifer plantation, ride, scrub, the part of Sizewell Marshes Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) to be lost to the proposed development, open grassland/scrub habitat, and landscape plantation on the main platform. Within the site, mean population density estimates were calculated as: common lizard, 6.0 per ha; slow-worm, 12.1 per ha; adder, 9.3 per ha; and grass snake, 6.1 per ha.

To ensure a robust Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) process, all reptile populations within the ZOI of the proposed development have been assessed to determine whether or not they would qualify as Important Ecological Features (IEFs) as defined in Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM) guidelines on EcIA (Ref. 1.4). In addition, the reptile populations have been assessed in accordance with the standard Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) methodology used elsewhere within the Environmental Statement (ES).
On the basis of this assessment, the reptile assemblage as a whole (adder, grass snake, common lizard and slow-worm) on the site and the wider study area constitutes a Key Reptile Site (Ref. 1.5), and is considered to be an IEF at the regional level
under the CIEEM guidelines (Ref. 1.4) and of medium importance, following the EIAspecific assessment methodology.

## 1. Reptiles

### 1.1 Introduction

a) Purpose of this appendix
1.1.1 This is an appendix to the Sizewell $C$ power station at the main development site (referred to throughout this volume as the "proposed development") Volume 2, Chapter 14 of the ES. This appendix presents the reptile baseline for the proposed development site (hereafter referred to as the "site") and its ZOI.
b) Establishing Zone of Influence, study area and survey area
1.1.2 For reptiles, the ZOI and study area is defined as the site and an additional buffer area of 2 km . The survey area is defined as the site boundary.
c) Structure of this appendix
1.1.3 This appendix has been set out as follows:

- Section 2 sets out the approach and methodology used for obtaining the desk-study and secondary data, as well as the results of this data acquisition. The detail of the desk-study information acquired is presented in Annex 14A6.2, whilst the secondary data reports are presented in Annex 14A6.3.
- Section 3 first sets out the approach and methodology for obtaining the primary data, then provides the results of this survey work. The detailed data underpinning these results are presented in Annex 14A6.4.
- Finally, section 4 brings together all of this information into a detailed consideration of the baseline conditions for reptiles within the proposed development and identifies those IEFs (whether individual species or an assemblage of species) to be taken forward to be considered and assessed with the EclA.
1.1.4 Figures summarising the ecological baseline with regard to reptiles are presented in Annex 14A6.1 - Figures.


### 1.2 Desk-study/secondary data

a) Approach and methodology
i. Desk-study
1.2.1 Records for reptiles were requested from SBIS in 2014 and 2018 for protected or otherwise notable species of conservation concern within 2 km of the site boundary. Citations for all designated sites (statutory and nonstatutory) within 2 km of the site were reviewed to ascertain whether or not reptiles are cited as interest features of these sites. The Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) (Ref. 1.3), Suffolk's Priority Species and Habitats list (Ref. 1.6), and the species of principal importance included on the Section 41 list of the NERC Act, were also reviewed with reference to the species present, or likely to be present, within the site and the wider study area.

## ii. Secondary data

## Wood Group

1.2.2 A number of reptile surveys have been carried out by Suffolk Wildlife Trust (SWT) (for on behalf of NGL) since 2005, with a summary provided within each of their annual Sizewell Land Management Reports.
1.2.3 In 2006 (Ref. 1.13), artificial refugia were placed in suitable habitat within the plantation woodland of Kenton Hills and Goose Hill. The refugia were checked between April and October 2006. The following year, only the refugia within Goose Hill were checked, with visits made between May and September 2007 (Ref. 1.14). Ad hoc checks of these refugia continued during 2008 and 2009 (Ref. 1.15; Ref. 1.16).
1.2.4 In 2012, SWT conducted a survey at the location of the proposed Sizewell B Emergency Response Centre at Sizewell Halt, to the east of Leiston (Ref. 1.17). Naturally occurring and artificial refugia were checked during this survey.
1.2.5 During 2013, a reptile survey was also carried out at Black Walks (Ref. 1.18), involving the checking of 20 artificial refugia.
1.2.6 Reptile surveys have also been undertaken, from 2006 to 2013, as part of the Galloper Wind Farm onshore substation project, located directly to the east of Pillbox Field (Ref. 1.19; Ref. 1.20) (see Figure 14A6.1). A 17-day translocation exercise also took place in 2007 over an area of 6.5 hectares (ha), in habitat including semi-improved grassland, boundary features and broadleaved woodland plantation. A further translocation took place in 2013, with visits between June and mid-August 2013.
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1.2.7 Freedom Group have also carried out reptile surveys at Coronation Wood and Pillbox Field (Ref. 1.21). Eighty-five refugia were placed across 5ha of Coronation Wood at a density of 17 refugia per ha, and 94 refugia placed across 7.2 ha of Pillbox Field at a density of 13 refugia per ha. Survey visits were carried out between 23 September and 2 November 2015.
b) Results
i. Desk-study
1.2.8 No statutory or non-statutory designated site within 2 km of the site have reptiles cited as a qualifying feature. Full details on the sites present, and their reasons for designation, are provided in Appendix 14A2 - Designated Sites.
1.2.9 The site (and wider study area) supports four reptile species, namely adder (Vipera berus), common lizard (Zootoca vivipara), grass snake (Natrix helvetica helvetica) and slow-worm (Anguis fragilis).
1.2.10 The desk-study revealed 253 records of reptiles from 1994 to 2017 within 2 km of the site. Species recorded included adder, common lizard, grass snake and slow-worm. The full results of the desk-study area are presented in Annex 14A6.2.
1.2.11 Data showed that all four species were widely distributed across the study area; however, there appeared to be concentrations of these species in the following areas: the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB) Minsmere Reserve and adjacent locations of Westleton Walks and Eastbridge to the north of the site boundary; Leiston, including the urban area and parts of Leiston Common, immediately to the south-west; Aldringham, North Warren and Thorpness to the south; and the EDF Energy Estate itself.
1.2.12 Records within the EDF Energy Estate were mainly associated with coastal habitats (dunes and shingle) and the plantation woodland of Kenton Hills and Goose Hill.
1.2.13 The review of the Suffolk BAP (Ref. 1.3) and Suffolk's Priority Species and Habitats list (Ref. 1.6) identified adder, common lizard, grass snake and slow-worm as priority species. In addition, all four species are protected in England under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act (Ref. 1.1), and all four species are included within Section 41 of the NERC Act.
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## ii. Secondary data

## Wood Group

1.2.14 Regular observations of adder, common lizard, grass snake and slow-worm were made in 2007 surveys of the site throughout the survey period, including adults, sub-adults and juveniles. Full results are presented in Annex 14A6.3 and summarised in Table 1.1 which presents the maximum number of adult reptiles of each species recorded on any one survey day.
Figures 14A6.2 to Figure 14A6.5 show the distribution and abundance of common lizard, slow-worm, adder and grass snake from these surveys.
1.2.15 The common lizard populations were primarily distributed in habitats closer to the coast, most notably within ungrazed grassland swards and coastal grassland habitat. Low numbers were observed at isolated locations within the plantation woodland of Dunwich Forest and Goose Hill, with records absent further west. In contrast, slow-worm was recorded at greater densities within the woodland habitat along ride edges, with lower densities within ungrazed grasslands, and an absence from more open habitats towards the coast. Slow-worms were found primarily in areas close to dense scrub and/or woodland habitat.
1.2.16 Adders were observed equally frequently in both the open grassland habitats to the east of the survey area and within plantation woodland habitats. Grass snakes showed a similar distribution to adder, although they were recorded slightly more frequently than adder, often associated with wetland habitat or features. For both adder and grass snake, there was a greater density of records within Dunwich Forest/Goose Hill.
1.2.17 The results of the 2008 reptile survey of the site adjacent to Sizewell B power station were: common lizard (five sightings, all female, with a maximum of one adult per visit) and grass snake (one juvenile grass snake). In addition, a dead mature adder was noted approximately 30 metres ( m ) south-east of the survey area. It is likely that this individual originated from the site owing to the absence of other suitable habitat within its surroundings.

Table 1.1: Summary of the Wood Group reptile surveys.

| Reptile <br> Species. | Maximum Adult <br> Count at Site (Ref. <br> 1.7). | Maximum Adult Count at <br> Coronation Wood and <br> Adjacent Land (Ref. 1.8). | Maximum Adult Count <br> at Aldhurst Farm (Ref. <br> 1.12). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Common lizard. | 15 | 9 | 7 |
| Slow-worm. | 31 | 3 | 0 |
| Adder. | 17 | 1 | 1 |
| Grass snake. | 9 | 1 | 3 |
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1.2.18 All four common native reptile species were also found within Coronation Wood in the 2012 survey, although only at low densities (see Table 1.1). This was attributed to the limited availability of suitable habitat, which was restricted to small areas of woodland edge, woodland glades containing rank improved grassland, scrub and patches of tall herbs which offer opportunities for sheltering, basking and foraging. Despite the majority of the site being sub-optimal habitat for reptiles, a "good" population of common lizard was estimated to be present here (based on Froglife criteria (Ref. 1.5)), predominantly within clearings of tussocky grassland to the north of Sizewell B power station.
1.2.19 The surveys at Aldhurst Farm found common lizard, grass snake and adder, but no slow-worm; both adults and juveniles were recorded for common lizard and grass snake. The survey results are summarised in Table 1.1.

## Other secondary data

1.2.20 The reptile survey carried out by SWT in 2006 revealed the presence of grass snake, common lizard and slow-worm within the plantation woodland of Goose Hill and Kenton Hills. Surveys undertaken in 2007 also recorded adder. Ad hoc checks for reptiles by SWT undertaken during 2008 and 2009 within Goose Hill confirmed the continued presence of grass snake and adder within suitable habitat.
1.2.21 Surveys undertaken by SWT at Sizewell Halt identified all four common species of reptile within the survey area. Mitigation for the development work here (unrelated to the proposed development) included creation of a reptile receptor area within the site boundary. A monitoring survey of the receptor area was carried out during 2014, and slow-worm, common lizard, adder and grass snake were all confirmed to be still present. Outside of the site boundary, the survey by SWT at Black Walks recorded two adders and a single grass snake.
1.2.22 The predominant habitats in the Galloper Wind Farm onshore substation study area (approximate size 27.2 ha ) were arable farmland, semi-natural broadleaved and mixed woodland, plantation woodland and semi-improved grassland (Ref. 1.19; Ref. 1.20). Reptile surveys revealed that the woodland interior was not suitable reptile habitat, given the dense shade and lack of suitable cover. It was established that the woodland edge habitat and other boundary features, such as hedge-lined paths did support populations of reptiles. Results for the 2010-2011 surveys are presented in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2: Summary of the reptile surveys results for the Galloper Wind Farm onshore substation development.

| Reptile Species. | Maximum Adult Count. | Density Per ha <br> (Within Suitable Habitat). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Common lizard. | 10 | 1.5 |
| Slow-worm. | 5 | 0.8 |
| Adder. | 2 | 0.3 |
| Grass snake. | 4 | 0.6 |

1.2.23 Over the 17 trapping days of the 2007 translocation exercise, 50 grass snakes and common lizards were translocated. Table 1.3 presents the results of the 2013 translocation exercise.

Table 1.3: Summary of the 2013 reptile translocation data for the Galloper Wind Farm onshore substation development.

| Location | Species |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Common Lizard | Slow-Worm | Adder | Grass Snake | Total |
| 1 | 18 | 35 | 3 | 3 | 59 |
| 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 10 |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 8 | 29 | $7.5 / h a$ | 4 | 0 | 12 |
| Total |  |  | $0.6 / h a$ | $1.8 / h a$ |  |
| Density | 4 |  |  |  | 96 |

1.2.24 Survey results and population classifications for the Coronation Wood and Pillbox Field surveys (Ref. 1.21) are presented in Table 1.4. Low populations of all four reptile species were found. In Coronation Wood, reptiles were found along the woodland edge and in an open glade; no reptiles were found in the denser wooded interior. In Pillbox Field, few reptiles were found in the central parts of the field, with most found along boundary hedgerows.

Table 1.4: Summary of the reptile surveys results for Coronation Wood and Pillbox Field (1.21).

| Species. | Maximum Adult <br> Count. |  | Max Density <br> (Count/ha). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Coronation Wood. | Population <br> Classification based <br> on Froglife Criteria <br> (Ref. 1.5). |  |  |
| Common lizard. | 0 | 0 | Nil |
| Slow-worm. | 1 | 0.2 | Low |
| Adder. | 0 | 0 | Nil |
| Grass snake. | 0 | 0 | Nil |
| Pillbox Field. | 2 |  |  |
| Common lizard. | 1 | 0.3 | Low |
| Slow-worm. | 1 | 0.1 | Low |
| Adder. | 1 | 0.1 | Low |
| Grass snake. |  | 0.1 | Low |

1.3 Field surveys - primary data
a) Approach and methodology
1.3.1 Further surveys have been carried out by Arcadis Consulting (UK) Limited (formerly Hyder Consulting, and hereafter referred to as Arcadis) to update the Wood Group data, and to provide more robust population estimates of the four reptile species to inform the development of the Reptile Mitigation Strategy (Ref. 1.22) which has been submitted as part of the ES (see Appendix 14C2A).
1.3.2 In 2015 and 2016, Arcadis carried out surveys of representative habitats suitable for reptiles across the site and the ZOI. In September 2014, and throughout the Summer of 2015, surveys were also carried out of the proposed reptile receptor sites. The aim of this was to determine, in accordance with the Reptile Mitigation Strategy, whether or not these sites remained suitable to receive translocated reptile populations (i.e. confirming that they did not already support an established population of reptiles). An additional reptile survey was also carried out by Arcadis in the Pillbox Field in 2015 as part of a separate study for the Sizewell B relocated facilities proposed development.
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## b) Method

## i. 2014 survey of selected receptor sites

1.3.3 Artificial refugia, in the form of squares of roofing felt (approximately 1 metre square $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ ), were deployed in locations considered to have the highest potential to support reptiles in three of the proposed reptile receptor sites (Kenton Hills, St. James Covert and Broom Covert). Refugia were deployed at a density of 5-10 per ha (or greater) in accordance with guidance produced by Froglife (Ref. 1.5).
1.3.4 Refugia were allowed to "bed in" for seven days before surveys commenced, to give sufficient time for discovery and utilisation by reptiles. Refugia were then checked regularly between 18 September and 15 October 2014. All refugia were checked, and the species, sex and age-class of any reptiles seen sheltering above and beneath the refugia were recorded.
1.3.5 As the surveys were commissioned late in the survey season, only seven visits were possible, targeted at the optimum recording period of September/ October 2014. Surveys of Broom Covert were requested slightly later than the other sites; therefore, this was not included within the first survey visit.
ii. 2015 reptile surveys to estimate population densities at donor and receptor sites
1.3.6 Reptile surveys, following standard techniques (Ref. 1.5; Ref. 1.11) using artificial refugia (including roof felt, and "Onduline" which is considered a better material for surveying for adder) were carried out in a representative sample of different donor site habitats and selected proposed receptor sites. The receptor site surveys were aimed at confirming low densities of reptiles on the latter. Figure 14A6.6 shows the locations of the survey sites, and their details, along with the numbers of surveys carried out at each site, are described in Table 1.5. Further details on these surveys are presented in Annex 14A6.4.
1.3.7 Surveys were carried out in five habitat types of varying suitability for reptiles within three areas of the proposal site (the main platform, Goose Hill and hedgerows/field margins in arable fields to the north of Kenton Hills). The following donor site habitats were surveyed (see Figure 14A6.6 for reptile survey locations):

- arable hedgerow margin (Area 1);
- conifer plantation at Goose Hill (Area 2);
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- ride habitat within Goose Hill plantation (Area 3);
scrub on southern edge of Goose Hill (Area 4);
main platform: open grassland/scrub habitat (Area 7); and
main platform: landscape plantation (Area 8).
1.3.8 In addition, the following proposed receptor sites were also surveyed:
- Kenton Hills: clear-fell habitat with natural heath and scrub regeneration (Area 5);
- St James Covert: clear-fell habitat with natural heath and scrub regeneration (Area 6); and
- Studio Field: an area of generally flat former arable land (sown to acid grassland in 2015), with a number of hedgerows surrounding the field (Area 9).

Table 1.5: Arcadis 2015 reptile survey areas.

| Site. | Site ID <br> (see <br> Figure <br> 14A6.6). |  | Refugia <br> Distribution. | Amount of Habitat. | No. of <br> Surveys. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arable hedgerow margin. | 1 | Length <br> (m). |  |  |  |
| Conifer plantation, <br> Goose Hill. | 2 | Linear along <br> field margin. | $0.24^{1}$ | 599 m | 34 |
| Ride habitat, Goose Hill. | 3 | Grid (10*10). | 1.0 | - | 30 |
| Scrub habitat, Goose Hill. | 4 | Linear along <br> rides. | $0.27^{1}$ | 675 m | 33 |
| Open grassland/ scrub <br> habitat, main platform. | 7 | Grid (~25*4). | 1.0 | - | 37 |
| Landscape plantation, <br> main platform. | 8 | Irregular | 0.7 | - | 32 |
| Clear-fell habitat, <br> Kenton Hills. | 5 | Grid (10*10). | 0.8 | - | 27 |
| Clear-fell habitat, <br> St. James Covert. | 6 | Grid (10*10). | 0.9 | - | 26 |
| Former arable land, <br> Studio Field. | 9 | Irregular within <br> reptile fencing. | 1.1 | - | 30 |
| 1 | Grid | 1.1 | - | 21 |  |

[^0]
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1.3.9 In each site, 100 reptile refugia were set out at approximate 10 m intervals in an area of approximately 1 ha. For the arable hedgerow margin and ride habitats, the refugia were arranged linearly (either side of the hedge or ride); in other sites the refugia were laid in a grid. Refugia were allowed to "bed in" for seven days before surveys commenced, to give sufficient time for discovery and utilisation by reptiles.
1.3.10 Surveys were undertaken from April to October 2015, with a reduced intensity of survey effort occurring in July and August 2015, as warm weather during this period reduces the requirement of reptiles to bask, therefore resulting in surveyors being less likely to encounter and catch them. Refugia were checked on a regular basis between one and two times per week, during early morning and late afternoon survey sessions.
1.3.11 The species, sex and age-class of any reptiles seen sheltering above or beneath the refugia were recorded. For adder and grass snake, photographic identification of characteristic scale patterns was also taken, as these techniques have been used successfully in Capture-MarkRecapture studies (Ref. 1.11) (see Annex 14A6.4 (iii)). For slow-worm and common lizard, their lack of distinct markings makes photographic identification difficult for Capture-Mark-Recapture studies, so population size classes (small, medium and large) were estimated following criteria set out in Froglife (Ref. 1.5).

## iii. 2016 surveys of Goodrums Fen (within Sizewell Marshes Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI))

1.3.12 Within the Goodrums Fens survey area (Area 10), 100 reptile tins were set out in suitable reptile habitat approximately 10 m apart, covering a noncontiguous area of 1 ha. Ten survey visits were carried out between March and June 2016, with an additional survey visit in August 2016. Photographic identification of characteristic scale patterns was also taken for snake species, for Capture-Mark-Recapture studies.

## iv. 2015 surveys of Pillbox Field

1.3.13 In addition to the surveys described above for the donor and receptor sites, reptile surveys were carried out in Pillbox Field to the south of Sizewell A and $B$ power stations, as this site was under consideration as a car-parking option for the Sizewell B relocated facilities proposed development (see Figure 14A6.6). This field comprises arable land with a strip of poor semi-improved grassland at its northern extent, and an area of mixed plantation and semi-natural broadleaved woodland on its eastern edge.
1.3.14 Forty-three reptile refugia were laid around the edge of this 7 ha field at approximately 10 m intervals, on 17 August 2015. These refugia were then
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checked regularly between 8 September and 20 October 2015, and any reptiles seen classified to species, sex and age class as described above.

## v. Estimating reptile population density from reptile survey counts and surveys of suitable habitat

1.3.15 Arcadis compared the numbers of reptiles recorded during survey work at the Galloper Wind Farm onshore substation facility with the numbers of reptiles subsequently translocated from the same area (Ref. 1.19; Ref. 1.20). Arcadis also compared survey results from direct observations of snakes with those obtained by Capture-Mark-Recapture data. In both cases, the aim was to obtain a comparison of reptile numbers seen by conventional field surveys with those found by translocation or Capture-Mark-Recapture studies.
1.3.16 A literature review was also carried out to provide figures for typical densities of reptiles in good and exceptional habitats in the UK.
1.3.17 Phase 1 habitat surveys maps and aerial photographs were examined to calculate the areas of suitable habitat (similar to that in which the detailed surveys were carried out in 2015) for reptiles across the site and the wider study area. This was done for five broad habitat areas across the site in which the intensive 2015 reptile surveys were carried out.
1.3.18 The density estimates from the 2015 surveys, population comparisons from different survey methodologies, and measurements of the areas of good habitat from maps and aerial photographs, were then combined to provide estimates of the densities of reptiles over the site.
c) Results
i. 2014 survey of selected receptor sites
1.3.19 Four compartments within Kenton Hills and the interior of St. James Covert were clear-felled in 2008 and 2010 respectively, and now, therefore, comprise areas of natural heath and scrub regeneration surrounded by reptile-proof fencing. Broom Covert is an area of lowland acid grassland/gorse scrub mosaic, which has historically been grazed heavily during the Winter months, resulting in a short (less than 5 cm ) sward (note that grazing ceased at Broom Covert in Spring 2016 when the cattle were removed).
1.3.20 Small numbers of reptiles were recorded at all three sites within the survey period. Common lizard and slow-worm were recorded in all areas, while adder was only observed within the receptor site at Kenton Hills. Full survey details are presented in Annex 14A6.4. In brief these were: common lizard (seven sightings with a maximum of two adults per visit), slow-worm (seven
sightings with a maximum of two adults per visit) and adder (two sightings with a maximum of one sub-adult per visit).

## ii. 2015 reptile surveys to estimate population densities at donor and receptor sites

1.3.21 A summary of the reptile survey results is presented in Table 1.6, recording the maximum number of individual reptiles of each species seen on any one survey occasion. Full survey results are presented in Annex 14A6.4.
1.3.22 With regard to Capture-Mark-Recapture results for snakes, 54 adders and 27 grass snakes were caught and photographed for individual identification. Of these, 39 adders were different individuals ( 12 males, 23 females and 4 juveniles), and 20 grass snakes were different individuals.
1.3.23 Population estimates for adder at Area 4 (scrub habitat, Goose Hill), Area 7 (open grassland/scrub habitat, main platform), and Area 5 (clear fell habitat Kenton Hills), and for grass snake at Area 1 (arable hedgerow margins), were based on Capture-Mark-Recapture analysis, as there was sufficient data to enable the calculation to be carried out.
1.3.24 Capture-Mark-Recapture data from snake recaptures could not be used to predict population sizes in any of the other capture areas. For these areas, population estimates were made using the total numbers of different adult individuals caught, based on criteria in Froglife (Ref. 1.5).
1.3.25 For the donor sites, the highest catch numbers for both snake species were in the scrub habitat in Goose Hill and open grass/scrub habitat in the main platform, along with the arable margin habitat (primarily in the Autumn) for grass snake. These habitats provide the mix of open areas (for basking) and sheltered areas (for cover) that reptiles prefer. Grass snakes are often associated with wetland habitats, but can be found in many other habitats, including grassland and farmland (Ref. 1.23). The arable margins may form part of the grass snake habitat (providing small mammal prey to supplement their primarily amphibian diet) and may act as corridors when leaving and returning to hibernation sites. Both the scrub margins to Goose Hill and open grassland within the main platform provide sunny areas for basking adder located next to dense cover (bracken and scrub) and are also likely to support good populations of prey. Survey for reptile prey items (Ref. 1.23) indicate that both these areas support moderate numbers of small mammals, and good numbers of common lizard (prey for snakes).
1.3.26 Slow-worm numbers were highest in the arable margin habitat, high in both the scrub and ride habitats in Goose Hill, less common in the open grass/scrub habitat in the main platform, and low in (or absent from) the plantation habitats. It is likely that the arable margins, being well
established, provide abundant worms, slugs and other slow-worm prey items.
1.3.27 Common lizard numbers were highest in the open grass/scrub habitat in the main platform but were less common in the ride and scrub habitats of Goose Hill, and were absent from the arable margins. All of these areas provide sunny areas for basking, and a varied vegetation heterogeneity with short and bare patches as well as areas of dense cover. Common lizard will catch invertebrate prey in open sunny patches, retreating to cover when disturbed. The survey for reptile prey items (Ref. 1.23) indicates that these areas do indeed support moderate numbers of invertebrate prey.
1.3.28 Seasonal variation in the proportion of different reptile species caught was noted and is explored in greater detail in Annex 14A6.4.
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Table 1.6. Maximum numbers of adult reptiles found per survey visit and population age class estimation for each of the six donor sites and three receptor sites ${ }^{1}$.

| Site. | Site ID. | Common Lizard. |  | Slow-worm. |  | Adder. |  | Grass Snake. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Maximum <br> Number of Adults. | Population Score. | Maximum Number of Adults. | Population Score. | Maximum <br> Number of Adults. | Population Score. | Maximum Number of Adults. | Population Score. |
| Donor sites. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arable hedgerow margin. | 1 | 0 | Low | 7 | Good | 0 | Low | 2 | Low |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $6{ }^{1}$ | Good |
| Conifer plantation, Goose Hill. | 2 | 1 | Low | 1 | Low | 0 | Low | 1 | Low |
| Ride habitat, Goose Hill. | 3 | 2 | Low | 5 | Good | 0 | Low | 1 | Low |
| Scrub habitat, Goose Hill. | 4 | 7 | Good | 15 | Good | 4 | Low | 2 | Low |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $22^{1}$ | Exceptional |  |  |
| Open grassland/ scrub habitat, main platform. | 7 | 11 | Good | 6 | Good | 4 | Low | 0 | Low |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $22^{1}$ | Exceptional |  |  |
| Landscape plantation, main platform. | 8 | 4 | Low | 0 | Low | 1 | Low | 0 | Low |
| Receptor sites. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clear fell habitat, | 5 | 3 | Low | 4 | Low | 2 | Low | 1 | Low |

${ }^{1}$ Capture-Mark-Recapture data is included for adder at three sites and grass snake at one site, marked 1 .
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|  |  | Common Lizard. |  | Slow-worm. |  | Adder. |  | Grass Snake. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site. | Site ID. | Maximum Number of Adults. | Population Score. | Maximum Number of Adults. | Population Score. | Maximum Number of Adults. | Population Score. | Maximum Number of Adults. | Population Score. |
| Kenton Hills. |  |  |  |  |  | $5^{1}$ | Good |  |  |
| Clear fell habitat, St. James. | 6 | 1 | Low | 8 | Good | 1 | Low | 1 | Low |
| Former arable land, Studio Field. | 9 | 2 | Low | 0 | Low | 1 | Low | 0 | Low |

${ }^{1}$ Estimate by Capture-Mark-Recapture of adder at Area 4 and Area 7, and grass snake at Area 1. EDERGY
1.3.29 Reptile surveys of suitable habitat were carried out by Wood Group (formerly Entec and Amec Foster Wheeler) in 2007, 2008 and 2012 (Ref. 1.7, Ref. 1.8, Ref. 1.9, Ref. 1.10) within the site. The detailed methodology, timings and results of these surveys are presented in these Wood Group reports, and the detailed methodology and results are presented in Annex 14A6.3. The methods applied followed guidance provided in Gent and Gibson (Ref. 1.11) and Froglife (Ref. 1.5). Locations of the survey area and the artificial refugia used on the site are shown on Figure 14A6.1.
1.3.30 The 2007 survey of the site (Ref. 1.7) aimed to establish whether reptiles were present and if so, the size of the species' populations. During the survey, 163 artificial refugia were checked, as well as naturally occurring refugia, between June and October 2007. Survey effort was concentrated on the optimal months of September and October 2007.
1.3.31 In 2008 (Ref. 1.8), a reptile survey was carried out ahead of car parkrelated building work within and immediately adjacent to the existing Sizewell B power station. Forty artificial refugia were laid out across approximately 0.5 ha of suitable reptile habitat, and visits made between mid-September and early October 2008.
1.3.32 A reptile survey was undertaken in 2012 (Ref. 1.10) of suitable habitat to the north and west of the existing Sizewell B power station, including Coronation Wood. Fifty-five artificial refugia were checked, as well as naturally occurring refugia, on visits made between August and early October 2012.
1.3.33 Wood Group (Ref. 1.12) also carried out reptile surveys at Aldhurst Farm, surveying 5ha of suitable habitat within what was at the time 67ha of arable farmland.

## iii. Other secondary data

1.3.34 Table 1.7 and Table 1.8 present density estimates from the 2015 Arcadis surveys, by site and species respectively. Mean density figures for all the donor sites (ID 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8) give "good" populations of all four species, following Froglife criteria (Ref. 1.5).

Table 1.7: Average population density for the reptile sites.

| Site. | ID. | Common <br> Lizard <br> Density/ha. | Slow-worm <br> Density/ha. | Adder <br> Density/ha. | Grass <br> Snake <br> Density/ha. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | 0 | 29.2 | 0 | 8.3 |
|  |  | - | - | - | 25.01 |
| Conifer plantation, Goose Hill. | 2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0 | 1.0 |
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| Site. | ID. | Common <br> Lizard <br> Density/ha. | Slow-worm <br> Density/ha. | Adder <br> Density/ha. | Grass <br> Snake <br> Density/ha. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ride habitat, Goose Hill. |  | 7.4 | 18.5 | 0 | 3.7 |
| Scrub habitat, Goose Hill. | 4 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 |
|  |  | - | - | $22.4^{1}$ | - |
| Open grassland/scrub habitat, <br> main platform. | 7 | 15.7 | 8.6 | 5.7 | 0 |
| Landscape plantation, <br> main platform. |  | - | $32.0^{1}$ | - |  |
| Clear fell habitat, Kenton Hills. | 5 | 5.3 .0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 |
| Clear fell habitat, St. James. |  | 0.9 | 4.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Estimate by Capture-Mark-Recapture of adder at Area 4, Area 5 and Area 7, and grass snake at Area 1.

Table 1.8: Mean density estimates of adult reptiles for the donor sites (Area IDs 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8). Capture-Mark-Recapture data is also included for adder and grass snake.

|  | Common Lizard. |  | Slow-Worm. |  | Adder. |  | Grass Snake. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max. <br> Adult <br> Count. | Density / <br> ha. | Max. <br> Adult <br> Count. | Density/ <br> ha. | Max. <br> Adult <br> Count. | Density <br> ha. | Max. <br> Adult <br> Count. | Density <br> /ha. |
| Mean donor <br> site density. | 4.2 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 12.1 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 2.7 |
| Mean donor <br> site density |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| using <br> Capture- <br> Mark- <br> Recapture. | - | - | - | - | - | 9.3 | - | 6.1 |

d) 2016 Surveys of Goodrums Fen
1.3.35 Table 1.9 details the maximum number of each species found per survey within the Goodrums Fen survey area. An evaluation of the size and importance of reptile populations was made using criteria set out in Froglife (Ref. 1.5).
1.3.36 Using Capture-Mark-Recapture, four grass snakes were caught and photographed for individual identification, all of which were different individuals. Population estimates of grass snake within this area therefore could be made using the Capture-Mark-Recapture model. Insufficient
numbers of different adder were caught for Capture-Mark-Recapture analysis.

Table 1.9: 2016 summary reptile survey results at Goodrum's Fen.

| Species. | Maximum Number per Survey <br> (Equivalent to Density/ha). | Population Score. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Common lizard. | 5 | Good |
| Slow-worm. | 16 | Good |
| Grass snake. | 2 | Low |
| Adder. | 5 | Good |

1.3.37 Table 1.9 shows "good" populations of adder, common lizard and slowworm estimated within Goodrums Fen, and a "low" population score estimated for grass snake.
e) 2015 surveys of Pillbox Field
1.3.38 Small populations of all four reptile species were recorded within the survey period, with a maximum of two adult common lizard per visit, two adult slow-worm per visit, one juvenile adder and one sub-adult grass snake. Full survey details are presented in Annex 14A6.4.

## f) Estimating reptile population density from reptile survey counts

1.3.39 Methods of population assessment are basic in nature (Ref. 1.5), and a survey is only ever likely to reveal a fraction of the population present. In the following assessments, a range of methodologies have been used to estimate reptile population densities.
1.3.40 From the Galloper Wind Farm surveys, it was possible to compare the numbers of reptiles of each species caught during the translocation exercise with the previous population density estimate from tinning surveys. This gives a ratio indicating the extent to which observational field surveys underestimate the number of individual reptiles present. The ratio for reptiles as a whole is $5: 1$. For the individual species, the ratios are:

```
- common lizard - 3:1;
- slow-worm - 10:1;
- adder-2:1; and
- grass snake-3:1.
```

1.3.41 In 2015, Arcadis carried out a Capture-Mark-Recapture study (see paragraphs 1.3.6 to 1.3.11, and Annex 14A6.4). Comparing maximum numbers of adder seen on any one survey day with the Capture-MarkRecapture data, there was an approximate five-fold increase in the density estimate from Capture-Mark-Recapture. For grass snake, the equivalent figure was a three-fold increase.
1.3.42 In Arcadis's experience (based on previous project work), as many as ten times the maximum numbers of reptiles recorded in surveys may subsequently be captured during a translocation exercise. Arcadis has also carried out a web-based review of other reptile translocation projects to compare the number of reptiles identified by the survey with the actual number caught and moved. The results vary considerably (and are clearly influenced by the effectiveness, or otherwise, of the survey), and suggest that survey work can under-estimate the actual population of reptiles by a factor of between 4 and 23.
1.3.43 Figures for typical densities of reptiles in good and exceptional habitats in the UK (from two recognised sources) are detailed in Table 1.10.

Table 1.10: Density estimates for reptile species in the UK.

| Froglife (Ref. 1.5); Foster \& Gent (Ref. 1.24)1. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species. | Low Population. | Good Population. | Exceptional Population. |
| Slow-worm. | <5 | 5-20 | >20 |
| Common lizard. | <5 | 5-20 | >20 |
| Adder. | <5 | 5-10 | $>10$ |
| Grass snake. | <5 | 5-10 | >10 |
| Species. | Source of Information. | Species. | Simplified Estimate. |
|  | Beebee and Griffiths (Ref. 1.25). | RAUK (Ref. 1.26). |  |
| Common lizard. | Good: 100/ha. <br> Exceptional: 400-800/ha. | 240/ha. | Good: 200/ha. |
| Slow-worm. | Good: 600/ha. Exceptional: 2100/ha. | Good: 600/ha. Exceptional: 1,000-2,000. | Good: 600/ha. Exceptional: 1,000/ha. |
| Adder. | Good: 1-10/ha. Exceptional: 94/ha. | 20/ha. | Good: 20/ha. Exceptional: 100/ha. |
| Grass snake. | 3/ha. | 20/ha. | Good: 10/ha. |

${ }^{1}$ Figures refer to maximum number of adults seen by observation and/or under tins (placed at a density of up to 10/ha) by one person in one day, or numbers presumed from long-term monitoring/reliable historical records.
1.3.44 Table 1.11 presents information on the area of good habitat in the five broad habitat areas across the site, as determined from the Phase 1 habitat
survey maps and aerial photographs and the results for 2015 and 2016 surveys. The 2015 and 2016 reptile surveys were carried out in what was considered the most typical habitat types that would be affected by the proposed development.
1.3.45 The density estimates from the reptile surveys are considered to be most accurate for adder, given that the 2015 surveys were able to use statistically appropriate Capture-Mark-Recapture data for two of the donor sites (and specifically sites judged to be potentially "good" for adder). For grass snake, the Capture-Mark-Recapture data for 2015 was only statistically appropriate at one site (arable field margin), and this is a habitat judged to be not representative of good grass snake habitat.
1.3.46 The density estimates in Table 1.11 are multiplied by the amount of good habitat in each of the five broad habitat areas, to give a population estimate for each species over the site, applying the species-specific mark-ups from the Galloper Wind Farm survey above (as these are likely to be the most representative figures to use given the proximity of the site). The estimated numbers of reptiles are:

```
common lizard - 1,869;
slow-worm - 7,441;
adder - 1,566; and
grass snake - 970.
```
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Table 1.11: Population estimates for reptiles in five broad habitat areas across the site, from 2015-2016 surveys.

| Broad Habitat Area. | Habitat Type. | Area (ha) of Habitat Type. | Typical Densities/ha from 2015-16 Surveys. |  |  |  | Estimated Numbers of Reptiles in Habitat to be Lost. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Common Lizard. | Slow-worm. | Adder. | Grass Snake. | Common Lizard. | Slowworm. | Adder. | Grass Snake. |
| Main platform, Coronation Wood and adjacent hard standing. | Grassland/ scattered scrub. | 20.1 | 15.7 | 8.6 | 32.0 | 0 | 316 | 173 | 643 | 0 |
|  | Landscape plantation. | 15.7 | 5.0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Goose Hill/Kenton Hills complex. | Conifer plantation. | 83.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0 | 1.0 | 84 | 84 | 0 | 84 |
|  | Ride. | 3.8 | 7.4 | 18.5 | 0 | 3.7 | 28 | 70 | 0 | 14 |
|  | Scrub. | 2.8 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 22.4 | 2.0 | 42 | 20 | 63 | 6 |
|  | Clear fell (based on survey Area 5). | 3.9 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 1.1 | 13 | 17 | 22 | 4 |
| Northern arable fields, temporary accommodation campus area, land north of Lovers Lane and Ash Wood. | Arable margin. | 5.0 | 0 | 29.2 | 0 | 25.0 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 125 |
| Sizewell Marshes SSSI. | Goodrum's Fen. | 5.9 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 30 | 94 | 30 | 12 |
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| Broad Habitat Area. | Habitat Type. | Area (ha) of Habitat Type. | Typical Densities/ha from 2015-16 Surveys. |  |  |  | Estimated Numbers of Reptiles in Habitat to be Lost. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Common Lizard. | Slow-worm. | Adder. | Grass <br> Snake. | Common Lizard. | Slowworm. | Adder. | Grass Snake. |
| Southern arable fields/acid grassland complex. | Arable margin. | 2.6 | 0 | 29.2 | 0 | 25.0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 65 |
|  | Grassland Pillbox Field ${ }^{2}$. | 7.0 | 4.5 | 7.8 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 32 | 55 | 4 | 13 |
|  | Clear fell (based on survey Area 6). | 1.3 | 0.9 | 7.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| Total. |  | 152 |  |  |  |  | 623 | 744 | 783 | 323 |
| Total with mark up. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,869 | 7,441 | 1,566 | 970 |

## NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

### 1.4 Baseline conditions - reptile features and their importance

a) Introduction
1.4.1 This section describes the reptile baseline and assigns an ecological value to each of the reptile features identified. This assessment is then used, in conjunction with a description of the extent and magnitude of the predicted impacts of the scheme, to carry out the detailed EclA presented in Volume 2, Chapter 14 of the ES.
1.4.2 To comply with both the CIEEM Guidelines for EcIA (Ref. 1.4) and with the standard EIA methodology used elsewhere within the ES, both methodologies have been used to assess the reptiles within the ZOI. Full details of both assessment methodologies are presented in Volume 1, Chapter 6 and Appendix 14A1 - Introduction to the Ecological Baseline.
1.4.3 In addition, the reptile assemblage as a whole is assessed in relation to Key Reptile Site status (i.e. separately from the CIEEM IEF assessment process).

### 1.5 Description and assessment of ecological features

1.5.1 Surveys carried out by Wood Group recorded regular observations of all four common reptile species (adder, grass snake, common lizard and slowworm) including adults, sub-adults and juveniles. It was observed that species distribution varied for both common lizard (recorded more frequently within the mosaic of scrub and rough grassland to the north of Sizewell B power station) and slow-worm (recorded more frequently within the rides and clearings of the mixed coniferous woodland plantations of Kenton Hills and Goose Hill/Dunwich Forest), but no clear habitat preference was identified for adder or grass snake. Based on Froglife criteria (Ref. 1.5), there was: a "good" population size of common lizard; an "exceptional" population size of slow-worm; an "exceptional" population size of adder; and a "good" population size of grass snake.
1.5.2 Further surveys carried out by Arcadis involved surveys of all representative habitats suitable for reptiles, notably arable hedgerow margin, conifer plantation, ride, scrub, the part of Sizewell Marshes SSSI to be lost to the development (Goodrums Fen), open grassland/scrub habitat on the main platform, and landscape plantation on the main platform. Within the site, mean population density estimates were calculated as follows: slow-worm 12.1 per ha, common lizard 6.0 per ha, adder 9.3 per ha, and grass snake 6.1 per ha.
1.5.3 Estimating reptile populations for a given area is far from simple, and considerable caution should be exercised when interpreting these figures. In the following assessments, the uncertainty has been reduced as far as
possible by using the range of methodologies to estimate reptile population densities outlined in section 3.
1.5.4 Each reptile species has slightly different habitat and prey preferences, and these are outlined in Table 1.12 as this information is relevant to the following assessments of the importance of the EDF Energy Estate for reptiles. All species favour edge habitat (i.e. the interface between shorter and longer vegetation), as this provides basking sites in close proximity to the safety provided by cover. Each reptile species is evaluated below.

Table 1.12: Species-specific habitat requirements (after Edgar et al. (Ref. 1.23)) and how these are met within and adjacent to the site.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Species } & \text { Habitat Requirement. } & \text { Diet } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Good Habitat in and Next } \\ \text { to Site. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Common } \\ \text { lizard. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Sunny areas with structurally- } \\ \text { diverse vegetation cover } \\ \text { (particularly a range of heights of } \\ \text { vegetation). Abundant grass } \\ \text { tussocks provide food, shelter, } \\ \text { basking, and hibernation sites. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { A range of soft- } \\ \text { bodied } \\ \text { invertebrate prey. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Scrub habitat at Goose Hill } \\ \text { and open grassland/scrub } \\ \text { habitat on the main platform. } \\ \text { Coastal scrub and } \\ \text { heath habitat. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Slow-worm. } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Tolerate a broader range of } \\ \text { habitats than other lizard } \\ \text { species. Require dense } \\ \text { vegetation (especially grasses) } \\ \text { with sunny areas for basking } \\ \text { and loose soil to burrow in. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Soft-bodied } \\ \text { invertebrates, } \\ \text { especially slugs } \\ \text { and worms. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Arable hedgerow margin, } \\ \text { Goose Hill ride habitat, open } \\ \text { grassland/scrub habitat on } \\ \text { the main platform and the } \\ \text { Goose Hill scrub habitat. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Adder. } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Dry, open sunny areas with } \\ \text { adjacent dense ground cover. } \\ \text { Hibernation sites tend to be } \\ \text { south-facing slopes, tree root } \\ \text { systems, crevices in banks, and } \\ \text { voids in piled materials. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Small mammals, } \\ \text { especially voles, } \\ \text { along with } \\ \text { lizards, nestling } \\ \text { birds and frogs. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Scrub margins to Goose Hill } \\ \text { and the open grassland } \\ \text { within the main platform. } \\ \text { Good connectivity with }\end{array} \\ \text { RSPB Minsmere Reserve. }\end{array}\right\}$
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## a) Feature: adder <br> i. Description

1.5.5 Adder in Suffolk is distributed along the coast and in the north-west of the county (Ref. 1.27). This species is considered to be particularly common on the Sandlings Heath, the fragmented heathland of 2,000ha along the Suffolk coast from Ipswich to Southwold. According to the Agricultural Development and Advisory Service (Ref. 1.28), this area is a national stronghold for adder, and is of at least regional-level importance given the geographical continuity and size of the population.
1.5.6 Although there have been declines in the populations of all four common reptile species in the UK in the last few decades, primarily as a result of habitat loss, declines have been more severe in adder populations. Adder is more restricted in habitat preferences, and thus more vulnerable to human-induced habitat changes (Ref. 1.24).
1.5.7 English Nature (Ref. 1.29) reported evidence of declines in the status nationally of adder populations, particularly in the Midlands. A third of adder populations recorded consisted of fewer than ten adults, and a third of sites were considered isolated.
1.5.8 The National Amphibian and Reptile Recording Scheme results (Ref. 1.30) recorded adder in only $7 \%$ of sample plots studied, and concluded it was the rarest of the widespread reptile species. Historically, the reasons for this apparent decline may have been due largely to persecution, whereas habitat isolation and loss are likely to be more significant in recent times.
1.5.9 According to SWT, the major threat to adder is habitat loss and fragmentation (Ref. 1.31). The adders' habitat needs are complex; areas are needed for basking, feeding and mating. Cover from predators and good hibernation sites are also critical to survival. Adder is often restricted to habitat islands, and inbreeding can make this species genetically vulnerable to environmental change and disease, so linking habitat is crucial to its conservation.
1.5.10 A review of the Suffolk BAP (Ref. 1.3) and Suffolk's Priority Species and Habitats list (Ref. 1.6) identified adder as a priority species. In addition, adder is listed under Section 41 of the NERC Act.

## ii. Assessment

1.5.11 Given that the adder:

- is legally protected;
- is listed under Suffolk's Priority Species and Habitats list (Ref. 1.6) and Section 41 of the NERC Act;
- is under threat in the UK, particularly from habitat loss and isolation of populations, and that populations in the UK are declining;
- has a population stronghold in the Suffolk coastal habitat (including the EDF Energy Estate), and has good quality habitat within parts of the EDF Energy Estate;
- has population estimates classified as either "good" or "exceptional" on the EDF Energy Estate;
then the population of this species located within the ZOI would be:
- an IEF at the regional level under the CIEEM guidelines (Ref. 1.4); and
- of medium importance following the EIA-specific assessment methodology.
1.5.12 However, as the reptile assemblage as a whole is also considered to be an IEF (see section 1.1d)), to avoid "double counting" it is the reptile assemblage rather than the adder as an individual species that is taken forward as the IEF in the detailed impact assessment.
b) Feature: grass snake


## i. Description

1.5.13 Lack of systematic monitoring and high mobility make it difficult to determine the conservation status of grass snake. This species is still relatively abundant in some parts of Britain, but there have been severe declines in other areas, notably where egg-laying and foraging sites have disappeared (Ref. 1.24). National Amphibian and Reptile Recording Scheme survey results (Ref. 1.30) recorded grass snake in $22 \%$ of sample plots studied.
1.5.14 According to SWT, the loss of grassland and wetland habitats through human activity threatens the survival of reptiles including grass snake (Ref. 1.32).
1.5.15 A review of the Suffolk BAP (Ref. 1.3) and Suffolk's Priority Species and Habitats list (Ref. 1.6) identified grass snake as a priority species. In addition, grass snake is listed under Section 41 of the NERC Act.

## ii. Assessment

1.5.16 Given that the grass snake:

- is legally protected;
- is listed under Suffolk's Priority Species and Habitats list (Ref. 1.6) and Section 41 of the NERC Act;
- is still relatively abundant (and less threatened than adder populations) in the UK;
- has good quality habitat available to it within the EDF Energy Estate;
- has population estimates classified as "good" on the EDF Energy Estate;
then the population of this species located within the ZOI would be:
- an IEF at the county level under the CIEEM guidelines (Ref. 1.4); and
- of medium importance following the ElA-specific assessment methodology.
1.5.17 However, as the reptile assemblage as a whole is also considered to be an IEF (see section 1.1d)), to avoid "double counting" it is the reptile assemblage rather than the grass snake as an individual species that is taken forward as the IEF in the detailed impact assessment.
c) Feature: lizard (common lizard and slow-worm)


## i. Description

1.5.18 Common lizard and slow-worm are frequently recorded in Suffolk and are distributed along the coast and county boundaries (Ref. 1.29). Slow-worm is also widely distributed in England, Scotland and Wales (Ref. 1.24); however, populations tend to be smaller and more patchily distributed in the north, and this species is most abundant in southern England. Slow-worm is the most common reptile in the British Isles, although, like all species, it has suffered declines in recent decades due to loss of suitable habitat. According to SWT, slow-worm, along with all other species of reptile, has declined, largely as a result of habitat loss (Ref. 1.33).
1.5.19 The National Amphibian and Reptile Recording Scheme results (Ref. 1.30) recorded common lizard in $35 \%$ of sample plots studied, and slow-worm in $22 \%$ of sample plots.
1.5.20 A review of the Suffolk BAP (Ref. 1.3) and Suffolk's Priority Species and Habitats list (Ref. 1.6) identified common lizard and slow-worm as priority species. In addition, both are included within Section 41 of the NERC Act (Ref. 1.2).

## ii. Assessment

1.5.21 Given that the common lizard and slow-worm:

- have limited legal protection;
- are listed under Suffolk's Priority Species and Habitats list (Ref. 1.6) and Section 41 of the NERC Act;
- have good quality habitat available to them within parts of the EDF Energy Estate;
- have population estimates classified as "good" for common lizard and "good/exceptional" for slow-worm on the EDF Energy Estate; but
- are the two most common reptile species in the UK (with common lizard especially common and widespread in the Suffolk coastal habitat);
then the population of these two species located within the ZOI would be.
- an IEF at the local level under the CIEEM guidelines (Ref. 1.4); and
- of low importance following the EIA-specific assessment methodology.
1.5.22 However, as the reptile assemblage as a whole is also considered to be an IEF (see section 1.1d)), to avoid "double counting" it is the reptile assemblage rather than the common lizard and slow-worm as individual species that are taken forward as the IEF in the detailed impact assessment.
d) Feature: reptile assemblage as a whole
1.5.23 Froglife (Ref. 1.5) present criteria for assessment of a Key Reptile Site. To qualify, the site in question must meet at least one of the following criteria:
- supports three or more reptile species;
- supports two snake species;
- supports an exceptional population of one species;
supports an assemblage of species scoring at least 4; and
does not satisfy the previous criteria but which is of particular regional importance due to local rarity.
1.5.24 The site and its ZOI would constitute a Key Reptile Site as it fulfils all of the first four criteria (see Table 1.13).

Table 1.13: Assessment of a Key Reptile Site based on Wood Group and Arcadis data.

| Species. | Wood Group Data. |  | Arcadis Data. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population <br> Assessment. | Score. | Density/ha. | Score. |
| Adder. | Exceptional | 3 | 9.3 | 2 |
| Grass snake. | Good | 2 | 6.1 | 2 |
| Common lizard. | Good | 2 | 6.0 | 2 |
| Slow-worm. | Exceptional | 3 | 12.1 | 2 |
| Total score. |  | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{8}$ |

1.5.25 The Suffolk amphibian and reptile atlas (Ref. 1.27) states that the Brecks and Sandlings areas of Suffolk contain large tracts of important reptile habitat, which is becoming increasingly scarce in lowland Britain.
1.5.26 Therefore, the reptile assemblage as a whole would be:

- an IEF at the regional level under the CIEEM guidelines (Ref. 1.4); and
- of medium importance following the ElA-specific assessment methodology.


### 1.6 Summary of ecological features/receptors

1.6.1 Following a review of the known baseline within the ZOI, Table 1.14 lists the ecological features/receptors and identifies which will be carried forward into the detailed assessment. Those carried forward are IEFs of sufficient conservation value that will be sufficiently affected by the proposed development to be a material consideration in the planning determination.

Table 1.14: Summary of reptile IEFs describing to be taken forward for detailed assessment.

| Feature/ Receptor. | Importance (CIEEM/EIA Methodology). | Justification. | Scope In/Out. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reptile assemblage. | Regional/medium. | All four species of reptile recorded on the site and within its ZOI (adder, common lizard, grass snake and slow-worm) have population assessments of "good" or "exceptional" on the site and this reptile assemblage constitute a Key Reptile Site. All four native widespread reptile species have experienced declines during recent decades, primarily due to habtiat loss and fragmentation, and the Sandlings area of Suffolk contain large tracts of important reptile habitat, which is becoming increasingly scarce in lowland Britain. The reptile assemblage within the ZOI would be suceptable to habitat loss and incidental mortality. <br> Four native reptile species (adder, common lizard, grass snake and slow-worm) are recorded as priority species on Suffolk's Priority Species and Habitats list (Ref. 1.6) and as species of principal importance Section 41 of the NERC Act. <br> The reptile assemblage has therefore been scoped into the detailed assessment. | Scoped in. |
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## 1. Desk Study Results for Reptiles

1.1.1 Records for reptiles were requested from Suffolk Biodiversity Information Service (SBIS) in 2014 and 2018 for protected or otherwise notable species of conservation concern within 2 km of the Sizewell C power station at the main development site (referred to throughout this volume as the "proposed development"). Records collected by the Suffolk Amphibian and Reptile Group and Suffolk Wildlife Trust (SWT) are provided to SBIS.
1.1.2 The locations of all designated sites (statutory and non-statutory) within 2 km of the proposed development site were also obtained. Citations for these sites, which provide information on the reasons for their designation, were reviewed to ascertain whether or not reptiles are cited as interest features of these sites.
1.1.3 The Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) (1.1), Suffolk's Priority Species and Habitats list (1.2), and the habitats and species of principal importance included on the Section 41 list of the Natural Environment and Communities (NERC) Act (1.3), were also reviewed with reference to any amphibians present, or likely to be present, within the proposed development site and the wider study area.
1.1.4 The following table presents the desk-study results for reptiles.
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Table 1.1: Desk study results for reptiles.

| Species | Location | Site Detail | Grid Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year | Abundance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slow-worm (Anguis fragilis) | Thorpeness |  | TM47676039 | 1.623642814 | 52.18563553 | 2016 |  |
| Slow-worm | Dunwich |  | TM4767 | 1.618684587 | 52.24525069 | 2015 |  |
| Slow-worm | Leiston | Sandy Lane | TM461633 | 1.602835246 | 52.2124515 | 2012 |  |
| Slow-worm | Sizewell | Sizewell dunes | TM475625 | 1.622701889 | 52.20464584 | 2013 | 1 Count |
| Slow-worm | Leiston |  | TM447618 | 1.581299375 | 52.1996141 | 2012 | 7 Count |
| Slow-worm | Leiston | Sandy Lane | TM460632 | 1.601301747 | 52.21159878 | 2012 |  |
| Slow-worm | Leiston | Sandy Lane | TM462633 | 1.60429608 | 52.21240684 | 2012 |  |
| Slow-worm | Sizewell |  | TM475624 | 1.622628819 | 52.20374849 | 2012 |  |
| Slow-worm | Leiston | Field off Sandy Lane | TM461632 | 1.602762556 | 52.21155413 | 2011 |  |
| Slow-worm | Sizewell | Ivy Cottages | TM472619 | 1.617882395 | 52.19939634 | 2010 |  |
| Slow-worm | Minsmere B. R. | Compt 46: East Scrape (Centroid) path to east hide | TM475667 | 1.625774263 | 52.24233412 | 2009 |  |
| Slow-worm | Minsmere B. R. | Compt 55 (Centroid) | TM467670 | 1.614298906 | 52.24538522 | 2009 |  |
| Slow-worm | Minsmere B. R. | Minsmere Meadow Marsh Compt 40 | TM446674 | 1.583887777 | 52.24991184 | 2008 |  |
| Slow-worm | Minsmere B. R. | Minsmere, Compt 47 West Scrape centroid | TM474667 | 1.624312481 | 52.24237906 | 2006 |  |
| Slow-worm | Dower House | Dower House | TM475619 | 1.62226353 | 52.19926177 | 2005 |  |
| Slow-worm | Minsmere B. R. | Minsmere | TM4667 | 1.604065517 | 52.24569848 | 2005 |  |
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| Species | Location | Site Detail | Grid Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Cemetery Lane |  |
| Grass snake | Leiston | TM447618 |  |
| Grass snake | Sizewell | TM472619 Cottages |  |
| Grass snake | Minsmere B. R. | Compt 59: The Dunes <br> (Centroid) | TM476653 |
| Grass snake | Leiston | Sandy Lane/Rookyard Wood <br> Con. Area | TM464633 |
| Grass snake | Leiston | Compt 87: 1st Fen Marsh <br> (Centroid) | TM465659 |
| Grass snake | Lldringham-cum-Thorpe | Field off Sandy Lane | TM461632 Aldringham Church |
| Grass snake | Leiston | TM452603 |  |
| Grass snake | Leiston | Wood Farm, Westward Ho | TM437631 |
| Grass snake | Minsmere B. R. | Garden of The Long House <br> IP16 4DX | TM443619 |
| Grass snake | The Scrape: Compts 46-48 <br> (Centroid) | TM475666 |  |
| Grass snake | Minsmere B. R. | Compts 1-107 (Centroid): <br> Whole Reserve 06/2004 to <br> pres | TM460672 |
| Grass snake | Minsmere B. R. | Compt 95: Whinny Hill Skirts <br> (Centroid) | TM469654 |
| Grass snake | TM4653 |  |  |
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Location $\quad$ Site Detail $\quad$ Grid Reference | Longitude |
| :--- |

| Species | Location | Site Detail | Grid Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year | Abundance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Centroid) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adder | Aldringham Common and Walks/Thorpeness Golf Course | Margaret Wood, Aldringham Walks | TM466607 | 1.608246881 | 52.18889669 | 2009 | 1 Count |
| Adder | Aldringham Common and Walks/Thorpeness Golf Course | Aldringham Walks | TM462610 | 1.60262451 | 52.19176747 | 2009 |  |
| Adder | Eastbridge | Minsmere New Cut west of bridge | TM452663 | 1.591862102 | 52.23977382 | 2009 |  |
| Adder | Aldringham Common and Walks/Thorpeness Golf Course | Square Covert, Aldringham Walks | TM464616 | 1.605981039 | 52.19706233 | 2009 | 1 Count |
| Adder | Minsmere B. R. | Compt 33 (Centroid) | TM466667 | 1.612618083 | 52.24273798 | 2009 |  |
| Adder | Leiston | By footpath near Round House | TM455651 | 1.595376055 | 52.22887174 | 2009 |  |
| Adder | Minsmere B. R. | Minsmere Beach Bridleway Compts 49 \& 59 | TM477665 | 1.628551242 | 52.24044951 | 2008 |  |
| Adder | Minsmere B. R. | Minsmere in Scrape, Eastbridge in | TM476667 | 1.627236042 | 52.24228915 | 2008 |  |
| Adder | Sizewell | Nr Goose Hill, Sizewell | TM466645 | 1.611013712 | 52.22299626 | 2008 |  |
| Adder | Eastbridge | Eastbridge RSPB Quarry | TM448670 | 1.58652213 | 52.24623343 | 2007 |  |
| Adder | Aldringham Common and Walks/Thorpeness Golf Course | Aldringham Walks | TM462611 | 1.602697145 | 52.19266483 | 2007 |  |
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| Species | Location | Site Detail | Grid Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Course |  |  |
| Adder | Minsmere B. R. | Minsmere, Bitten Hide | TM469668 |
| Adder | Minsmere B. R. | Minsmere, Whin Hill | TM467672 |
| Adder | Westleton Walks | Westleton Walks | TM458675 |
| Adder | Aldringham Common and <br> Walks/Thorpeness Golf <br> Course | Aldringham Common, near <br> tumulus | TM455604 |
| Adder | Minsmere B. R. | Near Island Mere Hide, <br> Minsmere | TM464668 |
| Adder | Minsmere B. R. | Minsmere, Near workcentre | TM469670 |
| Adder | Aldringham Common and <br> Walks/Thorpeness Golf <br> Course | Aldringham Common, | TM456607 |
| Adder | Aldringham Common and <br> Walks/Thorpeness Golf <br> Course | Aldringham Walks | TM464615 |
| Adder | Aldringham Common and <br> Walks/Thorpeness Golf <br> Course | Aldringham Walks | TM468613 |
| Adder | Aldringham-cum-Thorpe | TM4460 |  |
| Adder | Minsmere B. R. | Near East Hide | TM477667 |
| Adder | Minsmere B. R. | Scott's Hall, Miinsmere | TM4667 |
| Adder | Aldringham Common and | The Walks | TM470612 |
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Latitude

| Abundance |
| :--- |
| Count of |
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Purpose of this Report

An area of land directly north of Sizewell B Nuclear Power Station, which is located near Leiston in Suffolk, has been identified as having the potential to accommodate the proposed development of one or more new nuclear reactors. This proposed development is known as Sizewell C. The site of the proposed development has an approximate central National Grid Reference (NGR) of TM473640.

AMEC Environment \& Infrastructure UK Ltd ('AMEC’) was commissioned in 2007 to provide terrestrial and freshwater ecological, and ornithological services in relation to Sizewell C. The purpose of this report, which outlines the findings of survey work undertaken for reptiles during 2007, is to inform the design of Sizewell C and the Environmental Statement for the scheme.

### 1.2 Survey Area and Scope

The survey area and methodologies used have been adopted following consultation with statutory and non-statutory consultees and other stakeholders, taking into account best practice guidelines, and site-specific and project-specific characteristics. The survey area adopted is precautionary in that it allows for the iterative development of the scheme design by covering a larger area than is likely to be affected by the proposals. Based on the information available at the time the survey was undertaken, it was assessed that the relevant Zones of Influence of the proposed development would be likely not to extend further than the defined study area.

[^2]
## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Desk Study

Existing information regarding reptiles within the preliminary works area and surrounding land was obtained from the following sources (further detail is provided in the Sizewell Desk Study report):

- The Suffolk Wildlife Trust (SWT): this included a report by Gooch (2002);
- Suffolk Biodiversity Records Centre (SBRC);
- Cresswell Associates (2005).


### 2.2 Field Survey

The survey methodology followed guidance provided in Froglife's Advice Sheet 10 (Froglife, 1999) and took into account additional guidance provided by the Herpetofauna Workers’ Manual (Gent \& Gibson, 1998) and Reptiles: guidelines for developers (English Nature, 2004).

Artificial refugia, comprising $0.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m}$ roofing felt or corrugated tin sheets, were laid out in locations considered to have the highest potential to support reptiles (although see constraints below) on 4 June 2007. When conducting survey work aimed at deriving indicative population sizes for reptiles, the Froglife guidance recommends placing 5-10 refugia per hectare (ha) of suitable habitat. Please note that this does not refer to the entire developable area but the area of habitat considered to be suitable to support reptiles. A maximum of approximately 26ha of habitat within the study area was identified as being suitable for reptiles and as such, following Froglife guidance, this would require between 130 and 260 refugia being placed within the survey area.

Taking into account the nature of the habitats on the ground cover and problems with access, a total of 163 refugia were used ${ }^{1}$ in order to undertake the survey, thereby meeting the requirements of the Froglife guidance. The location of each refugium was recorded using a GPS. Refugia were located away from public and permissive footpaths where possible to limit the potential for interference or disturbance of reptiles. Figure 2.1 illustrates the locations of the refugia.

Twenty-six survey visits were made between June and October 2007, during which reptiles basking on, near and under the refugia were recorded. Any reptiles observed while walking across the site were also recorded. Survey effort was concentrated towards September and October when the weather for surveying reptiles is identified to be optimal by Froglife (Froglife, 1999) when temperatures are cooler compared with June, July and August, thereby making reptile sightings more frequent. Reptile activity is very dependent on the weather and

[^3][^4]time of year, therefore surveys were conducted as far as was practically possible in optimum conditions. As ectotherms, reptiles must bask in order to warm themselves and become active. April, May and September/October are key months for detecting basking reptiles, as more continuous mid-summer heat means reptiles require less basking time to become active. Successful surveys may still be carried out from June to August however, if weather conditions are suitable. Optimum conditions are intermittent sunshine with little or no wind, particularly after a spell of cooler or wetter weather. Individual species have some specific preferences although generally it is preferable to survey when the temperature is between 10 and $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The weather conditions encountered during the survey period are considered to be suitable for surveying reptile populations. Weather conditions were recorded in detail on each visit as were details of all reptiles observed, including species, age class and sex.

Approximately 10-15 refugia located along the access tracks within the plantation were destroyed as a result of forestry operations, which included the rotational felling of some forest compartments. Subsequent to this incident, maps and information relating to the location of the reptile refugia were provided to the logging contractors to avoid further disturbance of the refugia and possible harm to reptiles. The temporary loss of this small proportion of the refugie is not considered to have affected the results of the survey significantly.

### 2.3 Population Size Class Assessment

As per Froglife's guidelines (Froglife, 1999), the classification of the relative size of viviparous lizard (Zootoca vivipara), slow worm (Anguis fragilis), adder (Vipera berus) and grass snake (Natrix natrix) populations was assessed on the basis of maximum survey counts of adults seen by observation and/or under artificial refugia (placed at a density of up to 10 per hectare), by one person in one day. The criteria for classification of population size, based on the Froglife guidelines, are outlined in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 Classification of the relative size of reptile populations

| Species | Low population | Good population | Exceptional population |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Viviparous lizard | $<5$ | $5-20$ | $>20$ |
| Slow worm | $<5$ | $5-20$ | $>20$ |
| Adder | $<5$ | $5-10$ | $>10$ |
| Grass snake | $<5$ | $5-10$ | $>10$ |

### 2.4 Personnel

The survey team comprised suitably experienced ecologists led by Dyfrig Hubble.

[^5]
## 3. Results

### 3.1 Desk Study

A reptile survey of Leiston Common in 2002 (Gooch, 2002) recorded adder, grass snake and slow-worm. A further presence/likely absence survey was conducted on behalf of British Energy during 2006 (ADAS \& SWT, 2007) that focused on the plantation woodland habitats of Kenton and Goose Hill (20 refugia were placed): this identified frequent occurrences of grass snake with more occasional observations of slow worm and viviparous lizard. No adders were recorded during that survey. The Sizewell Land Management Report for 1997-1998 (ADAS \& SWT, 1998) also includes regular sightings of adders and viviparous lizards.

Adder is a Suffolk BAP species, and is particularly common on the Sandlings heaths, which represent a national stronghold for the species (ADAS, 2006).

Data from SBRC provided in 2007 indicate that all four common reptile species are widespread throughout the Sizewell Estate and beyond. All four species have been recorded within the estate within the last 9 years with many recent records for viviparous lizard, adder and grass snake. However, only seven records exist for slow worms dating back to 1980. Extensive records of viviparous lizard, adder and grass snake exist for the land surrounding the estate, with the majority of the observations being made by Robin Harvey at the Minsmere Birds reserve located to the north. The study of aerial photography indicates that there is connective habitat suitable for reptiles between Minsmere and the study area.

### 3.2 Field Survey

Table 3.1 summarises the results of the survey; full survey results are presented in Appendix A.

[^6]Table 3.1 Summary of reptile survey results

| Visit no. | Date | Reptile observations* |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Viviparous lizard | Slow worm | Adder | Grass snake |
| 1 | 16/06/07 | 2M 1F | 1M 1F | 1F | 1(A) |
| 2 | 18/06/07 | 5M 6F 1 (A) | 1F | 1F | 1(A) |
| 3 | 05/07/07 | 1M 2F 1(A) | 2M 2F | - | 3(A) 2Juv |
| 4 | 07/07/07 | 3M 3F 2Juv | 3M 3F 2Juv | 2M 1F 1 Juv | 2(A) |
| 5 | 08/07/07 | 2M 1F 2(A) | 1M 8F | 1M 1F | 3(A) |
| 6 | 10/07/07 | 7M 4F | 4M 2F | 1F | - |
| 7 | 17/07/07 | 2M 3F 2(A) | 3M 14F 3Juv | 4F 7(assorted sizes \& sexes) ${ }^{2}$ | 2(A) 1 Juv |
| 8 | 21/07/07 | 1M 1(A) | 4M 8F | 1F 2(A) | 3(A) |
| 9 | 22/07/07 | 1F 5(A) | 8M 15M 6Juv | 8 F 1 Juv 9 (A) | 5(A) 4Juv |
| 10 | 23/07/07 | 5M 2F | 17M 12F 1Juv | 5F 1(A) | 9(A) 1 Juv |
| 11 | 04/08/07 | 1M 2F 1Juv | 10M 7F 6Juv 6(A) | 1M 1F | 2(A) |
| 12 | 05/08/07 | 1F | 14M 5F 1Juv | 1M 1F 3Ju | 2(A) |
| 13 | 16/08/07 | 5F 7Juv 3(A) | 11M 15F 13Juv | 1M 3F 3Juv 8(A) | 9(A) 1Juv |
| 14 | 31/08/07 | 6M 4Juv 3(A) | 15M 16F 5Juv | 4M 1F 3Juv | 11(A) |
| T15 | 05/09/07 | 2F 7Juv | 4M 8F 1Juv | 2M 2Juv | 5(A) 4Juv |
| 16 | 06/09/07 | 1M 10Juv | 5 M 8F 4Juv 4(A) | 1M 2Juv | 9(A) 6Juv |
| 17 | 09/09/07 | 5 F 1 Juv 8(A) | 8F 6F 5Juv 2(A) | 2F | 4(A) 7Juv |
| 18 | 14/09/07 | 1F 3Juv 2(A) | 3M 10F 1Juv | - | 4(A) 2Juv |
| 19 | 15/09/07 | 1M 1(A) | 2M 4F | 1F | 1(A) |
| 20 | 18/09/07 | 3M 1(A) | 2M 5F | - | 1(A) 1Juv |
| 21 | 22/09/07 | 2M 1F 7Juv 1(A) | 1M 2F 3Juv | 2F | 2A |
| 22 | 23/09/07 | 1M 3F 4Juv 1(A) | 1M 1F 2Juv | 2M 3F | 3(A) |
| 23 | 01/10/07 | 2M 7F 6Juv 6(A) | 1M 4F 4Juv | 2F | 3Juv |
| 24 | 02/10/07 | 4F 10Juv 3(A) | 3F 6Juv | 1F | 3(A) 1Juv |
| 25 | 05/10/07 | 1F 1Juv | 2F 1 Juv | - | 1(A) |
| 26 | 07/10/07 | 2M 6F 6Juv | 1M | 1F | 1(A) 1Juv |

${ }^{*} M=$ Male, $F=$ Female, Juv = Juvenile, $(A)=$ Adult but sex is unknown.

[^7]The survey identified the presence of viviparous lizard, slow worm, adder and grass snake. Regular observations were made of all four species throughout the survey period, including adults, sub-adults and juveniles.

Viviparous lizards were observed throughout the survey period. There was a clear concentration of this species in the habitats closer to the coastline, most notably within the ungrazed improved grassland swards and the coastal grassland habitats. Viviparous lizards were observed in low numbers at isolated locations within the plantation woodland of Dunwich Forest and Goose Hill but records were absent further west. This distribution is illustrated in Figure 3.1.

In contrast to the viviparous lizard distribution, large numbers of slow worms were recorded in greater densities and more frequently within the woodland habitats along ride edges. This distribution was fairly even across the plantation woodland habitats. An absence of records was apparent in more open habitats towards the coastline with only a few reptiles observed within ungrazed grassland. Slow worms were found primarily in areas close to dense scrub and/or woodland habitats that provided denser cover. This distribution is illustrated in Figure 3.2.

Adders were observed in both the open grassland habitats to the east of the survey area and within the plantation woodland habitats with no clear distinction between the two. There appeared to be hubs of greater densities of this species within Dunwich Forest with lower numbers elsewhere. This distribution is illustrated in Figure 3.3.

Grass snakes, although slightly more frequently recorded, exhibited a similar distribution to the adder population recorded within the survey. Grass snakes were observed throughout the survey area with a relatively even distribution although, again, there is a greater density of records in Dunwich Forest. This distribution is illustrated in Figure 3.4.

### 3.3 Population Size Class Assessment

The classification of the relative size of populations for each species within the survey area is summarised in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2 Reptile population size classification

| Species | Maximum adult count | Population size class |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Viviparous lizard | 15 | Good |
| Slow worm | 31 | Exceptional |
| Adder | 17 | Exceptional |
| Grass snake | 9 | Good |

[^8]
## 4. Summary

All four common reptile species were recorded within the survey area including exceptional populations of adders and slow worms, a good population of viviparous lizard and a low population of grass snake. The viviparous lizard populations identified were primarily distributed in open grassland and scrub habitats within the survey area, whereas the slow worm population was focussed within woodland edge habitats. The two snake species were fairly evenly distributed between the two habitat types.
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## REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (1)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Alein Shreeve \& Tim Sykes
Weather
Start Temp: C Cloud cover: 50\%

Finish Temp: C
Rain: None

Project code: 19801
Date: 16/06/07

Other weather obs:

Observations

| Refugia ID | Species <br> Viviparous lizard | No. / Age / Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Giviss snake | 1 Adult Male |
| 6 | Grass | 1 Adult |
| 37 | Snake Spp. |  |
| 58 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 59 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| 61 | Adder | 1 Adult Female |

Refugia ID
4

37
58
59
61

Species
inzard

Snake Spp.
Viviparous lizard

Adder

No. / Age / Sex
Adult Male

1 Adult Female
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Female

## REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (2)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Tim Sykes
Weather
Start Temp: C Finish Temp: C Wind Speed: Light Cloud cover: 100\% Rain: Rain Ground Moisture: Damp

Project code: 19801
Date: 18/06/07

Other weather obs:

Observations

| Refugia ID | Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 12 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female |
| 14 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult |
| 17 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 23 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult |
| 26 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 30 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 36 | Adder | 1 Adult Female |
| 37 | Grass snake | - |
| 46 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 47 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 59 | Slow worm | 1 Female |

## REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (3)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Alein Shreeve \& Tim Sykes
Weather
Start Temp: 19C Finish Temp: 19C
Cloud cover: 50-95\% Rain: None

Project code: 19801
Date: 5/07/07

Wind Speed: Light Ground Moisture: Dry

Other weather obs:
Observations

| Refugia ID | Species <br> Grass snake | No. / Age / Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| 3 | Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| 4 | Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| 15 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 17 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 29 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 50 | Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| 66 | Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| 72 |  | 1 Adult Female |

## REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (4)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Alein Shreeve
Weather
Start Temp: 22C Finish Temp: 19C Wind Speed: Moderate Cloud cover: $40 \%$ Rain: Rain Ground Moisture: Wet

Project code: 19801
Date: 7/07/07

Other weather obs: Two heavy survey showers during survey
Observations

| Refugia ID | Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 6 | Adder | 2 Sub-adult Male 1 Adult |
|  |  | Female |
| 9 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
|  | Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| 62 | Adder | 1 Juv. |
| 70 | Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| 72 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |

## REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (5)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Tim Sykes
Weather
Start Temp: 24C Finish Temp: 23C
Cloud cover: 10-20\% Rain: None

Project code: 19801
Date: 8/07/07

Other weather obs:

Observations

| Refugia ID | Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| 6 | Adder | 1 Adult Female |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult |
| 11 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult |
| 48 | Adder | 1 Sub-adult Male |
| 51 | Viviparous lizard | 2 Adult Male 1 Adult Female |
| 56 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| 58 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| 70 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |

## Refugia ID

2
8
11
48
51
56

70

No. / Age / Sex
Adult

1 Adult
1 Adult
1 Sub-adult Male
2 Adult Male 1 Adult Female
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Female

## REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (6)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Alein Shreeve
Weather
Start Temp: 18C Finish Temp: 18C
Cloud cover: 100\%

Rain: None

Project code: 19801
Date: 10/07/07

Wind Speed: Light
Ground Moisture: Slightly moist

Other weather obs: Spots of rain

Observations

| Refugia ID | Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Adder | 1 Adult Female |
| 6 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 11 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Large Female |
| 24 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 27 | Viviparous lizard | 2 Adult Male |
| 41 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 45 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 55 | Viviparous lizard | 2 Adult Male |
| 56 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
|  | Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| 59 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 70 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| 84 | Slow worm | 2 Adult Male 2 Adult Female |

## REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (7)

Site name: Sizewell
Project code: 19801

Surveyor: Tim JS
Date: 17/07/07

Weather
Start Temp: 20C Finish Temp: 21C Wind Speed: Moderate -strong
Cloud cover: $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ Rain: A few spots Ground Moisture: Dry
Other weather obs: Blustery windy conditions
Observations

Additional mats distributed on site

| Refugia ID | Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Adder | 1 Adult female |
| 9 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult |
| 12 | Adder | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| 31 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 45 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 46 | Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| 59 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 70 | Slow worm | 2 Adult Female |
| 74 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult |
| 75 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 82 | Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Male |
| 84 | Slow worm | 2 Adult Female |
| 93 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female 1 Juv |
| 94 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| 103 | Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| 118 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| 119 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| 123 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female 1 Juv. |
| 134 | Adder | 1 Adult Female |
| 135 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
|  | Adder | 1 Adult Female |
| 138 | Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female 1 Juv. |
| 149 | Slow worm | 1 Female |
| 150 | Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| 161 | Slow worm | 2 Adult Male |
| 162 | Grass snake | 2 Sub-adults |
|  | Adder | 7 Mixed Sizes |

## REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (8)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Alein Shreave
Weather
Start Temp: 15C
Cloud cover: 25\%

Finish Temp: 21C
Rain: none

Project code: 19801
Date: 21/07/07

Wind Speed: Moderate
Ground Moisture: Dry

Other weather obs: Increasing cloud cover during the day
Observations

| Refugia ID | Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 3 | Grass snake | 2 Adult |
|  | Adder | 1 Adult |
| 43 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult |
| 51 | Adder | 1 Adult Female |
| 70 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| 84 | Slow worm | 3 Adult Female |
|  | Adder | 1 Adult |
| 85 | Slow worm | 2 Adult Female |
| 93 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| 109 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female |
| 121 | Slow worm | 1 Female |
| 149 | Slow worm | 1 Adult male |
|  | Grass snake | 1 |
| 150 | Slow worm | 1 Adult female |

REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (9)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Tim JS
Weather
Start Temp: 17C Finish Temp: 20C
Cloud cover: 25\%

Rain: None

Project code:19801

Date: 22/07/07

Other weather obs: Occasional grey clouds passing over

Observations

## Refugia ID

1
3
10
22
40
46
55
68
70
75
84
85
91
92
93
94
104
109
118
119
121

123
126
132
138
139
141
142
147
148

Species
Grass snake
Grass snake
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Adder
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Grass snake
Slow worm
Adder
Slow worm
Slow worm
Grass snake
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Adder
Viviparous lizard
Slow worm
Adder
Slow worm
Grass snake
Grass snake
Slow worm
Grass snake
Slow worm
Snake Spp.
Slow worm
Slow worm

No. / Age / Sex
1 Adult 1 Juv.
1 Adult
1 Adult
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Female
1 Adult
1 Adult
1 Adult
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Female
4 Adult Female 1 Sub-adult
Female
1 Juv.
1 Sub-adult
1 Sub-adult male
1 Sub-adult female
1 Sub-adult male 1 Juv.
1 Adult male
1 Sub-adult Female
1 Sub-adult Male
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Female
1 Sub-adult Female
2 Adults
1 Adult Female
3 Adult Female 1 Juv.
1 Sub-adult Female
1 Juv.
1 Juv.
1 Adult Female
1 Juv.
1 Adult Female
1
1 Juv.
1 Adult Male 1 Sub-adult
male

150
156
159
161
162

Slow worm
Slow worm
Adder
Slow worm
Adder
Grass snake

2 Juv.
2 Adult Female
2 Sub-adult Female
1 Adult Male 1 Juv
9 Adult 1 Juv.
1 Adult

REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (10)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Alein Shreeve
Weather
Start Temp: 11C Finish Temp: 13C
Cloud cover: 100\%

Rain: None

Project code: 19801
Date: 23/07/07

Wind Speed: Still
Ground Moisture: Moist

Other weather obs: Spots of rain later / drizzle at times
Observations

## Refugia ID

3
6
9
17
26
27
32
51
70
80
84
91
92
93
94
95
101
107
109
123
124
126
130
132
135

138
141
147
148

151
156

Species
Grass snake
Adder
Grass snake
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Slow worm
Viviparous lizard
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Grass Snake
Slow worm
Slow worm
Grass snake
Grass snake
Adder
Grass snake
Slow worm
Grass snake
Adder
Grass snake
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm

Slow worm
Slow worm

No. / Age / Sex
1 Adult
1 Adult Female
1 Adult
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Female
4 Adult Males
1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Female
1 Sub-adult Female
1 Sub-adult Female
1 Adult Male
1 Adult
1 Adult male 2 Adult Female
1 Female
2 Adult
1 Adult
1 Adult
1 Juv.
1 Sub-adult Female
2 Adult
4 Adult Female
1 Adult
1 Adult Male
1 Sub-adult Female
2 Adult Male 1 Adult Female
1 Sub-adult Female
1 Juv.
I Adult Male 1 Adult Female

Slow worm Slow worm Adder

1 Adult Male
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Female

REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (11)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Tim JS
Weather
Start Temp: 21C Finish Temp: 27C
Cloud cover: 100-0\%
Other weather obs:
Observations

## Refugia ID

2
13
31
32
34
46
69
70
71
77
84
85
93
104
107
108
117
119
121
124
133
134
135
141
148
155
157
161
162

Species
Slow worm
Adder
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Adder
Viviparous lizard
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Grass snake
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Grass snake
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Adder
Grass snake
Slow worm
Slow worm
Grass snake
Slow worm
Slow worm
Grass snake

No. / Age / Sex
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Male
1 Juv.
1 Sub-Adult Male
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Female
1 Sub-adult male
1 Adult Male
1 Adult
5 Adult
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Male
1 Adult
2 Sub-adult Female
1 Juv.
1 Juv.
1 Juv.
1 Adult 1 Juv.
1 Adult Female
1 Sub-adult Male
1 Adult Female
1 Juv.
1 Adult Female
1 Adult
1 Juv.
1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female
1 Juv.
1 Adult Male
2 Adult Male 1 Sub-adult
Female
1 Adult

REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (12)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Alein Shreeve
Weather
Start Temp: 21C
Cloud cover: 0\%

Finish Temp: 25C
Rain: None

Project code: 19801
Date: 05/08/07

Other weather obs:
Observations

Refugia ID
50
56
84
85
93
101
104
108
109
117
119
121
122
124
141
148
157
159
162

| Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :--- | :--- |
| Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| Slow worm | 2 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 2 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Male |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| Adder | 1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female |
| Grass snake | 1 Adult |

REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (13)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Tim JS
Weather
Start Temp: 21C Finish Temp: 20C
Cloud cover: 0-100\% Rain: brief shower

Project code: 19801
Date: 16/08/07

Other weather obs:

Observations

## Refugia ID

1

2
3

10
18
29
39
44
50
55
59
60
61
70

75
77
78
84

## 91

93
107
109
110
119
123
124
130
135
138

Species
Adder
Grass Snake
Adder
Grass snake
Viviparous lizard
Slow worm
Viviparous lizard
Slow worm
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Slow worm
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Slow worm
Adder
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Slow worm
Grass snake
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Viviparous lizard
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Adder
Viviparous lizard

No. / Age / Sex
1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female
1 Adult
1 Juv.
2 Adult
1 Adult Female
1 Juv.
1 Adult Female 2 Juv.
1 Adult Female
1 Juv.
1 Juv.
1 Adult Female
1 Adult
1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female
1 Sub-adult
1 Sub Adult
1 Sub-adult Male 1 Subadult Female
1 Adult
2 Juv.
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Male 4 Adult Female
1 Juv.
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Male 1 Sub-Adult
Male
1 Adult Male
1 Juv.
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Female
3 Juv.
1 Adult male 1 Juv.
1 Adult Female
3 Adult Male
2 Adult Female
1 Juv.

| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| :--- | :--- |
| Slow worm | 4 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| Grass Snake | 1 Adult |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male 3 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Adder | 7 Adult 2 Juv. |
| Grass snake | 4 Adult |

REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (14)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Alein Shreene
Weather
Start Temp: 18C
Cloud cover: 80\%

Finish Temp: 21.5C Wind Speed: Light Rain: None Ground Moisture: Dry

Project code: 19801
Date: 31/08/07

Other weather obs:
Observations

## Refugia ID

1
2
6
9
13
16
18
20
24
31
33
34
42
55
74
84
85
92
93
94
109
111
123

126
130
134
135

141
147
148

Species
Viviparous lizard
Slow worm
Slow worm
Grass snake
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Adder
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Adder
Viviparous lizard
Grass Snake
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Adder
Grass snake
Slow worm
Adder
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Adder
Grass Snake
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm

No. / Age / Sex
1 Adult
2 Juv.
1 Adult Female
1 Adult
1 Adult 1 Juv.
1 Adult
1 Adult
1 Juv.
1 Adult Male
2 Juv.
1 Adult
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Male
1 Adult
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Male
2 Adult Female
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Male
1 Adult
1 Adult Male
1 Juv.
2 Adult Female
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female
1 Juv.
3 Adult
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Male
1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female

149
150
151

153
156

159

161
162

| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female 1 Juv. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female |
|  | 1 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| Slow worm | 2 Adult Male 1 Adult Female |
| Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female 1 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 3 Adult Male |
| Adder | 1 Juv. |
| Grass snake | 3 Adult |

## REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (15)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Tim JS
Weather
Start Temp: C
Cloud cover: 60\%

Project code: 19801

Date: 5/09/07

Finish Temp: C Wind Speed: Light<br>Rain: None<br>Ground Moisture: Dew

Other weather obs:

Observations

## Refugia ID

2
10
19
29
30
85
92
93
111
126
129
130
135
141
148
153

156
161
162

| Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grass Snake | 2 Juv. |
| Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| Viviparous lizard | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| Viviparous lizard | 4 Juv. |
| Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 2 Adult Female |
| Grass snake | 2 Adults |
| Adder | 1 Juv. |
| Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| Slow worm | 2 Adult Female |
| Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| Adder | 2 Adult Male 1 Juv |
| Grass snake | 1 Adult |

## REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (16)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Tim JS
Weather
Start Temp: C Finish Temp: C Wind Speed: Still
Cloud cover: 75\%

Other weather obs:
Observations

## Refugia ID

2
10
16
19
31
85
92
93
109
126

130
135
140
141

144
148

151
156

159
161
162

| Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grass snake | 2 Juv. |
| Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male 1 Juv. |
| Viviparous lizard | 2 Juv. |
| Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 2 Adult Female |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult male 1 juv. |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female |
| Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| Adder | 1 Juv. |
| Grass snake | 4 Adult 1 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| Slow worm | 2 Adult |
| Grass snake | 3 Adult |
| Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| Viviparous lizard | 3 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female |
| Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male 2 Adult Female |
| Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult 2 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 2 Adult |
| Adder | 1 Adult Male 1 Juv. |
| Grass snake | 1 Adult |
|  |  |

REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (17)
Site name: Sizewell
Surveyor: Tim JS
Weather
Start Temp: C Finish Temp: C Wind Speed:
Cloud cover: 100-0\%
Other weather obs: Overcast to Hot and Sunny
Observations

## Refugia ID

1
2
3
5
9
13
18
19
29
39
50
53
58
84
92
93
94
107
111
124
125
126
129
130
134
135
141
148
150
151
153
156
159

Species
Grass snake
Grass snake
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Grass snake
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Viviparous lizard
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Grass snake
Viviparous lizard
Grass snake
Viviparous lizard
Grass snake
Viviparous lizard
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Slow worm
Grass snake
Slow worm
Slow worm
Grass snake
Slow worm

No. / Age / Sex
1 Adult 1 Juv.
1 Juv.
1 Sub-adult
1 Adult
1 Adult
1 Juv.
2 Sub-adult
1 Adult
1 Adult Female
1 Adult
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Female
1 Juv.
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Female
1 Adult Female 1 Sub-adult
Male
1 Adult
1 Sub-adult Male 1 Juv.
1 Adult
1 Adult Female
1 Juv.
1 Adult
1 Juv.
1 Sub-adult Female
1 Adult Male
1 Juv.
2 Adult Males
1 Sub-adult Female
1 Adult
1 Juv.
1 Juv.
1 Sub-adult Female
2 Adult Male
2 Juv.
1 Adult Male

Slow worm
Slow worm Adder
Grass snake

1 Juv.
1 Adult Female
2 Adult Female
1 Adult

REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (18)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Tim JS
Weather
Start Temp: C Finish Temp: C Wind Speed: Light
Cloud cover: 100\%

Rain: Light Rain

Project code: 19801
Date: 14/09/2007

Other weather obs: ground wet, rain, started to rain
Observations

## Refugia ID

2
15
16
18
32
40
59
70
85
92
93
102
121
125
130
135
141
148
151
157
161
162

| Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult |
| Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female 1 Juv. |
| Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female |
| Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| Slow worm | 2 Adult Females |
| Slow worm | 2 Sub-adult Females |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| Grass snake | 1 Adult |

REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (19)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Alein Shreeve
Weather
Start Temp: 20C Finish Temp: 23C Cloud cover: 35\%

Rain: None

Project code: 19801
Date: 15/09/2007

Wind Speed: Moderate
Ground Moisture: None

Other weather obs: ground wet, rain, started to rain
Observations

| Refugia ID | Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Adder | 1 Adult Female |
| 5 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 38 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult |
| 70 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| 121 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female |
| 141 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female |
| 161 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| 162 | Grass snake | 1 Adult |

REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (20)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Alein Shreeve
Weather
Start Temp: 15C
Cloud cover: 75\%

Finish Temp: 19C
Rain: None

Project code: 19801
Date: 18/09/2007

Wind Speed: Moderate Ground Moisture: Wet

Other weather obs: Some over night rain
Observations

| Refugia ID | Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| 15 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 19 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult |
| 44 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 68 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 70 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| 93 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| 135 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| 141 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Male 1 Adult Female |
| 159 | Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| 161 | Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| 162 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |

REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (21)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Alein Shreeve
Weather
Start Temp: 19C Finish Temp: 20C
Cloud cover: 25\%

Rain: None

Project code: 19801
Date: 22/09/2007

Wind Speed: Still
Ground Moisture: Moist

Other weather obs:

Observations

| Refugia ID | Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 6 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 9 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
|  | Adder | 1 Adult Female |
| 11 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 15 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult |
| 22 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 31 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 36 | Viviparous lizard | 2 Juv. |
| 54 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 55 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 70 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| 118 | Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| 147 | Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| 148 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female 1 Juv. |
| 150 | Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Male |
| 151 | Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| 157 | Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| 162 | Adder | 1 Adult Female |

## REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (22)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Alein Shreeve
Weather
Start Temp: 20C
Cloud cover: 15\%

Finish Temp: 22C Rain: None

Project code: 19801
Date: 23/09/2007

Wind Speed: Light
Ground Moisture: Moist

Other weather obs:

Observations

| Refugia ID | Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 8 | Adder | 1 Adult Male |
| 9 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
|  | Adder | 1 Adult Female |
| 10 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 11 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 19 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 22 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult |
| 30 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 53 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 55 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 56 | Adder | 1 Adult Male |
| 92 | Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| 102 | Adder | 1 Adult Female |
|  | Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| 106 | Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| 135 | Adder | 1 Adult Female |
|  | Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| 141 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| 148 | Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| 161 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |

## REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Tim Sykes
Weather
Start Temp: 16C Finish Temp: 16C
Cloud cover: 100\%

Rain: None

Project code: 19801
Date: 01/10/07

Wind Speed: Moderate
Ground Moisture: None

Other weather obs:
Observations

| Refugia ID | Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 5 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male |
| 9 | Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| 13 | Viviparous lizard | 3 Juv. |
| 16 | Viviparous lizard | 2 Adult Female |
| 18 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Sub-adult female |
| 27 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 28 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| 30 | Adder | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| 34 | Adder | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| 44 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female 1 Juv. |
| 50 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Male 1 Sub-adult Female |
| 51 | Viviparous lizard | 2 Sub-adult |
| 54 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 55 | Viviparous lizard | 1 sub-adult |
| 59 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| 61 | Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| 74 | Viviparous lizard | 3 Sub-adult |
| 85 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| 135 | Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| 137 | Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| 141 | Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| 151 | Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female 4 Juv. |
| 162 | Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female |

REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (24)

Site name: Sizewell
Project code: 19801
Surveyor: Tim Sykes
Date: 02/10/07

Weather
Start Temp: 14C Finish Temp: 14C Wind Speed: Light - Moderate
Cloud cover: 100\%
Rain: None
Ground Moisture: None
Other weather obs:
Observations

| Refugia ID | Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| 2 | Viviparous lizard | 2 Juv. |
| 9 | Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| 33 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 34 | Adder | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| 39 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 43 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 44 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult 1 Sub-adult Female |
| 50 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female 1 Sub-adult Female 1 Juv. |
| 51 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Sub-adult Female |
| 54 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 61 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 74 | Viviparous lizard | 2 Sub-adult |
| 76 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 79 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 85 | Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| 95 | Slow worm | 1 sub-adult Female |
| 111 | Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| 124 | Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| 135 | Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| 148 | Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| 151 | Slow worm | 2 Juv. |
| 160 | Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| 161 | Slow worm | 1 Juv. |
| 162 | Slow worm | 1 Sub-adult Female |

REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (25)

Site name: Sizewell
Surveyor: Alein Shreeve
Weather
Start Temp: 17C
Cloud cover: 0\%

Finish Temp: 21C
Rain: None

Project code: 19801
Date: 5/10/07

Other weather obs:
Observations

REPTILE SURVEY RECORDING FORM (26)

Site name: Sizewell

Surveyor: Alein Shreeve
Weather
Start Temp: 16C
Cloud cover: 0\%

Finish Temp: 18C
Rain: None

Project code: 19801
Date: 7/10/07

Wind Speed:
Ground Moisture: Moist

Other weather obs:

Observations

| Refugia ID | Species | No. / Age / Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 5 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 6 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 9 | Adder | 1 Female Sub-adult |
| 10 | Viviparous lizard | 2 Juv. |
| 11 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 28 | Viviparous lizard | 2 Adult Male 1 Adult Female |
| 31 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 32 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 48 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Juv. |
| 50 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female 1 Juv. |
| 55 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 93 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Male |
| 121 | Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| 129 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female |
| 135 | Slow worm | 1 Adult Female 1 Juv |
| 138 | Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
| 150 | Viviparous lizard | 1 Adult Female |
| 151 | Grass snake | 1 Adult |
| 155 | Grass snake | 2 Juv. |
| 157 | Grass snake | 1 Juv. |
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Purpose of this Report

An area of land directly north of Sizewell B Nuclear Power Station, which is located near Leiston in Suffolk, has been identified as having the potential to accommodate the proposed development of one or more new nuclear reactors. This proposed development is known as Sizewell C. The site of the proposed development has an approximate central National Grid Reference (NGR) of TM473640.

AMEC Environment \& Infrastructure UK Ltd (formerly Entec UK Ltd) was commissioned by EDF Energy in 2012 to undertake a reptile survey of Coronation Wood (a block of woodland located adjacent to the west of Sizewell A Power Station) and suitable habitats bordering the existing car parks to the west and north of Sizewell B. The area surveyed is hereafter called 'the site'.

The purpose of this report, which details the findings of survey work undertaken for reptiles in 2012, is to provide baseline information on the value of the site for reptiles, to inform the design of Sizewell C and the Environmental Statement for the scheme. The report documents the methods used to determine reptile presence on the site, and the results of the survey undertaken; brief recommendations are also made for mitigation and enhancement works appropriate to the proposed redevelopment of the site.

### 1.2 Site context

The site forms part of the Sizewell estate and is located adjacent to the west and north of the Sizewell power station. The area surveyed for reptiles consists predominantly of woodland edge habitat which comprises small areas of scattered scrub, tall ruderal vegetation, patches of rough grassland, and small woodland glades within an area of conifer plantation comprising of rough grassland and small patches of scrub.

### 1.3 Legislation

The four widespread ${ }^{1}$ species of reptile that are native to Britain, namely viviparous lizard (Zootoca vivipara), slow worm (Anguis fragilis), adder (Vipera berus) and grass snake (Natrix natrix), are listed on Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and are afforded limited protection under Section 9 of this Act. This makes it an offence, inter alia, to intentionally kill or injure any of these species.

[^9]In addition to the legislation relating to reptiles, the National Planning Policy Framework ((NPPF) 2012 states that the planning system should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by "minimising impacts on biodiversity and providing net gains in biodiversity where possible"; that planning permission for developments should be refused if significant biodiversity harm cannot be "avoided (through locating on an alternative site with less harmful impacts), adequately mitigated or, as a last resort, compensated for"; and that "opportunities to incorporate biodiversity in and around developments should be encouraged".

## 2. Desktop Study

### 2.1 Methods

Existing information regarding reptiles within the Sizewell estate and the surrounding land was obtained from the following sources (further detail is provided in the Sizewell Desk Study report):

- Suffolk Biodiversity Records Centre (SBRC) 2012; and
- Entec UK (2007). British Energy Group PLC Sizewell Reptile Survey Report 2007.


### 2.2 Results

The 2007 reptile surveys conducted across the Sizewell estate confirmed the widespread presence of all four common reptile species. The population classification indicated that an exceptional population of both adder and slow worm are present within the Sizewell estate, with good populations of grass snake and common lizard. Additionally the desktop studies also confirmed the presence of all four species within the wider area. Extensive records of common lizard, adder and grass snake exist for the land surrounding the estate, with the majority of the observations being made by Robin Harvey at the Minsmere Birds reserve located to the north. The study of aerial photography indicates that there is connective habitat suitable for reptiles between Minsmere and the study area.

In addition to these formal records, a large number of incidental observations of reptiles have been made by AMEC surveyors across the Sizewell estate between 2007 and 2012 whilst undertaking survey work for other species.

## 3. Field Survey

### 3.1 Methods

A presence/absence survey for reptiles was carried out on the site within habitat highlighted by the initial ecological survey ${ }^{2}$ to have the potential to support reptiles. The survey involved seven visits to the site between August and early October 2012. Survey visits comprised the following methods, based on those recommended by Griffiths and Inns ${ }^{2}$, and Froglife ${ }^{3}$ :

- Direct observation - during each survey visit to the site the locations of any reptiles observed basking in the open were recorded;
- Refugia searches - any existing potential refugia on the site were carefully searched for reptiles, especially log-piles, rubble and discarded wood or old carpet; and
- Artificial refugia - artificial refugia were placed across the site, as shown in Figure 3.1 (Appendix A). The survey was set up on 4 July, with 54 refugia positioned across the site. Refugia were placed along margins of scrub, rough grassland, bramble and ruderal vegetation, which are optimal reptile habitat, and also within open glades within the coniferous woodland plantation. The artificial refugia comprised $480.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}$ sheets of roofing felt and $60.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ sheets of corrugated metal. All reptiles observed on, alongside or under the refugia were recorded during each survey visit.

Reptile activity is very dependent on the weather and time of year, as reptiles are ectotherms and therefore must bask in order to warm themselves and become active. April, May and September are key months for basking reptiles, as more continuous mid-summer heat means reptiles require less basking time to become active ${ }^{1}$. Successful surveys may still be carried out from June to August and October, however, if weather conditions are suitable. Optimum conditions are intermittent sunshine with little or no wind, particularly after a spell of cooler or wetter weather. Individual species have some specific preferences but generally it is preferable to survey when the temperature is between $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{2}$.

The weather conditions encountered during the survey period are considered to be suitable for surveying and assessing reptile populations. Weather conditions were recorded in detail on each visit, as were the species, age class and sex of reptiles observed.

### 3.2 Results

The results of the field survey are summarised in Table 3.1. Reptile distribution across the site is illustrated in Figure 3.2 (Appendix A) and full results are presented in Appendix B.

[^10]Table 3.1 Summary of Reptile Survey Results

| Survey visit no. | Date | Weather conditions |  | Reptiles recorded |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Viviparous lizard | Slow worm | Adder | Grass snake |
| 1 | 17/08/12 | Cloud cove speed: ligh dry. Rain: $17-19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20\%. Wind Ground moisture: ne. Temperature: | 4M, 3F | 1M | - | - |
| 2 | 19/9/12 | Cloud cove speed: ligh dry. Rain: Temperatu | 15\%. Wind Ground moisture: ne. $14-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 3M, 3F, 1A | 1F | 1 F | - |
| 3 | 21/09/12 | Cloud cove speed: ligh Dry. Rain: Temperatu | 90\%. Wind Ground moisture: ne. $15-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 4M, 2F, 2A | 1F | - | - |
| 4 | 28/09/12 | Cloud cover: <br> speed: ligh moist. Rai Temperatu | 10\%. Wind Ground moisture: intermittent. $14-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1M, 1F, 1A | 1M | - | - |
| 5 | 02/10/12 | Cloud cover: <br> speed: Mo <br> moisture: <br> Temperatu | 20\%. Wind ate. Ground p. Rain: none. $17-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 9M, 6Juv | 1M, 2F | - | 1A |
| 6 | 03/10/12 | Cloud cove speed: ligh wet. Rain Temperatu | 80\%. Wind Ground moisture: ne. $18-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .$ | 2M, 2F, 5Juv | - | - | - |
| 7 | 04/10/12 | Cloud cove speed: Stil dry. Rain: Temperatu | $0 \%$. Wind Ground moisture: ne. $17-19^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .$ | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum survey count per species |  |  | Adult | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Juvenile | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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## 4. Evaluation

### 4.1 Methods

Evaluation of the reptile populations at Coronation wood is based on the availability of suitable habitats, and the findings of both the desk and field studies. This information has been interpreted using professional judgement in order to define whether or not the species’ populations can be considered a 'valued ecological receptor', i.e. of sufficiently high value in terms of biodiversity conservation that an effect upon it could be significant in terms of favourable conservation status. That said, regardless of whether or not reptile populations are considered to be valued ecological receptors, there is a need to recognise that they are legally protected and measures must be taken to ensure that contravention of the relevant legislation is avoided.

### 4.2 Results

All four common native reptile species have been confirmed to be present on this part of the Sizewell estate, with maximum adult counts of 3 slow worms, 9 viviparous lizards, 1 grass snake and 1 adder recorded during the survey. The desk study revealed multiple records of viviparous lizard, slow worm, adder and grass snake within the study area. A full population estimate has not been undertaken; however, the results indicate the presence of a good viviparous lizard population and low populations of slow worm, grass snake and adder according with the Froglife ${ }^{4}$ survey assessment criteria.

Reptiles within the survey area are distributed along the western boundary of the site/woodland edge and within the woodland glades that have minimal shading from trees. The habitats in these areas consist of rank improved grassland, scrub and patches of tall herbs which offer suitable habitat for sheltering, basking and foraging. The remaining areas of habitat comprise conifer plantation and offer little in the way of suitable habitat for reptiles. There is little to no ground vegetation beneath the canopy of the pine trees and these areas are heavily shaded.

All four species were recorded during the survey; however, only viviparous lizards were recorded within the pine plantation on the north of the survey area. All of the reptiles observed within this area were within the open glades, which comprise rough tussocky grassland. This part of the survey area is considered to be suboptimal for slow worms as they are a burrowing species and the hard dry ground conditions provide little burrowing potential. The conditions within this part of the site are also considered suboptimal for grass snake, due to the absence of suitable water bodies, which are a favoured hunting ground for this species. A number of Adders have been observed on the bund to the east of the pine plantation and within the areas of semi-improved grassland to the north during site walkovers. No observation of adder were made within the glades of the pine plantation, although it is considered likely that lower numbers of adder may use this part of the site due to the presence of suitable prey species and basking sites.

Both slow worm and viviparous lizard were also recorded along the entrance road/car park to the west of the site where patches of bramble scrub and a strip of unmanaged grassland offer
suitable habitat. These species are less susceptible to human disturbance than the other two common reptile species, and can often be found in similar edge habitats.

Grass snake and adder were only recorded in the southwestern part of the survey area. This part of the survey area is screened off from the power station by a large block of woodland. These species do not tolerate high levels of disturbance and as a result of the presence of suitable terrestrial habitat and in the case of grass snake suitable aquatic habitat also, this part of the site is optimal for these species.

In accordance with Froglife guidelines ${ }^{5}$, as the site supports all four common native reptile species, it meets their criteria for a Key Reptile Site. However, the survey findings indicate that only a good population of viviparous lizards is likely to be present, with low populations of the other three reptile species present. The reason for this is likely that the majority of the site is suboptimal for reptiles due to both the high levels of disturbance from human activity at the power station and the limited availability of optimal reptile habitat with reptile populations restricted mainly to small areas including the woodland glades and areas of scrub and unmanaged habitat around the periphery of the site.

Given the low densities of reptiles present, the limited area of suitable habitat, and the fact that large areas of habitat optimal for reptiles is present with the Sizewell estate and wider area, the area of habitat at Coronation wood is not regarded as a 'valued ecological receptor' for reptiles. Notwithstanding this, there is still a requirement to ensure that any development works on-site comply with the legislation that relates to these species (see section 1.4).

## 5. Conclusion and Recommendations

### 5.1 Conclusions

The results of the presence/absence survey of Coronation Wood for reptiles indicate the presence of a good population of viviparous lizard and low populations of grass snake, adder and slow worm. The majority of the site, however, is currently suboptimal for reptiles due to a combination of poor habitat suitability across most of the site and the high level of disturbance from activities at the power station including site maintenance work, with reptile populations restricted to the woodland edge and the open glades within the woodland. The site is therefore not regarded a 'valued ecological receptor' for reptiles.

Best practice guidelines recommend that 20 survey visits should be undertaken in order to make a population estimate. However, provided development proposals are limited principally to areas of suboptimal reptile habitat, AMEC does not consider that any additional useful information would be gained from increasing the survey effort to this level.

The results of this survey work should be used to inform the design and implementation of any proposed development with the ultimate aim being to 'design out' any adverse effects on the reptile populations. If this is not possible there will be a need to produce and execute a comprehensive mitigation strategy prior to any development works. This should ensure the avoidance of harm to reptiles, thus avoiding contravention of the legislation regarding these species, as well as fulfilling local and regional policy requirements in respect of biodiversity conservation and enhancement.

### 5.2 Recommendations

It is recommended that an ecologist is involved in the development planning process from an early stage. This will allow the ecologist to work closely with other members of the design team to best address the issues related to reptiles.

Planning must aim to protect reptiles from any harm that might arise during the development work and show consideration of NPPF which stipulates a need to 'minimising impacts on biodiversity and providing net gains in biodiversity where possible'.

In order to adequately address these requirements, the new development will likely need to implement a comprehensive reptile mitigation strategy, taking into account available guidance ${ }^{5,6,7}$ prior to any works commencing on-site as well as incorporating features within the

[^13]development design to enhance the habitats not only for herpetofauna, but also for biodiversity in general.

## Mitigation

Principally the design should look to avoid the removal of optimal reptile habitat, identified as being the woodland edge habitat and the open glades within the woodland. Ideally the mitigation strategy would involve retaining and managing these on-site habitats as a nature area for reptiles. By designing out adverse effects on optimal reptile habitat the mitigation strategy can avoid the necessity for extensive mitigation measures described below which include the location and creation of compensatory habitat and translocation of whole reptile populations.

Where impacts on optimal habitat can not be avoided the design must provide compensatory habitat of sufficient quality, quantity and connectivity to accommodate the reptile population with no net loss of local reptile conservation status. Compensatory habitat should ideally be provided either on or directly adjacent to the site.

Enhancement and preparation works on compensatory habitat would need to be implemented prior to any development work affecting reptile habitats. A translocation exercise may then be required to trap and relocate reptiles from the areas being affected by the development into the newly created habitats. The translocation exercise can take between 2 and 6 months with works seasonally constrained, being limited to the April-early October period.

If impacts on optimal habitat can be avoided then mitigation will still be required for all vegetation removal required as part of the development including the removal of less optimal habitat for reptiles, such as the pine woodland plantation. Mitigation works will likely involve manually strimming vegetation in two stages to ground level followed by a destructive search. This would involve searching through vegetation and debris and removing topsoil using a suitable excavator. A suitably qualified ecologist would supervise such works and catch any reptiles that may be disturbed.

## Enhancement

In order to meet the criteria of NPPF policies the habitats on the site can be easily managed to enhance their value to reptiles and biodiversity in general. Such features may involve:

- Periodic thinning of heavy scrub cover in areas of optimal habitat such as the south western corner of the site, to increase basking opportunities and prevent a reduction in habitat quality over time;
- Installing hibernacula in areas of optimal habitat to increase opportunities for hibernation and shelter.


## Appendix A

Figures



## Appendix B <br> Full Reptile Survey Results

| Date of survey visit | Weather | Refugium no. | Reptiles observed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17/08/12 | Cloud cover: 20\%. Wind speed: light. Ground moisture: dry. Rain: none. Temperature: $17-19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 2 4 27 | 1 adult male slow worm <br> 1 adult ale common lizard |
|  |  | 27 | 1 adult male common lizard |
|  |  | 29 | 1 adult male +1 female common lizard |
|  |  | 30 | 1 adult male +1 female common lizard |
|  |  | 54 | 1 female common lizard |
| 19/9/12 | Cloud cover: 15\%. Wind speed: light. Ground moisture: dry. Rain: none. Temperature: $14-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 4 | 1 adult female slow worm 1 adult female Adder |
|  |  | 13 | 1 adult female common lizard |
|  |  | 25 | 1 adult male +1 female common lizard |
|  |  | 35 | 1 adult male common lizard |
|  |  | 36 | 1 adult male common lizard |
|  |  | 49 | 1 adult common lizard |
|  |  | 51 | 1 adult female common lizard |
| 21/09/12 | Cloud cover: 90\%. Wind speed: light. Ground moisture: Dry. Rain: none. Temperature: $15-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 13 <br> 21 | 1 adult male +1 female common lizard <br> 1 adult female slow worm |
|  |  | 27 | 2 adult male + 1 female +2 adult common lizard |
|  |  | 36 | 1 adult male common lizard |
|  |  | 51 | 1 adult common lizard |
| 28/09/12 | Cloud cover: 10\%. Wind speed: light. Ground moisture: moist. Rain: intermittent. Temperature: $14-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 22 | 1 adult male slow worm <br> 1 adult common lizard |
|  |  | 27 | 1 adult male common lizard |
|  |  | 35 | 1 adult female common lizard |


| Date of survey visit | Weather | Refugium no. | Reptiles observed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/10/12 | Cloud cover: 20\%. Wind speed: Moderate. Ground moisture: damp. Rain: none. Temperature: 17$18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 1 | 2 adult female slow worm |
|  |  | 2 | 1 adult male common lizard |
|  |  | 4 | 1 adult male slow worm |
|  |  |  | 1 adult grass snake |
|  |  | 13 | 1 adult male common lizard |
|  |  | 29 | 1 adult male common lizard |
|  |  | 30 | 6 juvenile common lizard |
|  |  | 33 | 2 adult male common lizard |
|  |  | 40 | 1 adult male common lizard |
|  |  | 51 | 1 adult male common lizard |
|  |  | 54 | 2 adult male common lizard |
| 03/10/12 | Cloud cover: 80\%. Wind speed: light. Ground moisture: wet. Rain: none. Temperature: $18-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 2 | 1 adult female common lizard |
|  |  | 4 | 1 adult female common lizard |
|  |  | 27 | 2 juvenile common lizard |
|  |  | 30 | 3 juvenile common lizard |
|  |  | 29 | 1 adult male common lizard |
|  |  | 40 | 1 adult male common lizard |
| 04/10/12 | Cloud cover: 0\%. Wind speed: Still. Ground moisture: dry. Rain: none. Temperature: $17-19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | - | none |

# Royal Haskoning <br> Sizewell Power Station ISFSI and Car Park Extension Reptile Survey Report 2008 

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Background

Entec UK Ltd have been commissioned by Royal Haskoning (working on behalf of British Energy) to provide ecological support for an Environmental Impact Assessment for a new proposed development that will be located within and immediately adjacent to the existing Sizewell B nuclear plant. The proposed development comprises two components;

- The creation of a new independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) to the south of the existing Sizewell 'B' Power Station (located on an existing car park); and
- A car park extension to the north of the existing western car park servicing Sizewell 'B' (to replace the car park lost as a result of the ISFSI).

The location of the proposed development site is shown in Figure 1.1.
The first phase of ecological works comprised an Extended Phase 1 Habitat Survey, carried out in August 2008. The results of this survey are illustrated in Figure 1.2 and a summary is included within the Ecological Scoping Report already issued (Entec Doc. Reg. 23693cw005r, 2008). During the survey, the presence of common lizards (Zootoca vivipara) was recorded within the proposed footprint of the car park extension and habitats with the potential to support reptiles were noted within this area. A reptile survey to determine which species were present and the relative size of any populations was therefore recommended.

### 1.2 Site Description and Context

The site of the new ISFSI is primarily an existing car park and therefore predominantly comprises hard-standing. The current site boundary overlaps with a small electricity substation building which is surrounded by gravel and hard-standing. Adjacent to this part of the proposed development area are further areas of hard-standing, amenity grassland and a small strip of introduced shrub (located to the west). This area was considered to have negligible potential to support reptiles, and was therefore excluded from the survey.

The site of the proposed car park (central OS Grid Reference TM 470 634) comprises an open area of poor, semi-improved grassland of about 0.4 ha , that has either regenerated or been reseeded following the construction of the Power Station and which supports species indicative of calcareous substrates. The majority of the grassland and herb sward is short and disturbed by fairly extensive grazing by rabbits and trampling, especially in the central and eastern section. Although some scattered tall ruderal plants also occur, this area of the survey area is considered unlikely to support reptiles due to the lack of cover provided by the vegetation present.

The western section of the grassland is less grazed, rougher and damper, with a more complex sward structure. Beyond the western site boundary the land drops away to a wooded valley. The upper part of this bank is un-shaded and there are several small log piles and areas of scattered scrub. Given the habitat structure, this area is by far the most suitable to support reptiles within the survey area.

The grassland is bordered to the south by dense and scattered scrub, introduced shrub and scattered trees. These are generally characterized by sparse ground flora, offering little shelter for reptiles. To the east a sparse, narrow 'hedge' with very little ground flora borders the grassland. This hedge is planted on a $30-50 \mathrm{~cm}$ high bank, located on the grassland and is supported by logs and stones, several of which are loose providing small gaps into the bank. Some potential for reptiles was noted here, though little vegetation cover is present.

Immediately to the west of the wooded valley, approximately 30 m from the development area, lies the Sizewell Marshes SSSI, which covers an area of 104ha. This site was designated on the basis of the large area of lowland unimproved wet meadow it contains. Associated with the wet meadows are outstanding assemblages of invertebrates, breeding birds and several nationally scarce plant species. The SSSI is on an area of deep fen peat with a permanently high water table. There is an extensive ditch system and the area is prone to flooding.

The main Sizewell 'B' Power Station (and associated hard-standing) lies to the east of the survey area. Areas of hard standing are also present to the north and south of the site. Linear, semi-natural habitats occur to the north of the survey area in the form of grass borders and scrub, which link the survey area to suitable reptile habitats to the north of the power station.

### 1.3 Legislation

All the common, native species of reptiles (common or viviparous lizard, adder Vipera berus, grass snake Natrix natrix and slow worm Anguis fragilis) are listed under Schedule 5 of The Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981). Part of Section 9(1) and all of Section 9(5) apply. As such it is an offence to:

- Intentionally kill or injure an individual of these species; and
- Transport for sale or exchange, or offer for sale or exchange a live or dead an individual or any part of an individual of these species.

All native reptile species are listed on the new UK BAP Priority Species list published in 2007, as well as on the List of Species of Principal Importance under Section 41 of the NERC Act 2006 ${ }^{1}$. The Suffolk BAP lists adder as a character species.

Entec has interpreted 'intentionally' as meaning 'not taking steps to avoid' in line with current interpretation of legal terminology (Simpson, 2007). It is therefore necessary for proposed developments to take account of potential effects on reptiles.
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### 1.4 Purpose of Survey Work

The implication of the legislation is that proposed developments need to take account of potential effects on reptiles. In areas where suitable habitat exists, and in the absence of contemporary baseline data existing for the species (that is directly relevant to a proposed development site), survey work is necessary to establish whether reptiles are present, and if present to determine an indicative population size. This enables appropriate mitigation, translocation, habitat enhancement and creation initiatives to be planned and incorporated into the design of the development concerned, and ensures that there is no significant negative effect on the conservation status of the species at local level. The presence of reptiles within the area is well documented (refer to section 3.1). The aim of the survey work in this case was to determine which species currently use the area of the proposed car park extension and in what relative numbers.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Desk Study

Existing information regarding reptiles within the Sizewell Estate and surrounding land was obtained from the following sources:

- Multi-Agency Geographical Information System website (www.magic.gov.uk);
- Suffolk Wildlife Trust (SWT);
- ADAS and SWT: Sizewell Land Management Report - Annual Review 2007-2008 and 2006-2007;
- British Energy (including the Integrated Land Management Plan [ILMP] and studies undertaken by ecological consultants, SWT, the Environment Agency, universities and colleges, special interest groups and individuals; and
- Suffolk Amphibian and Reptile Group. Suffolk Amphibian and Reptile Atlas Provisional (2007) by Martin Sanford (Suffolk Biological Records Centre) and John Baker (Suffolk Amphibian and Reptile Group).


### 2.2 Field Surveys

The survey methodology followed guidance provided in Froglife's Advice Sheet 10 - Reptile Survey, an introduction to planning, conducting and interpreting surveys for snake and lizard conservation (Froglife, 1999) and took into account additional guidance provided by Gent \& Gibson (2003).

### 2.2.1 Survey Area

The proposed car park extension site consists of roughly 0.5 ha . The areas within this targeted by the reptile survey include the areas of rough grassland on the western section of the site, the more open areas of grassland on the upper part of the slope and the areas of scrub bordering the site as a whole. Photographs of representative areas of habitat are included in Appendix A.

### 2.2.2 Artificial Refugia

Artificial refugia, comprising of $0.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m}$ roofing felt and corrugated tin sheets, were laid out within the preliminary works area in locations considered to have the highest potential to support reptiles on the $8^{\text {th }}$ September 2008.

When conducting survey work aimed at deriving indicative population sizes for reptiles, Froglife (1999) recommend placing 5-10 refugia per hectare (ha) of suitable habitat. A total of 40 reptile refugia were used for this survey of 0.5 ha , significantly exceeding the recommended density. All the refugia were numbered and mapped for ease of data recording. Figure 2.1 illustrates the locations and numbers of these refugia on the site.

The surveys were not limited to refugia checks. While moving between tiles, surveyors recorded any reptile flushed, basking or otherwise seen. For ease of data interpretation, these were recorded as being located at the nearest tile.

For each individual reptile sighted, the following data was recorded: refugia number, species, age class and sex.

### 2.2.3 Timing of Survey and Weather Conditions

Thirteen survey visits were made between $12^{\text {th }}$ September and $3^{\text {rd }}$ October 2008. Although a variety of weather conditions occurred on the survey days, these were suitable for surveying the reptile populations. Weather conditions were recorded in detail on each visit and these are included in the survey data in Appendix B.

### 2.2.4 Survey Limitations

The surveys were carried out within the time of year and weather conditions recommended by Froglife guidelines (1999) and are considered sufficient to characterise the reptile population present. The guidance recommends that surveys are carried out between March and October, with March, April and September being the most productive months, given suitable weather conditions. A suitable air temperature for surveys should be between $9^{\circ}$ and $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (HGBI, 1999). These conditions were met throughout this survey, though low night time temperatures ( $5^{\circ}-7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) during the latter days at the beginning of October may have resulted in lower numbers of individual reptiles being observed, though the species present are all likely to have been recorded accurately.

It is not always possible to identify the species or sex of an animal in the time afforded by brief glimpses during a survey when reptiles are disturbed. On one occasion a brief sighting of a snake was made which could not be confirmed to species level with certainty. It was likely to have been a juvenile grass snake, based on the size and colour of the tip of the tail that was observed, and has therefore been recorded as such.

### 2.3 Biodiversity Evaluation

### 2.3.1 Population Classification

The Froglife (1999) guidelines set out a method for obtaining a population class for reptile species, based on the maximum number of adults recorded on a single survey visit. This is also the basis for the selection of Key Reptile Sites. Certain sites may qualify for Key Reptile Site status and this may in turn lead to their designation as a County Wildlife Site (CWS). Table 2.1 below summarises the method used for calculating class size.

Table 2.1. Classification of the Reptile Populations

| Species | Low Population <br> (Score 1 point) | Good Population <br> (Score 2 points) | Exceptional Population <br> (Score 3 points) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Common lizard | $<5$ | $5-20$ | $>20$ |
| Slow worm | $<5$ | $5-20$ | $>20$ |
| Adder | $<5$ | $5-10$ | $>10$ |
| Grass snake | $<5$ | $5-10$ | $>10$ |

N.B. Figures in the table refer to maximum number of adults seen by observation and/or under tins (placed at a density of 10 per hectare), by one person in one day.

To qualify for the Key Reptile Site Register a site must meet at least one of the following criteria:

- It supports three or more reptile species;
- It supports two snake species;
- It supports an exceptional population of one species;
- It supports an assemblage of species scoring a total of at least 4 points; or
- The site does not satisfy the above criteria but is of particular regional importance due to local rarity.

This population class assessment is also used to quantify any subsequent mitigation required, such as the recommended duration of any translocation exercises that may be necessary, as detailed by the Herpetofauna Groups of Britain and Ireland (HGBI, 1998). A population class assessment as outlined above was carried out for each reptile species found to be present within the survey area.

### 2.3.2 Habitat Evaluation

The value of the habitats present for reptiles within the survey area at Sizewell will be assessed based on the findings of the survey results as well as on contextual information, such as previous records, connectivity to suitable habitat outside the survey area and the status of each species within the local area, the county and across the country.

In terms of biodiversity conservation value, species' populations, habitats and sites have been valued using the geographical frame of reference described below, which have been adapted from those set out by IEEM (2007):

- International;
- UK;
- National (i.e. England);
- Regional (i.e. East of England);
- County (i.e. Suffolk);
- District;
- Parish; and
- Less than parish.

The above frame of reference is intended to standardise the evaluation process and ensure that the scale of any impacts can be clearly understood.

With reference to these IEEM categories, when attempting to value the importance of a site to reptiles the consultant must ultimately make an informed decision based on professional judgement. To inform the process of evaluation, a wide ranging desk study is required to complement the results of survey work and subsequent estimations of relative population size, as this will inform as to whether the site is:

- Typical of the county, region or area;
- To what extent the indicative size of the populations of the reptile species supported are notable; and
- Where the site is located in relation to other areas of nearby suitable reptile habitat (i.e. it could comprise sub-optimal habitat on the edge of more suitable habitat or it could provide an important link for a reptile population that could otherwise become fragmented).

Habitat quality, including structural and floristic diversity, the extent of the habitat available, its fragility and rarity, can also be factored into the evaluation process. Other tools that can be used for guidance include criteria for the selection of County Wildlife Sites and the Reptile Key Sites Criteria published by Froglife and summarised above.

## 3. Results

### 3.1 Desk Study

## Historical Information relating to the Sizewell Estate

The Sizewell Land Management Report Annual Review 2007-2008 and 2006-2007 by ADAS and SWT indicate that slow worm, common lizard, adder and grass snake are all present within an area surveyed at Goose and Kenton Hills, 900 m to the north-west of the proposed development site.

SBRC indicates that all four common reptile species are widespread throughout the Sizewell Estate and beyond. All four species have been recorded within the estate within the last 9 years with many recent records for common lizard, adder and grass snake. However, only seven records exist for slow worms dating back to 1980.

## Previous Entec Surveys of parts of the Sizewell Estate (2007)

A reptile survey undertaken by Entec in 2007 (in relation to the new nuclear build proposals) found that all four common reptile species were present within the area surveyed (which is located to the north of the existing Power Station and proposed development site) with exceptional (as defined by Froglife, 1999) populations of adders and slow worms, a good
population of common lizard and a low population of grass snake present. This indicates the quality and continuity of reptile habitat within the Sizewell Estate.

Common lizards where observed throughout the survey period. The results show a clear concentration of this species in the habitats closer to the coastline, most notably the un-grazed, improved grassland swards and within the coastal grassland habitats. Common lizards were observed in low numbers at isolated locations within the plantation woodland of Dunwich Forest and Goose Hill, but records were absent further west.

In contrast to the common lizard distribution, high numbers of slow worms were recorded in greater densities and more frequently within the woodland habitats along ride edges. This distribution was fairly even across the plantation woodland habitats. An absence of records is apparent in more open habitats towards the coastline with only a few observations made within the un-grazed grassland within the potential new build area. These observations were made primarily in areas close to dense scrub and/or woodland habitats that provided denser cover.

Adders were observed in both the open grassland habitats to the east of the area surveyed and within the plantation woodland habitats, with no clear distinction between the two. There appear to be hubs of greater densities of this species within Dunwich Forest, with lower numbers elsewhere. Grass snakes, although slightly more frequently recorded, exhibit a similar distribution to the adder population recorded within the survey.

During this survey, all four species were recorded $\sim 500 \mathrm{~m}$ north from the proposed car park extension site, in an area connected to the site by further suitable habitat.

## Information Relating to the Wider Area

Extensive records of common lizard, adder and grass snake exist for the land surrounding the estate, with the majority of the observations being made by Robin Harvey at the Minsmere RSPB Reserve located to the north. A study of aerial photography and knowledge of the habitats present gained through other survey work carried out for BE (in relation to the potential new nuclear build) indicate that there is connecting habitat suitable for reptiles between Minsmere and the Sizewell Estate.
Allan Miller and Carl Powell of the SWT ${ }^{2}$ were contacted formally by Entec in January 2008 in relation to the potential new nuclear build. They supplied the results of the ongoing reptile surveys at Leiston Common, which lies about 900 m to the west of the site. All four species were found to be present here.

At a county level, common lizard, grass snake and slow worm are general fairly widespread and all show a wide distribution within the area around the Sizewell Estate along the coastal habitats (Suffolk ARG, 2007). However, adder populations in Suffolk are nearly entirely restricted to sandy heathland areas (SWT, adder information fact sheet and Suffolk ARG, 2007). The adder population present within the Sandlings is of at least regional level biodiversity importance for the species, given the geographical continuity and size of the population. This area now covers about 2000ha in total, consisting of areas of remnant heath, which stretch along the Suffolk coast from Ipswich to Southwold.
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## $3.2 \quad$ Field Survey

A summary of the survey data is presented in Appendix B.
The surveys confirmed the presence of common lizard within the study area, with a maximum of 1 adult per visit (totalling 5 sightings, all females). However, higher numbers were recorded during the Extended Phase 1 Survey of the site and surrounding area, when six common lizards, of which at least 4 were adults, were seen. A possible sighting of a juvenile grass snake was also noted during the reptile surveys. The distribution of the reptile sightings was not uniform and most were made in the rough grassland at the top of the western slope.

Furthermore a dead adult adder, probably a road casualty, was found $\sim 30 \mathrm{~m}$ to the south-east of the survey area, within an area of gravel, adjacent to the main access roads. This individual is likely to have originated from the site, as this the nearest suitable habitat to where the carcass was found.

### 3.3 Biodiversity Evaluation

### 3.3.1 Population Classification

From the results of the survey it has been confirmed that, given the numbers of adult reptiles observed, a low population of common lizard is present. It is possible that very small numbers of adder and grass snake (i.e. 1 or 2 individuals) may also occur, based on the likely sighting of a juvenile grass snake and the dead adder found nearby. However, usage of the site by grass snake and adder is only likely to be occasional, given the size of the habitat available and because both snake species can range widely between hibernation and mating/summer foraging areas (Beebee and Griffiths, 2000).

Therefore, based on the survey results, the site does not currently qualify as a Key Reptile Site, as the adults of only one species were recorded here. Whilst it is acknowledged that both grass snake and adder are likely to occasionally use the site, and this would therefore meet the criteria of a Key Reptile Site, it is considered more appropriate to consider the potential development site as a small, peripheral area of the wider Sizewell Estate (which from the desk study data would clearly qualify as a Key Reptile Site) and not as a Key Reptile Site in its own right.

Given the site's size (0.5ha) and the low quality of habitat available, it does not qualify as a County Wildlife Site.

### 3.3.2 Habitat Evaluation

About $75 \%$ of the site (the central, eastern, northern and southern sections) comprises habitat which is considered unlikely to support reptiles, given the extent of rabbit grazing and lack of cover available for foraging or hibernating.

Therefore, a total of approximately 0.1 ha of suitable reptile habitat exists on site. Optimum habitat is present on the slope on the western section of the site, in the form of the rough grassland, scrub and wood piles. This area has greatest potential for use during hibernation as it is free draining and supports mammal burrows and log piles. However, low numbers of reptiles were recorded in this area during the survey, suggesting it is unlikely to support large numbers of hibernating reptiles.

The rough grassland on the flatter areas is also suitable for reptiles, particularly during the times of year of peak activity, as is the southern margin of the site, which due to the vegetation cover it provides is likely to be used for foraging by small numbers of reptiles.

The low bund on the eastern boundary of the site may be suitable for hibernating reptiles, however little vegetation cover for reptiles is present immediately around this, resulting in a low probability of this area being found and subsequently used by reptiles.

Overall, based on the amount and quality of the habitat available for reptiles, the site is considered to be of no more that parish value for this species group.

## 4. Conclusions

Low numbers of common lizard were recorded during the surveys, concentrated around the slope on the western boundary of the site. Adder and grass snake are also likely to be present in very low numbers.

Because of the connective habitat to areas offsite, the survey area can not be considered to support a reptile population in its own right, rather a number of individuals which are part of the populations in the wider area. These individuals are linked through suitable connective habitat with the population to the north recorded during the previous survey conducted by Entec (Entec Doc. Reg. 19801cr166).

## 5. Recommendations

The loss of the central area of the site would not result in a detrimental effect to the reptile populations of the area, and may not even affect individual animals, due to the poor quality habitat present. Therefore, neither mitigation nor compensation would be required for development that avoids the best areas of reptile habitat.

Should areas of suitable reptile habit be affected (particularly the western area) by works to reinforce the bank for example, it would represent at least a short-term loss of a small area of suitable habitat. If it were to be lost entirely, a long-term loss of habitat would occur and compensatory measures are recommended.

Loss of suitable reptile habitat could be compensated for by modifying the management of the grass banks west of the existing car park to the south of the survey area. These are currently maintained as amenity grassland, offering little potential as reptile habitat. Even if the management were limited to stopping scrub encroachment and reducing mowing with the aim of obtaining a more diverse and longer grass sward, the local reptile population would benefit greatly. This new area of habitat would also still be linked to the SSSI to the east. The area to the west of the car park extension could also be managed in this way to maintain connectivity to reptile habitats to the north. This would minimise the habitat loss and potentially result in a conservation gain for the proposed car park development.

In order to avoid injury to individual reptiles, a phased clearance of the development area supervised by an ecologist from Entec UK is recommended ahead of the construction works. This should occur during the summer months when reptiles not in hibernation and could be achieved through strimming of grassland, manual cutting of scrub and a turf strip (destructive searching) and will encourage any reptiles present to move away from construction site. This is particularly important if it is necessary to clear the western area of the site and areas of scattered or dense scrub.
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## Appendix A Photographs Illustrating Habitats Surveyed

Photograph 1. View of slope to the west of the grassland area.


## Entec

Photograph 2. View of central area of semi-improved grassland.
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## Appendix B Summary of Reptile Survey Results

Sizewell Power Station ISFSI and Car Park Extension Reptile Survey Report 2008

| Survey Day | Date | Start time | Weather conditions |  |  |  |  | Surveyor | Common lizard (adults) total | Slow worm (adults) total | Grass snake (adults) total | Adder (adults) total | All species' adult total | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { All species' total } \\ \text { (with juvs) } \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Temp. | Wind | Cloud (\%) | Ground | Rain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1209/2008 | 15:00:00 | 15-16 C | None | 95 | Wet | Earier in day | JB |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 13/09/2008 | 9:00:00 | 16 | None | 25 | Damp | None | RC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 14/09/2008 | 09:45:00 | 17 | 2-3E | 40 | Damp | None | RC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 16/09/2008 | 12:30:00 | 16 | None | 95 | Dry | None | JB | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 20/09/2008 | 11:00:00 | 19-20 C | 0-1 SE | 25 | Damp | Overright, none during survey | RC | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 21/09/2008 | 11:30:00 | 18-19 C | 0-1 SE | 25 | Damp | None | RC | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 7 | 24/09/2008 | 13:00:00 | 15 | 1-2 NE | 90 | Wet | Earier in day, light | JB |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 26/09/2008 | 12:00:00 | 17 | 0-1 | 0.5 | Dry | None | JB |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 27/09/2008 | 11:30:00 | 18-19 | 0-1 SE | 15 | Damp from dew | None | RC | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 28/09/2008 | 12:30:00 | 14 | 2 to 3 | 70 | Dry | None | JB |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 29/09/2008 | 10:30:00 | 14 | None | 25 | Dew | None | ET |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 01/10/2008 | 12:30:00 | 14 | 2 to 3 | 70 | Dry | None | JB | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | 03/10/2008 | 12:00:00 | 10 | 1-2 ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 90 | Wet | Some as survey finished | JB |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# EDF Energy <br> Sizewell Aldhurst Farm Reptile Survey Report 2010 

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Background to Development

An area of land directly north of the Sizewell ' B ' Power Station has been identified as having the potential to accommodate new nuclear plant 'Sizewell C '. This area, which covers $0.49 \mathrm{~km}^{2} / 49 \mathrm{ha}$, is referred to in this document as the 'Strategic Site Area (SSA).' In addition to these permanent development proposals there will also be a number of temporary construction activities and other associated developments.

To facilitate the development of Sizewell C, based on current proposals, it is likely that around 6ha of Sizewell Marshes SSSI will be lost. This area comprises predominantly reed bed and open water with fringing wet woodland. As a result of the potential loss within the SSSI there is a proposal to create compensatory habitat on farmland at 'Aldhurst Farm' located immediately to the west of the SSSI and on the north-eastern edge of Leiston.

In February 2010 an extended Phase 1 habitat survey of Aldhurst Farm identified that this compensation area contained habitats that had the potential to support reptiles. Field studies undertaken by Entec UK Ltd also identified that all four commonly occurring species of reptile are widespread throughout the Sizewell estate and adjoining habitats ${ }^{1}$.

The proposed conversion of land at Aldhurst Farm would likely result in the loss of habitats which have potential to support reptiles. Reptile survey work was therefore required to establish the presence of reptiles on site and undertake an assessment of any reptile populations in order to avoid contravention of the legislation that protects these animals in the UK (See section 1.2).

This report documents the methods used to undertake the reptile population assessment at Aldhurst Farm, and the results of the survey undertaken; recommendations are also made for appropriate mitigation required for the proposed conversion of the site to reed bed and open water.

### 1.2 Legislation

All six of the native reptile species of Britain are listed on Schedule 5 (Animals which are Protected) of the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981), as amended. Under section 9 (parts $1 \&$ 5) all species on Schedule 5 are protected from being intentionally killed, injured or taken or from being traded.

[^16]Entec has interpreted 'intentionally' as meaning 'not taking steps to avoid' in line with current interpretation of legal terminology (Simpson, 2007). It is therefore necessary for proposed developments to take account of potential effects on reptiles.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Desk Study

Existing information regarding reptiles within the Sizewell estate and surrounding land was obtained (further detail is provided in the Sizewell Desk Study report) from Existing information regarding reptiles within the survey area and surrounding land was obtained from readily available sources including the NBN Gateway ${ }^{2}$ and from the following sources.

- The Suffolk Wildlife Trust (SWT) ${ }^{3}$
- Suffolk Biodiversity Records Centre (SBRC)
- Cresswell Associates (2005). Sizewell A Power Station Decommissioning ES. Section 12: Ecology. Magnox Electric.
- Entec (2008) British Energy Group PLC. Sizewell Reptile Survey Report 2008.


### 2.2 Field Survey

### 2.2.1 Method

A preliminary presence/absence survey (seven visits) established the presence of reptiles and therefore the survey was extended to a full population assessment (twenty visits). A population class size assessment of Aldhurst Farm was undertaken in order to understand the extent of any existing reptile population present and its distribution. When conducting survey work aimed at deriving indicative population sizes for reptiles, Froglife (1999) ${ }^{4}$ recommend placing 5-10 refugia per hectare (ha) of suitable habitat. Please note that this does not refer to the entire area but the area of habitat considered to be suitable to support reptiles.

A maximum of approximately 5 ha of habitat within Aldhurst Farm was identified as being suitable to support reptiles and as such would require between 25 and 50 refugia being placed within the survey area. Taking into account the nature of the habitats on the ground a total of 44 refugia tiles were laid in order to undertake the survey, thereby meeting the requirements of the Froglife guidance.

Artificial refugia, comprising of $0.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m}$ roofing felt and corrugated tin sheets, were laid out within the survey area in locations considered to have the highest potential to support reptiles. These were placed in three main regions, a field margin of rank grassland along the eastern site boundary, an area of rank grassland adjacent to a wet ditch to the east of the site and a section of

[^17]semi improved grassland in the centre of the site; Figure 2.1 illustrates the locations of these tiles in the field.

While suitable habitat could be found around the majority of the site boundaries, these areas were often too narrow and in close proximity to areas of high agricultural activity, with a risk of interference to refugia mats and disturbance to reptiles.

### 2.2.2 Timing of Survey and Weather Conditions

Twenty survey visits were made between August and October. Survey effort was concentrated towards August and September when the weather for surveying reptiles is identified to be optimal by Froglife (Froglife 1999) when temperatures are cooler compared with June and July, thereby making reptile sightings more frequent. Reptile activity is very dependent on the weather and time of year, therefore surveys were conducted as far as was practically possible in optimum conditions. As ectotherms, reptiles must bask in order to warm themselves and become active. April, May and September are key months for basking reptiles, as more continuous mid-summer heat means reptiles require less basking time to become active. Successful surveys may still be carried into October however, if weather conditions are suitable. Optimum conditions occur under intermittent sunshine with little or no wind; particularly after a spell of cooler or wetter weather. Individual species have some specific preferences although generally it is preferable to survey when the temperature is between 10 and $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The weather conditions encountered during the survey period are considered to be suitable for surveying and accessing the reptile population present. Weather conditions were recorded in detail on each visit as were all reptile observations on site, including species, age class and sex of those found.

### 2.3 Valuation

The value of habitat for reptiles within the survey area at Aldhurst Farm will be assessed based on both the findings of the survey results, and factors such as availability of suitable habitat and the land management regime as recommended by Beebee and Grayson (1998) ${ }^{5}$.

## 3. Results

### 3.1 Desk Study

No site specific data is available with regard to reptile species that have been previously recorded at the Aldhurst Farm site however Leiston Common is adjacent to the east of this site, separated only by Lovers lane. A reptile survey of Leiston Common in 2002 recorded adder (Vipera berus), grass snake (Natrix natrix) and slow-worm (Anguis fragilis). A further presence/likely absence survey was conducted on behalf of BE during $2006^{6}$, this focussed in part on the plantation woodland habitat of Kenton Hill which is adjacent to the north east of Aldhurst Farm, and established frequent occurrences of grass snake with more occasional observations of slow worm and common lizard.

[^18]Data from SBRC provided in 2007 indicates that all four common reptile species are widespread throughout the Sizewell Estate and beyond. All four species have been recorded within the area within the last 9 years with many recent records for common lizard, adder and grass snake. However, only seven records exist for slow worms dating back to 1980. Extensive records of common lizard, adder and grass snake exist for the land surrounding the Sizewell estate. Habitats within Leiston common and the Sizewell estate are well connected and ecologically continuous, whilst Leiston common is separated from the Aldhurst farm site by a road, this may act to limit but not wholly prevent reptile movement between these habitats.

### 3.2 Overview of Site Habitats

Aldhurst Farm (Grid ref. TM449 633) sits to the west of the Sizewell Marshes SSSI, separated from the SSSI by Lover's Lane which bounds the east and north of the survey area. The survey area is also bounded by Valley Road and gardens of houses on Valley Road to the south and Abbey Road (and an industrial area) to the west. The survey area comprises of 69 ha of farmland of which the majority is arable; Figure 2.1 outlines the suitable habitat for reptiles within the Aldhurst Farm site.

### 3.2.1 Woodland Areas

Woodland areas present within Aldhurst Farm consist of a small block of broad-leaved deciduous woodland located adjacent to a former reservoir and a mixed conifer and deciduous plantation woodland (c. 0.8 ha ) with species comprising predominantly of pine (Pinus sp.) and willow (Salix sp.) located in the south east of the site. Tree lines and field boundaries on site, consist predominantly of mature lime interspersed with blackthorn (Prunus spinosa), field maple (Acer campestre), cherry (Prunus sp.), alder (Alnus glutinosa) and elder (Sambucus nigra). There are also a range of other mature trees located within the site, predominantly adjacent to ditches, including pollarded crack willows (Salix fragilis), ash (Fraxinus excelsior), sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus), holly (Ilex aquifolium) and lime.

### 3.2.2 Grassland Areas

The majority of habitat within Aldhurst Farm consists of arable land however small areas of grassland are present within the survey area. Rank grass can be found in narrow strips around the field margins, boundary hedges and along the banks of a main stream which crosses the site. These rank grassland strips are widest along these features in the north eastern corner of the site. An area (c. 0.8 ha ) of rank, semi-improved grassland and scattered scrub comprising predominantly of bramble (Rubus fruticosus agg.) is located on centrally on the highest point of the survey area.
he grassland areas present within Captains Wood are largely reverted arable habitats. The swards generally represent a neutral grassland with some indicative acidic species present in areas where the improved nature of the soil has reduced in time thereby allowing acidic influence to become apparent. The grassland areas to the south of Captains Wood have been in reversion for more than 5 years and a well established, tussocky sward has become established with some encroaching scrub. Some areas

### 3.2.3 Waterbodies

Waterbodies consist of a deep stream with little aquatic vegetation which flows from west to east across the site. At the western end, the stream flows around the edge of a small former
reservoir located which no longer holds water and is overgrown with reed (Phragmites australis).

## $3.3 \quad$ Field Survey

During the survey of artificial refugia three reptile species were recorded: viviparous lizard, grass snake and adder, both adults and juveniles were recorded for viviparous lizard and grass snake; no slow worms were recorded. A maximum adult count of seven was made for viviparous lizard, the maximum count for grass snake was two and adder one.

Viviparous lizards were the most abundant reptile species recorded and were widespread across all three key survey areas, with the greatest densities recorded in the area of semi improved grassland at the centre of the site; the distribution is illustrated in Figure 4.1.

Grass snakes were less widespread but were still recorded in low numbers along the eastern field margin, the wet ditch and the area of semi improved grassland. Adders were only recorded under refugia seventeen adjacent to the wet ditch by the eastern boundary; the distribution of both species of snake is illustrated in Figure 4.2.

Table 3.1 below summarises the results of the survey across the whole survey area. Full reptile results are presented in Appendix B.

Table 3.1 Summary of Reptile Survey Data


| Survey | Date | Weather conditions | Reptile observations |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Common lizards |  | Slow worm | Adder | Grass snakes |
| 6 | 28/08/10 | Cloud cover: 20\%. Wind speed: Moderate Ground moisture: Damp. Rain: Overnight Temperature. $14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3F, } 1 \text { Juv, } \\ & \text { 1M } \end{aligned}$ | 0 |  | 0 | 2 adult |
| 7 | 7/09/10 | Cloud cover: 0\%. Wind speed: none. Ground moisture: Dry. Rain: None. Temperature. $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 4F, 3 Juv, 3M | 0 |  | 0 | 2 adult |
| 8 | 8/09/10 | Cloud cover: 100\%. Wind speed: Moderate. Ground moisture: Dry. Rain: None. Temperature. $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 2F, 5 Juv, 3M | 0 |  | 0 | 1 adult |
| 9 | 14/09/10 | Cloud cover: 20\%. Wind speed: None. Ground moisture: Dry. Rain: None. Temperature. $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 3 Juv, 1M | 0 |  | 0 | 1 adult |
| 10 | 15/09/10 | Cloud cover: 40\%. Wind speed: Light. Ground moisture: Dry. Rain: None. Temperature. $14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 2F, 3 Juv, 2M | 0 |  | 1 F adult | 1 adult |
| 11 | 16/09/10 | Cloud cover: <5\%. Wind speed: Moderate. Ground moisture: Dry. Rain: None. Temperature. $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 2F, 3 Juv. | 0 |  | 0 | 2 adult, 1 Juv |
| 12 | 30/09/10 | Cloud cover: $<5 \%$. Wind speed: Light. Ground moisture: Dry. Rain: None. Temperature. $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 3 Juv. | 0 |  | 0 | 1 adult, 1 Juv |
| 13 | 1/10/09 | Cloud cover: $<5 \%$. Wind speed: Light. Ground moisture: Dry. Rain: None. Temperature. $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 2F, 1 Juv, 1M | 0 |  | 0 | 2 adult |
| 14 | 8/10/10 | Cloud cover: 30\%. Wind speed: Light. Ground moisture: Dry. Rain: None. Temperature. $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2F, } 4 \text { Juv, } \\ & 1 \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | 0 |  | 1F adult | 1 adult |
| 15 | 11/10/10 | Cloud cover: <5\%. Wind speed: Light/Moderate. Ground moisture: Dry. Rain: None. Temperature. $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2F, } 3 \text { Juv, } \\ & \text { 1M } \end{aligned}$ | 0 |  | 0 | 1 juv. |
| 16 | 12/10/10 | Cloud cover: 40\%. Wind speed: Still. Ground moisture: Dry. Rain: None. Temperature. $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 1F, 5 Juv, 1M | 0 |  | 0 | 2 adult |
| 17 | 13/10/10 | Cloud cover: 50\%. Wind speed: Light. Ground moisture: Damp. Rain: None. Temperature. $14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 3F, 2 Juv, | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
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|  |  |  |  | Reptile ob | erv |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Common lizards |  |  | Adder |  | Grass snakes |
| 18 | 15/10/10 | Clou spee mois Tem | $30 \%$. Wind Ground p. Rain: $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 2F, 6 Juv, 3M | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 19 | 19/10/10 | Clou spee mois Tem | <5\%. Wind ate. Ground p. Rain: $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 1F, 1 Juv. | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 20 | 20/10/10 | Clou spee mois Over | <50\%. Wind ate. Ground p. Rain: mperature. | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Maximum survey count per species |  |  | Adult | 7 | 0 | 1 |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | Juvenile | 11 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  |

### 3.4 Population Classification

As per Froglife's guidelines ${ }^{4}$, the classification of the relative size of common lizard, adder and grass snake populations was assessed on the basis of maximum survey counts of adults seen by observation and/or under artificial refugia (placed at a density of up to 10 per hectare), by one person in one day. The criteria for population size, based on the Froglife guidelines are outlined in Table 3.2 below.

Table 3.2 Classification of the Relative Size of Reptile Populations

| Species | Low Population | Good Population | Exceptional <br> Population |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Common lizard | $<5$ | $5-20$ | $>20$ |
| Slow worm | $<5$ | $5-20$ | $>20$ |
| Adder | $<5$ | $5-10$ | $>10$ |
| Grass snake | $<5$ | $5-10$ | $>10$ |

N.B. Figures in the table refer to maximum number of adults seen by observation and/or under tins (placed at a density of up to 10 per hectare), by one person in one day.

Based upon these criteria, the classification of the relative size of populations for each species within the survey area as a whole is summarised in Table $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ below.

Table 3.3 Reptile Population Classification Results for Survey Area at Sizewell Power Station

| Species | Maximum Adult Count | Population Classification |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Common lizard | 7 | Good |
| Slow worm | 0 | Low |
| Adder | 1 | Low |
| Grass snake | 2 | Low |

### 3.4.1 Valuation Summary

In accordance with Froglife guidelines, the site, supporting 3 reptile species, meets the criteria of a Key Reptile Site. It is however worth noting that the site does lie within a county known to support high populations of reptiles where suitable habitat is present, therefore the site for reptiles is not considered to be exceptional within the county of Suffolk. The habitats on site also lack ecological continuity with the wider landscape with the majority of the habitat on site being sub-optimal for reptiles, therefore the site as a whole is not thought to be of great importance to the biological conservation of all three reptile species.

## 4. Discussion

Common lizard, grass snake and adder have been confirmed to be present at Aldhurst Farm in low (grass snake and adder) and good numbers (vivparous lizard). Common lizard is widely distributed across the entire survey area; however greatest numbers are seen the central area of semi improved rank grassland and scattered scrub. Grass snakes were found in low densities along the stream, site boundary and semi improved grass area but were less widespread than common lizard. Adder was only recorded in one location, adjacent to the stream in the east of the site. It is thought that the central area of semi improved grassland and scrub offers optimal habitat for reptiles, providing foraging, basking and sheltering opportunities, whilst the field margins and bank side vegetation of rank grassland are too small in area and prone to agricultural disturbance to support large populations of reptile.

Suitable reptile habitat within the Aldhurst farm site is well connected and ecologically continuous due to the hedges, field margins and tree lines on site. This connectivity has allowed the area of optimal semi improved grassland in the centre of the site to be colonised by a good population of common lizards and also suggests that reptiles will have likely colonised any areas of the site which have suitable habitat.

Slow worms were not recorded during the survey which is surprising given that they are known to inhabit the wider landscape and the presence of all other common reptile species. It is likely that slow worms are present within the Aldhurst farm site but in very low densities so that they were not recorded during the survey.

The desk study found that Leiston common directly east of the site supports adder, grass snake and slow-worm, while Kenton Hill plantation woodland directly north east of the site contains grass snake, slow worm and common lizard. Previous surveys have also established that all four common reptile species are widespread throughout the Sizewell Estate and beyond. It is likely
that the reptile species recorded on site have colonised the area from more optimal habitats to the north and the east. Lovers lane and Valley road which bound the site will act to limit migration and colonisation of Aldhurst Farm site by reptiles but will not wholly stop any reptile movement from the wider landscape.

## 5. Conclusion

As part of proposals to convert land at Aldhurst farm into compensation habitat, reptile survey work was required to establish the presence of reptiles and if present to undertake a full population assessment. Survey work was undertaken at Aldhurst farm on areas of habitat that were considered suitable to support native reptile species. This assessment was carried out in accordance with best practice guidelines and techniques through August to October 2010.

Common lizard, grass snake and adder were recorded within the survey area. A good population of viviparous lizards were widespread across the study area with the highest densities in an area of scrub and semi improved grassland. A low population of grass snakes were less widespread across the site, while adder was only recorded in one location adjacent to a stream.

In accordance with Froglife ${ }^{4}$ guidelines, the site, supporting 3 reptile species, meets the criteria of a Key Reptile Site. However taking into account the small size and quality of habitats present, the ecological continuity within the wider landscape and the location within a county supporting high populations of reptiles, where suitable habitat is present, the site not considered to be exceptional within the county of Suffolk. Notwithstanding this, there is still a requirement to ensure that any development works on-site comply with the legislation that relates to this species.

If the site is to be converted to the proposed compensation habitat then a specific reptile mitigation scheme would be required.

## 6. Mitigation

Where it is impossible to avoid all potential effects on the reptile populations, a comprehensive reptile mitigation strategy must be developed, taking into account available guidance ${ }^{, 7,8,9}$, prior to any works commencing on site. Due to the seasonality of reptile activity, and the time it may take to successfully implement mitigation works, it is recommended that advice be sought from a qualified ecologist with regard to designing the strategy at least two years in advance of construction work commencing. Mitigation measures adopted will vary depending on the design of the development, but could potentially include:

- Enhancement of a receptor site - this would be carried out well in advance of any on-site mitigation.

[^19]- Trapping - this would consist of similar methods to the initial survey, checking artificial refugia under suitable weather conditions between April and September, and capturing any reptiles found. This would continue until a satisfactory effort had been made to remove animals from the site.
- Destructive search - this would involve undertaking a destructive search of all semi-natural habitats to be affected by the compensation scheme, where appropriate. As such a hand-search of vegetation, debris and rubble piles would take place, before a more thorough destructive search using a large excavator with a toothed bucket to gradually strip vegetation, and then the top 2 cm of earth. Deeper excavations would be made, to remove any remaining tree stumps and buried rubble. A suitably qualified ecologist would supervise these works and catch any reptiles that may be disturbed.

Reptiles captured from the site during the trapping and destructive search activities would be translocated to the prepared receptor site, or suitable retained habitats on-site.
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## 1. Primary Data

### 1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 This annex presents primary data from the Arcadis Consulting (UK) Limited (formerly Hyder Consulting, and hereafter referred to as Arcadis) 2014, 2015 and 2016 reptile surveys. Note this data has not been presented as a standalone report as the data was incorporated straight into the Technical Appendix Baseline Appendix 14A6 - Reptiles. It is presented here as raw for completeness. For full details of survey methodology, please refer to Appendix 14A6-Reptiles.

### 1.2 2014 Reptile receptor site survey

1.2.1 Artificial refugia, in the form of squares of roofing felt (approximately 1 metre square $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ ), were deployed in locations considered to have the highest potential to support reptiles in three of the proposed reptile receptor sites (Kenton Hills, St. James Covert and Broom Covert).
1.2.2 These surveys were carried out in the September/October seasonal survey window for reptiles. Refugia were allowed to 'bed in' for seven days before surveys commenced, to give sufficient time for discovery and use by reptiles. Refugia were then checked regularly between 18 September and 15 October 2014. The species, sex and age-class of any reptiles seen sheltering above and beneath the refugia were recorded.
1.2.3 Small numbers of reptiles were recorded at all three sites within the survey period. Common lizard (Zootoca vivipara) and slow-worm (Anguis fragilis) were recorded in all areas, while adder (Vipera berus) were only observed within the receptor site at Kenton Hills. No grass snake were recorded (Natrix helvetica helvetica).

Table 1.1: Receptor site survey dates and weather.

| Survey <br> Date | Weather conditions | Reptile receptor site |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Kenton Hills | St. James <br> Covert | Broom <br> Covert |
| $18 / 09 / 2014$ | $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, <br> dry, sunny spells | 1 M slow-worm | None <br> recorded | Not surveyed |
| $25 / 09 / 2014$ | $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, <br> dry, overcast with sunny spells | 1 M slow-worm | None <br> recorded | None <br> recorded |
| $30 / 09 / 2014$ | $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, <br> dry, sunny | None recorded | None <br> recorded | $1(\mathrm{~A})$ common <br> lizard |
| $01 / 10 / 2014$ | $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, | None recorded | None | None |


| Survey <br> Date | Weather conditions | Reptile receptor site |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Kenton Hills | St. James Covert | Broom Covert |
|  | dry, overcast |  | recorded | recorded |
| 09/10/2014 | $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-13^{\circ} \mathrm{C},$ <br> dry, sunny, still to light breeze. | None recorded | None recorded | None recorded |
| 14/10/2014 | $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C},$ <br> dry, approx. 40\% cloud cover, light breeze | 1F, SU adder <br> 1F, SU slowworm 1Juv slow-worm 1(A) common lizard | 1M common lizard | 1F common lizard |
| 15/10/2014 | $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> dry, 100\% cloud cover, light breeze | 1F, SU adder 1(A) common lizard | 2F slow-worm 1Juv slowworm 1M common lizard <br> 1(A) common lizard | 2Juv slowworm 1F common lizard |

Key: $\mathrm{M}=$ male, $\mathrm{F}=$ female, $\mathrm{SU}=$ sub-adult, Juv=juvenile, (A)=Adult but sex is unknown.

### 1.3 2015 Pillbox Field Reptile Survey

1.3.1 Surveys were carried out by Arcadis in Pillbox Field to the south of Sizewell $A$ and $B$ power stations, see Figure 14A6.6.
1.3.2 Forty-three reptile refugia were laid around the edge of this 7ha former arable field at approximately 10m intervals, on 17 August 2015. These refugia were then checked regularly between 8 September and 20 October 2015, and any reptiles seen classified to species, sex and age class as described above. Small populations of all four common reptile species were recorded within the survey period (see below).

Table 1.2: Pillbox Field site survey dates and weather.

| Survey <br> Date | Weather <br> conditions | Reptile observations |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Common <br> lizard | Sow-worm | Adder | Grass snake |  |
| $18 / 09 / 2015$ | $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 SU | 2 F | None <br> recorded | 1 SU |
| $10 / 09 / 2015$ | $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, <br> dry, sunny | None recorded | None recorded | None <br> recorded | None <br> recorded |
| $14 / 09 / 2015$ | $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, <br> dry, light cloud | 1 SU | None recorded | 1 J | None <br> recorded |


| Survey <br> Date | Weather <br> conditions | Reptile observations |  |  | Common <br> lizard |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, <br> dry, 80\% cloud <br> cover, light breeze | $1 \mathrm{~F}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ | None recorded | None <br> recorded | None <br> recorded |
| $06 / 10 / 2015$ | $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, <br> dry, humid | None recorded | None recorded | None <br> recorded | None <br> recorded |
| $12 / 10 / 2015$ | $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, <br> dry, 80\% cloud <br> cover, light breeze | None recorded | None recorded | None <br> recorded | None <br> recorded |
| $20 / 10 / 2015$ | $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, <br> dry, $50 \%$ cloud <br> cover, light breeze | None recorded | None recorded | None <br> recorded | None <br> recorded |

1.42015 reptile surveys to estimate population densities at donor and receptor sites
a) Locations of the nine survey sites (shown in Figure 14A6.6)

Table 1.3: 2015 reptile survey site dates and weather.

| Site | SiteID | Refugia distribution | Amount of habitat |  | Survey dates: |  | Number of surveys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Area <br> (ha) | Length (m) | Spring/ summer | Autumn |  |
| Arable hedgerow margin | 1 | Linear along field margin | $0.24{ }^{1}$ | 599m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13/04/2015- } \\ & \text { 06/07/2015 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 02/09/2015 } \\ & -14 / 10 / 2015 \end{aligned}$ | 34 |
| Conifer plantation, Goose Hill | 2 | Grid (10*10) | 1.0 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13/04/2015- } \\ & 06 / 07 / 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 03 / 09 / 2015 \\ & -13 / 10 / 2015 \end{aligned}$ | 30 |
| Ride habitat, Goose Hill | 3 | Linear along rides | $0.27^{1}$ | 675m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13/04/2015- } \\ & 07 / 07 / 2015 \end{aligned}$ | 03/09/2015 <br> 15/10/2015 | 33 |
| Scrub habitat, Goose Hill | 4 | Grid ( $\sim 25^{*} 4$ ) | 1.0 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13/04/2015- } \\ & 07 / 07 / 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 09 / 09 / 2015 \\ & -20 / 10 / 2015 \end{aligned}$ | 37 |
| Open grassland/ scrub habitat, main platform | 7 | Irregular | 0.7 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15/04/2015- } \\ & \text { 09/07/2015 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 02 / 09 / 2015 \\ -15 / 10 / 2015 \end{array}$ | 32 |
| Landscape plantation, main platform | 8 | Grid (10*10) | 0.8 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15/04/2015 - } \\ & \text { 09/07/2015 } \end{aligned}$ | 02/09/2015 <br> 15/10/2015 | 27 |

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

| Site | Site <br> ID | Refugia distribution | Amount of habitat |  | Survey dates: |  | Number of surveys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Area <br> (ha) | Length (m) | Spring/ summer | Autumn |  |
| Clear fell habitat, Kenton Hills | 5 | Grid (10*10) | 0.9 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13/04/2015- } \\ & 07 / 07 / 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 02 / 09 / 2015 \\ & -\quad 14 / 10 / 2015 \end{aligned}$ | 26 |
| Clear fell habitat, St. James Covert | 6 | Irregular within reptile fencing | 1.1 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 07/04/2015 - } \\ & \text { 06/07/2015 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 02/09/2015 } \\ & \text { 20/10/2015 } \end{aligned}$ | 30 |
| Former arable land, Studio Field | 9 | Grid | 1.1 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 03/06/2015 - } \\ & 15 / 07 / 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 02 / 09 / 2015 \\ & - \\ & 20 / 10 / 2015 \end{aligned}$ | 21 |

${ }^{1}$ Based on width of linear feature of 4 m
b) Reptile survey site photographs

Plate 1.1: Reptile survey site photographs 2015.


| Ride (Goose Hill) Area 3 | Arable hedgerow margin: Area 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Main platform, open grassland/scrub habitat: Area 7 | Main platform, landscape plantation: Area 8 |
|  |  |
| Kenton Hills: Area 5 | St James Covert: Area 6 |


c) Adder and grass snake Capture-Mark-Recapture Methodologies 2015
1.4.1 In the case of adder, the unique head and neck patterns were used to identify individuals. For grass snake, the ventral scales around the cloaca were used to identify individuals. For both species, any damage or scarring (from old injuries) was also used to identify different individuals.

Plate 1.2: The distinctive patterns of adder and grass snake are shown below.

1.4.2 Where possible, capture-mark-recapture (CMR) data was analysed using Program MARK ${ }^{1}$. Population estimates were calculated using the POPAN formulation, which follows the Jolly-Seber mark recapture model ${ }^{2}$. This model was selected as it takes account of open populations and multiple trapping sessions.
1.4.3 Using the Jolly-Seber model assumes an open population, allowing individuals to both enter the population (births and immigration) and leave the population (deaths and emigration). There are a number of assumptions that need to be considered when using this model:

- animals retain their tags throughout the experiment (i.e. adders/grass snakes retain the same scale patterns);
- tags are read properly (i.e. surveyors correctly identify different individuals);
- catchability is the same for all animals (marked and unmarked) at each sampling location (homogeneous catchability);
- survival probabilities are the same for all animals (marked and unmarked) between sampling occasions (homogeneous survival);

[^20]- the study area is constant. If the study area changes over time then the population size many change.
d) Results


## i. Capture mark recapture data

1.4.4 With regard to snakes, 54 adders and 27 grass snakes were caught and photographed for individual identification. Of these, 39 adders were different individuals ( 12 males, 23 females and 4 juveniles), and 20 grass snakes were different individuals. These results were used to ascertain a population number for the extent of donor habitat surveyed, and this figure has been extrapolated to provide a population estimate for the proposed development site as a whole.
1.4.5 Using the Jolly-Seber mark recapture model, the following population estimates have been made:

Adders within the scrub area of Goose Hill (Area 4)
1.4.6 There is an estimated population of 22.4 adders within the scrub area of Goose Hill. The lower $95 \%$ confidence limit is 16.2 adders, and the higher $95 \%$ confidence limit is 62.2 adders.

## Adders within the main platform grassland/scrub habitat (Area 7)

1.4.7 There is an estimated population of 22.40 adders within the main platform grassland area. The lower $95 \%$ confidence limit is 16.18 adders, and the higher $95 \%$ confidence limit is 61.28 adders.

## Adders within Kenton Hills east section (Area 5)

1.4.8 There is an estimated population of 5.0 adders within Kenton Hills east section. The lower 95\% confidence limit is 5.0 adders, and the higher 95\% confidence limit is also 5.0 adders.

## Grass snakes within the arable margins (Area 1)

1.4.9 There is an estimated population of 6.0 grass snakes within the arable margins area. The lower $95 \%$ confidence limit is 6.0 grass snakes, and the higher $95 \%$ confidence limit is also 6.0 grass snakes.

## ii. Non CMR population estimates

1.4.10 CMR data from snake recaptures could not be used to predict population sizes, in any of the other capture areas (Areas 2, 3, 5, 6, 8 and 9). For
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these areas, population estimates were made using the total numbers of different adult individuals caught. The survey results for the different survey areas are summarised below.

## iii. Donor sites

## Arable margins (Area 1)

1.4.11 A "good" population of slow-worm (maximum seven adult individuals per survey) was identified within this survey site, which is located south-west of Ash Wood. In addition, nine adult grass snakes (six different individuals) were caught and photographed for CMR. No adder or common lizard were found within this site.

## Goose Hill Conifer plantation (Area 2)

1.4.12 Three slow-worms (one adult, two juvenile) and one common lizard were found within this area. With the exception of one adult male slow-worm, all other reptiles were found along the edge of the conifer woodland. In addition, one small grass snake (potentially last year's young) was caught and photographed within the area.

## Goose Hill Ride (Area 3)

1.4.13 A "good" population of slow-worm (maximum five adult individuals per survey), and two common lizards, were found along the rides in this survey area. Juvenile and sub-adult slow-worms were also found (maximum six per survey). Five grass snakes (two adults, three juveniles) have been caught and photographed for CMR, resulting in four different individuals being identified. One of these individuals was previously caught and photographed in the scrub survey area. One small grass snake was caught and photographed, and no adders were found.

## Goose Hill Scrub (Area 4)

1.4.14 All four species of reptile identified within the wider landscape were found consistently within this area. Thirty-five adders were observed ( 15 different individuals identified through CMR) along with 14 grass snake records (eight different individuals caught and photographed). "Good" populations of slow-worm (maximum 15 adult individuals per survey), and a "good" population of common lizard (maximum seven adult individuals per survey) was also recorded. There was a higher proportion of female than male slow-worm (85:36), and sub-adults and juveniles of the species were also found (68 in total). There are potentially higher numbers of reptiles within this area than would be predicted from these findings, as the dense
bracken and bramble make it difficult to see individuals, especially in the case of common lizards.

## Main platform grassland (Area 7)

1.4.15 A total of 22 adders have been observed in this area, all within the scrub area along the bund. Of these 22 adders, 18 were caught and photographed, and 15 different individuals were identified (five males, nine females and one juvenile). A "good" population of common lizard was also identified (maximum 11 adult individuals per survey) throughout the midlength of the site in the long grassland, and within the scrub area, but not in the short grassland. A maximum of six adult slow-worms per survey was found, mainly on the scrub bund and also in the long, slightly wet patch of grassland. No grass snakes were observed.

## Main platform landscape plantation (Area 8)

1.4.16 Low numbers of common lizards (four individual per survey) was found within the scrub area along the edge of the conifer plantation within the main platform site. In addition, one female adder was caught on two occasions from the patch of gorse scrub at the conifer plantation north east edge. No grass snake or slow-worm were found in this area.

## iv. Receptor sites

## Kenton Hills eastern section (Area 5)

1.4.17 Low numbers of all four common reptile species were found within the eastern section of Kenton Hills. Within this area, a maximum of one grass snake, three common lizards, eight slow-worms (four adult individuals), and five adders (one adult, four juvenile) were found per survey. Nine adders were caught and photographed for CMR within this section, of which five were different individuals (one male, three females and one juvenile). One adult grass snake was caught and photographed for CMR and was caught again at a later date.

## St James Covert (Area 6)

1.4.18 A "good" population of slow-worm (maximum eight adult individuals per survey) and a "low" population of common lizard (maximum one per survey) was found within this area. The majority of slow-worm found were female (29:8), and 21 juveniles and sub-adults were also found. One grass snake was caught and photographed for CMR, and two adders were seen (one male, one female), of which one was caught and photographed.

## Studio field (Area 9)

1.4.19 Reptile tins were deployed within Studio on 14/05/2015 (following the completion of mitigation work by third party in early May), and surveys commenced on 03/06/2015, once the tins had "bedded-in". A maximum of two common lizards were found per survey, and one gravid female adder was caught and photographed on two occasions. No grass snake or slowworm have been observed within the area.
e) Population estimates
1.4.20 Using standard reptile survey population size evaluation criteria, based on maximum number of adults seen on any one survey occasion (Froglife, 19993) (see below), and CMR data (where appropriate), it is possible to estimate population size/density for the nine survey sites. Survey results averaged over the six donor sites are also presented.

Table 1.4: Population size class estimates (Froglife, 1999³).

| Species | Population size classes |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Small | Medium | Large |
| Slow-worm | $<10$ | $10-40$ | $>40$ |
| Common lizard | $<5$ | $5-20$ | $>20$ |
| Adder | $<5$ | $5-10$ | $>10$ |
| Grass snake | $<5$ | $5-10$ | $>10$ |

[^21]Table 1.5: Maximum numbers of adult reptiles found per survey and population size/density estimation for each of the nine survey sites. NB CMR data is also included for snakes at three sites.

|  |  | Amount of habitat |  | Slow-worm |  |  | Common lizard |  |  | Adder |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Area (ha) | Length (m) | Max. no. adults | Population score | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Den } \\ & \text { sity } \\ & \text { /ha } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max. } \\ \text { no. } \\ \text { adults } \end{gathered}$ | Population score | Density /ha | Max. no. adult s | Population score | Density/ ha | Max. no. adult s | Population score | Densit y/ha |


| Donor sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arable hedgerow margin | 1 | 0.2 | 599m | 7 | Good | 29.2 | 0 | Low | - | 0 | Low | - | 2 | Low | 10.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.01 | Good | 30.0 |
| Conifer plantation, Goose Hill | 2 | 1.0 |  | 1 | Low | 1.0 | 1 | Low | 1.0 | 0 | Low | - | 1 | Low | 1.0 |
| Ride habitat, Goose Hill | 3 | 0.3 | 675m | 5 | Good | 18.5 | 2 | Low | 7.4 | 0 | Low | - | 1 | Low | 3.3 |
| Scrub |  | 1.0 |  | 15 | Good | 15.0 | 7 | Good | 7.0 | 4 | Low | 4.0 | 2 | Low | 2.0 |
| G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $22.4{ }^{1}$ | Exceptional | 22.4 |  |  |  |
| Open grassland/ | 7 | 0.7 |  | 6 | Good | 8.6 | 11 | Good | 15.7 | 4 | Low | 5.7 | 0 | Low | - |

main
platform
Receptor sites

| Site | Site ID | Amount of habitat |  | Slow-worm |  |  | Common lizard |  |  | Adder |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Area (ha) | Length (m) |  | Population score | Den sity /ha |  | Population score | Density /ha | Max. no. adult s | Population score | Density/ ha | Max. <br> no. adult S | Population score | Densit y/ha |
| scrub habitat, main platform |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $22.4{ }^{1}$ | Exceptional | 32.0 |  |  |  |
| Landscape plantation, main platform | 8 | 0.8 |  | 0 | Low | - | 4 | Low | 5.0 | 1 | Low | 1.3 | 0 | Low | - |
| Receptor sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clear fell habitat, |  | 0.9 |  | 4 | Low | 4.4 | 3 | Low | 3.3 | 2 | Low | 2.2 | 1 | Low | 1.1 |
| Hills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $5.0^{1}$ | Good | 5.6 |  |  |  |
| Clear fell habitat, St. James | 6 | 1.1 |  | 8 | Good | 7.3 | 1 | Low | 0.9 | 1 | Low | 0.9 | 1 | Low | 0.9 |
| Former arable land, Studio Field | 9 | 1.1 |  | 0 | Low | - | 2 | Low | 1.8 | 1 | Low | 0.9 | 0 | Low | - |

Table 1.6: Mean population density estimation for each of the nine survey sites. NB CMR data is also included for snakes at three sites.

|  | Slow-worm |  | Common Lizard |  | Adder |  | Grass snake |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Mean <br> max. <br> adult <br> count | Density/ <br> ha | Mean <br> max. <br> adult <br> count | Density <br> /ha | Mean <br> max. <br> adult <br> count | Density/ <br> ha | Mean <br> max. <br> adult <br> count | Density/ <br> ha |
| Mean donor <br> site <br> score/density | 5.7 | 12.1 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 2.7 |
| Mean donor <br> site <br> score/density <br> (incorporatin <br> g CMR) | - | - | - | - | - | 9.3 | - | 6.1 |

## f) Seasonal variation in reptile catch

1.4.21 The subsequent diagrams show the differences in the average numbers of reptiles caught per survey during the Spring/Summer and Autumn surveys on the donor sites, with the season split between Spring/Summer (AprilJuly) and Autumn (September-October). On all sites containing grass snake (with the exception of the conifer plantation), higher numbers of the species were caught in the Autumn survey period than the Spring/Summer survey period. This may be due to grass snake being a highly mobile species which disperse rapidly after emergence from hibernation in the Spring to waterbodies and marshy grassland, where they stay throughout the Summer, and therefore they were only being caught on their return from wetland sites to Winter hibernation quarters. With the exception of the scrub survey area, none of the survey sites are close to waterbodies which supports this suggestion.

## i. Arable margin

1.4.22 For the arable margins (Area 1), the diagram below shows that more grass snake were caught in the autumn survey period (average 0.33 per survey) than the Spring/Summer survey period (average 0.14 per survey). Slowworm captures remained the same throughout the year (average 0.33 per survey), and no adder or common lizard were found on the site.

Plate 1.3: A comparison of the numbers of reptiles caught at the arable margins (Area 1) during Spring/Summer and Autumn surveying.


## ii. Goose Hill conifer plantation

1.4.23 In the conifer plantation (Area 2) the diagram below shows that no slowworm were found during the Spring/Summer survey period, whereas several (average 0.33 per survey) were found during the Autumn survey period. Although grass snake and common lizard were found during the Spring/Summer period and not the Autumn period, the numbers caught in Spring were very low (average 0.05 per survey) and so the differences between the two survey periods are not significant. No adder were caught during either survey period.

Plate 1.4: A comparison of the numbers of reptiles caught at the conifer plantation (Area 2) during Spring/Summer and Autumn surveying.


## iii. Goose Hill conifer rides

1.4.24 As can be seen on the diagram below for the rides (Area 3), there were greater average capture rates of grass snake, common lizard and slowworm during the Autumn surveys than the Spring/Summer surveys. This difference was most significant with slow-worm, with an average of 0.43 caught per survey in Spring/Summer, and 0.9 caught in Autumn. No adder were found throughout the year at this site.

Plate 1.5: A comparison of the numbers of reptiles caught at the rides (Area 3) during Spring/Summer and Autumn surveying.


## iv. Goose Hill scrub

1.4.25 The diagram below shows that in the Goose Hill scrub (Area 4) a greater average number of reptiles were caught per survey during the Autumn survey period than the Spring/Summer period for all four species. However, with the exception of common lizard (with an average of 0.26 per survey in spring/summer and 0.5 per survey in Autumn), this difference was not minimal

Plate 1.6: A comparison of the numbers of reptiles caught at the scrub (Area 4) during Spring/Summer and Autumn surveying.


## v. Main platform grass/scrub

1.4.26 Within the main platform grass/scrub (Area 7) area, more common lizard were caught in Autumn than Spring/Summer with an average of 0.44 per survey in spring/summer and 2.14 in Autumn. Adder captures remained very similar throughout the year (average 0.16 per survey in spring/summer and 0.14 in autumn). Slightly higher average capture rates of slow-worm were found in the autumn than Spring/Summer, and no grass snake were found throughout the year.

Plate 1.7: A comparison of the numbers of reptiles caught at platform grassland/scrub (Area 7) during Spring/Summer and Autumn surveying.


## vi. Main platform landscape plantation

1.4.27 Within the main platform landscape plantation area (Area 8), there were greater average capture rates of common lizard in Autumn than Spring/Summer (0.2 per survey in Spring/Summer and 0.43 in Autumn). One adder was caught in Spring, whereas none were found during the Autumn period. No grass snake or slow-worm were found throughout the year on this site.

Plate 1.8: A comparison of the numbers of reptiles caught at the platform landscape plantation (Area 8) during Spring/Summer and Autumn surveying.

g) Detailed survey results

Table 1.7: Weather conditions during reptile surveys.

| Date | Site | Start <br> temp. ${ }^{\circ}$ C | End <br> temp. ${ }^{\circ}$ C | Weather |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $07 / 04 / 2015$ | St James Covert | 11 | 12 | Dry, sunny |
| $08 / 04 / 2015$ | St James Covert | 11 | 11 | Dry, sunny |
| $13 / 04 / 2015$ | Scrub, Goose Hill | 12 | 12 | Dry, sunny |
| $13 / 04 / 2015$ | St James Covert | 12 | 13 | Dry, sunny |
| $13 / 04 / 2015$ | Rides, Goose Hill | 13 | 13 | Dry, sunny |


| Date | Site | Start temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | End temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Weather |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13/04/2015 | Arable margins | 13 | 13 | Dry, sunny |
| 13/04/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 12 | 13 | Dry, sunny |
| 13/04/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 12 | 13 | Dry, sunny |
| 14/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 013 | 16 | Dry, sunny |
| 14/04/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 16 | 19 | Dry, sunny |
| 14/04/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 18 | 19 | Dry, sunny |
| 15/04/2015 | Main platform grassland | 15 | 18 | Dry, overcast |
| 15/04/2015 | Main platform plantation | 19 | 18 | Dry, sunny |
| 15/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 12 | 14 | Dry, sunny |
| 17/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 12 | 11.5 | Dry, sunny, patchy cloud |
| 20/04/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 10 | 12 | Dry, sunny, patchy cloud |
| 20/04/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 14.5 | 13.5 | Dry, sunny, clear |
| 20/04/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 14.5 | 13.5 | Dry, sunny, clear |
| 20/04/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 11 | 10 | Dry, sunny, patchy cloud |
| 21/04/2015 | Arable margins | 10 | 14 | Dry, sunny, clear, moderate breeze |
| 21/04/2015 | St James Covert | 14 | 14 | Dry, sunny, clear |
| 22/04/2015 | Main platform grassland | 11 | 11 | Dry, light breeze |
| 22/04/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 12 | 12 | Dry, sunny, light breeze |
| 22/04/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 11 | 12 | Dry, sunny, light breeze |
| 22/04/2015 | Arable margins | 11 | 10 | Dry, patchy cloud, light breeze |
| 23/04/2015 | Main platform plantation | 16 | 18 | Dry, sunny, light breeze |
| 23/04/2015 | Arable margins | 10 | 11 | Dry, overcast, light breeze |
| 24/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 14 | 16 | Dry, sunny, clear |
| 24/04/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 12 | 13 | Dry, sunny, 20\% cloud cover |
| 24/04/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 12 | 13 | Dry, sunny, 20\% cloud cover |
| 27/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 14 | 16 | Dry, sunny, 10\% cloud cover |
| 27/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 13 | 13 | Dry, sunny, 10\% cloud cover |
| 27/04/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 10 | 10 | Dry, sunny |
| 27/04/2015 | St James Covert | 23 | 13 | Dry, sunny, 10\% cloud cover |
| 27/04/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 10.5 | 11 | Dry, sunny |
| 27/04/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 10.5 | 11 | Dry, sunny |
| 27/04/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 9 | 9 | Dry, patchy cloud, moderate |


| Date | Site | Start temp. 으 | End temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Weather |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | breeze |
| 27/04/2015 | Arable margins | 11 | 11 | Dry, sunny, patchy cloud, moderate breeze |
| 28/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 13 | 13 | Dry, sunny, 30\% cloud cover |
| 28/04/2015 | Arable margins | 10 | 11 | Dry, sunny, 30\% cloud cover |
| 28/04/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 9 | 10 | Dry, sunny, clear, light breeze |
| 28/04/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 9 | 10 | Dry, sunny, clear, light breeze |
| 29/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 9 | 12 | Overcast, light rain |
| 29/04/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 12 | 13 | Dry and sunny, recent heavy rain |
| 29/04/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 11 | 11 | Dry, sunny, recent heavy rain |
| 29/04/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 11 | 11 | Dry, sunny, recent heavy rain |
| 29/04/2015 | Arable margins | 12 | 13 | Dry, sunny, recent heavy rain |
| 30/04/2015 | Main platform grassland | 10 | 11 | Dry, clear, light breeze |
| 30/04/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 13 | 12 | Dry, patchy sun, light rain at end of survey |
| 30/04/2015 | St James Covert | 13 | 13 | 70\% cloud cover |
| 05/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 16 | 16 | Dry, sunny, moderate breeze |
| 05/05/2015 | Main platform plantation | 17 | 16 | Dry, moderate breeze, 60\% cloud cover |
| 05/05/2015 | Arable margins | 15 | 16 | Dry, sunny, moderate breeze, heavy rain overnight |
| 05/05/2015 | St James Covert | 17 | 17 | Dry, sunny, $30 \%$ cloud cover, moderate breeze |
| 06/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 13 | 13 | Patchy cloud, moderate breeze |
| 06/05/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 13 | 13 | Patchy cloud, moderate breeze |
| 06/05/2015 | St James Covert | 15 | 15 | Dry, sunny, light breeze |
| 07/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 14 | 14 | Dry, sunny, 40\% cloud cover |
| 07/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 13 | 13 | Dry, 90\% cloud cover, heavy rain earlier in day |
| 07/05/2015 | St James Covert | 13 | 15 | Dry, sunny, 30\% cloud cover |
| 07/05/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 13 | 13 | Dry, sunny, 10\% cloud cover |
| 07/05/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 13 | 13 | Dry, sunny, 10\% cloud cover |
| 07/05/2015 | Arable margins | 15 | 14 | Dry, light wind, 90\% cloud cover |


| Date | Site | Start <br> temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | End temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Weather |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 14 | 14 | Dry, hazy sunshine, 60\% cloud cover |
| 08/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 11 | 12 | Dry, sunny |
| 11/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 17 | 20 | Dry, light breeze |
| 11/05/2015 | Main platform plantation | 21 | 22 | Dry, sunny, light breeze, 10\% cloud cover |
| 11/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 16 | 18 | Dry, 80\% cloud cover, light breeze |
| 11/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 18 | 18 | Dry, sunny |
| 11/05/2015 | Arable margins | 16 | 16 | Dry, hazy sunshine with $80 \%$ cloud cover |
| 12/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 13 | 13 | Dry, overcast, light breeze |
| 12/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 18 | 18 | Dry, light breeze, 50\% cloud cover |
| 12/05/2015 | Main platform plantation | 14 | 14 | Dry, overcast, light breeze |
| 12/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 17 | 16 | Dry, sunny, patchy cloud |
| 12/05/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 17 | 18 | Dry, sunny, light breeze, 40\% cloud cover |
| 12/05/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 17 | 18 | Dry, sunny, light breeze, 40\% cloud cover |
| 12/05/2015 | Arable margins | 15 | 15 | Dry, 50\% cloud cover, rain previously |
| 13/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 11 | 12 | Dry, clear, light breeze |
| 13/05/2015 | St James Covert | 15 | 16 | Dry, sunny, clear |
| 13/05/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 16 | 16 | Dry, sunny, clear |
| 13/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 12 | 12.5 | Dry, sunny |
| 15/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 12 | 13 | Dry, 70\% cloud cover, heavy rain previous night |
| 15/05/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 13 | 13 | Dry, 70\% cloud cover, heavy rain previous night |
| 18/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 12 | 12 | Sunny, dry, 10\% cloud cover |
| 18/05/2015 | Main platform plantation | 13 | 13 | Dry, 50\% cloud cover |
| 19/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 10 | 10 | Sunny, 10\% cloud cover |
| 19/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 12 | 12 | Patchy sun, 90\% cloud cover |
| 19/05/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 13 | 14 | Sunny intervals, $70 \%$ cloud cover |


| Date | Site | Start temp. 으 | End temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Weather |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19/05/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 13 | 14 | Sunny intervals, 70\% cloud cover |
| 19/05/2015 | St James Covert | 12 | 12 | Sunny, 20\% cloud cover |
| 20/05/2015 | Main platform conifer | 10 | 10 | Sunny, dry |
| 20/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 11 | 11 | 50\% cloud cover, sunny |
| 21/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 14 | 14 | Sunny, clear |
| 21/05/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 15 | 15 | Sunny, clear |
| 21/05/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 17 | 17 | Sunny, 50\% cloud cover |
| 21/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 14 | 14 | Sunny, clear |
| 21/05/2015 | Arable margins | 14 | 14 | Sunny, clear |
| 21/05/2015 | Arable margins | 16 | 16 | Sunny, 30\% cloud cover |
| 26/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 19 | 19 | Dry, sunny, light breeze, 40\% cloud cover |
| 26/05/2015 | Main platform plantation | 17 | 16 | Dry, sunny, 40\% cloud cover |
| 26/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 15 | 14 | Dry, 80\% cloud cover |
| 26/05/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 14 | 14 | Dry, overcast, light breeze |
| 26/05/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 14 | 14 | Dry, overcast, light breeze |
| 26/05/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 15 | 14 | Dry, 80\% cloud cover |
| 26/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 13 | 13 | Dry, sunny, 50\% cloud cover |
| 26/05/2015 | Arable margins | 15 | 15 | Dry, overcast, light breeze |
| 27/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 16 | 16 | Dry, sunny, 30\% cloud cover |
| 27/05/2015 | Main platform plantation | 15 | 15 | Dry, sunny, light breeze, 50\% cloud cover |
| 27/05/2015 | St James Covert | 15 | 17 | Dry, sunny, 40\% cloud cover |
| 27/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 12 | 14 | Dry, sunny, 20\% cloud cover |
| 28/05/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 14 | 14 | Dry, light breeze, 60\% cloud cover |
| 28/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 14 | 14 | Dry, 70\% cloud cover, light breeze |
| 28/05/2015 | Arable margins | 14 | 14 | Dry, sunny, 40\% cloud cover |
| 01/06/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 14 | 15 | Dry, sunny, 20\% cloud cover |
| 01/06/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 15 | 15 | Sunny, 50\% cloud cover |
| 01/06/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 14 | 15 | Dry, sunny, 40\% cloud cover |
| 02/06/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 21 | 19 | Sunny, 40\% cloud cover |


| Date | Site | Start temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | End temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Weather |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/06/2015 | Main platform grassland | 17 | 17 | Dry, sunny, 30\% cloud cover |
| 03/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 17 | 16 | Sunny, 40\% cloud cover |
| 03/06/2015 | St James Covert | 18 | 16 | Sunny, 40\% cloud cover |
| 03/06/2015 | Studio Field | 17 | 17 | Sunny, 40\% cloud cover |
| 03/06/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 19 | 19 | Dry, sunny |
| 03/06/2015 | Arable margins | 22 | 19 | Dry, sunny |
| 04/06/2015 | Main platform grassland | 16 | 17 | Dry, sunny, clear |
| 04/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 17 | 17 | Dry, sunny, clear |
| 04/06/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 14 | 14 | Sunny, clear |
| 05/06/2015 | Arable margins | 17 | 17 | Humid, $70 \%$ cloud cover, light rain |
| 08/06/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 14 | 15 | Clear, sunny |
| 08/06/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 16 | 17 | Sunny, clear |
| 08/06/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 14 | 15 | Sunny, clear |
| 08/06/2015 | Arable margins | 16 | 16 | Dry, sunny, 30\% cloud cover |
| 09/06/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 14 | 14 | Sunny intervals, 40\% cloud cover |
| 09/06/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 13 | 13 | Sunny intervals, 40\% cloud cover |
| 09/06/2015 | Studio Field | 14.5 | 14.5 | Sunny, 30\% cloud cover |
| 09/06/2015 | St James Covert | 13 | 14 | Sunny, 40\% cloud cover |
| 09/06/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 14 | 14 | Sunny, 20\% cloud cover |
| 09/06/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 14 | 14 | Sunny, 20\% cloud cover |
| 10/06/2015 | Main platform grassland | 15 | 15 | Sunny intervals, 50\% cloud cover |
| 10/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 15 | 15 | Sunny intervals, 50\% cloud cover |
| 10/06/2015 | Arable margins | 15 | 15 | 80\% cloud cover |
| 11/06/2015 | Main platform grassland | 15 | 16 | Sunny, clear |
| 11/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 16 | 16 | Sunny, clear |
| 11/06/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 14 | 14 | Sunny, clear |
| 12/06/2015 | Studio Field | 17 | 18 | Sunny, clear |
| 15/06/2015 | Arable margins | 14 | 14 | Sunny, clear |
| 15/06/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 13 | 13 | Sunny, clear |


| Date | Site | Start temp. ${ }^{\circ}$ C | End temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Weather |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15/06/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 13 | 13 | Sunny, clear |
| 15/06/2015 | St James Covert | 13 | 13 | Sunny, clear |
| 15/06/2015 | Studio Field | 23 | 23 | Sunny, clear |
| 16/06/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 18 | 15 | Sunny, clear |
| 16/06/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 15 | 15 | Sunny, 70\% cloud cover |
| 16/06/2015 | Studio Field | 17 | 17 | Sunny, clear |
| 16/06/2015 | Studio Field | 13 | 14 | Overcast |
| 16/06/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 15 | 15 | Sunny intervals, $40 \%$ cloud cover |
| 17/06/2015 | Main platform grassland | 18 | 18 | Sunny, clear |
| 17/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 18 | 18 | Sunny, clear |
| 18/06/2015 | Main platform grassland | 15 | 15 | Dry, 70\% cloud cover |
| 18/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 14 | 14 | Overcast, 90\% cloud cover |
| 18/06/2015 | St James Covert | 19 | 15 | Sunny, 40\% cloud cover |
| 18/06/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 15 | 14 | Patchy sun, 80\% cloud cover |
| 18/06/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 15 | 14 | Patchy sun, 80\% cloud cover |
| 22/06/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 15 | 16 | Overcast, humid |
| 22/06/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 16 | 16 | Sunny, 30\% cloud cover |
| 22/06/2015 | Arable margins | 16 | 16 | Overcast, humid |
| 22/06/2015 | Studio Field | 18 | 18 | Sunny |
| 22/06/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 16 | 17 | Sunny, 30\% cloud cover |
| 22/06/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 16 | 17 | Sunny, 30\% cloud cover |
| 23/06/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 13 | 13 | Overcast |
| 23/06/2015 | St James Covert | 14 | 14 | Sunny |
| 24/06/2015 | Main platform grassland | 17 | 19 | Sunny, 20\% cloud cover |
| 24/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 19 | 19 | Sunny, 30\% cloud cover |
| 24/06/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 20 | 20 | Sunny |
| 25/06/2015 | Main platform grassland | 18 | 19 | Sunny, clear |
| 25/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 19 | 19 | Sunny, clear |
| 25/06/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 16 | 17 | Sunny, clear |
| 25/06/2105 | Studio Field | 20 | 20 | Sunny |
| 25/06/2015 | Arable margins | 16 | 16 | Sunny |
| 01/07/2015 | Main platform grassland | 18 | 18 | Sunny, clear |


| Date | Site | Start temp. 으 | End temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Weather |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/07/2015 | Main platform plantation | 19 | 20 | Sunny, hot, clear |
| 01/07/2015 | Arable margins | 22 | 22 | Sunny, hot, clear |
| 02/07/2015 | Main platform grassland | 22 | 23 | Sunny and hot, warm overnight |
| 02/07/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 19 | 20 | Sunny, hot, overnight temperature in excess of 18 degrees |
| 02/07/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 20 | 21 | Sunny, hot, overnight temperature in excess of 18 degrees |
| 03/07/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 15 | 16 | Sunny, clear |
| 03/07/2015 | Studio Field | 18 | 18 | Sunny, clear |
| 03/07/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 15 | 15 | Sunny, clear |
| 03/07/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 15 | 15 | Sunny, clear |
| 03/07/2015 | St James Covert | 17 | 17 | Sunny, clear |
| 06/07/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 19 | 19 | Sunny, 30\% cloud cover |
| 06/07/2015 | Arable margins | 19 | 20 | Sunny, 40\% cloud cover |
| 06/07/2015 | St James Covert | 18 | 20 | Sunny, 15\% cloud cover |
| 06/07/2015 | Studio Field | 19 | 19 | Sunny, clear |
| 07/07/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 19 | 19 | Dry, 80\% cloud cover |
| 07/07/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 19 | 19 | Dry, 80\% cloud cover |
| 07/07/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 21 | 20 | Sunny, clear |
| 07/07/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 21 | 20 | Sunny, clear |
| 09/07/2015 | Main platform grassland | 15 | 16 | Sunny, 30\% cloud cover |
| 09/07/2015 | Main platform plantation | 15 | 16 | Sunny, 30\% cloud cover |
| 15/07/2015 | Studio Field | 18 | 19 | Sunny |
| 02/09/2015 | Main platform grassland | 18 | 18 | Sunny, dry |
| 02/09/2015 | Main platform plantation | 18 | 18 | Sunny, dry |
| 02/09/2015 | St James Covert | 17 | 17 | Sunny, dry |
| 02/09/2015 | Arable margins | 15 | 15 | Sunny, dry |
| 02/09/2015 | Studio Field | 16 | 16 | Sunny, dry |
| 02/09/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 12 | 13 | Dry, overcast |
| 02/09/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 12 | 13 | Dry, overcast |
| 03/09/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 14 | 14 | Overcast, dry |
| 03/09/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 13 | 13 | Dry, overcast |
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| Date | Site | Start temp. 으 | End temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Weather |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/09/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 16 | 16 | Dry, 95\% cloud cover |
| 08/09/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 15 | 15 | Dry, 90\% cloud cover |
| 08/09/2015 | St James Covert | 21 | 16 | Sunny |
| 08/09/2015 | Studio Field | 17 | 21 | Sunny |
| 08/09/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 16 | 16 | 80\% cloud cover |
| 08/09/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 16 | 16 | 80\% cloud cover |
| 09/09/2015 | Main platform grassland | 17 | 18 | Sunny, dry |
| 09/09/2015 | Main platform plantation | 18 | 19 | Sunny |
| 09/09/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 18 | 18 | Sunny |
| 09/09/2015 | Studio Field | 20 | 19 | Sunny, dry |
| 09/09/2015 | St James Covert | 19 | 17 | Sunny |
| 09/09/2015 | Arable margins | 19 | 18 | Sunny, dry |
| 10/09/2015 | Arable margins | 19.5 | 19 | Sunny, dry |
| 10/09/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 18 | 19 | Sunny |
| 10/09/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 18 | 19 | Sunny |
| 11/09/2015 | Main platform grassland | 17 | 17 | Sunny, dry |
| 11/09/2015 | Main platform plantation | 16 | 15 | Sunny |
| 11/09/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 17 | 17 | Sunny, clear |
| 11/09/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 17 | 17 | Sunny |
| 11/09/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 17 | 17 | Sunny |
| 14/09/2015 | Studio Field | 16 | 14 | Dry, light breeze, light rain at end of survey |
| 15/09/2015 | Main platform grassland | 13 | 10 | $95 \%$ cloud cover, some light rain |
| 15/09/2015 | Main platform plantation | 12 | 12 | Overcast, dry to light rain |
| 15/09/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 18 | 19 | Sunny |
| 15/09/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 18 | 15.5 | Dry, 25\% cloud cover |
| 15/09/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 15 | 16 | Dry, 50\% cloud cover |
| 16/09/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 14.5 | 14.5 | Overcast, moderate breeze |
| 16/09/2015 | Arable margins | 16 | 13.5 | Overcast, occasional light rain |
| 17/09/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 12 | 14 | Overcast, then clear and sunny at the end of the survey |
| 17/09/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 12 | 14 | Overcast, then clear and sunny at the end of the survey |


| Date | Site | Start temp. 응 | End temp. 으 | Weather |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17/09/2015 | St James Covert | 11.5 | 11.5 | Overcast, dry, light breeze |
| 22/09/2015 | Main platform grassland | 11 | 12 | Dry, overcast, light breeze |
| 22/09/2015 | Main platform plantation | 10 | 11 | Dry, overcast, light breeze |
| 22/09/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 12.5 | 12.5 | Dry, overcast, hazy sunshine |
| 22/09/2015 | Studio Field | 11.5 | 11.5 | Dry, overcast, light breeze |
| 22/09/2015 | St James Covert | 11.5 | 13 | Dry, 80\% cloud cover, light breeze |
| 22/09/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 14 | 14 | Dry, overcast, hazy sunshine |
| 22/09/2015 | Arable margins | 12.5 | 12.5 | Overcast, hazy sunshine, light breeze |
| 23/09/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 13.5 | 13.5 | Clear, sunny, light breeze |
| 23/09/2015 | Studio Field | 12.5 | 12.5 | Patchy cloud, light breeze |
| 23/09/2015 | St James Covert | 14 | 14 | Clear, sunny, light breeze |
| 23/09/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 14.5 | 15 | Patchy cloud, sunny, light breeze |
| 23/09/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 15 | 15 | Sunny, patchy cloud, light breeze |
| 23/09/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 14 | 14.5 | Dry, patchy cloud, light breeze |
| 23/09/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 14 | 14.5 | Dry, patchy cloud, light breeze |
| 23/09/2015 | Arable margins | 16 | 15 | Dry, 50\% cloud cover |
| 24/09/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 14 | 15 | Dry, 50\% cloud cover, light breeze |
| 24/09/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 15 | 15 | $50 \%$ cloud cover, then clear and sunny at the end of the survey |
| 24/09/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 15 | 15 | $50 \%$ cloud cover, then clear and sunny at the end of the survey |
| 06/10/2015 | Arable margins | 16 | 16 | Overcast, humid, light breeze |
| 06/10/2015 | St James Covert | 16 | 16 | Overcast, humid, light breeze |
| 06/10/2015 | Studio Field | 17 | 18 | Overcast, humid, light breeze |
| 07/10/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 15.5 | 16 | Overcast, light breeze, light rain, heavy rain previous night |
| 07/10/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 15 | 15 | Dry, overcast, heavy rain previous night |
| 07/10/2015 | Studio Field | 15 | 15 | Overcast, light breeze |


| Date | Site | Start temp. 으 | End temp. 으 | Weather |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/10/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 16 | 16 | Overcast, humid, light breeze, light rain earlier in day |
| 07/10/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 15 | 15 | Dry, overcast, light breeze, heavy rain previous night |
| 07/10/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 15 | 15 | Dry, overcast, light breeze, heavy rain previous night |
| 08/10/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 13.5 | 14 | Dry, sunny, light breeze, 10\% cloud cover |
| 08/10/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 11 | 11 | Dry, sunny, clear, light breeze |
| 08/10/2015 | St James Covert | 14.5 | 14.5 | Dry, 10\% cloud cover, sunny, light breeze |
| 08/10/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 12 | 12 | Dry, sunny, $20 \%$ cloud cover, light breeze |
| 08/10/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 13.5 | 14 | Dry, sunny, 20\% cloud cover |
| 08/10/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 13.5 | 14 | Dry, sunny, 20\% cloud cover |
| 08/10/2015 | Arable margins | 10.5 | 11 | Dry, sunny, clear, light breeze |
| 09/10/2015 | Main platform grassland | 10.5 | 12 | Dry, sunny, light breeze |
| 09/10/2015 | Main platform plantation | 10 | 10 | Sunny, dry, cool |
| 13/10/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 12 | 12 | Dry, moderate breeze, 70\% cloud cover |
| 13/10/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 12 | 12 | Overcast, moderate breeze, dry |
| 13/10/2015 | St James Covert | 11 | 12 | Dry, 40\% cloud cover, moderate breeze |
| 13/10/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 12 | 12 | Overcast, moderate breeze, dry |
| 14/10/2015 | Arable margins | 13 | 13 | Dry, 50\% cloud cover, on/off rain throughout the day |
| 14/10/2015 | Studio Field | 12.5 | 12.5 | Dry, 50\% cloud cover, light breeze |
| 14/10/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 10 | 11 | Dry, light breeze, heavy rain previous night |
| 14/10/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 10 | 11 | Dry, light breeze, heavy rain previous night |
| 15/10/2015 | Main platform grassland | 11 | 11 | Overcast, dry, light breeze |
| 15/10/2015 | Main platform plantation | 11 | 11 | Dry, overcast, light breeze |
| 15/10/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 10 | 10 | Dry, overcast, light breeze |


| Date | Site | Start <br> temp. ${ }^{\circ}$ C | End <br> temp. ${ }^{\circ}$ C | Weather |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15 / 10 / 2015$ | Rides, Goose Hill | 11 | 11.5 | Dry, overcast, light breeze |
| $20 / 10 / 2015$ | Scrub, Goose Hill | 11 | 11 | Dry, sunny, clear, light breeze |
| $20 / 10 / 2015$ | Studio Field | 10 | 11.5 | Dry, 50\% cloud cover, light <br> breeze |
| $20 / 10 / 2015$ | St James Covert | 10 | 10 | Dry, 50\% cloud cover, light <br> breeze |

Table 1.8: Full reptile survey results. (NB? = adult of unknown sex). | Date | Site |
| :--- | :--- |

| Date | Site | Site ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 07/04/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 08/04/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 13/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 11 |
| 13/04/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 13/04/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 13/04/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 13/04/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 13/04/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 14/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 14/04/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 14/04/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 15/04/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 15/04/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


| Date | Site | Site ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 15/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 17/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 20/04/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 20/04/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 20/04/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 20/04/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 21/04/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 21/04/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 22/04/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 22/04/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 22/04/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 22/04/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 23/04/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 23/04/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |


| Date | Site | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Site } \\ \text { ID } \end{array}$ | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | ? | M | F | Juv | Subadult | ? | Adul $t$ | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 24/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 24/04/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 24/04/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 27/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |
| 27/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 27/04/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 27/04/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 27/04/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 27/04/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 27/04/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 27/04/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 28/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |
| 28/04/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 28/04/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 28/04/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29/04/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |

[^22]| Date | Site | Site ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 29/04/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 29/04/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 29/04/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 29/04/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30/04/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 30/04/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 30/04/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 30/04/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 05/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 05/05/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 05/05/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 05/05/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 06/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 7 |
| 06/05/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |


| Date | Site | Site <br> ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul t | Juv | Sub- <br> adult | $?$ |  |
| 06/05/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 07/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 07/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 07/05/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 07/05/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 07/05/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 07/05/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 08/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 08/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 11/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 11/05/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 11/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 11 |
| 11/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |


| Date | Site | Site <br> ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Sub- <br> adult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | ? | Adul t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 11/05/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 7 |
| 12/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 12/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 12/05/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 12/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 10 |
| 12/05/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 12/05/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 12/05/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 13/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 13/05/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 13/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 13/05/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 15/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 5 |


| Date | Site | Site <br> ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 15/05/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 18/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 18/05/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 19/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 19/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  | 2 |  |  | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 19/05/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 19/05/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 19/05/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 20/05/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 20/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 21/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 21/05/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 21/05/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |


| Date | Site | Site <br> ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Sub- <br> adult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Sub- <br> adult | $?$ | Adul t | Juv | Sub- <br> adult | $?$ |  |
| 21/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 21/05/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 21/05/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 26/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 26/05/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 26/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  | 1 |  |  | 3 | 11 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| 26/05/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 26/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 26/05/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 26/05/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 26/05/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 27/05/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 27/05/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |


| Date | Site | Site ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 27/05/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 5 | 10 | 5 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 27 |
| 27/05/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 28/05/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 28/05/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 28/05/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 01/06/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 01/06/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 01/06/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 02/06/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 8 |
| 03/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 03/06/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 03/06/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 03/06/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 03/06/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |


| Date | Site | Site ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 03/06/2105 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 04/06/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 04/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 04/06/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 7 |
| 05/06/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 08/06/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 6 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 08/06/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 08/06/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 08/06/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 08/06/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 09/06/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 09/06/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 09/06/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |


| Date | Site | Site ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 09/06/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 09/06/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 09/06/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 10/06/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 10/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 10/06/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 11/06/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 11/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 11/06/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 12/06/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 15/06/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 15/06/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 15/06/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 15/06/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

[^23]

| Date | Site | Site ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 15/06/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 16/06/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 16/06/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 16/06/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 16/06/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 16/06/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 17/06/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 17/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 18/06/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 18/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 18/06/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 18/06/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 18/06/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |


| Date | Site | Site <br> ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 22/06/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 9 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| 22/06/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 22/06/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 22/06/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 22/06/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 22/06/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 23/06/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 23/06/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 23/06/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 24/06/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 24/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 24/06/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 25/06/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |


| Date | Site | Site <br> ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | ? | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul <br> t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 25/06/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 25/06/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 25/06/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 25/06/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 01/07/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 01/07/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 01/07/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 02/07/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 02/07/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 02/07/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 03/07/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 03/07/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 03/07/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


| Date | Site | Site ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 03/07/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 03/07/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 06/07/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 06/07/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 8 |
| 06/07/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 06/07/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 07/07/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 07/07/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 07/07/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07/07/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 09/07/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 09/07/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 15/07/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 02/09/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 10 |


| Date | Site | Site ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 02/09/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 02/09/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |
| 02/09/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 02/09/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 02/09/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 02/09/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 03/09/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 9 |
| 03/09/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 08/09/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 08/09/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 08/09/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 9 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 08/09/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 08/09/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 08/09/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 09/09/2015 | Main platform | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 5 | 4 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |

site

| Date | Site | Site <br> ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | grassland |  |
| $09 / 09 / 2015$ | Main platform <br> plantation | 8 |
| $09 / 09 / 2015$ | Arable margins | 1 |
| $09 / 09 / 2015$ | St James covert | 6 |
| $09 / 09 / 2015$ | Studio Field | 9 |
| $10 / 09 / 2015$ | Arable margins | 1 |
| $10 / 09 / 2015$ | Kenton Hills West | 5 |
| $10 / 09 / 2015$ | Kenton Hills East | 5 |
| $10 / 09 / 2015$ | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |
| $11 / 09 / 2015$ | Main platform <br> grassland | 7 |
| $11 / 09 / 2015$ | Main platform <br> plantation | 8 |
| $11 / 09 / 2015$ | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |
| $11 / 09 / 2015$ | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |
| $11 / 09 / 2015$ | Conifer, Goose <br> Hill | 2 |


| Date | Site | Site ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Sub- <br> adult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 14/09/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 15/09/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 15/09/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 15/09/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 14 |
| 15/09/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 5 |
| 15/09/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 | 1 |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 16/09/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 16/09/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| 17/09/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 17/09/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 4 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 17/09/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 22/09/2015 | Main platform grassland | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 22/09/2015 | Main platform plantation | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |

site

| Date | Site | Site <br> ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| $22 / 09 / 2015$ | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |
| $22 / 09 / 2015$ | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |
| $22 / 09 / 2015$ | Arable margins | 1 |
| $22 / 09 / 2015$ | St James covert | 6 |
| $22 / 09 / 2015$ | Studio Field | 9 |
| $23 / 09 / 2015$ | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |
| $23 / 09 / 2015$ | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |
| $23 / 09 / 2015$ | Conifer, Goose <br> Hill | 2 |
| $23 / 09 / 2015$ | Arable margins | 1 |
| $23 / 09 / 2015$ | Kenton Hills West | 5 |
| $23 / 09 / 2015$ | Kenton Hills East | 5 |
| $23 / 09 / 2015$ | St James covert | 6 |
| $23 / 09 / 2015$ | Studio Field | 9 |
| $24 / 09 / 2015$ | Conifer, Goose <br> Hill | 2 |
| $24 / 09 / 2015$ | Kenton Hills West | 5 |


| Date | Site | Site ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
| 24/09/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| 06/10/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 06/10/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 06/10/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 07/10/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 07/10/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 07/10/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 07/10/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 07/10/2015 | Kenton Hills East | 5 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 07/10/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 08/10/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 08/10/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 08/10/2015 | Conifer, Goose Hill | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 08/10/2015 | Arable margins | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 08/10/2015 | Kenton Hills West | 5 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |

sile

| Date | Site <br> ID |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Date | Site | Site ID | Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adder |  |  |  | Slow-worm |  |  |  |  | Common lizard |  |  |  |  | Grass snake |  |  |  | Total reptiles |
|  |  |  | M | F | Juv | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | M | F | Juv | Subadult | $?$ | Adul <br> t | Juv | Subadult | $?$ |  |
|  | plantation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15/10/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 15/10/2015 | Rides, Goose Hill | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 20/10/2015 | Scrub, Goose Hill | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 20/10/2015 | St James covert | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 20/10/2015 | Studio Field | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

### 1.5 2016 Goodrums Fen reptile survey

a) Goodrums Fen site (shown in Figure 14A6.6)
1.5.1 Within Goodrums Fens, 100 reptile tins were set out in suitable reptile habitat approximately 10 m apart, covering an area of 1 ha. Surveys were carried out between March and June 2016, with an additional survey period in August 2016.
1.5.2 To gain an insight into the numbers of grass snakes within the Goodrums Fen area, photographic identification was used for a CMR study. The ventral scales of grass snake were photographed, and individuals were measured to aid identification.

Table 1.9: 2016 reptile survey site dates and weather at Goodrums Fen

| Site | SiteID | Refugia distributio n | Amount of habitat |  | Survey dates: |  | Number of surveys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Area <br> (ha) | Length (m) | Spring/ Summer | Autumn |  |
| Goodrums Fen | 10 | Grid (10*10) | 1.0 | - | $\begin{aligned} & 30 / 03 / 201 \\ & 6 \\ & 08 / 06 / 201 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 / 08 / 201 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 10 |

b) Reptile survey site photographs

Plate 1.9: Reptile survey site photographs 2016


## c) Population estimate results

1.5.3 Table 1.10 details the maximum number of each species found per survey within Goodrums Fens. An evaluation of the size and importance of reptile populations was made using criteria set out in Froglife Advice Sheet $10^{4}$.

Table 1.10: 2016 summary reptile survey results at Goodrum's Fen

| Species | Maximum number per <br> survey | Population score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grass snake | 2 | Low |
| Adder | 5 | Good |
| Common lizard | 5 | Good |
| Slow-worm | 16 | Good |

1.5.4 The table above shows "good" populations of adder, common lizard and slow-worm estimated within Goodrums Fens, and a "low" population score estimated for grass snake.
1.5.5 Using CMR, four grass snakes were caught and photographed for individual identification, all of which were different individuals. Population estimates of grass snake within this area therefore could not be made using the CMR model.

4 Froglife (1999) Advice Sheet 10. Reptile Survey, An introduction to planning, conducting and interpreting surveys for snake and lizard conservation.
d) Detailed survey results
Table 1.11: Full reptile survey results 2016 (NB ? = adult of unknown sex). Date
30/03/2016
31/03/2016
14/04/2016
26/04/2016

11/05/2016
12/05/2016
24/05/2016
 08/06/2016
25/08/2016
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[^8]:    © AMEC Environment \& Infrastructure UK Limited
    June 2012
    R:\Projects\28130 Sizewell Ecology Studies\Reports\Sizewell Main Site\CONSOLIDATED REPORTS 2012\Reptile\28130rr361i1 Reptile
    Consolidated Draft Report.doc

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ The two other native species of British reptile (sand lizard Lacerta agilis and smooth snake Coronella austriaca) receive a higher level of protection under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). However, the distribution of these species is restricted to a limited number of sites in particular geographic locations.

[^10]:    ${ }^{2}$ Griffiths, R. and Inns, H. (1998). Surveying. In: Gent, A. H. and Gibson, S. D. eds. Herpetofauna workers' manual. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough, pp1-13.
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[^11]:    Key: M = Male, F = Female, Juv = Juvenile, A = Adult but sex unknown ${ }^{4}$, Temperature=(start temp.) ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Finish temp.) ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{NR}=$ Not recorded.
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[^13]:    ${ }^{5}$ English Nature (2004). Reptiles: guidelines for developers. English Nature, Peterborough.
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[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs was required under Section 41(1) of the NERC Act 2006 to prepare a list of the species and habitats considered to be of principal importance for the purpose of conserving biodiversity in England. It replaces the list published by Defra in 2002 under Section 74 of the Countryside and Rights of Way (CRoW) Act 2000.
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