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## Executive Summary

This appendix describes the baseline conditions for terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates which have been assessed within the Zone of Influence (ZOI) of the Sizewell C power station at the main development site (hereafter referred to as the "proposed development").

Desk-study data identified two statutory designated sites that have invertebrates cited as qualifying features of interest; these are: Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes Ramsar site and Site of Specific Scientific Interest (SSSI), and Sizewell Marshes SSSI. Desk-study records revealed records of Norfolk hawker (Anaciaeschna isosceles), a species protected under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act (Ref. 1.1) as well as a number of Nationally Scarce and Red Data Book (RDB) species.

Results were combined from field surveys undertaken of the proposed development site (hereafter referred to as the "site") and wider area, and are presented within 15 distinct Assessment Compartments, shown on Figure 14A4.3, Annex 14A4.1 Figures, encompassing the site and the wider study area. The invertebrate species recorded within the Assessment Compartments considered to be directly impacted by the proposed development through habitat loss were subjected to analysis with the Invertebrate Species-habitat Information System (ISIS, now called Pantheon). This recognises invertebrate assemblage types in species lists, labels them in terms that relate to their favoured habitats and provides an indication of conservation value.

In total, 2,068 species of invertebrates have been identified. This included one protected species, Norfolk hawker dragonfly, and at least 22 RDB species recorded within the study area, along with 120 that are considered Nationally Scarce. A number of other invertebrates that are priority species in the Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) (Ref. 1.2) and/or are species of principal importance for the conservation of biodiversity under the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act (Ref. 1.3) are also present, including the rare antlion species Euroleon nostras.

ISIS analysis indicated that the site supports invertebrate assemblages of national importance or of high conservation value. The nationally important "reed-fen and pools" along with the broader "permanent wet mire" and "mineral marsh and open water" assemblages highlight the importance of the wetland habitats contained within Assessment Compartments that lie within and adjacent to Sizewell Marshes SSSI. The "unshaded early successional mosaic" assemblage, with the specific nested "bare sand and chalk" and "open short sward" assemblages, were well represented across the site. Assessment Compartments 4 to 6 and 13, in particular, supported nationally important assemblages of this type.

To ensure a robust Ecological Impact Assessment (EclA) process, the invertebrate assemblage within each Assessment Compartment has been assessed to determine whether it would qualify as an Important Ecological Feature (IEF) as defined in

Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM) guidelines on EcIA (Ref. 1.4). In addition, the invertebrate assemblages have been assessed in accordance with the standard Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) methodology used within the Environmental Statement (ES) (Doc Ref. Book 6).

Based on these criteria, the invertebrate assemblages in the following Assessment Compartments are being taken forward as IEFs:

- The invertebrate assemblage associated with Assessment Compartments 6 and 6a would be classified as an IEF at the international level under the CIEEM guidelines and of high importance following the EIA-specific assessment methodology;
- The invertebrate assemblages associated with Assessment Compartments 1, 2, $3,4,4 a, 5,8,9,10,11,12$ and 13 would be classified as IEFs at the national level under the CIEEM guidelines and of high importance following the EIAspecific assessment methodology; and
- The invertebrate assemblage associated with Assessment Compartment 15 would be classified as an IEF at the county level under the CIEEM guidelines and of medium importance following the EIA-specific assessment methodology.


## 1. Invertebrates

### 1.1 Introduction

## a) Purpose of this appendix

1.1.1 This is an appendix to the power station at the main development site (referred to throughout this volume as the "proposed development") ES Volume 2, Chapter 14. This appendix presents the invertebrates baseline of the proposed development site (hereafter referred to as the "site") (and adjacent areas). It describes the methodologies employed in carrying out the desk-studies and detailed surveys, provides the results of this work, and evaluates the invertebrate features that could potentially be affected.
b) Establishing zone of Influence, study area and survey area
1.1.2 The study area for invertebrates is 2 km from the site boundary. In establishing an appropriate Zone of Influence (ZOI) for invertebrates, it is necessary to understand that most species are very closely connected to the habitat, or mosaic of habitats, in which they are found. Therefore, the ZOI and the survey area for invertebrates is the site boundary and habitats within the wider area that potentially support valuable invertebrate fauna that could be affected by the proposed development.
c) Structure of this appendix
1.1.3 This appendix has been set out as follows:

- Section 2 sets out the approach and methodology used for obtaining the desk-study data as well as the results of this data acquisition. The detail of the desk-study information acquired is presented in Annex 14A4.2.
- Section 3 sets out the approach and methodology for the collection of secondary and primary data. The detailed secondary and primary data underpinning these results are presented in Annex 14A4.3 and 14A4.4 respectively. Section 3.3 outlines the approach and methodology taken for reporting the survey results and their assessment to establish the baseline condition.
- Finally, section 4 brings together the survey results and all the information into a detailed consideration of the baseline conditions for invertebrates within the ZOI of the proposed development and identifies those IEFs to be taken forward to be considered and assessed with the EclA.
1.1.4 Figures summarising the secondary and primary survey areas and Assessment Compartments are presented in Annex 14A4.1 - Figures.


## d) Desk-Study

## i. Approach and methodology

1.1.5 Records for invertebrates were requested from Suffolk Biodiversity Information Service (SBIS) in 2014 and were updated in 2018 for protected species or species with recognised conservation status within 2 km of the site boundary.
1.1.6 The citations of all designated sites (statutory and non-statutory) within 2 km of the site were reviewed to ascertain if invertebrates were included as qualifying features of interest of these sites.
1.1.7 The Suffolk BAP, Suffolk's Priority Species and Habitats list (Ref. 1.5), and the habitats and species of principal importance included on the Section 41 list of the National Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act, were also reviewed with reference to the habitats and species present, or likely to be present, within the site and the wider study area.
1.1.8 The desk-study also resulted in the acquisition of detailed invertebrate information for other locations and habitats within the ZOI of the proposed development from the Sizewell Land Management Reports between 1996 to the present (Ref. 1.6).
1.1.9 The Stage 3 Consultation in 2018 provided butterfly species records from Butterfly Conservation (Ref. 1.7).
ii. Results
1.1.10 The following statutory designated sites mention invertebrates within their citations, are summarised in Table 1.1. Full details of the interest features of these sites are provided in Appendix 14A2 - Designated Sites.

Table 1.1: Statutory designated sites with an invertebrate qualifying feature.

| Designated Site. | Designated Interest Feature. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Minsmere to | The site fulfils the following Ramsar criteria as justification for its selection: |
| Walberswick | Ramsar Criterion 2 (Ref. 1.8): |
| Ramsar site. | "This site supports nine Nationally Scarce plants and at least 26 Red Data <br> Book $(R D B)^{1}$ invertebrates. Supports a population of the mollusc Vertigo |

[^0]| Designated Site. | Designated Interest Feature. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | angustior (Habitats Directive Annex II; RDB1 (Endangered)²), recently <br> discovered on the Blyth estuary river walls". <br> The following invertebrate species are those listed in the Ramsar citation: <br> the moth species (Ethmia bipunctella), silver barred (Deltote bankiana), <br> White-mantled Wainscot (Archanara neurica), marbled clover (Heliothis <br> viriplaca), dotted footman (Pelosia muscerda), fenn's wainscot (Photedes <br> brevilinea), flame wainscot (Senta flammea), shaded fan-foot (Herminea <br> tarsicrinalis), Eucosma catroptana, E.maritima, Melissoblaptes zelleri, and <br> Pima boisduvaliella; the beetle species Gymnancycla canella, Aleochara <br> inconspicua and Philonthus dimidiatipennis; the fly species Erioptera <br> bivittata, E. meijerei, the long-horned cleg (Haematopota grandis), Tipula <br> marginata, Cephalops perspicuus, Telmaturus tumidulus, Acartophthalmus <br> bicolor and Limonia danica; the freshwater bivalve Pisidium <br> pseudosphaerium; the mud wasp Podalonia affinis; and the wolf spider <br> Arctosa fulvolineata. |
| Minsmere to | The citation for the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SSSI <br> includes the following text within its "Description and Reasons for |
| Walberswick Heaths |  |
| and Marshes Site of |  |
| Specific Scientific | Notification": <br> "The marshes have a rich insect fauna, particularly moths, which includes a <br> Interest (SSSI). <br> number of rare species, notably white-mantled wainscot, Photedes <br> brevilinea and Senta flammea. Many Nationally Rare ${ }^{3}$ and scarce <br> invertebrates such as the soldier fly Odontomyia ornata are found [in the |
| grazing marsh] east of Eastbridge" (Ref. 1.9). |  |

1.1.11 The following non-statutory designated site mentions invertebrates within its citation, summarised in Table 1.2. Full details of this site are provided in Appendix 14A2 - Designated Sites.

[^1]Table 1.2: Non-statutory designated sites with an invertebrate interest.

| Designated Site. | Designated Interest Feature. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Suffolk Shingle Beaches <br> County Wildlife Site (CWS) | Citation notes that "There are rare invertebrate species found in <br> these coastal sites." (Ref. 1.11). |

1.1.12 The full results of the desk-study are presented in Annex 14A4.2 and are summarised below. The desk-study revealed that the site is surrounded by a wide variety of habitats of value to both aquatic and terrestrial invertebrates, including: fen meadow; lowland ditches; wet woodland; reedbed; acid grassland; heathland; coastal grassland; sand dune; and shingle habitats.
1.1.13 A total of 165 invertebrate species of some nature conservation value were revealed by the desk-study within 2 km of the site and consisted of the following:

- thirty-two Coleoptera (beetles). Of these, there was one RDB2 ${ }^{5}$ species, one RDB3 ${ }^{6}$ species, two International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Near Threatened ${ }^{7}$ species, one species listed under Section 41 of the NERC Act and 27 species considered Nationally Scarce;
- thirty-three Diptera (true flies). Of these, there were four RDB2, one RDB3 and one RDBK ${ }^{8}$ species, one species listed under Section 41 of the NERC Act, three IUCN Near Threatened species, and 24 species considered Nationally Scarce;
- thirteen Hymenoptera (bees, wasps, ants and sawflies). Of these, there was one RDB1 species, one RDB2 species and 11 species considered Nationally Scarce; and
- eighty-six Lepidoptera (butterflies and moths). This comprised:
- ten butterfly species, three of which are protected under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act (W\&CA) (purple emperor (Apatura iris), silver-studded blue (Plebejus argus) and white-letter

[^2]hairstreak (Satyrium w-album), three IUCN Vulnerable ${ }^{9}$ species, three IUCN Near Threatened species and one species considered Nationally Scarce. Eight of the ten butterfly species are listed under Section 41 of the NERC Act;
seventy-seven moth species. Of these, there were two RDB2 species, nine RDB3 species, 48 species listed under Section 41 of the NERC Act and 18 species considered Nationally Scarce; and
three Odonata (dragonflies and damselflies). Of these, one species (Norfolk hawker (Aeshna isoceles)) is protected under Schedule 5 of the W\&CA, one IUCN Near Threatened species and one species considered Nationally Scarce.
1.1.14 These desk-study records came from 22 locations with the majority of these records (69.4\%) from the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB) Minsmere Reserve area within Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SSSI, north of the site. The next highest aggregation of records (9.1\%) came from the wider EDF Energy Estate.
1.1.15 The following is a summary of the key invertebrate findings from a review of the NGL Sizewell Land Management Annual Reports (Ref. 1.6):

- Norfolk hawker has been seen in good numbers within Sizewell Marshes SSSI from 2011 to 2018;
- Banded demoiselle (Calopteryx splendens) and "emerald dragonfly" (presumably the downy emerald (Cordulia aenea)) have been seen in small numbers on Goose Hill marshes along the Leiston Drain. Willow emerald damselfly (Chalcolestes viridis) was recorded for the first time in 2013;
- a very rare migrant species of butterfly, yellow-legged tortoiseshell (Nymphalis xanthomelas), has been recorded;
- three Notable $(\mathrm{Nb})^{10}$ species were recorded at Upper Abbey Farm during a moth survey: cream-bordered green pea (Earias clorana), dotted border wave (Idaea sylvestraria) and reed dagger (Simyra albovenosa);

[^3]- white admiral butterflies (Limenitis camilla) were recorded at six locations on the outer edges of Kenton Hills and Goose Hill in 2013; and
- up to 1,100 antlion (Euroleon nostras) pits have been recorded at Walk Barn.
1.1.16 Records from the Suffolk branch of Butterfly Conservation documents 32 butterfly species which were recorded between 2013 and 2017 including seven species with recognised conservation status. Alongside purple emperor, silver-studded blue, white admiral and white letter hairstreak mentioned above, this includes the IUCN Vulnerable grayling (Hipparchia Semele), and IUCN Near Threatened wall (Lasiommata megera) and small heath (Coenonympha pamphilus) butterflies. Butterfly Conservation also notes the presence of two moth species listed under Section 41 of the NERC Act the RBD3 white-mantled wainscot and the lunar yellow underwing (Noctua orbona).
e) Field surveys
i. Secondary data - approach and methodology
1.1.17 Wood Group (formerly Entec and Amec Foster Wheeler) undertook invertebrate surveys in 2007, 2009 and 2010. The detailed methodologies for these surveys are described in the following Wood Group reports (provided in Annex 14A4.3):
- Wood Group (2014) Sizewell 2007 Invertebrate Report (Ref. 1.12);
- Wood Group (2014) Sizewell 2009 Invertebrate Report (Ref. 1.13);
- Wood Group (2014) Sizewell 2010 Invertebrate Report (Ref. 1.14); and
- Wood Group (2014) Sizewell C New Nuclear Power Station. Terrestrial and Freshwater Ecology, and Ornithology. 2007-10 Invertebrate Survey Report (Ref. 1.15).
1.1.18 The following paragraphs summarise the survey approach and methodology for each survey year. The survey areas, sites and transect routes for all survey years are shown on Figure 14A4.1.

2007
1.1.19 The 2007 surveys comprised sampling for terrestrial invertebrates in a total of 11 locations (Sites A to K), and a further ten locations along the coastal
strip to the east (Sites La to Lj). Invertebrates were collected using pitfall traps, Malaise traps ${ }^{11}$, water traps, sweep netting and vacuum sampling.
1.1.20 In addition, transect surveys for white admiral butterflies were also walked in Goose Hill, Kenton Hills and Nursery Covert in July and August 2007.

2009
1.1.21 Aquatic invertebrate sampling was carried out in a total of 33 ditches and other waterbodies within Sizewell Marshes SSSI in September 2009. These features were sampled with a standard pond net for a three-minute period by passing the net along the banks and over the beds of the shallower ditches. Large freshwater invertebrates were identified and returned to the water, with the rest of the sample preserved for later examination.
1.1.22 Larval surveys for white admiral butterflies were also carried out in August 2009, in Goose Hill, Kenton Hills and Nursery Covert (as a follow up to the 2007 adult surveys).

2010
1.1.23 In 2010, ditches were selected within Sizewell Marshes SSSI for terrestrial invertebrate sampling (i.e. surveying the bankside vegetation rather than the water column) in 13 areas. These were sampled in groups in June 2010 with a sweep net attached to an angling pole.
1.1.24 In addition to the sweep sampling, invertebrates of the reedbed habitat within the north-eastern corner of the Sizewell Marshes SSSI were sampled by means of two Malaise traps. These were erected in early and late June 2010 at two separate locations (indicated on Figure 14A4.1). Finally, Norfolk hawker dragonflies were also recorded by locating adults using binoculars.

## ii. Primary data - approach and methodology

1.1.25 Following on from the work undertaken by Wood Group, Arcadis Consulting (UK) Limited (formerly Hyder Consulting, and hereafter referred to as Arcadis) carried out further invertebrate surveys between 2014 and 2016. This survey work was designed to update the surveys undertaken by Wood Group.

[^4]1.1.26 The following studies carried out by Arcadis have been used to inform this baseline (three of these are presented in Annex 14A4.4, the other is presented in Appendix 14C2):

- Arcadis (2014). Invertebrate and National Vegetation Classification (NVC) Surveys of Sizewell Marshes SSSI: Review of previous studies and methodology for further work (Ref. 1.16);
- Arcadis (2014). Sizewell C Invertebrate Surveys 2014 (Ref. 1.17);
- Arcadis (2020). Reptile Mitigation Strategy, presented in Appendix 14C2A (Ref. 1.18); and
- Arcadis (2016). Sizewell Invertebrate Species-habitat Information System (ISIS) analysis 2016 (Ref. 1.19).
1.1.27 Further details of the approach within the above studies have been provided in the subsequent sections.

2014
1.1.28 In 2014, following a review of the Wood Group 2007-10 invertebrate survey work, it was determined that the baseline evaluation would benefit from a more systematic assessment of those invertebrate communities that could be affected directly or indirectly by the proposals. Survey effort was therefore targeted specifically to include a more detailed survey of the reedbed, lowland ditches, wet woodland, fen meadow and dune grassland habitats, as well as more detailed mapping of invertebrate habitats. The aim was to survey a representative sample of each habitat, so that detailed species lists could be compiled.
1.1.29 A total of ten "Survey Areas" were assessed during the 2014 surveys (illustrated on Figure 14A4.2). These areas were selected both on the basis that they constituted discrete areas of habitat likely to be of some value to invertebrates and to reflect the sampling locations surveyed in the previous Wood Group studies. Six of the survey areas, Survey Area 1 to 6, were assessed in detail as these were considered most likely to be directly affected by the proposed development. In addition, a further four areas in the wider survey area, Survey Areas 7 to 10, were assessed for their invertebrate habitats to provide context to the assessment.

## Invertebrate habitat survey

1.1.30 All 2014 survey areas were subjected to a habitat survey. Broad habitats within the various sampling sites were mapped and plant communities recorded with sufficient resolution to characterise each individual sampling zone in terms of habitat type, structure and condition, with particular
reference to the invertebrate assemblages that habitat types present were likely to support.

## Aquatic invertebrate survey

1.1.31 Waterbodies within 2014 Survey Areas 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 a were subjected to an aquatic invertebrate survey. Two sampling events occurred, June and August 2014.
1.1.32 Each aquatic invertebrate sample was collected in accordance with the Murray Bligh (Ref. 1.20) 3-minute sweep method (as used by the Environment Agency) the details of which are provided in Annex 14A4.4 (ii).
1.1.33 Where appropriate, samples were identified to species level for the requirements of Community Conservation Indices methodology (Ref. 1.21). Where necessary, specimens were identified using appropriate, current taxonomic keys.

## Terrestrial invertebrate sampling

1.1.34 2014 Survey Areas 1 to 6 were subjected to terrestrial invertebrate surveys. In accordance with Drake et al. (Ref. 1.22) sampling was undertaken using a combination of standard capture methods recommended for Common Standards Monitoring (Ref. 1.23) of different habitat types. In accordance with Common Standards Monitoring protocol, data was collected using repeatable techniques enabling subsequent analysis using the Invertebrate Species-habitat Information System (ISIS; now called Pantheon), allowing data to be comparable between sampling sites. Sampling was undertaken in suitably dry, sunny weather, over three discrete visits (early June, July and August 2014).
1.1.35 The precise approach to sampling varied according to habitat, with a combination of the following sampling methods used: sweep-nets, beating trays, suction samplers, direct search, water traps, and mercury vapour moth traps. The details of these methods are provided in Annex 14A4.4 (ii).

## Identification

1.1.36 Where practical, species were identified on site without undue disturbance; however, many species could not be adequately identified in the field, so it was necessary for samples to be taken for ex situ identification using a binocular microscope and appropriate taxonomic keys, as required. As necessary, specialist verification of rare or uncommon species was sought from the appropriate county recorder or expert in the relevant species group.
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## 2015

1.1.37 Further invertebrate survey work was carried out in 2015. The main focus of this work was to investigate reptile invertebrate prey availability in both the donor and receptor sites proposed as part of the Reptile Mitigation Strategy (i.e. locations from which reptiles will be removed from, or moved to, respectively, as part of the proposed development; see Appendix 14C2A for details). However, the invertebrate samples collected as part of this exercise were also identified to species level to determine whether any rare or scarce species were present in the habitats surveyed. The locations surveyed are indicated by 2015 Survey Areas 1 to 9 on Figure 14A4.2.
1.1.38 Surveys in 2015 consisted of one survey visit to each site. Sampling consisted of pitfall traps and pan traps placed within different microhabitats. Detailed information on methodology and the different microhabitats surveyed can be found in Reptile Mitigation Strategy (see Appendix 14C2A for details).
1.1.39 With the exception of the 2015 Survey Area 9, which was not available at the time of the survey, invertebrates were collected from all sites at the start of May 2015 and stored within industrial methylated spirit. Traps were then set at 2015 Survey Area 9 at the end of May 2015, with samples being collected in early June 2015.

2016

## Assessment compartments

1.1.40 Following detailed analysis of the data from the Arcadis 2014 report, and in consultation with Natural England (Ref. 1.24), the ZOI of the proposed development with regard to invertebrates was consolidated into a final set of 15 'Assessment Compartments'. These are illustrated on Figure 14A4.3 and are listed in Table 14A4.3 in section 3.3. Assessment Compartments were identified as an appropriate division of features for the invertebrate assessment on the basis of their distinct constituent habitats and their position within the landscape relative to the site.

## Invertebrate sampling

1.1.41 Six of these Assessment Compartments were surveyed in 2016: 1, 3, 4, 4a, 13 and 15. The aim of the surveys was to fill any gaps in the data acquisition from the previous survey work, including carrying out additional surveys in 2014 Survey Areas 1 and 3 where late season surveys in 2014 had not been possible.
1.1.42 Assessment Compartments 13 and 15 both lie within the site, and sampling within these compartments followed on from sampling undertaken in 2015.
1.1.43 Fieldwork was undertaken using an identical range of sampling methods as used during the Arcadis 2014 survey (detailed in Annex 14A4.4 (ii)). These comprised terrestrial sampling methods including timed sweeping, vacuum sampling and beating along with two aquatic samples collected from field drains in Assessment Compartment 3.
1.1.44 As in 2014, water traps were also deployed at most survey sites. The exception was Assessment Compartment 13, where flight interception traps were deployed instead of deploying water traps and undertaking beat sampling. Flight interception traps were suspended from tree branches to catch flying insects, which dropped into a catch pot below and were preserved in an ethylene glycol (antifreeze) solution. Traps were run for approximately two weeks on each of three sampling events. Collected flight interception traps samples were strained and preserved in industrial methylated spirit.
1.1.45 Besides the general sampling and habitat recording undertaken, searches for larval evidence of antlions, in the form of burrows, were undertaken. The searches focused on rides within Assessment Compartment 13 as far north as Walk Barn, on the compartment's northern boundary, and were undertaken during all three surveys (May, June and July 2016).

## iii. Reporting and assessment approach

1.1.46 Section 4 sets out the combined results of all surveys undertaken within each of the 15 Assessment Compartments, shown on Figure 14A4.3. Suitable invertebrate habitat is highlighted and a table of species with recognised conservation status is given for each Assessment Compartment and discussed. The habitat and resource requirements for each species with recognised conservation status is also listed. A viable population of individual species within an assemblage, recorded within an Assessment Compartment, is assumed to be present where habitat requirements are met. Table 1.3 shows the field survey data sources used to inform the assessment for each Assessment Compartment.

Table 1.3: Field survey data sources used to assess each Assessment Compartment.

| Assessment <br> Compartment. | Data Source. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Arcadis | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2010 | 2009 |


| Assessment Compartment. | Data Source. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Arcadis |  |  | Wood Group. |  |  |
|  | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2010 | 2009 | 2007 |
| 3 | Assessment Compartment 3 sampling. | - | Survey Area 3. | Site M. | Site 29. | - |
| 4 | Assessment Compartment 4 sampling. | Sites 7 and 8 | - | - | - | Sites A, B, C, D and I . |
| 4a | Assessment Compartment $4^{\circ}$. | - | Survey Area 4. | - | - | - |
| 5 | - | - | Survey Area 5. | - | - | Sites La Ld and Lf -Lj . |
| 6/6a | - | - | Survey Area 6. | - | - | Sites K and Le. |
| 7 | - | - | Survey Areas 7 and $7^{\circ}$. | - | - | - |
| 8 | - | - | Survey Area 8. | - | Site 22. | - |
| 9 | - | - | Survey Area 9. | Sites A, B and C . | Sites 16 21. | Site G. |
| 10 | - | - | Survey Area 10. | - | - | - |
| 11 | - | - | - | Sites D, E and F . | Sites 1-10. | - |
| 12 | - | - | - | - | Sites 11, 24 <br> - 28 and 30 <br> -33 . | - |
| 13 | Assessment Compartment 13. | Sites 2, 3 and 4 | - | - | White <br> admiral <br> larval <br> search <br> areas 1-6. | Sites E and $F$, east half of white admiral transect. |
| 14 | - | Site 5 | - | - | White admiral larval search areas 7 20. | Site H, west half of white admiral transect. |
| 15 | Assessment Compartment 15. | Site 1 | - | - | - | - |

9)CGN

## ISIS analysis

1.1.47 Aquatic and terrestrial invertebrate survey data was analysed using ISIS, an analytical package developed by Natural England as a standard method for the conservation evaluation of British invertebrate communities.
1.1.48 ISIS recognises invertebrate assemblage types in species lists collected at scales ranging from management compartment to landscape character areas. The assemblage types are labelled in terms that relate to the constituent species' favoured habitats. Two levels were recognised in the classification:

- Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) - a comprehensive series of assemblage types that are characterised by more widespread species; and
- Specific Assemblage Types (SATs) - characterised by ecologically restricted species that are generally only expressed in lists from sites with conservation value. SATs are designed to be used as identified features of interest on SSSIs.
1.1.49 The ISIS output gives an indication of the conservation status of analysed invertebrate assemblages. The ISIS output allows for the invertebrate assemblages to be compared against the Favourable Condition Target (FCT) which is the level that denotes the SSSI habitat quality.
1.1.50 ISIS analysis was undertaken on the results of the combined secondary and primary datasets within Assessment Compartments 1, 3, 4/4a, 13, 14 and 15 , areas within the site which were considered to be directly impacted by the proposed development, where surveys were undertaken in 2015 and 2016. ISIS analysis results described within section 4 in Assessment Compartments 2, 5 and 6/6a are based on the 2014 results only, as no 2015 or 2016 surveys were undertaken within these compartments.


## iv. Assessment methodology

1.1.51 The invertebrate assemblage within each Assessment Compartment has been evaluated on the basis of the following criteria:

- those designated sites with invertebrates on their selection that are present within and adjacent to each Assessment Compartment;
- where each Assessment Compartment is situated in context to surrounding ecologically valuable contiguous habitat,
- the presence of protected and or notable invertebrate species within each Assessment Compartment; and


## NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

- the importance of the habitat specific invertebrate assemblage(s) (BATs and SATs) supported within the habitats present in each Assessment Compartment.
1.1.52 Furthermore, the assemblages are assessed as to whether they qualify as IEFs.
1.1.53 Section 4 describes the invertebrate baseline for each Assessment Compartment and assigns an ecological value to the Assessment Compartment. This evaluation has then been used, in conjunction with a description of the extent and magnitude of the predicted impacts of the scheme, to carry out the detailed EclA presented in ES Volume 2, Chapter 14.Table 1.17 within this document details the Assessment Compartments which will be carried forward into the detailed assessment. This decision looks at the conservation value of the invertebrate assemblage present against the predicted habitat loss in each compartment, based on the development proposals. This is a measure of the impact due to the loss of resources available to the supported invertebrate assemblage.


### 1.2 Baseline Conditions - invertebrate features and their importance

1.2.1 This section describes the baseline conditions within each Assessment Compartment using results from the field surveys and ISIS analysis (where undertaken). The invertebrate assemblage supported within each compartment is then assessed for importance, and a consideration as to whether it qualifies as an IEF is provided. Assessment Compartments are shown on Figure 14A4.3.

# 1.2.2 To comply with both the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM) Guidelines for EcIA and with the standard EIA methodology used elsewhere within the ES, both methodologies have been used to assess the invertebrate assemblage supported within each compartment. Full details of both assessment methodologies are presented in Volume 1, Chapter 6 and Appendix 14A1 - Introduction to the Ecological Baseline. 

b) Description and assessment of ecological features
i. Assessment compartment 1

## Description

1.2.3 Assessment Compartment 1 lies fully within Sizewell Marshes SSSI and contains a number of habitats that form part of the designation.

## NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

1.2.4 This large tract of habitat comprises extensive areas of Common Reed (Phragmites australis) reed-swamp, with Alder (Alnus glutinosa) and Willow (Salix sp.) carr and both secondary and more mature wet woodland. There is also an area of open water within the central part of the reedswamp, with some more floristically diverse swamp communities present around the margins. A number of wet ditches border and traverse Assessment Compartment 1. These ditches vary in terms of macrophyte diversity and structure and the more open ditches typically support a greater macrophyte diversity and structural range. Aquatic plants characteristic of ditch networks of higher conservation value were recorded, including Frogbit (Hydrocharis morsus-ranae) and Soft Hornwort (Ceratophyllum submersum), the presence of which provides habitat for potentially important aquatic invertebrate assemblages. Alongside the aquatic habitat, the juxtaposition of reed-swamp and carr habitat, and the presence of open silt areas and water-logged decayed wood, provide niches for more specialised invertebrate species. At a landscape scale, Assessment Compartment 1 provides a connection between wetland habitats within the greater Sizewell Marshes SSSI and those within Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes Ramar site and SSSI.
1.2.5 Within this compartment, 769 species were recorded, 32 of which have a recognised conservation status. Table 1.4 outlines the status of each species and highlights their habitat and habitat resource requirements. Also outlined, where relevant, is the ISIS SAT that each species is associated with.

Table 1.4: Assessment compartment 1 invertebrate species with a conservation status.

$\left.$| Species <br> Name. | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where <br> Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Norfolk <br> hawker. | Odonata: <br> Aeshnidae. | Legal Protection; <br> IUCN Endangered |  |
| (GB); |  |  |  |
| Section 41 NERC Act; |  |  |  |
| Nationally Scarce. |  |  |  |$\quad$| Habitat: peatland. |
| :--- |
| Resource: well vegetated shallow |
| freshwater pond. |
| SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. | \right\rvert\, | Rhagio |
| :--- |
| strigosus. |$\quad$| Diptera: |
| :--- |
| Rhagionidae. | | IUCN Vulnerable; |
| :--- |
| Nationally Rare. | | Habitats: shaded woodland floor, tall |
| :--- |
| sward \& scrub. |
| Resources: base rich soils; broadleaved |
| woodland; sward/field layer; dry soil |
| humidity; woodland undergrowth. |

[^5]| Species Name. | Order: Family. | Conservation Status (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where Relevant). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | SAT: F001 - scrub edge. |
| Anticheta brevipennis. | Diptera: <br> Sciomyzidae. | RDB2 | Habitats: peatland <br> Resources: well vegetated shallow freshwater pond; wetland vegetation SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Prionocera subserricorni s. | Diptera: <br> Tipulidae. | RDB2 | Habitats: peatland; shaded woodland floor; wet woodland. <br> Resources: broadleaved woodland; wet humidity; heavy shade; sphagnum/moss lawn; wet/damp peat. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Whitemantled wainscot | Lepidoptera: <br> Noctuidae. | RDB3; <br> Section 41 NERC Act. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: wetland vegetation. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Subclytia rotundiventris | Diptera: <br> Tachinidae. | RDB3 | No information on habitat/habitat requirements. |
| Buff ermine Spilosoma lutea. | Lepidoptera: Erebidae. | Section 41 NERC Act <br> - research only. | No specific habitat requirements. |
| Polydrusus (Chrysophis) formosus. | Coleoptera: Curculionidae. | Notable a ${ }^{13}$ | Habitat: arboreal. <br> Resources: woodland canopy, broadleaved woodland; leaves and/or stems; terrestrial aspect - larvae root feeders. |
| Crossocerus (Cuphopteru s) binotatus. | Hymenoptera: Crabronidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: decaying wood Resource: dead trunks and branches. SAT: A212, F001. |
| Curculio betulae. | Coleoptera: Curculionidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: arboreal. <br> Resources: broadleaved woodland; flowers, buds and shoots; terrestrial aspect <br> - larvae ground active/pupate in soil. |
| Notaris scirpi. | Coleoptera: <br> Erirhinidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: marshland. Resource: wetland vegetation. |
| Paralimnus phragmitis. | Hemiptera: Cicadellidae. | Notable b. | Resource: wetland vegetation. |

[^6]| Species <br> Name. | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where <br> Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diogma <br> glabrata. | Diptera: <br> Cylindrotomida <br> e | Notable ${ }^{\text {14 }}$ | Habitat: shaded woodland floor. <br> Resources: broadleaved woodland; damp <br> humidity; light shade; woodland litter. |
| Dicranomyia <br> lucida. | Diptera: <br> Limoniidae. | Notable | Habitats: running water; <br> shaded woodland floor; wet woodland. <br> Resources: broadleaved woodland; <br> mud/shallow litter; slow flow; wet humidity; <br> base rich seepage, shaded seepage; <br> heavy shade; woodland stream. |
| Pherbellia <br> dorsata. | Diptera: <br> Sciomyzidae. | Notable | Habitats: marshland; peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond; wetland vegetation. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Pherbellia <br> griseola. | Diptera: <br> Sciomyzidae. | Notable | Habitat: peatland <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond; wetland vegetation. |
| Phaonia <br> falleni. | Diptera: <br> Muscidae. | Notable | Habitat: shaded woodland floor, wet <br> woodland. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; wet <br> humidity. |
| Thrypticus <br> divisus. | Diptera: <br> Dolichopodidae <br> - | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: deep litter; wetland vegetation. |
| Thrypticus <br> nigricauda. | Diptera: <br> Dolichopodidae | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: deep litter; wetland vegetation. |
| Anaspis <br> (Anaspis) <br> thoracica. | Coleoptera: <br> Scraptiidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: decaying wood. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; <br> decaying wood - red rot; flowers (adult). <br> SAT; A211 - heartwood decay. |
| Bembidion <br> (Diplocampa) <br> fumigatum. | Coleoptera: <br> Carabidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: marshland. <br> Resource: mud/shallow litter. |
| Dolichopus <br> linearis. | Diptera: <br> Dolichopodidae | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: wet/damp peat. |
| Lesser <br> cockroach | Dictyoptera: <br> Blattellidae. | Nationally Scarce. | No available details. |

[^7]| Species Name. | Order: Family. | Conservation Status (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where Relevant). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ectobius panzeri. |  |  |  |
| Hybomitra ciureai. | Diptera: <br> Tabanidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: wet/damp peat; wetland vegetation. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Lithobius (Monotarsobi us) curtipes | Lithobiomorpha : Lithobiidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: shaded woodland floor Resource: broadleaved woodland. |
| Odontomyia ornata. | Diptera: <br> Stratiomyidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow freshwater pond. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Saldula pilosella. | Hemiptera: <br> Saldidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitats: brackish pools and ditches; saltmarsh. <br> Resource: pond/seepage edge; saline silt. <br> SAT: M311 - saltmarsh. |
| Tabanus maculicornis. | Diptera: <br> Tabanidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitats: running water; shaded woodland floor; wet woodland. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; wet humidity; heavy shade; woodland stream. |
| Viviparus contectus. | [unassigned] Caenogastropo da: Viviparidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: marshland. <br> Resource: base soil; sparsely vegetated shallow freshwater pond. <br> SAT: W211 - open water on disturbed mineral sediments. |
| Elachiptera austriaca. | Diptera: Chloropidae. | Potentially Nationally Scarce ${ }^{15}$. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: wetland vegetation. |
| Piezura graminicola. | Diptera: <br> Fanniidae. | Potentially Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: decaying wood; shaded woodland floor. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; fungal fruiting bodies; damp humidity; fungal fruiting bodies. |
| Stenomicra delicata. | Diptera: <br> Periscelididae. | Potentially Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: wetland vegetation. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |

[^8]
## NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

1.2.6 Ten BATs were recognised in the ISIS analysis. Eight of these comprised a sufficient number of species to be considered robust ${ }^{16}$. Of these, one BAT, the "permanent wet mire" assemblage, achieved a rarity score ${ }^{17}$ of 242 which easily exceeds the FCT (the level that denotes SSSI quality) of 180 specified within ISIS for this assemblage. This indicates that Assessment Compartment 1 supports a permanent wet mire assemblage of national importance.
1.2.7 Over a third of the species (37.5\%) listed in Table 1.4 are associated with permanent wet mire (peatland) habitat. This includes the legally protected Norfolk Hawker dragonfly, which requires well vegetated aquatic habitat to breed, especially unspoilt grazing marsh dyke systems with clean, nonsaline water and rushy margins (Ref. 1.25). One adult individual was recorded from a Malaise trap in 2010 in the north-east corner of Assessment Compartment 1.
1.2.8 The "flowing water" BAT obtained a rarity score of 150, despite being represented by relatively few (46) species. This equals, but does not exceed, the FCT of 150 specified by ISIS. This assemblage contained two species with recognised conservation status (species associated with running water habitat, Table 1.4). The "flowing water" assemblage of Assessment Compartment 1 can therefore be said to be nationally important.
1.2.9 The remaining wetland BAT, "mineral marsh and open water", scored a rarity score of 130, falling short of the FCT of 150 . This does not indicate national significance but is relatively close to the threshold so can be said to be of some conservation value.
1.2.10 The other BATs all achieved rarity scores below their respective FCTs; however, two BATs, "grassland and scrub matrix" and "wood decay" assemblages, came relatively close to their FCT thresholds suggesting associated habitats for these assemblages within Assessment Compartment 1 has some conservation value.
1.2.11 The "litter-rich fluctuating wetland" BAT, an assemblage found in wet woodlands, was not represented in the ISIS output of the combined dataset. Despite this, there were 46 species that are associated in part with wet woodland including three species with recognised conservation status, highlighted in Table 1.4. Two of these, the RDB2 Prionocera subserricornis and the Notable Dicranomyia lucida, are Tipulids (craneflies) with complex

[^9]resource requirements reflecting the importance of the mosaic of habitats within Assessment Compartment 1.
1.2.12 Fourteen SATs were recognised from the Assessment Compartment 1 combined dataset. One of these, the "W314 - reed-fen and pools" SAT, achieved a 'Number of Species Score' (species score) ${ }^{18}$ of 23 which is over double the FCT set by ISIS of ten for this SAT. This reflects the score registered by the parent "permanent wet mire" BAT and indicates that the W314 assemblage is of national importance. Within this specific assemblage are three RDB and four Nationally Scarce species along with Norfolk hawker, highlighted in Table 1.4.
1.2.13 Two other SATs, the "F001 - scrub edge" and "A212 - bark and sapwood decay" assemblages, had species scores close to their respective FCT thresholds which illustrates the importance of woodland edge habitat within Assessment Compartment 1. One species, part of the F001 assemblage, is the snipe fly Rhagio strigosus, a Nationally Rare species listed as IUCN Vulnerable; this is associated with the shaded woodland floor habitat within Assessment Compartment 1. Another species, the Notable b crabronid wasp Crossocerus binotatus, is associated with both these SATs and relies on the presence of a dead wood resource for nesting. These SATs should be considered in combination with the "arboreal canopy" BAT which was identified in Assessment Compartment 1, and although not considered of high conservation value, further highlights the importance of the mosaic of habitats within this compartment.

## Assessment

### 1.2.14 Given that:

- this compartment is directly within the footprint of the site and Sizewell Marshes SSSI;
- the detailed analysis of the extensive invertebrate survey data for this compartment has shown that at least one protected (Norfolk hawker), four RDB, one IUCN Vulnerable/Nationally rare, two NERC Act, 25 Nationally Scarce, and 3 potentially Nationally Scarce species are present;
- this compartment supports specific reed-fen, pools and broader permanent wet mire assemblages of national importance, and ditch, marsh and woodland assemblages of some conservation value; and

[^10]
## NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

- the area is part of a much larger ecological area designated as a SSSI partly for its invertebrate fauna;
then the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 1 would:
- be an IEF at the national level under the CIEEM guidelines; and
- be of high importance, following the EIA-specific assessment methodology.


## ii. Assessment compartment 2

## Description

1.2.15 Assessment Compartment 2 lies within Sizewell Marshes SSSI and comprises extensive areas of reed-swamp, and wet woodland comprising Alder and Willow carr. The reedbed here is typically very dense with a deep litter layer and few associated species other than Common Nettle (Urtica dioica) and Bramble (Rubus fruticosus agg). More diverse swamp communities are present within the marginal carr, with Water-pepper (Persicaria hydropiper), Water Mint (Mentha aquatica), Branched Bur-reed (Sparganium erectum) and Water Forget-me-not (Myosotis scorpioides). Two parallel drains run the entire length of the compartment's north-west border. These ditches support a macrophyte fauna typical of the Sizewell Marshes SSSI as a whole, with the more open stretches supporting a reasonably diverse flora including species such as Frogbit and Soft Hornwort, which are often associated with ditches supporting diverse aquatic invertebrate assemblages.
1.2.16 Within this Assessment Compartment, 360 species were recorded, 19 of which have a recognised conservation status. Table 1.5 outlines the status of each species and highlights their habitat and habitat resource requirements. Also outlined, where relevant, is the ISIS SAT that each species is associated with.

Table 1.5: Assessment compartment 2 invertebrate species with a conservation status.

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where <br> Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Passaloecus <br> clypealis. | Hymenoptera: <br> Crabronidae. | RDB3 | Habitat: peatland. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Variable <br> damselfly <br> Coenagrion <br> pulchellum. | Odonata: <br> Coernagrionidae | IUCN Near Threatened. | Habitat: marshland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond. |

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where <br> Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Achalcus <br> thalhammeri. | Diptera: <br> Dolichopodidae. | Nationally Rare. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: wet/damp peat. |
| Gnophomyia <br> viridipennis. | Diptera: <br> Limoniidae | Notable | Habitat: decaying wood. <br> Resources: cambial layer; <br> broadleaved woodland. <br> SAT: A212 - bark and sapwood <br> decay. |
| Psacadina <br> verbekei. | Diptera: <br> Sciomyzidae. | Notable | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resources: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond; wetland <br> vegetation. |
| Polydrusus <br> (Chrysophis) <br> formosus. | Coleoptera: <br> Curculionidae. | Notable a. | Habitat: arboreal. <br> Resources: broadleaved woodland; <br> leaves and/or stems, terrestrial <br> aspect - larvae root feeders. |
| Curculio <br> betulae. | Coleoptera: <br> Curculionidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: arboreal. <br> Resources: canopy; broadleaved <br> woodland; <br> inflorescence - flowers, buds and <br> shoots; terrestrial aspect - larvae <br> ground active/pupate in soil. |
| Notaris scirpi. | Coleoptera: <br> Erirhinidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: marshland. <br> Resource: wetland vegetation. |
| Stenus <br> (Hemistenus) <br> palustris. | Coleoptera: <br> Staphylinidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: sphagnum/moss lawn; <br> wet/damp peat. <br> SAT: W313 - moss and tussock fen. |
| Agonum <br> (Agonum) <br> nigrum. | Coleoptera: <br> Carabidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: deep litter. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Campsicnemus <br> pusillus. | Diptera: <br> Dolichopodidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: wet/damp peat. |
| Dolichopus <br> notatus. | Diptera: <br> Dolichopodidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: brackish pools \& ditches; <br> running water; sandy beach. <br> Resource: brackish dune slacks; <br> drawdown zone - mud/shallow litter; <br> freshwater seepages; seepages. |


| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where <br> Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gyraulus <br> (Torquis) laevis. | Hygrophila: <br> Planorbidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: marshland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond. <br> SAT: W211- open water on <br> disturbed mineral sediments. |
| Hybomitra <br> ciureai. | Diptera: <br> Tabanidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: wet/damp peat; wetland <br> vegetation. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Hydaticus <br> seminiger. | Coleoptera: <br> Dytiscidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland; shaded woodland <br> floor; wet woodland. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; <br> wet humidity; heavy shade; well <br> vegetated shallow freshwater pond. <br> SAT: W313 - moss and tussock fen. |
| Lonchoptera <br> scutellata. | Diptera: <br> Lonchopteridae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: deep litter; shallow <br> freshwater pond; wetland <br> vegetation. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Odontomyia <br> ornata. | Diptera: <br> Stratiomyidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond. <br> SAT: W314- reed-fen and pools. |
| Peltodytes <br> caesus. | Coleoptera: <br> Haliplidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: marshland. <br> Resource: sparsely vegetated <br> shallow freshwater pond. <br> SAT: W211- open water on <br> disturbed mineral sediments. |
| Thrypticus <br> pollinosus. | Diptera: <br> Dolichopodidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: deep litter; wetland <br> vegetation. |

1.2.17 The species in Table $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ are dominated by those that require peatland and marshland habitats, including the RDB3 crabronid wasp species Passaloecus clypealis which is found only in Phragmites reedbeds. This is reflected in the ISIS analysis undertaken on the 2014 survey results, which indicates that Assessment Compartment 2 supports "permanent wet mire" and "mineral marsh and open water", both BATs of national importance.
1.2.18 None of the SATs recorded from the ISIS output for this Assessment Compartment exceeded the required FCT thresholds. However, the closely
allied "W314 - reed-fen and pools" and "W211 - open water on disturbed mineral sediments" assemblages were well represented and close to their respective thresholds, as was the "A212 - bark and sapwood decay" assemblage. These three SATs contained species with recognised conservation status, highlighted in Table 1.5. The "W221 - litter-rich fluctuating marsh" SAT, which is found in wet woodland, was also well represented and close to its respective threshold, although no species with recognised conservation status associated with it were recorded. Although the FCT of these SATs were not reached, they are still considered to be of high conservation value.
1.2.19 The Community Conservation Indices analysis undertaken on the 2014 aquatic survey results indicated that, while Community Conservation Indices "Favourable Condition" status was not reached, the collective rarity and overall diversity of the fauna was of "very high conservation value". This classification is interpreted by Chadd and Extence as "sites supporting several rarities, including species of national importance, or at least one extreme rarity (e.g. taxa included in the British RDBs) and/or a community of very high taxon richness". Such sites are potentially of national significance.
1.2.20 Of the habitats recorded, the ditch network, reedbed and wet woodland all contribute to the overall very high conservation value of Assessment Compartment 2, as do the close proximities to other compartments within the Sizewell Marshes SSSI including, amongst others, Assessment Compartments 1 and 3. Whilst there are more extensive areas of similar reedbed habitat elsewhere within the Sizewell Marshes SSSI, it appears that because this area comprises former fen meadow that is developing into reedbed, this may have elevated the importance of the area for invertebrates.

## Assessment

### 1.2.21 Given that:

- the detailed analysis of the extensive invertebrate survey data for this compartment has shown that at least one RDB3, one IUCN Near Threatened, one Nationally Rare and 17 Nationally Scarce species present;
- this compartment supports "permanent wet mire" and "mineral marsh and open water" assemblages of National importance;
- the rarity and overall diversity of the fauna was of very high conservation value;
- the area is part of a much larger ecological area designated as a SSSI partly for its invertebrate fauna; and
- the compartment will be directly affected by the proposed development due to the realignment of the Sizewell Drain;
then the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 2 would:
- be an IEF at the national level under the CIEEM guidelines; and
- be of high importance, following the EIA-specific assessment methodology.
iii. Assessment compartment 3


## Description

1.2.22 This compartment is situated within Sizewell Marshes SSSI, and the habitat comprises the Iris pseudacorus sub-community of the M22d NVC community Juncus subnodulosus-Cirsium palustre fen meadow. On a landscape scale, the fen meadow forms part of a network of floristically similar, cattle-grazed meadows, and the associated network of field drains and ditches have the potential to support aquatic and terrestrial invertebrate assemblages of conservation interest.
1.2.23 Within the compartment, 272 species were recorded, ten of which have recognised conservation status. Table 1.6 outlines the status of each species and highlights their habitat and habitat resource requirements. Also outlined, where relevant, is the ISIS SAT that each species is associated with.

Table 1.6: Assessment compartment 3 invertebrate species with a conservation status.

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where <br> Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Syntormon <br> mikii. | Diptera: <br> Dolichopodidae. | Nationally Rare. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: wet/damp peat. |
| Great silver <br> water beetle <br> Hydrophilus <br> piceus. | Coleoptera: <br> Hydrophilidae. | IUCN Near Threatened; <br> Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Scarce chaser <br> Libellula fulva. | Odonata: <br> Libellulidae. | IUCN Near Threatened. | Habitat: running water. <br> Resource: slow flow; wetland <br> vegetation. <br> SAT: W125 - slow-flowing rivers. |


| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where <br> Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Psacadina <br> verbekei. | Diptera: <br> Sciomyzidae. | Notable | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond; wetland <br> vegetation. |
| Odontomyia <br> argentata. | Diptera: <br> Statiomyidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: base soils, well vegetated <br> shallow freshwater pond. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Odontomyia <br> ornata. | Diptera: <br> Stratiomyidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond. |
| Oodes <br> helopioides. | Coleoptera: <br> Carabidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Rhamphomyia <br> caliginosa. | Diptera: <br> Empididae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: shaded woodland floor, wet <br> woodland. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; <br> wet humidity; heavy shade. |
| Viviparus <br> contectus. | [unassigned] <br> Caenogastropoda <br> Viviparidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: marshland. <br> Resource: base soil; sparsely <br> vegetated shallow freshwater pond. <br> SAT: W211- open water on <br> disturbed mineral sediments. |
| Criomorphus <br> williamsi. | Hemiptera: <br> Delphacidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource: sward/field layer: damp <br> soil humidity. |

1.2.24 The ISIS analysis recognised nine BATs. Of these, three comprised a sufficient number of species to be considered robust. The two most well represented BATs were the closely allied, "permanent wet mire" and "mineral marsh and open water" wetland assemblages.
1.2.25 The "permanent wet mire" BAT achieved a rarity score of 212 which exceeds the FCT of 180 specified within ISIS. There were six species within this BAT with recognised conservation status recorded in this compartment (associated with peatland habitat, see Table 1.6), including the Nationally Rare long-legged fly Syntormon mikii and the IUCN Near Threated great silver water beetle. This indicates that Assessment Compartment 3 supports a "permanent wet mire" assemblage of national importance.
1.2.26 The "mineral marsh and open water" BAT rarity score did not reach the FCT threshold. This suggests the species in this assemblage were comprised of primarily common species with few rarities, except for Viviparus contectus, a Nationally Scarce freshwater snail.
1.2.27 The grassland habitat of the fen meadow supported a range of typical wet grassland invertebrates. Grasshoppers and crickets were well represented, with local species including the great green bush-cricket (Tettigonia viridissima), short-winged conehead (Conocephalus dorsalis) and lesser marsh grasshopper (Chorthippus albomarginatus) occurring alongside the formerly rare long-winged conehead (Conocephalus fuscus) and Roesel's bush-cricket (Metrioptera roeselii), both species now being ubiquitous across much of the southern-half of the UK. This was reflected in the ISIS analysis, with the rarity score for the "grassland and scrub matrix" BAT being 140 compared to the FCT of 160 . This does still indicate, nevertheless, that the "grassland and scrub matrix" assemblage is of some conservation value.
1.2.28 None of the 11 SATs recognised from the ISIS analysis provided species scores exceeding their respective FCTs. However, the species score for the "W314 - reed-fen and pools" SAT was equal to the FCT (i.e. ten). Furthermore, three of the species attributed to this assemblage have recognised conservation status (see Table 1.6) which indicates the W314 assemblage is of national importance.
1.2.29 Few other SATs recognised within this compartment achieved species scores close to the FCTs, although the "W125 - slow-flowing rivers" SAT had a species score of two compared with the FCT threshold of three (the low threshold accounting for the small species-pool attributed to the assemblage in ISIS). This included the larval stage of the scarce chaser dragonfly, which is considered IUCN Near Threatened. The presence of larvae confirms the breeding presence of this species.
1.2.30 The Community Conservation Indices analysis of aquatic sampling undertaken in 2014 indicated that the ditch habitat here was of "very high conservation value". This may at least partly reflect the high diversity within a relatively small dataset, as well as the presence of the IUCN Neat Threatened and Nationally Scarce great silver water beetle.
1.2.31 Both the ditch network and the botanically-diverse fen meadow contributed to the overall conservation value of Assessment Compartment 3. The ditches sampled were floristically diverse, with abundant Frogbit, which is typical of great silver water beetle habitat.
1.2.32 Norfolk hawker, whilst not recorded in this compartment, was recorded in adjacent habitat within Assessment Compartment 12. Being well vegetated
and open, the ditches within Assessment Compartment 3 were considered to have some potential to support breeding Norfolk Hawker.

## Assessment

### 1.2.33 Given that:

- the detailed analysis of the extensive invertebrate survey data for this compartment has shown that at least two Near Threatened, one Nationally Rare and seven Nationally Scarce species are present;
- this compartment supports "permanent wet mire" and specialised "reed-fen and pools" assemblages of national importance;
- the rarity and overall diversity of the fauna, as indicated by the Community Conservation Indices score, was of "very high conservation value";
- the area is part of a much larger ecological area designated as a SSSI partly for its invertebrate fauna; and
- this area is directly adjacent to the site and may be directly and/or indirectly impacted;
then the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 3 would:
- be an IEF at the national level under the CIEEM guidelines; and
- be of high importance, following the ElA-specific assessment methodology.
iv. Assessment compartment 4/4a


## Description

1.2.34 Assessment Compartment 4/4a is within the site boundary and is split into two parts due to differences in the habitats contained in each. Assessment Compartment 4 comprises an L-shaped bund planted with trees and shrubs, open deer-grazed species-poor grassland with patches of bare ground and two belts of riparian woodland. These are man-made habitats created at the time of the construction of the Sizewell B power station. This habitat complex contains features similar to the "open mosaic habitat on previously developed land" habitat of principal importance and provides a continuation of the dry sandy habitats found within Assessment Compartments 5 and 6, described in paragraphs 4.2.44 and 4.2.52, respectively.
1.2.35 In contrast, Assessment Compartment 4a consists of a narrow strip of
secondary wet woodland which screens Sizewell Marshes SSSI from

## NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

habitats in Assessment Compartment 4. The habitat immediately adjacent to Assessment Compartment 4 comprises grassland planted with a range of predominantly native trees and shrubs together with species of Pine (Pinus spp.) and Evergreen Oak (Quercus ilex). Towards the bottom of the slope a more natural, albeit secondary, wet woodland habitat has developed, with middle-aged stands of Alder, Grey Willow (Salix cinerea) and Downy Birch (Betula pubescens). At the base of the slope, heavily silted and shaded ditches lead into an inundated carr woodland containing scattered swamp/wet woodland ground flora, especially at the interface with the adjacent Sizewell Marshes SSSI fen meadow and the reed-swamp habitat of Assessment Compartments 2 and 3. Whilst the exposed silt habitats and water-logged wood- decay habitat provide some potential interest, with some fallen birches with loose bark and superficial rot habitat, the habitat is too heavily shaded to support certain wet woodland invertebrate assemblages. The juxtaposition of the woodland strip in relation to the fen meadow and reed-swamp increases the potential value of this habitat for invertebrates.
1.2.36 From the combined dataset in Assessment Compartments 4 and 4a, 624 species were recorded. Table 1.7 lists the 23 species with recognised conservation status recorded within this compartment highlighting their status, habitat and habitat resource requirements. Also outlined, where relevant, is the ISIS SAT that each species is associated with.

Table 1.7: Assessment compartment 4/4a invertebrate species with a conservation status.

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where <br> Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grayling | Lepidoptera: <br> Nymphalidae. | IUCN Vulnerable; <br> Section 41 NERC Act. | Habitat: short sward \& bare ground. <br> SAT: F111 - bare sand and chalk. |
| White-mantled <br> wainscot moth. | Lepidoptera: <br> Noctuidae. | RDB3 <br> Section 41 NERC Act. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: wetland vegetation. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Dolichovespula <br> media. | Hymenoptera: <br> Vespidae. | Notable a. | Habitat: arboreal. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; <br> flowers (adult); foliage, honeydew <br> and sap runs (adult). <br> SAT: F001 - scrub edge. |
| Polydrusus <br> (Chrysophis) <br> formosus. | Coleoptera; <br> Circulionidae. | Notable a. | Habitat: arboreal. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; <br> leaves and/or stems; terrestrial <br> aspect - larvae root feeders. |

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where <br> Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Glocianus <br> punctiger. | Coleoptera: <br> Circulionidae. | Notable b; <br> Section 41 NERC Act. | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource: sward/field layer; dry soil <br> humidity. |
| Catapion <br> pubescens. | Coleoptera: <br> Apionidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource: sward/field layer, dry soil <br> humidity. |
| Hypera <br> (Antidonus) <br> dauci. | Coleoptera: <br> Circulionidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: short sward and bare <br> ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; <br> sward/field layer; dry soil humidity; <br> sand. <br> SAT: F1111- bare sand and chalk. |
| Nysson <br> dimidiatus. | Hymenoptera: <br> Crabronidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: short sward and bare <br> ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; <br> sward/field layer; dry soil humidity; <br> sand. |
| Sitona <br> waterhousei. | Coleoptera: <br> Circulionidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: short sward and bare <br> ground. <br> Resource: sward/field layer; dry soil <br> humidity. <br> SAT: F112 - open short sward. |
| Stenus (Stenus) <br> carbonarius. | Coleoptera: <br> Staphylinidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: peatland; shaded woodland <br> floor; wet woodland. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; <br> deep litter; wet humidity; heavy <br> shad. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Limonia <br> trivittata. | Diptera: <br> Limoniidae. | Notable | Habitat: short sward and bare <br> ground. <br> Resource: sward/field layer; dry soil <br> humidity. <br> SAT: F111 - bare sand and chalk. |
| Lrichosirocalus <br> barnevillei. | Coleoptera: <br> Circulionidae. <br> emarginata. | Coleoptera: <br> Staphylinidae. | Notable b. |
| Habitat: running water; shaded <br> woodland floor; wet woodland. <br> Resource: base conditions; <br> broadleaved woodland; mud/shallow <br> liter; wet humidity; heavy shade; <br> wetland vegetation; woodland <br> stream. |  |  |  |


| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where Relevant). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pherbellia griseola. | Diptera: <br> Sciomyzidae. | Notable | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow freshwater pond; wetland vegetation. |
| Rhamphomyia caliginosa. | Diptera: <br> Empididae. | IUCN Lower Risk Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: shaded woodland floor; wet woodland. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; wet humidity; heavy shade. |
| Amara lucida. | Coleoptera: Carabidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: short sward and bare ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; sward/field layer; dry soil humidity; sand. <br> SAT; F111- bare sand and chalk. |
| Bathysolen nubilus. | Hemiptera: Coreidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: short sward and bare ground. <br> Resource: base conditions; sward/field layer, dry soil humidity. SAT: F112- open short sward. |
| Cheilosia mutabilis. | Diptera: <br> Syrphidae. | Nationally Scarce | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. Resource: acidic conditions; sward/field layer, dry soil humidity. |
| Crypticus quisquilius. | Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: short sward and bare ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand, variable soil humidity; sand. |
| Dolichopus acuticornis. | Diptera: Dolichopodidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: marshland; running water. <br> Resource: drawdown zone: mud/shallow litter. |
| Odontomyia argentata. | Diptera: <br> Stratiomyidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: base conditions, well vegetated shallow freshwater pond. SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Pterostichus (Bothriopterus) quadrifoveolatus | Coleoptera: Carabidae. | Nationally Scarce. | SAT: F003 - scrub-heath and moorland. |
| Lispe uliginosa. | Diptera: Muscidae. | Potentially Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. Resource: wet/damp peat. |

### 1.2.37 Of the nine BATs recognised within this Assessment Compartment, seven comprised a sufficient number of species to be considered robust.

[^11]1.2.38 Two of the BATs, the "unshaded early successional mosaic" (the second most species rich BAT recognised) and "permanent wet mire" assemblages, achieved rarity scores that were just exceeding the corresponding FCT within ISIS. This indicates that these assemblages are of national importance.
1.2.39 The "unshaded early successional mosaic" BAT is likely to reflect most strongly the data collected from the sandy grassland habitat in Assessment Compartment 4. This area is connected to the sandy, dune grassland within Assessment Compartment 5 (Figure 14A4.3), which is considered to be of national importance (paragraph 4.2.47). Elements of this assemblage are also likely to be expressed from samples collected from the upper grassland and scrub buffer surveyed on the border of Assessment Compartments 4 and 4a. Eight species with recognised conservation status were attributed to this assemblage (requiring short sward and bare ground habitat, see Table 1.7). This includes the IUCN Vulnerable grayling butterfly, a specialist of dry, well-drained soils with plenty of bare ground (Ref. 1.26). Arguably the most significant species associated with this BAT was the Notable b Sitona waterhousei, a species of pea weevil. S. waterhousei has, according to records, not previously been recorded from Suffolk and, according to Hyman and Parsons (Ref. 1.27), is "not recorded in East Anglia".
1.2.40 The "permanent wet mire" BAT almost certainly reflects the data collected from the wet woodland understorey, especially adjacent to the more open carr habitat towards Assessment Compartment 3. Five species with conservation significance were attributed to this assemblage, being specialists of peatland habitat (see Table 1.7). This includes the RDB white-mantled wainscot moth which has a very restricted range in the UK, being known only from coastal reedbeds between Southwold and Thorpeness. However, as it was recorded from a mercury vapour mothtrap, it is possible that the insect was attracted in from habitats within an adjacent compartment (although likely still from within Sizewell Marshes SSSI). This species is a specialist of dead reed stems and is thought to be sensitive to over-management of reedbeds (Ref. 1.28).
1.2.41 Despite being the most species-rich BAT, the rarity score of 130 attributed to the "grassland and scrub matrix" assemblage fell short of the FCT ISIS threshold and this was also the case for the remaining BATs.
1.2.42 Ten SATs were recognised within Assessment Compartment 4. Of these, two SATs, "F111 - bare sand and chalk" and "F001 - scrub edge", both achieved species scores (26 and 11 respectively) exceeding their corresponding FCT ISIS thresholds of 25 and 10. This indicates that the F111 and F001 assemblages within Assessment Compartment 4 and 4a are of national importance.
1.2.43 The high score achieved by the F111 SAT can largely be attributed to species recorded from the dry, disturbed habitats within Assessment Compartment 4 , within close proximity to the high quality and herb-rich habitats of Assessment Compartment 5, as mentioned above. Four species of conservation significance identified on site are attributed to the F111 assemblage, alongside the parent "unshaded early successional mosaic" BAT. The other SAT represented in the sample was F112 - open short sward, which is nested in the "unshaded early successional mosaic" BAT. This did not achieve its FCT ISIS threshold of 12, but with a species score of eight it was reasonably well represented and can be considered of high conservation value.
1.2.44 Of the resource-based SATs (other than the Favourable F001) represented within the Assessment Compartment 4 and 4a combined dataset, the "F003 - scrub-heath and moorland" SAT was closest to achieving its FCT, with a species score of seven compared to its FCT ISIS threshold of eight. In contrast, the "F002 - Rich flower resource" SAT scored ten compared to its FCT ISIS threshold of 14. These SATs reasonably reflect the more diffuse conditions present, particularly in and around Assessment Compartment 4.

## Assessment

1.2.45 Given that:

- the detailed analysis of the extensive invertebrate survey data for this compartment has shown that at least one IUCN Vulnerable, one RDB species, 20 Nationally Scarce and one potentially Nationally Scarce species are present;
- the wet woodland on the western edge (Assessment Compartment 4a) supports a "permanent wet mire" assemblage type of national importance;
- the open mosaic habitat (Assessment Compartment 4) supports a specific "bare sand and chalk" and a broader "unshaded and early successional mosaic" assemblage of national importance and a specific "open short sward" assemblage of conservation value; and
- Compartment 4 and 4 a will be directly impacted by the proposed development, resulting in the loss of suitable habitat;
then the invertebrate assemblages within Assessment Compartment 4 and 4 a would:
- be an IEF at the national level under the CIEEM guidelines; and
- be of high importance, following the ElA-specific assessment methodology.


## v. Assessment compartment 5

## Description

1.2.46 Assessment Compartment 5 lies within Suffolk Shingle Beaches CWS (Table 1.8). This strip of habitat, on the seaward side of Assessment Compartment 4, comprises coastal shingle, vegetated shingle, vegetated sand dune and dune grassland. Inland of the dune system is a sheltered area of dune grassland which supports a diverse range of typical sandy grassland plant species, providing a flower-rich resource for invertebrates. Bare sand patches and localised shingle exposures provided additional features beneficial to invertebrates typical of coastal zone habitats. This compartment lies within the site boundary and will be impacted by the Sizewell C Project.
1.2.47 Within this compartment, 232 species were recorded. Table 1.8 lists the 22 species with recognised conservation status recorded within this compartment highlighting their status, habitat and habitat resource requirements. Also outlined, where relevant, is the ISIS SAT that each species is associated with.

Table 1.8: Assessment compartment 5 invertebrate species with a conservation status.

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, ISIS SAT <br> (Where Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Evagetes <br> pectinipes. | Hymenoptera: <br> Pompilidae. | RDB1 | Habitat: short sward and bare <br> ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; <br> sward/field layer; dry soil humidity; <br> sand. <br> SAT: F111 - bare sand and chalk. |
| Grayling | Lepidoptera: <br> Nymphalidae. | IUCN Vulnerable; <br> Section 41 NERC Act. | Habitat: short sward and bare <br> ground. <br> SAT: F111- bare sand and chalk. |
| Tricimba <br> brachyptera. | Diptera: <br> Chloropidae. | RDB3 | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource: litter and ground layer; <br> dry soil humidity; sand. |
| Apion <br> rubiginosum. | Coleoptera: <br> Apionidae. | RDB3 | SAT: F003 - scrub-heath and <br> moorland. |
| Small heath. | Lepidoptera: <br> Nymphalidae. | IUCN Near <br> Threatened; Section 41 <br> NERC Act. | Habitat: short sward and bare <br> ground. Resource: sward/field <br> layer; dry soil humidity. <br> SAT: F112 - open short sward. |

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where Relevant). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cinnabar moth Tyria jacobaeae. | Lepidoptera: Erebidae. | Section 41 NERC Act research only. | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource: sward/field layer; dry soil humidity. |
| Hippodamia (Adonia) variegata. | Coleoptera: Coccinellidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. Resource: sward/field layer. |
| Orthochaetes setiger. | Coleoptera: Curculionidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource: sward/field layer. |
| Protapion difforme. | Coleoptera: Apionidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. Resource: sward/field layer; dry soil humidity. |
| Protapion dissimile. | Coleoptera: Apionidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: short sward and bare ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; sward/field layer; dry soil humidity; sand. <br> SAT: F111- bare sand and chalk. |
| Pherbellia brunnipes. | Diptera: <br> Sciomyzidae. | Notable | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow freshwater pond; wetland vegetation. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Platypalpus niveiseta. | Diptera: Hybotidae. | Nationally Scarce. | No available details. |
| Alydus calcaratus. | Hemiptera: <br> Alydidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: short sward and bare ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; dry soil humidity; sand. <br> SAT: F111 - bare sand and chalk. |
| Aphanisticus pusillus. | Coleoptera: <br> Buprestidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat; short sward and bare ground. <br> Resource; sward/field layer; damp soil humidity. <br> SAT; F112 - open short sward. |
| Crypticus quisquilius. | Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: short sward and bare ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; variable soil humidity; sand. |
| Lesser cockroach. | Dictyoptera: <br> Blattellidae. | Nationally Scarce. | No available details. |

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements ISIS SAT <br> (Where Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Legnotus <br> picipes. | Hemiptera: <br> Cydnidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: short sward and bare <br> ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; dry soil <br> humidity; sand. <br> SAT: F111- bare sand and chalk. |
| Grey bush- <br> cricket <br> Platycleis <br> albopunctata. | Orthoptera: <br> Tettigoniidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: short sward and bare <br> ground. <br> Resource: sward/field layer; dry soil <br> humidity. <br> SAT: F001 - scrub edge, F111 - <br> bare sand and chalk. |
| Silometopus <br> ambiguus. | Araneae: <br> Linyphiidae. | Nationally Scarce. | No available details. |
| Villa modesta. | Diptera: <br> Bombylidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: short sward and bare <br> ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; sward/ <br> field layer; dry soil humidity; sand. <br> SAT: F111 - bare sand and chalk. |
| Opomyza <br> punctella. | Diptera: <br> Opomyzidae. | IUCN potentially Near <br> Threatened; <br> Potentially Nationally <br> Scarce | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource: soil and roots; sward/field <br> layer; dry soil humidity. |
| Dicraeus raptus. | Diptera: <br> Chloropidae. | Potentially Nationally <br> Scarce. | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource: sward/field layer; dry soil <br> humidity. <br> SAT: F001 - scrub edge. |

1.2.48 The dune habitat and grassland strip supported an extensive Orthopteran fauna, with approximately half of the native British species recorded in this area, including the Nationally Scarce grey bush-cricket and lesser cockroach. Three Lepidoptera listed under Section 41 of the NERC Act were also recorded here, the grayling butterfly, the small heath butterfly and the cinnabar moth (see Table 1.8). The flower-rich habitat combined with the extent of exposed sand provides potential for a high diversity of groundnesting aculeate Hymenoptera, as well as a range of other specialist and generalist species.
1.2.49 BAT scores from the 2014 ISIS analysis indicate that the coastal strip supports an "unshaded early successional mosaic" assemblage of national importance, the assemblage being represented by slightly fewer species than the "grassland and scrub matrix" assemblage, which itself achieved a rarity score approaching the FCT threshold. From the SAT analysis, the
1.2.50 One species recorded from the dune habitat, the spider-hunting wasp Evagetes pectinipes, is classed as Nationally Endangered (RDB1). This species has rarely been recorded outside of its stronghold in Kent and represents the most significant record from the dataset. As Table 1.8 shows, E. pectinipes is considered part of the "F111 - bare sand and chalk" SAT and while this SAT did not reach the FCT threshold, the presence of this species alone suggests this SAT is of very high conservation value. In addition, 14 Nationally Scarce species were also recorded from Assessment Compartment, at least half of which (together with some of the local and common species recorded) are associated with short sward and bare ground habitats.
1.2.51 The "Favourable Condition" status recorded for the broader "unshaded early successional mosaic" and specific "F112 - open short sward" indicate that this site supports invertebrate assemblages above the required threshold to be considered of national importance.

## Assessment

1.2.52 Given that:

- the detailed analysis of the extensive invertebrate survey data for this compartment has shown that at least three RDB (including the RDB1 spider-hunting wasp Evagetes pectinipes), one IUCN Vulnerable, one IUCN Near Threatened, one Section 41 species and 14 Nationally Scarce species are present;
- this compartment supports an "unshaded early successional mosaic" assemblage of national importance as well as an "open short sward" assemblage at Favourable Condition status;
- this compartment can be considered to be of SSSI quality for its invertebrate assemblages, thus exceeding its current CWS status; and
- this area will be directly impacted by the proposed development, resulting in the loss of suitable habitat.

Then the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 5 would:

- be an IEF at the national level under the CIEEM guidelines; and
- be of high importance, following the EIA-specific assessment methodology.


## vi. Assessment compartment 6/6a

## Description

1.2.53 Assessment Compartment 6, and part of Assessment Compartment 6a, lie within Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes Ramsar site which, as described in Table 1.1, supports at least 26 RDB invertebrates. Both Assessment Compartments also lie within Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SSSI, also described in Table 1.1, noted for its invertebrate fauna. Assessment Compartments 6 and 6 a are adjacent to the site boundary.
1.2.54 The succession of habitats in Assessment Compartment 6 from the upper shore to the vegetated dune habitat, the flatter sandy grassland/heathland habitat and its interface with the scrub woodland belt and subsequent reedbed habitat, provide a wide variety of habitats and microhabitat conditions for invertebrates. The habitat is structurally varied and botanically diverse given the low botanical diversity normally associated with dry heathland biotopes. This heath and acid grassland grades into more typical dune habitat towards the sea.
1.2.55 The varied topography and open, yet sheltered nature of the sandy habitat, together with an abundance of flowering plants, including Restharrow (Ononis repens) and Common Bird's-foot-trefoil (Lotus corniculatus), as well as heaths and associated Gorse (Ulex europaeus) scrub, provide habitat of high invertebrate potential.
1.2.56 Assessment Compartment 6a contains a narrow strip of transition scrub/woodland, with a strip of coastal reed-swamp to the west transitioning into grazing marsh. Aquatic habitats surveyed here were situated in open conditions within grazing marsh, unlike the components of the ditch network within Assessment Compartments 1 and 2, and both supported reasonably diverse macrophyte assemblages.
1.2.57 Of the 248 species recorded within Assessment Compartments 6 and 6a, the 11 listed in Table 1.9 have recognised conservation status. The table lists each species' individual conservation status alongside their habitat and habitat resource requirements. Also outlined, where relevant, is the ISIS SAT that each species is associated with.

Table 1.9: Assessment compartment 6/6a invertebrate species with a conservation status.

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource Requirements, SAT (Where Relevant). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hygrolycosa rubrofasciata. | Araneae: Lycosidae. | IUCN Endangered; Nationally Rare. | Habitat: peatland. Resource: deep litter. |
| Grayling | Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae. | IUCN Vulnerable; Section 41 NERC Act. | Habitat: short sward and bare ground. <br> SAT: F111 - bare sand and chalk. |
| Small heath. | Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae. | IUCN Near Threatened; <br> Section 41 NERC Act. | Habitat: short sward \& bare ground. Resource: sward/field layer; dry soil humidity. <br> SAT: F112 - open short sward. |
| Great silver water beetle. | Coleoptera: Hydrophilidae. | IUCN Near Threatened; Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow freshwater pond. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Polydrusus (Chrysophis) formosus. | Coleoptera: Curculionidae. | Notable a. | Habitat: arboreal <br> Resource: canopy; broadleaved woodland; leaves and/or stems; terrestrial aspect -larvae root feeders. |
| Drymus (Drymus) latus. | Hemiptera: Lygaeidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: tall sward \& scrub. <br> Resource: sward/field layer; dry soil humidity. |
| Pelenomus canaliculatus. | Coleoptera: Curculionidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: lake; peatland. <br> Resource: lakeside emergent/ aquatic vegetation; well vegetated shallow freshwater pond. <br> SAT; W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Aphanisticus pusillus. | Coleoptera: Buprestidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: short sward and bare ground. <br> Resource: sward/field layer; damp soil humidity. <br> SAT; F112 - open short sward. |
| Lesser cockroach. | Dictyoptera: <br> Blattellidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Resource: shallow freshwater pond; sphagnum/moss lawn. |
| Hydrochus angustatus. | Coleoptera: Hydrophilidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. |


| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, SAT <br> (Where Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Saldula <br> pilosella. | Hemiptera: <br> Saldidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: brackish pools \& ditches; <br> saltmarsh. <br> Resource: pond/seepage edge; <br> saline silt. <br> SAT: M311 - saltmarsh and <br> transitional brackish marsh. |

1.2.58 Species recorded within Assessment Compartment 6 had a strong affinity to the dry grassland and heath habitat found within the compartment; however, no BATs or SATs identified in the 2014 ISIS analysis produced scores above the FCT thresholds. The two best represented BATs, "grassland and scrub matrix" and "unshaded early successional mosaic" assemblages did, however, produce rarity scores approaching their respective FCTs. In addition, the "F112 - open short sward" SAT was also well represented, but again not sufficiently populated to achieve the threshold status.
1.2.59 Despite this, grayling and small heath butterflies (considered Vulnerable and Near Threatened respectively under IUCN guidelines) were abundant throughout the coastal heath/grassland zone. Of particular note was the presence of the wolf spider Hygrolycosa rubrofasciata (a Nationally Rare, IUCN Endangered species) which lives in deep litter in peatland habitats. This individual was collected from the scrub edge habitat which grades into reed-swamp on the border between Assessment Compartment 6 and 6a. Aphanisticus pusillus, a jewel beetle associated with both dry, sandy habitats and wetland rushes, was also recorded, together with several other species characteristic dry heathland and scrub.
1.2.60 In terms of habitat representativeness, and in a wider landscape context, Assessment Compartment 6 is clearly of much higher conservation value than the results of the 2014 ISIS analysis show. The sampling effort was lower than for other Assessment Compartments, and certain groups likely to be supported by the habitats, such as ground-nesting Aculeate Hymenoptera, were poorly represented in the dataset. Furthermore, the connection between similar habitats within Assessment Compartments 4 and 5 suggest importance of this compartment at a landscape scale.
1.2.61 Community Conservation Indices analysis from a single sample from a shallow scrape surveyed in Assessment Compartment 6a showed a score well above the threshold for "very high conservation value". The sample was of relatively high diversity, with one IUCN Near Threatened species (great silver water beetle) and two Nationally Scarce beetles (Pelenomus canaliculatus and Hydrochus angustatus). A lower score was achieved

## NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

from the two samples collected from surveyed ditch habitat (achieving "fairly high conservation value"), potentially due to the nutrient inputs that the ditch receives from Leiston Waste Water Treatment Works.
1.2.62 Whilst the Community Conservation Indices scores were high, BATs and SATs recorded for the combined ditch and scrape produced a robust score only for the "mineral marsh and open water" BAT, which produced a rather low rarity score. However, three species with recognised conservation status recorded are attributed to "permanent wet mire" assemblage (associated with peatland habitat, see Table 1.9), which was not represented well enough in ISIS for a rarity score to be produced. Two of these species are also attributed to the "W314 - reed-fen and pools" assemblage, which again was too poorly represented to produce a significant score.
1.2.63 In general, the habitats present, the position within and adjacent statutory designated sites, and the recorded fauna, all indicate that both the scrape and ditch are integral to the overall network of important habitat and can therefore be considered to be of national importance.

## Assessment

1.2.64 Given that:

- the detailed analysis of the invertebrate survey data for this compartment has shown that one Endangered, one Vulnerable and two Near Threatened species under IUCN guidelines along with seven Nationally Scarce species are present;
- the reedbed, scrape and ditch habitat (Assessment Compartment 6a) were assessed as being of "very high conservation value" (with great silver water beetle recorded from the scrape);
- the sandy grassland/heathland habitat (Assessment Compartment 6) also provided habitat of high conservation value and supported grayling and small heath butterflies;
- the area is also part of a much larger ecological area designated as a Ramsar site/SSSI partly for its invertebrate fauna; and
- the implementation of primary and tertiary mitigation measures, discussed in the ES Volume 2, Chapter 14, would ensure there are no direct or indirect impacts on the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 6/6a;
then the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 6/6a would:
> - be an important at the international level under the CIEEM guidelines;
> - be of high importance, following the ElA-specific assessment methodology; and
> not be an IEF.
> vii. Assessment compartment 7


## Description

1.2.65 Assessment Compartment 7, within the greater Sizewell Marshes SSSI and not within the site, was subject to an invertebrate habitat assessment only. Wet woodland dominates this compartment and was identified as W2a Salix cinerea-Betula pubescens-Phragmites woodland, grading into W6a Alnus glutinosa-Urtica dioica woodland further north. The south-east corner is bordered by fen meadow and ditches and comprises unmanaged woodland habitat with a dense canopy of predominantly Alder, Grey Willow and Downy Birch. The small clearings within the woodland support a ground flora of Yorkshire-fog (Holcus lanatus) and Creeping Soft-grass (Holcus mollis), with some Common Reed and soft rush (Juncus effusus) in the wetter areas, although the field layer is largely heavily shaded. Only a small number of old trees are present, and although there is a large amount of fallen deadwood, this mainly comprises twiggy fallen branches rather than large trunks, so the value of the site for saproxylic (i.e. dead wood) invertebrates is not likely to be significant. However, some inundated dead wood, rot holes and moss habitat were recorded which have some potential to support saproxylic invertebrates.
1.2.66 Some dead and dying Downy Birch was evident in the more open areas, with birch polypore fungus Piptoporus betulinus providing additional potential for fungus-eating invertebrates. In particular, birch polypore can support an RDB2 species of darkling beetle Diaperis boleti. Whilst not recorded during the survey, the desk-study has identified $D$. boleti within the wider area.

## Assessment

1.2.67 Given that this area of woodland:

- includes sub-habitats likely to be of some importance to invertebrates;
- is within the Sizewell Levels and Associated Areas CWS;
- is also adjacent to a much larger ecological area designated as an SSSI partly for its invertebrate fauna; and
eDF
- this area would not be impacted by the proposed development, as it would not be subject to direct habitat loss;
then the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 7 would:
- be at the county level under the CIEEM guidelines;
- be of medium importance, following the EIA-specific assessment methodology; and
- not be an IEF.
viii. Assessment compartment 8


## Description

1.2.68 This compartment lies within Sizewell Marshes SSSI and is adjacent to Assessment Compartments 1, 2, 4a, 9, 10 and 14, five of which are considered to contain invertebrate assemblages of national importance. Assessment Compartment 8 comprises mature Alder and Downy Birch woodland with open water bodies and a ditch along the western boundary. Mature, multi-stemmed Alder was recorded, along with mixed stands of younger and more mature birch. Some deadwood habitat is present, predominantly standing and fallen birch wood, with rot holes and peeling bark habitat, but also sap runs in some of the living trees. Some fallen branches and trunks are present within the water bodies, providing saturated wood with the potential to support specialist Diptera, including hoverflies and craneflies. The ground layer has an uneven topography and thus a varying level of surface water. The drier areas have been colonised by grasses, predominantly Yorkshire-fog, whilst the wetter areas variously support stands of Common Nettle and Water-pepper, with more scattered soft rush, hard rush (Juncus inflexus), Yellow Iris (Iris pseudacorus), Water Mint and Brooklime (Veronica beccabunga). Common Reed typically flanks the ditches and water bodies, and the weedy margins have been found to support Water-starwort species Callitriche spp. and Lesser Water-parsnip (Berula erecta).
1.2.69 Within the woodland, areas of exposed, un-vegetated silt and leaf litter provide habitat for specialist invertebrates such as Saldid bugs, ground beetles, rove beetles and Diptera. Fallen, wind-damaged birches have created more openness and opportunities for saproxylic invertebrates, and there was evidence of bark beetles and other wood-boring beetles in places. Drier parts of the woodland feature Pedunculate Oak (Quercus robur), and occasionally Hazel (Corylus avellana) and Holly (Ilex aquifolium) featured in the understorey, providing additional potential invertebrate habitat, although the ground flora was lacking diversity, with

Herb-Robert (Geranium robertianum) being the only abundant herb species.
1.2.70 Forty-eight species were recorded within this compartment, five of which have recognised conservation status. Table 1.10 outlines the status of each species and highlights their habitat and habitat resource requirements. Also outlined, where relevant, is the ISIS SAT that each species is associated with.

Table 1.10: Assessment compartment 8 invertebrate species with a conservation status.

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat and Habitat Resource <br> Requirements. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dicranomyia <br> ventralis. | Diptera: <br> Limoniidae. | Notable | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resources: wet/damp peat; wetland <br> vegetation. |
| Peltodytes <br> caesus. | Coleoptera: <br> Halipidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: marshland. <br> Resource: sparsely vegetated <br> shallow freshwater pond. |
| Ochthebius <br> (Hymenodes) <br> nanus. | Coleoptera: <br> Hyraenidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: brackish pools and ditches; <br> marshland. <br> Resource: sparsely vegetated <br> shallow freshwater pond. |
| Odontomyia <br> ornata. | Diptera: <br> Stratiomyidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond. |
| Tricholeiochiton <br> fagesii. | Trichoptera: <br> Hydroptilidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: shallow freshwater pond. |

1.2.71 The species highlighted in Table 1.10 are all associated with peatland or marshland habitats and most require a shallow aquatic resource to complete their life cycle. This does not seem to reflect the wet woodland habitat which dominates Assessment Compartment 8 and the abundance or dead wood resource. This is due to the dataset being based on just one aquatic survey of a ditch in the north-east corner of this compartment, close to the network of open ditches within Assessment Compartment 9, and so will not be representative of the full invertebrate assemblage supported within Assessment Compartment 8.

## Assessment

1.2.72 Given that:

- the single aquatic invertebrate sample for this compartment has shown that at least five Nationally Scarce species are present;
edF
- it is part of a much larger ecological area designated as a SSSI partly for its invertebrate fauna; and
- the area would not be directly affected by the proposed development with no habitat loss proposed. However, there is the potential risk of indirect affects by the proposed development;
then the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 8 would:
- be an IEF at the national level under the CIEEM guidelines; and
- be of high importance, following the EIA-specific assessment methodology.


## ix. Assessment compartment 9

## Description

1.2.73 The majority of Assessment Compartment 9 lies within Sizewell Marshes SSSI and consists of open grazing marsh dissected by a network of ditches varying in width and vegetation density. The grazing marsh is bordered by wet woodland (Assessment Compartments 1 and 8) and plantation woodland (Assessment Compartments 13 and 14), providing sheltered conditions, woodland edge habitat with diverse vegetation structure, and a dead wood resource around the fringes.
1.2.74 The north-west corner of Assessment Compartment 9, which is outside but adjacent to Sizewell Marshes SSSI, comprises an area of W6a Alnus glutinosa-Urtica dioica woodland with dense, unmanaged stands of mainly mature Alder, Crack-willow (Salix fragilis) and Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus), over a dense understorey and scrub layer of Grey Willow and abundant non-native Rhododendron (Rhododendron ponticum). Little light persists to the ground layer, except in some more open areas at the periphery of the wood. Here, open, albeit heavily-silted and sparsely vegetated, ditches provide some potential habitat for breeding Diptera and other invertebrates associated with poorly vegetated silt and saturated wood habitat in wet woodland. Some Yellow Iris was recorded within the ditches. The ground layer within the drier parts of the woodland included abundant bracken and bramble, with some non-specialist woodland herbs, including Herb-Robert, Wood Avens (Geum urbanum) and Germander Speedwell (Veronica chamaedrys).
1.2.75 Of the 297 species were recorded in Assessment Compartment 9, 12 have recognised conservation status. Table 1.11 outlines the status of each species and highlights their habitat and habitat resource requirements.

Also outlined, where relevant, is the ISIS SAT that each species is associated with.

Table 1.11: Assessment compartment 9 invertebrate species with a conservation status.

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat and Habitat Resource <br> Requirements. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Norfolk Hawker. | Odonata: <br> Aeshnidae. | Legal Protection; <br> IUCN Endangered <br> (GB); <br> Section 41 NERC Act; <br> Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond. |
| Orange-horned <br> Green Colonel <br> Odontomyia <br> angulata. | Diptera: <br> Stratiomyidae. | IUCN Vulnerable; <br> Nationally Rare. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond. |
| Timandra <br> comae. | Lepidoptera: <br> Geometridae. | Section 41 NERC Act - <br> research only. | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource: sward/field layer. |
| Dicranomyia <br> ventralis. | Diptera: <br> Limoniidae. | Notable | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resources: wet/damp peat; wetland <br> vegetation. |
| Molophilus <br> bihamatus. | Diptera: <br> Limoniidae. | Notable | Habitat: running water; shaded <br> woodland floor; wet woodland. <br> Resources: broadleaved woodland; <br> wet humidity; heavy shade; |
| woodland stream. |  |  |  |


| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat and Habitat Resource <br> Requirements. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tabanus <br> maculicornis. | Diptera: <br> Tabanidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: running water; shaded <br> woodland floor; wet woodland. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; <br> wet humidity; heavy shade; <br> woodland stream. |
| Tricholeiochiton <br> fagesii. | Trichoptera: <br> Hydroptilidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: shallow freshwater pond. |

1.2.76 There were 88 and 74 species recorded within Assessment Compartment 9 associated with marshland and peatland habitat, respectively. This included three species with recognised conservation status associated with marshland (3.4\%) and seven species with recognised conservation status associated with peatland (9.5\%). The higher percentage for peatland suggests that this habitat within Assessment Compartment 9 is of high conservation value.
1.2.77 The majority of the species listed within Table 1.11, associated with marshland and peatland, require an aquatic resource, while only two species with recognised conservation status are associated partly with wet woodland (out of 17 in the whole dataset). This reflects the survey effort within this compartment, which was centred around the grazing ditches and their vegetated margins. One protected species (Norfolk hawker dragonfly) was recorded and it is possible that it breeds within the grazing marsh due to the suitability of ditch habitat. This is also the case for the Nationally Rare orange-horned green colonel soldierfly, considered Vulnerable under IUCN guidelines, which shares similar habitat and resource requirements to Norfolk Hawker.

## Assessment

1.2.78 Given that:

- the detailed analysis of the extensive invertebrate survey data for this compartment has shown that Norfolk Hawker, one IUCN Vulnerable and at least nine Nationally Scarce species are present;
- the grazing marsh is also part of a much larger ecological area designated as a SSSI partly for its invertebrate fauna; and
- the implementation of primary and tertiary mitigation measures, discussed in the ES Volume 2, Chapter 14, would ensure there were no direct or indirect impacts on the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 9;

Then the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 9 would:

- be important at the national level under the CIEEM guidelines;
- be of high importance, following the EIA-specific assessment methodology; and
- not be an IEF.
x. Assessment compartment 10


## Description

1.2.79 Assessment Compartment 10, within the greater Sizewell Marshes SSSI and not within the site, was subject to an invertebrate habitat assessment only. This large area of reedbed has a relatively dense structure, with water levels at or a little above the soil surface $(0-4 \mathrm{~cm})$. It is more floristically diverse than typical reed-swamp, possibly because it is in the process of succession from fen meadow to reed-swamp, and for this reason the habitat lacks a deep litter layer characteristic of more mature stands of reed. Additional macrophytes recorded included Water Mint, Water-pepper, Gipsywort (Lycopus europaeus), Fool's-water-cress (Apium nodiflorum), Greater Bird's-foot-trefoil (Lotus pedunculatus), Nodding Burmarigold (Bidens cernua) and Marsh Thistle (Cirsium palustre). A species of Water-starwort Callitriche sp. was also recorded in the wetter areas.
1.2.80 This habitat is considered likely to provide habitat for a range of species, including the larvae of wainscot moths not associated with mature reedbeds, and other stem-developing species, as well as general swamp species associated with a flowering plant resource. The presence of Nodding Bur-marigold suggests a seasonally-fluctuating water table, and invertebrate species associated with fluctuating water tables in fen habitats may also occur in this habitat.

## Assessment

### 1.2.81 Given that Assessment Compartment 10:

- represents a particularly large area of relatively diverse reedbed habitat;
- is also part of a much larger ecological area designated as a SSSI partly for its invertebrate fauna; and
- the implementation of primary and tertiary mitigation measures, discussed in the ES Volume 2, Chapter 14, would ensure there were no direct or indirect impacts on the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 10;

Then the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 10 would:

- be important at the national level under the CIEEM guidelines;
- be of high importance, following the EIA-specific assessment methodology; and
- not be an IEF.
xi. Assessment compartment 11


## Description

1.2.82 Assessment Compartment 11 is located within the west of Sizewell Marshes SSSI and comprises a habitat complex of grazing marsh, reedbed, ditches, scrapes, hedgerows, woodland and is situated between the plantation woodland in Compartment 14, to the north, and broadleaved woodland in Reckham Pits Wood, outside of the survey area to the south.
1.2.83 A total of 253 species were recorded within this compartment, 17 of which have recognised conservation status. Table 1.12 outlines the status of each species and highlights their habitat and habitat resource requirements. Also outlined, where relevant, is the ISIS SAT that each species is associated with.

Table 1.12: Assessment compartment 11 invertebrate species with a conservation status.

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat and Habitat Resource <br> Requirements. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Psacadina <br> vittigera. | Diptera: <br> Sciomyzidae. | RDB2 | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond; wetland <br> vegetation. |
| Psacadina <br> zernyi. | Diptera: <br> Sciomyzidae. | RDB2 | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond; wetland <br> vegetation. |
| Hilara hirtella. | Diptera: <br> Empididae. | IUCN Near Threatened; <br> Nationally Rare. | No available details. |
| Great silver <br> water beetle. | Coleoptera: <br> Hydrophilidae. | IUCN Near Threatened; <br> Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond. |
| Chiasmia <br> clathrata. | Lepidoptera: <br> Geometridae. | Section 41 NERC Act - <br> research only. | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource: sward/field layer. |

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status (as of 2019). | Habitat and Habitat Resource Requirements. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dicranomyia ventralis. | Diptera: Limoniidae. | Notable | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: wet/damp peat, wetland vegetation. |
| Psacadina verbekei. | Diptera: <br> Sciomyzidae. | Notable | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow freshwater pond; wetland vegetation. |
| Tetanocera punctifrons. | Diptera: <br> Sciomyzidae. | Notable | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow freshwater pond; wetland vegetation. |
| Viviparus contectus. | [unassigned] Caenogastropod a: Viviparidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: marshland. <br> Resource: base conditions, sparsely vegetated shallow freshwater pond. |
| Peltodytes caesus. | Coleoptera: Haliplidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: marshland. <br> Resource: sparsely vegetated shallow freshwater pond. |
| Ochthebius (Hymenodes) nanus. | Coleoptera: Hydraenidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat; brackish pools and ditches; marshland. <br> Resource: sparsely vegetated shallow freshwater pond. |
| Achalcus britannicus. | Diptera: <br> Dolichopodidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: wet/damp peat. |
| Odontomyia argentata. | Diptera: <br> Stratiomyidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: base conditions, well vegetated shallow freshwater pond. |
| Odontomyia ornata. | Diptera: <br> Statiomyidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow freshwater pond. |
| Tabanus maculicornis. | Diptera: <br> Tabanidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: running water; shaded woodland floor; wet woodland. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; wet humidity; heavy shade; woodland stream. |
| Microvelia (Microvelia) pygmaea. | Hemiptera: Veliidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow freshwater pond. |
| Tricholeiochiton fagesii. | Trichoptera: Hydroptilidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: shallow freshwater pond. |

## NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

1.2.84 The number of species associated with marshland and peatland habitats were well represented within the data, at 90 and 85 species respectively. Three recorded species with recognised conservation status are associated with marshland (3.3\%) while 11 species with recognised conservation status are associated with peatland (14.1\%) (see Table 1.12). This indicates the importance of the invertebrate assemblages associated with marshland and peatland habitats within Assessment Compartment 11, and specifically that the peatland habitat is of particularly high conservation value.
1.2.85 Of particular note are the two RDB2 Sciomyzid flies, Psacadina vittigera and $P$. zernyi, and the IUCN Near Threatened great silver water beetle, all of which rely on the well vegetated ditches and wetland vegetation resources found within this compartment.
1.2.86 The data may reflect the nature of the sampling effort within this compartment, which was concentrated on the aquatic and terrestrial species in the ditch network and bank vegetation but indicates that the ditch network is of high conservation value.

## Assessment

1.2.87 Given that:

- the detailed analysis of the invertebrate survey data for this compartment has shown that at least two RDB species, two IUCN Near Threatened species and 12 Nationally Scarce species are present;
- the area is also part of a much larger ecological unit designated as a SSSI partly for its invertebrate fauna; and
- the area may be indirectly affected by the proposed development;

Then the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 11 would:
be an IEF at the national level under the CIEEM guidelines; and

- be of high importance, following the EIA-specific assessment methodology.
xii. Assessment compartment 12


## Description

1.2.88 Assessment Compartment 12 consists of the southern half of Sizewell Marshes SSSI and comprises grazing marsh, fen meadow and reed-swamp divided by a network of ditches, woodland and hedgerows.
1.2.89 A total of 257 species were recorded within Assessment Compartment 12, 17 of which have recognised conservation status. Table 1.13 outlines the status of each species and highlights their habitat and habitat resource requirements. Also outlined, where relevant, is the ISIS SAT with which each species is associated.

Table 1.13: Assessment compartment 12 invertebrate species with a conservation status.

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat and Habitat Resource <br> Requirements. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Norfolk hawker. | Odonata: <br> Aeshnidae. | Legal Protection; <br> IUCN Endangered <br> (GB); <br> Section 41 NERC Act; <br> Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond. |
| Anticheta <br> brevipennis. | Diptera: <br> Sciomyzidae. | RDB2 | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond; wetland <br> vegetation. |
| Psacadina <br> zernyi. | Diptera: <br> Sciomyzidae. | RDB2 | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond; wetland <br> vegetation. |
| Chiasmia <br> clathrata. | Lepidoptera: <br> Geometridae. | NERC Act - research <br> only. | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource: sward/field layer. |
| Cinnabar moth. | Lepidoptera: <br> Erebidae. | NERC Act - research <br> only. | Habitat tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource sward/field layer; dry soil <br> humidity. |
| Dicranomyia <br> ventralis. | Diptera: <br> Limoniidae. | Notable | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: wet/damp peat; <br> wetland vegetation. |
| Psacadina <br> verbekei. | Diptera: <br> Sciomyzidae. | Notable | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond; wetland <br> vegetation. |
| Dasytes <br> plumbeus. | Coleoptera: <br> Dasytidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: decaying wood. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; <br> trunks and branches; flowers (adult); <br> bark and cambium. |
| Hybomitra <br> ciureai. | Diptera: <br> Tabanidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: wet/damp peat; wetland <br> vegetation. |


| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat and Habitat Resource <br> Requirements. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Microvelia <br> (Microvelia) <br> pygmaea. | Hemiptera: <br> Veliidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond. |
| Ochthebius <br> (Hymenodes) <br> nanus. | Coleoptera: <br> Hydraenidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitats: brackish pools and <br> ditches; marshland. <br> Resource: sparsely vegetated <br> shallow freshwater pond. |
| Odontomyia <br> ornata. | Diptera: <br> Stratiomyidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: well vegetated shallow <br> freshwater pond. |
| Parhelophilus <br> consimilis. | Diptera: <br> Syrphidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. |
| Peltodytes <br> caesus. | Coleoptera: <br> Haliplidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: marshland. <br> Resource: sparsely vegetated <br> shallow freshwater pond. |
| Tabanus <br> maculicornis. | Diptera: <br> Tabanidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: running water; shaded <br> woodland floor; wet woodland. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; <br> wet humidity; heavy shade; <br> woodland stream. |
| Viviparus <br> contectus. | [unassigned] <br> Caenogastropod <br> a: Viviparidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: marshland. <br> Resource: base conditions, sparsely <br> vegetated shallow freshwater pond. |

1.2.90 As with Assessment Compartment 11, the sampling effort within Assessment Compartment 12 focused on the aquatic and terrestrial invertebrates in the extensive ditch network and associated bankside vegetation. Seventy-eight species in the combined dataset are associated with peatland habitats, nine of which ( $11 \%$ ) have a recognised conservation status. The most notable of these was Norfolk Hawker which requires well vegetated, shallow freshwater water bodies, a resource required by a further eight species with conservation status recorded associated with peatland habitat. Surveys identified at least seven adult Norfolk Hawker flying and landing on emergent macrophyte vegetation, and it is likely that they are breeding due to the presence of suitable habitat.
1.2.91 The high percentage of species with conservation status associated with peatland habitat, particularly the aquatic resource, indicates that these ditches may support an invertebrate assemblage of national importance.
1.2.92 The lack of survey effort means that the importance of the invertebrate assemblages associated with the other habitats present within Assessment Compartment 12 cannot be confirmed; however, considering the results of

## NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

surveys within neighbouring compartments, along with the nature of Sizewell Marshes SSSI, it is likely that they are of high conservation value.

## Assessment

1.2.93 Given that:

- the detailed analysis of the invertebrate survey data for this compartment has shown the presence of Norfolk hawker, two RDB2 species and at least 11 Nationally Scarce species;
- the area is also part of a much larger ecological unit designated as a SSSI partly for its invertebrate fauna; and
- the area may be indirectly affected by the proposed development;

Then the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 12 would:

- be an IEF at the national level under the CIEEM guidelines; and
- be of high importance, following the ElA-specific assessment methodology.
xiii. Assessment compartment 13


## Description

1.2.94 Assessment Compartment 13 lies within Sizewell Levels and Associated Areas CWS and consists of mostly conifer plantation (Goose Hill) on sandy substrate with a network of tracks and rides providing sheltered open corridors of shrub and ground vegetation.
1.2.95 The combined dataset for Assessment Compartment 13 showed a total of 559 species recorded. Nineteen of these have recognised conservation status and are listed in Table 1.14 below. Also outlined, where relevant, is the ISIS SAT that each species is associated with.

Table 1.14: Assessment compartment 13 invertebrate species with a conservation status.

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where <br> Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grayling | Lepidoptera: <br> Nymphalidae. | IUCN Vulnerable; <br> Section 41 NERC Act. | Habitat: short sward and bare <br> ground. <br> SAT: F111 - bare sand and chalk. |

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where Relevant). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White Admiral. | Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae. | IUCN Vulnerable; Section 41 NERC Act. | Habitat: arboreal. <br> Resource: understorey; broadleaved woodland; flowers (adult); foliage, honeydew (adult). |
| Arachnospila (Ammosphex) consobrina. | Hymenoptera: Pompilidae. | RDB3 | Habitat: short sward and bare ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; sward/field layer; dry soil humidity; sand. <br> SAT: F111 - bare sand and chalk. |
| Antlion | Neuroptera: Myrmeleontidae. | No current GB status. | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource: exposed sand; soil and roots; dry soil humidity; sand. |
| Priocnemis (Umbripennis) coriacea. | Hymenoptera: Pompilidae. | Notable a. | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource: exposed sand; sward/ field layer; dry soil humidity. |
| Dorytomus filirostris. | Coleoptera: Curculionidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: arboreal. <br> Resource: understorey; broadleaved woodland; flowers, buds and shoots; terrestrial aspect - pupate in foliage on ground. |
| Xyleborus dispar. | Coleoptera: Curculionidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: decaying wood. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; freshly dead trunks and branches. <br> SAT: A212 - bark and sapwood decay. |
| Grypus equiseti. | Coleoptera: Erirhinidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: marshland; peatland; running water; short sward \& bare ground. <br> Resource: sward/field layer; wetland vegetation. |
| Priocnemis (Priocnemis) cordivalvata. | Hymenoptera: Pompilidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: shaded woodland floor. Resource: broadleaved woodland. SAT: F001 - scrub edge. |
| Dasypoda hirtipes. | Hymenoptera: Melittidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: short sward and bare ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; sward/field layer; dry soil humidity; sand. <br> SAT: F002 - rich flower resource; F111 - bare sand and chalk. |

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where <br> Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Catopidius <br> depressus. | Coleoptera: <br> Leiodidae. | Notable | No available details. |
| Hybomitra <br> ciureai. | Diptera: <br> Tabanidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: wet/damp peat; wetland <br> vegetation. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |
| Anaspis <br> (Anaspis) <br> thoracica. | Coleoptera: <br> Scraptiidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: decaying wood. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; <br> decaying wood - red rot; flowers <br> (adult). <br> SAT: A211 - heartwood decay. |
| Ectobius <br> panzeri. | Dictyoptera: <br> Blattellidae. | Nationally Scarce. | No available details. |
| Medetera <br> dendrobaena. | Diptera: <br> Dolichopodidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: decaying wood. <br> Resource: beetle galleries; conifer <br> or broadleaved woodland. |
| Tabanus <br> maculicornis. | Diptera: <br> Tabanidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: running water: shaded <br> woodland floor; wet woodland. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; <br> wet humidity; heavy shade; <br> woodland stream. |
| Saprinus <br> aeneus. | Coleoptera: <br> Histeridae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource: dung and carrion. |
| Lasiambia <br> palposa agg. | Diptera: <br> Chloropidae. | Potentially Nationally <br> Scarce. | Habitat: short sward and bare <br> ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; dry soil <br> humidity; sand. <br> SAT: F111 - bare sand and chalk. |
| Geomyza <br> majuscula. | Diptera: <br> Opomyzidae. | Potentially Nationally <br> Scarce | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. |

1.2.96 Assessment Compartment 13 was subjected to a targeted antlion survey. An aggregation of approximately 300 antlion burrows were recorded under the shelter of a corrugated iron roof of Walk Barn along the north edge of this compartment.
1.2.97 Five of the eight BATs recognised within this compartment comprised a sufficient number of species to be considered robust.
1.2.98 One BAT (the "unshaded early successional mosaic" assemblage) achieved a rarity score exceeding the FCT specified by ISIS (166 compared to an FCT of 160) and was the second most species-rich. This BAT had


#### Abstract

1.2.99 Grayling has been recorded from within several of the drier, more sandy Assessment Compartments, namely 4, 5 and 6 . The species is fairly xerophilic and characteristic of arid conditions. The Grayling's conservation status is based on a significant decline over recent decades; however, it is still relatively common in suitable habitats. In contrast, $A$. consobrina has a very restricted range in the UK with most British records from coastal habitats in south Wales. A. consobrina is a species of sandy habitats, including coastal dunes, but little is known of its biology.


1.2.100 The BAT with the largest number of species attributed to it, the "grassland and scrub matrix" assemblage, had a relatively low rarity score of 130 compared to the FCT ISIS threshold of 160 . This is likely to reflect a lower ratio of rare species in relation to the widespread species recorded within the dataset.
1.2.101 Also of note is the presence of adult and larval white admiral butterfly within Assessment Compartment 13, which is classed as IUCN Vulnerable.
1.2.102 Twelve SATs were recognised within the Assessment Compartment 13 dataset. Of these, five achieved species scores exceeding their comparative FCT thresholds. These are: "A212 - bark and sapwood decay" and "F111 - bare sand and chalk" along with the resource based "F001 - scrub edge", "F002 - rich flower resource" and "F003 - scrub heath and moorland".
1.2.103 These scores indicate Assessment Compartment 13 to be a site that collectively provides a wide range of habitat niches which, in turn, support a very diverse range of specialist invertebrate assemblages of national importance. Several the SATs achieving scores exceeding the FCT were resource based and therefore somewhat intangible in terms of habitat interpretation. However, the "F111 - Bare earth and chalk" assemblage was attributed with four species of higher conservation status, shown in Table 1.14.
1.2.104 Much of the wood decay habitat recorded within Assessment Compartment 13 was provided by mature conifers and species contributing to the specialist "A212 - Bark and sapwood decay" assemblage. This included some species either in part or wholly associated with coniferous trees, such as Ernobius pini a species of Anobiid beetle uncommon along the Suffolk coast.
eDF

## NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

1.2.105 Overall, the results indicate Assessment Compartment 13 supports both BAT and SAT assemblages of national importance. The more open habitat areas benefit from shelter and there was a mixture of dry, sandy habitats, beneficial to strongly thermophilous (heat loving) species typical of heathlands, and localised geological variation provided some interesting transitions to wetlands. The mature trees, including conifers and resource of wood decay habitat, which was often left in situ, evidently provided habitat for a range of species associated with wood decay, including both saproxylic species and secondary colonisers such as solitary Hymenoptera. The invertebrate fauna of this compartment will inevitably benefit from the movement of specialist fauna from the adjacent coastal dunes, grassland, heathland as well as the wet woodlands and fen and grazing marsh habitats within adjacent Assessment Compartments that border the site to the south and east. At a landscape scale, Assessment Compartment 13 will provide important connectivity for these invertebrate assemblages, associated with dry sandy habitats, north and south along the Suffolk coast.

## Assessment

1.2.106 Given that:

- the detailed analysis of the invertebrate survey data for this compartment has shown that at least two IUCN Vulnerable, one RDB species and 14 Nationally Scarce species are present;
- this compartment supports the broad "unshaded early successional mosaic" and specific "bare sand and chalk", "bark and sapwood decay" assemblages of national importance;
- this area is within the Sizewell Levels and Associated Areas CWS; and
- this area will be directly impacted by the proposed development, with the loss of suitable habitat;
then the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 13 would:
- be an IEF at the national level under the CIEEM guidelines; and
- be of high importance, following the EIA-specific assessment methodology.
xiv. Assessment compartment 14


## Description

1.2.107 Assessment Compartment 14 consists of conifer plantation on a slope with a south-east aspect. The woodland is interspersed with open tracks and rides and there are cleared areas containing open scrub/grassland mosaic.

## NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

1.2.108 Within the combined dataset for this area, 161 species were recorded. Four of these have recognised conservation status and are listed in Table 1.15 below, along with their habitat and resource requirements. Also outlined, where relevant, is the ISIS SAT that each species is associated with.

Table 1.15: Assessment compartment 14 invertebrate species with a conservation status.

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where <br> relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| White admiral. | Lepidoptera: <br> Nymphalidae. | IUCN Vulnerable; <br> Section 41 NERC Act. | Habitat: arboreal. <br> Resource: understorey; broadleaved <br> woodland; flowers (adult); foliage; <br> honeydew (adult). |
| Procas <br> granulicollis. | Coleoptera: <br> Erirhinidae. | RDBi19 | Habitat: shaded woodland floor <br> Resource: acidic conditions; <br> broadleaved woodland; damp <br> humidity; heavy shade; <br> undergrowth. |
| Scymnus <br> (Neopullus) <br> limbatus. | Coleoptera: <br> Coccinellidae. | Notable b. | Habitat: arboreal. <br> Resource: canopy; foliage. |
| Odontomyia <br> argentata. | Diptera: <br> Stratiomyidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland <br> Resource: base conditions; well <br> vegetated shallow freshwater pond. <br> SAT: W314 - reed-fen and pools. |

1.2.109 Only two BATs were represented by a sufficient number of species to be considered robust. Neither of these achieved a rarity score exceeding their FCT thresholds. The highest-scoring BAT was the "grassland and scrub matrix" assemblage, which also contained the largest number of species attributed to it (52) and achieved a rarity score of 148 (compared with the FCT of 160). There was one species of recognised conservation status attributed to this assemblage, the rare weevil Procas granulicollis, classed as an RDB Indeterminate endemic species (see Table 1.15) and not previously recorded in Suffolk.
1.2.110 The other well-represented BAT, the "unshaded early successional mosaic" assemblage, achieved a rarity score of 145 (compared with the FCT of 160). Despite FCT status not being achieved in either the "grassland and

[^12]scrub matrix" and "unshaded early successional mosaic" assemblages, the scores can be said to indicate some conservation value.
1.2.111 Also, of note is the presence of adult and larval IUCN Vulnerable white admiral butterfly within this compartment.
1.2.112 While six SATs were recognised, none of them achieved species scores approaching their FCT ISIS thresholds. The "F111 - bare sand and chalk" SAT was best represented out of these and contained five species considered sufficiently specialised to be attributed to it.
1.2.113 The combined Assessment Compartment 14 dataset adds resolution to the overall knowledge of the fauna occurring across the greater area. Whilst none of the BATs or associated SATs recorded achieved scores exceeding their FCT thresholds, the analysis identified Assessment Compartment 14 as supporting distinct "grassland and scrub matrix" and allied "unshaded early successional mosaic" assemblages. Importantly the associated F111 SAT supported species with a strong heathland association. The fauna overall was typical of that recorded in comparable habitat throughout the other Assessment Compartments; however, the stand-out species recorded with this compartment was Procas granulicollis.

## Assessment

### 1.2.114 Given that:

- the detailed analysis of the invertebrate survey data for this compartment has shown that at least one IUCN Vulnerable, one RDB species and two Nationally Scarce species are present;
- this compartment supports "unshaded early successional mosaic" and "grassland scrub matrix" assemblage types of high conservation value;
this area of woodland includes sub-habitats of some importance to invertebrates;
- this area is within the Sizewell Levels and Associated Areas CWS; but
- this area will not be directly affected by the proposed development, resulting in no habitat loss;
then the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 14 would:
- be important at the county level under the CIEEM guidelines; and
- be of medium importance, following the EIA-specific assessment methodology;
- not be an IEF.


## xv. Assessment compartment 15

## Description

1.2.115 Assessment Compartment 15 consists of a network of arable fields broken up by hedgerows and is bordered by the plantation woodland in Assessment Compartments 13 and 14 to the south and east.
1.2.116 There were 443 species recorded in Assessment Compartment 15 in the combined data set, 24 of which have recognised conservation status. Table 1.16 outlines the status of each species and highlights their habitat and habitat resource requirements. Also outlined, where relevant, is the ISIS SAT that each species is associated with.

Table 1.16: Assessment compartment 15 invertebrate species with a conservation status.

| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where <br> Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| White-letter <br> hairstreak. | Lepidoptera: <br> Lycaenidae. | Legal Protection (Sale <br> only); <br> IUCN Endangered; <br> Section 41 NERC Act. | Habitat: arboreal. <br> Resource: mature tree canopy; <br> broadleaved woodland; flowers <br> (adult); foliage; honeydew (adult). |
| Ophonus <br> (Metophonus) <br> parallelus. | Coleoptera: <br> Carabidae. | IUCN Vulnerable; <br> Nationally Rare. | Habitat: short sward and bare <br> ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; <br> sward/field layer; dry soil humidity; <br> sand. <br> SAT: F111 - bare sand and chalk. |
| Empis <br> prodromus. | Diptera: <br> Empididae. | IUCN Near Threatened: <br> Nationally Rare. | No available details. |
| Andrena <br> (Plastandrena) <br> tibialis. | Hymenoptera: <br> Andrenidae. | Notable a. | Habitat: short sward and bare <br> ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; <br> sward/field layer; dry soil humidity. <br> SAT: F002 - rich flower source. |
| Andrena <br> (Poecilandrena) <br> labiata | Hymenoptera: <br> Andrenidae | Notable a | Habitat: short sward \& bare ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; <br> sward/field layer; dry soil humidity; <br> sand. <br> SAT: F002 - rich flower source. |
| Catapion <br> pubescens | Coleoptera: <br> Apionidae | Notable b | Habitat: tall sward \& scrub. <br> Resource: sward/field layer; dry soil <br> humidity. |

SIZEWELL C PROJECT - ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT
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| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where Relevant). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gabrius osseticus | Coleoptera: Staphylinidae | Notable b | Habitat: short sward \& bare ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; damp soil humidity; sand. <br> SAT: F111 - bare sand and chalk. |
| Megalonotus praetextatus | Hemiptera: <br> Lygaeidae | Notable b | Habitat: short sward \& bare ground. Resource: sward/field layer; dry soil humidity. <br> SAT: F111 - bare sand and chalk. |
| Megalonotus sabulicola | Hemiptera: Lygaeidae | Notable b | Habitat: short sward \& bare ground. Resource: exposed sand; dry soil humidity; sand. |
| Nysson dimidiatus | Hymenoptera: Crabronidae | Notable b | Habitat: short sward \& bare ground Resource: exposed sand; sward/field layer; dry soil humidity; sand. |
| Protapion dissimile | Coleoptera: Apionidae | Notable b | Habitat: short sward \& bare ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; sward/field layer; dry soil humidity; sand. <br> SAT: F111 - bare sand and chalk. |
| Sibinia primita | Coleoptera: Curculionidae | Notable b | Habitat: short sward \& bare ground. Resource: exposed sand; sward/field layer; dry soil humidity; sand. |
| Omalium allardi. | Coleoptera: Staphylinidae. | Notable | No available details. |
| Sunius melanocephalus | Coleoptera: Staphylinidae. | Notable | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. Resource: litter and ground layer; variable soil humidity. |
| Rhamphomyia caliginosa | Diptera: <br> Empididae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: shaded woodland floor: wet woodland. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; wet humidity; heavy shade. |
| Amara (Amara) lucida. | Coleoptera: Carabidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: short sward and bare ground. <br> Resource: exposed sand; sward/field layer; dry soil humidity; sand. <br> SAT: F111 - bare sand and chalk. |
| Mordellistena (Mordellistena) humeralis. | Coleoptera: Mordellidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: decaying wood. <br> Resource: broadleaved woodland; trunks \& branches; flowers (adult). |


| Species | Order: Family. | Conservation Status <br> (as of 2019). | Habitat, Habitat Resource <br> Requirements, ISIS SAT (Where <br> Relevant). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Podagrica <br> fuscicornis. | Coleoptera: <br> Chrysomelidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: tall sward and scrub. <br> Resource: sward/field layer; variable <br> soil humidity. |
| Saldula <br> pilosella. | Hemiptera: <br> Saldidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: brackish pools and ditches: <br> saltmarsh. <br> Resource: pond/seepage edge; <br> saline silt. <br> SAT: M311 - saltmarsh and <br> transitional brackish marsh. |
| Stenolophus <br> skrimshiranus. | Coleoptera: <br> Carabidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: peatland. <br> Resource: deep litter. |
| Stenolophus <br> teutonus. | Coleoptera: <br> Carabidae. | Nationally Scarce. | Habitat: marshland. <br> Resource: drawdown zone <br> mud/shallow litter. |

1.2.117 Out of the nine BATs recognised within the ISIS analysis, four comprised a sufficient number of species to be considered robust.
1.2.118 In terms of conservation significance, one BAT ("unshaded early successional mosaic" assemblage) achieved a rarity score exceeding its FCT threshold. There were 11 species with a recognised conservation status attributed to the "unshaded early successional mosaic" assemblage, associated with short sward and bare ground habitat, including one species, the IUCN Vulnerable carabid beetle Ophonus parallelus.
1.2.119 In comparison, the rarity score achieved for the "grassland and scrub matrix" BAT, which contained the largest number of species attributed to it (178), was 127 compared to the FCT threshold of 160 . This score is relatively low considering the species-richness of the assemblage and is likely to reflect a lower ratio of rare and uncommon species in relation to the more widespread species within the sample data recruited to this assemblage.
1.2.120 The remaining two BATs that were considered robust were "arboreal canopy" and "permanent wet mire" assemblages, to which 33 and 22 species were attributed respectively. This reflects the presence of trees and shrubs forming the hedgerows of the arable field margins and the close proximity to high quality fen habitats. IUCN Endangered white-letter hairstreak, a protected species (Table 1.16) attributed to the arboreal canopy BAT, was recorded during the 2015 surveys and is associated exclusively with elms (Ref. 1.29).
eDF
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1.2.121 Nine SATs were recognised within Assessment Compartment 15. One SAT, "F111 - bare sand and chalk", nested within the "unshaded early successional mosaic" BAT, supported the majority of uncommon species but failed to achieve a species score close to its FCT threshold.
1.2.122 In contrast, the resource-based SAT, "F001 - scrub edge", achieved a species score of 12 , exceeding its FCT threshold of 10 species. This emphasizes the importance of the scrub edge habitat within this compartment. Resource-based SATs are difficult to interpret in assessing site value, however, as they are based on more diffuse habitat resources, rather than more tangible and recognisable habitat features.
1.2.123 Overall, despite being disturbed and intensively managed in some places, Assessment Compartment 15 supported a BAT and a SAT which achieved their respective FCT thresholds, as well as 21 species with conservation status, shown in Table 1.16. The exceedance of the FCT threshold for the "unshaded early successional mosaic" BAT suggests that Assessment Compartment 15 supports an assemblage of national importance. However, the nested "F111" SAT (SATs being considered to be of greater intrinsic conservation value) fell short of its FCT.
1.2.124 Although the habitats are of relatively limited intrinsic value for invertebrates (not least because the fields themselves are sprayed with insecticide) the margins supported a reasonable diversity of flowering ephemeral herbs with a resource of sandy exposed ground. This habitat has structural and compositional similarities to habitat supporting diverse assemblages in nearby coastal dune, dune grassland and heath habitats, and there is a strong mutual affinity between the supported faunas. The margins also contain scrub hedgerow habitat.

## Assessment

1.2.125 Given that:

- the analysis of the invertebrate survey data for this compartment has shown that at least one IUCN Endangered (White-letter hairstreak), one IUCN Vulnerable and 18 Nationally Scarce species are present;
- this compartment supports only "scrub edge" and "unshaded early successional mosaic" assemblage types of national importance but is seen as overspill for surrounding habitat;
- habitats within this area are of limited intrinsic value, with the exception of the arable field margins; and
- the implementation of primary and tertiary mitigation measures, discussed in the ES Volume 2, Chapter 14, would ensure there were
no indirect impacts and limited direct impacts as a result of habitat loss on the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 15;
then the invertebrate assemblage within Assessment Compartment 15 would:
- be important at the county level under the CIEEM guidelines;
- be of medium importance, following the EIA-specific assessment methodology; and
- not be an IEF.


### 1.3 Conclusions

1.3.1 Whilst overlap and duplication in the recording between surveys is inevitable, there has been an emphasis on filling gaps to ensure complete coverage, with the overall aim of characterising the invertebrate assemblages of the survey area using data collected within the field surveys.
1.3.2 The field survey results have indicated that habitats within the survey area support both terrestrial and aquatic invertebrate assemblages of high nature conservation value. The assemblages recorded include numerous species with recognised conservation status representative of the wetland habitats within the Sizewell Marshes SSSI and contiguous areas of habitat: the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SSSI/Ramsar site (Assessment Compartment 6a and 6); the habitat mosaic of dry sandy habitat in Assessment Compartments 4 to 6 ; the plantation woodland in Assessment Compartments 13 and 14; and the arable field margins in Assessment Compartment 15.
1.3.3 In terms of ISIS analysis, the "permanent wet mire" BAT assemblage was well represented throughout Assessment Compartments 1, 3 and 4a, where the rarity scores in each exceeded the FCT threshold. The "permanent wet mire" BAT assemblages are characteristically found in wetlands where disturbance is limited. They are typical of mires and seepages which may have little open water, but which remain permanently wet and with little fluctuation in water level, often due to the presence of underlying peat (Ref. 1.30). Further to this, the nested SAT "W314 - reed-fen and pools" was also well represented in Assessment Compartments 1 and 3 and exceeded the FCT ISIS threshold in Assessment Compartment 1. These results indicate that the BAT and SAT assemblages found within Assessment Compartments 1, 3 and 4a are of national importance.
1.3.4 The other BATs within these Assessment Compartments 1, 3 and 4a may not have reached their FCT thresholds, but the rarity scores achieved indicate that they are of high conservation value. In particular, the "mineral
marsh and open water" BAT assemblages are typically found in floodplain wetlands, fluctuating meres, dune slacks, carr and wet woodland. The "grassland scrub matrix" and "woodland decay" BAT assemblages found in Assessment Compartment 1 further highlight the importance of these habitats, which are well represented within these compartments and the surrounding landscape.
1.3.5 The high scores relating to the "unshaded early successional mosaic" BAT assemblage and nested "F111 - bare sand and chalk" SAT in Assessment Compartments 4-6 reflect the importance of arid sandy disturbed habitat. Such conditions might be expected to occur within the footprint of an industrial site which has been subject to some anthropogenically-induced disturbance and, importantly, which is approximately contiguous to an ecologically valuable coastal zone grassland habitat. Habitats within this compartment are structurally and compositionally analogous to the Section 41 NERC Act priority habitat category "open mosaic habitats on previously developed land". Such habitats often support invertebrate populations of high conservation value. There is continuation of these habitats between these Assessment Compartments and north and south along the coast. It can be surmised, therefore, that Assessment Compartments 4 to 6 add to the conservation value of these assemblages at a landscape scale.
1.3.6 The conservation value of the conifer plantations of Assessment Compartments 13 and 14 is contained within the acid grassland of tracks and rides interspersing the plantation. In particular, this is shown in Assessment Compartment 13, which supported the "unshaded early successional mosaic" BAT assemblage and five SATs. This included the "F111 - Bare sand and chalk" and "A212 - Bark and sapwood decay" SATs, where the FCT ISIS thresholds were exceeded; these are therefore of national importance, especially given the high number of species with recognised conservation status. The more open habitat areas benefit from shelter, and the mixture of dry, sandy habitats are beneficial to strongly thermophilous species typical of those more frequently found on heathlands. The mature trees, including conifers, and the resultant presence of wood decay habitat, which was often left in situ, evidently provided habitat for a range of species associated with this resource.
1.3.7 The value of the flower-rich arable marginal and open ground habitat in Assessment Compartment 15 cannot be easily seen in isolation from the hedgerows. Furthermore, the habitat should be seen primarily as an overspill for the surrounding higher quality, heathland, dune, sparsely vegetated coastal grassland and fen habitats. Without these habitats the arable margins would undoubtedly support an invertebrate fauna of far lower conservation value.

## c) Summary of assessment compartments

1.3.8 Following a review of the known baseline within the ZOI, Table 1.17 lists the Assessment Compartments which will be carried forward into the detailed assessment. Those carried forward are IEFs of sufficient conservation value that will be sufficiently affected by the proposed development to require material consideration within the assessment.

Table 1.17: Assessment compartments taken forward for detailed assessment.

| Assessment <br> Compartment. | Importance <br> (CIEEM/EIAA <br> Methodology). | Justification | Scope In/Out. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | National/High. | There will be direct impacts from the <br> works resulting in habitat loss. Part of <br> Sizewell Marshes SSSI with an <br> invertebrate assemblage of national <br> importance. | Scoped in. |
| 2 | National/High. | There may be direct impacts from the <br> works resulting in habitat loss. Part of <br> Sizewell Marshes SSSI with an <br> invertebrate assemblage of national <br> importance. | Scoped in. |
| 3 | National/High. | There may be direct impacts from the <br> works resulting in habitat loss. Part of <br> Sizewell Marshes SSSI with an <br> invertebrate assemblage of national <br> importance. | Scoped in. |
| $4 / 4 \mathrm{a}$ | National/High. | There will be direct impacts from the <br> works resulting in habitat loss. Contains <br> invertebrate assemblages of national <br> importance. Provides habitat <br> continuance for designated sites. | Scoped in. |
| 5 | National/High. | There will be direct impacts from the works <br> resulting in habitat loss. Part of Suffolk <br> Shingle Beaches CWS but contains an <br> invertebrate assemblage of national <br> importance. | Scoped in. |


| Assessment Compartment. | Importance (CIEEM/EIA Methodology). | Justification | Scope In/Out. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/6a | International/High. | There will be no direct impacts from the works resulting in habitat loss. Part of Walberswick Heaths and Marshes Ramsar site and SSSI supports an invertebrate assemblage of national importance. Whilst potential impact pathways exist, such as recreation pressure and hydrological effects, the effects are mitigated through primary and tertiary mitigation measures outlined in Section 14.12 of the ES Chapter. <br> The invertebrate assemblage present within this compartment will not be directly affected by the proposed development. | Scoped out. |
| 7 | County/Medium. | Part of the compartment lies within Sizewell Marshes SSSI but there will be no direct impacts from the works resulting in habitat loss. Whilst potential impact pathways exist, through pollution and changes in underlying hydrology, the effects upon the invertebrate assemblages are unlikely to be significant The effects are mitigated through primary and tertiary mitigation measures outlined in Section 14.12 of the ES Chapter. <br> The invertebrate assemblage present within this compartment will not be directly affected by the proposed development. | Scoped out. |
| 8 | National/High. | Lies within Sizewell Marshes SSSI but there will be no direct impacts from the works resulting in habitat loss. Potential impact pathways exist through pollution and changes in changes in underlying hydrology | Scoped in. |


| Assessment Compartment. | Importance (CIEEM/EIA Methodology). | Justification | Scope In/Out. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | National/High. | Part of the compartment lies within Sizewell Marshes SSSI, but there will be no direct impacts from the works resulting in habitat loss. Potential impact pathways exist through pollution and changes in underlying hydrology, however the effects are mitigated through primary and tertiary mitigation measures outlined in Section 14.12 of the ES Chapter. <br> The invertebrate assemblage present within this compartment will not be directly affected by the proposed development. | Scoped out. |
| 10 | National/High. | Lies within Sizewell Marshes SSSI, but there will be no direct impacts from the works resulting in habitat loss. Potential impact pathways exist through pollution and changes in underlying hydrology, however the effects are mitigated through primary and tertiary mitigation measures outlined in Section 14.12 of the ES Chapter. <br> The invertebrate assemblage present within this compartment will not be directly affected by the proposed development. | Scoped out. |
| 11 | National/High. | Lies within Sizewell Marshes SSSI but there will be no direct impacts from the works resulting in habitat loss. Potential impact pathways exist through pollution and changes in underlying hydrology | Scoped in. |
| 12 | National/High. | Lies within Sizewell Marshes SSSI but there will be no direct impacts from the works resulting in habitat loss. Potential impact pathways exist through pollution changes in underlying hydrology | Scoped in. |
| 13 | National/High. | There will be direct impacts from the works resulting in habitat loss. Supports an invertebrate assemblage of national importance. | Scoped in. |


| Assessment <br> Compartment. | Importance <br> CIIEEM/EIA <br> Methodology). | Justification | Scope In/Out. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | County/Medium. | There will be no direct impacts from the <br> works resulting in habitat loss. Supports <br> an invertebrate assemblage of high <br> conservation value, however any <br> indirect effects are mitigated through <br> primary and tertiary mitigation measures <br> outlined in Section 14.12 of the ES <br> Chapter. <br> The invertebrate assemblage present <br> within this compartment will not be <br> directly affected by the proposed <br> development. | Scoped out. |
| 15 | County/Medium. | There will be direct impacts from the <br> works resulting in habitat loss, albeit <br> temporary. Mostly comprising intensive <br> arable fields. Although the field | Scoped out. |
| margins do support a broad |  |  |  |
| invertebrate assemblage of some |  |  |  |
| importance, the effects of this loss are |  |  |  |
| mitigated through primary and tertiary |  |  |  |
| mitigation measures outlined in Section |  |  |  |
| 14.12 of the ES Chapter. |  |  |  |
| The invertebrate assemblage present |  |  |  |
| within this compartment will be |  |  |  |
| temporarily affected by the proposed |  |  |  |
| development however, it is considered |  |  |  |
| that there is sufficient habitat within the |  |  |  |
| surrounding area to sufficiently support |  |  |  |
| the assemblage during the works. |  |  |  |\(\quad\left\{\begin{array}{l} <br>

\hline\end{array}\right.\)

## References

1.1 Wildlife and Countryside Act, as amended. 1981. (Online) Available at: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1981/69/contents (Accessed 01 February 2019).
1.2 Suffolk Biodiversity Partnership. 2015. Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan 2015. (Online) Available at: http://www.suffolkbiodiversity.org/biodiversity-action-plans.aspx (Accessed 01 February 2019).
1.3 The Natural Environmental and Rural Communities Act. 2006. (Online) Available at http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/16/contents (Accessed 01 February 2019).
1.4 CIEEM. 2018. Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment in the UK and Ireland: Terrestrial, Freshwater, Coastal and Marine. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester.
1.5 Suffolk Biodiversity Information Service. 2015. Priority Species and Habitats. (Online) Available from:
https://www.suffolkbis.org.uk/biodiversity/speciesandhabitats (Accessed 1 February 2019).
1.6 NGL. Sizewell Land Management Annual Review 1996-2018. Suffolk Wildlife Trust.
1.7 Butterfly Conservation. 2019. Butterflies \& Moths of the Sizewell Area. Butterfly Conservation, Suffolk.
1.8 Joint Nature Conservation Committee. 2008. Information Sheet on Ramsar Wetlands (RIS) - Minsmere to Walberswick. (Online) Available at: http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/pdf/RIS/UK11044.pdf (Accessed 01 February 2019).
1.9 Natural England. 1993. Minsmere - Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SSSI Citation. (Online) Available at:
https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/PDFsForWeb/Citation/100072
1.pdf (Accessed 01 February 2019).
1.10 Natural England. 1992. Sizewell Marshes SSSI Citation. (Online) Available at:
https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/PDFsForWeb/Citation/100341 6.pdf (Accessed 01 February 2019).
1.11 Suffolk County Wildlife Site Citations SUFFOLK SHINGLE BEACHES Suffolk Coastal 4 (TM3338).
1.12 Wood Group. 2014. Sizewell 2007 Invertebrate Report. EDF Energy.

## NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

1.13 Wood Group. 2014. Sizewell 2009 Invertebrate Report. EDF Energy.
1.14 Wood Group. 2014. Sizewell 2010 Invertebrate Report. EDF Energy.
1.15 Wood Group. 2014. Sizewell C New Nuclear Power Station. Terrestrial and Freshwater Ecology, and Ornithology. 2007-10 Invertebrate Survey Report. EDF Energy.
1.16 Arcadis. 2014. Invertebrate and National Vegetation Classification (NVC) Surveys of Sizewell Marshes SSSI: Review of previous studies and methodology for further work. Arcadis UK.
1.17 Arcadis. 2014. Sizewell C Invertebrate Surveys. Arcadis UK.
1.18 Arcadis. 2020. Reptile Mitigation Plan. Arcadis UK.
1.19 Arcadis. 2016. Sizewell 2016 Invertebrate analysis. Arcadis UK.
1.20 Murray-Bligh, J. 1999. Procedures for collecting and analysing macroinvertebrate samples. Environment Agency.
1.21 Chadd, R. \& Extence, C. 2004. The conservation of freshwater macroinvertebrate populations: A community-based classification scheme. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems. 14. 597-624. 10.1002/aqc. 630.
1.22 Drake, C. M., Lott, D. A., Alexander, K. N. A. \& Webb, J. 2007. Surveying terrestrial and freshwater invertebrates for conservation evaluation. Natural England, Peterborough.
1.23 Joint Nature Conservation Committee. 2011. Introduction to the guidance manual, 6. Common standards for monitoring protected sites. JNCC.
Peterborough, UK. (Online) Available at: http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-2272 (Accessed 01 February 2019).
1.24 Natural England \& Centre for Ecology and Hydrology. 2018. Pantheon.
1.25 British Dragonfly Society. 2019. Norfolk Hawker. (Online) Available at: https://british-dragonflies.org.uk/species/norfolk-hawker (Accessed 01 February 2019).
1.26 Butterfly Conservation. 2019. Grayling. (Online) Available at: https://butterfly-conservation.org/butterflies/grayling (Accessed 01 February 2019).
1.27 Hyman, P.S. and Parsons, M.S. 1992. UK Nature Conservation Number 3 - A review of the scarce and threatened Coleoptera of Great Britain. 1st ed. Peterborough: UK Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

## NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

1.28 Norfolk Moths. 2019. White-mantled Wainscot (Online) Available at: https://www.norfolkmoths.co.uk/index.php?bf=23720 (Accessed 01 February 2019).
1.29 Butterfly Conservation. 2019. White-letter Hairstreak Satyrium w-album. (Online) Available at: https://butterfly-conservation.org/butterflies/white-letter-hairstreak (Accessed 01 February 2019).
1.30 Lott D.A. Alexander, K.N.A. and Drake, C.M., 2007. ISIS - invertebrate species - habitat information system - broad assemblage type descriptions - 31/01/2007 revised 14/12/2007.

SIZEWELL C DEVELOPMENT - MAIN DEVELOPMENT SITE: VOLUME 2, CHAPTER 14:

APPENDIX 14A4 - INVERTEBRATES

Documents included within this Appendix are as follows:

## APPENDIX 14A4 INVERTEBRATES

ANNEX 14A4.1 FIGURES (provided separately)
ANNEX 14A4.2 DESK STUDY

## ANNEX 14A4.3 SECONDARY DATA

- Annex 14A4.3 Amec 2007 Invertebrate Report
- Annex 14A4.3 Amec 2009 Invertebrate Report
- Annex 14A4.3 Amec 2010 Invertebrate Report
- Annex 14A4.3 Amec 2007-2010 Invertebrate Report


## ANNEX 14A4.4 PRIMARY DATA

- Annex 14A4.4 Invertebrate and NVC Surveys of SSSI: Review of previous studies and methodology for further work
- Annex 14A4.4 Sizewell C Invertebrate Surveys 2014
- Annex 14A4.4 Sizewell C Invertebrate Surveys 2016

Main Development Site: Volume 2, Chapter 14: Appendix 14A4 Invertebrates: Annex 14A4.2 Desk Study

## Contents

1. Desk Study Results for Invertebrates ..... 1
1.2 Butterfly conservation data ..... 22
References ..... 32
Tables
Table 1.1: Desk-study results for invertebrates ..... 2
Plates
None provided.
Figures
None provided.

## 1. Desk Study Results for Invertebrates

1.1.1 Records for invertebrates were requested from Suffolk Biodiversity Information Service (SBIS) in 2014 and 2018 for protected or otherwise notable species of conservation concern within 2 km of the Sizewell C power station at the main development site (referred to throughout this volume as the "proposed development"). Records collected by Suffolk Wildlife Trust (SWT) are provided to SBIS.
1.1.2 The locations of all designated sites (statutory and non-statutory) within 2 km of the proposed development site were also obtained. Citations for these sites, which provide information on the reasons for their designation, were reviewed to ascertain whether or not reptiles are cited as interest features of these sites.
1.1.3 The Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) (Ref 1.1), Suffolk's Priority Species and Habitats list (Ref 1.2), and the habitats and species of principal importance included on the Section 41 list of the Natural Environment and Communities (NERC) Act (Ref 1.3), were also reviewed with reference to any Invertebrates present, or likely to be present, within the proposed development site and the wider study area.
1.1.4 The following table presents the desk-study results for invertebrates.
Table 1.1: Desk-study results for invertebrates.

| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Aphodius (Liothorax) plagiatus | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM4766 | 1.617953808 | 52.23627726 | 2004 | Nb |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Aspidapion (Aspidapion) soror | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM4766 | 1.617953808 | 52.23627726 | 2004 | Na |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Bembidion (Notaphemphanes) ephippium | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM4766 | 1.617953808 | 52.23627726 | 2004 | Na |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Bledius (Elbidus) bicornis | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM4766 | 1.617953808 | 52.23627726 | 2004 | Na |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Brachygluta waterhousei | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM4766 | 1.617953808 | 52.23627726 | 2004 | N |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Ceutorhynchus pumilio | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM468986 } \\ & 6890 \end{aligned}$ | 1.617113088 | 52.24430937 | 2017 | Na |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Chrysolina haemoptera | Plantain Leaf Beetle | Sizewell | TM476640 | 1.625259374 | 52.21806106 | 2017 | Nb |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Clanoptilus marginellus | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM477576 } \\ & 5834 \end{aligned}$ | 1.628896324 | 52.23444762 | 2017 | RLGB.Lr(NT) |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Crudosilis ruficollis | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB, Eastbridge, cpt 31W (Pioneer 6) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM457976 } \\ & 6593 \end{aligned}$ | 1.600801764 | 52.2421369 | 2005 | Nb |
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| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid <br> Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Demetrias (Risophilus) imperialis | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB, Eastbridge, cpt 31W (Pioneer 6) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM457976 } \\ & 6593 \end{aligned}$ | 1.600801764 | 52.2421369 | 2005 | Nb |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Dicheirotrichus obsoletus | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM4766 | 1.617953808 | 52.23627726 | 2004 | Nb |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Dytiscus circumcinctus | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere Reserve | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM468126 } \\ & 6693 \end{aligned}$ | 1.61571201 | 52.24258016 | 2015 | NS-excludes |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Enochrus bicolor | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM4766 | 1.617953808 | 52.23627726 | 2004 | NS |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Gronops lunatus | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM4766 | 1.617953808 | 52.23627726 | 2004 | Nb |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Heterocerus flexuosus | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM4766 | 1.617953808 | 52.23627726 | 2004 | NS, ScotBL |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Heterocerus obsoletus | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM4766 | 1.617953808 | 52.23627726 | 2004 | NS |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Hippodamia (Adonia) variegata | Adonis' Ladybird | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM4766 | 1.617953808 | 52.23627726 | 2004 | Nb |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Hydrophilus piceus | Great Silver Water Beetle | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere | TM4767 | 1.618684587 | 52.24525069 | 2017 | RLGB.Lr(NT) |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Lionychus quadrillum | Ground beetle | Dunwich: | TM4766 | 1.617953808 | 52.23627726 | 2007 | RDBGB.R |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Longitarsus dorsalis | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM4766 | 1.617953808 | 52.23627726 | 2004 | Nb |


| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insect - beetle (Coleoptera) | Tychius squamulatus | - | Sizewell: | TM475637 | 1.623579019 | 52.21541396 | 2017 | Nb |
| Insect - butterfly (Lepidoptera) | Apatura iris | Purple Emperor | Theberton Wood: | TM4265 | 1.544153624 | 52.22952322 | 2013 | RLGB.Lr(NT) WCA5/9.5a, WCA5/9.5b |
| Insect - butterfly (Lepidoptera) | Coenonympha pamphilus | Small Heath | Westleton Walks: | TM457672 | 1.59982516 | 52.24762718 | 2013 | RLGB.Lr(NT) <br> Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - butterfly (Lepidoptera) | Coenonympha pamphilus pamphilus | Small Heath | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere | TM465670 | 1.6113751 | 52.24547481 | 2016 | RLGB.Lr(NT) ScotBL, <br> Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - butterfly (Lepidoptera) | Hipparchia semele | Grayling | Theberton: Garden- Theberton | TM439656 | 1.572353992 | 52.23406951 | 2013 | RLGB.VU, <br> Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| Insect - butterfly (Lepidoptera) | Hipparchia semele | Grayling | Minsmere Minsmere <br> B. R.: RSPB | TM4766 | 1.617953808 | 52.23627726 | 2016 | RLGB.VU, <br> ScotBL, <br> Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - butterfly (Lepidoptera) | Lasiommata megera | Wall | Theberton: | TM445662 | 1.581557418 | 52.23918773 | 2013 | RLGB.Lr(NT) Sect.41, |


| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sect.42, UKBAP |
| Insect - butterfly (Lepidoptera) | Limenitis camilla | White Admiral | Theberton Wood: Theberton Woods | TM4265 | 1.544153624 | 52.22952322 | 2013 | RLGB.VU, <br> Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - butterfly (Lepidoptera) | Plebejus argus | Silver-studded Blue | Aldringham Common and Walks / Thorpeness Golf Course: Aldringham Walks | TM4661 | 1.599704185 | 52.19185671 | 2013 | RLGB.VU, Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP, WCA5/9.5a, WCA5/9.5b |
| Insect - butterfly (Lepidoptera) | Satyrium w-album | White-letter Hairstreak | North Warren: Little Beauties Wood, North Warren RSPB | TM456610 | 1.59386349 | 52.19203497 | 2010 | RLGB.EN, <br> Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP, <br> WCA5/9.5a, <br> WCA5/9.5b |
| Insect - dragonfly (Odonata) | Anaciaeschna isoceles | Norfolk Hawker | Eastbridge: | TM46N | 1.574104266 | 52.23761476 | 2012 | RLGB.EN, Sect.41, UKBAP, WCA5/9.1kI, WCA5/9.1t, WCA5/9.2, WCA5/9.4a, WCA5/9.4b, WCA5/9.4c, |
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| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WCA5/9.5a, WCA5/9.5b |
| Insect - dragonfly (Odonata) | Aeschna isoceles | Norfolk Hawker | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere | TM468669 | 1.615687767 | 52.24444305 | 2016 | RLGB.EN, Sect.41, UKBAP, <br> WCA5/9.1k/I, WCA5/9.1t, WCA5/9.2, WCA5/9.4.a, WCA5/9.4b, WCA5/9.4c, WCA5/9.5a |
| Insect - dragonfly (Odonata) | Coenagrion pulchellum | Variable Damselfly | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere, nr. Bittern Hide | TM469667 | 1.617003511 | 52.24260352 | 2012 | RLGB.Lr(NT) |
| Insect (Hymenoptera) | Andrena <br> (Plastandrena) tibialis | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB, Visitor Centre | TM4667 | 1.604065517 | 52.24569848 | 2005 | Na |
| Insect (Hymenoptera) | Andrena <br> (Poecilandrena) labiata | Red-girdled Mining Bee | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere | TM4767 | 1.618684587 | 52.24525069 | 2017 | Na |
| Insect (Hymenoptera) | Bombus (Megabombus) ruderatus | Large Garden (Ruderal) Bumblebee | Minsmere Minsmere <br> B. R.: RSPB | TM472669 | 1.621535201 | 52.24426358 | 2015 | Nb, Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| Insect (Hymenoptera) | Chrysura radians | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere | TM4767 | 1.618684587 | 52.24525069 | 2017 | Na |
| Insect (Hymenoptera) | Dasypoda hirtipes | Pantaloon Bee | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere | TM477670 | 1.628917707 | 52.24493617 | 2016 | Nb |
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## ENEF

| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid <br> Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insect (Hymenoptera) | Ectemnius (Clytochrysus) ruficornis | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere | TM4667 | 1.604065517 | 52.24569848 | 2017 | Nb |
| Insect (Hymenoptera) | Lasioglossum (Lasioglossum) sexnotatum | Ashy Furrow Bee | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere | TM4767 | 1.618684587 | 52.24525069 | 2017 | RDBGB.EN |
| Insect (Hymenoptera) | Megachile (Eutricharaea) leachella | Silvery <br> Leafcutter Bee | Sizewell: | TM476645 | 1.625625213 | 52.22254775 | 2016 | Nb |
| Insect (Hymenoptera) | Nysson trimaculatus | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB, Visitor Centre | TM4667 | 1.604065517 | 52.24569848 | 2005 | Nb |
| Insect (Hymenoptera) | Philanthus triangulum | Bee Wolf | Minsmere Minsmere <br> B. R.: RSPB | TM474665 | 1.624166082 | 52.24058439 | 2017 | RDBGB.VU |
| Insect (Hymenoptera) | Sphecodes crassus | Swollen-thighed Blood Bee | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere | TM4767 | 1.618684587 | 52.24525069 | 2017 | Nb |
| Insect (Hymenoptera) | Sphecodes rubicundus | Red-tailed Blood Bee | Dunwich: | TM4767 | 1.618684587 | 52.24525069 | 2015 | Na |
| Insect - lacewing (Neuroptera) | Euroleon nostras | Antlion | Theberton: | TM4466 | 1.574104266 | 52.23761476 | 2013 |  |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Acronicta psi | Grey Dagger | Kenton Hills: | TM456639 | 1.595966354 | 52.21805875 | 2011 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |


| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid <br> Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Acronicta rumicis | Knot Grass | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM463670 | 1.608451278 | 52.24556433 | 2010 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Agrochola helvola | Flounced Chestnut | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM467670 | 1.614298906 | 52.24538522 | 2004 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Agrochola litura | Brown-spot Pinion | Sizewell: | TM4762 | 1.615034523 | 52.20038332 | 2006 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Allophyes oxyacanthae | Green-brindled Crescent | Leiston: | TM445627 | 1.57902811 | 52.20777931 | 2005 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Amphipoea oculea | Ear Moth | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM477660 | 1.628184873 | 52.23596284 | 2006 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Amphipyra tragopoginis | Mouse Moth | Leiston Common: | TM460634 | 1.601447071 | 52.21339351 | 2017 | ScotBL, <br> Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Anania verbascalis | Golden Pearl | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM446674 | 1.583887777 | 52.24991184 | 2006 | Nb |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Apamea anceps | Large Nutmeg | Leiston: | TM445627 | 1.57902811 | 52.20777931 | 2005 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |


| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid <br> Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Acronicta rumicis | Knot Grass | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM463670 | 1.608451278 | 52.24556433 | 2010 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Agrochola helvola | Flounced Chestnut | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM467670 | 1.614298906 | 52.24538522 | 2004 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Agrochola litura | Brown-spot Pinion | Sizewell: | TM4762 | 1.615034523 | 52.20038332 | 2006 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Allophyes oxyacanthae | Green-brindled Crescent | Leiston: | TM445627 | 1.57902811 | 52.20777931 | 2005 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Amphipoea oculea | Ear Moth | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM477660 | 1.628184873 | 52.23596284 | 2006 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Amphipyra tragopoginis | Mouse Moth | Leiston Common: | TM460634 | 1.601447071 | 52.21339351 | 2017 | ScotBL, <br> Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Anania verbascalis | Golden Pearl | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM446674 | 1.583887777 | 52.24991184 | 2006 | Nb |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Apamea anceps | Large Nutmeg | Leiston: | TM445627 | 1.57902811 | 52.20777931 | 2005 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |

## energ

| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Apamea remissa | Dusky Brocade | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM470669 | 1.618611491 | 52.24435335 | 2009 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Aphomia zelleri | Twin-spot Honey | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM477660 | 1.628184873 | 52.23596284 | 2010 | RDBGB.R |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Archanara neurica | White-mantled Wainscot | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM477660 | 1.628184873 | 52.23596284 | 2010 | RDBGB.R, Sect.41, UKBAP |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - moth } \\ & \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Arctia caja | Garden Tiger | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM462671 | 1.607062226 | 52.24650642 | 2010 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - moth } \\ & \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Atethmia centrago | Centre-barred Sallow | Theberton: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM438065 } \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | 1.571000555 | 52.23545987 | 2008 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Brachmia inornatella | Fen Crest | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM470669 | 1.618611491 | 52.24435335 | 2009 | Nb |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Brachylomia viminalis | Minor Shoulderknot | Sizewell: | TM453646 | 1.592090693 | 52.22447399 | 2017 | ScotBL, <br> Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Calophasia lunula | Toadflax Brocade | Thorpeness: | TM476610 | 1.623066358 | 52.19114077 | 2004 | RDBGB.R |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Caradrina morpheus | Mottled Rustic | Eastbridge: Eastbridge, Suffolk | TM450866 06 | 1.589933993 | 52.23767356 | 2017 | ScotBL, <br> Sect.41, |

## ENER

| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sect.42, UKBAP |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - moth } \\ & \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Celaena leucostigma | Crescent | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM477660 | 1.628184873 | 52.23596284 | 2010 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Chiasmia clathrata | Latticed Heath | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM477660 | 1.628184873 | 52.23596284 | 2010 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - moth } \\ & \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Chionodes distinctella | Eastern Groundling | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM477660 | 1.628184873 | 52.23596284 | 2006 | Nb |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - moth } \\ & \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Cirrhia icteritia | Sallow | Leiston: | TM453646 | 1.592090693 | 52.22447399 | 2016 | ScotBL, <br> Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Crambus pratella | Scarce Grassveneer | Sizewell: Sizewell Beach | TM475630 | 1.623067293 | 52.20913256 | 2005 | Nb |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - moth } \\ & \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Diarsia rubi | Small Squarespot | Theberton: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM438065 } \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | 1.571000555 | 52.23545987 | 2008 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - moth } \\ & \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Ecliptopera silaceata | Small Phoenix | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM446674 | 1.583887777 | 52.24991184 | 2010 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |

SIZEWELL C PROJECT - ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT
NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED
Order
Species Name
Common Name Location
Latitude

| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - moth } \\ & \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Ennomos fuscantaria | Dusky Thorn | Theberton: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM438065 } \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | 1.571000555 | 52.23545987 | 2008 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect } \begin{array}{l} \text { (Lepidoptera) } \\ \text { (Leth } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Ethmia bipunctella | Bordered Ermel | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM4666 | 1.603337675 | 52.2367249 | 2004 | RDBGB.VU |
| $\underset{\text { (Lepidoptera) }}{\substack{\text { Insect } \\ \text { (Leth } \\ \hline}}$ | Eugnorisma glareosa | Autumnal Rustic | Sizewell: | TM4762 | 1.615034523 | 52.20038332 | 2006 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Eulamprotes wilkella | Painted Neb | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM477660 | 1.628184873 | 52.23596284 | 2006 | Nb |
| Insect $-\quad$ moth (Lepidoptera) | Eulithis mellinata | Spinach | Leiston: | TM445627 | 1.57902811 | 52.20777931 | 2005 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect }-\quad \text { moth } \\ & \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Euxoa nigricans | Garden Dart | Leiston: | TM453646 | 1.592090693 | 52.22447399 | 2016 | ScotBL, Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| $\underset{\text { (Lepidoptera) }}{\text { Insect }}$ | Euxoa tritici | White-line Dart | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM477660 | 1.628184873 | 52.23596284 | 2011 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| $\begin{array}{lll} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Insect } \\ \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{array} & \text { moth } \end{array}$ | Evergestis extimalis | Marbled Yellow Pearl | Theberton: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM438065 } \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | 1.571000555 | 52.23545987 | 2008 | Nb |


| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid <br> Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Graphiphora augur | Double Dart | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM477660 | 1.628184873 | 52.23596284 | 2004 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Gymnancyla canella | Hoary Knot-horn | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM477660 | 1.628184873 | 52.23596284 | 2010 | Na |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Helotropha leucostigma | Crescent | Kenton Hills: | TM469651 | 1.615835103 | 52.22824599 | 2017 | ScotBL, <br> Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Hemistola chrysoprasaria | Small Emerald | Leiston: | TM445627 | 1.57902811 | 52.20777931 | 2005 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Hepialus humuli | Ghost Moth | Sizewell: | TM453646 | 1.592090693 | 52.22447399 | 2017 | ScotBL, <br> Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Herminia tarsicrinalis | Shaded Fan-foot | Kenton Hills: | TM458639 | 1.598888409 | 52.21796957 | 2011 | RDBGB.R |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - moth } \\ & \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Hoplodrina blanda | Rustic | Sizewell: | TM4762 | 1.615034523 | 52.20038332 | 2006 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Hydraecia micacea | Rosy Rustic | Kenton Hills: | TM469651 | 1.615835103 | 52.22824599 | 2017 | ScotBL, <br> Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |

,

## NOT PROTECTIVELY

| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Insect - } \\ \text { (Lepidoptera) }}}{ }$ | Malacosoma neustria | Lackey | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM462671 | 1.607062226 | 52.24650642 | 2010 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Melanchra persicariae | Dot Moth | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM477660 | 1.628184873 | 52.23596284 | 2010 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Melanchra pisi | Broom Moth | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM446674 | 1.583887777 | 52.24991184 | 2005 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Mesoligia literosa | Rosy Minor | Sizewell: | TM475628 | 1.622921119 | 52.20733787 | 2005 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Monochroa moyses | Coast Neb | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM477660 | 1.628184873 | 52.23596284 | 2005 | N |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Monochroa palustrella | Wainscot Neb | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM446674 | 1.583887777 | 52.24991184 | 2010 | Nb |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Nascia cilialis | Orange-rayed Pearl | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM446674 | 1.583887777 | 52.24991184 | 2006 | Na |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Nephopterix angustella | Spindle Knothorn | Theberton: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM438065 } \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | 1.571000555 | 52.23545987 | 2008 | Nb |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Noctua orbona | Lunar Yellow Underwing | Kenton Hills: | TM458639 | 1.598888409 | 52.21796957 | 2011 | ScotBL, <br> Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |

## ,

| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Rhizedra lutosa | Large Wainscot | Sizewell: | TM4762 | 1.615034523 | 52.20038332 | 2006 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Schoenobius gigantella | Giant Waterveneer | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM446674 | 1.583887777 | 52.24991184 | 2010 | Nb |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Scopula marginepunctata | Mullein Wave | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM460672 | 1.604211132 | 52.24749319 | 2006 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - moth } \\ & \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Scopula rubiginata | Tawny Wave | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM477660 | 1.628184873 | 52.23596284 | 2010 | RDBGB.R |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Scotopteryx chenopodiata | Shaded Broadbar | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM477660 | 1.628184873 | 52.23596284 | 2010 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - moth } \\ & \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Scrobipalpa salinella | Sea-aster Groundling | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM476664 | 1.627016274 | 52.23959715 | 2004 | N |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Senta flammea | Flame Wainscot | Eastbridge: Eastbridge, Suffolk | TM450866 $06$ | 1.589933993 | 52.23767356 | 2017 | RDBGB.R |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - moth } \\ & \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Spilosoma lubricipeda | White Ermine | Kenton Hills: | TM464645 | 1.608091366 | 52.22308574 | 2011 | Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |
| Insect - moth (Lepidoptera) | Spilosoma lutea | Buff Ermine | Eastbridge: Eastbridge, Suffolk | TM450866 06 | 1.589933993 | 52.23767356 | 2017 | ScotBL, <br> Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |


| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Insect - } \\ \text { (Lepidoptera) }}}{ }$ moth | Stathmopoda pedella | Alder Signal | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM467670 | 1.614298906 | 52.24538522 | 2006 | Nb |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Insect - } \\ \text { (Lepidoptera) }}}{ }$ moth | Synaphe punctalis | Long-legged Tabby | Sizewell: | TM475628 | 1.622921119 | 52.20733787 | 2005 | Nb |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Insect } \\ \text { (Lepidoptera) }}}{ }$ moth | Tholera cespitis | Hedge Rustic | Sizewell: | TM4762 | 1.615034523 | 52.20038332 | 2006 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - moth } \\ & \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Tholera decimalis | Feathered Gothic | Sizewell: | TM4762 | 1.615034523 | 52.20038332 | 2006 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Insect } \\ \text { (Lepidoptera) }}}{ }$ moth | Timandra comae | Blood-Vein | Sizewell: | TM4762 | 1.615034523 | 52.20038332 | 2006 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| $\underset{\text { (Lepidoptera) }}{\text { Insect }}$ | Tyria jacobaeae | Cinnabar | Sizewell: Sizewell Belts | TM4663 | 1.601156438 | 52.20980405 | 2009 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Insect } \\ \text { (Lepidoptera) }}}{ }$ moth | Watsonalla binaria | Oak Hook-tip | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM462671 | 1.607062226 | 52.24650642 | 2010 | Sect.41, Sect.42, UKBAP |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - moth } \\ & \text { (Lepidoptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Xanthia icteritia | Sallow | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere RSPB Reserve | TM467670 | 1.614298906 | 52.24538522 | 2004 | Sect. 41 Sect.42, UKBAP |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Anagnota bicolor | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 48: North Girder | TM476664 | 1.627016274 | 52.23959715 | 2004 | N |


| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Hercostomus plagiatus | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 46: East Scrape | TM475667 | 1.625774263 | 52.24233412 | 2004 | NS |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - true fly } \\ & \text { (Diptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Leia longiseta | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 47: West Scrape | TM474667 | 1.624312481 | 52.24237906 | 2004 | NS |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Limnophora nigripes | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 46: East Scrape | TM475667 | 1.625774263 | 52.24233412 | 2004 | N |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - true fly } \\ & \text { (Diptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Lispe caesia | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 48: North Girder | TM476664 | 1.627016274 | 52.23959715 | 2004 | N |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - true fly } \\ & \text { (Diptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Lispe loewi | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 46: East Scrape | TM475667 | 1.625774263 | 52.24233412 | 2004 | N |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insect - true fly } \\ & \text { (Diptera) } \end{aligned}$ | Melanochaeta pubescens | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 47: West Scrape | TM474667 | 1.624312481 | 52.24237906 | 2004 | N |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Melieria cana | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 59: The Dunes | TM476653 | 1.626210754 | 52.22972646 | 2004 | N |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Myopites inulaedyssentericae | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere | TM46T | 1.603337675 | 52.2367249 | 2016 | RDBGB.R |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Oscinimorpha arcuata | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 59: The Dunes | TM476653 | 1.626210754 | 52.22972646 | 2004 | N |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Parydroptera discomyzina | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 48: North Girder | TM476664 | 1.627016274 | 52.23959715 | 2004 | RDBGB.VU |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Pherbellia dorsata | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 49: South Shore Pool | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM477466 } \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 1.628989344 | 52.23863684 | 2004 | N |

[^13]| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Pherbellia nana | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 49: South Shore Pool | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM477466 } \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 1.628989344 | 52.23863684 | 2004 | N |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Pilaria scutellata | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 47: West Scrape | TM474667 | 1.624312481 | 52.24237906 | 2004 | N |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Psacadina zernyi | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 49: South Shore Pool | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM477466 } \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 1.628989344 | 52.23863684 | 2004 | RDBGB.VU |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Rhamphomyia caliginosa | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 59: The Dunes | TM476653 | 1.626210754 | 52.22972646 | 2004 | NS |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Sciapus laetus | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 49: South Shore Pool | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM477466 } \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 1.628989344 | 52.23863684 | 2004 | NS |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Spilogona biseriata | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 46: East Scrape | TM475667 | 1.625774263 | 52.24233412 | 2004 | N |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Stilpon lunatus | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 46: East Scrape | TM475667 | 1.625774263 | 52.24233412 | 2004 | NS |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Stratiomys longicornis | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: The Scrape: Compts 46-48 | TM475666 | 1.625701033 | 52.24143678 | 2004 | RDBGB.VU |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Syntormon mikii | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 49: South Shore Pool | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM477466 } \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 1.628989344 | 52.23863684 | 2004 | RLGB.Lr(NT) |


| Order | Species Name | Common Name | Location | Grid Reference | Longitude | Latitude | Year Recorde d | Conservatio n Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insect - true fly (Diptera) | Themira biloba | - | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 46: East Scrape | TM475667 | 1.625774263 | 52.24233412 | 2004 | RDBGB.IK |
| $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Insect - true fly } \\ \text { (Diptera) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Thinophilus ruficornis |  | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere: Compt 48: North Girder | TM476664 | 1.627016274 | 52.23959715 | 2004 | NS |
| mollusc | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Vertigo } \\ \text { angustior } \end{array} & \text { (Vertilla) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Narrow-mouther Whorl Snail | Minsmere B. R.: Minsmere | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TM477096 } \\ & 6157 \end{aligned}$ | 1.628431447 | 52.2373676 | 2008 | HSD2p, <br> RLGLB.LR(c <br> d), $\operatorname{ScotBL}$, <br> Sect.41, <br> Sect.42, <br> UKBAP |

### 1.2 Butterfly conservation data

1.2.1 The below report was provided by the Suffolk Branch of Butterfly Conservation regarding Butterflies and Moths of the Sizewell area.

## Butterflies and Moths of the Sizewell Area

## Introduction.

This article has been compiled by the Suffolk Branch of Butterfly Conservation to assist agencies responding to EDF Energy's Phase 3 Consultation on the Sizewell C project. It provides background information on the Lepidoptera found in the extensive area surrounding the existing Sizewell B nuclear reactor and extending to include the entire Sizewell C site and the areas that will be needed for the construction project's access roads/railways, storage etc. Consideration is given to the likely impact on habitat of resident wildlife, particularly invertebrates likely to be displaced from their established domain by the construction activity. A few opportunities for mitigation are suggested. There are no outspoken views; it is mainly a reference package showing which butterflies and moths fly in which tetrads.

## The Sizewell Area.

Much of the Suffolk coast has been designated an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and it contains a rich diversity of flora and fauna, both within and outside the designated Site of Special Scientific Interest of Sizewell Marshes. Its pleasant walks and rich biodiversity have made it a popular destination for natural history enthusiasts, and this in turn has made its biodiversity well recorded. Features like Kenton Hills, Sizewell Belts, the coastal strip and Goose Hill all have their individual qualities and resident animals.

## About the Butterfly Population.

Suffolk's 34 butterfly species (resident and regular migrants) are spread around the county unevenly. On average, each 2 km square supports 15.3 species, but the Suffolk Coastal areas are better represented with over 20, whilst the squares around Sizewell B have 25, and are well monitored by two butterfly transects (Sizewell Belts and Upper Abbey Farm.) These are walked weekly from 1st April to the end of September. Longterm records show these to have 26 and 25 respectively (The White Admiral lives in Kenton Hills, but is not found on the Upper Abbey farm transect).

Common Species. The bulk of our 22 wider countryside species are widespread, though no longer abundant. Their larval host plants are also widespread. In the event that their breeding areas are covered in concrete or tarmac, one generation will die, but the species will probably survive in an adjacent field. If the concrete lies there for a decade, any surviving colony is likely to be much weakened.

Here is a list of the relatively common species, and the shorthand code used later in this document:

SS Small Skipper, ES Essex Skipper, LS Large Skipper. All 3 Skippers are dependent on uncut grassland, and will suffer from temporary degradation, as well as complete loss.

LW Large White, SW Small White, GVW Green-veined White. Not at risk.
OT Orange-tip. Low risk. B Brimstone - could benefit if re-instatement includes buckthorn planting.

PH Purple Hairstreak lives in the canopy of mature oaks, and should survive provided the oaks are not felled or subjected to airborne dust or chemicals generated by vehicle movements.

GH Green Hairstreak lives on the gorse found on heathland and might suffer from the removal of gorse along the route of the access roads.

SC Small Copper is found on the heathy areas of Sizewell Belts, where the larval host plants, sorrel or sheeps' sorrel, grow.

BA Brown Argus is not all that common; it often flies close to Common Blue colonies, provided that storksbill or cranesbill grow there.

CB Common Blue has a strong colony where the bird's-foot trefoil grows close to the coast just north of Sizewell B. With luck, it might survive there. The building of the 10 metre sea wall with the option of raising it to 14 metres will inevitably cause considerable disruption, although the objective here is sound: "to establish a naturalistic coastal grassland/dune setting with permitted access to the lower slopes".

HB Holly Blue
RA Red Admiral
ST Small Tortoiseshell
P Peacock
C Comma
SPW Speckled Wood should survive in light woodland of Kenton hills.
MB Meadow Brown, GK Gatekeeper,R Ringlet. All grass-feeding species; low risk.
Two regular immigrants, PL Painted Lady and CY Clouded Yellow, are likely to survive by flying on - to settle elsewhere in Suffolk. [Total 22 "Common" species]

SWF Silver-washed Fritillary is a recent arrival in Suffolk woodlands, not yet common.
UKBAP Species. Seven Suffolk butterflies are designated as UK Biodiversity Action Plan species. Their habitat requirements are more demanding, so they are more vulnerable. Six of the seven fly in the Sizewell area:

SSB Silver-studded Blue flies on heather heathland in the Sandlings -just north of the Sizewell project area at Minsmere and just south of the area at Aldringham. Arguably it is not directly at risk from Sizewell C.

WA White Admiral flies in light woodland where honeysuckle flourishes. It breeds in small numbers in and around Kenton Hills and Goose Hill. It is at serious risk of displacement by construction work.

G Grayling has undergone a serious decline across England and in Suffolk, where it has abandoned its former flight areas in the centre of the county, and has moved towards the coast to find the sparse grassland on sandy soil that is its favoured habitat. For some years it has been quite common from Kenton Hills, through Sizewell Belts and particularly amongst the grass and shingle belt between the sea and Sizewell B.The work along the sea wall could be a significant threat to this declining species. The new access road and the permanent loss of a large part of Goose Hill will similarly reduce the available habitat for this vulnerable species.

WB Wall Brown has declined along the same lines as the Grayling, and is now found only in coastal grassland (and at Carlton Marshes). It was once fairly common along the Sizewell coast, but seems now to be absent. It is very unlikely to re-colonise Sizewell, particularly during the construction phase.

SH Small Heath is a UKBAP "study species". It still flies in the short grass areas around Sizewell B, but its health is unlikely to improve as a result of the construction work.

WLH White-letter Hairstreak lives only in elm trees. It is seen occasionally in and around Kenton Hills. Given that some planting of trees will take place in that area during the reinstatement phase, it is suggested that consideration be given to planting some of the new disease-resistant strains of elm. This would be a gesture of mitigation towards our butterflies.
[DS] The Dingy Skipper is the $7^{\text {th }}$ Suffolk UKBAP species, but is restricted to West Suffolk and is thus immune to any development at Sizewell.

Butterfly Records are submitted to the Suffolk Biological Information Service (SBIS), like other biological records. They are sent annually, and the location data can be accessed by 10 km grid squares or by tetrad ( 2 km squares). The latest complete data set covers the 5 year period 2013 to 2017. The 10km square enclosing the Sizewell C development is TM 46, and the table below shows all the species recorded in that whole area, and in 20 of its subordinate 2 km tetrads. The UKBAP species are listed in green. Note that some of the peripheral tetrads are outside the development area.

## Suffolk Butterfly Records- 2013-2017

TM46-10KM. Enclosing 20 recorded tetrads, averaging 22.4 species each. Species recorded by tetrad:

TM4060: SS ES LS CY B LW SW GVW OT GH SC CB RA PL ST P SPW G GK MB R

TM4062: SS LW SW GVW OT HB PL ST P SPW G GK MB R
TM4064: CY OT
TM4066: LS LW SW OT HB RA ST P SPW GK MB R
TM4068: SS CY LW SW GVW CB RA PL ST P C GK MB R
TM4260: ES LS B LW SW GVW OT GH PH SC BA CB HB RA PL ST P C SPW G GK MB R

TM4262: LS B LW SW GVW OT SC CB HB RA PL ST P C SPW GK MB R SH
TM4264: SS ES LS CY B LW SW GVW OT GH PH SC BA CB HB WA RA PL ST P C SWF SPW G GK MB R SH and PE (at Theberton).

TM4266: B LW SW GVW OT WLH SC CB HB RA PL ST P C SPW GK MB R
TM4268: LS CY LW SW GVW OT SSB CB RA ST P C SPW GK MB R
TM4460: [Aldringham Comm] SS ES LS CY B LW SW GVW OT GH PH SC SSB BA CB HB RA PL ST P C SWF SPW WB G GK MB R SH

TM4462: [Leiston Common]SS ES LS B LW SW GVW OT GH WLH SC BA CB HB WA RA PL ST P C SPW G GK MB R SH

TM4464: [Kenton Hills]SS ES LS CY B LW SW GVW OT SC BA CB HB WA RA PL ST P C SPW G GK MB R SH

TM4466: [Minsmere Levels] SS ES LS B LW SW GVW OT GH SC SSB BA CB HB WA RA PL SmT P C SWF SpW WB G GK MB R SH

TM4468: [Minsmere North] SS ES LS CY B LW SW GVW OT GH PH SC SSB BA CB HB WA RA PL ST P C SWF SPW G GK MB R SH

TM4660: [Aldringham] SS ES LS CY LW SW GVW OT GH PH WLH SC SSB BA CB HB WA RA PL SmT P C SPW WB G GK MB R SH

TM4662:[Sizewell Village]SS ES LS CY B LW SW GVW OT GH SC BA CB HB WA RA PL ST P C SPW G GK MB R SH

The total butterfly species count for the 10 Km square TM46 is thus 28 including 6 UKBAP species.

## About Moths.

A large number of noteworthy moths are found along the coast and inland to the Sizewell Belts.

## Moth recording coverage

Generally moth recording efforts tend to be focused on a few sites within an area due to the static nature of the recording and accessibility to take in recording equipment (moth traps and generators). The lack of records from a particular area is likely to be more a reflection of poor recording coverage, rather than the lack of moth fauna in the area. Even for those areas recorded some have only had one or two visits which due to the varying moth fauna during the year will only present a limited picture of the potential species to be found in the area. For the area under discussion the following tetrads have no moth records: TM4060, TM4062, TM4066 and TM4262. We are not aware of any particular recording in the Goose Hill area [TM4664]

Better recorded tetrads are listed below; many have records for over 200 species, and two have over 500.

## Species of note UKBAP species

White-mantled Wainscot (Archanara neurica) A species associated with the dried areas of reed-bed where the larvae feed on Common Reed (Phragmites australis). The moth flies in July and August while the larvae are feeding internally in the foodplant during May and June.

Fenn's Wainscot (Protarchanara brevilinea) A species restricted to the reed-beds of East Anglia. It is more tolerant of wetter reed-beds than the White-mantled Wainscot with the larvae again feeding on Common Reed (Phragmites australis).

Some Rare and Nationally Notable Species
Monopis monachella A micro species restricted to the Suffolk coastline and the odd area in Norfolk with occasional records recorded elsewhere in the UK. The larvae feed on animals pelts, bird pellets, dead animals and bird's nests. The adult flies from May to September.

Reed Dagger (Simyra albovenosa) A species associated with fen habitats where the larvae feed on Common Reed (Phragmites australis). The adult is double-brooded flying in May and then July to August.

Broad-bordered Bee Hawk-moth (Hemaris fuciformis) The larvae feed on honeysuckle in open areas such as heathland. The moth flies in May and June during the day.

Platytes alpinella (a micro)Frequents sandy and shingle habitats where the larva is thought to feed on Tortula. This localised moth is primarily associated with coastal areas in the UK but also occurs inland in the Brecks in Suffolk.

## NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Nascia cilialis (a micro) A fenland species occurring in southern parts of the country. It is a rare species but maybe common where it occurs. It feeds on Greater Pond Sedge (Carex riparia). The adult flies mainly in the months of May to July.

Water Ermine (Spilosoma urticae) A scarce species of damp fenland habitats in the south-east of the country. The larvae is polyphagous on a number of low-growing herbaceous plants with the adults flying in June and July.

Webb's Wainscot (Globia sparganii) A localised moth frequenting damp habitats fens, marshes and ditches - where its foodplants occur including Bulrush (Typah latifolia), Lesser Bulrush (Typha angustifolia), Yellow Iris (Iris pseudacorus), Common Club-rush (Schoenoplectus lacustris) and Branched Bur-reed (Sparganium erectum). The adult moth is on the wing from August to September.

Flame Wainscot (Senta flammea) A species occurring predominantly in some of the fens of East Anglia but also in a few fens in Dorset. The adult flies from May to July and the larvae feed on Common Reed (Phragmites australis)

Shaded Fan-foot Herminia tarsicrinalis A species inhabiting bramble thickets found in deciduous woodland. The early stages are not well known but it would seem that the larva feed on withered leaves on the ground from August to May. The flight period is from June to July.

Dotted Fan-foot Macrochila cribrumalis A localised species of fens with the larvae feeding on sedges and rushes. It can be found in suitable areas in East Anglia and other parts of southern England. The moth flies from June to August.

Pauper Pug Eupithecia egenaria A rare species appearing in a few localities scattered over England and Wales and on the wing from May to June. The larvae feed on Largeleaved Lime (Tilia platyphylos) and Small-leaved Lime (Tilia cordata).

## Overview of the more notable moth species

The majority of the rarer moth species recorded in the Sizewell area are primarily associated with the wetter fenland and reed-bed habitats with a smaller number associated with the coastal sand and shingle habitats. There are also some species associated with deciduous woodland in Kenton Hills and Sizewell Belts.

## Impact

Disturbance of the fen and reed-bed habitats especially with changing of water levels is likely to affect these fen/reed-bed specialists. The UKBAP species White-mantled Wainscot is known for not favouring wet reed-beds, and is perhaps our greatest concern.

None of the rarer moth species are associated with coniferous habitat and in general this type of habitat supports a lesser variety of moth species.

## Moth records by tetrad <br> TM4064: 179 species

Nationally notable species: Phyllonorycter quinnata, Calamotropha paludella, Pempelia formosa, Nyctegretis lineana and Small Yellow Wave.

TM4068: 40 species
TM4260: 43 species
Nationally notable species: Kent Black Arches
TM4264: 158 species
Nationally notable species: Hornet Moth, Reed Dagger and Webb's Wainscot
TM4266: 207 species
Nationally notable species: Broad-bordered Bee Hawk-moth and Buttoned Snout
TM4268: 348 species
BAP species: White-mantled Wainscot.
Nationally notable species: Monochroa palustrella, Nascia cilialis, Water Ermine, Reed Dagger, Webb's Wainscot and Dotted Fan-foot

TM4460: 206 species
Rare species: Ectoedemia sericopeza, Stigmella tiliae, Broad-bordered Bee Hawkmoth.

TM4462: 394 species
Rare species: Stigmella tiliae.
RDB species: Tawny Wave and Shaded Fan-foot.
Nationally notable species: Calamatropha paludella, Platytes alpinella, Pempelia formosa, Small Yellow Wave, Water Ermine, Kent Black Arches, Buttoned Snout and Dotted Fan-foot.

TM4464: 161 species
TM4466: 543 species Rare species: Stigmella tiliae, Monopis monachella,
Nationally notable species: Hornet Moth, Monochroa palustrella, Sophronia semicostella, Brachmia inornatella, Acleris logiana, Calamatropha paludella, Nascia
cilialis, Pempelia formosa, Small Yellow Wave, Water Ermine, Kent Black Arches, Reed Dagger, Dotted Fan-foot.

RDB species: Pauper Pug, Broad-bordered Bee Hawk-moth, Flame Wainscot, Webb's Wainscot, Shaded Fan-foot, RDB and BAP species: Fenn's Wainscot, BAP species: White-mantled Wainscot.

TM4468: 442 species
Rare species: Monopis monachella,
Nationally notable species: Sophronia semicostella, Platytes alpinella, Pempelia formosa,, Jersey Tiger, Kent Black Arches, White Colon, Dotted Fan-foot, RDB species: Tawny Wave, Flame Wainscot, Shaded Fan-foot

TM4660: 566 species
Nationally notable species: Ectoedemia spinosella, Calamatropha paludella, Platytes alpinella, Niascia cilialis, Pempelia formosa, Pima boisduvaliella, Nyctegretis lineana ,Small Yellow Wave, Broad-bordered Bee Hawk-moth,Water Ermine, Kent Black Arches, White Colon, Reed Dagger, Webb's Wainscot, Rosy Marbled and Dotted Fanfoot

RDB species: Tawny Wave, Toadflax Brocade, Marbled Clover, Shaded Fan-foot
TM4662: 432 species. Nationally notable species: Cnephasia genitalana, Acleris logiana, Calamatropha paludella, Nascia cilialis, Melissoblaptes zelleri, Pempelia formosa, Pima boisduvaliella, Nyctegretis lineana, Oxyptilus distans, Small Yellow Wave, Broad-bordered Bee Hawk-moth, White Colon, Webb's Wainscot,

RDB species: Tawny Wave, Flame Wainscot, Marbled Clover and Shaded Fan-foot.
The records presented above show clearly what a rich share of Suffolk's lepidoptera are found in the vicinity of the Sizewell C development. The likely impact on their populations can be considered as follows:

## Summary of Concerns.

EDF expects to present its planning application early in 2020, and anticipates a wait of 12 to18 months before the application is approved. This time is an opportunity to consider possible mitigation measures to benefit wildlife, and to implement them ahead of the construction phase. The continuation of wildlife monitoring is important, and EDF can help by allowing unhindered access to wardens and (for example) those conducting the butterfly transects. Setting up monitoring arrangements for the water voles etc. at the new nature reserve at Aldhurst Farm (just outside the Operational foot print) is another worthwhile concession to our wildlife.

Construction. There will be damage to the wild populations early in the construction phase, where new roads are built, car parks and hard storage areas replace natural vegetation, for example around the southern margin of Goose Hill. Wildlife will be displaced.

Timing - Impact. The construction phase is expected to take from 9 to 12 years. Most of the physical damage to habitat will occur in the early months. Fortunately, the EDF planners are taking note of the observations of the Wildlife agencies' observations, particularly where delicate habitat or UKBAP species are involved, and the most damaging options can be avoided. Nonetheless, the impact is clear; the entire footprint of the Sizewell C operational area will have been covered in concrete. There will have been a permanent loss of almost one square kilometer of prime habitat for flora and Lepidoptera.

Operations. The final element of the construction work will involve the restoration of the land to agriculture generally and to its original condition where nature reserves, SSSI and public access can be restored. For example, soil bunds may need to be levelled and planted. Wild flowers and many insects do not benefit from nutrient-rich soils, so using nutrient-rich top-soil on roadside verges or other wildlife areas should be avoided. Such a practice will mean more topsoil is available for agricultural areas. Conservation organizations have a role to play here, and Butterfly Conservation needs to advise on what to do in support of common species as well as the UKBAP species. When the site goes operational, nature will get its chance to restore its realm.

Mitigation. Mitigation for invertebrates, is apparently limited to Aldhurst Farm, the retention of Kenton Hills and the eventual creation of heathland on the un-used parts of the EDF Estate. The higher slopes of Aldhurst Farm will revert to heathland, the extent of which is difficult to know from maps provided. - an optimistic estimate is equal to half the area of Kenton Hills. It looks as if most disturbance will be to the pine forest and if that is restored as deciduous/mixed then that might be a positive outcome for butterflies and moths.

## Land around Sizewell

Three UKBAP butterfly species are presently flying in the 2 most relevant 2 km squares - both TM4662 and TM 4664 support White Admiral, Grayling and Small Heath. Looking at the wider area within the 10km square TM46, the 6 UKBAP species recorded are: White-letter Hairstreak, White Admiral, Wall, Silver-studded Blue, Grayling and Small Heath.In all, 13 moth species of designated rarity are found in the area and some are threatened by disturbance of the fen and reed-bed habitats especially by changing of water levels.

This author reflects that the whole process looks like taking 15 years, by which time he will be a 90 year old armchair naturalist!

Rob Parker (Conservation Officer, Suffolk Branch of Butterfly Conservation).
Annexes:Butterfly Transect Routes: 2 PDFs attached to the covering email:

## Sizewell Belts

Upper Abbey Farm

## References

1.1 Suffolk Biodiversity Partnership. 2012. Suffolk Local Biodiversity Action Plan. (Online) Available from: http://www.suffolkbiodiversity.org/biodiversity-action-plans.aspx (Accessed 01 March 2019).
1.2 Suffolk Biodiversity Information Service. 2015. Priority Species and Habitats. (Online) Available from: https://www.suffolkbis.org.uk/biodiversity/speciesandhabitats (Accessed 01 February 2019)
1.3 Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act. 2006. (Online). Available from: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/16/contents (Accessed 01 March 2016).

# SIZEWELL C DEVELOPMENT - MAIN DEVELOPMENT SITE: VOLUME 2, CHAPTER 14: 

ANNEX 14A4.3 SECONDARY DATA

- Annex 14A4.3 Amec 2007 Invertebrate Report
- Annex 14A4.3 Amec 2009 Invertebrate Report
- Annex 14A4.3 Amec 2010 Invertebrate Report
- Annex 14A4.3 Amec 2007-2010 Invertebrate Report


## EDF Energy

## Sizewell

2007 Invertebrate Survey Report

March 2014

UK Protect - Commercial

AMEC Environment \& Infrastructure UK Limited

## Report for EDF Energy

Main Contributors
Andrew Godfrey


Approved by


## AMEC Environment \& Infrastructure UK Limited

17 Angel Gate, City Road, London EC1V 2SH,
United Kingdom
Tel +44 (0) 2078431400
Fax +44 (0) 2078431410

Doc Reg No. 34868 CA003R
r:\projects $\backslash 34868$ sth sizewell ecologylc clientlfinal
reports invertebrateslinvertebrates 2007f134868 ca003r sizewell 2007 invertebrate report final to issue.docx

## EDF Energy

## Sizewell

2007 Invertebrate Survey Report
UK Protect - Commercial

March 2014

AMEC Environment \& Infrastructure UK Limited


ISO 9001-FS 13881
ISO 14001 -EMS 69090
OHSAS 18001 -OHS 600064
In accordance with an environmentally responsible approach, this document is printed on recycled paper produced from $100 \%$ post-consumer waste, or on ECF (elemental chlorine free) paper

## UK Protect - Commercial

## Copyright and Non-Disclosure Notice

The contents and layout of this report are subject to copyright owned by AMEC (©AMEC Environment \& Infrastructure UK Limited 2014) save to the extent that copyright has been legally assigned by us to another party or is used by AMEC under licence. To the extent that we own the copyright in this report, it may not be copied or used without our prior written agreement for any purpose other than the purpose indicated in this report.

The methodology (if any) contained in this report is provided to you in confidence and must not be disclosed or copied to third parties without the prior written agreement of AMEC. Disclosure of that information may constitute an actionable breach of confidence or may otherwise prejudice our commercial interests. Any third party who obtains access to this report by any means will, in any event, be subject to the Third Party Disclaimer set out below.

## Third Party Disclaimer

Any disclosure of this report to a third party is subject to this disclaimer. The report was prepared by AMEC at the instruction of, and for use by, our client named on the front of the report. It does not in any way constitute advice to any third party who is able to access it by any means. AMEC excludes to the fullest extent lawfully permitted all liability whatsoever for any loss or damage howsoever arising from reliance on the contents of this report. We do not however exclude our liability (if any) for personal injury or death resulting from our negligence, for fraud or any other matter in relation to which we cannot legally exclude liability.

## Document Revisions

| No. | Details | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Draft Report | November 2008 |
| 2 | Final Report | April 2009 |
| 3 | Revised Final Report | March 2014 |

## Note

The information contained in this report was, to the best of the issuer's knowledge, correct at the time of issue of the latest draft. Some of this information may no longer be current.

## Contents

1. Introduction ..... 1
1.1 Background to Development ..... 1
1.2 Scheme Description ..... 1
1.3 Preliminary Works Area Description and Context ..... 1
1.4 Background ..... 2
1.5 Legislative Context ..... 3
1.5.1 Wildlife \& Countryside Act (1981) ..... 3
1.5.2 Countryside and Rights of Way Act (CRoW) (2000) ..... 3
1.5.3 Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (2006) ..... 3
1.5.4 Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora ..... 4
1.5.5 Survey Requirements ..... 4
1.6 Purpose of Survey Work ..... 4
2. Methods ..... 5
2.1 Desk Study ..... 5
2.2 Field Surveys ..... 7
2.2.1 Pitfall Traps ..... 7
2.2.2 Sweep Netting ..... 7
2.2.3 Vacuum Sampling ..... 8
2.2.4 Water Traps ..... 8
2.2.5 Malaise Traps ..... 8
2.2.6 Taxonomic Coverage ..... 8
2.3 Personnel ..... 9
2.4 Limitations of the Survey ..... 9
3. Results ..... 11
3.1 Desk Study ..... 11
3.2 Field Surveys ..... 12
3.2.1 Araneae (Spiders) ..... 12
3.2.2 Smaller Insect Orders ..... 12
3.2.3 Hemiptera (Auchenorrhyncha and Heteroptera) ..... 13
3.2.4 Coleoptera (Beetles) ..... 13
3.2.5 Lepidoptera (Moths and Butterflies) ..... 14
3.2.6 Diptera (True-flies) ..... 14

### 3.2.7 Aculeate Hymenoptera (Bees, wasps and ants)

15
4. Discussion ..... 17
4.1 Discussion of Bioscan (1991) records ..... 17
4.2 The Apparent Importance of the Preliminary Works Area to Invertebrates ..... 18
4.3 The Apparent Importance of the Surrounding Areas to Invertebrates ..... 18
4.4 Longevity of Baseline ..... 19
5. References ..... 22
6. Tables ..... 26
Table 7.1 Invertebrates of High Nature Conservation Significance Recorded by Bioscan (1991) ..... 26
Table 7.2 Invertebrates of High Nature Conservation Significance Recorded in the Sizewell Land Management Annual Reviews between 1996 and 2007 ..... 28
Table 7.3 Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce invertebrates recorded from the Nature Conservancy Council funded surveys of Sizewell Belts (Drake 1989a, 1989b) ..... 29
Table 7.4 Number and Location of Invertebrate Sampling Sites at Sizewell in 2007 ..... 30
Table 7.5 Invertebrate Sampling Methods Employed at each of the Sample Sites ..... 31
Table 7.6 Invertebrate Species of High Nature Conservation Value Recorded from the Sample Sites within the Preliminary Works Area in 2007. ..... 32
Table 7.7 Invertebrate Species of High Nature Conservation Value Recorded from the Dunes and Shingle on Sizewell Beach in 2007 ..... 33
Table 7.8 Invertebrates of High Nature Conservation Value Found in Kenton Hills and Goose Hill in 2007. ..... 34

Figure 1.1 Preliminary Works Area, Proposed Access Route and Indicative location of Construction Compounds

After Page 4
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Figure 1.2 Invertebrate Sampling Sites } & \text { After Page } 4\end{array}$
Figure 1.3 Protected sites in relation to proposed development area $\quad$ After Page 4

Appendix A Araneae, Auchenorrhyncha and Heteroptera Recorded from Sizewell Coastal Habitats in 2007
Appendix B Coleoptera Recorded from Pitfall Traps at Sizewell in 2007
Appendix C Coleoptera Recorded from Vacuum Samples from Sizewell Coastal Habitats in 2007
Appendix D Coleoptera Recorded from Water Traps at Sizewell in 2007
Appendix E Diptera and Other Invertebrates Recorded from Vacuum Samples at Sizewell in 2007
Appendix F Diptera, Aculeate Hymenoptera and Other Invertebrates Recorded from Water Traps at Sizewell Emptied in July 2007
Appendix G Diptera and Other Invertebrates Recorded from Water-Traps at Sizewell Emptied in August 2007
Appendix H Invertebrates Identified from Malaise Trap in Phragmites Fen (Sample Site J) at Sizewell in 2007
Appendix I Incidental Invertebrate Records from Sizewell for 2007
Appendix J Details of the Ecology, Status and Distribution of the Species of High Invertebrate Importance Recorded at Sizewell in 2007
Appendix K Definitions of Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce Statuses

[^14]
## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Background to Development

British Energy (BE) is investigating the feasibility of nuclear new build at a range of sites within their UK land holding. Sizewell has been identified as one potential site for investigation and likely progression to EIA. AMEC Environment \& Infrastructure UK Ltd (AMEC) has been appointed to lead and co-ordinate the terrestrial ecological desk study, consultation process and survey work for the site.

### 1.2 Scheme Description

An area of land directly north of the Sizewell ' $A$ ' and ' $B$ ' Power Stations has been identified as having the potential to accommodate nuclear new build. This area, which covers $0.316 \mathrm{~km}^{2} / 31.6 \mathrm{ha}$ and has an approximate central grid reference of TM473640, is referred to in this document as 'the preliminary works area.' A boundary, including an indicative access road and construction compound (accounting for a potential further $0.336 \mathrm{~km}^{2} / 33$. 6 ha of land take) is shown in Figure 1.1. It should be noted that this initial development footprint is purely indicative, as environmental, landscape and visual, hydrological and other constraints have not yet been considered and taken into account. These would all be addressed as a matter of course as part of an EIA.

No detailed information on the exact nature of the proposed nuclear power station can be provided at this stage, but it is assumed for the present that the power station would be watercooled and that there would be a requirement for additional works associated with this in the sub-tidal zone. The range of development activities that could potentially affect biodiversity interests are typical of those associated with the construction, operation and decommissioning of any large industrial structure, albeit one that it is likely to remain in place for an extended period of time.

### 1.3 Preliminary Works Area Description and Context

The preliminary works area comprises open sheep grazed pasture, fringed by reinstated coastal dune vegetation parts of which have been planted with trees and scrub. The hydrology and pedology of the preliminary works area were irreversibly altered as a result of works associated with the building of the Sizewell 'A' and 'B' Stations (adjacent to its southern boundary), and as a result it has lost much of its botanical merit. Habitats adjoining or in close proximity to the preliminary works area are of considerable ecological interest however. These include wet meadows (and associated wetland habitats and ditch systems), dune systems, shingle plant communities and wet semi-natural woodland. The quality of the shingle, grazing marsh and associated wetland habitats have led to substantial areas of these in close proximity to the preliminary works area being designated for their ecological interest ${ }^{1}$.

[^15]The entire BE land holding at Sizewell, including the preliminary works area and the Sizewell 'B' Station (which occupies $0.36 \mathrm{~km}^{2} / 36 \mathrm{ha}$ ) extends to approximately $6.69 \mathrm{~km}^{2} / 669 \mathrm{ha}$. The dominant habitats are arable farmland and woodland/scrub, with each accounting for approximately $30 \%$ of the land area. A considerable area of coniferous and mixed woodland is present around Goose and Kenton Hills, and there are scattered blocks and linear belts of seminatural deciduous woodland throughout. Grazing marsh and heathland/acid grassland are also well represented, with both habitats covering approximately $10 \%$ of the land holding, while fen $/$ reedbed, foreshore and pasture each cover approximately $3 \%$ of the land within the estate.

### 1.4 Background

While some work on invertebrates has been conducted within the BE Estate at Sizewell, very little of this has been focused on the proposed development area.

One of the important species known to occur on the Sizewell Estate is the white admiral (Limentis camilla), and dedicated surveys of this species were commissioned by AMEC (formerly Entec UK Ltd) in 2007. Consequently, white admiral is not considered further in this report. There has not been a systematic programme of monitoring of Lepidoptera within the Sizewell Estate, although many of the other butterflies that occur are annually surveyed by Trudy Seagon on behalf of Suffolk Wildlife Trust. The Suffolk Moth Group has undertaken irregular moth trapping and searches for specific species in the past: species of particular note that have been recorded on the Sizewell Estate include the lunar yellow underwing (Noctua orbona) and the square-spotted clay (Xestia rhomboidea) which are UK BAP species, and the white-mantled wainscot (Archanara neurica) which is a Suffolk BAP species and is largely restricted in the UK to the Suffolk coast. The plume-moth Pima boisduvaliella is another localized species, which is also known to be present on the coast in proximity to the proposed preliminary works area. The larval food plant is sea pea (Lathyrus japonicus), and numbers and distribution of adults may vary in line with the amount of food plant available.

Other high profile species known to occur in the area include the Norfolk hawker dragonfly (Aeshna isosceles), which is protected under the Wildlife \& Countryside Act 1981, whilst the ant-lion (Euroleon nostras), which was rediscovered in Britain in the 1990s, is only known from Walk Barn at Sizewell and from Minsmere, a few kilometres to the north. The ant-lion is a Suffolk BAP species but has not been added to Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act or Section 41 od the NERC Act to date (it may be added with time).

Additional historical data (including an invertebrate survey for Sizewell ' C ' by Bioscan in 1991) has been reviewed. An evaluation of the species recorded in the Bioscan report has also been included.

This report therefore provides an assessment of readily available existing invertebrate records and the results of baseline survey work undertaken in 2007.
taxa and many nationally rare or scarce species. These include terrestrial and aquatic beetles (Coleoptera), flies (Diptera), moths (Lepidoptera), dragonflies (Odonata) and spiders (Araneae).

[^16]
### 1.5 Legislative Context

Current European and UK legislation concerning the protection of native invertebrates is briefly summarised below.

### 1.5.1 Wildlife \& Countryside Act (1981)

The Wildlife \& Countryside Act (1981) is the main piece of law in Britain to protect wildlife including invertebrates. Schedule 5 of the WCA covers the protection of invertebrates and various lower vertebrates, with some species receiving full protection (i.e. it is illegal to kill, injure or take these species) and others partial protection (it is illegal to take and/or sell these species). The Act also prohibits interference with places used for shelter or protection or intentionally disturbing animals occupying such places. Species afforded protection under the Wildlife and Countryside Act and recorded at Sizewell in 2007 are specifically discussed in the text of this report.

The Act also provides for the notification of Sites of Special Scientific Special Interest (SSSIs) based on their flora, fauna or geological or physiographical features by the county agencies. Under the WCA, Natural England has responsibility for identifying and protecting Sites of Special Scientific Interest which gives legal protection to the best sites for nature conservation in Britain.

A total of 52 invertebrates were originally listed on the WCA (1981) of which the butterflies (25 species) and moths ( 6 species) were the largest taxonomic groups covered. There are statutory five-yearly reviews of Schedule 5 and these have resulted in invertebrates being added and removed and their protected status being altered.

### 1.5.2 Countryside and Rights of Way Act (CRoW) (2000)

The CRoW Act (2000) amended the Wildlife and Countryside Act through the inclusion of a provision for the strengthening of species protection ${ }^{2}$ and a legal basis for the Biodiversity Action Plan. One consequence of this was the requirement for the listing of species and habitats considered to be of principal importance for the conservation of biodiversity in the UK. A list was published by Defra in 2002 under Section 74 of the Act. This list has recently been superceded as a result of the requirements of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (2006).

### 1.5.3 Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (2006)

The Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs was required under Section 41(1) of the NERC Act 2006 to prepare a list of the species and habitats considered to be of principal importance for the purpose of conserving biodiversity in England. Under Section 41 of the act consultation was required with Natural England in determining the species and habitats to appear on the list and also to take steps (where they are reasonably practicable), and promote the taking of steps by others, to further the conservation of the habitats and species on the list. The Section 41 list has recently been published. It replaces the list published by Defra in 2002 under Section 74 of the Countryside and Rights of Way (CRoW) Act 2000. Planning Policy Statement 9 (PPS9) refers to the steps that local authorities should take through the

[^17]planning process in relation to species and habitats of principal importance. The new list of species of principal importance is found at http://www.defra.gov.uk/wildlife-countryside/biodiversity/sect41-nerc.htm

### 1.5.4 Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora

The Habitats Regulations 1994 were intended to implement the Habitats Directive (given its full title above). The Regulations include a number of separate elements. Most relevant for invertebrates are the specific provisions for strictly protecting rare European species (listed in Annex IV of the Regulations) and various measures to implement Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) and to protect these for nature conservation (implementation of Special Protection Areas for birds will also benefit invertebrates).

### 1.5.5 Survey Requirements

In view of the legislation summarised above, it is necessary to consider the likelihood of occurrence and (in some instances) the requirement to survey for species included on Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act and Annex IV of the Habitats Regulations. Species and habitats listed under Section 41 of the NERC Act will also need to be identified and potentially surveyed in the context of proposed new build. Surveys will be used to identify the potential for reckless disturbance of protected animal species, and destruction or damage to the structures used by them for shelter or protection. It will provide the basis, with regard to Schedule 5 and Section 41 Species, upon which mitigation, enhancement and compensation measures can be developed.

### 1.6 Purpose of Survey Work

The invertebrate surveys concentrated on the preliminary works area, the indicative access route and the indicative position of the construction compounds. Given the likely constraint to development resulting from the position of the Sizewell Marshes SSSI (as well as sites of European importance for nature conservation) and the built plant it was considered that there was unlikely to be a great deal of scope for change in the general location of the main proposed build area. The exact route of the access track and the construction compounds were considered subject to greater change (the latter have indeed changed in terms of position and extent since the surveys were commissioned). The aim of the survey work was therefore to obtain representative baseline data from habitats that were likely to be subject to land take as a result of development and to identify any areas within the initial footprint of particular importance for invertebrates. Survey of a number of sites within a 200 m perimeter around the initial footprint was also undertaken for context. Additional baseline survey is likely to be required once hydrological work has established areas of key sensitivity in the Sizewell Marshes: to form a baseline for monitoring.

The invertebrate sampling sites were selected by the surveyor and are indicated on Figure 1.2. The location of the Sizewell Marshes SSSI and other statutorily protected sites of nature conservation importance are shown on Figure 1.3. Survey work was undertaken between June and September 2007.

[^18]



## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Desk Study

A number of sources of information have been used to provide context and background for interpreting the results of the 2007 survey work. The major sources that have been identified and used to date are;

- Sizewell Ecological Surveys (in connection with Sizewell 'C') conducted by Bioscan (UK) Ltd. Report produced in May 1991;
- Sizewell Land Management Annual Reviews 1996-2007 compiled by Suffolk Wildlife Trust \& ADAS;
- Aquatic invertebrate surveys of Sizewell Belts SSSI in 1987 \& 1988 undertaken by the Nature Conservancy Council;
- Survey reports on the ant-lion Euroleon nostras which cover the Sizewell populations.

The invertebrate surveys undertaken by Bioscan (UK) Ltd included several taxonomic groups but appeared to concentrate particularly on spiders and moths. Some of the arguments for excluding taxa from the survey (for example, most Diptera are very poorly known p.11) and the reasons for not using some methods (such as pitfall traps because of the potential for human disturbance on the relatively undisturbed Sizewell Belts) are questionable. Some taxa (notably the aculeate Hymenoptera which would be expected to be important on Sandlings habitats) are not mentioned. Seven Red Data Book and 31 Notable ( $=$ Nationally Scarce) species were recorded in the year-long survey conducted by Bioscan and details of these have been summarised in Table 7.1. Some of the Red Data Book and Notable species listed by Bioscan as such have since been downgraded. The results appear to incorporate the records of the aquatic invertebrate surveys undertaken on Sizewell Belts by Drake (1989a, 1989b) (see below).

The Sizewell Land Management Annual Reviews for 1996-2007 compiled by Suffolk Wildlife Trust \& ADAS contain records of invertebrates recorded on the Sizewell Estate. Moth trapping has been undertaken in most years and the reports contain lists of the species recorded annually. Most of the additional invertebrates mentioned belong to popular groups such as the Rhopalocera (butterflies), Odonata or Orthoptera or mention distinctive species such as the antlion (Euroleon nostras. One species protected under the Wildlife \& Countryside Act 1981 has been recorded in most years since 1999 (the Norfolk hawker dragonfly Aeshna isosceles), whilst one Red Data Book, eighteen Nationally Scarce species and one Suffolk BAP species (the antlion Euroleon nostras) are also mentioned in the annual reports. Two insects which are new arrivals and may be of conservation importance are also mentioned. Table 7.2 lists these species.

The Nature Conservancy Council funded aquatic invertebrate surveys of coastal grazing marshes in Suffolk in the late 1980s and this included two surveys on the Sizewell Belts (Drake 1989a, 1989b). One Red Data Book and seven Nationally Scarce species were recorded on these surveys and details of these have been summarised in Table 7.3.

[^19]The ant-lion (Euroleon nostras) was rediscovered in Britain in 1994 (Mendel 1996, Plant 1999) from the Minsmere area of Suffolk. The rediscovery is remarkable because this insect is large, distinctive and is clearly breeding in the Suffolk Sandlings. The rediscovery prompted English Nature and the RSPB to fund surveys for this species (Plant 1998, 1999) which were mainly centred on Minsmere. In 2003 however, ant-lions were found at Walk Barn on the edge of Dunwich Forest-Goose Hill, Sizewell and they have been monitored since by Suffolk Wildlife Trust (Sizewell Land Management Annual Review 2003-2004). The number of larval pits has fluctuated from over 900 in 2004 to 199 in 2006. The ant-lion is a Suffolk BAP species and Plant (1999) has suggested Red Data Book 2 (Vulnerable) status for this species.

Invertebrate records for Minsmere RSPB reserve have been supplied by the RSPB. The reserve has a far more detailed recorded history than Sizewell. Some of the species of high nature conservation value recorded at Sizewell have also been recorded at Minsmere including the antlion (Euroleon nostras), Norfolk hawker (Aeshna isosceles), small red-eyed damselfly (Erythromma viridulum), white admiral butterfly (Limentis camilla) and the plume-moth Pima boisduvaliella. Out of a total of 754 moths recorded for the reserve in 2006, ten were Red Data Book and 44 Nationally Scarce.

No specific surveys of the protected Norfolk hawker (Aeshna isosceles) appear to have been undertaken at Sizewell or at Minsmere where it is thought to breed. Surprisingly, very few specific studies of this species appear to have been undertaken anywhere throughout its very limited range (Leyshon \& Moore 1993, Southwood et al., 2005) but the former reference covers marshes on the River Waveney near Lowestoft and may be of some relevance.

Invertebrate records for Sizewell Belts SSSI and Leiston Common have been requested from the National Biodiversity Network website but have not been supplied for reasons which are unclear.

Several other invertebrate records for Sizewell have been obtained by AMEC as part of a wide ranging desk study which has included the review of a considerable number of ecological reports (many associated with the Sizewell 'C' Application). The veracity of some of these records is questionable, and many locations are unclear, but they have nevertheless been summarised here. Records include the ant-lion (Euroleon nostras) on the section of coast between Sizewell Hall and Thorpeness Cliffs and another labelled Sizewell with an incorrect grid reference (TM4786193). Other significant records include: the centipede Lithobius lapidicola; the micromoths Melissoblaptes zelleri (pRDB3) and Ancylis upupana; four macromoths (the square-spotted clay (Xestia rhomboidea), lunar yellow underwing (Noctua orbona), marbled clover (Heliolithis viriplaca) and shaded fan-foot (Herminia tarsicrinalis); two soldierflies (Odontomyia argentata and O.ornata); and the bee-wolf (Philanthus triangulum). The latter (a powerfully-built solitary wasp which predates other wasps and bees) has spread dramatically in Britain in the last twenty years or so and can longer be considered of Red Data Book status.

The silver-studded blue (Plebejus argus) was mentioned to the surveyor by a local person as occurring on Sizewell Beach by Sizewell 'A' but this species is not mentioned in the Sizewell Land Management Annual Reviews or other published sources consulted, and this record is therefore doubtful. Silver-studded blue species is a Suffolk BAP species, and monitoring for

[^20]this species occurs at Minsmere. Two other rare butterflies have been recorded at Minsmere (the swallowtail Papilio machaon britannicus and the Queen of Spain fritillary Issoria lathonius) but these are (very) unlikely to occur at Sizewell. The (local) white-letter hairstreak (Satyrium w-album) however, occurs on the Sizewell Estate (Carl Powell, Suffolk Wildlife Trust, pers comm.).

Some sources of information have not been consulted or contacted at this stage. These include the Suffolk Naturalist's Society Transactions and recorders for various groups. A spider survey report on Sizewell (Harvey 1990) and some early general references such as Morley (1899, 1915) have not been seen.

### 2.2 Field Surveys

Invertebrates were sampled from a total of twelve sample sites labelled A-L. Table 7.4 provides details of the invertebrate sample locations whilst Figure 1.2 shows the locations in relation to the preliminary works area, indicative access route and construction compounds. The sampling methods employed at each sample site varied according to circumstances such as possibility of human interference and the presence of sufficient vegetation in which to hide traps. The use of passive traps (such as Malaise traps - see section 2.2.4) was not an option on the beach although these were able to be deployed in woodlands and planted-up sites on the preliminary works area. Table 7.5 provides details of the sampling methods employed at each of the sampling sites. The traps and samples were emptied or taken at monthly intervals. Descriptions of the different sampling methods used are given below.

### 2.2.1 Pitfall Traps

Pitfall traps comprising plastic drinking cups were placed in holes in the ground in rows of ten at nine locations giving a possible maximum of 90 pitfall traps per visit. Pitfall traps are used to sample ground-living invertebrates such as wolf spiders, millipedes, woodlice, ground beetles and rove beetles. Antifreeze (ethylene glycol) was added as a preservative. The pitfalls were put down in June 2007 and emptied in July, August and September. Consequently the results represent a maximum of 270 traps, but there were some losses, most notably due to the flooding and heavy rainfall in June and July, particularly on the imported soil of the preliminary works area (notably at Sample Site C). On emptying the traps the contents of each transect of ten traps were pooled into a single pot.

### 2.2.2 Sweep Netting

Sweep netting was undertaken using a 16 inch diameter net ${ }^{4}$ mounted on a three-foot angling pole. Sweep netting was concentrated on five sampling sites on Sizewell beach on each visit and was undertaken for a period of approximately ten minutes on each visit. The five sites varied with each visit partly because of the problem of re-locating the exact sampling sites on subsequent visits and in order to cover as much of the beach as possible. The sweep net was passed through low-lying vegetation and over bare or partly vegetated ground. Sweep netting is an excellent means of obtaining low-flying insects and phytophagous insects feeding on herb or shrub layer vegetation. Diptera dominated the sweep net samples with Hymenoptera also frequent along with plant-feeding or active beetles and bugs etc. Target invertebrates within the swept material were selectively removed from the net using an aspirator (or 'pooter'). Sweep

[^21][^22]netting was only employed on the shore (low dunes, shingle, marram communities, etc) because passive trapping methods could not be employed here due to heavy public usage. Five timed sweep net samples were taken on each monthly visit (excluding August).

### 2.2.3 Vacuum Sampling

A portable garden vacuum (Ryobi PBV-30) with a muslin bag inserted into the vacuum tube and held in place with a thick rubber band was used at each of the same locations as the sweep net locations on three occasions. Each vacuum sample was timed at three minutes and was used to sample the vegetation and partly bare ground at each site including along the pitfall transects and around each of the water traps. The muslin bag was emptied into a sweep net and material selectively removed with an aspirator. Vacuums provide an excellent means of sampling invertebrates associated with low-growing vegetation and the diurnal ground invertebrate fauna. Vacuum sampling was only employed on the shore (low dunes, shingle, marram communities, etc) because passive trapping methods could not be employed here due to heavy public usage. Five timed vacuum samples were taken on each monthly visit (excluding August).

### 2.2.4 Water Traps

The water traps on this survey comprised yellow plastic washing-up bowls half-filled with tap water. These were placed on the ground at nine of the sample sites. A small amount of detergent was added to each water trap to reduce the tension of the surface film and formalin was added ( $5-10 \%$ volume) as a preservative. Yellow water traps are very effective at trapping flying insects ${ }^{5}$ such as flower visiting species (Disney et al., 1982, Kirk 1984). In order of abundance trapped material include Diptera (many families), Hymenoptera (including aculeates such as bees, wasps and ants), Hemiptera (especially Auchenorrhyncha), Coleoptera (such as pollen beetles and flea beetles) and various minor invertebrate orders such as Orthoptera (crickets and grasshoppers). The water traps were emptied on each visit and the material was placed in alcohol for later sorting and identification. Some water traps were clearly affected by the heavy rainfall experienced in June and July 2007.

### 2.2.5 Malaise Traps

Malaise traps comprise large tent-like structures that act on the flight-interception model. Flying insects, on encountering the vertical screen of mesh, try to fly over the obstacle and in doing so follow the sloping roof and eventually end up in a collecting bottle in the top corner of the trap. Malaise traps are large and conspicuous and are therefore prone to human interference. They are also expensive, relatively fragile and prone to wind damage when sited in exposed locations such as coastal areas. Malaise traps work best on flight-lines such as woodland paths or woodland edges. Because of these potential problems only limited use of these was made on the survey, and they were partly used as 'insurance' in case any of the other sampling methods failed. The large amount of material collected by Malaise traps meant that only a relatively small amount of material has been examined.

### 2.2.6 Taxonomic Coverage

The taxonomic groups identified varied with the sampling methods. For example, all Coleoptera were identified from all the pitfall traps and from most of the water traps and

[^23]vacuum samples whilst the Araneae (spiders), Orthoptera (grasshoppers and allies), Blattodea (cockroaches), various other minor insect groups, Auchenorhyncha (leafhoppers), Heteroptera (true-bugs), Rhopalocera (butterflies), Coleoptera (beetles), selected Diptera (true-flies) and all aculeate Hymenoptera (bees, wasps and ants) were identified from the sweep and vacuum samples. A smaller number of taxa were identified from the water traps (mostly Odonata, Orthoptera, other minor groups, selected Diptera and aculeate Hymenoptera).

### 2.3 Personnel

Andy Godfrey undertook all the management and organisation of the work, the field sampling and reporting as well as the identification of minor insect groups, some Hemiptera, Rhopalocera, some Diptera and aculeate Hymenoptera. Andy was formerly employed as an Entomologist with the Nature Conservancy Council and has specialised in studying invertebrates whilst based in various museums and for ecological consultancies. Since 1999 Andy has worked solely as an entomologist working on conservation and development projects. Andy's particular expertise is with the Diptera which, because they are species-rich (around 7,000 British species), abundant and identifiable make them one of the most suitable group for ecological surveys. Hymenoptera with similar species-richness are much more difficult to identify (except the species-poor aculeates) and all other taxonomic groups (such as the Coleoptera and Lepidoptera) include far fewer species.

Other specialists undertook the sorting and identification of other taxonomic groups as follows:

- Araneae (Spiders): Dr Jan Woodward;
- Auchenorrhyncha (Froghoppers, leafhoppers): Dr Jan Woodward;
- Heteroptera (True-bugs): Dr Jan Woodward (Vacuum and water trap samples). Andy Godfrey (sweep net and water trap samples: selected species only);
- Coleoptera (Beetles): Mike Denton.

In addition, Harry Beaumont undertook the identification of a few micromoths and Dr Adrian Legg (Bournemouth Museum) identified the pseudoscorpions from the vacuum samples.

### 2.4 Limitations of the Survey

The remit of the work did not include sampling for aquatic invertebrates on Sizewell Belts SSSI for reasons given above.

The range of sampling methods employed covered the most sensitive habitats and most of the major and several minor taxonomic groups. A relatively large amount of invertebrate material was collected. Consequently, some water trap (mostly from September 2007) and most of the Malaise trap material has not been identified (this will be retained indefinitely by the surveyor and identified at a later date if required). No moth trapping was undertaken but this group is surveyed each year by Suffolk Moth Group) and is probably the best known invertebrate group on the Sizewell Estate.

As mentioned above heavy rain and flooding affected some passive traps (notably water traps and pitfall traps) and must also have deleteriously affected the activity of many invertebrates especially flying insects and warmth-loving species in June and July.

[^24]The survey work was commissioned in June 2007 and whilst invertebrate surveys commenced in that month, there was no return from passive sampling methods such as pitfall traps, water traps and Malaise traps until July 2008. Consequently, the period from April to June was either not surveyed (April to the third week in June) or only partially surveyed (approximately from the third week of June onwards). Woodland invertebrates especially saproxylic Coleoptera and Diptera are most active in May and June and consequently these could, arguably, have been under-sampled.

[^25]
## 3. Results

### 3.1 Desk Study

One invertebrate (the Norfolk hawker Aeshna isosceles) protected under the Wildlife \& Countryside Act (1981) has been recorded on the reserve and has been present in most recent years according to the Sizewell Land Management Annual Reviews. This species is thought to breed at Minsmere (based on the RSPB invertebrate records) and may well be breeding in the Sizewell Marshes.

One Suffolk BAP species the ant-lion (or Suffolk ant-lion) (Euroleon nostras) has a significant population on the estate. Red Data Book 2 status has been suggested for this recently rediscovered species. A second Suffolk BAP species, the silver-studded blue (Plebejus argus) may occur at Sizewell, but confirmed records have not been seen by the author, and this seems dubious.

Ten Red Data Book species appear to have been previously recorded on the Sizewell Estate, including three macro-moths (the white-mantled wainscot Archanara neurica, marbled clover Heliolithis viriplaca and shaded fan-foot Herminia tarsicrinilis), three pyralid moths Melissoblaptes zelleri, Nyctegris lineana and Pediasia fascelinina and one plume moth Pima boisduvaliella, two soldierflies (Odontomyia argentata and O. ornata) and a spider (Clubiona frisia). The white-mantled wainscot is associated with reedbeds and reed-fringed ditches near the coast and the larva feeds on Phragmites. It is currently confined in Britain to a 15 km stretch of coastal Suffolk from Thorpeness to Southwold, including Sizewell and Minsmere. The marbled clover (Heliolithis viriplaca) occurs mainly in open Breckland, flowery chalk downland, sand or shingle beaches etc and the larvae feed on a wide variety of unrelated low plants whilst the shaded fan-foot (Herminia tarsicrinilis) occurs in bramble (Rubus fruticosus agg. ) thickets and dense bramble ground cover amongst oaks and birches in ancient woodland and in more shrubby areas and the larvae probably feed in leaf litter below bramble.

Of the pyralid moths, Melissoblaptes zelleri occurs in sandhills and the larvae feed on the moss Brachythecium albicans; Nyctegris lineana is very local on flat sandy ground behind coastal sandhills and on sandy shingle in south-east England and the larva may feed on clover (Trifolium spp.) and other plants; whilst Pediasia fascelinella is extremely local on the sandhills on the coasts of Lincolnshire, Norfolk, Suffolk, Essex and south Devon (and the larva feed on dune grasses). The plume moth Pima boisduvaliella is a very local species found on coastal sandhills from Kent to Norfolk and in Lancashire where the larva feed on kidney vetch (Anthyllis vulneraria), bird's-foot trefoil (Lotus corniculatus), restharrow (Ononis spinosa) and other low plants. The two soldierflies (Odontomyia argentata and O. ornata) are rare species typically associated with coastal grazing marshes. The spider Clubiona frisia occurs in marram tussocks on sand dunes and appears to be restricted in Britain to Norfolk and Suffolk (there are older records for other counties). The bee-wolf (Philanthus triangulum) still has Red Data Book status but this is no longer appropriate since this species has dramatically increased in frequency and spread in range.

A total of forty-five Nationally Scarce (= Notable) species have been previously recorded from the Sizewell Estate (Tables 7.1-7.3)[the square-spotted clay Xestia rhomboidea and lunar yellow

[^26]underwing Noctua orbona should be added to this list based on information provided by the Suffolk Biological Records Centre. Seventeen of these are moths, fourteen are beetles (all but one of which are aquatic) and ten are true-flies with single Nationally Scarce spider, dragonfly, bush-cricket and native cockroach species. These figures simply reflect the greater effort on moth recording followed by water-beetles and then aquatic soldierflies in Sizewell Belts.

The desk study records clearly emphasise the importance of the coastal habitats, especially the sand-dunes and coastal shingle and the Sizewell Marshes. Only one rare species is actually clearly associated with the important Suffolk Sandlings heath (excluding the duneland species) and this is the ant-lion. Some important species and assemblages from groups such as the terrestrial beetles and the bees, wasps and ants are likely to be present on the areas of heath and in associated woodland, but very few records were available for these (with the possible exception of the weevil Apion affine which has been recorded on Leiston Common) to inform this report. The silver-studded blue butterfly (Plebejus argus) which has partial protection under the Wildlife \& Countryside Act (1981) and is a Suffolk BAP species is a characteristic heathland species and is found at Minsmere, but no there are no confirmed records for Sizewell. It is highly likely that the local county recorders for groups such as beetles and aculeate Hymenoptera will have records for Sizewell, but they have not been contacted to date.

### 3.2 Field Surveys

### 3.2.1 Araneae (Spiders)

The spiders identified from the vacuum samples from Sizewell coastal (or shore) habitats (Sample Sites La-Lj) are listed in Appendix A. No Red Data Book or Nationally Scarce species were recorded. The absence of the RDB3 Clubiona frisia which has already recorded on the Sizewell dunes may be due to the speed with which this species can elude capture and the fact that it is not easily sampled with a portable vacuum. In total, only twelve spider species were recorded from the fifteen samples which would appear to represent low species richness. It is possible that larger spider species were missed by the vacuum and that there was bias on the part of the surveyor in taking spiders because when collected in pooters they will predate insects in the same sample and are therefore often best sampled separately.

Spiders were not identified from the pitfall trap material because the flooding and heavy rain in June and July meant that soft-bodied invertebrates would have decomposed first and the contents suggested this.

### 3.2.2 Smaller Insect Orders

Selected taxa from the smaller invertebrate groups identified from the coastal habitats (La-j) by vacuum sampling are listed in Appendix E. These include the Mollusca (snails), Isopoda (woodlice), Opiliones (harvestmen), Blattoidea (cockroaches) and Orthoptera (grasshoppers and crickets). Thirteen taxa were identified and these included the Nationally Scarce lesser cockroach (Ectobius panzeri) as well as the local lesser marsh grasshopper (Chorthippus albomarginatus) which has expanded its range considerably in the last two decades or so.

The Odonata were recorded by direct observation and from individuals caught in the water traps. The latter are listed in Appendices $6 \& 7$ and include the yellow-veined darter (Sympetrum flaveolum), which until recently was a vagrant species in Britain but which has now

[^27]started breeding and spreading here. The local lesser marsh grasshopper mentioned above was also recorded in some of the water traps in August (Appendix G).

Some incidental records of species belonging to the smaller invertebrate groups are also listed in Appendix I. These include the ant-lion (Euroleon nostras) and the Norfolk hawker (Aeshna isosceles). The latter was frequent at times near Sample Site F where the rides intersect in Goose Hill.

Further details of the Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species recorded are provided in Appendix J.

### 3.2.3 Hemiptera (Auchenorrhyncha and Heteroptera)

The Auchenorrhyncha (leafhoppers) and Heteroptera (true-bugs) identified from the vacuum from the Sizewell coastal habitats (Sample Sites La-j) are listed in Appendix A. No Red Data Book or Nationally Scarce leafhoppers were recorded and only one Nationally Scarce bug (Drymus latus). The bug has unclear habitat requirements but has been found in grassland, in derelict arable land on chalk, in dense moss on chalk, at the base of cliff, on waste ground and in woods (Kirby 1992). In total, twenty-four Auchenorrhyncha and twenty-two Heteroptera were recorded from the fifteen vacuum samples. The results for these groups suggest that the habitats are relatively species-rich but appear to support few rare or uncommon species. Further details of the Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species recorded are provided in Appendix J.

### 3.2.4 Coleoptera (Beetles)

The beetles identified from the pitfall traps are listed in Appendix B. One hundred and fifty-six species were recorded from these including five Nationally Scarce species. The number of Nationally Scarce species would appear to be low and may reflect the relative unimportance of the preliminary works area and the conifer plantations along the proposed access route and construction area for Coleoptera. Prior to the survey, rare or uncommon species, might have been expected on the Suffolk Sandlings heathland and woodland habitats. It is possible that the poor weather and/or absence of survey earlier in the season may also have contributed to the poorer than expected results.

The beetles identified from the vacuum samples from the coastal habitats at Sizewell (Sample Sites La-Lj) are listed in Appendix C. Two Red Data Book and three Nationally Scarce species were recorded. The two Red Data Book species are the lathridiid Melanophthalma curticollis and the weevil Apion rubiginosum. The former appears to have been found at the roots of plants and under vegetable debris on light soils, both inland and on coastal sand dunes whilst the latter occurs on disturbed ground, grassland and coastal shingle where it feeds on sheep's sorrel (Rumex acetosella)(Hyman \& Parsons (1994a, 1994b).

The beetles identified from the water trap samples collected from Sizewell in July 2007 are listed in Appendix D. The water traps were mainly used to sample Diptera, aculeate Hymenoptera and other flying insects and consequently the Coleoptera were not a target group for this method. However, 67 species were recorded in one month which provided a better than expected result. Three Nationally Scarce species were recorded.

Several common to local and one Nationally Scarce beetle (Silus ruficollis) were recorded in the Malaise trap in the Phragmites fen (Sample Site J).

[^28]Further details of the Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species recorded are provided in Appendix J.

### 3.2.5 Lepidoptera (Moths and Butterflies)

Butterflies and moths were recorded in the field or from the water traps and Malaise traps and they are listed in the appropriate appendices (Appendices 5-8). The grayling (Hipparchia semele) which is a localised butterfly in Britain was reasonably frequent.

Lepidoptera identified from the Malaise trap in the Phragmites fen to the east of the preliminary works area are listed in Appendix H. The Red Data Book 3 white-mantled wainscot (Archanara neurica) was recorded in one sample (Appendix H).

### 3.2.6 Diptera (True-flies)

Selected Diptera identified from the coastal habitats (La-j) by vacuum sampling are listed in Appendix E. A total of 90 Diptera taxa have been identified and these include two Red Data Book and eight Nationally Scarce species. The Red Data Book species are two grass-feeding species, Opomyza punctella and Tricimba brachyptera. The former has been recorded in grassland mostly in northern England and Scotland and the larvae probably develop in grasses. The latter also probably develops in grasses and is mainly known from the sandy breck grassland in Suffolk although it has been found more recently on ericaceous heathlands in Nottinghamshire by the surveyor. The Diptera results would seem to suggest that the dunes and coastal shingle are more important for this group than for Araneae, Hemiptera or Coleoptera.
Selected Diptera identified from the water traps emptied in July 2007 are listed in Appendix F. One hundred and twenty-two species have been identified and these include two Red Data Book species (the horsefly Hybomitra ciureai and the minute and obscure Meonura neglecta), five Nationally Scarce species and another species only described as new to science in 2007 (Chyromya britannica). The horsefly Hybomitra ciureai is a rare south-eastern species found on and near the coast, mostly in marshes, although its precise habitat is far from known. Meonura neglecta is only known from six British localities (according to Falk \& Ismay in prep.) but three of these are in Suffolk. The adult habitat is unknown but is probably heathland or associated broadleaved woodland.

Selected Diptera identified from the water traps emptied in August 2007 are listed in Appendix G. A total of 335 records are given in Appendix G including two Nationally Scarce species (Dolichopus acuticornis and Lispe uliginosa).
Selected Diptera identified from the Malaise trap in the Phragmites fen to the east of the preliminary works area are listed in Appendix H. Two large samples have been worked through and these have produced some outstanding records. These include six Red Data Book species (Prionocera subserricornis, Odontomyia ornata, Rhagio strigosus, Hybomitra ciureai, Antichaeta brevipennis and Stenomicra delicatula), thirteen Nationally Scarce species and one species (Chyromya brittanica) only described as new to science in 2007. An additional rare species (added to the British list in 2006 and only known from one other locality) needs to be confirmed.

Details of the ecology, status and distribution of these species are provided in Appendix J.

[^29]
### 3.2.7 Aculeate Hymenoptera (Bees, wasps and ants)

Few aculeate Hymenoptera were taken from the coastal habitats (La-j) by vacuum sampling. The seven species recorded are listed in Appendix E. No Red Data Book or Nationally Scarce species were recorded.

The aculeate Hymenoptera identified from the water traps emptied in July 2007 are listed in Appendix F. A total of 43 species have been identified including only one Nationally Scarce species. The paucity of rare and uncommon species is perhaps surprising for a sandy area with a good mosaic of habitats ranging from heathland, dry and wet woodlands, plantations and marsh.

Selected Diptera identified from the water traps emptied in August 2007 are listed in Appendix G. The very rare bethylid Pseudisobrachium subcyaneum was recorded along with the Nationally Scarce Dolichovespula media. The Bethylidae have not been given Red Data Book, Nationally Scarce or other statuses unlike most other aculeate Hymenoptera. Pseudisobrachium subcyaneum has only been recorded from four UK sites and it is associated with certain ant species (Perkins 1976).

Hymenoptera identified from the Malaise trap in the Phragmites fen to the east of the preliminary works area are listed in Appendix H. One parasitic wasp (Trigonalis hahni) was recorded in one of the samples which is regarded as very rare (Gauld \& Bolton 1996). These are parasitoids of Hymenoptera or Diptera larvae.

Further details of the Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species recorded are provided in Appendix J.
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## 4. Discussion

### 4.1 Discussion of Bioscan (1991) records

Some of the records collected by Bioscan (1991) can be located within the Sizewell Estate. However, there are also limitations to the extent to which the data provided can be interpreted. In Section 4.2.2.1 Bioscan refer to where some Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species were found (if there was only one record the site is often mentioned, but if there are several, then the sites are usually not mentioned). Figure 4.3 in Bioscan (1991) shows the general location of Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species. Most of the current preliminary works area is outside of the survey area investigated by Bioscan with the exception of what has been termed the eastern bund in this report and which they label as DU7. This comprises the artificial sandy bund or bank planted with native and cultivated trees and shrubs which separates the bulk of the preliminary works area from Sizewell beach. According to Bioscan (ibid) they recorded two Red Data Book and two Nationally Scarce species from this area. The spiders Clubiona frisia (RDB3) and Pelecopsis nemoralis (which is no longer Nationally Scarce) are therefore the only species in the Bioscan report that can be definitely attributed to the preliminary works area. Clubiona frisia is a genuine rarity occurring in marram tussocks on sand dunes only on parts of the Norfolk and Suffolk coasts in Britain (Harvey et al., 2002 provide a recent distribution map). It was not found on the current survey even though spiders were collected with a vacuum sampler from the fore-dunes and have been identified ${ }^{6}$.

No other existing records could be attributed to the preliminary works area. Consequently, there are very few existing invertebrate records that relate to this area and any invertebrate assessment must be based on the current survey.

The survey conducted by Bioscan (1991) is of relatively limited use in assessing the invertebrate value of the area proposed for construction compounds and access road. This is because the Bioscan survey concentrated on the Sizewell Belts and related drainage ditches extending towards Minsmere. Their records of Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species however increase where the Sizewell Belts and Goose Hill converge at the footbridge at TM4735264528 and a couple of sites in Drake (1989a) also relate to this area.

The existing records indicate that Sizewell Belts and other associated grazing marshes as well as the dunes are of high invertebrate value. Few of the records however can be related to the proximity of Sandlings heathland or associated woodland habitat which is surprising given the potential value of these habitats to support rare or uncommon Coleoptera or aculeate Hymenoptera.
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### 4.2 The Apparent Importance of the Preliminary Works Area to Invertebrates

This assessment is based largely on the invertebrates recorded during the present survey. A total of seven Nationally Scarce invertebrates were recorded from preliminary works area (i.e. from Sample Sites A-D) in 2007 (Table 7.5). In addition, the yellow-veined darter (Sympetrum flaveolum) and a rare bethylid wasp (Pseudisobrachium subcyaneum) were also recorded. The results in Table 7.5 seem to indicate that the northern bund supports the greatest number of species of high nature conservation value which might be related to the fact that the sample site was located on the relatively open and south facing slope of the bund and therefore received good sunlight. The loss of some samples to flooding and rain and the fact that one sample couldn't be allocated precisely to Sample Site C or D makes the figures harder to interpret. Overall, however, the results would appear to suggest that the preliminary works area is not of high nature conservation value for invertebrates, particularly when the amount of sampling has been taken into account.

The Malaise trap samples at Sample Sites A and C which have not been examined for invertebrates will almost certainly reveal rare and uncommon species possibly either associated with sand-dunes (in the case of Sample Site A) or with carr woodland (in the case of Sample Site C). The two narrow riparian strips of carr which are aligned north-south within the centre of the preliminary works area are likely to be of invertebrate value despite the results from the pitfall and water traps and the fact that standing or flowing water is absent. These strips would appear to be remnants of the former drainage ditches that occurred in this area (according to www.old-maps.co.uk) and which would have been part of the Sizewell Belts system and consequently of high nature conservation value. Similarly, the more open areas on the eastern bund are likely to support dune or other coastal species (such as Clubiona frisia recorded by Bioscan 1991) which to some extent may encroach into this area either permanently or temporarily from adjoining dune habitat of high value.

It is possible that the heavy rain and flooding deleteriously affected the pitfall and water traps in 2007 as well as the activity of invertebrates and this may partly reflect the paucity of rare and uncommon species recorded. Sample Site I was certainly affected this way and this partly explains the poor results from this Sample Site (the habitat, on the edge of young plantation and a hay field was not exceptional).

Two Suffolk BAP species, the ant-lion (Euroleon nostras) and the silver-studded blue (Plebejus argus) could conceivably occur within the preliminary works area as might the protected Norfolk hawker (Aeshna isosceles).

The current grass fields and fringing coniferous plantation within the preliminary works area are of very limited nature conservation value as regards invertebrates, whilst the surrounding manmade bunds which have been planted with a variety of native and cultivated trees and shrubs are of more value but not as much as the coastal grazing marsh or sand-hill habitats they replaced.

### 4.3 The Apparent Importance of the Surrounding Areas to Invertebrates

A number of areas within the Sizewell Estate are clearly of high nature conservation value for invertebrates. The Sizewell Marshes clearly supports a large number of rare and uncommon

[^32]species especially moths, water beetles and soldier-flies (Bioscan 1991, Drake 1989a, 1989b). The Malaise trap located at Sample Site J falls within the Sizewell Belts and produced the largest number of rare and uncommon species identified from any of the sample sites (these taxa have been highlighted in Appendix H). Further study may be required when the precise footprint of the new power station is known and potential hydrological impacts have been modelled, but it would appear that the drainage ditches and reedbeds are of considerable nature conservation value for invertebrates and survey and monitoring will be required.

The dunes and coastal shingle along the coastal strip in front of and north of the existing power stations are also of high invertebrate value as indicated in this survey. The records of Bioscan (1991) and some of the moth records mentioned in the Sizewell Land Management Annual Reviews confirm this, although the latter often just mention 'Sizewell beach' and cannot be precisely located. Rare and uncommon invertebrate taxa recorded from the dunes, shingle and associated habitat on Sizewell beach in 2007 are summarised in Table 7.7. In all, seventeen rare and uncommon species were recorded. Sample Sites La-e may actually fall within the preliminary works area as defined in Figure 1.1 which includes part of the beach within this area. The reason for the variation in numbers of rare and uncommon species between individual samples is unclear but the higher values for Li and Lj may reflect greater distance from the carpark and the reduced impacts of human trampling, eutrophication from dog faeces, dumping of garden waste etc.

Kenton Hills and Goose Hill appear to be of reasonable nature conservation value for invertebrates given that much of this area is coniferous plantation. The rare and uncommon species recorded here in 2007 are summarised in Table 7.8. The open area at the intersection of the ride network at Sample Site F proved to be the most species-rich in terms of rare and uncommon species. This is followed by Turf Pits (Sample Site G) which comprises alder and sallow carr. Nursery Covert (Sample Site H) and the triangular-shaped area of young plantation at Sample Site E proved to be poor in terms of rare and uncommon species and this is no doubt related to the domination of these areas by conifers. Norfolk hawker (Aeshna isosceles) was recorded frequently at Sample Site F in 2007. North of this area is Walk Barn where the antlion has known populations. The location of the ant lion colony should be appropriately considered when planning the location of the construction compounds.

Outside of the proposed development areas, the Sizewell area is of high invertebrate value particularly along the coast extending north to Minsmere (Bioscan 1991), on the grazing marshes between Sizewell and Minsmere (surveyed by Drake 1989b) and at Minsmere itself (RSPB records).

The remit of the survey excluded aquatic invertebrates from the Sizewell Belts. Terrestrial invertebrates were also not sampled from here with the exception of the Malaise trap located in reedbeds at Sample Site J (placed here because it was in the perimeter zone around the preliminary works area). Once the potential hydrological effects of and the footprint of the access road and power station are known, then surveys of this area are strongly recommended.

### 4.4 Longevity of Baseline

A period of about five years between large-scale surveys represents an ideal survey spacing, with annual monitoring necessary to show trends in high priority species such as the ant-lion, Norfolk hawker and silver-studded blue (should this latter be present) if there is the likelihood

[^33]for effects upon them. Interim surveys in order to fill in taxonomic gaps, to cover areas not surveyed or to provide data for specific reasons might be useful.
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## 6. Tables

Table 6.1 Invertebrates of High Nature Conservation Significance Recorded by Bioscan (1991)

| Species | Family | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Odontomyia argentata | Stratiomyidae | RDB2 |
| Odontomyia ornata | Stratiomyidae | RDB2 |
| Pediasia fascelinella | Pyralidae | pRDB2 |
| Archanara neurica | Noctuidae | RDB3 |
| Clubiona frisia | Clubionidae | RDB3 |
| Nyctegretis lineana | Pyralidae | pRDB3 |
| Pima boisduvaliella | Pterophoridae | pRDB3 |
| Mythimna flammea | Noctuidae | Notable A |
| Nascia cilialis | Pyralidae | Notable A |
| Agrotis ripae | Noctuidae | Notable B |
| Earias chlorana | Noctuidae | Notable B |
| Eulamprotes wilkella | Gelechiidae | Notable B |
| Euxoa cursoria | Noctuidae | Notable B |
| Mythimna litoralis | Noctuidae | Notable B |
| Pediasia contaminella | Pyralidae | Notable B |
| Schoenobius gigantella | Pyralidae | Notable B |
| Sideridis albicolon | Noctuidae | Notable B |
| Simyra albovenosa | Noctuidae | Notable B |
| Agabus conspersus | Dytiscidae | Notable B |
| Anacaena bipustulata | Hydrophilidae | Notable B |
| Coelambus paralellogrammus | Dytiscidae | Notable B |
| Demetrias imperialis | Carabidae | Notable B |
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Table 7.1 (continued) Invertebrates of High Nature Conservation Significance Recorded by Bioscan (1991)

| Species | Family | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enochrus melanocephalus | Hydrophilidae | Notable B |
| Enochrus ochropterus | Hydrophilidae | Notable B |
| Haliplus apicalis | Haliplidae | Notable B |
| Helophorus griseus | Helophoridae | Notable B |
| Ochthebius nanus | Hydraenidae | Notable B |
| Peltodytes caesius | Haliplidae | Notable B |
| Rhantus grapii | Dytiscidae | Notable B |
| Rhantus suturalis | Dytiscidae | Notable B |
| Brachyton pratense | Aeshnidae | Notable |
| Aulacigastra leucopeza | Aulacigastridae | Notable |
| Beris clavipes | Stratiomyidae | Notable |
| Neoascia geniculata | Stratiomyidae | Notable |
| Odontomyia tigrina | Stratiomyidae | Notable |
| Oxycera morrisii | Stratiomyidae | Notable |
| Stratiomys potamida | Stratiomyidae | Notable |
| Stratiomys singularior | Stratiomyidae | Notable |

[^38]Table 6.2 Invertebrates of High Nature Conservation Significance Recorded in the Sizewell Land Management Annual Reviews between 1996 and 2007

| Species | Family | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argiope bruennichi | Araneidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Euroleon nostras | Mymeleontidae | Suffolk BAP species |
| Ectobius panzeri | Blattellidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Metrioptera roeselii | Tettigoniidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Aeshna isosceles | Aeshnidae | Protected WCA (1981) |
| Brachytron pratense | Aeshnidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Erythromma viridulum | Coenagrionidae | New to Britain in 1999 |
| Apion affine | Apionidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Ancylis upupana | Tortricidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Calamotropha paludella | Pyralidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Chortodes elymi | Noctuidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Crambus pratella | Pyralidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Dioryctria sylvestrella | Pyralidae | New to Britain in 2000 |
| Earias chlorana | Noctuidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Mythimna flammea | Noctuidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Nascia cilialis | Pyralidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Pediasia contaminella | Pyralidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Pima boisduvaliella | Pterophoridae | pRDB3 |
| Scopula emutaria | Geometridae | Nationally Scarce |
| Sideridis albicolon | Noctuidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Xestia rhomboidea | Noctuidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Medetera jugalis | Dolichopodidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Vanoyia tenuicornis | Stratiomyidae | Nationally Scarce |

[^39]Table 6.3 Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce invertebrates recorded from the Nature Conservancy Council funded surveys of Sizewell Belts (Drake 1989a, 1989b)

| Species | Family | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Odontomyia argentata | Stratiomyidae | RDB2 |
| Enochrus melanocephalus | Hydrophilidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Haliplus heydeni | Haliplidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Ochthebius nanus | Hydrophilidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Rhantus grapii | Dytiscidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Dixella attica | Dixidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Odontomyia tigrina | Stratiomyidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Stratiomys potamida | Stratiomyidae | Nationally Scarce |

[^40]Table 6.4 Number and Location of Invertebrate Sampling Sites at Sizewell in 2007

| Site | Grid Ref (TH) | Development area? | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 47496435 | Yes. PWA | Eastern bund overlooking coastal strip (planted trees and shrubs with clearings) |
| B | 47386436 | Yes. PWA | Northern bund (planted trees and shrubs) |
| C | 47296415 | Yes. PWA | Central riparian belt (carr woodland) |
| D | 472641 | Yes. PWA | Western riparian belt (carr woodland) |
| E | 47016461 | No. Perimeter | Triangular-shaped area of young plantation surrounded by mature plantations, Goose Hill (Dunwich Forest) |
| F | 46596465 | Yes. CC \& AR | Plantation edge and scrub at intersection of rides, Dunwich Forest |
| G | 464645 | Yes. CC \& AR | Carr woodland at Turf Pits, Kenton Hills |
| H | 463644 | Yes. CC \& AR | Plantation at Nursery Covert, Kenton Hills |
| I | 47406401 | Yes. PWA | Southern edge of field/northern edge of young conifer plantation. |
| J | 47286448 | No. Perimeter | Phragmites fen near footbridge |
| K | 47516452 | No. Perimeter | Coastal heath north of power station. |
| L | $\begin{aligned} & 4764 \text { (La-e) } \\ & 47556295 \text { (Lf) } \\ & 4763 \text { (Lg-j) } \end{aligned}$ | La-e: PWA. Lg-j: Perimeter | Coastal belt (low dunes, shingle, marram, etc). <br> La - e = June 2007, Lf-j = July \& Sept 2007 |

PWA = preliminary works area; CC = Construction compounds; AR = General Access road area, Perimeter $=$ Perimeter zone outside development areas.

[^41]Table 6.5 Invertebrate Sampling Methods Employed at each of the Sample Sites

| Sampling Site | Invertebrate sampling methods used |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | Pitfall traps (10), water trap (1) \& Malaise trap (1) |
| B | Pitfall traps (10) \& water trap (1) |
| C | Pitfall traps (10), water trap (1) \& Malaise trap (1) |
| D | Pitfall traps (10) \& water trap (1) |
| E | Pitfall traps (10) \& water trap (1) |
| F | Pitfall traps (10) \& water trap (1) |
| G | Pitfall traps (10), water trap (1) \& Malaise trap (1) |
| H | Pitfall traps (10) \& water trap (1) |
| I | Water trap (1) |
| J | Malaise trap (1) |
| K | Pitfall traps (1) |
| L | Vacuum (5) and sweep net samples (5) |

Table 6.6 Invertebrate Species of High Nature Conservation Value Recorded from the Sample Sites within the Preliminary Works Area in 2007.

| Species | A | B | C | D | C/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sympetrum flaveolum | + |  |  |  |  |
| Rhantus suturalis |  |  | $+$ |  |  |
| Crypticus quisquilius | + | + | + | + |  |
| Polydrusus formosus |  |  |  | + |  |
| Glocianus punctiger |  | + |  |  |  |
| Dolichopus acuticornis |  |  |  |  | + |
| Lispe uliginosa |  |  |  |  | + |
| Pseudisobrachium subcyaneum |  | + |  |  |  |
| Dolichovespula media |  |  |  |  | + |
| Nysson dimidiatus |  | + |  |  |  |
| Total | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 |

No rare or uncommon species were recorded from Sample Site I.

[^42]Table 6.7 Invertebrate Species of High Nature Conservation Value Recorded from the Dunes and Shingle on Sizewell Beach in 2007

| Species | La | Lb | Lc | Ld | Le | Lf | Lg | Lh | Li | Lj |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ectobius panzeri |  |  |  |  | + | + | + |  | + | + |
| Drymus latus |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aphanisticus pusillus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| Clanoptilus marginellus | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Melanophthalma curticollis |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mantura chrysanthemi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |
| Apion rubiginosum |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  | + |  |
| Stilpon sublunata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| Platypalpus niveiseta |  | + |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medetera petrophila |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geomyza subnigra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| Opomyza punctella |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anagnota bicolor |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elachiptera pubescens |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| Trachysiphonella scutellata |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tricimba brachyptera |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  | + | + |
| Trixoscelis marginella |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | + |
| Total | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 7 |

[^43]Table 6.8 Invertebrates of High Nature Conservation Value Found in Kenton Hills and Goose Hill in 2007.

| Species | H | G | F | $E$ | Other area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euroleon nostras |  |  |  |  | Walk Barn |
| Aeshna isosceles |  |  | + |  |  |
| Aphanus rolandri |  | + |  |  |  |
| Rhantus suturalis |  | + |  |  |  |
| Catopidius depressus |  |  | + |  |  |
| Scymnus limbatus | + |  |  |  |  |
| Molophilus bihamatus |  | + |  |  |  |
| Hybomitra ciureai |  |  | + |  |  |
| Sciapus contristans |  |  |  | $+$ |  |
| Neoascia geniculata |  | + |  |  |  |
| Lasiambia palposa |  |  | + |  |  |
| Trachysiphonella scutellata |  |  | + |  |  |
| Chyromya britannica |  |  | + |  |  |
| Meonura neglecta |  |  |  | $+$ |  |
| Total | 1 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 1 |

Sample Sites are arranged how they are encountered from west to east along the track from the car-park at Kenton Hills
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## Protected Species

## Norfolk hawker Aeshna isosceles

Odonata, Aeshnidae

The Norfolk hawker is restricted in Britain to a few grazing marshes in the Broadland area of Norfolk and Suffolk (according to Merritt et al., 1996). These grazing marshes are relatively isolated from polluted water but increasingly threatened due, for example, to the change from pasture to arable farming. There is some evidence that it has spread slightly in the period since Merritt et al., 1996 was published and it appears to be breeding at Minsmere, and is recorded annually now at Sizewell.

## Suffolk Biodiversity Action Plan Species

## Ant-lion or Suffolk ant-lion Euroleon nostras Neuroptera, Myrmeleontidae

There are very early references to ant-lions in Britain from 1781-1829 but a confirmed specimen was not found until a single male was found at Gorleston, Suffolk in 1931. Despite searches, no ant-lions were seen next until 1994 when they were found on Minsmere RSPB reserve. Since then records have been obtained increasingly and annually and a record for 1988 for Lowestoft has also emerged. Concentrated surveys for this species have taken place since and it is now widely known in the Minsmere area with at least two populations at Walk Barn, Sizewell (Plant 1998, 1999). The larvae lie at the base of funnel-shaped pits in sandy areas and feed on ground invertebrates that stumble into the pits.

## Red Data Book Species

## Prionocera subserricornis

## RDB2 Diptera, Tipulidae

This rare cranefly has mainly been recorded from Norfolk (Falk 1992 lists seven British localities). It occurs in ancient fenland with most records referring to ditches or pools filled with wet, black organic material and beneath the shade of carr.

## Odontomyia ornata RDB2 Diptera, Stratiomyidae

This soldier-fly is known from scattered coastal marshes in southern England although it is known from some inland grazing marshes (such as the Somerset Levels). The preferred ditches are those at the earlier stages of hydroseral succession, with floating cover and rich submergent vegetation, rather than ditches which are choked with emergent plants. The larvae are aquatic and develop in shallow water in ditches. The adults have been recorded from May to July and occur at flowers such as umbellifers.

## Antichaeta brevipennis

## RDB2 Diptera, Sciomyzidae

This snail-parasitoid fly is known from about a dozen sites in total, widely dispersed in England and with one in Scotland. This species is usually associated with lush vegetation beside water bodies in wetlands, existing Suffolk records refer to ditches in unimproved grazing marshes. In Denmark, the eggs and larvae have been found on the amber snails (Succineidae) and this species may also use pond snails (Lymnaeidae). The adults have been recorded from June to August.

## Stenomicra delicatula RDB2 Diptera, Stenomicridae

Falk \& Ismay (in prep. ) were only able to list eight British sites for this minute species, of which half or so are located in East Anglia. The existing records refer to a dried-up pond, long vegetation next to a spring, to an association with Carex paniculata and from a Malaise trap in a fen. The latter two associations are likely to be the more typical and the surveyor has repeatedly taken this species in Carex paniculata tussocks in fens. The early stages are not known but the larvae possibly mine the stems of monocotyledons or develop in damp decaying vegetation.

## Apion rubiginosum pRDB3 Coleoptera, Apionidae

A local weevil of southern distribution, associated with sheep's sorrel Rumex acetosella, apparently with a preference for disturbed ground, grassland and coastal shingle.

## Archanara neurica RDB3 Lepidoptera, Noctuidae

The white-mantled wainscot (Archanara neurica) is currently only known in Britain from a 15 km stretch of coastal reedbeds on the Suffolk coast. The larvae feed on Phragmites australis.

## Rhagio strigosus RDB3 Diptera, Rhagionidae

This snipe-fly has mainly been recorded from the Chalk downs of Surrey, Oxfordshire and Berkshire, with additional records from Dorset and Herefordshire. The habitat is described by Falk (1992) as "dry broadleaved woodland on Chalk". The larvae probably develop as predators in damp decayed wood or soil.

## Hybomitra ciureai RDB3 Diptera, Tabanidae

This horsefly is a rare south-eastern species found on and near the coast, mostly in marshes, although its precise habitat is far from known. Falk (1992) stated that it is only known from six British localities. The larvae probably develop in damp soil and the marginal zones of ponds and ditches.

## Opomyza punctella RDB3 Diptera, Opomyzidae

The former has been recorded in grassland mostly in northern England and Scotland and Falk and Ismay (in prep. ) state that there are only four post-1960 sites. The larvae probably develop in grasses. The adults have been recorded from July to September, probably as several generations.

## Meonura neglecta RDB3 Diptera, Carnidae

This minute species is only known from six British localities (according to Falk \& Ismay in prep. ) but three of these are in Suffolk. The adult habitat is unknown but is probably heathland or associated broadleaved woodland. The larval biology is not known but other members of this genus have been reared from a range of situations including bird's nests, animal dung and possibly carrion.

## Tricimba brachyptera

RDB3 Diptera, Chloropidae
Falk and Ismay (in prep. ) only list four UK sites for this species. It is mainly known from the sandy breck grassland in Suffolk although it has been found more recently on ericaceous heathlands in Nottinghamshire by the surveyor. The larvae probably develops in grasses (most chloropids have this biology).

## Melanophthalma curticollis pRDBK Coleoptera, Latridiidae

Small beetle found in litter on the coast. This species has probably been found at the roots of plants and under vegetable debris on light soils, both inland and on coastal sand dunes (Hyman and Parsons 1984a).

Nationally Scarce ( = Notable) Species

## Ectobius panzeri Blattodea, Blattellidae

The lesser cockroach is widespread around the coast of southern England from the Bristol Channel to Norfolk (the distribution map in Marshall and Haes 1988 is somewhat out-ofdate). It occurs in a variety of mainly coastal habitats. There are scattered colonies on
maritime cliffs, under wind-clipped scrub such as heather (Calluna vulgaris) or western gorse (Ulex gallii). Substantial colonies may occur under low vegetation on sand-dunes or on extensive areas of stabilized, vegetated shingle beach.

## Aphanus rolandri Heteroptera, Lygaeidae

This ground bug has a strongly southern distribution and there are records from six English counties (Kirby 1992). This bug has been found in a range of circumstances. It has occurred in arable or neglected arable land, amongst dry leaf litter in chalk pits and on coastal cliffs and in leaf litter beneath broom on Dungeness. The localities have in common that they are sunny, sheltered and well-drained and have a covering of dry leaf litter. The bug is probably chiefly phytophagous, but it has been known to predate the eggs of a leaf beetle.

## Drymus latus Heteroptera, Lygaeidae

The habitat requirements of this ground bug are unclear. It has been found in grassland at the edge of a marsh, in tall calcareous grassland, on derelict arable land on chalk, amongst dense moss at the margins of scrub on chalk, at the base of fallen chalk cliffs, amongst rather sparse grassland on mildly acid soil, amongst ruderal vegetation on waste ground in the London suburbs, and in a wood. The bugs are probably seed-eaters, this being the usual food of members of the family. There are records for southern England and the Midlands.

## Rhantus suturalis Coleoptera, Dytiscidae

This is a strong flying water beetle. It is typically found in ponds in the south. It is absent from Scotland and rather scattered and local elsewhere.

Cercyon ustulatus Coleoptera, Hydrophilidae
This small scavenging beetle found among wet plant litter in marshes and at the edge of still water. It is widespread but local.

## Catopidius depressus Coleoptera, Leiodidae

This leiodid beetle has been recorded from southern England, the Midlands and East Anglia. It has been found in a variety of situations including woodland, for example, in rabbit burrows and badger setts. It has also been recorded from carrion-baited pitfall traps in rabbit burrows.

## Aphanisticus pusillus Coleoptera, Buprestidae

A small dull bronze jewel beetle, resembling a seed. Unlike other buprestids, members of this genus are not associated with trees or timber. This species is associated with black bog-rush (Schoenus nigricans) in marshy places, but is not restricted to this habitat, having also been found on dry limestone grassland. Adults have been found throughout the year. The larval requirements are unknown. Most records are from the south of England but it has been found in Yorkshire and Lancashire.

## Clanoptilus marginellus Coleoptera, Melyridae

A moderately sized (6. 0-7. 0mm) long metallic green and red 'false soldier' or 'malachite' beetle. Adults on flowers, larvae of other species of this genus develop as predators under the bark of dead wood. Formerly widespread, has declined considerably and now apparently only recorded from a few counties in southern England.

## Scymnus limbatus Coleoptera, Coccinellidae

A small ladybird found in marshy places.

## Crypticus quisquilius Coleoptera, Melandryidae

A darkling beetle living on sand surface in sandy places, principally coastal dunes. Very uncommon inland. Coastal dunes north to Humber-Mersey.

## Mantura chrysanthemi Coleoptera, Chrysomelidae

A local flea beetle, confined to southern England, associated with sheep's sorrel Rumex acetosella and possibly other Rumex spp.

## Silus ruficollis Coleoptera, Cantharidae

This soldier beetle has been recorded throughout England and Wales. It occurs in river and lake margins, fens, marshes and other wetland habitats. The larvae and adults are probably predatory. The adults have been noted on lush, marginal vegetation and have been swept from Phragmites.

## Polydrusus formosus Coleoptera, Curculionidae

Greenish weevil feeding on foliage of various trees and shrubs including Hazel Corylus avellana, oak Quercus spp., willow Salix spp. , birch Betula spp. , cherry Prunus spp. and wild rose Rosa spp. . Mainly southern. Very local.

## Glocianus punctiger Coleoptera, Curculionidae

Small weevil feeding on dandelion Taraxacum spp. in dry sandy places, most often on the coast. Southern species, north to Cumbria in the west, but not extending so far north in the east.

## Diogma glabra Diptera, Cylindrotomidae

Records for this cranefly are widely dispersed in England, Wales and Scotland. It occurs in damp woodland, generally in calcareous lowland areas. The larvae usually develop in terrestrial mosses growing on stones, less often in wet mosses growing on soil. The adults have been recorded from June to August.

## Molophilus bihamatus Diptera, Tipulidae

Records for this small cranefly are widely dispersed in England (nine counties) and Scotland (Sutherland only). It is usually found in wet.,alder carr. The early stages are unknown but the larvae probably develop in damp soil or leaf litter. The adults have been recorded from May to July.

## Stilpon sublunata Diptera, Hybotidae

This minute species was listed as Notable in Falk (1992) but was downgraded in Falk and Crossley (2005) because it has now been recorded from twenty counties in Britain.

## Platypalpus niveiseta Diptera, Hybotidae

Records for this small species are widely scattered in England (eight counties according to Falk and Crossley 2005). The most usual habitats appear to be old broadleaved woodland and fens. The early stages are not known, but larvae of some Platypalpus species have been found in soil or under moss and they are probably predacious. Adults of this genus are typically found running amongst ground vegetation or, more usually, over the surfaces of leaves on bushes and trees where they search for small insects upon which they prey.

## Dolichopus linearis Diptera, Dolichopodidae

This species was listed as Notable in Falk (1992) but was downgraded in Falk and Crossley (2005). Assis-Fonseca (1978) state that this species is rare and East Anglia features heavily in the known sites.

## Hercostomus chalybeus

## Diptera, Dolichopodidae

This species was listed as Notable in Falk (1992) but was downgraded in Falk and Crossley (2005). Assis-Fonseca (1978) state that this species is uncommon and very local and gives two |Norfolk Broads sites but none in Suffolk.

## Lamprochromus elegans Diptera, Dolichopodidae

This species was listed as Notable in Falk (1992) but was downgraded in Falk and Crossley (2005). Assis-Fonseca (1978) lists several sites including one in the Norfolk Broads and two in the Cambridgeshire Fens.

## Medetera petrophila Diptera, Dolichopodidae

This species was listed as Notable in Falk (1992) but was downgraded in Falk and Crossley (2005). Assis-Fonseca (1978) stated that this species is scarce and only known to him in Britain from five Scottish counties.

## Sciapus contristans Diptera, Dolichopodidae

This species was listed as Notable in Falk (1992) but was downgraded in Falk and Crossley (2005). Assis-Fonseca (1978) mentions five British sites including one each in Suffolk and Norfolk. Since then, a taxonomic review of Sciapus has been undertaken and some of the records may belong to other species.

## Thrypticus nigricauda Diptera, Dolichopodidae

There are eleven recorded sites for this species in England (eight counties) and Wales (Anglesey only). The majority of sites appear to be wetlands; they include a damp hollow with Iris and Juncus, a pond and a river bank. Members of this genus have phytophagous larvae which are plant miners developing in the stems of monocotyledons. Adults of this species have been found in June and July.

## Neoascia geniculata Diptera, Syrphidae

Records for this small hoverfly are scattered widely in England, Wales and Scotland. It occurs in marshes and water margins where there is lush emergent vegetation such as Glyceria. The larvae probably develop in wet mud or vegetation as detritus feeders. The adults have been recorded from April to October and visit flowers such as forget-me-knot (Myosotis scorpioides) and fool's celery (Apium nodiflorum).

## Pherbellia griseola

## Diptera, Sciomyzidae

This snail-parasitoid fly has been recorded widely in England, Wales and Scotland. It occurs in a wide range of wetlands including fens, bogs, dune slacks and damp woods and there is a requirement for standing water. The larvae develop as parasitoids of aquatic snails such as Lymnaea palustris. The adults have been recorded from early may to early September.

## Geomyza subnigra Diptera, Opomyzidae

Records for this small species are scattered widely in southern England with sporadic records further north. There are about fifteen post-1960 sites for this species. Records are from dry grassland on chalk downs, heathland, dunes and shingle ridges behind beaches. The adults have been taken in numbers at the roots of Arrhenatherum elatius tussocks in Surrey and possibly in association with Bromus spp. The adults have been recorded from May to November.

## Anagnota bicolor Diptera, Anthomyzidae

Records for this small species are widely dispersed in England, Wales and Scotland. Falk and Ismay (in prep. ) state that it is usually associated with Phragmites in marshes and coastal levels but the surveyor has mostly found it in association with Carex tussocks in wetlands.
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## Elachiptera uniseta Diptera, Chloropidae

This minute species has been recorded from scattered localities in England (ten counties) and south Wales (two counties) according to Falk and Ismay (in prep. ). The habitat includes fens, damp woods, gravel pits and coastal marshes. The early stages are unknown but the larvae probably develop in decaying vegetable matter or invade grass and reed stems. The adults have been recorded throughout the year.

## Elachiptera pubescens Diptera, Chloropidae

This is mainly a coastal species of southern England (nine counties according to Falk and Ismay in prep. ) and Wales (two counties). The habitat according to the above authors is brackish coastal levels but the surveyor has found this species in many other coastal habitats. Falk and Ismay (in prep. ) also state that it is found to a lesser extent inland on damp heaths, in gravel pits and marshes. The early stages are unknown but the larvae probably develop in decaying vegetable matter or invade grass and reed stems.

## Fiebrigella palposa Diptera, Chloropidae

This species is widely known from localities throughout England (one county), Wales (four counties) and Scotland (six counties) according to Falk and Ismay (in prep. ). However, the surveyor has recorded this species from several England counties in addition. According to Falk and Ismay (ibid) most records refer to coastal dunes where it appears to be associated with slacks or fixed dunes. It can occasionally occur well inland on damp grassland or riverside situations. The surveyor's records mainly come from brownfield sites. The larvae are recorded as predators of grasshoppers egg-pods on the Continent but have not been reared in Britain.

## Lipara rufitarsis Diptera, Chloropidae

This species is mainly known from southern England (nine counties according to Falk and Ismay in prep. ). The habitat is wetlands including fens, gravel pits and damp heathland, with a requirement for Phragmites beds. The larvae develop within the stems of Phragmites and form galls which cause foreshortening and sterility of the host plant. The adults have been recorded from April to July.

## Trachysiphonella scutellata Diptera, Chloropidae

This species is listed as Notable in Falk (1992) but not included in Falk and Ismay (in prep. ). Trachysiphonella spp are minute flies usually found on calcareous grassland but they are also known from heathland. The early stages are not known, although in Greece, an association with ants nests has been established.

## Trixoscelis marginella Diptera, Trixoscelidae

Records for this distinctive species are scattered widely in England, Wales and Scotland with a preference for coastal dunes or Breckland heaths. The early stages are unknown although a development in carrion or animal burrows is feasible. The adults have been recorded from June to August.

## Lispe uliginosa Diptera, Muscidae

This poorly known species is known from nine English counties including Norfolk (Ringmere) and Suffolk (Shingle Street). Assis-Fonseca (1968) described it as uncommon. Skidmore (1985) states that this species is one of the more tolerant Lispe species in respect of acidic conditions, since he found it beside peat pools and drainage channels on Thorne Moors, South Yorkshire. It does also occur in basic marshes and fens and in saline areas both on coasts and inland.
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## Phaonia atriceps Diptera, Muscidae

This relatively distinct species is known from eight counties in England according to AssisFonseca (1968). It has been usually reared from pupae found under the leaf-sheaths of Typha latifolia and once from larva in the stem of Phragmites (Assis-Fonseca ibid, Skidmore 1985). The adult has been recorded from May to early September and appears to have two generations. Skidmore (1985) provides useful information on the biology of this species.

## Phaonia falleni Diptera, Muscidae

This is Phaonia vagans of Assis-Fonseca (1968) and other earlier sources. Assis-Fonseca (ibid) states that it is uncommon and records it from ten English counties and one county each in Scotland and Wales. The adults have been recorded from May to September.

## Dolichovespula media Hymenoptera. Vespidae

This social wasp has been recorded throughout England with occasional records for Wales (Edwards 1997). This author suggests that the former Red Data Book and Notable statuses given to this species no longer apply. This species arrived in Britain in the 1980s and has spread since so that it is now quite common and widespread. The adults occur between April and September.

## Nysson dimidiatus Hymenoptera, Sphecidae

This is a small black and red solitary wasp which has been recorded widely but sparingly across England and Wales as far north as Yorkshire. It has been recorded from heathland, coastal dunes, coastal landslips, open areas in woodlands, sandpits, embankments and occasionally gardens. It favours sparsely-vegetated or short-cropped areas on dry sandy or clayey soils fully exposed to the sun. This species is parasitic on another solitary wasp, Harpactus tumidus.

## Other Significant Species

## Pseudisobrachium subcyaneum Hymenoptera, Bethylidae

This obscure and rare parasitic wasp has only been recorded from four UK sites and it is associated with certain ant species (Perkins 1976). Unfortunately, the Bethylidae have not been given Red Data Book, Nationally Scarce or other statuses unlike most other aculeate Hymenoptera.

## Trigonalis hahni Hymenoptera, Trigonotylidae

Parasitic wasps have not been given Red Data Book or other statuses but this species is very rare according to Gauld \& Bolton (1996). The trigonalyids are parasitoids of Hymenoptera or Diptera larvae.

## Sympetrum flaveolum Odonata, Libellulidae

The yellow-veined darter dragonfly was until recently a vagrant species in Britain but it has now started breeding and spreading throughout southern and central England. Most of the publications on dragonflies (such as Merritt et al., 1996, Brooks 1997) are therefore out-ofdate as regards this species which tend to mention it as a non-breeding vagrant. The larvae will occur among aquatic vegetation in marshy pools, peat bogs and weedy parts of lakes (based on Continental literature).

## Chyromya britannica Diptera, Chyromyidae

This small species was described as new to science in 2007 (Gibbs 2007). It was described from specimens found in four English counties (Avon, Middlesex, Nottinghamshire and Oxfordshire) and it has been reared from rot-hole debris found in tree holes. Specimens have

[^47]been found in deciduous woodland, mixed woodland, an ex-industrial site with abundant sallow (Salix caprea) bushes and from a row of riverside poplar (Populus sp) trees.
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4 Pages

This text is taken from English Nature and the references mentioned are presumably referred to in an English Nature publication.

For the purposes of evaluating invertebrate faunas and priorities for conservation action, invertebrates are attributed various rarity status categories, the meanings of which are given below. Criteria for the selection of species into Red Data Book categories one to five follow Shirt (1987), with minor modifications derived from Hyman \& Parsons (1992) and Parsons (1993).

Categories RDBI (Indeterminate) and RDBK (Insufficiently Known) are based on the criteria used by Wells, Pyle and Collins (1983).

Criteria for the selection of Nationally Scarce species follow Eversham (1983) and Ball (1986).
Table K1 Red Data Book Category $1 \quad$ RDB1 Endangered

| Definition | Taxa in danger of extinction in Great Britain and whose survival is unlikely if the causal factors continue <br> operating. <br> Included are taxa whose numbers have been reduced to a critical level or whose habitats have been so <br> dramatically reduced that they are deemed to be in immediate danger of extinction. Also included are some <br> taxa that are possibly extinct. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Criteria $\quad$Species, which are known or believed, to occur as only a single population within one 10km square of the <br> National Grid. <br> Species, which only occur in habitats known to be especially vulnerable. <br> Species, which have shown a rapid and continuous decline over the last twenty years and are now <br> estimated to exist in five or fewer 10km squares. <br> Species which are possibly extinct but have been recorded this century but which if rediscovered would <br> need protection. |  |

Table K2 Red Data Book Category 2 RDB2 Vulnerable

Definition | Taxa believed likely to move into the Endangered category in the near future if the causal factors continue |
| :--- |
| operating. |
| Included are taxa of which most or all of the populations are decreasing because of over-exploitation, |
| extensive destruction of habitat or other environmental disturbance; taxa with populations that have been |
| seriously depleted and whose ultimate security is not yet assured; and taxa with populations that are still |
| abundant but are under threat from serious adverse factors throughout their range. |

Criteria $\quad$| Species declining throughout their range. |
| :--- |
| Species in vulnerable habitats. |

Table K3 Red Data Book Category 3 RDB3 Rare

| Definition | Taxa with small populations in Great Britain that are not at present Endangered or Vulnerable, but are at <br> risk. |
| :--- | :--- |
| These taxa are usually localized within restricted geographical areas or habitats or are thinly scattered over <br> a more extensive range. |  |
| Criteria $\quad$Species, which are estimated to exist in only 15 or fewer 10km, squares. This criterion may be relaxed <br> where populations are likely to exist in over 1510 km squares but occupy small areas of especially <br> vulnerable habitat. |  |


| Table K4 Red Data Book Category $4 \quad$ RDB4 Out of Danger |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Definition | Taxa formerly meeting the criteria of one of the aforementioned categories but which are now considered <br> relatively secure because effective conservation measures have been taken or the previous threat to their <br> survival in Great Britain has been removed. |

## Table K5 Red Data Book Category 5 RDB5 Endemic

Definition Taxa, which are not known to occur naturally outside Great Britain. Taxa within this category may also be in any of the other RDB categories or not threatened at all.
There are few truly endemic species in Great Britain. Most that have been identified are in fairly obscure groups, which are relatively poorly known, and the species may well eventually be discovered elsewhere in Europe.

| Table K6 $\quad$ Red Data Book Appendix RDBApp Extinct |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Definition | Taxa which formerly had breeding populations in Great Britain but which are now believed to have died out. <br> (Taxa not recorded since 1900) |

Table K7 Red Data Book Category I RDB I Indeterminate
Definition Taxa considered being Endangered, Vulnerable or Rare, but where there is not enough information to say which of the three categories (RDB1 to 3 ) is appropriate.

## Table K8 Red Data Book Category K RDBK Insufficiently Known

Definition Taxa that are suspected, but not definitely known, to belong to any of the aforementioned categories, because of lack of information.

Criteria Taxa recently discovered or recognised in Great Britain, which may prove to be more widespread in the future (although some recent discoveries may be placed in other categories if the group to which they belong is thought not to be under- recorded).
Taxa with very few or perhaps only a single known locality but which belong to poorly recorded or taxonomically difficult or unstable groups.
Species with very few or perhaps only a single known locality, inhabiting inaccessible or infrequently sampled but widespread habitats, such as some northern moorland species, species associated with some agricultural situations and species which are adult only during the winter.
Species with very few or perhaps only a single known locality and of questionable native status, but not clearly falling into the category of recent colonist, vagrant or introduction.

Table K9 Provisional Red Data Book pRDB

Definition The prefix ' $p$ ' before any Red Data Book category implies that the grading is provisional. In the majority of cases this means that the species' status has been reconsidered and changed in a Species Group Review produced subsequent to the publication of the relevant Red Data Book.
The statuses so given are described as provisional, pending the publication of a future edition of that Red Data Book. These statuses are however, based upon a greater amount of evidence than was available for the original Red Data Book and therefore are more likely to be a true representation of the species' actual status.

Definition The prefix ' $p$ ' is also used for RDB status categories in groups where a Red Data Book has not yet been produced but is in preparation, or is used for species in groups covered by the original Red Data Book, where it is considered that there is evidence that the original grading was incorrect or that there has been a genuine change in status of the taxon.

## Nationally Scarce (Notable) Species

The term 'Nationally Scarce' was adopted and replaced the term 'Notable' during the compilation of the Guidelines for the Selection of Biological SSSIs. The two terms are thus interchangeable but 'Nationally Scarce' is preferable.

Ball (1986) discusses the allocation of species to Nationally Scarce categories:
"The Invertebrate Site Register project includes the preparation of National Species Reviews which seek to identify and document uncommon species. The criteria used have been based directly on those evolved by botanists and two levels of 'National Notability' has been used. These are Notable A, for species known to occur in 30 or less 10 km squares of the National Grid and Notable B for those known from 100 or less squares. "

Although this system can be used directly with well-recorded groups like Dragonflies, Butterflies and Grasshoppers; when dealing with many other groups of insects, the level of recording is not sufficient to apply the criteria rigorously. A combination of three alternative approaches has been employed:

1. The approximate number of squares in which a species may occur can be estimated by looking at the number it has been recorded from as a proportion of the total in which the whole group (e. g. its family) has been recorded.

Coarser measurements such as the number of vice-counties in which a species has occurred can be used (7 or less for Notable A, 20 or less for Notable B).
Experts can be asked to use their field experience to judge the status of species in their particular
3. specialist group against others with a better-established status. By consulting as many people as possible and taking a consensus of their views, geographical and personal biases can be minimized.
In some groups in which widespread interest and recording is a rather recent phenomenon, no attempt has yet been made to separate Notable A and Notable B species, and all Nationally Notable species are simply graded 'Notable'.

## Table K10 Nationally Scarce (Notable) N Notable

Definition Species, which are estimated to occur in 16 to 100 10km, squares in Great Britain. The subdividing of this category into Nationally Scarce A and Nationally Scarce B has not been attempted for some species because of either the degree of recording that has been carried out in the group to which the species belongs, or because there is some other reason why it is not sensible to be so exact.

Table K11 Nationally Scarce (Notable) Category A Na Notable A

Definition Taxa which do not fall within RDB categories but which are uncommon in Great Britain and thought to occur in 30 or fewer 10km squares of the National Grid or, for less well recorded groups, within 7 or fewer vicecounties.

## Table K12 Nationally Scarce (Notable) Category B Nb Notable B

Definition Taxa which do not fall within RDB categories but which are uncommon in Great Britain and thought to occur in between 31 and 100 10km squares of the National Grid or, for less well recorded groups, between 8 and 20 vice-counties.

| Table K13 Regionally Scarce (Notable) Nr Notable |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Definition | Species which are considered to occur in 5 or less 10km squares in an area equivalent in size to a region of <br> the old Nature Conservancy Council or larger, approximately one eighth the total area of England. <br> Such statuses were worked out during the compilation of the Invertebrate Site Registers. They cover <br> various groups in Scotland, in northern England as a whole, in northeast and northwest England, in vice- <br> county Yorkshire and in the east Midlands and East Anglia. They were worked out by local entomologists. |

## Table K14 Local

| Definition | The term is not rigidly defined, but loosely means species confined to a particular habitat type (usually <br> associated with better quality examples of that habitat), a particular geographic area, or species that are too <br> widespread to warrant Nationally Scarce (Notable) status but are nevertheless infrequently encountered. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |

Table K15 Common

Definition Common or very widespread species, frequently recorded.
Table K16 Syanthropic Species

Definition Species dependent upon man, his buildings, livestock or crops.

## Table K17 Unknown

Definition Species where no status has been attributed. There may be confusion over the species' taxonomy, it may belong to a poorly recorded group or may occur in an infrequently sampled habitat. As a species is entered into the Invertebrate Site Register or RECORDER, the status automatically defaults to 'Unknown'. Certain common or local species may therefore occasionally appear in this category if there has been no necessity to use the species record.
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Background

An area of land directly north of the Sizewell 'B' Power Station has been identified as having the potential to accommodate new nuclear plant. This area, which covers $0.49 \mathrm{~km}^{2} / 49 \mathrm{ha}$ and has an approximate central grid reference of TM473640, is referred to in this document as the 'Strategic Site Area (SSA).' The access road is likely to run in an easterly direction before linking into the wider road network at Lover's Lane, although its exact route has not been determined. The indicative plant footprint and access road corridor are shown on Figure 1.1. In addition to these permanent development proposals there will also be a number of temporary construction activities and other associated developments, but details of these areas are yet to be ascertained.

It was clear from early in the ecological desk study (which began in late 2006) that the Sizewell Estate supported a notable assemblage of invertebrates. Survey work was undertaken by Andy Godfrey (under contract to AMEC Environment \& Infrastructure UK Ltd (AMEC; formerly Entec UK Ltd) in 2007 (AMEC, 2014) with the aim of obtaining representative baseline data from habitats that were likely to be subject to land take as a result of development and to identify any areas within the initial footprint of particular importance for invertebrates. Survey of a number of sites within a 200 m perimeter around the initial footprint was also undertaken for context with a view to undertaking additional survey work as development proposals evolved.

Following the evolution of the SSA boundary and the resulting potential effects on aquatic habitat associated with the Sizewell Marshes SSSI, further aquatic invertebrate survey work was proposed for 2009 to assess the importance of the SSSI for aquatic invertebrates including identifying rare and uncommon species as well as sensitive ditches and use the information to guide the proposed development, assess any potential impacts and provide suitable mitigation.

The 2009 study summarised in this report therefore complements the initial work from 2007 and 2008, and provides recommendations for further survey work in 2010. The combined reports can therefore be used as context for the Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) for the development proposal.
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## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Desk Study

A detailed desk study of invertebrate records and historical reports was undertaken as part of the terrestrial invertebrate survey report in 2007 (AMEC, 2014). The major sources that have been identified and used to date are;

- Sizewell Ecological Surveys (in connection with Sizewell 'C') conducted by Bioscan (UK) Ltd. Report produced in May 1991;
- Sizewell Land Management Annual Reviews 1996-2007 compiled by Suffolk Wildlife Trust \& ADAS;
- Aquatic invertebrate surveys of Sizewell Belts SSSI in 1987 \& 1988 undertaken by the Nature Conservancy Council.

Survey reports on the ant-lion Euroleon nostras which cover the Sizewell populations. The terrestrial invertebrate survey undertaken in 2007 also involved recording the adult stages of other insects with aquatic larvae such as damselflies, other dragonflies, and soldierflies from within the SSA and as such, this survey data has been utilised within this study.

Additionally, a desk study has been undertaken for the purposes of this report in order to consider aquatic invertebrates recorded on the Sizewell Belts. This mainly comprises surveys conducted by the Nature Conservancy Council by Dr Martin Drake (Drake 1989a, 1989b) and more recent, currently unpublished data collected by Dr Drake for Buglife in April 2009 (Drake in prep.). Aquatic invertebrate data in Bioscan (1991) appears to be taken from Drake (1989a).

### 2.2 Field Survey

Ditches were selected within Sizewell Belts SSSI for sampling. These were sampled with a standard pond net ${ }^{1}$ in September 2009.
Each location selected was sampled for a 3-minute period by passing the net along the banks and over the beds of the shallower ditches. The contents of the net were washed and sieved on the bank (this involved placing the sample in a 1 cm coarse sieve, with a 500 micron sieve and then a bowl beneath it). After several pourings, the contents of the 1 cm sieve were checked for large freshwater invertebrates and if there were none or once these had been noted, the coarse fraction was returned to the watercourse. The finer fraction was placed in a pot for later examination in the lab. The samples were preserved in $10 \%$ formalin.

Once in the lab, each bulk sample was divided up into small parts and these were placed in gridded petri-dishes to which water was added. Typically, one sample would require dividing into around thirty petri-dishes for examination. The petri-dishes were then examined under a binocular stereo-microscope and invertebrates were identified and counted.

A number of physical and chemical measurements were made on site including pH , conductivity and water temperature using a Hanna HI 98129 handheld meter. A global

[^52]positioning system (GPS) was used to obtain accurate grid references of sampling locations and species present, and notes were taken of all the habitats within the SSA that were surveyed. Survey locations are shown on Figure 2.1.

Generally, vouchers of Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species have been retained and are available to check by third parties (such as national recorders) if this is required. These vouchers will be retained indefinitely by the surveyor. Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce/Notable species have been identified as such using the published and unpublished reviews such as Falk (1991), Falk \& Chandler (2005) and Foster (2004). A definition of Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce/Notable statuses is provided in Appendix A.

Details of the prevailing weather conditions were also recorded.

### 2.3 Personnel

Andy Godfrey undertook the field sampling, sorting, identification and reporting ${ }^{2}$. . Andy was formerly employed as an entomologist with the Nature Conservancy Council and has specialised in studying invertebrates whilst based in various museums and for ecological consultancies. Since 1999 Andy has worked solely as an entomologist working on conservation and development projects. Andy's particular expertise is with the aquatic invertebrates and Diptera which he has surveyed throughout Britain.

The National Mollusc Recorder Adrian Norris checked the Valvata (valve snails) specimens collected from Sizewell on the survey, commented on the mollusc list and updated the species names.

Mike Denton (Yorkshire Aleocharinae Recorder) identified the aquatic weevils, Staphylinidae and other terrestrial Coleoptera caught in the pond net samples.

### 2.4 Limitations of the Survey

Field work was undertaken in late September 2009. It could be argued that lack of fieldwork earlier in the season may have resulted in species being overlooked. However, the survey period was selected to complement that which Buglife undertook on Sizewell Belts in April 2009 (Drake in prep.) and this provides a useful comparison to the present survey. The two surveys are closely comparable and differences due to the time of year appear to be small.

Sampling involved the use of a standard 1mm mesh pond-net used for three-minutes at each sample site. Qualitative sampling or more time spent taking timed sampling may have increased the species recorded but this would have been at the loss of standardization or increased the time-consuming processing time. The fact that the samples were standardized means that further analysis for example, using diversity indices can be employed should this be desired. The threeminute sampling is also comparable to previous surveys.

Other specialised sampling methods could have been employed such as the use of plankton nets ( $<1 \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh), a mud corer, etc but these are largely used to obtain specialised micro-fauna such as oligochaetes. cladocera, copepoda, ostracoda and chironomid larvae which would require additional and difficult-to-obtain expertise. A moth-trap could have been used to record adult caddisflies and craneflies but use of this method for these groups is not common.

[^53]

## 3. Results

### 3.1 Desk Study

Table 3.1 below summarises some of the results from previous aquatic invertebrate surveys at Sizewell undertaken by Dr Drake along with the current results.

Table 3.1 Summary of Results from Various Aquatic Invertebrate Surveys Conducted on Sizewell Belts

|  | No. species | No. sample sites | No. RDB spp | No. NS spp |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Drake (1989a) | 121 | 5 | 0 | 4 |
| Drake (1989b) | 147 | 13 | 1 | 9 |
| Drake (in prep.) | 159 | 9 | 5 | 15 |
| Current (2009) <br> survey | 261 | 33 | 7 | 22 |

RDB = Red Data Book, NS = Nationally Scarce
The records of rare and uncommon species from the five samples taken by Dr Drake on behalf of the Nature Conservancy Council (NCC) in 1988 show only four Nationally Scarce (= Notable) species being recorded. This was the view taken by the surveyor who assessed Sizewell as being species- rich in aquatic invertebrates but poor in rare species (Drake 1989a).

The NCC survey in 1989 involved more sample sites (13) and resulted in 147 species altogether including one Red Data Book and nine Nationally Scarce species. The RDB species recorded was the soldierfly Odontomyia argentata which has aquatic larvae and is confined to a few major wetland areas. The number of rare species was found to be similar to numbers found within ditches at Minsmere which was highly rated in terms of rare and uncommon or species rich assemblages of aquatic invertebrates by the surveyor (i.e. Dr Drake). The best ditches were unshaded and predominantly well-vegetated. Species-poor ditches were generally the more heavily shaded sites including woodland ditches (Drake 1989b).

The species recorded by Dr Drake on behalf of Buglife in April 2009 are provided in Appendix B. Here nine samples yielded 159 aquatic invertebrate species in total from nine sites including potentially five Red Data Book and fifteen Nationally Scarce species. The location of these sample sites is shown in Figure 3.1. The RDB species recorded from this survey were the RDB2 valve snail Valvata macrostoma (provisional identification), the RDB2 ram's-horn snail Anisus vorticulus, (provisional identification) the RBD3 diving beetle Graphocerus cinereus, the pRDB3 diving beetle Hydaticus tranversalis and the soldierfly Odontomyia ornata. The two RDB2 snails recorded in April 2009 have been submitted to a national expert for confirmation because of the difficulty in identifying these species.
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### 3.2 Field Surveys

- Sampling was undertaken in late September 2009. The intention being to re-sample the same locations initially surveyed by Drake (1989a, 1989b) although only the former document was available at the time of the survey and consequently only the sample sites mentioned in this could be re-sampled. The prevailing weather conditions experienced during the survey work is summarised in Table 3.2 below.

Table 3.2 Prevailing Weather Conditions during the Field Survey

| Survey Date | Weather conditions |
| :--- | :--- |
| 28th September 2009 | Dry and mild with $15 \%$ cloud at start of the survey and a <br> slight breeze |
| 29th September 2009 | Dry, sunny and bright $15-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cloudy intervals. |
| 30th September 2009 | Dry with $100 \%$ cloud at start of day and a slight breeze. |

Details of the taxa recorded are provided in Appendix C whilst details of the sample sites are provided in Appendix D (survey locations are illustrated on Figure 2.1). In total thirty-three 3minute samples were taken by sweeping from the banks. Species of high nature conservation value (protected species, Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species) have been emboldened in Appendix A and details of the ecology, status and distribution of these species is provided in Appendix E.

A total of 215 aquatic taxa were recorded along with two fish, one amphibian and 46 terrestrial invertebrates which were also caught in the pond net. These include one protected species under the Wildlife \& Countryside Act (1981), seven Red Data Book (RDB) and 21 Nationally Scarce species.

The protected, RDB and Nationally Scarce species recorded are summarised in Table 3.3 below along with the sample sites from which they were recorded.

Table 3.3 Distribution of the Protected, Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce Invertebrate Species Recorded from Sizewell Belts in September 2009

| Protected \& Red Data Book 1 | Where recorded |
| :--- | :--- |
| Aeshna isosceles | 18 |
| Red Data Book 1 | 18 |
| Odontomyia angulata |  |
| Red Data Book 2 | $7,18,27,29$ |
| Odontomyia argentata | $3,7,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,25,28$ |
| Odontomyia ornata |  |

Table 3.3 (continued) Distribution of the Protected, Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce Invertebrate Species Recorded from Sizewell Belts in September 2009

| Protected \& Red Data Book 1 | Where recorded |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hilara hirtella | 1 |
| Psacadina vittigera | 6 |
| Red Data Book 3 |  |
| Hydrophilus piceus | 7 |
| Nationally Scarce |  |
| Brachytron pratense | 33 |
| Tricholeiochiton fagesii | 4, 20, 22 |
| Microvelia pygmaea | $5,7,8,26,27$ |
| Peltodytes caesus | 2, 19, 22, 24, 27 |
| Hydroglyphus pusillus | 4, 20, 30 |
| Rhantus suturalis | 14, 18, 25, 33 |
| Anacaena bipustulata | 14 |
| Enochrus coarctatus | 4, 11, 16, 21 |
| Enochrus melanocephalus | $6,11,12,19,20,21,22$ |
| Enochrus ochropterus | 21 |
| Helochares lividus | 11 |
| Hydraena testacea | 13, 23 |
| Ochthebius marinus | $1,2,7,11,16,23,26,29,32,33$ |
| Protected \& Red Data Book 1 | Where recorded |
| Ochthebius nanus | 7, 19, 20, 21, 22, 26, 30, 31, 33 |
| Limonia ventralis | $6,8,17,18,21,22,25,26,28,30,33$ |
| Vanoyia tenuicornis | $5,6,7,8,9,16,17,19,20,21,24,26,27,29,30,31,33$ |
| Lonchoptera nitidifrons | 10 |
| Lonchoptera scutellata | 12 |
| Psacadina verbekei | 4, 7, 33 |
| Elachiptera pubescens | 4, 18, 27, 31 |
| Elachiptera uniseta | 5 |

The protected species is the Norfolk hawker (Aeshna isosceles) dragonfly, a single nymph of which was recovered from sample 18. The nymph is fairly readily identifiable and adults of this species are now relatively widespread and frequent throughout the Sizewell Belts and Kenton and Goose Hills as reported in the annual Land Management Reviews and summarised in the

[^55]terrestrial invertebrate report (report ref: 19801cb183). Sizewell appears to be a new and valuable site for this species, the population of which may be expanding and consequently may not be as rare as previously thought.

Three Red Data Book soldierflies belonging to the genus Odontomyia (angulata, argentata and ornata) were recorded as larvae in the ditches. Larval determinations are less reliable than adults but the adults of two, argentata and ornata have been recorded in previous surveys at Sizewell which lends credence to the larval determinations. The RDB1 Odontomyia angulata has not been recorded as an adult at Sizewell previously but it has been recorded in Norfolk and Suffolk (Morley \& Atmore 1915). It should ideally be recorded from adults but these are elusive and rare and may be overlooked because they have an early flight period that may be under recorded by entomologists whose survey season routinely commences later in the year. Larvae of Odontomyia angulata were found in one sample (18), with possible O. argentata larvae occurring in four samples with $O$. ornata relatively frequent, being found in eleven samples.

The adults of two additional Red Data Book species were present in two samples, namely, the dance-fly Hilara hirtella in sample 1, and the snail-killing fly Psacadina vittigera in sample 6. The presence of rare terrestrial species associated with the ditches suggests these are important on the Sizewell Belts.

The RDB3 great silver water beetle Hydrophilus piceus was recorded as a single adult in one sample (7). The absence of this very large and distinctive water beetle elsewhere on the Belts is unusual particularly as no larvae (which are also very large and distinctive) were recorded either.

Of the twenty-one Nationally Scarce taxa recorded in September 2009, ten of these are water beetles, many of which are characteristic of grazing marshes. Some, such as Ochthebius marinus, O. nanus and Enochrus melanocephalus were relatively frequent in the samples. Five of the Nationally Scarce species are adult Diptera (Lonchoptera nitidifrons, L. scutellata, Psacadina verbekei, Elachiptera pubescens and E. uniseta) caught in the pond net and these clearly live within or near the ditch margins. The remaining Nationally Scarce species include the hairy dragonfly (Brachytron pratense), the minute water-bug Microvelia pygmaea, the cranefly Limonia ventralis and the soldierfly Vanoyia tenuicornis, all of which are known inhabitants of coastal grazing marshes and most of which have been recorded from Sizewell previously. Specimens of the minute caddisfly Tricholeiochiton fagesii were recovered from three samples and this poorly recorded species appears to be associated with weedy still waters.

A number of other interesting species were recorded. A single nymph of either the black darter (Sympetrum danae) or yellow-veined darter (Sympetrum flaveolum) was recorded in one sample. The black darter is normally associated with acid sites such as bogs and there does not appear to be suitable habitat for this species at Sizewell. The yellow-veined darter until recently was largely a vagrant which didn't breed in the UK. In recent years, the yellow-veined darter has been recorded breeding but whether it would use grazing marshes is not clear. Ideally, Sympetrum adults should be recorded to confirm the records because identification of the larvae is difficult. Sympetrum flaveolum was recorded from Sizewell in 2007 (AMEC, 2014).

The adults of two Achalcus species added to the British list in 1996, A. britannicus and A. thalhammeri were recorded. These may warrant RDB or Nationally Scarce status but these can only be given when the abundance and distribution of these species is better known (Pollett 1996, Falk \& Crossley 2005).

Overall, the ditches sampled on the Sizewell Belts appear to represent good examples of grazing marsh ditches which are species rich and diverse in aquatic invertebrates. Species rarity is also good but there are many rare species that are absent or few in number that might have been expected. Better habitat management would improve the potential of some of the ditches for aquatic invertebrates.
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## 4. Conclusions

### 4.1 Relevance of Historical Records

Two of the sample sites in Drake (1989b) fall within the triangular area of Sizewell Belts within the SSA and which may therefore be directly affected by the works. These are sample sites 41 and 45 . Sample site 38 is also close (within 100 m ) to the SSA boundary.

Several Nationally Scarce species have been recorded from these samples including Microvelia pygmaea, Haliplus heydeni, Rhantus grapii, Cercyon tristis, Anacaena bipustulata and Chaetarthria seminulum which indicates that the ditches likely to be lost or damaged are of nature conservation value for their invertebrates. The absence of Red Data Book species however suggests that these ditches might not be exceptional.

None of the sample sites in Drake (1989a, in prep.) are likely to be directly affected by the works but they could be indirectly affected particularly by hydrological changes.

The historical records from the remaining areas of Sizewell Belts indicate that it is a good example of coastal grazing marshes.

### 4.2 Importance of the Survey Area to Invertebrates

Ditches that are species-rich in invertebrates or which include rare and uncommon species are not concentrated in any particular area and good ditches occurred throughout the site. Ditches which produced poorer results were generally eutrophicated or more heavily shaded. More sympathetic land management such as the minimal use of fertilisers, lower stocking rates, scrub clearance and tree thinning could result in an improvement (for nature conservation) in the poorer ditches. The sewage works located immediately to the west of Sizewell B appears to be a local source of eutrophication based on a visual inspection of the ditches and invertebrate sampling.

Four of the sample sites in September 2009 were located within the triangular area of Sizewell Belts that falls within the SSA (Figure 2.1). These are samples 13, 14, 15 and 23. Relatively few rare or uncommon species were recorded in these samples, possibly because there are several ditches here that had a complete cover of duckweed (Lemna spp) and green algae and consequently were clearly nutrient-enriched. These types of ditch are often of reduced invertebrate value partly because light penetration is seriously reduced and oxygen levels are reduced. Several ditches in this area were also shaded and this is again likely to have reduced the invertebrate value of these. No Red Data Book species were recorded here but the protected and RDB1 Norfolk hawker (Aeshna isosceles) was recorded at sample site 18 along with three other Red Data Book species (Odontomyia angulata, O. argentata and O. ornata) about 200m west of the SSA boundary.

Four Nationally Scarce water beetles were recorded within the part of the Sizewell Belts that falls within the SSA, namely, Rhantus suturalis, Anacaena bipustulata, Hydraena testacea and Ochthebius marinus. These water beetles and the absence of other Nationally Scarce or Red Data Book species suggest that the ditches here are reasonable but not exceptional. However, as
suggested above, this is likely to reflect the lack of sympathetic management and eutrophication.

The reedbed fauna here (which was sampled in 2007 with a Malaise trap) did record an exceptional insect fauna including the adults of rare species with aquatic larvae such as Odontomyia ornata (report ref: 19801cb183). The evidence suggests that the reedbeds here are more valuable than the ditch system and every effort should be made to ensure that they are not damaged or destroyed as a result of the proposals.

The bulk of the Sizewell Belts outside the SSA is clearly of high nature conservation value for its aquatic invertebrates. Better examples of grazing marshes may (or probably) occur in Suffolk (for example Minsmere) however, through some changes to existing management regimes, these habitats could be of equal value and are considered to be good examples of their type.

The direct and indirect impacts of the proposed power station on the Sizewell Belts should be carefully considered and effective mitigation and possibly habitat creation measures (as compensation) should be implemented to minimise these or compensate for any loss.

### 4.3 Longevity of Baseline

Good (i.e. professionally undertaken), detailed surveys should be useful for many years as indicated by the references to surveys as far back as late 1980s above. However, where change threatens a valuable ecosystem such as a sensitive habitat, then more regular monitoring is recommended. In this case, surveys of the entire belts could be undertaken every 2-3 years in order to ensure there is no diminution in habitat quality as a result of the land take.
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## Appendix A <br> Invertebrate Rarity Status Definitions

4 Pages
[This document is reproduced from an English Nature document. Full details of the references given are given in the original document].

For the purposes of evaluating invertebrate faunas and priorities for conservation action, invertebrates are attributed various rarity status categories, the meanings of which are given below. Criteria for the selection of species into Red Data Book categories one to five follow Shirt (1987), with minor modifications derived from Hyman \& Parsons (1992) and Parsons (1993).

Categories RDBI (Indeterminate) and RDBK (Insufficiently Known) are based on the criteria used by Wells, Pyle and Collins (1983).Criteria for the selection of Nationally Scarce species follow Eversham (1983) and Ball (1986).

## Red Data Book Category 1 RDB1 - ENDANGERED

Definition Taxa in danger of extinction in Great Britain and whose survival is unlikely if the causal factors continue operating.
Included are taxa whose numbers have been reduced to a critical level or whose habitats have been so dramatically reduced that they are deemed to be in immediate danger of extinction. Also included are some taxa that are possibly extinct.

Criteria Species, which are known or believed, to occur as only a single population within one 10km square of the National Grid.

Species, which only occur in habitats known to be especially vulnerable.
Species, which have shown a rapid and continuous decline over the last twenty years and are now estimated to exist in five or fewer 10 km squares.
Species which are possibly extinct but have been recorded this century but which if rediscovered would need protection.

## Red Data Book Category 2

## RDB2 - VULNERABLE

Definition Taxa believed likely to move into the Endangered category in the near future if the causal factors continue operating.
Included are taxa of which most or all of the populations are decreasing because of over-exploitation, extensive destruction of habitat or other environmental disturbance; taxa with populations that have been seriously depleted and whose ultimate security is not yet assured; and taxa with populations that are still abundant but are under threat from serious adverse factors throughout their range.

Criteria. Species declining throughout their range.
Species in vulnerable habitats.

## Red Data Book Category 3 RDB3-RARE

| Definition | Taxa with small populations in Great Britain that are not at present Endangered or Vulnerable, but are <br> at risk. <br> These taxa are usually localized within restricted geographical areas or habitats or are thinly scattered <br> over a more extensive range. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Criteria | Species, which are estimated to exist in only 15 or fewer 10km, squares. This criterion may be relaxed <br> where populations are likely to exist in over 1510 km squares but occupy small areas of especially <br> vulnerable habitat. |
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## Red Data Book Category 4 RDB4 - OUT OF DANGER

Definition Taxa formerly meeting the criteria of one of the aforementioned categories but which are now considered relatively secure because effective conservation measures have been taken or the previous threat to their survival in Great Britain has been removed.

## Red Data Book Category 5 RDB5 - ENDEMIC

Definition Taxa, which are not known to occur naturally outside Great Britain. Taxa within this category may also be in any of the other RDB categories or not threatened at all.
There are few truly endemic species in Great Britain. Most that have been identified are in fairly obscure groups, which are relatively poorly known, and the species may well eventually be discovered elsewhere in Europe.

## Red Data Book Appendix RDBApp. - EXTINCT

| Definition | Taxa which formerly had breeding populations in Great Britain but which are now believed to have <br> died out. (Taxa not recorded since 1900) |
| :--- | :--- |

Red Data Book Category I
RDB I - INDETERMINATE

Definition Taxa considered being Endangered, Vulnerable or Rare, but where there is not enough information to say which of the three categories (RDB1 to 3) is appropriate.

## Red Data Book Category K RDBK - INSUFFICIENTLY KNOWN

Definition Taxa that are suspected, but not definitely known, to belong to any of the aforementioned categories, because of lack of information.
Criteria Taxa recently discovered or recognised in Great Britain, which may prove to be more widespread in the future (although some recent discoveries may be placed in other categories if the group to which they belong is thought not to be under- recorded).
Taxa with very few or perhaps only a single known locality but which belong to poorly recorded or taxonomically difficult or unstable groups.
Species with very few or perhaps only a single known locality, inhabiting inaccessible or infrequently sampled but widespread habitats, such as some northern moorland species, species associated with some agricultural situations and species which are adult only during the winter.
Species with very few or perhaps only a single known locality and of questionable native status, but not clearly falling into the category of recent colonist, vagrant or introduction.

[^60]Definition The prefix ' $p$ ' before any Red Data Book category implies that the grading is provisional. In the majority of cases this means that the species' status has been reconsidered and changed in a Species Group Review produced subsequent to the publication of the relevant Red Data Book.
The statuses so given are described as provisional, pending the publication of a future edition of that Red Data Book. These statuses are however, based upon a greater amount of evidence than was available for the original Red Data Book and therefore are more likely to be a true representation of the species' actual status.
The prefix ' $p$ ' is also used for RDB status categories in groups where a Red Data Book has not yet been produced but is in preparation, or is used for species in groups covered by the original Red Data Book, where it is considered that there is evidence that the original grading was incorrect or that there has been a genuine change in status of the taxon.

## Nationally Scarce (Notable) Species

The term 'Nationally Scarce‘ was adopted and replaced the term 'Notable‘ during the compilation of the Guidelines for the Selection of Biological SSSIs. The two terms are thus interchangeable but 'Nationally Scarce‘ is preferable.

Ball (1986) discusses the allocation of species to Nationally Scarce categories:
''The Invertebrate Site Register project includes the preparation of National Species Reviews which seek to identify and document uncommon species. The criteria used have been based directly on those evolved by botanists and two levels of 'National Notability' has been used. These are Notable A, for species known to occur in 30 or less 10 km squares of the National Grid and Notable B for those known from 100 or less squares.

Although this system can be used directly with well-recorded groups like Dragonflies, Butterflies and Grasshoppers; when dealing with many other groups of insects, the level of recording is not sufficient to apply the criteria rigorously. A combination of three alternative approaches has been employed:

1. The approximate number of squares in which a species may occur can be estimated by looking at the number it has been recorded from as a proportion of the total in which the whole group (e.g. its family) has been recorded.
Coarser measurements such as the number of vice-counties in which a species has occurred can be used (7 or less for Notable A, 20 or less for Notable B).
2. Experts can be asked to use their field experience to judge the status of species in their particular specialist group against others with a better-established status. By consulting as many people as possible and taking a consensus of their views, geographical and personal biases can be minimized.
3. In some groups in which widespread interest and recording is a rather recent phenomenon, no attempt has yet been made to separate Notable A and Notable B species, and all Nationally Notable species are simply graded 'Notable'."

Nationally Scarce (Notable) $\quad \mathrm{N}$ - NOTABLE

Definition. Species, which are estimated to occur in 16 to 10010 km , squares in Great Britain. The subdividing of this category into Nationally Scarce A and Nationally Scarce B has not been attempted for some species because of either the degree of recording that has been carried out in the group to which the species belongs, or because there is some other reason why it is not sensible to be so exact.
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## Nationally Scarce (Notable) Category A Na-NOTABLE A

Definition Taxa which do not fall within RDB categories but which are uncommon in Great Britain and thought to occur in 30 or fewer 10km squares of the National Grid or, for less well recorded groups, within 7 or fewer vice-counties.

## Nationally Scarce (Notable) Category B Nb - NOTABLE B

Definition Taxa which do not fall within RDB categories but which are uncommon in Great Britain and thought to occur in between 31 and 100 10km squares of the National Grid or, for less well recorded groups, between 8 and 20 vice-counties.

## Regionally Scarce (Notable) Nr - NOTABLE

Definition Species which are considered to occur in 5 or less 10km squares in an area equivalent in size to a region of the old Nature Conservancy Council or larger, approximately one eighth the total area of England.
Such statuses were worked out during the compilation of the Invertebrate Site Registers. They cover various groups in Scotland, in northern England as a whole, in northeast and northwest England, in vice-county Yorkshire and in the east Midlands and East Anglia. They were worked out by local entomologists.

## LOCAL

Definition The term is not rigidly defined, but loosely means species confined to a particular habitat type (usually associated with better quality examples of that habitat), a particular geographic area, or species that are too widespread to warrant Nationally Scarce (Notable) status but are nevertheless infrequently encountered.

## COMMON

Definition Common or very widespread species, frequently recorded.

## SYANTHROPIC SPECIES

Definition $\quad$ Species dependent upon man, his buildings, livestock or crops.

## UNKNOWN

Definition Species where no status has been attributed. There may be confusion over the species' taxonomy, it may belong to a poorly recorded group or may occur in an infrequently sampled habitat. As a species is entered into the Invertebrate Site Register or RECORDER, the status automatically defaults to 'Unknown'.
Certain common or local species may therefore occasionally appear in this category if there has been no necessity to use the species record.
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# Appendix B Aquatic Invertebrates Recorded from Sizewell and Minsmere by Dr Martin Drake in April 2009 <br> 22 Pages 

| Group | Order | Family | Species | GB_STATUS | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arachnida | Araneae | Cybaeidae | Argyroneta aquatica | 3 | 10 |
| Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | 21 | 20 |
|  |  | Gammaridae | Gammarus duebeni | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Gammarus pulex | 2 | 2 |
|  | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | 2 | 17 |
|  |  |  | Asellus meridianus | 2 | 3 |
|  |  | Ligiidae | Ligidium hypnorum | 3 | 1 |
| Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Erpobdellidae | Erpobdella octoculata | 2 | 13 |
|  |  | Glossiphoniidae | Glossiphonia complanata | 2 | 6 |
|  |  |  | Glossiphonia heteroclita | 3 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Helobdella stagnalis | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Theromyzon tessulatum | 2 | 6 |
|  |  | Hirudinidae | Haemopis sanguisuga | 3 | 10 |
| Insecta | Coleoptera | Carabidae | Agonum thoreyi | 3 | 7 |
|  |  |  | Bembidion assimile | 2 | 4 |
|  |  |  | Demetrias imperialis | 5 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Odacantha melanura | 5 | 4 |
|  |  |  | Oodes helopioides | 5 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Pterostichus nigrita | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Stenolophus mixtus | 3 | 2 |
|  |  | Chrysomelidae | Aphthona nonstriata | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Galerucella nymphaeae | 3 | 7 |
|  |  |  | Phaedon cochleariae | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Prasocuris junci | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Prasocuris phellandrii | 3 | 5 |
|  |  |  | Psylliodes laticollis | 3 | 1 |
|  |  | Coccinellidae | Anisosticta novemdecimpunctata | 3 | 11 |
|  |  |  | Coccidula rufa | 2 | 14 |
|  |  | Curculionidae | Hypera pollux | 3 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Tanysphyrus lemnae | 3 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Thryogenes nereis | 3 | 5 |
|  |  | Dryopidae | Dryops luridus | 2 | 6 |
|  |  | Dytiscidae | Agabus bipustulatus | 2 | 4 |
|  |  |  | Agabus sturmii | 2 | 8 |
|  |  |  | Colymbetes fuscus | 2 | 4 |
|  |  |  | Colymbetini | 0 | 5 |
|  |  |  | Dytiscus | 0 | 12 |
|  |  |  | Dytiscus semisulcatus | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Graphoderus cinereus | 10 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Graptodytes pictus | 3 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Hydaticus seminiger | 5 | 5 |
|  |  |  | Hydaticus transversalis | 9 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Hydroglyphus geminus | 5 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Hydroporus angustatus | 2 | 13 |
|  |  |  | Hydroporus memnonius | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Hydroporus palustris | 2 | 11 |
|  |  |  | Hydroporus planus | 2 | 10 |
|  |  |  | Hydroporus pubescens | 2 | 18 |
|  |  |  | Hydrovatus clypealis | 6 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Hygrotus impressopunctatus | 3 | 8 |
|  |  |  | Hygrotus inaequalis | 2 | 12 |
|  |  |  | Hygrotus parallelogrammus | 5 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Hyphydrus ovatus | 2 | 13 |
|  |  |  | llybius ater | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Ilybius quadriguttatus | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Laccophilus hyalinus | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Laccophilus minutus | 3 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Liopterus haemorrhoidalis | 3 | 5 |
|  |  |  | Rhantus frontalis | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  | Rhantus grapii | 5 | 4 |


| Group | Order | Family | Species | GB_STATUS | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rhantus suturalis | 5 | 11 |
|  |  | Gyrinidae | Gyrinus caspius | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Gyrinus paykulli | 6 | 1 |
|  |  | Haliplidae | Haliplus | 0 | 15 |
|  |  |  | Haliplus immaculatus | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Haliplus lineatocollis | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Haliplus ruficollis | 2 | 10 |
|  |  |  | Haliplus sibiricus | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Peltodytes caesus | 5 | 7 |
|  |  | Helophoridae | Helophorus aequalis | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Helophorus griseus | 5 | 5 |
|  |  |  | Helophorus minutus | 2 | 9 |
|  |  |  | Helophorus obscurus | 2 | 1 |
|  |  | Hydraenidae | Hydraena riparia | 3 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Hydraena testacea | 5 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Limnebius truncatellus | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Ochthebius dilatatus | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Ochthebius marinus | 5 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Ochthebius minimus | 2 | 11 |
|  |  |  | Ochthebius nanus | 5 | 2 |
|  |  | Hydrochidae | Hydrochus angustatus | 5 | 2 |
|  |  | Hydrophilidae | Anacaena globulus | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Anacaena limbata | 2 | 17 |
|  |  |  | Cercyon convexiusculus | 5 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Cercyon marinus | 3 | 6 |
|  |  |  | Cercyon tristis | 5 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Coelostoma orbiculare | 2 | 6 |
|  |  |  | Cymbiodyta marginellus | 3 | 12 |
|  |  |  | Enochrus coarctatus | 3 | 6 |
|  |  |  | Enochrus halophilus | 6 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Enochrus melanocephalus | 5 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Enochrus ochropterus | 5 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Enochrus quadripunctatus | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Enochrus testaceus | 3 | 11 |
|  |  |  | Helochares lividus | 5 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Hydrobius fuscipes | 2 | 11 |
|  |  |  | Hydrophilus piceus | 10 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Laccobius bipunctatus | 2 | 11 |
|  |  |  | Laccobius colon | 3 | 1 |
|  |  | Noteridae | Noterus clavicornis | 3 | 17 |
|  |  | Scirtidae | Cyphon laevipennis | 3 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Cyphon padi | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Scirtidae | 0 | 17 |
|  |  | Silvanidae | Psammoecus bipunctatus | 3 | 1 |
|  |  | Staphylinidae | Paederus fuscipes | 5 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Paederus riparius | 3 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Stenus bifoveolatus | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Stenus boops | 2 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Stenus cicindeloides | 3 | 12 |
|  |  |  | Stenus formicetorum | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Stenus incrassatus | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Stenus juno | 2 | 4 |
|  |  |  | Stenus latifrons | 2 | 13 |
|  |  |  | Stenus melanopus | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Stenus nitidiusculus | 2 | 6 |
|  |  |  | Stenus picipennis | 3 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Stenus solutus | 3 | 4 |
|  | Diptera | Chaoboridae | Chaoborus crystallinus | 2 | 1 |
|  |  | Culicidae | Anopheles atroparvus | 2 | 1 |
|  |  | Dixidae | Dixella attica | 5 | 2 |


| Group | Order | Family | Species | GB_STATUS | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Dixella autumnalis | 3 | 312 |
|  |  | Dolichopodidae | Achalcus thalhammeri | 0 |  |
|  |  | Empididae | Clinocera stagnalis | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | Ephydridae | Coenia palustris | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Hydrellia maura | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Parydra coarctata | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Pelina aenea | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Pelina similis | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Scatella stagnalis | 2 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Scatella tenuicosta | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | Lauxaniidae | Trigonometopus frontalis | 3 | 3 |
|  |  | Lonchopteridae | Lonchoptera lutea | 2 | 21 |
|  |  |  | Lonchoptera scutellata | 5 | - 1 |
|  |  | Ptychopteridae | Ptychoptera minuta | 3 | 31 |
|  |  | Scathophagidae | Spaziphora hydromyzina | 3 | 31 |
|  |  | Sciomyzidae | Pherbellia dorsata | 5 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Pherbellia schoenherri | 3 | 31 |
|  |  |  | Sepedon spinipes | 3 | 3 |
|  |  | Sphaeroceridae | Lotophila atra | 2 | 1 |
|  |  | Stratiomyidae | Odontomyia ornata | 12 | 5 |
|  |  |  | Odontomyia tigrina | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  | Oplodontha viridula | 3 | 315 |
|  |  |  | Oxycera rara | 3 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Oxycera trilineata | 3 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Stratiomys singularior | 5 | 5 |
|  |  |  | Vanoyia tenuicornis | 5 | 5 |
|  |  | Syrphidae | Chrysogaster | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Eristalini | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Neoascia tenur | 3 | 1 |
|  |  | Tabanidae | Tabanidae | 0 | 8 |
|  | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | 2 | 219 |
|  |  | Caenidae | Caenis robusta | 4 | 4 |
|  | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Corixa panzeri | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Corixa punctata | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Corixidae | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Cymatia coleoptrata | 3 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Hesperocorixa linnaei | 2 | 14 |
|  |  |  | Hesperocorixa sahlbergi | 2 | 5 |
|  |  |  | Sigara dorsalis | 2 | 4 |
|  |  | Gerridae | Gerris | 0 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Gerris lacustris | 2 | 4 |
|  |  |  | Gerris lateralis | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Gerris odontogaster | 2 | 8 |
|  |  |  | Gerris thoracicus | 2 | 1 |
|  |  | Hebridae | Hebrus ruficeps | 3 | 3 |
|  |  | Hydrometridae | Hydrometra stagnorum | 2 | 10 |
|  |  | Lygaeidae | Ischnodemus sabuleti | 2 | 1 |
|  |  | Naucoridae | Ilyocoris cimicoides | 2 | 17 |
|  |  | Nepidae | Nepa cinerea | 2 | 9 |
|  |  |  | Ranatra linearis | 3 | 3 |
|  |  | Notonectidae | Notonecta | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  | Notonecta glauca | 2 | 17 |
|  |  | Pleidae | Plea minutissima | 2 | 9 |
|  |  | Saldidae | Chartoscirta cincta | 2 | 7 |
|  |  |  | Saldula pallipes | 2 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Saldula palustris | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | Tingidae | Dictyla convergens | 3 | 1 |
|  |  | Veliidae | Microvelia pygmaea | 5 | 4 |
|  |  |  | Microvelia reticulata | 2 | 16 |
|  |  |  | Velia | 0 | 1 |

Count of Species (continued)


| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_StATUS | S GB_STATUS_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_StAGE | date | RECORDER_L | Sex_stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydaticus seminiger | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 adult | 27-Ap | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus suturalis | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Hydrobius fuscipes | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Halipilidae | Peltodytes caesus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Tingidae | Dictyla convergens | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Hebridae | Hebrus ruficeps | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Squamata | Colubridae | Natrix natrix | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus palustris | 1 |  | 2 common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Oplodontha viridula | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 larva | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagriidae | Ischnura elegans | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 lava | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritidae | Sciritidae | 1 | 0 | Unknown | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 lava | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 larva | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | , | 2009 | April | Insecta | Lepidoptera | Pyralidae | Cataclysta lemnata | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 larva | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Urodela | Salamandridae | Triturus vilgaris | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus cicindeloides | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 2 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Veliidae | Microvelia pygmaea | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 2 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Stratiomys singularior | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 2 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | 2 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 2 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagriidae | Pyrrhosoma nymphula | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 2 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Oxycera rara | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 1 | TM4626367 | 2 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus pubescens | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 3 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Anacaena limbata | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 3 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limneephilus lunatus | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 3 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus ochropterus | 3 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 3 males | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus sibiricus | 3 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 3 males | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Agabus sturmii | 4 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 4 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca | 4 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 4 adults | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis carinatus | 4 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 4 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea palustris | 5 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 5 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Dytiscus | 5 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 5 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Ochthebius nanus | 6 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 6 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus | 8 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 8 females | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Laccobius bipunctatus | 16 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 16 adults | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Gerridae | Gerris lateralis | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 male, 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Hydrometridae | Hydrometra stagnorum | 4 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | 1 male, 3 females | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeridae | Pisidium | F |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | N |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | adult numerous | 27 -Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | N |  | 21 Naturalised | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | adult numerous | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | N |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | larva numerous | 27 -Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Naucoridae | Ilyocoris cimicoides | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Nepidae | Nepa cinerea | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Erpobdellidae | Erpobdella octoculata | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Hippeutis complanatus | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Radix balthica | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Succineidae | Oxyloma elegans | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | adult occasional | ${ }^{27}$-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Molusca | Valvatidae | Valvata cristata | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm1 | 1 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Corixidae | - |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 1 | TM45626367 | immature occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | ${ }_{\text {IM }}$ |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hyphydrus ovatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Helochares lividus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Ochthebius marinus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Ochthebius minimus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Anisosticta novemdecimpunctata | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Coccidula rufa | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea palustris | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus frontalis | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 adult | 29 -Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Saldidae | Chartoscita cincta | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cercyon marinus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Carabidae | Bembidion assimile | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Carabidae | Agonum thoreyi | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Curculionidae | Thryogenes nereis | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Laccobius bipunctatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Hydrometridae | Hydrometra stagnorum |  |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.m. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Ephydridae | Coenia palustris | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagriidae | Ischnura elegans | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 larva | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Colymbetini | 1 | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 larva | 29 -Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 larva | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM10 | 10 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Tabanidae | Tabanidae | 1 | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 46 | TM47466521 | 1 larva | 29-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | L |




| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_StATUS | S GB_Status_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_STAGE | DATE | RECORDER_L | SEX_Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cercyon marinus | 5 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | 5 adults | 29-Apr | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Oplodontha viridula | 6 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | 6 larvas | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cymbiodyta marginellus | 8 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | 8 aduts | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus angustatus | 10 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | 10 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | 10 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | 10 larvas | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus quadripunctatus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | 1 male, 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus palustris | 5 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | 2 males, 3 females | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus inaequalis | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Noteridae | Noterus clavicornis | F |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Naucoridae | Hyocoris cimicoides | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | F |  | 21 Naturalised | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Radix balthica | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Pisidium | F |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis carinatus | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis planorbis | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Viviparidae | Viviparus contectus | F |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | larva frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritidae | Sciritidae | F | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | larva frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithynnidae | Bithynia tentaculata | N |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult numerous | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Nepidae | Nepa cinerea | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Erpobdellidae | Erpobdella octoculata | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Hirudinidae | Haemopis sanguisuga | - |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Pisces | Gasterosteidae | Pungitius pungitius | - |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Hippeutis complanatus | - |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea palustris | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm12 | 12 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea stagnalis | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 21 | TM46806544 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Liopterus haemorrhoidalis | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Anisosticta novemdecimpunctata | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Coccidula rufa | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Halipilidae | Peltodytes caesus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Veliidae | Microvelia reticulata | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Gammaridae | Gammarus pulex | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithynnidae | Bithynia leachii | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Physidae | Physa fontinalis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus frontalis | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Ephydridae | Scatella stagnalis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cercyon marinus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Carabidae | Oodes helopioides | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Carabidae | Agonum thoreyi | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus latifrons | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus parallelogrammus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Gyrinidae | Gyrinus caspius | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Ephydridae | Coenia palustris | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Carabidae | Pterostichus nigrita | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Oplodontha viridula | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 lava | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagriidae | Ischnura elegans | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 larva | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Helophoridae | Helophorus minutus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 male | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | M |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Helophoridae | Helophorus griseus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 male | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | м |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus melanopus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 male | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Gyrinidae | Gyrinus paykulli | 1 |  | 6 Na | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 male | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydaticus seminiger | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 2 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Gyraulus crista | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 2 aduts | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus solutus | 2 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 2 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus juno | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 2 females | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | 2 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 2 larvas | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus planus | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 3 aduts | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus pubescens | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 3 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus suturalis | 3 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 3 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cercyon tristis | 3 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 3 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus cicindeloides | 3 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 3 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Dixidae | Dixella autumnalis | 4 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 4 larvas | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Anacaena limbata | 5 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 5 adults | $29-\mathrm{Apr-09}$ | Drake, С.м. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus angustatus | 6 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 6 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | 6 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 6 larvas | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus inaequalis | 8 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 8 adults | 29 -Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Ochtrebius minimus | 8 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 8 aduts | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Noteridae | Noterus clavicorris | 10 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 10 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |


| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_Status | US GB_StATUS_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_StAGE | date | RECORDER_ | L SEX_Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus meridianus | 10 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 10 adults | 29-2 | c.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | 11 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 11 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cymbiodyta marginellus | 13 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 13 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Hydrobius fuscipes | 13 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 13 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritide | Cyphon laevipennis | 2 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 1 male, 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | м, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus halophilus | 4 |  | 6 Na | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | 2 males, 2 females | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | м, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus impressopunctatus | F |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | F |  | 21 Naturalised | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithyniidae | Bithynia tentaculata | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea palustris | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Arachnida | Araneae | Cybaeidae | Argyroneta aquatica | F |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | larva frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis planorbis | N |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | adult numerous | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Naucoridae | Ilyocoris cimicoides | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Radix bathica | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Aeshnidae | Brachytron pratense | - |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | larva occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm13 | 13 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Tabanidae | Tabanidae | 1 | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 29 | TM47116563 | larva several | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus pubescens | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Laccophilus hyalinus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cymbiodyta marginellus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus melanocephalus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Ochthebius dilataus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Ochthebius minimus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Coccidula rufa | 1 |  | 2 common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Musculium lacustre | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Physidae | Aplexa hypnorum | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Galerucella nymphaeae | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Carabidae | Bembidion assimile | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Curculionidae | Thryogenes nereis | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus latifrons | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Hydrophilus piceus | 1 |  | 10 RDB3 | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Sciomyzidae | Pherbellia dorsata | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Laccobius colon | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Dytiscus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Caenidae | Caenis robusta | 1 |  | 4 Nr | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 lava | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limneephilus lunatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Dixidae | Dixella attica | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Ephydridae | Coenia palustris | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 male | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydaticus seminiger | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hyphydrus ovatus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Anacaena limbata | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Nepidae | Ranatra linearis | 2 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Saldidae | Chartoscirta cincta | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Odontomyia tigrina | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 2 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagriidae | Ischnura elegans | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 2 lavas | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Dixidae | Dixella autumnalis | 2 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 2 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus suturalis | 3 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 3 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Anisosticta novemdecimpunctata | 3 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 3 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С... | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Hippeutis complanatus | 4 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 4 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | 4 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 4 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | 4 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 4 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Veliidae | Microvelia reticulata | 10 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 10 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus inaequalis | 14 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 14 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Laccobius bipunctatus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 male, 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Paederus riparius | 2 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | 1 male, 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Noteridae | Noterus clavicornis | F |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | F |  | 21 Naturalised | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithyniidae | Bithynia tentaculata | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea palustris | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea stagnalis | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeridae | Pisidium | F |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis carinatus | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Sphaerium corneum | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Valvatidae | Valvata cristata | F |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | larva frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus coarctatus | $\bigcirc$ |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Naucoridae | Ilyocoris cimicoides | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Erpobdellidae | Erpobdella octoculata | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Hirudinidae | Haemopis sanguisuga | $\bigcirc$ |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |


| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_Statu | US GB_StATUS_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_STAGE | DATE | RECORDER | SEX_Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Glossiphoniidae | Theromyzon tessulatum | 0 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Pisces | Gasterosteidae | Pungitius pungitius | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Radix balthica | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Succineidae | Oxyloma elegans | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Physidae | Physa fontinalis | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Viviparidae | Viviparus contectus | - |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Aeshnidae | Brachytron pratense | - |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | larva occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM14 | 14 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Scirtidae | Scirtidae | - | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 19 | TM46546567 | larva occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Graptodytes pictus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus angustatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Laccophilus hyalinus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus testaceus | , |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Anisosticta novemdecimpunctata | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Hippeutis complanatus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Molusca | Sphaeriidae | Musculium lacustre | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Valvatidae | Valvata cristata | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus frontalis | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Prasocuris phellandrii | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Saldidae | Chartoscirta cincta | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cercyon marinus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Curculionidae | Hypera pollux | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus parallelogrammus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Helophoridae | Helophorus obscurus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Urodela | Salamandridae | Triturus vulgaris | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 lava | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Colymbetini | 1 | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | Apriil | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus lunatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 larva | 28 -Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Tabanidae | Tabanidae | 1 | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 lava | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Dixidae | Dixella autumnalis | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Ilybius quadriguttatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 male | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | M |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hyphydrus ovatus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Anacaena limbata | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 2 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cymbiodyta marginellus | 2 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 2 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Coccidula rufa | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 2 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Peltodytes caesus | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Physidae | Physa fontinalis | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | Aprii | Mollusca | Mollusca | Hydrobiidae | Potamopyrgus antipodarum | 2 |  | 21 Naturalised | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 2 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Helophoridae | Helophorus griseus | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 2 males | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Phaedon cochleariae | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 3 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 3 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Veliidae | Microvelia reticulata | 4 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 4 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus cicindeloides | 4 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 4 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Dytiscus | 4 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 4 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus inaequalis | 5 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 5 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Ochthebius minimus | 5 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 5 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa linnaei | 5 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 5 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Sigara dorsalis | 5 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 5 females | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus impressopunctatus | 8 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 8 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus suturalis | 9 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 9 adults | 28 -Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus pubescens | 10 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 10 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus planus | 11 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 11 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Hydrobius fuscipes | 11 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 11 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Noteridae | Noterus clavicornis | 12 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 12 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus | 2 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 female, 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F, L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Laccobius bipunctatus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 1 male, 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus palustris | 6 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 3 males, 3 females | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Helophoridae | Helophorus minutus | 25 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | 5 males, 20 females | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | F |  | 21 Naturalised | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Bathyomphalus contortus | F |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea palustris | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Radix balthica | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Pisidium | N |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult numerous | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Naucoridae | Hyocoris cimicoides | o |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |


| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_StATUS | S GB_StATUS_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_STAGE | DATE | RECORDER_L | Sex_stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Pleidae | Plea minutissima | 0 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult occasional | 28-Ap | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Erpobdellidae | Erpobdella octoculata | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Hirudinidae | Haemopis sanguisuga | - |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Pisces | Gasterosteidae | Pungitius pungitius | - |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithyniidae | Bithynia tentaculata | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis planorbis | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Arachnida | Araneae | Cybaeidae | Argyroneta aquatica | - |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM15 | 15 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritidae | Sciritiae | - | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 15 | TM46646601 | larva occasional | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Agabus sturmii | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus planus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus pubescens | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus grapii | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Anacaena limbata | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Ochtrebius minimus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Anisosticta novemdecimpunctata | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Coccidula rufa | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Tricladida | Tricladida | Dugesidae | Dugesia lugubris | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Sciomyzidae | Sepedon spinipes | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Sphaeroceridae | Lotophila atra | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Prasocuris phellandrii | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Paederus fuscipes | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Ephydridae | Coenia palustris | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Dolichopodidae | Achalcus thalhammeri | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Urodela | Salamandridae | Triturus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 immature | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | ${ }^{19}$ |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagriidae | Ischnura elegans | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 lava | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 lava | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Colymbetini | 1 | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus solutus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 male | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | M |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus latifrons | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 1 male | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | м |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Liopterus haemorrhoidalis | 2 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 2 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus angustatus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus impressopunctatus | 2 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus ineequalis | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 2 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cymbiodyta marginellus | 2 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 2 adults | 28 -Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Pisidium | 2 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Carabidae | Odacantha melanura | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 2 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Saldidae | Chartoscirta cincta | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Veliidae | Microvelia pygmaea | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Hydrometridae | Hydrometra stagnorum | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 2 females | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.m. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus suturalis | 3 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 3 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus testaceus | 3 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 3 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Physidae | Physa fontinalis | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 3 adults | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Oplodontha viridula | 3 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 3 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Helophoridae | Helophorus minutus | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 3 males | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | м |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus ruficollis | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 3 males | ${ }^{28-A p r-09}$ | 9 Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | 4 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 4 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Dixidae | Dixella a atica | 4 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 4 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Hydrobius fuscipes | 5 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 5 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa linnaei | 5 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 5 adults | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | 5 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 5 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Dixidae | Dixella autumnalis | 6 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 6 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Noteridae | Noterus clavicornis | 7 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 7 adults | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Veliidae | Microvelia reticulata | 11 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 11 adults | 28 -Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus palustris | 4 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | 3 males, 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | F |  | 21 Naturalised | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Bathyomphalus contortus | F |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea palustris | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | adult frequent | 28 -Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Radix balthica | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Molusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis carinatus | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | adult frequent | 28 -Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis planorbis | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithynnidae | Bithynia tentaculata | N |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | adult numerous | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Colymbetes fuscus | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Nepidae | Nepa cinerea | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Pisces | Gasterosteidae | Pungitius pungitius | - |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Succineidae | Succinea putris | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |


| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_Status | US GB_StATUS_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_StAGE | date | RECORDER_ | L SEX_Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Arachnida | Araneae | Cybaeidae | Argyroneta aquatica | $\bigcirc$ |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | . M . | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Aeshnidae | Brachytron pratense | $\bigcirc$ |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | larva occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm16 | 16 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritidae | Sciritidae | - | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 13 | TM46596618 | larva occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus inaequalis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus parallelogrammus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | llybius ater | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Laccophilus minutus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cymbiodyta marginellus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Coccidula rufa | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Veliidae | Microvelia reticulata | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Pleidae | Plea minutissima | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Glossiphoniidae | Glossiphonia complanata | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Sciomyzidae | Sepedon spinipes | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Carabidae | Demetrias imperialis | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Tricladida | Tricladida | Dendrocoelidae | Dendrocoelum lacteum | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Galerucella nymphaeae | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroglyphus geminus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus latifrons | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Curculionidae | Thryogenes nereis | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Physidae | Aplexa hypnorum | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 dead | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | D |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Ilybius quadriguttatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Laccobius bipunctatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Saldidae | Saldula pallipes | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Glossiphoniidae | Theromyzon tessulatum | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 immature | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | ıM |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Colymbetes fuscus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Odontomyia ornata | 1 |  | 12 RDB2 | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Oplodontha viridula | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Libellulidae | Sympetrum | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Culicidae | Anopheles atroparvus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus memnonius | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 male | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | м |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Halipiliae | Haliplus ruficollis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 male | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | м |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Gerridae | Gerris lacustris | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 male | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Agabus sturmii | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydaticus seminiger | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus angustatus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus pubescens | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 2 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus testaceus | 2 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Anisosticta novemdecimpunctata | 2 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Acroloxidae | Acroloxus lacustris | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Dytiscus | 2 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 2 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus suturalis | 3 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 3 aduls | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Anacaena limbata | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 3 aduls | 28 -Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Ochthebius minimus | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 3 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 3 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeridae | Sphaerium corneum | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 3 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cercyon marinus | 3 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 3 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Colymbetini | 3 | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 3 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 3 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Agabus bipustulatus | 4 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 4 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | 4 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 4 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa linnaei | 8 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 8 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | 8 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 8 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Hydrobius fuscipes | 9 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 9 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Noteridae | Noterus clavicornis | 9 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 9 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus planus | 11 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 11 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | 11 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 11 lavas | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Hydrophilus piceus | 2 |  | 10 RDB3 | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 1 female, 1 egg/ovum | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F, O |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus juno | 4 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 2 males, 2 females | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Helophoridae | Helophorus minutus | 7 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 2 males, 5 females | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | m, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus palustris | 6 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | 4 males, 2 females | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Naucoridae | Hyocoris cimicoides | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Erpobdellidae | Erpobdella octoculata | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Bathyomphalus contortus | F |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Hippeutis complanatus | F |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Pisidium | F |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis carinatus | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Valvatidae | Valvata cristata | F |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Viviparidae | Viviparus contectus | F |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | N |  | 21 Naturalised | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | adult numerous | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |


| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_Status | US GB_StATUS_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_STAGE | date | RECORDER | SEX_Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\text { Sizewell }}$ | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Molusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea palustris | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Radix bathica | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea stagnalis | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis planorbis | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Arachnida | Araneae | Cybaeidae | Argyroneta aquatica | $\bigcirc$ |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm17 | 17 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Scirtidae | Sciritae | - | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 9 | TM46046617 | larva occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | 1 |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Colymbetes fuscus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus impressopunctatus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus inaequalis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus grapii | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus suturalis | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Anacaena limbata | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cymbiodyta marginellus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Anisosticta novemdecimpunctata | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis planorbis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Sphaerium corneum | 1 |  | 2 common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus frontalis | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Carabidae | Odacantha melanura | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Ephydridae | Parydra coarctata | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Tricladida | Tricladida | Dugesiidae | Dugesia lugubris | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Saldidae | Chartoscirta cincta | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Ephydridae | Pelina similis | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Saldidae | Saldula palustris | 1 |  | 2 common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus incrassatus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 male | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Agabus bipustulatus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 2 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus angustatus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus planus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 2 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus pubescens | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 2 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa linnaei | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Veliidae | Microvelia reticulata | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | 2 |  | 21 Naturalised | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 2 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | ${ }_{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Galerucella nymphaeae | 2 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 1 | TM45996335 | 2 aduls | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Ochthebius minimus | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 3 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus juno | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 3 females | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Coccidula rufa | 4 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 4 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa sahlbergi | 4 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 4 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Hydrobius fuscipes | 5 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 5 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Oplodontha viridula | 5 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 5 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus cicindeloides | 6 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 6 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus palustris | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 1 male, 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | m, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Helophoridae | Helophorus minutus | 9 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | 4 males, 5 females | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithyniidae | Bithynia tentaculata | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | F |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | larva frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | larva frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | larva frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Erpobdellidae | Erpobdella octoculata | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Pisces | Gasterosteidae | Pungitius pungitius | - |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Acroloxidae | Acroloxus lacustris | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Radix balthica | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Gerridae | Gerris | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta | - |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | immature occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | IM |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM18 | 18 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Aeshnidae | Brachytron pratense | - |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 1 | TM45996635 | larva occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Agabus sturmii | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydaticus seminiger | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus planus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Anisosticta novemdecimpunctata | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Noteridae | Noterus clavicornis | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Peltodytes caesus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Curculionidae | Tanysphyrus lemnae | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa sahlbergi | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Hydrometridae | Hydrometra stagnorum | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Pleidae | Plea minutissima | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Acroloxidae | Acroloxus lacustris | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis planorbis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |


| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_Status | US GB_StATUS_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_STAGE | date | RECORDER_ | L SEX_Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Carabidae | Oodes helopioides | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28 | C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Ephydridae | Scatella tenuicosta | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Ephydridae | Scatella stagnalis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Aphthona nonstriata | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Hydraena riparia | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Limnebius truncatellus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus juno | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Dytiscus semisulcatus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Dytiscus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Oplodontha viridula | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Dixidae | Dixella autumnalis | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | ᄂ |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Vanoyia tenuicornis | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dryopidae | Dryops luridus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 male | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | м |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus auricula | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 male | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | м |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus boops | 1 |  | 2 common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 male | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | м |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus halophilus | 1 |  | 6 Na | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 male | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | м |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Helophoridae | Helophorus griseus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 male | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | м |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Graptodytes pictus | 2 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hyphydrus ovatus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Helophoridae | Helophorus aequalis | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 2 males | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Helophoridae | Helophorus minutus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 2 males | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | m |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Galerucella nymphaeae | 3 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 3 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Ochthebius nanus | 3 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 3 males | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus angustatus | 4 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 4 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus cicindeloides | 4 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 4 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus impressopunctatus | 5 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 5 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Ochthebius minimus | 5 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 5 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | 5 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 5 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus ineequalis | 6 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 6 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus palustris | 6 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 6 males | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | m |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Anacaena limbata | 7 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 7 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus testaceus | 8 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 8 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus suturalis | 11 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 11 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa linnaei | 11 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 11 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Hydrobius fuscipes | 14 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 14 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Veliidae | Microvelia reticulata | 28 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 28 adults | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus | 2 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 female, 1 lava | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F, L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus latifrons | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 1 male, 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Saldidae | Saldula pallipes | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | 2 males, 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | F |  | 21 Naturalised | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Bathyomphalus contortus | F |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithyniidae | Bithynia tentaculata | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea palustris | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | adult trequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | larva frequent | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Nepidae | Nepa cinerea | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Erpobdellidae | Erpobdella octoculata | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Pisces | Gasterosteidae | Pungitius pungitius | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Radix balthica | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Aeshnidae | Brachytron pratense | $\bigcirc$ |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | larva occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritae | Scirtidae | - | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | larva occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM19 | 19 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Naucoridae | llyocoris cimicoides | - |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 3 | TM46126634 | male occasional | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus angustatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hyphydrus ovatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Gerridae | Gerris lacustris | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Gerridae | Gerris thoracicus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis planorbis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Vertiginidae | Vertigo antivertigo | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Syrphidae | Neoascia tenur | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | , | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Glossiphoniidae | Theromyzon tessulatum | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 immature | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | 1 M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Dytiscus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 lava | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Odontomyia tigrina | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 lava | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Aeshnidae | Aeshnidae | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 larva | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Helophoridae | Helophorus minutus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | м |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Laccobius bipunctatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus latifrons | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus nitidiusculus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |


| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_StATUS | S GB_StATUS_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_StAGE | date | RECORDER_L | Sex_stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Syrphidae | Chrysogaster | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 pupa/pupal cocoon | 27-Ap | Drake, C.M. | P |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Aeshnidae | Brachytron pratense | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 subadult male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | sum |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus pubescens | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 2 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Pisidium | 2 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 2 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dryopidae | Dryops luridus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 2 males | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Noteridae | Noterus clavicornis | 3 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 3 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Veliidae | Microvelia reticulata | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 3 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus cicindeloides | ${ }^{3}$ |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 3 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Anacaena limbata | 4 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 4 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Oplodontha viridula |  |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 4 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | , |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | 5 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 5 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Dixidae | Dixella autumnalis | 6 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 6 larvas | 27 -Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus coarctatus | 8 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 8 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Gerridae | Gerris odontogaster | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | 1 male, 2 females | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | F |  | 21 Naturalised | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 |  | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis carinatus | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Viviparidae | Viviparus contectus | F |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Arachnida | Araneae | Cybaeidae | Argyroneta aquatica | F |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | F |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | larva frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | larva frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. |  |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritidae | Sciritae | F | 0 | Unknown | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | larva frequent | 27 -Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | larva frequent | 27 -Apr-09 | Drake, C.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Molusca | Bithynnidae | Bithynia tentaculata | N |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | adult numerous | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Naucoridae | Hyocoris cimicoides | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Nepidae | Nepa cinerea | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Erpobdellidae | Erpobdella octoculata | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Hirudinidae | Haemopis sanguisuga | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Bathyomphalus contortus | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Hippeutis complanatus | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea stagnalis | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM2 | 2 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Molusca | Valvatidae | Valvata cristata | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 8 | TM46236387 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus planus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6a | TM46326330 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hyphydrus ovatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6a | TM46326330 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cercyon convexiusculus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 adult | 28 -Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus testaceus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Anisosticta novemdecimpunctata | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Veliidae | Microvelia reticulata | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 adult | 28 -Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Gyraulus crista | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis planorbis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6 a | TM46326630 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Valvatidae | Valvata cristata | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Prasocuris phellandrii | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Galerucella nymphaeae | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 adult | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Helophoridae | Helophorus minutus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Hydraena riparia | 1 |  | 3 Local | Minsmere 6 a | TM46326630 | 1 female | ${ }^{28-A p r-09}$ | 9 Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus halophilus | 1 |  | 6 Na | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 female | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Dytiscus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritidae | Sciritae | 1 | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. |  |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Tabanidae | Tabanidae | 1 | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 larva | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea palustris | 2 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 2 adults | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus frontalis | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 2 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Libelluidae | Sympetrum | 2 |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 2 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Ochthebius minimus | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 3 adults | ${ }^{28}$-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 3 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithyniidae | Bithynia tentaculata | 3 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6a | TM46326330 | 3 aduts | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Colymbetini | 7 | 0 | Unknown | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 7 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagridae | Ischnura elegans | 9 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 9 larvas | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa linnaei | 17 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 17 adults | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Sigara dorsalis | 4 |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | 1 male, 3 females | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | F |  | 21 Naturalised | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Pisidium | F |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | adult frequent | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | F |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | larva frequent | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Pisces | Gasterosteidae | Pungitius pungitius | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 6a | TM46326330 | adult occasional | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | SM20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Radix balthica | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Minsmere 6 a | TM46326630 | adult occasional | 28 -Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Minsmere | Sm20 | 20 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Gerridae | Gerris | - |  | 0 Unknown | Minsmere 6a | TM46326630 | immature occasional | 28-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | IM |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus angustatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |


| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_StATUS | S GB_StATUS_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_StAGE | date | RECORDER | SEX_Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus planus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Ap | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus pubescens | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | ऽмз |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus impressopunctatus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | llybius ater | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus grapii | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Coelostoma orbiculare | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Coccidula rufa | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | ऽмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa sahlbergi | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Bathyomphalus contortus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithyniidae | Bithynia tentaculata | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | ऽмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Ligiidae | Ligidium hypnorum | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Lauxaniidae | Trigonometopus frontalis | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27 -Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sм3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Saldidae | Chartoscirta cincta | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Silvanidae | Psammoecus bipunctatus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Galerucella nymphaeae | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | ऽмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Carabidae | Agonum thoreyi | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sм3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Urodela | Salamandridae | Triturus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Gerridae | Gerris lacustris | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. |  |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | ऽмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Ephydridae | Pelina aenea | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | ऽмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritidae | Cyphon padi | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Glossiphoniidae | Theromyzon tessulatum | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 immature | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | וM |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Glyphotaelius pellucidus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 larva | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Ptychopteridae | Ptychoptera minuta | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 larva | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | ऽмз |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus palustris | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | м |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sм3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Halipidae | Haliplus ruficollis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus nitidiusculus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | m |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | ऽмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus bifoveolatus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | м |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Syrphidae | Eristalini | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 pupa/pupal cocoon | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | P |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus suturalis | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 2 adults | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sм3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cymbiodyta marginellus | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 2 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 2 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Pleidae | Plea minutissima | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 2 aduls | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Curculionidae | Thryogenes nereis | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 2 adults | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sм3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus latifrons | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 2 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Odontomyia ornata | 2 |  | 12 RDB2 | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 2 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 2 larvas | ${ }^{27}$-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Tabanidae | Tabanidae | 2 | 0 | Unknown | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 2 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Dixidae | Dixella autumnalis | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 2 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus picipennis | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 2 males | ${ }^{27}$-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM3 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus inaequalis | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 3 aduls | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sм3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hyphydrus ovatus | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 3 adults | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus testaceus | 3 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 3 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeridae | Musculium lacustre | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 3 immatures | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | ıM |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Odontomyia tigrina | 3 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 3 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sм3 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Vanoyia tenuicornis | 3 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 3 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sм3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Agabus bipustulatus | 4 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 4 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Noteridae | Noterus clavicornis | 4 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 4 adults | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Molusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea palustris | 4 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 4 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | 4 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 4 larvas | ${ }^{27}$-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Anacaena limbata | 5 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 5 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagriidae | Pyrrhosoma nymphula | 5 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 5 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | ऽмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Hydrobius fuscipes | 6 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 6 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus cicindeloides | 8 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 8 adults | 27 -Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Agabus sturmii | 12 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 12 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sм3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Oplodontha viridula | 13 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 13 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sм3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Paederus riparius | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 male, 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Hydrometridae | Hydrometra stagnorum | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 1 male, 2 females | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus coarctatus | 4 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 2 males, 2 females | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Gerridae | Gerris odontogaster | 6 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 2 males, 4 females | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Laccobius bipunctatus | 11 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | 7 males, 4 females | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.M. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | F |  | 21 Naturalised | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | adult frequent | ${ }^{27}$-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM3 |  | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Hippeutis complanatus | F |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis carinatus | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | adult trequent | 27 -Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Sphaerium corneum | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sм3 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | larva frequent | 27 -Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Pisidium | N |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | adult numerous | ${ }^{27}$-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sм3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritidae | Sciritidae | N | 0 | Unknown | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | larva numerous | 27-Apr-09 | 9 Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Naucoridae | Hyocoris cimicoides | 0 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |


| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_Status | US GB_StATUS_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_STAGE | date | RECORDER_ | L SEX_Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Nepidae | Nepa cinerea | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | adult occasional | 27-Ap | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Glossiphoniidae | Glossiphonia complanata | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | ऽмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Hirudinidae | Haemopis sanguisuga | $\bigcirc$ |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | ऽмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Succineidae | Oxyloma elegans | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sмз | 3 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | - |  | 2 common | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm3 | 3 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Valvatidae | Valvata cristata | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 12 | TM46616373 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hyphydrus ovatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Coelostoma orbiculare | 1 |  | 2 common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Coccidula rufa | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Noteridae | Noterus clavicornis | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Succineidae | Oxyloma elegans | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Sciomyzidae | Pherbellia schoenherri | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Curculionidae | Hypera pollux | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Halipildae | Haliplus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Sigara dorsalis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cercyon marinus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Glossiphoniidae | Theromyzon tessulatum | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 immature | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | IM |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Urodela | Salamandridae | Triturus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 immature | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | IM |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Stratiomys singularior | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 larva | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagriidae | Pyrrosoma nymphula | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 larva | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | ᄂ |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Caenidae | Caenis robusta | 1 |  | 4 Nr | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 larva | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritiae | Sciritidae | 1 | 0 | Unknown | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 larva | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Vanoyia tenuicornis | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 larva | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dryopidae | Dryops luridus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Halipidae | Haliplus ruficollis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Gerridae | Gerris odontogaster | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Hydrometridae | Hydrometra stagnorum | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | м |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Sciomyzidae | Pherbellia dorsata | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Ephydridae | Hydrellia maura | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus latifrons | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | м |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus nitidiusculus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | m |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Aeshnidae | Brachytron pratense | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 subadult female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | SuF |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 2 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Dytiscus | 2 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 2 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Anacaena limbata | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 3 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 3 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Physidae | Physa fontinalis | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 3 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Valvatidae | Valvata cristata | 3 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 3 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Oplodontha viridula | 3 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 3 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus pubescens | 4 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 4 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Veliidae | Microvelia reticulata | 4 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 4 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С... | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Cymatia coleoptrata | 5 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 5 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Hippeutis complanatus | 5 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 5 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Velidae | Microvelia pygmaea | 5 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 5 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | 8 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 8 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa linnaei | 24 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 24 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Corixa punctata | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 1 male, 2 females | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Corixa panzeri | F |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | 2 males, female frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Pleidae | Plea minutissima | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | F |  | 21 Naturalised | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithyniidae | Bithynia tentaculata | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea palustris | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Radix balthica | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea stagnalis | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis carinatus | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis planorbis | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | F |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | larva frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | N |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | larva numerous | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Naucoridae | llyocoris cimicoides | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Glossiphoniidae | Glossiphonia complanata | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Erpobdellidae | Eroobdella octoculata | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Hirudinidae | Haemopis sanguisuga | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Pisidium | - |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM4 | 4 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Viviparidae | Viviparus contectus | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm4 |  | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeridae | Sphaerium corneum | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 18 | TM46726344 | immature occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | IM |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilicae | Coelostoma orbiculare | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus testaceus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Prasocuris phellandrii | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |


| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_Statu | US GB_Status_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_STAGE | DATE | RECORDER | SEX_Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Tricladida | Tricladida | Dugesidae | Dugesia lugubris | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Euconulidae | Euconulus alderi | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Galerucella nymphaeae | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Curculionidae | Thryogenes nereis | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus palustris | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С... | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus coarctatus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Halipilidae | Haliplus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrochidae | Hydrochus angustatus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagriidae | Ischnura elegans | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 lava | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Lepidoptera | Pyralidae | Cataclysta lemnata | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 larva | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Tabanidae | Tabanidae | 1 | 0 | Unknown | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 larva | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dryopidae | Dryops luridus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus ruficollis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Hydrometridae | Hydrometra stagnorum | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | м |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus solutus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus nitidiusculus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Urodela | Salamandridae | Triturus vulgaris | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus pubescens | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 2 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hyphydrus ovatus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 2 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Laccophilus minutus | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 2 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Anacaena limbata | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 2 aduls | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Coccidula rufa | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 2 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Cymatia coleoptrata | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 2 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa linnaei | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 2 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Physidae | Physa fontinalis | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 2 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus latifrons | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 2 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 2 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritae | Scirtidae | 2 | 0 | Unknown | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 2 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Peltodytes caesus | 3 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 3 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca | 4 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 4 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus cicindeloides | 4 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 4 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Oplodontha viridula | 4 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 4 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Chaoboridae | Chaoborus crystallinus | 4 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 4 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | 5 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 5 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagriidae | Pyrrhosoma nymphula | 5 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 5 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Dixidae | Dixella autumnalis | 5 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 5 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | 6 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 6 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | 7 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 7 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С... | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus suturalis | 8 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 8 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Velidae | Microvelia reticulata | 11 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 11 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Gerridae | Gerris odontogaster | 14 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 10 males, 4 females | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Helophoridae | Helophorus minutus | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | 2 males, 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.м. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Noteridae | Noterus clavicornis | F |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | F |  | 21 Naturalised | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.... | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea palustris | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Pisidium | F |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.... | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis carinatus | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis planorbis | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Sphaerium corneum | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithyniidae | Bithynia tentaculata | N |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult numerous | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Hippeutis complanatus | N |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult numerous | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Valvatidae | Valvata cristata | N |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult numerous | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Naucoridae | Ilyocoris cimicoides | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Nepidae | Nepa cinerea | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Nepidae | Ranatra linearis | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Glossiphoniidae | Glossiphonia complanata | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Glossiphoniidae | Glossiphonia heterocilia | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Erpobdellidae | Erpobdella octoculata | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.... | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Hirudinidae | Haemopis sanguisuga | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Radix balthica | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea stagnalis | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Succineidae | Oxyloma elegans | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Viviparidae | Viviparus contectus | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult occasional | 27 27pr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Arachnida | Araneae | Cybaeidae | Argyroneta aquatica | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Anura |  | Anura | - |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | immature occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | ı |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm5 | 5 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Aeshnidae | Brachytron pratense | - |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 5 | TM46626321 | larva occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus angustatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus pubescens | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |


| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_Status | US GB_StATUS_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_STAGE | DATE | RECORDER | SEx_Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cymbiodyta marginellus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | - | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus testaceus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm6 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydraenidae | Ochtrebius minimus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Peltodytes caesus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa linnaei | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | тм46956370 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | тм46956370 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Sphaerium corneum | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM6 | 6 | 2009 | Aprii | Insecta | Diptera | Ephydridae | Parydra coarctata | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | ${ }_{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Tricladida | Tricladida | Dugesiidae | Dugesia lugubris | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 15 | тм46956370 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Hebridae | Hebrus ruficeps | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 15 | тм46956370 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus latifrons | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Carabidae | Agonum thoreyi | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 adult | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Lonchopteridae | Lonchoptera Iutea | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm6 | - | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Empididae | Clinocera stagnalis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 female | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Dytiscus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 15 | тм46956370 | 1 larva | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Libellulidae | Sympetrum | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 larva | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Tabanidae | Tabanidae | 1 | 0 | Unknown | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 lava | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Leptoceridae | Triaenodes bicolor | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 lava | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dryopidae | Dryops luridus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | м |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Scathophagidae | Spaziphora hydromyzina | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 15 | TM46965370 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Dixidae | Dixella autumnalis | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Graphoderus cinereus | 1 |  | 10 RDB3 | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 male | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus planus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 2 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Coccidula rufa | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 2 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Pleidae | Plea minutissima | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 2 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Hippeutis complanatus | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 2 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Lonchopteridae | Lonchoptera scutellata | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 2 females | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Odontomyia tigrina | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 2 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 2 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Vanoyia tenuicornis | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 2 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. |  |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Veliidae | Microvelia reticulata | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 3 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Molusca | Physidae | Physa fontinalis | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 3 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus cicindeloides | 3 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 3 adults | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritidae | Scirtidae | 3 | 0 | Unknown | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 3 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm6 |  | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | 5 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 5 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Anacaena limbata | 6 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 6 aduts | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion |  |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 6 larvas | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Laccobius bipunctatus | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | 1 male, 2 females | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Noteridae | Noterus clavicornis | F |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 15 | TM46965370 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithynnidae | Bithynia tentaculata | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis carinatus | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | mollusca | Mollusca | Valvatidae | Valvata cristata | F |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | adult frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | larva frequent | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | N |  | 21 Naturalised | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | adult numerous | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Molusca | Sphaeriidae | Pisidium | N |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | adult numerous | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Naucoridae | Ilyocoris cimicoides | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Nepidae | Nepa cinerea | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Glossiphoniidae | Glossiphonia heteroclita | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Erpobdellidae | Erpobdella octoculata | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Hirudinidae | Haemopis sanguisuga | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm6 |  | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Pisces | Gasterosteidae | Pungitius pungitius | - |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea palustris | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM6 |  | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Radix balthica | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 | 6 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm6 |  | 2009 | April | Arachnida | Araneae | Cybaeidae | Argyroneta aquatica | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | adult occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, С. C . | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM6 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Aeshnidae | Brachytron pratense | - |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 15 | TM46956370 | larva occasional | 27-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Agabus bipustulatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | тм46836344 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydaticus transversalis | 1 |  | 9 pRDB3 | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Rhantus grapii | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus lineatocollis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM4683634 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Peltodytes caesus | 1 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa sahlbergi | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | тм46836344 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus meridianus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea palustris | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Physidae | Physa fontinalis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis planorbis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Gerridae | Gerris odontogaster | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Dytiscus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 larva | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |


| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_Statu | U GB_Status_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_STAGE | date | RECORDER | SEX_Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Oplodontha viridula | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 larva | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Libellulidae | Sympetrum | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 lava | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | ᄂ |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Aeshnidae | Aeshna grandis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 larva | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 larva | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Tabanidae | Tabanidae | 1 | 0 | Unknown | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 larva | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | $\llcorner$ |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Ephydridae | Hydrellia maura | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 male | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus nitidiusculus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 male | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | м |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus boops | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 male | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.м. | м |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus angustatus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 2 aduts | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hyphydrus ovatus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 2 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Noteridae | Noterus clavicornis | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 2 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Veliidae | Microvelia reticilata | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 2 aduts | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Pleidae | Plea minutissima | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 2 aduls | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca |  |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 3 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithyniidae | Bithynia tentaculata | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 3 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Corixa punctata | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 3 females | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Gerridae | Gerris lacustris | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 3 females | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Valvatidae | Valvata cristata | 4 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 4 aduts | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Agabus sturmii | 5 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 5 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Anacaena limbata | 5 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 5 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | 5 |  | 21 Naturalised | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 5 aduls | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus pubescens | 6 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 6 aduts | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | 6 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 6 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus | 9 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 9 females | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus ruficollis | 9 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 9 males | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus palustris | 5 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | 1 male, 4 females | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | м, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeridae | Pisidium | F |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | F |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | larva frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | larva frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Hydrometridae | Hydrometra stagnorum | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | male frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Naucoridae | llyocoris cimicoides | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Glossiphoniidae | Glossiphonia complanata | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Erpobdellidae | Erpobdella octoculata | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Radix balthica | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Lymnaea stagnalis | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis carinatus | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Arachnida | Araneae | Cybaeidae | Argyroneta aquatica | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM7 | 7 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritae | Sciritae | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | Unknown | Sizewell 3 | TM46836344 | larva occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Colymbetes fuscus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Liopterus haemorrhoidalis | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus angustatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus pubescens | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hygrotus inaequalis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus testaceus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Coccidula rufa | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Velidae | Microvelia reticulata | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 |  | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Hippeutis complanatus | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis planorbis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Sciomyzidae | Sepedon spinipes | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Carabidae | Agonum thoreyi | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Tricladida | Tricladida | Dendrocoelidae | Dendrocoelum lacteum | 1 |  | 2 common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritidae | Cyphon laevipennis | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydrovatus clypealis | 1 |  | 6 Na | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Urodela | Salamandridae | Triturus vulgaris | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Valvatidae | Valvata ?macrostoma | 1 |  | 12 RDB2 | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 immature | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | ı |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Dytiscus | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 lava | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Odontomyia ornata | 1 |  | 12 RDB2 | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 lava | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Lestidae | Lestes | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 lava | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Lepidoptera | Pyralidae | Cataclysta lemnata | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 larva | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus formicetorum | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 male | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Cymbiodyta marginellus | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 2 aduts | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus melanocephalus | 2 |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 2 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Halipidae | Haliplus | 2 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 2 females | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Oplodontha viridula | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 2 larvas | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 2 larvas | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Vanoyia tenuicornis |  |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 2 larvas | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hyphydrus ovatus | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 3 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Coelostoma orbiculare | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 3 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.M. | AD |


| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_Status | US GB_StATUS_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_STAGE | date | RECORDER_ | L SEX_Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Hebridae | Hebrus ruficeps | 3 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 3 adults | 29-A | , С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dryopidae | Dryops luridus | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 3 males | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus ruficollis | 3 |  | 2 common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 3 males | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | m |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Laccobius bipunctatus | 4 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 4 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa linnaei | 6 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 6 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | 6 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 6 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus latifrons | 6 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 6 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Noteridae | Noterus clavicornis | 11 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 11 aduls | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Enochrus coarctatus | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 male, 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Gerridae | Gerris odontogaster | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | 1 male, 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | M, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Naucoridae | Hyocoris cimicoides | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Pleidae | Plea minutissima | F |  | 2 common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | F |  | 21 Naturalised | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithyniidae | Bithynia tentaculata | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeridae | Pisidium | F |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis carinatus | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | mollusca | Mollusca | Valvatidae | Valvata cristata | F |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | F |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | larva frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | larva frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Sciritidae | Sciritidae | F | 0 | Unknown | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | larva frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Hirudinidae | Haemopis sanguisuga | - |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | $\bigcirc$ |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | - |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Anura |  | Anura | - |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | immature occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | IM |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm8 | 8 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Aeshnidae | Brachytron pratense | - |  | 5 Notable/Nb | Sizewell 33 | TM46746430 | larva occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Hydrophilidae | Coelostoma orbiculare | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Coccinellidae | Coccidula rufa | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus lineatocollis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Noteridae | Noterus clavicornis | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | - | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa linnaei | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Corixidae | Hesperocorixa sahliergi | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Physidae | Physa fontinalis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Saldidae | Chartoscirta cincta | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus ?vorticulus | 1 |  | 12 RDB2 | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Curculionidae | Hypera pollux | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Pisces | Esocidae | Esox lucius | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 adult | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Veliidae | Velia | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 immature | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | ${ }_{19}$ |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | - | 2009 | April | Insecta | Diptera | Stratiomyidae | Oplodontha viridula | 1 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 larva | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagriidae | Ischnura elegans | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 larva | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Baetidae | Cloeon dipterum | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 larva | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus lunatus | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 larva | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus ruficollis | 1 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 male | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM9 | - | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Urodela | Salamandridae | Triturus vulgaris | 1 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 male | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | M |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Gammaridae | Gammarus pulex | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 2 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Gyraulus albus | 2 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 2 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Lygaeidae | Ischnodemus sabuleti | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 2 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | ${ }^{\text {AD }}$ |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagrionidae | Coenagrion | 2 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 2 larvas | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Notonectidae | Notonecta glauca | 3 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 3 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Prasocuris junci | 3 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 3 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus cicindeloides | 3 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 3 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С..м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Haliplidae | Haliplus | 4 |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 4 females | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Trichoptera | Limnephilidae | Limnephilus marmoratus | 4 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 4 larvas | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С... | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Psylliodes laticollis | 5 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 5 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Odonata | Coenagridae | Pyrhosoma nymphula | 5 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 5 larvas | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | L |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus pubescens | 6 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 6 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus nitidiusculus | 7 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 7 aduts | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus latifrons | 7 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 7 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Staphylinidae | Stenus picipennis | 8 |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 8 adults | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 |  | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Chrysomelidae | Phaedon cochleariae | 9 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 9 aduts | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Coleoptera | Dytiscidae | Hydroporus palustris | 2 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | 1 male, 1 female | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | m, F |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Isopoda | Asellidae | Asellus aquaticus | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Crustacea | Amphipoda | Crangonycitidae | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | F |  | 21 Naturalised | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Lymnaeidae | Radix balthica | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbis carinatus | F |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM9 | - | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Valvatidae | Valvata cristata | F |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | adult frequent | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Anisus vortex | N |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | adult numerous | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Bithyniidae | Bithynia tentaculata | N |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | adult numerous | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, с.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeridae | Pisidium | N |  | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | adult numerous | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, c.m. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Valvatidae | Valvata piscinalis | N |  | 3 Local | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | adult numerous | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Insecta | Hemiptera | Naucoridae | Hyocoris cimicoides | 0 |  | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |


| Marsh | Subsite | Code (Bugl.) | ditch number | YEAR | MONTH | Group | Order | Family | Species | Abundance 1 | GB_STATUS GB_STATUS_L | SITE_L | GRID | ABUNDANCE_STAGE | DATE | RECORDER_L | SEX_StAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | SM9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Erpobdellidae | Erpobdella octoculata | O | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46336444 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Pisces | Gasterosteidae | Gasterosteus aculeatus | $\bigcirc$ | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 38 | TM46336444 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Vertebrata | Pisces | Gasterosteidae | Pungtitus pungitius | - | 0 Unknown | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Hippeutis complanatus | - | 3 Local | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С. M . | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Planorbidae | Planorbarius corneus | $\bigcirc$ | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | Sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Hydrobidae | Potamopyrgus antipodarum | - | 21 Naturalised | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sm9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Mollusca | Mollusca | Sphaeriidae | Sphaerium corneum | - | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | adult occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, С.м. | AD |
| Sizewell | Sizewell | sм9 | 9 | 2009 | April | Hirudinea | Hirudinea | Glossiphoniidae | Theromyzon tessulatum | 0 | 2 Common | Sizewell 38 | TM46636444 | immature occasional | 29-Apr-09 | Drake, C.M. | im |
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| Sample site | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | ${ }^{11}$ | 12 | ${ }^{13}$ | 14 | ${ }^{15}$ | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }^{21}$ | ${ }^{22}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{25}$ | ${ }^{26}$ | ${ }^{27}$ | ${ }^{28}$ | ${ }^{29}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{31}$ | ${ }^{32}$ | ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 5 |  | 4 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{14}$ |  |
| （Pateceis |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | 。 | 12 | 1 |  | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | ${ }_{7}^{1}$ | ${ }_{21}^{3}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | 2 | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ |  |  | 1 |  |  | ${ }_{6}^{2}$ | 2 | ${ }_{3}^{1}$ | ${ }_{2}^{3}$ |  | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 13 1600 | ${ }_{13}$ | 2 |  | ${ }^{10}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  | ${ }_{6}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  | 10 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 1 | ${ }^{11}$ | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  | － | ${ }_{1}^{4}$ |  | 6 | 1 | ${ }_{1}^{11}$ | 1 | 5 |
| Heanopis manuisua | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Theonyon tesuluam |  | 11 | ${ }^{1}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{6}^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | ${ }^{3}$ |  | 2 |  | ${ }^{16}$ | 2 |  |
|  | ${ }_{3}^{8}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | 4 | ${ }^{25}$ | 102 | ${ }^{588}$ | ${ }_{5} 5$ | ${ }^{88}$ | ${ }^{17}$ | ${ }^{80}$ | ${ }^{44}$ | ${ }^{50}$ | ${ }_{13}^{15}$ | ${ }_{4}^{158}$ | $\stackrel{45}{6}$ | ${ }^{208}$ | ${ }^{240}$ | ${ }^{476}$ | ${ }^{120}$ | ${ }^{45}$ | ${ }^{74}$ | ${ }^{91}$ | 1 | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{16}$ | ${ }^{31}$ | ${ }^{152}$ | ${ }^{37}$ | ${ }^{71}$ | ${ }^{160}$ | ${ }^{68}$ | 7 | ${ }_{56}$ |
| coick |  |  |  | 9 |  | 2 | ${ }_{5} 0$ | ${ }^{14}$ | 1 | 3 | 19 | 10 | 4 | ${ }^{6}$ | 180 | ¢ | 14 |  | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }_{35}^{1}$ | － | ， | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{2}^{11}$ | 1 | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{82}$ |  |  | 1 | ${ }_{23}$ |  | ${ }_{16}^{4}$ |
|  | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 11 | 1 | ${ }^{38}$ |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | ${ }^{63}$ | ${ }^{189}$ | ． | ${ }^{14}$ | 2 | 1 |  | ， | 2 | 30 | ${ }_{3}^{8}$ | 6 | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | ${ }_{10}$ | － | 12 <br> 1 | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | 5 |  | ${ }_{36}$ |
|  | ${ }_{37}$ | ${ }_{71}^{2}$ | 5 | ${ }_{17}$ | ${ }_{4}$ | ${ }^{21}$ | 1 | ${ }_{47}$ | ${ }_{88}$ | ${ }^{36}$ | 5 | ${ }_{36}$ | ${ }_{10}^{101}$ | ${ }^{112}$ | ${ }_{74}$ | ${ }_{58}$ | ${ }_{17}$ | 。 | 9 | ${ }^{13}$ |  | 2 |  | ${ }_{30}$ | ${ }_{10}$ | ${ }_{28}$ | ${ }_{32}$ | 4 | － | 1 | 1 | ${ }_{45}$ | 5 |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{715}$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cose |  | ${ }_{3}^{1}$ | ${ }_{615}^{112}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{288}$ |  | ${ }^{10}$ |  | 1 | ${ }^{4}$ | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{15}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{8}^{24}$ | $\stackrel{9}{9}$ | ${ }^{156}$ | ${ }_{10}^{15}$ |  | ${ }^{15}$ |  | ${ }^{18}$ |  | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | ${ }_{63}$ | ${ }_{5}^{2}$ | ${ }^{168}$ | ${ }_{12}$ | ${ }^{408}$ | ${ }_{45}^{210}$ | ${ }^{190}$ | ${ }^{68}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | ${ }_{\text {зо }}$ | ${ }^{345}$ |  | ${ }^{55}$ | （108 | ${ }^{3}$ | 75 | ${ }^{15}$ | ${ }^{81}$ | 4 |  |
| comer | 4 | 1 | ${ }_{6}^{4}$ | ${ }_{20}^{12}$ | $\stackrel{22}{9}$ | ${ }_{6}^{25}$ | 25 40 20 | ${ }_{3}^{20}$ | ${ }_{5}^{7}$ | ${ }_{1}^{50}$ | ${ }_{2}^{6}$ |  | 2 | ${ }_{28}^{58}$ |  | 41 | ${ }_{12}^{30}$ | ${ }_{6}^{56}$ | ${ }_{90}^{135}$ | ${ }_{4}^{40} 1$ | ${ }_{14}$ | ${ }^{7}$ |  | 11 | ${ }_{11}^{65}$ | ${ }_{11}^{20}$ | ${ }^{57}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{41}$ | ${ }_{14}^{14}$ | $\stackrel{9}{8}$ | 2 | ${ }^{49}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{20}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{31}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Vavataatistai Vavaia pesinals | 2 |  | ${ }^{87}$ | 9 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 5 | 195 | 1 | ${ }^{28}$ | ${ }_{14}^{8}$ | ${ }_{168}^{46}$ | ${ }^{93}$ | ${ }^{10}$ | 1 | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{24}$ |  | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{1}$ |  | ${ }^{132}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 7 | ${ }^{1}$ | 1 |  |  |
| Verioa anierion |  |  |  | 12 |  | ${ }^{11}$ | 1 | ${ }_{6}^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | ${ }_{2}^{1}$ |  |  | 1 |  |  | 4 |
| Psiame |  | 1 | ${ }_{6}^{5}$ | ${ }^{12}$ |  | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 2 | 1 | 4 |  | ${ }^{17}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 102 | ${ }^{18}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | ${ }^{495}$ | 1 |  |  | 11 |  | 1 |  | 2 |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{9}$ | － |  | ${ }_{18}^{6}$ | $\stackrel{3}{3}_{60}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | ${ }_{4}^{1}$ | 7 | 4 |  |  | 2 | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{36}$ | ${ }^{21}$ |  | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | 2 | ${ }^{37}$ | \％ | ${ }_{8}^{3}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{3}$ | ${ }_{5}^{8}$ |  | 5 |  |
| Ampenurus cuspuiter |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | ${ }^{11}$ | 2 | ${ }_{1}^{11}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{13}$ |  | 1 | 2100 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{30}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | ${ }_{10}$ | ${ }_{3}^{24}$ |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{25 \\ 3}}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{138}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ | ${ }_{1}^{42}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1020}$ |  | 2 | ${ }_{8}^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{36}^{56}$ |
| coicle | ${ }_{18}^{18}$ | ${ }_{15}^{15}$ | $\underset{\substack{200 \\ 1}}{ }$ | ${ }_{6}^{2}$ |  |  | 1 | ${ }_{68}^{2}$ |  | － | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | $\underset{\substack{120 \\ 12 \\ 32}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ |  | ${ }_{18}$ | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |  | （ |  |  |  | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{1 \\ 208}}^{208}$ | 1 |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{1}^{18}$ | ${ }_{1}$ | ${ }_{3}^{1}$ | ${ }_{25}$ | ${ }_{7}^{4}$ | ${ }_{10}$ | ${ }_{150}^{310}$ | ${ }_{20}^{68}$ | ${ }_{51}$ | ${ }_{46}$ | ${ }_{270}^{29}$ | ${ }_{14}^{32}$ | ${ }_{\substack{15 \\ 1}}^{24}$ | ${ }_{3}^{84}$ | － | ${ }_{60}{ }^{31}$ | ${ }_{8}$ | 42 | 255 | ${ }_{75}$ | ${ }_{134}^{138}$ | ${ }_{50}^{13}$ | ${ }_{3}^{6}$ | ${ }_{600}$ | ${ }_{45}^{49}$ | ${ }_{40}^{13}$ | ${ }_{23}$ |  | ${ }_{134}$ | ${ }_{88}^{288}$ | ${ }_{9}^{18}$ | ${ }_{45}^{12}$ | ${ }_{76}$ |
|  | 15 | ${ }_{54}$ | ${ }_{2500}^{2}$ | ${ }_{302}^{2}$ | ${ }_{88}^{1}$ | 12 | ${ }^{80}$ | 9 | ${ }^{204}$ |  | 。 | ${ }_{26} 6$ |  | 2 | ${ }_{3}$ | 162 | 880 | ${ }^{433}$ | 7 |  | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{\substack{91 \\ 158}}$ | 440 | ${ }_{1}^{1485}$ | 6 | 225 | ${ }^{168}$ | ${ }_{513}$ | 40 | ${ }_{26} 6$ | ${ }^{32}$ |  | ${ }_{368}$ |
| coicle |  | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ |  | ${ }_{160}$ | ${ }^{25}$ | ${ }_{156}$ | ${ }^{120}$ | 197 | ${ }_{36}^{24}$ | 3 | ${ }^{41}$ | 1 |  | ${ }_{7}^{14}$ |  | ${ }^{209}$ | ${ }^{100}$ | ${ }^{21}$ | ${ }^{120}$ | ${ }^{135}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{70}$ |  | ${ }_{595}$ | 6 | $\stackrel{164}{7}$ |  | ${ }^{138}$ | ${ }^{155}$ | ${ }^{224}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | 28 <br> 107 <br> 10 | ${ }^{192}$ |
|  |  | 2 | ${ }^{25}$ |  | ${ }_{57}$ |  | 70 |  | 192 |  |  | ${ }^{3}$ | 7 | 7 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  | － |  |  | ${ }_{13}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{64}$ |  | 2 | ${ }_{10}^{12}$ |
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## Details of the Aquatic Invertebrate Samples Sites on the Sizewell Bets，Leiston，Sutfolk，September 2009

| SAMPLE STIE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | ${ }^{21}$ | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | ${ }^{28}$ | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | （407683822 |  | ${ }_{\substack{4823837699 \\ 280909}}$ |  |  | 4639863888 |  |  |  |  | 4733549999 | （7232683686 | （46876450 |  | 468362986 |  |  |  | $\pm$ | 47106329 |  |  |  | $\substack{\text { 467383945 } \\ \text { cosens }}$ | $\substack{468863709 \\ \text { Sucose }}$ | $\substack{\text { 469763717 } \\ \text { cosoce }}$ |  |  | 468883300 <br> 300809 |  |
| chememen | ${ }^{20} 50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2er | ${ }^{2}$ |  | ${ }^{20} 5$ | $\underset{\substack{200 \\ 62}}{\substack{200}}$ | $\underset{\substack{20 \\ 50}}{2000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{250}$ | come |  | （ 2000 |  | coter |  | coter |  |  | （en |
|  | （1ay | 5os | ${ }^{60}$ | \％os | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | － | 边 | ¢ 6 | 50， | ${ }^{60}$ | ${ }_{\substack{81 \\ 88}}^{180}$ | ${ }^{50}$ |  |  | 50 | ¢ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {cis }}^{54}$ | （68 |  |  | ${ }_{788} 88$ | ${ }^{61}$ | ${ }_{\substack{53 \\ 737}}$ | ${ }_{788}^{68}$ |  |  | 361 | ${ }_{75}^{63}$ | ${ }^{95}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { ¢88 } \\ \hline 785}}$ | ${ }_{72}^{72}$ | $\xrightarrow{764}$ |
| condereivi（uscm） | $\xrightarrow{920}$ | ${ }_{1137}^{1137}$ | $\underset{\substack{944 \\ 194}}{ }$ | 152 | ${ }_{\substack{1004 \\ 102}}$ | （150 | ${ }_{\text {cos }}^{198}$ | ${ }^{167}$ | $\xrightarrow{885}$ | $\underset{147}{173}$ | $\substack{\begin{subarray}{c}{10 \\ 156} }} \\{150} \end{subarray}$ | $\underset{\substack{791 \\ 149}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{1050 \\ 131}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{1014 \\ 132}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{1021 \\ 147}}{ }$ | ${ }_{\substack{490 \\ 181}}$ | （1048 |  |  | 9 | ${ }^{295}$ | cos |  | ${ }^{485}$ | ${ }_{\substack{861 \\ 140}}$ | （758 | ${ }^{89}$ | （1245 |  | ${ }_{\substack{1073 \\ 1085}}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{782 \\ \\ 157}}{ }$ | cist |
|  | ${ }_{0}^{475}$ | cis | $\xrightarrow{493} 10$ | ${ }_{5}^{519}$ | 498 <br> 20 <br> 20 | 10 | roode | 460 10 | ${ }_{10}^{42}$ | ${ }_{\substack{390 \\ 75}}$ | ${ }^{394}$ | ${ }_{\substack{396 \\ 50}}$ | cis ${ }_{70}^{535}$ | ${ }_{\substack{50 \\ 40}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{498 \\ 30}}$ | $\stackrel{248}{18}$ | ${ }_{5}^{523}$ | ${ }^{999}$ | ${ }_{80}^{342}$ | ${ }_{45}^{461}$ | ${ }_{75}^{40}$ | ${ }_{75}^{48}$ | $\underset{\substack{1900 \\ 60}}{19}$ | ${ }_{0}^{243}$ | ${ }_{1}^{415}$ | ${ }^{387}$ | ${ }_{0}^{40}$ | ${ }_{15}^{620}$ | ${ }^{798}$ | ${ }_{5}^{538}$ | ${ }_{426}^{426}$ | ${ }_{30}^{33}$ | ${ }_{\substack{338}}^{328}$ |
| （e） | ¢ | － | ${ }_{15}^{10}$ | ${ }_{30}^{25}$ | ${ }_{40}$ | 20 70 | 㕠 | 10 20 20 | 氺 $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 30\end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ ${ }_{\text {85 }}^{15}$ |  | 70 | （ $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 40 \\ & 40\end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{20}^{30}$ | ${ }_{\substack{85 \\ 20}}$ | 5 | ${ }_{25}{ }^{5}$ | 20 | ${ }_{\text {Noteocred }}^{\text {a }}$ | 5 | － |  | － | － | ${ }_{85}$ | 50 | （ | ${ }_{20}^{100}$ | （10 | 告 10 | 20 | ${ }^{50}$ |
| Come | ${ }_{10}^{10}$ | ${ }_{50}$ | ${ }_{30}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{50}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | \％ | 0 | \％ | ${ }_{50}^{0}$ | ${ }_{30}$ | ${ }_{50}$ | ${ }_{20}$ | ${ }_{50}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ： | \％ | $\stackrel{1}{0}$ | \％ | ： | ${ }_{50}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ： | ： | ： | ${ }_{0}^{2}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{2}$ |
|  | ${ }_{1}^{25}$ | \％ | ${ }_{1}^{10}$ | 50 | ${ }_{1}^{125}$ | ${ }_{1}^{11}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | ${ }_{1}^{25}$ | ${ }_{1}^{12}$ | ${ }_{1}^{0}$ | ${ }_{1}^{20}$ | ${ }_{2}^{1}$ | ${ }_{2}^{20}$ | ${ }_{2}^{45}$ | ${ }_{2}^{1}$ | ${ }_{1}^{3}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | $\stackrel{8}{1}$ | ${ }_{1}^{6}$ | ${ }_{1}^{40}$ | ${ }_{1}^{30}$ | ${ }_{2}^{45}$ | 1 | ${ }_{1}^{40}$ | ${ }^{17}$ | $\stackrel{9}{1}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | ${ }_{1}^{10}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{2}$ | 3 | ${ }_{0}^{2}$ | ${ }_{0}^{2}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ |  |
|  | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | ： | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ： | 。 | $\stackrel{1}{0}$ | ： | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ： | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | $\stackrel{1}{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ： | 1 | ${ }_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | ${ }_{1}$ | ${ }_{1}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | \％ | \％ | ： | ： | \％ | ： | ${ }_{1}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{1}$ | 。 | \％ | ： | ${ }_{1}$ | \％ | ： | ： | ： | ： | \％ | ： | \％ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | 。 | \％ | \％ | ： | ： | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | 0 | 。 | 。 | \％ | ： | ： | ： | ： | \％ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | 。 | ： | ${ }_{1}$ | ： | ： |  |
| Canesp | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | 。 | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ${ }_{1}$ | ${ }_{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： |  |
| Cille | ： | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | 1 | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ${ }_{1}$ | \％ |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | ： | － | ： | ： | $\bigcirc$ | ： | ： | 1 | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1 |  |
|  | 1 | ： | － | $\bigcirc$ | ： | $\bigcirc$ | ： | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | ： | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 。 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | ： | $\bigcirc$ |  |
|  | ： | 1 | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | 。 | 1 | 1 | ： | ： | 。 | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | \％ | ： | 。 | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | \％ |
|  | ： | ： | ： | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | $\stackrel{1}{0}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： |  |
|  | ： | 1 | 1 | ： | ： | 1 | ： | \％ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | 1 | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ： | 1 | ${ }_{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{1}$ | ： | \％ | ： | \％ | ${ }_{1}$ | ： | \％ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ${ }_{1}$ |  |
| cumus（einea） | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | 1 | 1 | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | 1 | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | 1 | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | 1 |
| ${ }_{\text {cemama }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | ： | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | O | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ： | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | O | 1 |  | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ： | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Lreous eumpeas | ： | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ： | $\bigcirc$ | ： | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | － | ： | ： | $\bigcirc$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | $\bigcirc$ | ： | ： | ： |
| Menta anaica | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | 。 | ： | 1 | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | － | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{1}$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 1 | ${ }_{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | \％ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ |
|  | ： | ${ }_{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | $\stackrel{1}{0}$ | 。 | 。 | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | 。 | \％ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | $\stackrel{1}{0}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ： | ： |  |
|  | ： | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | － | ： | ： | 0 | ： | 。 | 1 | 0 | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | － | ： | ： | 1 |  |
|  | ： | 1 | ${ }_{1}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | \％ | ： | ： | ： | \％ | ： | ： | ： | \％ | ： | ： | 。 | \％ | \％ | ： | \％ | ： | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | ： | ： | 。 | ： | ： | ： | ： |  |
|  | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | \％ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ${ }_{1}$ | ： | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{1}$ | 。 | ： | ： | ： | \％ | ： | ： | \％ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  | 。 | ${ }_{1}$ | \％ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | 。 | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{1}$ | ： | ： | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | 1 | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | ： | 1 | ： | ： | 1 |  |
|  | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | \％ | ： | 。 | 。 | 。 | ： | ： | ： | 。 | ${ }_{1}$ | ： | ： | ： | － | 。 | 。 | \％ | \％ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | ： | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ： | 。 | ： | ： |  | ： |  | ： |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 为 | $\bigcirc$ | ： | － | $\bigcirc$ | 。 | 0 | － | － | ： | ： | 。 | $\bigcirc$ | 。 | 。 | $\bigcirc$ | － | 。 | $\bigcirc$ | O | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | ： | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | 。 | $\bigcirc$ | ： | $\bigcirc$ |  |
|  | ： | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |  | 1 | 1 | ： | 。 | ： | O | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | $\bigcirc$ |  | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{1}{\circ}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | 1 | ： | ： | ： | － | ： | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1 |  | － | ： | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | ： | $\bigcirc$ |  |

NOTES ON PARAMETERS
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Up to date distribution maps of the species mentioned below may be found on the National Biodiversity Network Gateway website at: www.nbn.org.uk.

Protected under the Wildlife \& Countryside Act (1981)
Aeshna isosceles (Odonata, Aeshnidae)
The Norfolk hawker breeds in unpolluted grazing marsh dykes which contain water soldier in areas where the water table is maintained at a high level. Other plants often present are frogbit, pondweeds and greater bladderwort. The dragonfly is confined to the Norfolk and Suffolk Broads. It is restricted to a few grazing marshes which are relatively isolated from polluted water. The above information is from Merritt et al (1997). In recent years this species has proved to be relatively frequent at Sizewell and this may indicate an upturn in the species fortunes.

## Red Data Book 1

Aeshna isosceles (Odonata, Aeshnidae)
See above account.
Odontomyia angulata (Diptera, Stratiomyidae)
This soldier-fly is poorly known in Britain. There are old records from East Anglia including the Norfolk Broads and several fens in the Breck and Cambridgeshire. The only recent British records according to Stubbs and Drake (2001) are from ponds at two sites in Norfolk, and from Oxfordshire where a few adults were found close to a fenland pool. The larvae have been found among vegetation in shallow water.

## Red Data Book 2

Odontomyia argentata (Diptera, Stratiomyidae)
This soldier-fly is poorly known in Britain. It was once more widely distributed from Somerset and Dorset to east Anglia, but now appears to have contracted to a small number of sites in East Anglia and a few in Hampshire and Berkshire. However, the fact that it is an elusive, early spring species means that its presence may go undetected in fens and marshes. The larvae have been reported on the Continent in rotting alder wood, flood refuse, moss and from flood-plain pools that dry up in summer. In Britain, the larvae have been found in very shallow water of pools vulnerable to summer drought, and the adults have been found by shallow ditches which almost certainly provide the larval habitat at some sites.

An adult of this species was recorded twenty years ago from Sizewell by Dr Martin Drake. The larvae collected in September 2009 could represent this species but ideally the adults should be reared from larvae or adults caught to confirm the determinations.

Odontomyia ornata (Diptera, Stratiomyidae)
This soldier-fly has two strongholds; namely, the Somerset Levels and the Gwent Levels, where it is widespread and not uncommon. There are also sizeable populations on the freshwater parts of coastal levels in East Sussex. The species has been recorded sparsely as adults or larvae in similar habitat in West Sussex, Kent, Essex, Suffolk and Norfolk. The adults may frequently be seen on umbellifers such as hemlock water dropwort but the larvae are usually much easier to locate. The larvae may be locally common in ditches on grazing levels. They may be freefloating or crawling among aquatic vegetation near the surface, especially within tangles of ivyleaved duckweed and frogbit. The preferred ditches are wide (more than 1 m ) and have a rich
and structurally diverse cover of floating vegetation. Almost certainly the key to this species survival is the presence of extensive areas of ditches that are cleaned out on a cycle of about five years.

## Hilara hirtella (Diptera, Empididae)

Records of this dance-fly appear to be confined to sites in Suffolk: West Stow (1913), Barton Mills (1913), Cavenham Heath NNR (1981) and Tuddenham Fen NNR (1990). There are further unspecified sites in Cambridgeshire and Suffolk (Falk \& Crossley 2005). The habitat requirements of this species are unclear: some records relate to the River Lark whilst a recent record reports the adults skimming low over puddles on a road. The larvae probably develop as predators in damp soil in the vicinity of rivers. The adults have been recorded in September, October and November. Collin (1961) mentioned that this species was not uncommon at various localities in Suffolk and Cambridgeshire. However, the scarcity of recent information suggests that it may now be more restricted. The late flight period may have led to some under-recording.

## Psacadina vittigera (Diptera, Sciomyzidae)

Falk (1991) was only able to give six British records for this species namely in Norfolk (two sites), Cambridgeshire (two sites), Oxfordshire (one site) and Suffolk (one site at Foxhall Pond with numerous dates between 1896 and 1904). This species occurs in wetlands, especially fens, with standing water probably being a requirement. The larvae are probably parasitoids of freshwater snails at the margins of water-bodies. This is an extremely rare southern species with only four post-1960 sites according to Falk (1991).

## Red Data Book 3

Hydrophilus piceus (Coleoptera, Hydrophilidae)
In Britain, H. piceus is largely confined to drains in coastal levels. Those specially favoured are choked with vegetation such as ivy-leaved duckweed (Lemna trisulca) and fringed by common reed (Phragmites australis ). H. piceus is a summer breeder (larvae reported from mid-May to the beginning of August in the Netherlands). The larvae feed on water snails, and the presence of Hydrophilus may be implied from the characteristic biting marks to be seen on empty snail shells. In the Somerset Levels, adults are found mainly in rhynes that have been recently cleared, whereas larvae occur in ditches thickly choked with vegetation. Adults have often been caught in light traps, and may occasionally be seen below street lamps, or on greenhouse roofs.

Hydrophilus piceus has been recorded from a total of 68 ten kilometre in Britain, 42 of them in England from 1950 onwards, plus three in Wales. The equivalent counts since 1990 are 17 and three. It appears to have contracted in range in that there are no modern records for the English Midlands, the Cambridgeshire Fens, Glamorgan and the immediate vicinity of London. It is, however, well established in the Somerset Levels, the Broads, and coastal levels in Kent and Sussex.

Loss of traditional grazing fen may result in loss of this species, particularly if drains are destroyed or become overgrown. Drainage of the Cambridgeshire Fens and the London Marshes in the 19th Century must have resulted in the greatest reductions in this species in Britain, indicating the potential for further decline.

Conditions favouring an abundance of large molluscs are essential for breeding by this species; piecemeal clearance of drains using hand shovels is better than large scale clearance using heavy plant in that larger invertebrates can escape. Much of the area where $H$. piceus occurs in
the Broads and on levels in Gwent, Somerset, Kent and Sussex is protected by scheduling as SSSI, and smaller areas are protected as NNR and wildlife reserves.

## Nationally Scarce

Brachytron pratense
The hairy dragonfly is most commonly found in Britain on the coastal levels and grazing marshes of Somerset, Sussex, Kent and Norfolk. It also occurs in the fens of Anglesey, the Cheshire meres and on the wetlands along the coast of South Wales and Suffolk. This species breeds in mesotrophic ponds, lakes including mature gravel pits, canals, ditches and marshy fens where there is plenty of tall emergent vegetation such as common club-rush, common reed, bulrush and great fen-sedge. This species has a short flight season from mid-May to midJuly. It can be found with other species that favour unpolluted well-vegetated dykes and fens (Merritt et al 1997).

## Tricholeiochiton fagesii (Trichoptera, Hydroptilidae)

This small caddisfly has been recorded from a small loch in Perthshire, three ponds on the Wirral in Merseyside, a pool in Shropshire, a fishing lake in Hampshire, a bog in Gwynedd, a bog in South Glamorgan and from marshes in the Norfolk Broads in 2009. The habitat is weedy still waters. It is clearly a widespread species although with few records (Wallace 1991).

## Microvelia pygmaea (Hemiptera, Veliidae)

This minute water bug has been recorded mainly from south-eastern England and East Anglia east of a line between Poole and the Wash. It is found in dense vegetation usually in weedy ditches. A few records are from marginal vegetation in larger bodies of water (Huxley 2003).

## Peltodytes caesus (Coleoptera, Haliplidae)

P. caesus is confined to lowland slow-moving drains and ponds with permanent water, often brackish, always base-rich, and usually with a soft, muddy bottom. Typically, these lie in areas of old grazing fen on coastal marsh systems, though it does occur well inland in Oxfordshire. The larva and adults feed on filamentous algae, and possibly also on stoneworts. Oviposition occurs in the spring on submerged vegetation. Fully grown larvae and teneral adults occur later in the summer, and it is likely that this species overwinters as adults out of the water. Adults have been recorded attracted to light in mainland Europe.

Peltodytes caesus has been recorded from 63 hectads in England and Wales since 1950, and 35 since 1990, with old records for Lancashire, around the Wash and inland in southern England. This species was found in one sample of the British Countryside Survey in 1990. It was noted as one of three species to disappear from the Wash drainage system. P. caesus is in decline in Denmark, possibly because of pollution, and it appears in many Red Lists in Europe.
The decline in this species must be associated with habitat loss, but it would also appear to be sensitive to changes in water quality and land use, possibly the conversion of grazing fen to arable land, which results in a reduction in the number of dykes.

Most of the drainage systems on which it occurs are protected as SSSI under management agreements. One of the few inland sites, Otmoor, is also an SSSI and an RSPB Reserve. In the absence of clear guidance on its habitat requirements, maintenance of traditional grazing fen must be considered appropriate for its conservation.

Hydroglyphus pusillus (Coleoptera, Dytiscidae)

This species is most characteristic of recently created still water sites with a clay or mud substratum, but it is also typical of the shallow rhyne systems of the Somerset Levels, and will occur amongst shallowly flooded moss. It is often recorded in flight and can occur in atypical sites in years of abundance.

Hydroglyphus pusillus has been recorded from 123 hectads in England and Wales since 1950, and 115 since 1990. This species was found in one sample of the British Countryside Survey in 1990. The northernmost record is for Gosforth, South Northumberland, where the species no longer occurs despite an abundance of apparently suitable habitats provided by subsidence ponds. Dispersal in hot summers accounts for the widespread occurrence of this species, which is sufficiently restricted in most years to qualify for Nationally Scarce status, albeit marginally (Foster 2000).

This species is not under threat, but its British population probably contracts to pockets in southern England when there is a succession of severe winters. No special conservation measures are necessary, other than construction of ponds.

## Rhantus suturalis (Coleoptera, Dytiscidae)

R. suturalis occurs in exposed lowland ponds and ditches amongst vegetation. It is unusual among Rhantus spp. in that it overwinters as adults in the water rather than away from it. The development time of 33 days at about $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from egg laying to adult eclosion is short compared to 52 days for the common Agabus bipustulatus under similar conditions. This species is often attracted to light at night and readily takes to flight.

Rhantus suturalis has been recorded from 136 ten kilometre squares in England and four in Wales since 1950. It has been recorded from 89 English and two Welsh hectads since 1990. Many isolated records, including two for the only Scottish site, probably represent the full extent of range of a dispersive species.

This species is not under threat. The creation of new ponds will be beneficial to this species. It is not confined to primary fen conditions and does not require statutory protection.

Anacaena bipustulata (Coleoptera, Hydrophilidae)
A. bipustulata has been described in the Netherlands as a fluviatile species with preference for coastal environments around deltas and estuaries. It reaches to 800 m in river valleys and is also found in brackish water." In Britain, it is rather more restricted than this, being found mainly in ditches and ponds in former fenland, though also occasionally inland in small streams in the Weald. Anacaena adults and larvae are semi-aquatic. Anacaena adults deposit long-masted eggcases on debris, and larvae hatch in 8-10 days, taking possibly as long as two months to complete development. The larvae are predaceous. Anacaena bipustulata has been observed to fly in Spain.

Anacaena bipustulata has been recorded from 142 ten kilometre squares in England and four in Wales from 1950 onwards. It been recorded from 76 ten kilometre squares since 1990. The restricted distribution and habitat indicate the need to provide this species with the lowest scarcity status. This species is still common in Somerset. This species is not under threat.

Enochrus coarctatus (Coleoptera, Hydrophilidae)

This species was originally accorded Scarce B status, but, with over 200 ten km records from 1950 onwards, widely distributed in England, Scotland and Wales, this species cannot be considered as having a scarcity status.

## Enochrus melanocephalus (Coleoptera, Hydrophilidae)

There are recent published records for South and North Somerset, East Sussex, East and West Kent, East and West Norfolk, Cambridgeshire, Bedfordshire, Huntingdonshire, Northamptonshire, Monmouth, Anglesey, North Lincolnshire, Leicestershire, Nottinghamshire, Derbyshire, Cheshire, South-west Yorkshire and Durham.

On the Continent, this species has been described as developing in the algal bloom of shallow stagnant waters that are fully exposed to the sun. In England, it is found in exposed, base-rich sites including coastal pools and ditches with a brackish influence. In 1993, following the drought of 1992, this species appeared for the first time in well surveyed pools of the West Norfolk pingo fens. It also colonises new ponds created by extractive industries. The life history details of Enochrus species appear to vary considerably from one species to another and the life history of individual British species has not been described. An egg-case is produced, sometimes under water, and larval development may last between one and two months. Adults feed on algae and decaying plants whereas the larvae are predaceous.

This species has been recorded from 66 ten kilometre squares in England from 1990 onwards. This species is not under threat. Enochrus melanocephalus is a pioneer species that will benefit from the creation of new pools.

## Enochrus ochropterus (Coleoptera, Hydrophilidae)

There are recent published records for East Cornwall, Dorset, South and North Somerset, East Sussex, East and West Kent, Huntingdonshire, East Suffolk, West Norfolk, East and West Gloucester, Monmouth, Brecon, Pembroke, Cardigan, Anglesey, Leicestershire, Derbyshire, Cumberland, Kirkcudbrightshire, Roxburghshire, Raasay, and Moray. E. ochropterus is a northern and central European species, ranging from Denmark and Fennoscandia to central France, northern Italy, the Balkans, and Siberia.
E. ochropterus is typical of mesotrophic mires, including small base-enriched sections of other nutrient poor bogs, base-flushed peat cuttings and mossy dune-slack and oxbow pools. It can occur in fen carr and appears to be particularly common in litter zones or where mosses are decaying after trampling. The life history details of Enochrus species appear to vary considerably from one species to another and the life history of individual British species has not been described. An egg-case is produced, sometimes under water, and larval development may last between one and two months. Adults feed on algae and decaying plants whereas the larvae are predaceous.

This species has been recorded from 45 ten kilometre squares in England from 1990 onwards, plus twelve in Wales and six in Scotland.

This species does not appear to be under threat, except from drainage of bogs and mires as a result of development. A limited amount of disturbance of bog surfaces may be beneficial to this species in that new pockets of moss growth as created in association with decaying plant matter. E. ochropterus occurs in a large number of NNR, SSSI and wildlife reserves widely distributed across Britain.

Helochares lividus (Coleoptera, Hydrophilidae)

Helochares lividus has been recorded from 174 ten kilometre squares from 1990 onwards and it was found in five samples of the British Countryside Survey in 1990. These data indicate the need for this species to lose its Nationally Scarce status (Foster 2000).

## Hydraena testacea (Coleoptera, Hydraenidae)

This species is found in stagnant water in association with a well-developed marginal vegetation line, but it also occurs in slow-moving water in canals and streams, being found in the moist zone just above the main water line. The seasonal occurrence of adults is strongly bimodal, with peaks in June and September.

Hydraena testacea has been recorded from 108 ten kilometre squares in England, four in Wales and three in Scotland from 1950 onwards, the equivalent values since 1990 being 62, one and one.

Despite some evidence of contraction in range, this species is not under threat. No special conservation measures are considered necessary for this species (Foster 2000).

Ochthebius marinus (Coleoptera, Hydraenidae)
There are recent published records for South and North Somerset, Dorset, East Sussex, East Kent, East and West Norfolk, Monmouth, Westmorland, Cumberland, Durham, South and North Northumberland, and East Lothian. The northernmost sites are in Aberdeenshire and Ayrshire.

This species occurs in a wide range of brackish habitats, and has rarely been recorded inland. This species flies readily.

Ochthebius marinus has been recorded from 92 ten kilometre squares in England, 14 in Wales and eleven in Scotland from 1950 onwards, the equivalent counts for 1990 onwards being 33, four and five respectively. Despite the low counts recently, there is no evidence of contraction in range.

This species cannot be considered under threat. It occurs in a wide range of coastal sites subject to statutory protection.

## Ochthebius nanus (Coleoptera, Hydraenidae)

There are recent published records for North Somerset, East Sussex, East and West Kent, and South-west Yorkshire. This species occurs in stagnant water pools and in slow-flowing drains in lowland rich and grazing fen.

This species has been recorded from 33 ten kilometre squares in England from 1950 onwards, and nine since 1990. There are no modern records for Wales and there is considerable evidence of contraction in range in England, particularly on the coastal levels previously occupied in Lincolnshire and West Norfolk, and from the Cambridgeshire Fens.

Despite the association of this species with brackish habitats in south-east England, its decline elsewhere appears to be associated with loss of peat substrata. The change in range might also be interpreted as a result of climatic deterioration.

The decline of this species requires its special consideration, despite its continued abundance in coastal habitats. It occurs in many sites subject to statutory protection.

Limonia ventralis (Diptera, Limoniidae)

Records of this crane-fly are widely dispersed throughout England, Scotland and Wales. Many records refer to brackish ditches on coastal levels, whilst other records refer to inland locations at muddy, sparsely vegetated margins of lakes and ponds. Whilst brackish conditions are clearly tolerated, it does not appear to be essential and sparse emergent vegetation is probably a more essential feature. There are about 30 post-1960 sites according to Falk (1991).

## Vanoyia tenuicornis (Diptera, Stratiomyidae)

This soldier-fly occurs mainly on the southern lowlands of England and Wales, but it has been found as far north as Yorkshire. It generally occurs in fens or wet meadows, coastal seepages or wet dune-slacks. Some sites are brackish, suggesting that the larvae may tolerate slightly saline conditions. Larvae that were reared to adults were found in South Wales in seepages, at the transition of dune grassland to saltmarsh and in a grazed freshwater fen where they were found amongst moss and sedge litter.

## Lonchoptera nitidifrons (Diptera, Lonchopteridae)

This pointed-wing fly was given Notable status in Falk (1991) but demoted in the recent review by Falk and Chandler (2005). Drake (2002) found that this species was mainly recorded from unmanaged sites with little or no grazing and from circum-neutral vegetation types such as reedbeds, tall-herb reed fen, fen meadow and various swamp communities.

## Lonchoptera scutellata (Diptera, Lonchopteridae)

Records for this pointed-wing fly are widely dispersed throughout England. There are records from only three Welsh sites. This species is associated with sedges (mainly Carex riparia and C. acutifolius) at water margins, in fens and in damp woods; it is also found in tussocks of Carex paniculata in the winter months. The larval biology is unknown, but the larvae may develop in leaf litter or decaying vegetable matter in the above situations. This is a widespread but very local species with at least 46 post-1960 sites according to Falk \& Chandler (2005).

## Psacadina verbekei (Diptera, Sciomyzidae)

This snail-killing fly has been recorded widely in England as far north as Yorkshire and Wales. It has been recorded on a range of wetlands including fens, damp heaths, riversides and duneslacks. Standing water is probably a requirement, although records appear to relate to both bog and base-rich conditions. The larvae develop as parasitoids of aquatic snails such as Lymnaea spp and appear to be adapted to life at the margins of aquatic habitats. This is a widespread but very local species with about 25 post-1960 sites.

## Elachiptera pubescens (Diptera, Chloropidae)

This is essentially a coastal species of southern England according to Falk and Ismay (in prep.). The recorded habitats include coastal grazing marsh and to a lesser extent, damp heathland, gravel pits and marshland. There may be a requirement for Phragmites beds. The early stages are unknown; the larvae probably develop in decaying vegetable matter although they may invade grass and reed stems. This species is widespread but local in the south, although may be locally frequent on the South Essex marshes. There are about twenty post-1960 sites according to Falk and Ismay (in prep.).

## Elachiptera uniseta (Diptera, Chloropidae)

This minute fly has been recorded from scattered localities throughout England and South Wales (two counties only however here). It occurs in wetlands including fens, damp woods,
gravel pits and coastal marshes. The early stages are unknown; the larvae probably develop in decaying vegetable matter although they may invade grass or reed stems. The adults have been recorded virtually throughout the year and appear to be associated with reeds and tussocks of grasses and sedges according to Falk and Ismay (in prep.) although the surveyor has found the species frequently in marginal or emergent vegetation such as Glyceria.
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Background

An area of land directly north of the Sizewell ' B ' Power Station has been identified as having the potential to accommodate new nuclear plant. This area, which covers $0.49 \mathrm{~km}^{2} / 49 \mathrm{ha}$ and has an approximate central grid reference of TM473640, is referred to in this document as the 'Strategic Site Area (SSA).' An access road to the proposed new power station is likely to run in an easterly direction before linking into the wider road network at Lover's Lane. The indicative plant footprint and access road corridor are shown on the sample location plan in Figure 1.1. In addition to these permanent development proposals, there will also be a number of temporary construction activities and other associated developments, but details of these areas are yet to be ascertained.

It was clear from early in the ecological desk study (which began in late 2006) that the Sizewell Estate supported a notable assemblage of invertebrates. Terrestrial invertebrate survey work was undertaken in 2007 by the surveyor with the aim of obtaining representative baseline data from habitats that were likely to be subject to land take as a result of development and to identify any areas within the initial footprint of particular importance for invertebrates. Survey of a number of sites within a 200 m perimeter around the initial footprint was also undertaken for context with a view to undertaking additional survey work as development proposals evolved. The results of this work have previously been reported (AMEC Environment \& Infrastructure UK Ltd (AMEC), 2014a).

Following the evolution of the SSA boundary and the resulting potential effects on aquatic habitat associated with the Sizewell Marshes SSSI, aquatic invertebrate survey work was undertaken in September 2009 to assess the importance of the SSSI for aquatic invertebrates including identifying rare and uncommon species as well as sensitive ditches, and to provide information to guide the proposed development, enable assessment of any potential impacts and enable suitable mitigation. The results of this work were reported earlier this year (AMEC, 2014b). Previous surveys have concentrated on terrestrial invertebrates on the access road corridor, the development footprint and adjoining coastal strip and on aquatic macroinvertebrates in the adjoining Sizewell Belts SSSI. The present report provides results on terrestrial invertebrates recorded from ditches in the Sizewell Belts, on invertebrates recorded in the reedbeds within the SSA and on the protected and UK BAP Norfolk hawker dragonfly (Aeshna isosceles) which occurs throughout the site. The combined reports will be used as context for the Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) for the development proposal.

### 1.2 Purpose of the Survey Work

The present surveys were undertaken to provide baseline information on invertebrates in habitats that may be either directly or indirectly affected by the proposed works on Sizewell Belts SSSI. In addition, the Norfolk hawker is, arguably, the highest-profile invertebrate recorded on the Sizewell Estate and given its protected status and other designations (UK BAP and Red Data Book 1) it was felt useful to confirm its presence and location on the site and to determine whether it was breeding within the SSA.

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1 Survey Protocol

Ditches were selected within Sizewell Belts SSSI for sampling. These were sampled in groups in June 2010 with a 16 inch diameter sweep net attached to a six foot angling pole. The net was passed through bankside vegetation, over the ditches and alongside overhanging bushes and trees. Figure 1.1 shows the locations of the ditches sampled. Invertebrates recorded were selectively removed with an aspirator (or pooter) and placed in alcohol for later determination. Conspicuous insects such as butterflies, day-flying moths, dragonflies and certain bumblebees were recorded in the field. Reedbed insects within the SSA were sampled by means of two Malaise traps which were erected in early and late June 2010 at two separate locations (the location of the Malaise traps are indicated in Figure 1.1). Malaise traps are large flight interception traps that work by impeding an insect's forward movement, forcing the individual to move around the obstacle and in doing so, ending up in a collecting head containing preservative. Malaise traps collect large quantities of material and are particularly effective in reedbeds and fens. Norfolk hawker was recorded by locating adults and checking these using binoculars.

### 2.2 Personnel

Andy Godfrey undertook the field sampling, sorting, identification and reporting. Andy was formerly employed as an entomologist with the Nature Conservancy Council and has specialised in studying invertebrates whilst based in various museums and for ecological consultancies. Since 1999 Andy has worked solely as an entomologist working on conservation and development projects. Andy's particular expertise is in aquatic invertebrates and Diptera, which he has surveyed throughout Britain for over 30 years.

### 2.3 Constraints

Fieldwork was confined to June 2010, partly to the requirement to identify material and report by the end of July $2010^{1}$. Further material was obtained from both malaise traps in late summer 2010 and this material has been retained for identification and evaluation should this be required. Additional material from earlier or later months would increase the accuracy of evaluation of the site for invertebrates but is not essential to the provision of a robust EcIA.

Sweep netting could also have been employed to sample the reedbeds and could have been used to cover a wider area. However, Malaise traps are extremely effective at collecting material and saved tens of man-days manual sampling. Also, many cryptic invertebrates which are rarely encountered by other sampling methods, can be obtained using Malaise traps. Pitfall trapping and water trapping were other invertebrate survey methods considered, but these are difficult to use and ineffective respectively in reedbeds.

Because of the large amount of material collected, identification has focused on selected target groups. All unidentified material has been retained.
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## 3. Results

The prevailing weather conditions experienced during the survey work are summarised in Table 3.1 below.

Table 3.1 Prevailing Weather Conditions

| Survey Date | Weather Conditions |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 June 2010 | Sunny, warm, no cloud cover. No significant wind. |
| 3 June 2010 | Sunny, no cloud cover No significant wind. |
| 4 June 2010 | Bright and sunny, no cloud cover, cool breeze |
| 23 June 2010 | Hot and sunny, no cloud cover, slight breeze |

Details of the taxa recorded are provided in Appendix A and the survey locations are illustrated in Figure 1.1. In total, 13 blocks of ditches were sampled by sweeping from the banks. Species of high nature conservation value (species protected under the Wildlife \& Countryside Act 1981, UK BAP, Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species) have been emboldened in Appendix A and details of the ecology, status and distribution of these species are provided in Appendix B. Definitions of Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce statuses are provided in Appendix C.

A total of 239 terrestrial invertebrate taxa were recorded in June 2010. These include one species protected under the Wildlife \& Countryside Act (1981), three UK BAP species, seven Red Data Book (RDB) species and 12 Nationally Scarce species.

The protected, UK BAP, RDB and Nationally Scarce species recorded are summarised in Table 3.2 along with the sample sites from which they were recorded.

Table 3.2 Distribution of the Protected, Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce Invertebrate Species Recorded from Sizewell Belts in September 2009

| Protected (Wildlife \& Countryside Act 1981) | Where recorded (see Figure 1.1) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Aeshna isosceles | Malaise trap |
| UK Biodiversity Action Plan |  |
| Aeshna isosceles | Malaise trap |
| Chiasmia clathrata | $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{J}$ |
| Tyria jacobaeae | $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{I}$ |

Table 3.2 (continued) Distribution of the Protected, Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce Invertebrate Species Recorded from Sizewell Belts in September 2009

Red Data Book 1

| Aeshna isosceles | Malaise trap |
| :---: | :---: |
| Red Data Book 2 |  |
| Antichaeta brevipennis | G |
| Odontomyia ornata | A,E,J, Malaise trap |
| Parhelophilus consimilis | G |
| Psacadina zernyi | D, I |
| Red Data Book 3 |  |
| Hybomitra ciureai | I |
| Subclytia rotundiventris | Malaise trap |
| Nationally Scarce |  |
| Anagnota bicolor | J |
| Brachytron pratense | C,E,F,G,J,L |
| Crossocerus binotatus | Malaise trap |
| Demetrias imperialis | I |
| Lipara rufitarsis | Malaise trap |
| Odontomyia tigrina | A,B,D,E,F,G,J |
| Psacadina verbekei | J |
| Spanochaeta dorsalis | D,E,J |
| Tetanocera punctifrons | E |
| Thereva plebeia | I |
| Vanoyia tenuicornis | A,E,F,G,I |
| Zophomyia tenella | A,B,D,E,F,G,J |
| Rare (according to Ferrière \& Kerrich, 1958) |  |
| Chalcis sispes | A |

A single specimen of the Norfolk hawker was recorded in the first erected Malaise trap (location indicated in Figure 1.1) which was emptied on 23 June 2010 (see Appendix D for further records). Further individuals were observed in June 2010 on grazing marsh on Sizewell Belts immediately west of Sizewell 'B' power station and immediately northwest of the proposed site of Sizewell 'C'. This species is relatively widespread and frequent throughout the Sizewell Belts and Kenton and Goose Hills, as reported in the annual Land Management Reviews and summarised in the previous terrestrial invertebrate report (AMEC, 2014b). The locations of this
species known to the surveyor are summarised in Table 3.2. This species is also present at Minsmere and in the Chapel Marshes (Mendel, 1992).

Two UK BAP moths were recorded from the ditch margins in June 2010. These are the latticed heath (Chiasmia clathrata) and cinnabar moth. (Tyria jacobaeae). Both are active during the day and are common and widespread species. They were given UK BAP status at the suggestion of Butterfly Conservation because there is evidence that they are declining. Neither is particularly associated with grazing marshes or wetlands in general and they are usually found in drier, open habitats.

Four Red Data Book insects were swept from the grazing marshes. Antichaeta brevipennis is a snail-killing fly found in lush vegetation beside water-bodies. It has previously been recorded from ditches in unimproved grazing marsh in Suffolk (Falk, 1991). Another snail-killing fly, Psacadina zernyi, was recorded and this is associated with fens in particular. Most of the British records of this very rare species are from Norfolk. The soldier-fly Odontomyia ornata was frequently recorded as larvae in the aquatic macro-invertebrate survey undertaken on the Sizewell Belts in September 2009 (AMEC, 2014b). The adult records are useful for confirming the provisional larval determinations. The larvae of this species can be locally common in ditches on grazing levels despite its RDB2 status. The hoverfly Parhelophilus consimilis is normally associated with eutrophic bogs but has been found in fens in eastern England. The other two Parhelophilus species which are local and associated with wetlands were also recorded from the survey.

Two RDB3 insects were recorded from the survey. The horsefly Hybomitra ciureai appears to be associated with brackish coastal marshes or grazing levels. The larvae probably develop in damp soil and in the marginal zones of ponds and ditches. Most of the records of this species are from Essex but it has been recorded from Suffolk, from Walberswick National Nature Reserve. The distinctive tachinid Subclytia rotundiventris is normally associated with broadleaved woodland including birch scrub in areas of wet heath and fen, heaths and calcareous grassland. The larvae are parasitoids of several species of shield bug. One individual was taken in the Malaise trap in the increasingly scrubbed-over reedbeds.

Twelve Nationally Scarce (formerly Notable) invertebrates were recorded during the survey. The hairy dragonfly (Brachytron pratense) was frequent in the ditches on the grazing marshes in June and shared the habitat with the Norfolk hawker. The hairy dragonfly is a well known inhabitant of coastal levels and grazing marshes. The ground beetle Demetrias imperialis has been recorded from Phragmites and Typha beds as well as a variety of other wetland habitats. It appears to have increased its range in recent years. The soldier fly Odontomyia tigrina is found in wetlands in southern England including ditches on coastal levels. Another soldier fly, Vanoyia tenuicornis, was found in five samples on the grazing marsh and larvae of this species were frequently encountered in the ditches in September 2009. The stiletto-fly Thereva plebeia is usually associated with dry habitats and may have been blown onto the grazing marsh from the nearby sandhills or Sandlings habitat. Two Nationally Scarce snail-killing flies were recorded from the grazing marsh ditches, namely Psacadina verbekei and Tetanocera punctifrons. Both are found in wetlands and have larvae that either predate or parasitise aquatic molluscs. Lipara rufitarsis produces distinctive galls on Phragmites and is consequently particularly associated with Phragmites beds. Based on the surveyor's experience, the minute Anagnota bicolor is usually found in grass and sedge tussocks in wetlands, although another source suggests it is associated with reedbeds. The muscid Spanochaeta dorsalis is found in marshes and marshy woods and it has been found on Phragmites by the surveyor previously. Zophomyia tenella is a tachinid that has been recorded from a variety of habitats including
woodland, calcareous grassland and coastal dunes. The larval stages are unknown. The solitary wasp Crossocerus binotatus has been found sparingly throughout England where it is associated with deadwood and timber in a variety of situations including woodland margins, open woodland, farmland, parkland and wetland margins.
4. Discussion

### 4.1 Importance of the Reedbeds Within the SSA to Invertebrates

The current and previous invertebrate surveys on the Sizewell Estate have confirmed that the protected and UK BAP Norfolk hawker dragonfly occurs within the reedbed habitat within the SSA. The main habitat of this species is almost certainly the ditches on the Sizewell Belts SSSI but adults also bask on tree trunks on the forest tracks and a nymph was recorded on the edge of the SSA in September 2009. It is illegal to knowingly kill or injure a species protected under the Wildlife \& Countryside Act 1981 and this extends to damaging breeding, nesting or roosting habitat in some protected species. Suitable mitigation for Norfolk hawker should be put in place to avoid destruction or damage to potential habitat for this species within the SSA. Habitat management recommendations for this species may be found on the British Dragonfly Society's website at www.dragonflysoc.org.uk.

In addition to the Norfolk hawker, the current survey recorded two Red Data Book insects (Odontomyia ornata and Subclytia rotundiventris) and two Nationally Scarce insects (Lipara rufitarsis and Crossocerus binotatus) from the reedbed within the SSA. The aquatic invertebrate survey conducted in September 2009 (AMEC, 2014b) did not record any Red Data Book species in the water bodies or ditches here, probably because they were clearly nutrient-enriched and often shaded. Four Nationally Scarce water beetle species were recorded within the reedbeds in the SSA however. The main invertebrate survey in 2007 (AMEC, 2014a) recorded Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species along the proposed new road route from Lover's Lane, through Kenton Hills and Dunwich Forest/Goose Hill. At least seven Red Data Book and 14 Nationally Scarce terrestrial insect species were recorded using a Malaise trap located within the reedbed in the SSA (Sample Site J) in 2007 (AMEC, 2014a).

Overall, the results suggest that the reedbeds are of high nature conservation value for invertebrates and any adverse effects from the proposed development should be minimised.

### 4.2 Importance of the Grazing Marsh Ditches on the Sizewell Belts SSSI to Terrestrial Invertebrates

The Norfolk hawker appeared to be relatively frequent on the ditches on the Sizewell Belts SSSI. This may represent the most southerly British population of this restricted species.

The present survey also recorded two further UK BAP, five Red Data Book and ten Nationally Scarce terrestrial insect species on the Sizewell Belts SSSI, as well as another species regarded as rare - the parasitic wasp Chalcis sispes. The results indicate that the ditches are of high nature conservation value for terrestrial invertebrates, or the terrestrial stages of aquatic invertebrates (AMEC, 2014b). The UK BAP, RDB and Nationally Scarce species recorded in June 2010 utilise a variety of larval or adult habitats including aquatic snail parasites (Antichaeta brevipennis, Psacadina zernyi, P. verbekei and probably Tetanocera punctifrons), a shield bug parasite (Subclytia rotundiventris), aquatic nymphs or larvae in grazing marsh ditches (Brachytron pratense, Odontomyia ornata, O. tigrinaa, Vanoyia tenuicornis, possibly Hybomitra ciureai), various open ground and dry habitats (Chiasmia clathrata and Tyria
jacobaeae), larvae in eutrophic bogs, occasionally fens (Parhelophilus consimilis), marshes and marshy woods (Spanochaeta dorsalis) and on dead wood and timber in various habitats (Crossocerus binotatus). The various larval hosts, and micro- and macro-habitats used by adults and larvae suggest that a wide range of conditions are utilised on the Sizewell Belts, although the ditches are almost certainly the most important.

Adequate measures should be put in place to ensure the protection and integrity of the ditches and other habitats on the SSSI.

### 4.3 Requirements for Re-Survey

A period of about five years between large-scale surveys represent an ideal survey spacing, with annual monitoring necessary to show trends in high-priority invertebrate species, possibly only the protected Norfolk hawker and the ant-lion Euroleon nostras, which is a Suffolk BAP species and only occurs in Britain at the two locations mentioned at Sizewell and at Minsmere. Interim surveys in order to fill in taxonomic gaps, to cover areas not surveyed or to provide data for specific reasons can provide additional information to the data set but would usually be identified and agreed through further consultation with statutory consultees and other interested parties. The surveyor considers that most of the more useful (for assessing habitat quality) and identifiable taxa have been covered in the survey and that the most important areas and habitats have been surveyed for invertebrates. With around 25,000 invertebrates in Britain it is easy to find taxonomic groups that have not been covered in a survey (examples at Sizewell, might include the jumping plant lice, barklice, the more specialised Diptera, sawflies and parasitic wasps) but these groups are often difficult to identify and their ecology is poorly known and so they are often not covered in surveys. A (very) good argument would be required to include these.
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Up-to-date distribution maps of the species mentioned below may be found on the National Biodiversity Network Gateway website at: www.nbn.org.uk.

## Protected under the Wildlife \& Countryside Act (1981)

## Aeshna isosceles (Odonata, Aeshnidae)

The Norfolk hawker breeds in unpolluted grazing marsh dykes which contain water soldier in areas where the water table is maintained at a high level. Other plants often present are frogbit, pondweeds and greater bladderwort. The dragonfly is confined to the Norfolk and Suffolk Broads. It is restricted to a few grazing marshes which are relatively isolated from polluted water. The above information is from Merritt et al. (1997). In recent years this species has proved to be relatively frequent at Sizewell and this may indicate an upturn in the species fortunes.

## UK Biodiversity Action Plan

Aeshna isosceles (Odonata, Aeshnidae)
See above account.

## Chiasmia clathrata (Lepidoptera, Geometridae)

The latticed is a common and widespread moth. It is most abundant around lucerne crops and generally occurs in lower numbers in open ground including gardens, and ranging from calcareous grassland and fens to acid heathland and moorland. It sometimes occurs in open woodland and on derelict urban sites. The caterpillar feeds on clovers, trefoils and lucerne. This moth is declining according to data held by Butterfly Conservation.

## Tyria jacobaeae (Lepidoptera, Arctidae)

The cinnabar is a resident, common and widespread day-flying moth. It is most numerous on well drained, rabbit grazed grassland, including mature sand dunes and heathland but also in many other open habitats including gardens and woodland rides. The caterpillar feeds mainly on common ragwort but feeds on other ragworts, groundsels and has been reported on colt's-foot. This moth is declining according to data held by Butterfly Conservation.

## Red Data Book 1

Aeshna isosceles (Odonata, Aeshnidae)
See above account.

## Red Data Book 2

Antichaeta brevipennis (Diptera, Sciomyzidae)
Falk (1991) noted this from about a dozen sites in total, widely dispersed throughout England (six counties including Norfolk and Suffolk) and also from Scotland (one county). This species is usually associated with lush vegetation beside water bodies in wetlands; the previous Suffolk records refer to ditches in unimproved grazing marsh. In Denmark, the early stages have been found on snails such as Succinea and it may also use Galba truncatula (at least in the laboratory). The adults have been recorded from June to August.

## Odontomyia ornata (Diptera, Stratiomyidae)

This soldier fly has two strongholds, namely the Somerset Levels and the Gwent Levels, where it is widespread and not uncommon. There are also sizeable populations on the freshwater parts of coastal levels in East Sussex. The species has been recorded sparsely as adults or larvae in similar habitat in West Sussex, Kent, Essex, Suffolk and Norfolk. The adults may frequently be seen on umbellifers such as hemlock water dropwort but the larvae are usually much easier to
locate. The larvae may be locally common in ditches on grazing levels. They may be freefloating or crawling among aquatic vegetation near the surface, especially within tangles of ivyleaved duckweed and frogbit. The preferred ditches are wide (more than 1 m ) and have a rich and structurally diverse cover of floating vegetation. Almost certainly the key to this species' survival is the presence of extensive areas of ditches that are cleaned out on a cycle of about five years.

## Parhelophilus consimilis (Diptera, Syrphidae)

This hoverfly is widespread throughout England, Scotland and Wales. Adults are associated with accumulations of wet, decaying matter, particularly Typha, in eutrophic bogs but also occasionally in fens in eastern England. The adults are usually found in lush vegetation fringeing water-bodies and rarely stray far from water. The larva is undescribed.

## Psacadina zernyi (Diptera, Sciomyzidae)

Falk (1991) was only able to give eight British records for this species, most of which were in Norfolk (five sites) along with two sites in Sussex and a single site in Surrey. This species occurs in wetlands, especially fens, with standing water probably being a requirement. The larvae are probably parasitoids of freshwater snails at the margins of water bodies. This is an extremely rare southern species with only six post-1960 sites according to Falk (1991).

## Red Data Book 3

## Hybomitra ciureai (Diptera, Tabanidae)

Falk (1991) was only able to cite six known localities for this species, namely in Essex (three locations), Suffolk (Walberswick NNR only), Kent (one location) and Sussex (one location). The habitat of this species is probably brackish coastal marshes or grazing levels. The larvae probably develop in damp soil and in the marginal zones of ponds and ditches. The adults have been found in July and August.

## Subclytia rotundiventris (Diptera, Tachinidae)

This distinctive parasitic fly has been recorded from southern and central England (ten counties according to the Tachinid Recording Scheme website (tachinidae.org.uk). There are well over a dozen post-1960 localities with an increase in recent years. The habitat is broadleaved woodland including birch scrub in areas of wet heath and fen, heaths and calcareous grassland. The larvae are parasitoids of several species of shield bug (Hemiptera, Acanthosomatidae) including species associated with birch, juniper and gorse. The adults have been recorded from May to September.

## Nationally Scarce

## Brachytron pratense (Odonata, Aeshnidae)

The hairy dragonfly is most commonly found in Britain on the coastal levels and grazing marshes of Somerset, Sussex, Kent and Norfolk. It also occurs in the fens of Anglesey, in the Cheshire meres and on the wetlands along the coast of South Wales and Suffolk. This species breeds in mesotrophic ponds, lakes including mature gravel pits, canals, ditches and marshy fens where there is plenty of tall emergent vegetation such as common club-rush, common reed, bulrush and great fen-sedge. This species has a short flight season from mid-May to mid-July. It can be found with other species that favour unpolluted, well vegetated dykes and fens (Merritt et al., 1997).

## Demetrias imperialis (Coleoptera, Carabidae)

This ground beetle has been recorded throughout southern England and the east Midlands. This species has increased in recent years and is now locally common in some areas of southern England. It has been recorded from fens, broads, marshes, ponds, gravel pits, brackish marshes and tidal rivers. It has been found in reedbeds and Typha beds. The adults have been recorded from January to October.

## Anagnota bicolor (Diptera, Anthomyzidae)

This minute anthomyzid fly has been recorded throughout England (14 counties or vicecounties), Wales (four counties) and Scotland (five counties). There are sixteen post-1960 sites according to Ismay and Falk (in prep.). These same authors claim that this species is usually associated with Phragmites stands in marshes and coastal levels, but in the surveyor's experience it is more likely to be associated with grass and sedge tussocks in wetlands. There are records of the larvae developing in the galls of the chloropid fly Lipara but this seems unlikely larval micro-habitat in the surveyor's opinion.

## Lipara rufitarsis (Diptera, Chloropidae)

This chloropid fly has been recorded mainly from southern England (nine counties according to Ismay and Falk, in prep.). This species is widespread in the south but highly localised with about a dozen post-1960 sites. It is found in wetlands including fens, gravel pits and damp heathland, and has a requirement for Phragmites beds. The larvae develop within the stems of Phragmites and form galls which cause foreshortening and sterility of their host plant. The galls are narrow, unlike the distinctive cigar-shaped galls of the common Lipara lucens. The adults have been recorded from April to July.

## Odontomyia tigrina (Diptera, Stratiomyidae)

This soldier fly has been recorded widely in the southern half of England and Wales, and there is an old (pre-1909) isolated record from Aviemore in Scotland. The vast majority of records are from southern England. This species is found in wetlands including fens, ponds, canals and ditches in coastal levels. It appears to prefer water bodies with a rich flora and where both emergent and floating vegetation is present. On the Gwent and Somerset Levels it was found to prefer narrower ditches with much emergent vegetation and to be less frequent in large drainage ditches, in ditches choked with vegetation and in those cleared the previous year. The larvae have been found in shallow water at the margins of ponds and ditches and in marshes (both freshwater and slightly brackish) amongst vegetable matter. The adults have been recorded from May to July and occur on flowers such as umbels or vegetation near the breeding sites.

Psacadina verbekei (Diptera, Sciomyzidae)
This snail-killing fly has been recorded widely in England as far north as Yorkshire and Wales. It has been recorded on a range of wetlands including fens, damp heaths, riversides and duneslacks. Standing water is probably a requirement, although records appear to relate to both bog and base-rich conditions. The larvae develop as parasitoids of aquatic snails such as Lymnaea spp. and appear to be adapted to life at the margins of aquatic habitats. This is a widespread but very local species with about 25 post-1960 sites.
Spanochaeta dorsalis (Diptera, Muscidae)
This distinctive muscid has been recorded throughout southern and central England (12 counties according to D'Assis-Fonseca, 1968) and in two Welsh counties. It is found in marshes and marshy woods. The adults have been recorded from June to August.

## Tetanocera punctifrons (Diptera, Sciomyzidae)

Records of this species are scattered widely in England (nine counties or vice-counties), Wales (two counties) and Scotland (three counties). It is found in wetlands, especially damp woodland and also riverside situations, damp heathland and coastal marshes. The larvae are probably predators or parasitoids of gastropod molluscs, but it is unclear whether aquatic or terrestrial species or snails or slugs are used. This species is widespread with about 20 post-1960 records according to Falk (1991).

## Thereva plebeia (Diptera, Therevidae)

Old records of this species are widespread in southern England as far north as Warwickshire, Cambridgeshire and Norfolk, although there is a strong south-easterly bias with many records for Greater London and surrounding areas. A range of dry habitats are favoured by this species including heathlands, commons, and ruderal and suburban habitats. Some disturbance may be necessary to produce the areas of loose soil and sparse vegetation favoured by this species. Larval development appears to take place in dry soil, and it has been reared from a larva found in an allotment in Epsom, Surrey. The adults have been recorded from late April to mid August.

## Vanoyia tenuicornis (Diptera, Stratiomyidae)

This soldier fly occurs mainly on the southern lowlands of England and Wales, but it has been found as far north as Yorkshire. It generally occurs in fens or wet meadows, coastal seepages or wet dune-slacks. Some sites are brackish, suggesting that the larvae may tolerate slightly saline conditions. Larvae that were reared to adults were found in South Wales in seepages, at the transition of dune grassland to saltmarsh and in a grazed freshwater fen where they were found amongst moss and sedge litter.

## Zophomyia tenella (Diptera, Tachinidae)

This parasitic fly has been recorded from southern England, the Midlands (Worcs), Wales and northern England (Westmorland). It has been recorded from woodland, calcareous grassland and coastal dunes. The hosts are unknown.

Crossocerus binotatus (Hymenoptera, Sphecidae)
This solitary wasp has been recorded sparingly throughout England (about 20 vice-counties by the early 1990s) and has also been recorded from single counties in Wales and Scotland. This species is very widespread but exceedingly scarce in most areas of the UK. It is associated with dead wood and timber in a variety of situations including woodland margins, open woodland, non-intensive farmland, parkland, wetland margins, gardens and railway cuttings. Nesting occurs in dead wood. Warm, sunny situations are probably favoured for nesting.

## Appendix C Invertebrate Rarity Status Definitions
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[This document is reproduced from an internal English Nature document. Full details of the references below are given in the original document].

For the purposes of evaluating invertebrate faunas and priorities for conservation action, invertebrates are attributed various rarity status categories, the meanings of which are given below. Criteria for the selection of species into Red Data Book categories one to five follow Shirt (1987), with minor modifications derived from Hyman \& Parsons (1992) and Parsons (1993).

Categories RDBI (Indeterminate) and RDBK (Insufficiently Known) are based on the criteria used by Wells, Pyle and Collins (1983).Criteria for the selection of Nationally Scarce species follow Eversham (1983) and Ball (1986).

Red Data Book Category 1 RDB1 - ENDANGERED

| Definition | Taxa in danger of extinction in Great Britain and whose survival is unlikely if the causal factors <br> continue operating. <br> Included are taxa whose numbers have been reduced to a critical level or whose habitats have been <br> so dramatically reduced that they are deemed to be in immediate danger of extinction. Also included <br> are some taxa that are possibly extinct. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Criteria | Species, which are known or believed, to occur as only a single population within one 10km square of <br> the National Grid. <br> Species, which only occur in habitats known to be especially vulnerable. <br> Species, which have shown a rapid and continuous decline over the last twenty years and are now <br> estimated to exist in five or fewer 10km squares. <br> Species which are possibly extinct but have been recorded this century but which if rediscovered <br> would need protection. |

## Red Data Book Category 2 RDB2 - VULNERABLE

| Definition | Taxa believed likely to move into the Endangered category in the near future if the causal factors <br> continue operating. <br> Included are taxa of which most or all of the populations are decreasing because of over-exploitation, <br> extensive destruction of habitat or other environmental disturbance; taxa with populations that have <br> been seriously depleted and whose ultimate security is not yet assured; and taxa with populations that <br> are still abundant but are under threat from serious adverse factors throughout their range. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Criteria. | Species declining throughout their range. <br> Species in vulnerable habitats. |

Red Data Book Category 3 RDB3-RARE

Definition Taxa with small populations in Great Britain that are not at present Endangered or Vulnerable, but are at risk.
These taxa are usually localized within restricted geographical areas or habitats or are thinly scattered over a more extensive range.

Criteria Species, which are estimated to exist in only 15 or fewer 10km, squares. This criterion may be relaxed where populations are likely to exist in over 1510 km squares but occupy small areas of especially vulnerable habitat.

## Red Data Book Category 4

## RDB4 - OUT OF DANGER

Definition Taxa formerly meeting the criteria of one of the aforementioned categories but which are now considered relatively secure because effective conservation measures have been taken or the previous threat to their survival in Great Britain has been removed.

## Red Data Book Category 5 RDB5 - ENDEMIC

Definition Taxa, which are not known to occur naturally outside Great Britain. Taxa within this category may also be in any of the other RDB categories or not threatened at all.
There are few truly endemic species in Great Britain. Most that have been identified are in fairly obscure groups, which are relatively poorly known, and the species may well eventually be discovered elsewhere in Europe.

## Red Data Book Appendix

RDBApp. - EXTINCT

Definition Taxa which formerly had breeding populations in Great Britain but which are now believed to have died out. (Taxa not recorded since 1900)

## Red Data Book Category I RDB I-INDETERMINATE

Definition Taxa considered being Endangered, Vulnerable or Rare, but where there is not enough information to say which of the three categories (RDB1 to 3) is appropriate.

## Red Data Book Category K RDBK - INSUFFICIENTLY KNOWN

Definition Taxa that are suspected, but not definitely known, to belong to any of the aforementioned categories, because of lack of information.

Criteria Taxa recently discovered or recognised in Great Britain, which may prove to be more widespread in the future (although some recent discoveries may be placed in other categories if the group to which they belong is thought not to be under- recorded).
Taxa with very few or perhaps only a single known locality but which belong to poorly recorded or taxonomically difficult or unstable groups.
Species with very few or perhaps only a single known locality, inhabiting inaccessible or infrequently sampled but widespread habitats, such as some northern moorland species, species associated with some agricultural situations and species which are adult only during the winter.
Species with very few or perhaps only a single known locality and of questionable native status, but not clearly falling into the category of recent colonist, vagrant or introduction.
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## Provisional Red Data Book

pRDB

Definition The prefix 'p' before any Red Data Book category implies that the grading is provisional. In the majority of cases this means that the species' status has been reconsidered and changed in a Species Group Review produced subsequent to the publication of the relevant Red Data Book.
The statuses so given are described as provisional, pending the publication of a future edition of that Red Data Book. These statuses are however, based upon a greater amount of evidence than was available for the original Red Data Book and therefore are more likely to be a true representation of the species' actual status.
The prefix ' $p$ ' is also used for RDB status categories in groups where a Red Data Book has not yet been produced but is in preparation, or is used for species in groups covered by the original Red Data Book, where it is considered that there is evidence that the original grading was incorrect or that there has been a genuine change in status of the taxon.

## Nationally Scarce (Notable) Species

The term 'Nationally Scarce' was adopted and replaced the term 'Notable‘ during the compilation of the Guidelines for the Selection of Biological SSSIs. The two terms are thus interchangeable but 'Nationally Scarce' is preferable.

Ball (1986) discusses the allocation of species to Nationally Scarce categories:
'’The Invertebrate Site Register project includes the preparation of National Species Reviews which seek to identify and document uncommon species. The criteria used have been based directly on those evolved by botanists and two levels of 'National Notability' has been used. These are Notable A, for species known to occur in 30 or less 10 km squares of the National Grid and Notable B for those known from 100 or less squares.
Although this system can be used directly with well-recorded groups like Dragonflies, Butterflies and Grasshoppers; when dealing with many other groups of insects, the level of recording is not sufficient to apply the criteria rigorously. A combination of three alternative approaches has been employed:

1. The approximate number of squares in which a species may occur can be estimated by looking at the number it has been recorded from as a proportion of the total in which the whole group (e.g. its family) has been recorded.

Coarser measurements such as the number of vice-counties in which a species has occurred can be used ( 7 or less for Notable A, 20 or less for Notable B).
2. Experts can be asked to use their field experience to judge the status of species in their particular specialist group against others with a better-established status. By consulting as many people as possible and taking a consensus of their views, geographical and personal biases can be minimized.
3. In some groups in which widespread interest and recording is a rather recent phenomenon, no attempt has yet been made to separate Notable A and Notable B species, and all Nationally Notable species are simply graded 'Notable'.'"

## Nationally Scarce (Notable) N - NOTABLE

Definition. Species, which are estimated to occur in 16 to 10010 km , squares in Great Britain. The subdividing of this category into Nationally Scarce A and Nationally Scarce B has not been attempted for some species because of either the degree of recording that has been carried out in the group to which the species belongs, or because there is some other reason why it is not sensible to be so exact.

## Nationally Scarce (Notable) Category A Na-NOTABLE A

Definition Taxa which do not fall within RDB categories but which are uncommon in Great Britain and thought to occur in 30 or fewer 10 km squares of the National Grid or, for less well recorded groups, within 7 or fewer vice-counties.

## Nationally Scarce (Notable) Category B Nb - NOTABLE B

Definition Taxa which do not fall within RDB categories but which are uncommon in Great Britain and thought to occur in between 31 and 100 10km squares of the National Grid or, for less well recorded groups, between 8 and 20 vice-counties.

## Regionally Scarce (Notable) Nr - NOTABLE

Definition Species which are considered to occur in 5 or less 10 km squares in an area equivalent in size to a region of the old Nature Conservancy Council or larger, approximately one eighth the total area of England.
Such statuses were worked out during the compilation of the Invertebrate Site Registers. They cover various groups in Scotland, in northern England as a whole, in northeast and northwest England, in vice-county Yorkshire and in the east Midlands and East Anglia. They were worked out by local entomologists.

## LOCAL

Definition The term is not rigidly defined, but loosely means species confined to a particular habitat type (usually associated with better quality examples of that habitat), a particular geographic area, or species that are too widespread to warrant Nationally Scarce (Notable) status but are nevertheless infrequently encountered.

## COMMON

Definition Common or very widespread species, frequently recorded.

## SYANTHROPIC SPECIES

Definition Species dependent upon man, his buildings, livestock or crops.

## UNKNOWN

Definition Species where no status has been attributed. There may be confusion over the species' taxonomy, it may belong to a poorly recorded group or may occur in an infrequently sampled habitat. As a species is entered into the Invertebrate Site Register or RECORDER, the status automatically defaults to 'Unknown'.

Certain common or local species may therefore occasionally appear in this category if there has been no necessity to use the species record.

## Appendix D <br> Known Records of Norfolk Hawker from Sizewell
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Purpose of this Report

An area of land directly north of Sizewell B Nuclear Power Station, which is located near Leiston in Suffolk, has been identified as having the potential to accommodate the proposed development of one or more new nuclear reactors. This proposed development is known as Sizewell C. The site of the proposed development has an approximate central National Grid Reference (NGR) of TM473640.

AMEC Environment and Infrastructure UK Ltd ('AMEC') was commissioned in 2007 to provide terrestrial and freshwater ecological, and ornithological services in relation to Sizewell C. The purpose of this report, which outlines the findings of survey work undertaken for invertebrates in the period 2007-2010, is to inform the design of Sizewell C and the Environmental Statement for the scheme.

### 1.2 Survey Area

The survey areas and methodologies used have been adopted following consultation with statutory and non-statutory consultees and other stakeholders, taking into account best practice guidelines, and site-specific and project-specific characteristics. The survey areas adopted are precautionary in that they allow for the iterative development of the scheme design by covering a larger area than is likely to be affected by the proposals. Based on the information available at the time the surveys were undertaken, it was assessed that the relevant Zones of Influence of the proposed development would be likely not to extend further than the defined study areas.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Desk Study

A number of sources of information have been used to provide context and background for interpreting the results of the survey work. The major sources that have been identified and used to date (2010) are:

- Sizewell Ecological Surveys (in connection with Sizewell 'C') conducted by Bioscan (UK) (Bioscan, 1991);
- Sizewell Land Management Annual Reviews 1996-2007 compiled by Suffolk Wildlife Trust and ADAS (SWT/ADAS, 1996-2007);
- Aquatic invertebrate surveys of Sizewell Belts SSSI in 1987 and 1988 undertaken by the Nature Conservancy Council (Drake, 1989a,b);
- More recent, currently unpublished data collected by Drake for Buglife in April 2009 (Drake in prep.);
- Survey reports on the ant-lion (Euroleon nostras) which cover the Sizewell populations; and
- Invertebrate records for Minsmere RSPB reserve, supplied by the RSPB.

Contextual information regarding white admiral butterfly (Limenitis camilla) within the study area was obtained from the following sources:

- The Suffolk Wildlife Trust ${ }^{1}$;
- The Suffolk Butterfly Recorder (Rob Parker);
- RSPB Minsmere (Robin Harvey);
- The United Kingdom Butterfly Monitoring Scheme;
- Suffolk Natural History Society (the Transactions of the Suffolk Natural History Society).

Several other invertebrate records for Sizewell have been obtained by AMEC as part of a wide ranging desk study which has included the review of a considerable number of ecological reports (many associated with the Sizewell C Application). The veracity of some of these records is questionable, and many locations are unclear, but they have nevertheless been summarised here.

[^68]Some sources of information have not been consulted at this stage. These include the Suffolk Naturalist's Society Transactions and recorders for various groups, a spider survey report on Sizewell (Harvey, 1990) and some early general references such as Morley (1899, 1915).

### 2.2 Field Surveys

### 2.2.1 2007 Invertebrate Survey

Invertebrates were sampled from a total of twelve sample sites labelled A-L. Table 2.1 provides details of the invertebrate sample locations whilst Figure 2.1 shows these locations. The sampling methods employed at each sample site varied according to circumstances such as possibility of human interference and the presence of sufficient vegetation in which to hide traps. The use of passive traps (such as Malaise traps - see section 2.2.4) was not an option on the beach although these were able to be deployed in woodlands and planted-up sites on the preliminary works area. Table 2.2 provides details of the sampling methods employed at each of the sampling sites.

Table 2.1 Number and Location of Invertebrate Sampling Sites at Sizewell in 2007

| Site | OS Grid Ref (TH) | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 47496435 | Eastern bund overlooking coastal strip (planted trees and shrubs with clearings) |
| B | 47386436 | Northern bund (planted trees and shrubs) |
| C | 47296415 | Central riparian belt (carr woodland) |
| D | 472641 | Western riparian belt (carr woodland) |
| E | 47016461 | Triangular-shaped area of young plantation surrounded by mature plantations, Goose Hill (Dunwich Forest) |
| F | 46596465 | Plantation edge and scrub at intersection of rides, Dunwich Forest |
| G | 464645 | Carr woodland at Turf Pits, Kenton Hills |
| H | 463644 | Plantation at Nursery Covert, Kenton Hills |
| 1 | 47406401 | Southern edge of field/northern edge of young conifer plantation. |
| J | 47286448 | Phragmites fen near footbridge |
| K | 47516452 | Coastal heath north of power station. |
| L | 4764 (La-e) | Coastal belt (low dunes, shingle, marram, etc). |
|  | 47556295 (Lf) | La - e = June 2007, Lf-j = July and Sept 2007 |
|  | 4763 (Lg-j) |  |

Table 2.2 Invertebrate Sampling Methods Employed at each of the Sample Sites

| Sampling Site | Invertebrate sampling methods used |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | Pitfall traps (10), water trap (1) and Malaise trap (1) |
| B | Pitfall traps (10) and water trap (1) |
| C | Pitfall traps (10), water trap (1) and Malaise trap (1) |
| D | Pitfall traps (10) and water trap (1) |
| E | Pitfall traps (10) and water trap (1) |
| F | Pitfall traps (10) and water trap (1) |
| H | Pitfall traps (10), water trap (1) and Malaise trap (1) and water trap (1) |
| I | Water trap (1) |
| J | Malaise trap (1) |
| L | Pitfall traps (1) |

The traps and samples were emptied or taken at monthly intervals. Descriptions of the different sampling methods used are given below.

## Pitfall Traps

Pitfall traps comprising plastic drinking cups were placed in holes in the ground in rows of ten at nine locations giving a possible maximum of 90 pitfall traps per visit. Pitfall traps are used to sample ground-living invertebrates such as wolf spiders, millipedes, woodlice, ground beetles and rove beetles. Antifreeze (ethylene glycol) was added as a preservative. The pitfalls were put down in June 2007 and emptied in July, August and September. Consequently the results represent a maximum of 270 traps, but there were some losses, most notably due to the flooding and heavy rainfall in June and July (notably at Sample Site C). On emptying the traps the contents of each transect of ten traps were pooled into a single pot.

## Sweep Netting

Sweep netting was undertaken using a 16 inch diameter net ${ }^{2}$ mounted on a three-foot angling pole. Sweep netting was concentrated on five sampling sites on Sizewell beach on each visit and was undertaken for a period of approximately ten minutes on each visit. The five sites varied with each visit partly because of the problem of re-locating the exact sampling sites on

[^69]subsequent visits and in order to cover as much of the beach as possible. The sweep net was passed through low-lying vegetation and over bare or partly vegetated ground. Sweep netting is an excellent means of obtaining low-flying insects and phytophagous insects feeding on herb or shrub layer vegetation. Diptera dominated the sweep net samples with Hymenoptera also frequent along with plant-feeding or active beetles and bugs etc. Target invertebrates within the swept material were selectively removed from the net using an aspirator (or 'pooter'). Sweep netting was only employed on the shore (low dunes, shingle, marram communities, etc) because passive trapping methods could not be employed here due to heavy public usage. Five timed sweep net samples were taken on each monthly visit (excluding August).

## Vacuum Sampling

A portable garden vacuum (Ryobi PBV-30) with a muslin bag inserted into the vacuum tube and held in place with a thick rubber band was used at each of the same locations as the sweep net locations on three occasions. Each vacuum sample was timed at three minutes and was used to sample the vegetation and partly bare ground at each site including along the pitfall transects and around each of the water traps. The muslin bag was emptied into a sweep net and material selectively removed with an aspirator. Vacuums provide an excellent means of sampling invertebrates associated with low-growing vegetation and the diurnal ground invertebrate fauna. Vacuum sampling was only employed on the shore (low dunes, shingle, marram communities, etc) because passive trapping methods could not be employed here due to heavy public usage. Five timed vacuum samples were taken on each monthly visit (excluding August).

## Water Traps

The water traps on this survey comprised yellow plastic washing-up bowls half-filled with tap water. These were placed on the ground at nine of the sample sites. A small amount of detergent was added to each water trap to reduce the tension of the surface film and formalin was added ( $5-10 \%$ volume) as a preservative. Yellow water traps are very effective at trapping flying insects ${ }^{3}$ such as flower visiting species (Disney et al., 1982; Kirk, 1984). In order of abundance trapped material included Diptera (many families), Hymenoptera (including aculeates such as bees, wasps and ants), Hemiptera (especially Auchenorrhyncha), Coleoptera (such as pollen beetles and flea beetles) and various minor invertebrate orders such as Orthoptera (crickets and grasshoppers). The water traps were emptied on each visit and the material was placed in alcohol for later sorting and identification. Some water traps were clearly affected by the heavy rainfall experienced in June and July 2007.

## Malaise Traps

Malaise traps comprise large tent-like structures that act on the flight-interception model. Flying insects, on encountering the vertical screen of mesh, try to fly over the obstacle and in doing so follow the sloping roof and eventually end up in a collecting bottle in the top corner of the trap. Malaise traps are large and conspicuous and are therefore prone to human interference. They are also expensive, relatively fragile and prone to wind damage when sited in exposed locations such as coastal areas. Malaise traps work best on flight-lines such as woodland paths or woodland edges. Because of these potential problems only limited use of these was made on the survey, and they were partly used as 'insurance' in case any of the other sampling methods

[^70]failed. The large amount of material collected by Malaise traps meant that only a relatively small amount of material has been examined.

## Taxonomic Coverage

The taxonomic groups identified varied with the sampling methods. For example, all Coleoptera were identified from all the pitfall traps and from most of the water traps and vacuum samples whilst the Araneae (spiders), Orthoptera (grasshoppers and allies), Blattodea (cockroaches), various other minor insect groups, Auchenorhyncha (leafhoppers), Heteroptera (true-bugs), Rhopalocera (butterflies), Coleoptera (beetles), selected Diptera (true-flies) and all aculeate Hymenoptera (bees, wasps and ants) were identified from the sweep and vacuum samples. A smaller number of taxa were identified from the water traps (mostly Odonata, Orthoptera, other minor groups, selected Diptera and aculeate Hymenoptera).

### 2.2.2 2009 Invertebrate Survey

Ditches were selected within Sizewell Belts SSSI for sampling. These were sampled with a standard pond net ${ }^{4}$ in September 2009.

Each location selected was sampled for a 3-minute period by passing the net along the banks and over the beds of the shallower ditches. The contents of the net were washed and sieved on the bank (this involved placing the sample in a 1 cm coarse sieve, with a $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ sieve and then a bowl beneath it). After several pourings, the contents of the 1 cm sieve were checked for large freshwater invertebrates and if there were none or once these had been noted, the coarse fraction was returned to the watercourse. The finer fraction was placed in a pot for later examination in the lab. The samples were preserved in $10 \%$ formalin.

Once in the lab, each bulk sample was divided up into small parts and these were placed in gridded petri-dishes to which water was added. Typically, one sample would require dividing into around thirty petri-dishes for examination. The petri-dishes were then examined under a binocular stereo-microscope and invertebrates were identified and counted.

A number of physical and chemical measurements were made on site including pH , conductivity and water temperature using a Hanna HI 98129 handheld meter. A global GPS was used to obtain accurate grid references of sampling locations and species present, and notes were taken of all the habitats within the SSA that were surveyed. Survey locations are shown on Figure 2.2.

Generally, vouchers of Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species have been retained and are available to check by third parties (such as national recorders) if this is required. These vouchers will be retained indefinitely by the surveyor. Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce/Notable species have been identified as such using the published and unpublished reviews such as Falk (1991), Falk and Chandler (2005) and Foster (2004). A definition of Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce/Notable statuses is provided in Annex 2, Appendix A.

Details of the prevailing weather conditions were also recorded.
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### 2.2.3 $\quad 2010$ Invertebrate Survey

Ditches were selected within Sizewell Belts SSSI for sampling. These were sampled in groups in June 2010 with a 16 inch diameter sweep net attached to a six foot angling pole. The net was passed through bankside vegetation, over the ditches and alongside overhanging bushes and trees. Figure 2.3 shows the locations of the ditches sampled. Invertebrates recorded were selectively removed with an aspirator (or pooter) and placed in alcohol for later determination. Conspicuous insects such as butterflies, day-flying moths, dragonflies and certain bumblebees were recorded in the field. Reedbed insects within the SSA were sampled by means of two Malaise traps which were erected in early and late June 2010 at two separate locations (the location of the Malaise traps are indicated in Figure 2.3). Malaise traps are large flight interception traps that work by impeding an insect's forward movement, forcing the individual to move around the obstacle and in doing so, ending up in a collecting head containing preservative. Malaise traps collect large quantities of material and are particularly effective in reedbeds and fens. Norfolk hawker was recorded by locating adults and checking these using binoculars.

### 2.2.4 2007 White Admiral Survey

Surveys were undertaken of habitats considered suitable to support white admiral in Goose and Kenton Hills. These were aimed at detecting adult insects. Surveys were carried out on the following dates:

- 7, 8 and 10 July;
- 4, 5 and 10 August.

White admiral generally has a single brood with adults flying from late June to mid-August (Asher et al., 2001). The surveys were therefore conducted within the optimal period for this species. During each visit a 2 -hour walked transect was carried out across the survey area during the middle of the day (avoiding any unfavourable weather conditions, such as rain or fog). The transects were divided into 15 minute intervals in order to continuously assess the weather conditions and help with mapping any white admiral sightings. For each sighting, the location was recorded with a hand-held GPS device and notes on apparent behaviour were made. Following a sighting, the recorders remained within the vicinity for a further 5 minutes to record any other individuals. Figure 2.4 shows the transect route taken.

### 2.2.5 2009 White Admiral Larval Survey

## Preliminary Survey

The survey area was visited on 10 June 2009 and searched over a four hour period for suitable sites to carry out the larval searches later in the season. The frequency of suitable areas of habitat and general occurrence of the larval food plant, honeysuckle (Lonicera periclymenum) was greater in the western part of the survey area (Kenton Hills) with the most suitable habitat (ride/woodland edge and clearings in partial sun) being in the southern part of the woodland. Despite the considerable area of conifer plantation in the eastern part of the survey area (Goose Hills), which appears superficially similar in character to Kenton Hills, honeysuckle was found to be more occasional and as such opportunities for establishing search areas were far more limited.

A total of 20 search areas were selected during the preliminary survey and each was photographed. Each search area comprised a $50 \mathrm{~m} \times 50 \mathrm{~m}$ square area of woodland found to hold a sufficient quantity of larval food plant (accessible to the surveyor) to enable a 30-minute search to be carried out within each search area. These were numbered $1-20$ and centred on the 8-figure grid references detailed in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3 White Admiral Search Areas

| Search Area | Grid Reference | Search Area | Grid Reference | Search Area | Grid Reference | Search Area | Grid Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | TM4668 6467 | 6 | TM4637 6456 | 11 | TM4624 6403 | 16 | TM4585 6401 |
| 2 | TM4675 6477 | 7 | TM4654 6442 | 12 | TM4608 6407 | 17 | TM4579 6422 |
| 3 | TM4682 6488 | 8 | TM4657 6431 | 13 | TM4598 6431 | 18 | TM4572 6390 |
| 4 | TM4662 6473 | 9 | TM4645 6420 | 14 | TM4595 6408 | 19 | TM4566 6390 |
| 5 | TM4645 6458 | 10 | TM4633 6414 | 15 | TM4591 6404 | 20 | TM4563 6382 |

The locations of the search areas are shown on Figure 2.5.

## Larval Survey

This survey was carried out on 11 and 12 August 2009 and comprised a 30-minute search of honeysuckle up to a height of 3 m from ground level at each of the 20 search areas listed above. The site and number of white admiral larvae, or unassociated occasions of characteristic feeding damage (i.e. damage likely to have been caused by separate larvae), was recorded. Due to the habit of adult butterflies laying ova singly and the localised feeding pattern of individual prehibernation larvae (Heath and Emmet, 1990), where two or three leaves in close proximity showed feeding damage it was only recorded as a single instance of damage.

### 2.3 Personnel

For the 2007-2009 invertebrate surveys, Andy Godfrey undertook all the management and organisation of the work, the field sampling and reporting as well as the identification of minor insect groups, some Hemiptera, Rhopalocera, some Diptera and aculeate Hymenoptera. Andy was formerly employed as an Entomologist with the Nature Conservancy Council and has specialised in studying invertebrates whilst based in various museums and for ecological consultancies. Since 1999 Andy has worked solely as an entomologist working on conservation and development projects. Andy's particular expertise is with the Diptera which, because they are species-rich (around 7,000 British species), abundant and identifiable make them one of the most suitable group for ecological surveys. Hymenoptera with similar species-richness are much more difficult to identify (except the species-poor aculeates) and all other taxonomic groups (such as the Coleoptera and Lepidoptera) include far fewer species.

Other specialists undertook the sorting and identification of other taxonomic groups during the Invertebrate Survey 2007 are as follows:

- Araneae (spiders): Dr Jan Woodward;
- Auchenorrhyncha (froghoppers, leafhoppers): Dr Jan Woodward;
- Heteroptera (true bugs): Dr Jan Woodward (vacuum and water trap samples). Andy Godfrey (sweep net and water trap samples: selected species only);
- Coleoptera (beetles): Mike Denton.

In addition, Harry Beaumont undertook the identification of a few micromoths and Dr Adrian Legg (Bournemouth Museum) identified the pseudoscorpions from the vacuum samples.

During the Invertebrate Surveys 2009:

- The National Mollusc Recorder Adrian Norris checked the Valvata (valve snails) specimens collected from Sizewell on the survey, commented on the mollusc list and updated the species names.
- Mike Denton (Yorkshire Aleocharinae Recorder) identified the aquatic weevils, Staphylinidae and other terrestrial Coleoptera caught in the pond net samples.

The white admiral 2007 and 2009 surveys were carried out by suitably qualified and experienced entomologists Tim Sykes and Sean Clay respectively.
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## 3. Results

### 3.1 Desk Study

The invertebrate surveys undertaken by Bioscan included several taxonomic groups but appeared to concentrate particularly on spiders and moths. Some of the arguments for excluding taxa from the survey (for example, most Diptera are very poorly known, p.11) and the reasons for not using some methods (such as pitfall traps because of the potential for human disturbance on the relatively undisturbed Sizewell Belts) are questionable. Some taxa (notably the aculeate Hymenoptera which would be expected to be important on Sandlings habitats) are not mentioned. Seven Red Data Book and 31 Notable ( $=$ Nationally Scarce) species were recorded in the year-long survey conducted by Bioscan and details of these have been summarised in Table 3.1. Some of the Red Data Book and Notable species listed by Bioscan as such have since been downgraded. The results appear to incorporate the records of the aquatic invertebrate surveys undertaken on Sizewell Belts by Drake (1989a, 1989b).

Table 3.1 Invertebrates of High Nature Conservation Significance Recorded by Bioscan (1991)

| Species | Family | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Odontomyia argentata | Stratiomyidae | RDB2 |
| Odontomyia ornata | Stratiomyidae | RDB2 |
| Pediasia fascelinella | Pyralidae | pRDB2 |
| Archanara neurica | Noctuidae | RDB3 |
| Clubiona frisia | Clubionidae | RDB3 |
| Nyctegretis lineana | Pyralidae | pRDB3 |
| Pima boisduvaliella | Poctuidae | Notable A |
| Mythimna flammea | Pyralidae | Notable A |
| Nascia cilialis | Noctuidae | Notable B |
| Agrotis ripae | Noctuidae | Notable B |
| Earias chlorana | Gelechiidae | Notable B |
| Eulamprotes wilkella | Noctuidae | Notable B |
| Euxoa cursoria |  |  |
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| Species | Family | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mythimna litoralis | Noctuidae | Notable B |
| Pediasia contaminella | Pyralidae | Notable B |
| Schoenobius gigantella | Pyralidae | Notable B |
| Sideridis albicolon | Noctuidae | Notable B |
| Simyra albovenosa | Noctuidae | Notable B |
| Agabus conspersus | Dytiscidae | Notable B |
| Anacaena bipustulata | Hydrophilidae | Notable B |
| Coelambus paralellogrammus | Dytiscidae | Notable B |
| Demetrias imperialis | Carabidae | Notable B |
| Enochrus melanocephalus | Hydrophilidae | Notable B |
| Enochrus ochropterus | Hydrophilidae | Notable B |
| Haliplus apicalis | Haliplidae | Notable B |
| Helophorus griseus | Helophoridae | Notable B |
| Ochthebius nanus | Hydraenidae | Notable B |
| Peltodytes caesius | Haliplidae | Notable B |
| Rhantus grapii | Dytiscidae | Notable B |
| Rhantus suturalis | Dytiscidae | Notable B |
| Brachyton pratense | Aeshnidae | Notable |
| Aulacigastra leucopeza | Aulacigastridae | Notable |
| Beris clavipes | Stratiomyidae | Notable |
| Neoascia geniculata | Stratiomyidae | Notable |
| Odontomyia tigrina | Stratiomyidae | Notable |
| Oxycera morrisii | Stratiomyidae | Notable |
| Stratiomys potamida | Stratiomyidae | Notable |
| Stratiomys singularior | Stratiomyidae | Notable |

[^73]The Sizewell Land Management Annual Reviews for 1996-2007 contain records of invertebrates recorded on the Sizewell Estate. Moth trapping has been undertaken in most years and the reports contain lists of the species recorded annually. Most of the additional invertebrates mentioned belong to popular groups such as the Rhopalocera (butterflies), Odonata or Orthoptera or mention distinctive species such as the ant-lion. One species protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 has been recorded in most years since 1999 (the Norfolk hawker dragonfly [Aeshna isosceles]), whilst one Red Data Book, eighteen Nationally Scarce species and one Suffolk BAP species (the ant-lion) are also mentioned in the annual reports. Two insects which are new arrivals and may be of conservation importance are also mentioned. Table 3.2 lists these species.

Table 3.2 Invertebrates of High Nature Conservation Significance Recorded in the Sizewell Land Management Annual Reviews between 1996 and 2007

| Species | Family | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argiope bruennichi | Araneidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Euroleon nostras | Mymeleontidae | Suffolk BAP species |
| Ectobius panzeri | Blattellidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Metrioptera roeselii | Tettigoniidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Aeshna isosceles | Aeshnidae | Protected WCA (1981) |
| Brachytron pratense | Aeshnidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Erythromma viridulum | Coenagrionidae | New to Britain in 1999 |
| Apion affine | Apionidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Ancylis upupana | Tortricidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Calamotropha paludella | Pyralidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Chortodes elymi | Noctuidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Crambus pratella | Pyralidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Dioryctria sylvestrella | Pyralidae | New to Britain in 2000 |
| Earias chlorana | Noctuidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Mythimna flammea | Noctuidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Nascia cilialis | Pyralidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Pediasia contaminella | Pyralidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Pima boisduvaliella | Pterophoridae | pRDB3 |

[^74]| Species | Family | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scopula emutaria | Geometridae | Nationally Scarce |
| Sideridis albicolon | Noctuidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Xestia rhomboidea | Noctuidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Medetera jugalis | Dolichopodidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Vanoyia tenuicornis | Stratiomyidae | Nationally Scarce |

The Nature Conservancy Council funded aquatic invertebrate surveys of coastal grazing marshes in Suffolk in the late 1980s and this included two surveys on the Sizewell Belts (Drake 1989a,b). One Red Data Book and seven Nationally Scarce species were recorded during these surveys and details of these have been summarised in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3 Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce invertebrates recorded from the Nature Conservancy Council funded surveys of Sizewell Belts

| Species | Family | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Odontomyia argentata | Stratiomyidae | RDB2 |
| Enochrus melanocephalus | Hydrophilidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Haliplus heydeni | Haliplidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Ochthebius nanus | Dytiscidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Rhantus grapii | Dixidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Dixella attica | Stratiomyidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Odontomyia tigrina | Stratiomyidae | Nationally Scarce |
| Stratiomys potamida |  |  |

The ant-lion was rediscovered in Britain in 1994 (Mendel, 1996; Plant, 1999) from the Minsmere area of Suffolk. The rediscovery is remarkable because this insect is large and distinctive and is clearly breeding in the Suffolk Sandlings. The rediscovery prompted English Nature and the RSPB to fund surveys for this species (Plant 1998, 1999) which were mainly centred on Minsmere. In 2003, however, ant-lions were found at Walk Barn on the edge of Dunwich Forest-Goose Hill, Sizewell and they have been monitored since by Suffolk Wildlife Trust (SWT/ADAS, 2003-2004). The number of larval pits has fluctuated from over 900 in

[^75]2004 to 199 in 2006. The ant-lion is a Suffolk BAP species and Plant (1999) has suggested Red Data Book 2 (Vulnerable) status for this species.

Some of the species of high nature conservation value recorded at Sizewell have also been recorded at Minsmere including ant-lion, Norfolk hawker, small red-eyed damselfly (Erythromma viridulum), white admiral butterfly (Limenitis camilla) and the plume-moth Pima boisduvaliella. Out of a total of 754 moths recorded for the reserve in 2006, ten were Red Data Book and 44 Nationally Scarce species.

No specific surveys of the protected Norfolk hawker appear to have been undertaken at Sizewell or at Minsmere where it is thought to breed. Surprisingly, very few specific studies of this species appear to have been undertaken anywhere throughout its very limited range (Leyshon and Moore, 1993; Southwood et al., 2005) but the former reference covers marshes on the River Waveney near Lowestoft and may be of some relevance. Norfolk hawker has, however, been recorded at Sizewell and has been present in most recent years according to the Sizewell Land Management Annual Reviews. This species is thought to breed at Minsmere (based on the RSPB invertebrate records) and may well be breeding in the Sizewell Marshes.

One Suffolk BAP species the ant-lion (or Suffolk ant-lion) (Euroleon nostras) has a significant population on the estate. Red Data Book 2 status has been suggested for this recently rediscovered species. A second Suffolk BAP species, the silver-studded blue (Plebejus argus) may occur at Sizewell, but confirmed records have not been seen by the author, and this seems dubious.

Ten Red Data Book species appear to have been previously recorded on the Sizewell Estate, including three macro-moths (the white-mantled wainscot Archanara neurica, marbled clover Heliolithis viriplaca and shaded fan-foot Herminia tarsicrinilis), three pyralid moths Melissoblaptes zelleri, Nyctegris lineana and Pediasia fascelinina and one plume moth Pima boisduvaliella, two soldierflies (Odontomyia argentata and O. ornata) and a spider (Clubiona frisia). The white-mantled wainscot is associated with reedbeds and reed-fringed ditches near the coast and the larva feeds on Phragmites. It is currently confined in Britain to a 15 km stretch of coastal Suffolk from Thorpeness to Southwold, including Sizewell and Minsmere. The marbled clover (Heliolithis viriplaca) occurs mainly in open Breckland, flowery chalk downland, sand or shingle beaches etc and the larvae feed on a wide variety of unrelated low plants whilst the shaded fan-foot (Herminia tarsicrinilis) occurs in bramble (Rubus fruticosus agg. ) thickets and dense bramble ground cover amongst oaks and birches in ancient woodland and in more shrubby areas and the larvae probably feed in leaf litter below bramble.

Of the pyralid moths, Melissoblaptes zelleri occurs in sandhills and the larvae feed on the moss Brachythecium albicans; Nyctegris lineana is very local on flat sandy ground behind coastal sandhills and on sandy shingle in south-east England and the larva may feed on clover (Trifolium spp.) and other plants; whilst Pediasia fascelinella is extremely local on the sandhills on the coasts of Lincolnshire, Norfolk, Suffolk, Essex and south Devon (and the larva feed on dune grasses). The plume moth Pima boisduvaliella is a very local species found on coastal sandhills from Kent to Norfolk and in Lancashire where the larva feed on kidney vetch (Anthyllis vulneraria), bird's-foot trefoil (Lotus corniculatus), restharrow (Ononis spinosa) and other low plants. The two soldierflies (Odontomyia argentata and $O$. ornata) are rare species typically associated with coastal grazing marshes. The spider Clubiona frisia occurs in marram tussocks on sand dunes and appears to be restricted in Britain to Norfolk and Suffolk (there are older records for other counties). The bee-wolf (Philanthus triangulum) still has Red Data

Book status but this is no longer appropriate since this species has dramatically increased in frequency and spread in range.

A total of forty-five Nationally Scarce (= Notable) species have been previously recorded from the Sizewell Estate (Tables 3.1-3.3). Seventeen of these are moths, fourteen are beetles (all but one of which are aquatic) and ten are true-flies with single Nationally Scarce spider, dragonfly, bush-cricket and native cockroach species. These figures simply reflect the greater effort on moth recording followed by water-beetles and then aquatic soldierflies in Sizewell Belts.

The desk study records clearly emphasise the importance of the coastal habitats, especially the sand-dunes and coastal shingle and the Sizewell Marshes. Only one rare species is actually clearly associated with the important Suffolk Sandlings heath (excluding the duneland species) and this is the ant-lion. Some important species and assemblages from groups such as the terrestrial beetles and the bees, wasps and ants are likely to be present on the areas of heath and in associated woodland, but very few records were available for these (with the possible exception of the weevil Apion affine which has been recorded on Leiston Common) to inform this report. The silver-studded blue butterfly (Plebejus argus) which has partial protection under the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981) and is a Suffolk BAP species, is a characteristic heathland species and is found at Minsmere, but no there are no confirmed records for Sizewell. It is highly likely that the local county recorders for groups such as beetles and aculeate Hymenoptera will have records for Sizewell, but they have not been contacted to date.

Other invertebrate records for Sizewell obtained by AMEC include ant-lion on the section of coast between Sizewell Hall and Thorpeness Cliffs and another labelled Sizewell with an incorrect grid reference (TM4786195). Other significant records include: the centipede Lithobius lapidicola; the micromoths Melissoblaptes zelleri (pRDB3) and Ancylis upupana; four macro-moths (the square-spotted clay (Xestia rhomboidea), lunar yellow underwing (Noctua orbona), marbled clover (Heliolithis viriplaca) and shaded fan-foot (Herminia tarsicrinalis); two soldier-flies (Odontomyia argentata and O.ornata); and the bee-wolf (Philanthus triangulum). The latter (a powerfully-built solitary wasp which predates other wasps and bees) has spread dramatically in Britain in the last twenty years or so and can no longer be considered of Red Data Book status.

The silver-studded blue (Plebejus argus) was mentioned to the surveyor by a local person as occurring on Sizewell Beach by Sizewell A but this species is not mentioned in the Sizewell Land Management Annual Reviews or other published sources consulted, and this record is therefore doubtful. Silver-studded blue is a Suffolk BAP species, and monitoring for this species occurs at Minsmere. Two other rare butterflies have been recorded at Minsmere, swallowtail (Papilio machaon britannicus) and the Queen of Spain fritillary (Issoria lathonius) but these are very unlikely to occur at Sizewell. The (local) white-letter hairstreak (Satyrium walbum), however, occurs on the Sizewell Estate (Carl Powell, Suffolk Wildlife Trust, pers comm.).

Table 3.4 summarises some of the results from previous aquatic invertebrate surveys at Sizewell undertaken by Drake.

[^76]Table 3.4 Summary of Results from Various Aquatic Invertebrate Surveys Conducted on Sizewell Belts

|  | No. species | No. sample sites | No. RDB spp | No. NS spp |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Drake (1989a) | 121 | 5 | 0 | 4 |
| Drake (1989b) | 147 | 13 | 1 | 9 |
| Drake (in prep.) | 159 | 9 | 5 | 15 |

RDB = Red Data Book, NS = Nationally Scarce
The records of rare and uncommon species from the five samples taken by Drake on behalf of the NCC in 1988 show only four Nationally Scarce (= Notable) species being recorded. This was the view taken by the surveyor who assessed Sizewell as being species-rich in aquatic invertebrates but poor in rare species (Drake, 1989a).

The NCC survey in 1989 involved more sample sites (13) and resulted in 147 species altogether including one Red Data Book and nine Nationally Scarce species. The RDB species recorded was the soldierfly Odontomyia argentata which has aquatic larvae and is confined to a few major wetland areas. The number of rare species was found to be similar to numbers found within ditches at Minsmere which was highly rated in terms of rare and uncommon or species rich assemblages of aquatic invertebrates by the surveyor (i.e. Drake). The best ditches were unshaded and predominantly well-vegetated. Species-poor ditches were generally the more heavily shaded sites including woodland ditches (Drake, 1989b).

The species recorded by Drake on behalf of Buglife in April 2009 are provided in Annex 2 Appendix B. Here nine samples yielded 159 aquatic invertebrate species in total from nine sites including potentially five Red Data Book and fifteen Nationally Scarce species. The location of these sample sites is shown in Figure 3.1. The RDB species recorded from this survey were the RDB2 valve snail Valvata macrostoma (provisional identification), the RDB2 ram's-horn snail Anisus vorticulus (provisional identification), the RDB3 diving beetle Graphocerus cinereus, the pRDB3 diving beetle Hydaticus tranversalis and the soldierfly Odontomyia ornata. The two RDB2 snails recorded in April 2009 had been submitted to a national expert for confirmation because of the difficulty in identifying these species.

During the initial desk study the presence of the white admiral within the area was determined from the Millennium Atlas of Suffolk Butterflies. White admiral has a localised distribution in Suffolk, despite the fact that the larval food plant, honeysuckle, is widespread ${ }^{6}$. Kenton Hills has been described as one of the best sites in Suffolk (with public access) for seeing this species (Stewart, 2001).

Rob Parker, the Suffolk Butterfly Recorder, supplied extracts from the annual reports for the county, compiled by the Butterfly Conservation Trust as well as a number of distribution maps (see Annex 4 Appendix A) of tetrads within which white admirals have been recorded. Up to 2006, white admirals had been recorded in 91 of 1,088 tetrads in Suffolk. In 2005, they were recorded in 32 tetrads, including 6 new sites, and a partial second brood was noted. In 2006 the

[^77]species had a good year being recorded in 39 tetrads, with recorders at 4 sites noting a partial second brood. In 2007, the species was recorded within 23 tetrads, 2 of which comprised additions to the cumulative tetrad total ${ }^{7}$.

Robin Harvey (RSPB) supplied the results of transect surveys carried out at Minsmere in 2007. These surveys are not specifically aimed at white admirals, but do survey suitable habitat for this species. A total of 3 individuals were recorded during the formal butterfly surveys at Minsmere, and a further 2 casual observations were made during the year. In 2006, 8 white admirals were recorded on the walked transects with a further 7 casual records. Both the county recorder and the RSPB at Minsmere considered 2007 to be a poor year for white admirals due to long periods of wet weather (particularly in June).

At a local level, white admiral seems to be consistently recorded in the vicinity even in years in which the species is recorded in few tetrads. This can be seen from the distribution maps in Annex 4 Appendix A. In 2007, of the 23 tetrads in which white admiral was recorded, 10 were clustered to the north of the EDF Estate at Sizewell.

At a national level, white admiral is thought to have suffered a slight decline over the past 20 years (UK Butterfly Monitoring Scheme, http://www.ukbms.org). Of the 116 sites where white admiral is known to occur / have occurred and for which more than 5 years of data are available, the species is thought to be: extinct at 10 ; a recent coloniser at 8 ; stable at 68 ; declining at 17 ; and increasing at 13 .

### 3.2 Field Surveys

### 3.2.1 2007 Invertebrate Survey

Summaries of the notable invertebrate species recorded are provided in Tables 3.5-3.7.

Table 3.5 Invertebrate Species of High Nature Conservation Value Recorded from the Sample Sites within the proposed Preliminary Works Area 2007

| Species | A | B | C | D | C/D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sympetrum flaveolum | + |  |  |  |  |
| Rhantus suturalis |  |  | + |  |  |
| Crypticus quisquilius | + | + | + | + |  |
| Polydrusus formosus |  |  |  | + |  |
| Glocianus punctiger |  | + |  |  |  |
| Dolichopus acuticornis |  |  |  |  | + |

[^78]| Species | A | B | C | D | C/D |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lispe uliginosa |  |  |  |  | + |
| Pseudisobrachium subcyaneum |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dolichovespula media |  |  |  | + |  |
| Nysson dimidiatus | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

No rare or uncommon species were recorded from Sample Site I.

Table 3.6 Invertebrate Species of High Nature Conservation Value Recorded from the Dunes and Shingle on Sizewell Beach in 2007

| Species | La | Lb | Lc | Ld | Le | Lf | Lg | Lh | Li | Lj |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ectobius panzeri |  |  |  |  | + | + | + |  | + | + |
| Drymus latus |  |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aphanisticus pusillus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| Clanoptilus marginellus | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Melanophthalma curticollis |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mantura chrysanthemi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |
| Apion rubiginosum |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  | + |  |
| Stilpon sublunata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| Platypalpus niveiseta |  | + |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medetera petrophila |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geomyza subnigra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| Opomyza punctella |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anagnota bicolor |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elachiptera pubescens |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| Trachysiphonella scutellata |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^79]| Species | La | Lb | Lc | Ld | Le | Lf | Lg | Lh | Li | Lj |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tricimba brachyptera |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trixoscelis marginella |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |
| $l$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.7 Invertebrates of High Nature Conservation Value Found in Kenton Hills and Goose Hill in 2007.

| Species | H | G | F | E | Other area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euroleon nostras |  |  |  |  | Walk Barn |
| Aeshna isosceles |  |  | + |  |  |
| Aphanus rolandri |  | + |  |  |  |
| Rhantus suturalis |  | $+$ |  |  |  |
| Catopidius depressus |  |  | + |  |  |
| Scymnus limbatus | $+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Molophilus bihamatus |  | + |  |  |  |
| Hybomitra ciureai |  |  | + |  |  |
| Sciapus contristans |  |  |  | + |  |
| Neoascia geniculata |  | + |  |  |  |
| Lasiambia palposa |  |  | + |  |  |
| Trachysiphonella scutellata |  |  | + |  |  |
| Chyromya britannica |  |  | + |  |  |
| Meonura neglecta |  |  |  | + |  |
| Total | 1 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 1 |

Sample Sites are arranged how they are encountered from west to east along the track from the car-park at Kenton Hills
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## Araneae (Spiders)

The spiders identified from the vacuum samples from Sizewell coastal (or shore) habitats (Sample Sites La-Lj) are listed in Annex 1 Appendix A. No Red Data Book or Nationally Scarce species were recorded. The absence of the RDB3 Clubiona frisia which has already recorded on the Sizewell dunes may be due to the speed with which this species can elude capture and the fact that it is not easily sampled with a portable vacuum. In total, only twelve spider species were recorded from the fifteen samples which would appear to represent low species richness. It is possible that larger spider species were missed by the vacuum and that there was bias on the part of the surveyor in taking spiders because when collected in pooters they will predate insects in the same sample and are therefore often best sampled separately.

Spiders were not identified from the pitfall trap material because the flooding and heavy rain in June and July meant that soft-bodied invertebrates would have decomposed first and the contents suggested this.

## Smaller Insect Orders

Selected taxa from the smaller invertebrate groups identified from the coastal habitats (La-j) by vacuum sampling are listed in Annex 1, Appendix E. These include the Mollusca (snails), Isopoda (woodlice), Opiliones (harvestmen), Blattoidea (cockroaches) and Orthoptera (grasshoppers and crickets). Thirteen taxa were identified and these included the Nationally Scarce lesser cockroach (Ectobius panzeri) as well as the local lesser marsh grasshopper (Chorthippus albomarginatus) which has expanded its range considerably in the last two decades or so.

The Odonata were recorded by direct observation and from individuals caught in the water traps. The latter are listed in Appendices 6 and 7 and include the yellow-veined darter (Sympetrum flaveolum), which until recently was a vagrant species in Britain but which has now started breeding and spreading here. The local lesser marsh grasshopper mentioned above was also recorded in some of the water traps in August (Annex 1, Appendix G).

Some incidental records of species belonging to the smaller invertebrate groups are also listed in Annex 1, Appendix I. These include the ant-lion (Euroleon nostras) and the Norfolk hawker (Aeshna isosceles). The latter was frequent at times near Sample Site F where the rides intersect in Goose Hill.

Further details of the Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species recorded are provided in Annex 1, Appendix J.

## Hemiptera (Auchenorrhyncha and Heteroptera)

The Auchenorrhyncha (leafhoppers) and Heteroptera (true-bugs) identified from the vacuum from the Sizewell coastal habitats (Sample Sites La-j) are listed in Annex 1, Appendix A. No Red Data Book or Nationally Scarce leafhoppers were recorded and only one Nationally Scarce bug (Drymus latus). The bug has unclear habitat requirements but has been found in grassland, in derelict arable land on chalk, in dense moss on chalk, at the base of cliff, on waste ground and in woods (Kirby 1992). In total, twenty-four Auchenorrhyncha and twenty-two Heteroptera were recorded from the fifteen vacuum samples. The results for these groups suggest that the habitats are relatively species-rich but appear to support few rare or uncommon species. Further details of the Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species recorded are provided in Annex 1, Appendix J.

## Coleoptera (Beetles)

The beetles identified from the pitfall traps are listed in Annex 1, Appendix B. One hundred and fifty-six species were recorded from these including five Nationally Scarce species. The number of Nationally Scarce species would appear to be low and may reflect the relative unimportance of the preliminary works area and the conifer plantations along the proposed access route and construction area for Coleoptera. Prior to the survey, rare or uncommon species, might have been expected on the Suffolk Sandlings heathland and woodland habitats. It is possible that the poor weather and/or absence of survey earlier in the season may also have contributed to the poorer than expected results.

The beetles identified from the vacuum samples from the coastal habitats at Sizewell (Sample Sites La-Lj) are listed in Annex 1, Appendix C. Two Red Data Book and three Nationally Scarce species were recorded. The two Red Data Book species are the lathridiid Melanophthalma curticollis and the weevil Apion rubiginosum. The former appears to have been found at the roots of plants and under vegetable debris on light soils, both inland and on coastal sand dunes whilst the latter occurs on disturbed ground, grassland and coastal shingle where it feeds on sheep's sorrel (Rumex acetosella)(Hyman and Parsons (1994a, 1994b).

The beetles identified from the water trap samples collected from Sizewell in July 2007 are listed in Annex 1, Appendix D. The water traps were mainly used to sample Diptera, aculeate Hymenoptera and other flying insects and consequently the Coleoptera were not a target group for this method. However, 67 species were recorded in one month which provided a better than expected result. Three Nationally Scarce species were recorded.

Several common to local and one Nationally Scarce beetle (Silus ruficollis) were recorded in the Malaise trap in the Phragmites fen (Sample Site J).

Further details of the Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species recorded are provided in Annex 1, Appendix J.

## Lepidoptera (Moths and Butterflies)

Butterflies and moths were recorded in the field or from the water traps and Malaise traps and they are listed in the appropriate appendices (Appendices 5-8). The grayling (Hipparchia semele) which is a localised butterfly in Britain was reasonably frequent.

Lepidoptera identified from the Malaise trap in the Phragmites fen to the east of the preliminary works area are listed in Annex 1, Appendix H. The Red Data Book 3 white-mantled wainscot (Archanara neurica) was recorded in one sample (Annex 1, Appendix H).

## Diptera (True-flies)

Selected Diptera identified from the coastal habitats (La-j) by vacuum sampling are listed in Annex 1, Appendix E. A total of 90 Diptera taxa have been identified and these include two Red Data Book and eight Nationally Scarce species. The Red Data Book species are two grassfeeding species, Opomyza punctella and Tricimba brachyptera. The former has been recorded in grassland mostly in northern England and Scotland and the larvae probably develop in grasses. The latter also probably develops in grasses and is mainly known from the sandy breck grassland in Suffolk although it has been found more recently on ericaceous heathlands in Nottinghamshire by the surveyor. The Diptera results would seem to suggest that the dunes and coastal shingle are more important for this group than for Araneae, Hemiptera or Coleoptera.

Selected Diptera identified from the water traps emptied in July 2007 are listed in Annex 1, Appendix F. One hundred and twenty-two species have been identified and these include two Red Data Book species (the horsefly Hybomitra ciureai and the minute and obscure Meonura neglecta), five Nationally Scarce species and another species only described as new to science in 2007 (Chyromya britannica). The horsefly Hybomitra ciureai is a rare south-eastern species found on and near the coast, mostly in marshes, although its precise habitat is far from known. Meonura neglecta is only known from six British localities (according to Falk and Ismay in prep.) but three of these are in Suffolk. The adult habitat is unknown but is probably heathland or associated broadleaved woodland.

Selected Diptera identified from the water traps emptied in August 2007 are listed in Annex 1, Appendix G. A total of 335 records are given in Annex 1, Appendix G including two Nationally Scarce species (Dolichopus acuticornis and Lispe uliginosa).

Selected Diptera identified from the Malaise trap in the Phragmites fen to the east of the preliminary works area are listed in Annex 1, Appendix H. Two large samples have been worked through and these have produced some outstanding records. These include six Red Data Book species (Prionocera subserricornis, Odontomyia ornata, Rhagio strigosus, Hybomitra ciureai, Antichaeta brevipennis and Stenomicra delicatula), thirteen Nationally Scarce species and one species (Chyromya brittanica) only described as new to science in 2007. An additional rare species (added to the British list in 2006 and only known from one other locality) needs to be confirmed.

Details of the ecology, status and distribution of these species are provided in Annex 1, Appendix J.

## Aculeate Hymenoptera (Bees, wasps and ants)

Few aculeate Hymenoptera were taken from the coastal habitats (La-j) by vacuum sampling. The seven species recorded are listed in Annex 1, Appendix E. No Red Data Book or Nationally Scarce species were recorded.

The aculeate Hymenoptera identified from the water traps emptied in July 2007 are listed in Annex 1, Appendix F. A total of 43 species have been identified including only one Nationally Scarce species. The paucity of rare and uncommon species is perhaps surprising for a sandy area with a good mosaic of habitats ranging from heathland, dry and wet woodlands, plantations and marsh.

Selected Diptera identified from the water traps emptied in August 2007 are listed in Annex 1, Appendix G. The very rare bethylid Pseudisobrachium subcyaneum was recorded along with the Nationally Scarce Dolichovespula media. The Bethylidae have not been given Red Data Book, Nationally Scarce or other statuses unlike most other aculeate Hymenoptera. Pseudisobrachium subcyaneum has only been recorded from four UK sites and it is associated with certain ant species (Perkins 1976).

Hymenoptera identified from the Malaise trap in the Phragmites fen to the east of the preliminary works area are listed in Annex 1, Appendix H. One parasitic wasp (Trigonalis hahni) was recorded in one of the samples which is regarded as very rare (Gauld and Bolton 1996). These are parasitoids of Hymenoptera or Diptera larvae.

Further details of the Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species recorded are provided in Annex 1, Appendix J.

### 3.2.2 2009 Invertebrate Survey

Table 3.8 summarises the results of the 2009 survey, along with some of the results from previous aquatic invertebrate surveys at Sizewell undertaken by Drake.

Table 3.8 Summary of Results from 2009 Aquatic Invertebrate Survey of Sizewell Belts, With Results of Previous Surveys for Comparison

|  | No. species | No. sample sites | No. RDB spp | No. NS spp |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Drake (1989a) | 121 | 5 | 0 | 4 |
| Drake (1989b) | 147 | 13 | 1 | 9 |
| Drake (in prep.) | 159 | 9 | 5 | 15 |
| AMEC 2009 survey | 261 | 33 | 7 | 22 |

RDB $=$ Red Data Book, NS = Nationally Scarce
The NCC survey in 1989 involved more sample sites (13) and resulted in 147 species altogether including one Red Data Book and nine Nationally Scarce species. The RDB species recorded was the soldierfly Odontomyia argentata which has aquatic larvae and is confined to a few major wetland areas. The number of rare species was found to be similar to numbers found within ditches at Minsmere which was highly rated in terms of rare and uncommon or species rich assemblages of aquatic invertebrates by the surveyor (i.e. Dr Drake). The best ditches were unshaded and predominantly well-vegetated. Species-poor ditches were generally the more heavily shaded sites including woodland ditches (Drake 1989b).

### 3.2.3 2010 Invertebrate Survey

The prevailing weather conditions experienced during the survey work are summarised in Table 3.9 .

Table 3.9 Prevailing Weather Conditions

| Survey Date | Weather Conditions |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 June 2010 | Sunny, warm, no cloud cover. No significant wind. |
| 3 June 2010 | Sunny, no cloud cover No significant wind. |
| 4 June 2010 | Bright and sunny, no cloud cover, cool breeze |
| 23 June 2010 | Hot and sunny, no cloud cover, slight breeze |

Details of the taxa recorded are provided in Annex 3, Appendix A. Species of high nature conservation value (species protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, UK BAP, Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species) have been emboldened in Annex 3, Appendix A
and details of the ecology, status and distribution of these species are provided in Annex 3, Appendix B.

A total of 239 terrestrial invertebrate taxa were recorded in June 2010. These include one species protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981), three UK BAP species, seven Red Data Book (RDB) species and 12 Nationally Scarce species.

The protected, UK BAP, RDB and Nationally Scarce species recorded are summarised in Table 3.10 along with the sample sites from which they were recorded.

Table 3.10 Distribution of the Protected, Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce Invertebrate Species Recorded from Sizewell Belts in June 2010

| Protected (Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981) | Where recorded (see Figure 2.3) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Aeshna isosceles | Malaise trap |
| UK Biodiversity Action Plan |  |
| Aeshna isosceles | Malaise trap |
| Chiasmia clathrata | E,J |
| Tyria jacobaeae | H,I |
| Red Data Book 1 |  |
| Aeshna isosceles | Malaise trap |
| Red Data Book 2 |  |
| Antichaeta brevipennis | G |
| Odontomyia ornata | G J, Malaise trap |
| Parhelophilus consimilis | D,I |
| Psacadina zernyi |  |

Red Data Book 3

| Hybomitra ciureai | I |
| :--- | :--- |
| Subclytia rotundiventris | Malaise trap |
| Nationally Scarce |  |
| Anagnota bicolor | J |
| Brachytron pratense | C,E,F,G,J,L |
| Crossocerus binotatus | Malaise trap |
| Demetrias imperialis | I |

Protected (Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981) Where recorded (see Figure 2.3)

| Lipara rufitarsis | Malaise trap |
| :--- | :--- |
| Odontomyia tigrina | A,B,D,E,F,G,J |
| Psacadina verbekei | J |
| Spanochaeta dorsalis | D,E,J |
| Tetanocera punctifrons | I |
| Thereva plebeia | A,E,F,G,I |
| Vanoyia tenuicornis | A |
| Zophomyia tenella |  |
| Rare (according to Ferrière and Kerrich, 1958) | Chalcis sispes |

A single specimen of the Norfolk hawker was recorded in the first erected Malaise trap (location indicated in Figure 2.3) which was emptied on 23 June 2010 (see Annex 3 Appendix C for further records). Further individuals were observed in June 2010 on grazing marsh on Sizewell Belts immediately west of Sizewell B power station and immediately northwest of the (then) proposed site of Sizewell C. The locations of this species known to the surveyor are summarised in Table 3.10.

Two UK BAP moths were recorded from the ditch margins in June 2010. These are the latticed heath (Chiasmia clathrata) and cinnabar moth (Tyria jacobaeae). Both are active during the day and are common and widespread species. They were given UK BAP status at the suggestion of Butterfly Conservation because there is evidence that they are declining. Neither is particularly associated with grazing marshes or wetlands in general and they are usually found in drier, open habitats.

Four Red Data Book insects were swept from the grazing marshes. Antichaeta brevipennis is a snail-killing fly found in lush vegetation beside water-bodies. It has previously been recorded from ditches in unimproved grazing marsh in Suffolk (Falk, 1991). Another snail-killing fly, Psacadina zernyi, was recorded and this is associated with fens in particular. Most of the British records of this very rare species are from Norfolk. The soldier-fly Odontomyia ornata was frequently recorded as larvae in the aquatic macro-invertebrate survey undertaken on the Sizewell Belts in September 2009 (Entec, 2010). The adult records are useful for confirming the provisional larval determinations. The larvae of this species can be locally common in ditches on grazing levels despite its RDB2 status. The hoverfly Parhelophilus consimilis is normally associated with eutrophic bogs but has been found in fens in eastern England. The other two Parhelophilus species which are local and associated with wetlands were also recorded from the survey.

Two RDB3 insects were recorded from the survey. The horsefly Hybomitra ciureai appears to be associated with brackish coastal marshes or grazing levels. The larvae probably develop in damp soil and in the marginal zones of ponds and ditches. Most of the records of this species are from Essex but it has been recorded from Suffolk, from Walberswick National Nature Reserve. The distinctive tachinid Subclytia rotundiventris is normally associated with broadleaved woodland including birch scrub in areas of wet heath and fen, heaths and
calcareous grassland. The larvae are parasitoids of several species of shield bug. One individual was taken in the Malaise trap in the increasingly scrubbed-over reedbeds.

Twelve Nationally Scarce (formerly Notable) invertebrates were recorded during the survey. The hairy dragonfly (Brachytron pratense) was frequent in the ditches on the grazing marshes in June and shared the habitat with the Norfolk hawker. The hairy dragonfly is a well known inhabitant of coastal levels and grazing marshes. The ground beetle Demetrias imperialis has been recorded from Phragmites and Typha beds as well as a variety of other wetland habitats. It appears to have increased its range in recent years. The soldier fly Odontomyia tigrina is found in wetlands in southern England including ditches on coastal levels. Another soldier fly, Vanoyia tenuicornis, was found in five samples on the grazing marsh and larvae of this species were frequently encountered in the ditches during the September 2009 ditch survey. The stiletto-fly Thereva plebeia is usually associated with dry habitats and may have been blown onto the grazing marsh from the nearby sandhills or Sandlings habitat. Two Nationally Scarce snail-killing flies were recorded from the grazing marsh ditches, namely Psacadina verbekei and Tetanocera punctifrons. Both are found in wetlands and have larvae that either predate or parasitise aquatic molluscs. Lipara rufitarsis produces distinctive galls on Phragmites and is consequently particularly associated with Phragmites beds. Based on the surveyor's experience, the minute Anagnota bicolor is usually found in grass and sedge tussocks in wetlands, although another source suggests it is associated with reedbeds. The muscid Spanochaeta dorsalis is found in marshes and marshy woods and it has been found on Phragmites by the surveyor previously. Zophomyia tenella is a tachinid that has been recorded from a variety of habitats including woodland, calcareous grassland and coastal dunes. The larval stages are unknown. The solitary wasp Crossocerus binotatus has been found sparingly throughout England where it is associated with deadwood and timber in a variety of situations including woodland margins, open woodland, farmland, parkland and wetland margins.

### 3.2.4 2007 White Admiral Survey

The transect surveys on site conducted as part of this survey confirmed the continued presence of white admiral on site in 2007. Table 3.11 summarises the number of sightings for each transect and the grid reference of each sighting and Figure 3.2 plots the location of the sightings from the walked transects as well as the incidental records and numbers of individuals recorded at each location.

Table 3.11 White Admiral Transect Results 2007

| Date | Number of sightings | OS Grid references |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $07 / 07 / 07$ | 3 | TM 4593 6406, TM 4590 6402, TM 4590 6402 |
| $08 / 07 / 07$ | 6 | TM 46082 64085, TM 46308 64113, TM 46435 64215, TM 46376 64542, |
|  |  | TM 46733 64841, TM 46344 64150, |
| $10 / 07 / 07$ | 2 | - TM 46011 64230, TM 46980 64475 |
| $04 / 08 / 07$ | 0 | - |
| $05 / 08 / 07$ | 0 | - |
| $10 / 08 / 07$ | 0 | - |

Incidental observations were also made during other protected species surveys and outside of the formal transect walks. These are summarised in Table 3.12, together with the grid reference for each sighting.

Table 3.12 White Admiral Incidental Records from the Sizewell Estate in 2007

| Date | Number of <br> sightings | Grid references |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $08 / 07 / 07$ | 1 | TM 4601164230 |
| $08 / 07 / 07$ | 1 | TM 46980 64475 |
| $04 / 08 / 07$ | 1 | TM 4632 6452 |

## Habitat Associations

The transect route and the white admiral records were situated within and around the margins of the habitat defined as Dry Woodland 31 community by the National Vegetation Classification survey undertaken in 2007 (reported in full in Entec doc reference number 19801cr145). The woodland canopy is dominated by Corsican pine (Pinus nigra ssp. laricio) and the field layer is dominated by bracken (Pteridium aquilinum) and bramble (Rubus fruticosus agg.), with Yorkshire fog (Holcus lanatus). The ground layer includes climbing corydalis (Ceratocapnos claviculata) with mosses often forming a dense carpet. Collectively, these species are indicative of dry, mildly acid soil conditions.
There are two variants within this community. The first, community 31a, is found throughout Kenton Hills and is characterised by a sparse sub-canopy layer consisting almost entirely of scattered silver birch (Betula pendula) saplings and a field layer that includes a suite of acidophile herbs and mosses. This woodland is characterised by much more open woodland, with wider rides, more ground flora and under storey and wide areas of recently felled vegetation, which results in more flowering species available for the adult white admirals. Honeysuckle was not recorded during the NVC vegetation survey of this woodland, but it is present. The second sub community, 31b which is found throughout Goose Hills, is a honeysuckle (Lonicera periclymenum) and male fern (Dryopteris filix-mas) community. Corsican pine dominates the canopy, though pedunculate oak (Quercus robur) and silver birch are occasionally found above shrubs including elder (Sambucus nigra) and hazel (Corylus avellana), and saplings of silver birch and sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus). Honeysuckle, male fern and the moss Brachythecium rutabulum are common. The canopy cover is more diverse but is also denser with fewer gaps.

Associated with these woodland stands are many rides of varying width. In total, six differing communities of rides were identified during the NVC survey, with some areas being characterised by bracken and many others having moss, grass and sedge communities.

The majority of white admiral sightings were from the first variant of the dry woodland, located in the southern section of the Kenton Hills. Only two sightings were from Goose Hills, with one of these being an incidental record.

### 3.2.5 2009 White Admiral Larval Survey

A summary of the results of the 2009 survey work is presented in Table 3.13.

Table 3.13 Summary of 2009 White Admiral Larval Survey Results
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Search } \\ \text { Area }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Larvae } \\ \text { Recorded }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Incidences of } \\ \text { Larval } \\ \text { Damage }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Description of Vegetation }\end{array} \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Food plant occurring within 10 metres of ride-edge in pine } \\ \text { plantation up to a height of approximately 8 metres, with }\end{array} \\ \text { bracken (Pteridium aquilinum) predominant in the ground flora. }\end{array}, \begin{array}{l}\text { Food plant occurring on an isolated patch of mid-plantation } \\ \text { Pinus to a height of approximately 5 metres, in a shaded area } \\ \text { well away from any clearings or rides. Little food plant present } \\ \text { elsewhere in the vicinity. Bracken predominant in ground flora. }\end{array}\right\}$

| Search Area | Larvae Recorded | Incidences of Larval Damage | Description of Vegetation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | birch and alder woodland, growing up trunks and in lower branches and scrubby growth, to a height of approximately 5 metres. Search area outside main perimeter ride, between 3 and 8 metres from the ride-edge. Ground flora chiefly composed of Bracken and grasses. |
| 12 | 2 | 5 | Food plant growing up through bracken ground cover onto lower trunks of Pinus trees to a height of approximately 10 metres. Search area adjacent to junction in rides and no further than 8 metres from the ride-edge. |
| 13 | 0 | 1 | Food plant (including a variant with variegated leaves) growing up through Bracken ground cover onto lower trunks of pines and sycamore, Silver birch and rhododendron understorey to a height of approximately 7 metres. Search area adjacent to junction in rides and extending from ride-edge to about 35 metres into the plantation. |
| 14 | 2 | 4 | Food plant growing up through bracken ground cover onto lower trunks of pines and silver birch understorey to a height of approximately 10 metres. Search area laid back from ride, generally between 15 and 50 metres from ride-edge. |
| 15 | 1 | 6 | Food plant growing up through largely bracken ground cover onto lower trunks of pine trees and silver birch understorey to a height of approximately 10 metres. Search area within 20 metres of ride-edge. |
| 16 | 1 | 3 | Food plant growing up through bracken ground cover onto lower trunks of pine trees to a height of approximately 10 metres. Search area within 12 metres of ride-edge. |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | Food plant growing up through bracken and foxglove (Digitalis purpurea) ground cover onto lower trunks of pine trees to a height of approximately 8 metres. Search area mainly between 10 and 25 metres of ride-edge, though small amounts of food plant searched up to the ride-edge. |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | Food plant occurring in a shaded area, largely growing through the lower branches of hawthorn, oak (Quercus robur) and rhododendron, to a height of approximately 6 metres. Ground cover chiefly composed of bracken, bramble (Rubus fruticosus agg.) and stinging nettle (Urtica dioica). |
| 19 | 0 | 2 | Food plant growing up through bramble ground cover onto lower trunks of pine trees and into elder (Sambucus nigra) bushes, to a height of approximately 8 metres. Search area within 15 metres of ride-edge. |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | Food plant occurring in damp, shady, deciduous woodland by the boardwalk at the start of the 'Sizewell Belts Trail'. Growing mainly in English elm scrub but extending to a height of approximately 14 metres into dead elm branches above. Alder and ash (Fraxinus excelsior) trees also frequent in this area holding smaller amounts of honeysuckle. Search area mainly adjacent to ride-edge and not extending more than 5 metres from it. Ground vegetation dominated by stinging nettle. |
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## Introduction

EDF Energy/NNB GenCo (hereafter referred to as NNB) is to submit an application for a Development Consent Order (DCO) to construct and operate a new nuclear power station, Sizewell C, near the town of Leiston in Suffolk. The proposal site lies within an area of high landscape and ecological sensitivity, within an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and adjacent to and/or within the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes Special Area of Conservation (SAC), the Sandlings Special Protection Area (SPA) and the Outer Thames Estuary SPA. A small part lies within the Sizewell Marshes Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI).

Following on from NNB's Stage 1 Pre-Application Consultation on its initial proposals and options for Sizewell C, which ended on $6^{\text {th }}$ February 2013, NNB's priorities have been to progress the conceptual engineering design and technical studies relating to the development, as well as to undertake further environmental studies in order to inform the ongoing conceptual work and EIA, as well as the further stages of consultation.

This document presents the methodology for undertaking detailed and targeted invertebrate and Phase 2 botanical/National Vegetation Classification (NVC) surveys within those parts of the north-eastern corner of Sizewell Marshes SSSI that are likely to be lost permanently through construction of the Sizewell C platform - one area to the north-west of the proposed Sizewell C platform (hereafter referred to as the 'SSSI Triangle') and the other to the west of the platform (see Figure 4, Appendix A). Based on current proposals for permanent land take it has been agreed with Natural England that the following designated features need to be compensated for at Aldhurst Farm: reedbed; lowland ditch systems; invertebrates; and rare vascular plants. It has been agreed that other options will need to be considered to compensate for the loss of fen meadow habitat (rush pasture and fen meadow).

Previous extensive invertebrate surveys carried out by Amec between 2007 and 2010 extended across the entire Sizewell Estate including the SSSI, but were not focused specifically on the SSSI Triangle or the area directly west of the proposed Sizewell C platform. The primary purpose of the current invertebrate/NVC surveys is therefore to focus on these areas in order to gain further detailed information on the status and distribution of invertebrates and habitats to provide a comprehensive and authoritative baseline for EIA purposes and to inform the design and proposed management regime at Aldhurst Farm. Natural England's current view is that the new reedbed and lowland ditch creation within Aldhurst Farm should provide adequate compensation for the invertebrate assemblage, although the potential invertebrate fauna associated with wet woodland requires further consideration. This will involve a combination of more intensive sampling and the mapping of habitats to allow the impacts to be better understood and quantified.

A similar survey methodology is to be employed in adjacent parts of the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SAC/Ramsar and in the coastal habitats along the Sizewell frontage, but whilst this is briefly addressed here, these areas are not the main focus of this document. Similarly, it should also be noted that this study is part of a wider invertebrate and botanical assessment of the Sizewell C Main Development Site that will be reported upon in the Environmental Statement.

The report firstly summarises the existing information for the SSSI, including the methodology and results from the previous Amec studies within and close to these parts of the SSSI, draws preliminary conclusions from this work and then uses this survey information to set out the scope for the methodology for the additional work for 2014.

The SSSI citation for Sizewell Marshes (English Nature, 1992) provides the following 'Description and Reasons for Notification':
"Sizewell Marshes are important for their large area of lowland, unimproved wet meadows which support outstanding assemblages of invertebrates.... Several nationally scarce plants are also present. The site occupies a low-laying basin of deep fen peat. The water table is permanently high, with the area being prone to flooding, and there is an extensive network of ditches across the site.

In the areas of unimproved wet meadow the principal grass species are Sweet Vernal-grass Anthoxanthum odoratum, Crested Dog's-tail Cynosurus cristatus, Rough-stalked Meadow-grass Poa trivialis and Yorkshire-fog Holcus lanatus. There are many other typical species including Marsh Pennywort Hydrocotyle vulgaris, Ragged Robin Lychnis flos-cuculi, Large Bird's-foot-trefoil Lotus uliginosus, Marsh-orchids Dactylorhiza spp., Bogbean Menyanthes trifoliata, Bog Pimpernel Anagallis tenella, Yellow Iris Iris pseudacorus, sedges Carex spp. and rushes Juncus spp. The nationally scarce Marsh Dock Rumex palustris and Greater Water-parsnip Sium latifolium are also present. It is considered that these communities are representative of the Juncus subnodulosus - Cirsium palustre fen-meadow and the $J$. effusus/acutiflorus - Galium palustre rush-pasture, as described in the National Vegetation Classification. In addition, several areas of reedbed dominated by Common Reed Phragmites australis and alder carr occur.

The extensive ditch system supports a diverse aquatic flora which includes the nationally scarce Soft Hornwort Ceratophyllum submersum, Fen Pondweed Potamogeton coloratus and Whorled Water-milfoil Myriophyllum verticillatum. The variety of ditch depths and widths, together with their fringing vegetation provide an important contribution to the site's habitat value for invertebrates and birdlife.

Sizewell Marshes are of exceptional interest for their invertebrate fauna, supporting a wide range of taxa and many nationally rare or scarce species. These include terrestrial and aquatic beetles (Coleoptera), flies (Diptera), moths (Lepidoptera), dragonflies (Odonata) and spiders (Araneae)."

### 2.2 Previous invertebrate surveys

Extensive invertebrate surveys were undertaken by Amec in 2007, 2009 and 2010, in combination with a comprehensive desk study. This is reported in the consolidated invertebrate survey report (Amec 2014). The detailed results of these surveys are presented in the Amec report and are not therefore repeated here. However, the following paragraphs provide a summary of this previous survey work.

### 2.2.1 2007 surveys

The results of the invertebrate surveys carried out in 2007 are summarised in Table 1, below, which presents the number of invertebrate species of high nature conservation value (i.e. 'nationally scarce', Red Data Book or protected status) recorded at each of the sites sampled. Nationally scarce species are those that are estimated to occur in between 16 to 100 10km squares in Great Britain; RDB species are estimated to exist in only 15 or fewer 10 km squares. The locations of the sampling sites are shown on Figure 1 in Appendix A (reproduced from the Amec Report).

The majority of the sites sampled were within areas across the wider development site (i.e. the Sizewell C platform area, Kenton Hills and the coastal strip), with just one sampling location within or close to the SSSI. This was the area of 'Phragmites fen' near the footbridge at the north-eastern corner of the SSSI Triangle (Site J on Figure 1), which is considered representative of the wide range of habitats present, given its proximity to ditches, reedbed and wet woodland (as well as conifer plantations). A Malaise trap (a tent-like structure for catching flying insects) was used here, which produced a large number of rare and uncommon species (20 in total, as set out in Table 1, below). However, this is perhaps un-
surprising, given that this was the only sample site within the SSSI. It should therefore be noted that different levels of sampling effort were employed at each of the sites in Table 1, so no quantitative comparison can be made between them.

Table 1. Summary invertebrate results from 2007. [Sites within or close to SSSI shaded grey]

| Site <br> (Figure 1) | Description | Sampling methods used | No. of species <br> of high value |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| A | Eastern bund overlooking coastal strip <br> (planted trees and shrubs with <br> clearings) | Pitfall traps (10), water <br> trap (1) \& Malaise trap (1) | 2 |
| B | Northern bund (planted trees and <br> shrubs) | Pitfall traps (10) \& water <br> trap (1) | 4 |
| C | Central riparian belt (carr woodland) | Pitfall traps (10), water <br> trap (1) \& Malaise trap (1) | 2 |
| D | Western riparian belt (carr woodland) | Pitfall traps (10) \& water <br> trap (1) | 2 |
| E | Perimeter Triangular-shaped area of <br> young plantation surrounded by <br> mature plantations, Goose Hill <br> (Dunwich Forest) | Pitfall traps (10) \& water <br> trap (1) | 2 |
| F | Plantation edge and scrub at <br> intersection of rides, Dunwich Forest | Pitfall traps (10) \& water <br> trap (1) | 6 |
| G | Carr woodland at Turf Pits, Kenton <br> Hills | Pitfall traps (10), water <br> trap (1) \& Malaise trap (1) | 4 |
| H | Plantation at Nursery Covert, Kenton <br> Hills | Pitfall traps (10) \& water <br> trap (1) | 1 |
| I | Southern edge of field/northern edge <br> of young conifer plantation. | Water trap (1) | - |
| J | Phragmites fen near footbridge | Malaise trap (1) | 20 |
| K | Coastal heath north of power station | Pitfall traps (10) | 17 |
| L | Coastal belt (low dunes, shingle, <br> marram, etc.) - 10 locations (La - Lj) | Vacuum (5) and sweep <br> net samples (5) | 17 |

The samples from the Malaise trap at Site $J$ were dominated by flies, including six Red Data Book species and 11 nationally scare or rare species. Five of the RDB species are closely associated with reedbeds and/or lowland ditches, the habitats that will be the focus of the habitat creation at Aldhurst Farm:

- the RDB2 cranefly Prionocera subserricornis occurs in ancient fenland with most records referring to ditches or pools filled with wet, black organic material;
- the RDB2 soldierfly Odontomyia ornata is a species of ditches, preferably those at the earlier stages of hydroseral succession, with floating cover and rich submerged vegetation, rather than ditches which are choked with emergent plants;
- the RDB2 snail-parasitoid fly Antichaeta brevipennis is usually associated with lush vegetation beside water bodies in wetlands, with existing Suffolk records referring to ditches in unimproved grazing marshes;
- the tiny RDB2 fly Stenomicra delicatula is generally associated with Carex paniculata tussocks in fens, with the larvae thought to develop in damp decaying vegetation; and
- the RDB3 horsefly Hybomitra ciureai is a rare south-eastern species found on and near the coast, mostly in marshes, with the larvae probably developing in damp soil and the marginal zones of ponds and ditches.

The other RDB species recorded, the RDB3 snipe-fly Rhagio strigosus, has mainly been recorded from dry broadleaved woodland on chalk, with the larvae probably developing as predators in damp decayed wood or soil. It is therefore not a specialist of the wet woodland due to be affected by the proposals.

Of the 11 other rare fly species, three of these would also be considered to be reedbed, fen or bog specialists:

- The habitat requirement for Lipara rufitarsis is Phragmites reedbeds, generally within wetlands including fens, gravel pits and damp heathland, with the larvae developing within the reed stems;
- Phaonia atriceps is a species associated with Typha latifolia and Phragmites; and
- Anagnota bicolor is usually associated with Phragmites in marshes and coastal levels but also with Carex tussocks in wetlands.

A further five are more generalist wetland species:

- The snail-parasitoid fly Pherbellia griseola occurs in a wide range of wetlands, including fens, bogs, dune slacks and damp woods, and has a requirement for standing water (the larvae are parasitoids of aquatic snails such as Lymnaea palustris);
- The habitats used by Elachiptera uniseta include fens, damp woods, gravel pits and coastal marshes, with the larvae probably developing in decaying vegetable matter or reed stems;
- Lamprochromus elegans is known from the Norfolk Broads and the Cambridgeshire Fens (though precise habitat requirements are not provided);
- Thrypticus nigricauda has been recorded from a variety of wetlands, including damp hollows with Iris and Juncus, ponds and river banks; and
- Hercostomus chalybeus is a species simply known to have been recorded in the Norfolk Broads (though again precise habitat requirements are not available).

Of the remaining three rare fly species recorded within the SSSI Triangle, two do not have clear habitat affiliations (Dolichopus linearis and Phaonia falleni), whilst the other species, Chyromyia brittanica, is a woodland generalist, having been found in rot-hole debris in deciduous woodland, mixed woodland and an ex-industrial site with abundant sallow bushes (Salix caprea) and riverside poplar trees.

Finally, in addition to the rare flies, three other rare invertebrates were recorded in the Malaise samples from the SSSI Triangle:

- The soldier beetle Silis ruficollis is a species of river and lake margins, fens, marshes and other wetland habitats, including Phragmites;
- the parasitic wasp Trigonalis hahnii has no known habitat associations; and
- the RDB3 white-mantled wainscot moth Archanara neurica, which is also a Suffolk BAP species, is a reedbed specialist, whose larvae feed on Phragmites.

In addition to Site J, the sampling point located in the riparian wet woodland on the western edge of the platform area (Site D on Figure 1) is also close to where wet woodland within the SSSI would be lost as a result of the proposals, and is therefore of interest. However, this woodland did not appear to be of particular value for invertebrates, compared with some of the other survey locations, and whilst the results of this sampling revealed the presence of two nationally scarce species, neither are specialists of valuable wet woodland. The weevil Polydrusus formosus feeds on the foliage of a wide variety of trees and shrubs (including hazel, oak, willow, birch, cherry and wild rose) whilst the darkling beetle Crypticus quisquilius is actually a species of coastal sand dunes and is not therefore a woodland specialist.

Finally, the other notable sampling location from this 2007 survey was the dunes and shingle habitat along the coastal strip in front of, and north of, the existing power stations (Site L). Although a relatively high number of species of nature conservation importance were recorded in these habitats, it should be noted that this partly reflects the much greater sampling effort here, as 10 separate locations were sampled along this strip (Sites La to Lj; see Figure 1). Given that this site is not within the SSSI, these species are not described in detail here.

### 2.2.2 2009 surveys

In 2009, more targeted sampling was carried out in the SSSI ditches and other water bodies (see Figure 2, Appendix A) to assess the importance of Sizewell Marshes for aquatic invertebrates. Each location selected was surveyed using the standard methodology (i.e. sampled for a 3-minute period using a pond net).
Of the 33 ditches sampled, four were within the SSSI Triangle: one was within the pond/reedbed in the centre of the site (Site 23 on Figure 2), whilst the other three were around the perimeter, one on Leiston Drain (on the northern edge of the Triangle; Site 13) and two on Sizewell Ditch to the south (Sites 14 and 15). A further two were in the area of the SSSI west of the platform (Sites 28 and 29). These locations are highlighted with grey shading in Table 2, below, which shows the number of species of high nature conservation value recorded in each of the sample sites.

Table 2. Summary of the aquatic invertebrate survey results for the SSSI from 2009. [Sites within or close to areas of SSSI due to be lost shaded grey]

| Site ID <br> (Fig 2) | No. of Protected Species | No. of RDB1 species | No. of RDB2 species | No. of RDB3 species | No. of nationally scarce spp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 (Aeshna isosceles) | 1 (Aeshna isosceles) | 1 (Hilara hirtella) |  | 1 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 3 |  |  | 1 (Odontomyia ornata) |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 6 |  |  | 1 (Psacadina vittigera) |  | 3 |
| 7 |  |  | 2 (Odontomyia argentata, O. ornata) | 1 (Hydrophilus piceus) | 5 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  | 1 (Odontomyia ornata) |  | 3 |
| 17 |  |  | 1 (Odontomyia ornata) |  | 2 |
| 18 | 1 (Aeshna isosceles) | 2 (Aeshna isosceles, Odontomyia angulata) | 2 (Odontomyia argentata, O. ornata) |  | 3 |
| 19 |  |  | 1 (Odontomyia ornata) |  | 4 |
| 20 |  |  | 1 (Odontomyia ornata) |  | 5 |
| 21 |  |  | 1 (Odontomyia ornata) |  | 5 |
| 22 |  |  | 1 (Odontomyia ornata) |  | 5 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 25 |  |  | 1 (Odontomyia ornata) |  | 2 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 27 |  |  | 1 (Odontomyia ornata) |  | 4 |
| 28 |  |  | 1 (Odontomyia ornata) |  | 1 |
| 29 |  |  | 1 (Odontomyia argentata) |  | 2 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  | 6 |

These results suggest that relatively few rare or uncommon species were present within the two areas due to be affected (the Triangle and the area west of the proposed Sizewell C platform, shaded grey in the table), compared with elsewhere in the SSSI. This was attributed in the Amec report to the relatively high nutrient status in these ditches (many were covered with Duckweed). Nevertheless, four nationally

[^83]scarce water beetles were recorded from the SSSI Triangle samples (13, 14, 15 and 23), namely, Rhantus suturalis, Anacaena bipustulata, Hydraena testacea and Ochthebius marinus. These water beetles, and the absence of other nationally scarce or Red Data Book species, suggest that the ditches here are reasonable but not exceptional. The ditches to the west of the SZC platform (Sites 28 and 29) supported two Red Data Book Category 2 (RDB2) soldierflies (Odontomyia ornata and O. argentata) and three nationally scarce species, the beetle Ochthebius marinus, the cranefly Limonia ventralis and the soldierfly Vanoyia tenuicornis.

The most valuable site in the 2009 survey appeared to be the ditch at Site 18, to the west of the SSSI Triangle, which supported not only the protected Norfolk Hawker dragonfly but also the RDB1 soldierfly Odontomyia angulata, as well as two RDB2 species (Odontomyia argentata and O. ornata) and three nationally scarce species. Other notably valuable ditches were Site 7 (located to the north of Reckham Pits Wood) from which two RDB2 species (the same two as at Site 18) and five nationally scarce species were recorded, and Sites 20, 21 and 22 (also located to the west of the Triangle), all of which supported the RDB2 soldierfly O. ornata as well as five nationally scarce species.

Overall, it was considered that the ditches sampled across Sizewell Marshes appeared to represent good examples of grazing marsh ditches which are species-rich in aquatic invertebrates.

### 2.2.3 2010 surveys

The 2010 invertebrate surveys involved Malaise trapping and sweep netting of bankside ditch vegetation across the SSSI (see Figure 3, Appendix A, for sampling areas). Malaise traps were deployed in two locations, both positioned alongside the Sizewell Ditch within the SSSI Triangle (Sites 'x1' and 'x2' on Figure 3). The sweep-net surveys were carried out along ditches across the remainder of the SSSI, including in the area just to the west of the proposed Sizewell C platform (Sites J and M on Figure 3). Table 3, below, shows the number of species of high nature conservation value recorded in each of the sample sites.
Table 3. Summary of the Malaise and sweep-net invertebrate survey results for the SSSI from 2010. [Sites within or close to areas of SSSI due to be lost are shaded grey]

| Site ID <br> (Figure 3) | No. of <br> Protected <br> Species | No. of <br> RDB1 <br> species | No. of RDB2 <br> species | No. of RDB3 <br> species | No. of <br> nationally <br> scarce spp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Triangle <br> Malaise <br> (x1, x2) | 1 (Aeshna <br> isosceles) | 1 (Aeshna <br> isosceles) | 1 (Odontomyia ornata) | 1 (Subclytia <br> rotundiventris) | 2 |
| A |  |  | 1 (Odontomyia ornata) |  | 4 |
| B |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| C |  |  | 1 (Psacadina zernyi) |  | 1 |
| D |  |  | 1 (Odontomyia ornata) |  | 3 |
| E |  |  | 2 (Antichaeta brevipennis, |  | 6 |
| F |  |  | 1 (Psacadina zernyi) | 1 (Hybomitra | 4 |
| G |  |  | 1 (Odontomyia ornata) |  | 3 |
| H |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| I |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| J |  |  |  |  |  |
| K |  |  |  |  |  |
| L |  |  |  |  |  |

Five rare and/or scarce species were recorded in the SSSI Triangle Malaise traps. Three of these are specialists of ditches and/or reedbeds: the protected/RDB1 Norfolk hawker Aeshna isosceles breeds in unpolluted grazing marsh ditches; the RDB2 soldierfly Odontomyia ornata (which is common across Sizewell Belts) is a species of relatively young, non-choked ditches; and the nationally scarce Lipara rufitarsis is a chloropid fly whose larvae develop within the stems of Phragmites. The other two species

[^84]are woodland generalists: the RDB3 tachinid fly Subclytia rotundiventris occurs in broadleaved woodland including birch scrub in areas of wet heath and fen, but also heaths and calcareous grassland, whilst the nationally scarce Crossocerus binotatus is a solitary wasp associated with dead wood and timber in a variety of situations (including woodland margins, open woodland, non-intensive farmland, parkland, wetland margins, gardens and railway cuttings). Neither are therefore closely associated with wet woodland.

The sweep net sampling of the ditches at Site J , west of the Sizewell C platform (see Figure 3), also recorded the two rare ditch species Norfolk hawker and Odontomyia ornata, as well as a further six nationally scarce species, suggesting that these ditches are also of high nature conservation value for invertebrates. The nationally scarce species were: Anagnota bicolor (a very small fly usually associated with Phragmites stands in marshes and coastal levels, or with grass and sedge tussocks in wetlands); the hairy dragonfly Brachytron pratense (which favours ditches and marshy fens where there is plenty of tall emergent vegetation such as common club-rush and common reed); Odontomyia tigrina (a soldier fly of coastal wetlands, which favours species-rich ditches); Psacadina verbekei (a snail-killing fly recorded from a range of wetland habitats, including fens); Spanochaeta dorsalis (a fly of marshy woodlands which has also been found on Phragmites); and Zophomyia tenella (a parasitic fly that has been recorded from a variety of habitats, including woodland, calcareous grassland and coastal dunes).
No such species of high nature conservation value were recorded from Site $M$, which is closer to the platform. These results would suggest that, compared with the other sample locations, Site M, and indeed Sites B, C, H, K and L (see Figure 3), are of relatively lower nature conservation importance for invertebrates.

### 2.2.4 Summary of invertebrate surveys and preliminary conclusions

The results of the surveys from 2007, 2009 and 2010 provide very valuable baseline information regarding the invertebrate communities of the area around Sizewell C, including the SSSI Triangle.
With regard to the different habitats present, the 2009 and 2010 surveys focused on the ditch habitats, looking at the aquatic and terrestrial invertebrate faunas respectively. Whilst the 2007 sampling did involve more terrestrial invertebrate surveys, only two sampling sites were located within (or near) the SSSI Triangle and none was within the area immediately west of the SZC platform. The aim of the 2014 surveys (see below) is therefore to provide significantly more data on the invertebrate faunas of the areas of the SSSI due to be lost to the permanent land take (and the potential temporary land take).
The reedbed within the SSSI Triangle was clearly found to be of nature conservation value for its invertebrates. However, it should be emphasized that there are extensive areas of other reedbed habitat elsewhere across the SSSI (for example the two large areas south-west of Grimseys; see Figure 8 for the NVC habitat map), and it will therefore be useful to understand how valuable these areas are for invertebrates so that the Triangle reedbed assemblage can be assessed in context.
The lowland ditches, both within the SSSI Triangle and the area directly west of the SZC platform, also appear to be of value for invertebrates, although there is a high degree of variability across the SSSI, largely due to differing levels of vegetation growth and nutrient status. The new ditches to be created at proposed compensation site at Aldhurst Farm will necessarily be bare early on, but the creation of a varied structure of microhabitats, along with active management and enhanced connectivity with the SSSI, should help to optimise their value for these (and other) species over the medium to longer term.
The fen meadow to the west of the Sizewell C platform (Sites J and M from the 2010 survey; see also the purple shading on Figure 8) could also be of some importance for invertebrates, although the sampling of this habitat to date has concentrated on the ditches rather than the meadow itself. The majority of the SSSI comprises subtly different variants of this habitat type (NVC community M22), so again there are extensive areas of fen meadow that will be unaffected by the proposals. Nevertheless, it will be important to understand the particular value of the fields that will be affected.
Finally, the wet woodland habitat within the two areas of the SSSI due to be affected has been classified as W5a Alnus glutinosa - Carex paniculata (see pale green shading on Figure 8), which is the most commonly-occurring wet woodland community and is described as 'widespread throughout the English

Iowlands'. It is thus perhaps likely to support fewer invertebrates of nature conservation value than other wet woodland communities, two of which (W6a and W2a) are present in other parts of the SSSI; the intention is therefore that these will also be targeted in the 2014 surveys. The proposals would nevertheless leave intact the majority of the W5a woodland across Sizewell Marshes and would not affect the other wet woodland areas, many of which are more mature and likely to be of greater interest entomologically.

Clearly, one of the main aims of the compensation proposals for the Sizewell $C$ project will be to create new habitats of optimal value for the invertebrate assemblages that would be affected by temporary and permanent land take from the SSSI. Table 4, below, therefore identifies the habitat affiliations of the rare species recorded from both the SSSI Triangle and the area immediately west of the SZC platform across all three surveys.

Table 4. Habitat affiliations of the rare species recorded from the SSSI Triangle and the area immediately west of the SZC platform.

| Species | Status | Reedbed/ fen | Ditches/ ponds | Wet woodland | Fen meadow | Generalist/ not known |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aeshna isosceles | RDB1 |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Odontomyia angulata | RDB1 |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Odontomyia argentata | RDB2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Odontomyia ornata | RDB2 |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Prionocera subserricornis | RDB2 |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Antichaeta brevipennis | RDB2 |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Stenomicra delicatula | RDB2 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Hybomitra ciureai | RDB3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Archanara neurica | RDB3 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Subclytia rotundiventris | RDB3 |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Lipara rufitarsis | NS | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Phaonia atriceps | NS | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Anagnota bicolor | NS | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Pherbellia griseola | NS | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Elachiptera uniseta | NS | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Lamprochromus elegans | NS |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Thrypticus nigricauda | NS |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Hercostomus chalybeus | NS |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Dolichopus linearis | NS |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Phaonia falleni | NS |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Chyromyia brittanica | NS |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Silis ruficollis | NS | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Trigonalis hahnii | - |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Polydrusus formosus | NS |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Crypticus quisquilius | NS |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Rhantus suturalis | NS |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Anacaena bipustulata | NS |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Hydraena testacea | NS |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Ochthebius marinus | NS |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Limonia ventralis | NS |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Vanoyia tenuicornis | NS | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Crossocerus binotatus | NS |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Brachytron pratense | NS | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Odontomyia tigrina | NS |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Psacadina verbekei | NS | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Spanochaeta dorsalis | NS | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Zophomyia tenella | NS |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |

This indicates that of the rare species recorded within the areas of the SSSI due to be directly affected, the majority are either reedbed/fen or ditch specialists, or they are habitat generalists (or associated with other habitat types). Only three are wet woodland specialists and two are species of fen meadow. It is therefore perhaps appropriate for the design of the Aldhurst Farm scheme to focus on creation of permanently wet reedbed and ditches, as these habitats (which are scarce in Suffolk) are the key designated features to be affected. In addition, the particular entomological interest of these habitats can be maximised in the medium to long term through appropriate management.

It is therefore clearly important that the 2014 surveys provide a more comprehensive understanding of the invertebrate assemblages - as well as the total area and distribution - of the SSSI habitats due to be lost, as this will be necessary for informing the compensation proposals.

With regard to the creation of the compensatory reedbeds, in particular, it is well understood that invertebrate diversity is optimised if all stages of reedbed succession are provided, from young reed in open water to old reed with scrub invasion. A variety of other habitats is also important, including bare marginal substrates and shallow pools/ditches with abundant emergent and submerged aquatic plants. Provision of an intimate mosaic of these stages and habitats can be especially valuable, as many invertebrate have different requirements at various times in their life cycle.
Therefore, in order to inform the compensation proposals, a better understanding of the actual mix of wetter and drier reedbed across the Triangle, and the presence of other habitat features of value to invertebrates, will be very beneficial. Certainly the better the mix of these elements in the habitat creation at Aldhurst Farm the more valuable the compensation area will be for biodiversity.

### 2.3 Previous botanical surveys

Phase 2 botanical/NVC surveys were carried out by Amec in both 2007 and 2008. The detailed results of these surveys are presented in the respective Amec reports. The locations of the NVC quadrats for the 2007 survey are shown on Figure 5 (Appendix A) with the results (i.e. the NVC communities present) shown on Figure 6. This study focused on the habitats closest to the proposed works, and included not only the wetland habitats but also other habitats within the likely zone of influence of the works. It also included a large number of quadrats within the Minsmere south levels.

The aim of follow-up survey in 2008 was to extend the survey to cover the whole of the SSSI (including carrying out dyke vegetation surveys) in order to allow the subsequent assessment to consider the impacts of the Sizewell C proposals in the context of the wider marshland resource. The locations and results of the dyke vegetation surveys for the 2008 survey are shown on Figure 7, whilst the full NVC mapping for the SSSI, including the locations of the 2008 quadrats, are shown on Figure 8.

The surveys of the SSSI Triangle recorded S26 Phragmites australis - Urtica dioica reedbed/tall herb fen over much of the eastern part of the site (dark blue shading), with W5a Alnus glutinosa - Carex paniculata wet woodland (Phragmites australis sub-community) over much of the central and western part (pale green shading), and an area of S4a Phragmites australis - Lemna minor reed swamp in the centre (pale blue shading). The latter was surrounded by an embankment supporting OV25 Holcus lanatus - Arrhenatherum elatius grassland (brown shading) (see Figure 8).

The part of the SSSI just to the west of the platform comprised W5a Alnus glutinosa - Carex paniculata wet woodland along the base of the platform (pale green shading), with S26 Phragmites australis Urtica dioica reedbed in the field occupying the northern part of this area (dark blue shading). The two southern fields in this area (purple shading on Figure 8) comprised M22 Juncus subnodulosus - Cirsium paulstre fen-meadow (Iris pseudacorus sub-community with affinity to MG12a and Festuca arundinacea marshy grassland).

The NVC survey of the southern part of the SAC (see Figure 4 for the location, and Figure 8 for the NVC mapping) indicated that the habitat alongside the Leiston Ditch (which drains northwards towards Minsmere Sluice) was also S4a Phragmites australis - Lemna minor reed swamp (pale blue shading).

The NVC map of the Sizewell Marshes SSSI shows that whilst much of the habitat due to be lost under the footprint of Sizewell C comprises S26 reedbed, which is to be a large focus of the habitat replacement strategy, extensive areas of this habitat will remain within the SSSI (the two large square areas of this habitat to the west of Grimseys). Similarly, the large majority of the wet woodland and fen meadow habitat within the SSSI will also remain untouched.

The aim of the survey work for 2014 is to update this map, such that the total area and distribution of these habitats can be accurately assessed.

### 3.1 Invertebrate survey


#### Abstract

It is clear from the invertebrate survey work described in Section 2.2, above, that the baseline evaluation would benefit from a more systematic assessment of the invertebrate communities within the SSSI Triangle and the area of the SSSI west of the Sizewell C platform. This is to include not only more detailed survey of the reedbed, lowland ditches, wet woodland and fen meadow habitats, but also more detailed mapping of invertebrate habitats. The aim is to survey a representative sample of each habitat, so that detailed species lists can be compiled.

The areas that will be subject to invertebrate survey are shown in Figure 4, Appendix A. These include not only those areas within the SSSI that would be directly affected but also those within the SAC and on the coast. Further details are provided in the following paragraphs.


## SSSI Triangle and the area west of the Sizewell C platform

In order to better inform the assessment of the impacts of the Sizewell C proposals on the SSSI, and the habitat replacement design, more detailed invertebrate surveys are proposed. Whilst some invertebrate surveys have been carried out in the areas due to be directly affected, systematic mapping and sampling of the habitats present within the SSSI Triangle and the area west of the platform (see Figure 4) has not been undertaken before.

In particular, the terrestrial invertebrate communities associated with the reedbed, wet woodland and fen meadow habitats within these areas have received relatively little attention.. More comprehensive surveying of the habitats within these areas is therefore proposed to better understand the invertebrate assemblages present, which can then be used in both the detailed assessment of impacts and the design of the proposed compensation scheme at Aldhurst Farm.

With regard to the SSSI Triangle (see Figures 4 and 8), terrestrial invertebrate sampling will be carried out within the large areas of S26 reedbed and W5a wet woodland habitat (dark blue and pale green shading on Figure 8, respectively) as well as in the S4a reed swamp in the centre (pale blue shading) and the surrounding embankment of OV25 grassland (brown shading). Aquatic invertebrate sampling within the SSSI Triangle will focus on the Leiston Drain (forming the northern boundary) and the Sizewell Ditch (the southern boundary).

With regard to the area west of the SZC platform (see Figures 4 and 8), terrestrial invertebrate habitat assessment and sampling will focus on the W5a wet woodland along the base of the platform (pale green shading on Figure 8), the S26 reedbed in the field in the northern part of this area (dark blue shading), and the M22 fen-meadow in the two southern fields (purple shading). The aquatic invertebrate sampling will focus on the ditches surrounding the habitat parcels in this area.

The specific locations for the terrestrial and aquatic invertebrate sampling will be determined in the field, once the habitats have been appraised by the entomologist carrying out the work. They are not therefore mapped here, although Figure 8 does show the locations and distribution of the habitats to be sampled. However, all sampling locations will be geo-referenced during the surveys so that they can be accurately mapped for the assessment and reporting.

## Minsmere to Walberswick SAC and Coastal Strip CWS

In addition to the SSSI Triangle and the area west of the Sizewell C platform, it is also proposed that further invertebrate surveys are carried out in the adjacent parts of the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SAC/Ramsar and in the coastal habitats (see Figure 4).

The surveys within the southern part of the SAC will comprise aquatic invertebrate sampling within the ditch that forms the western boundary (an extension of Leiston Drain), as well as terrestrial invertebrate sampling within the adjacent reedbed (see the blue strip on Figure 8) and the dune grassland to the east of this. The aim of this work is to provide a baseline to assess any potential water- or air-quality impacts on the SAC associated with the proposals.

Given that the coastal habitats were comprehensively surveyed in 2007 (Site L in 2.2.1, above, see Figure 1), the focus of the survey along the coastal strip will be to map the invertebrate habitats present and carry out targeted survey work, rather than undertake extensive sampling. This, combined with the detailed Amec survey data from 2007, will provide a robust baseline for the assessment.

### 3.1.1 Broad objectives

The broad objectives of the proposed field survey are as follows:

- Conduct terrestrial and aquatic invertebrate surveys using Common Standards Monitoring (CSM)-compatible techniques building on, and complementary to, information derived from previous survey and desk study work;
- Record, delineate and describe key invertebrate habitats present on site in terms of broad vegetation type, habitat structure and current management;
- Evaluate invertebrate species and assemblages recorded using CSM-compatible indices such as ISIS, as well as water quality indices (such as Biological Working Party Score (BMWP) and Average Score Per Taxon (ASPT)) for aquatic samples;
- Produce a report including findings/species lists, an evaluation of key habitat and species assemblages and appraisal of the conservation value of the site for invertebrates; and
- Provide recommendations regarding potential development constraints/mitigation including sitespecific habitat creation advice.


### 3.1.2 Methods

## Desk study

Existing information pertaining to the invertebrate fauna of the site has been reviewed to inform this methodology. Reference material including original SSSI and SAC citations, condition assessment documentation and existing invertebrate-specific studies and species lists (including Suffolk Wildlife Trust data), as well as the Amec reports from 2007, 2009 and 2010, have been scrutinised and used as a basis to fine-tuning the sampling approach.

## Invertebrate habitat survey

Broad habitats within the various sampling sites would be mapped and plant communities recorded with sufficient resolution to characterise each individual sampling zone in terms of habitat type, structure and condition, with particular reference to the invertebrate assemblages supported (the NVC communities present will be recorded separately in the botanical survey, see below).

Habitat data would be collected specifically with a view to informing aspects of habitat creation. Features known to be of importance to key invertebrate species recorded historically on site would be targeted during the survey.

## Aquatic invertebrate survey

The selection of sampling sites would aim to reasonably represent the heterogeneity of habitat and therefore the macro-invertebrate fauna of the site as a whole. Two sampling events would occur, the first during early June 2014 and the second at the time of the final terrestrial survey during August.

Each aquatic invertebrate sample would be collected in accordance with the Murray Bligh (1999) 3minute sweep method (as used by the Environment Agency). Each sample would be collected from a sufficient range of different representative meso-habitats to adequately cover the main invertebrate niches of the water body in question. The total sample time per water body would be timed for three minutes, the sampling time divided between the different meso-habitats and the watch stopped after each sweeping to enable the contents of the net to be deposited in the sample tray.

Each sample would be transferred to a sealed plastic sample pot, preserved and transported to the laboratory for washing sorting and identification.

## Washing, sorting and identification of samples

The sample would be thoroughly washed and graded by rinsing the samples through a series of different sized meshes. Samples would then be sorted into a plastic sorting square (as standardly used by the EA).

Samples would then be identified to family level, enabling Biological Monitoring Working Party (BMWP) and Average Score per Taxon (ASPT) scores to be calculated for the sample. Where appropriate, samples would then be identified to species level, for the requirements of Community Conservation Indices (CCI) methodology (Chadd and Extence, 2004). Where necessary, specimens would be identified using appropriate, current taxonomic keys, such as volumes produced by the Freshwater Biological Association (FBA) and Field Studies Council (FSC).

## Data analysis

Data would be input directly into a spreadsheet set up to calculate BMWP scores using Walley and Hawkes' (1997) revised scoring system; ASPT scores would be calculated by dividing the resultant BMWP score by the number of scoring families. Scores would be interpreted in accordance with protocols in EA and Pond Conservation (2002) and Chadd and Extence (2004).

Data would also be analysed alongside terrestrial invertebrate data using ISIS, an analytical package developed by Natural England as a standard method for the conservation evaluation of British invertebrate communities.

## Terrestrial Invertebrate Sampling

In accordance with Drake et al (2007) sampling would be undertaken using a combination of standard capture methods recommended for Common Standards Monitoring of different habitat types. In accordance with CSM protocol, data would be collected using repeatable techniques enabling subsequent analysis using ISIS and allowing data to be comparable between sampling sites. As set out above, sampled habitat is expected to include reed swamp, fen meadow and wet woodland. Sampling would be undertaken in suitably dry, sunny weather, over three discrete visits (early June, July and August). The precise approach to sampling varies according to habitat; it is expected that a combination of some of the following sampling methods would be used (note that pitfall trapping would not be used due to the potential risk of encountering unexploded ordnance).

## Sweep-net

A standard sweep net would be used to collect specimens from wet grassland/ditch edge, swamp and scrub habitat. Timed sweeps would be undertaken in representative habitat, in accordance with Drake et al (2007)

## Beating tray

A beating tray would be used to collect specimens from trees and scrub habitat (wet woodland).

## Suction Sampling

A suction sampler would be used to collect ground-dwelling specimens not easily retrieved by other sampling methods. Suction sampling can be timed, enabling repeatable surveys to be undertaken.

## Direct searching

Direct searching would be carried out beneath refuges such as rocks, under bark and in the crevices of standing and fallen trees.

## Water traps

Water traps would be used for capturing flying insects such as social and solitary bees, wasps (Aculeate Hymenoptera), true flies (Diptera) and other aerial taxa.

## Moth Trapping

A Robinson trap using a single generator would be used to survey for moths.

### 3.1.3 Identification

Where practical, species would be identified on site without undue disturbance; however, many species cannot be adequately identified in the field, and it is necessary for samples to be taken for ex situ identification using a binocular microscope and appropriate taxonomic keys, as required. If necessary, specialist verification of rare or uncommon species would be sought from the appropriate county recorder or expert in the relevant species group.

### 3.1.4 Reporting and analysis

The report would include an executive summary, background, methodology, results/discussion and an evaluation of the conservation value of the different survey sites' invertebrate fauna and habitat (including main vegetation communities). Species data would be input into Natural England's ISIS analytical package and the output discussed and evaluated as appropriate.

Whilst overlap and duplication in the recording between surveys is inevitable, there has been an emphasis on filling gaps, with the overall aim of characterising the site's invertebrate assemblages using both historic data and data collected within the proposed surveys. The final report for the EIA will therefore use all of this information (synthesizing the data from the previous studies, and adding the results from this study) to provide an authoritative assessment of the invertebrate baseline.

The report would also include outline suggestions for mitigation with particular reference to creation of invertebrate habitat replicating habitat recorded on site and, where possible, advice relating to the potential translocation of habitat features of particular importance for invertebrates. Tables listing total species recorded and, where appropriate, the UK conservation status would also be included, as well as a figure indicating the sample sites and the distribution of the different invertebrate habitats, and photographs of these habitats and features.

### 3.1.5 Programme

- First Visit - Week commencing $2^{\text {nd }}$ June 2014
- Second Visit - Week Commencing $14^{\text {th }}$ July 2014
- Third visit - August (to be confirmed)
- Reporting - The aim is that an initial draft report will be prepared by the end of October. In parallel, inputs regarding invertebrates will be provided to the Planning Application to be prepared for the creation of the proposed replacement habitat at Aldhurst Farm.


### 3.2 Phase 2 botanical/NVC survey

### 3.2.1 Broad objectives

The broad aims of the NVC survey will be as follows:

- To update and review the existing NVC survey for the areas of Sizewell Marshes likely to affected by the development.
- To undertake a vegetation survey of the strip of SAC that is adjacent to the northern edge of the C Station Platform.
- To review the coastal vegetation in front of the C Station Platform.

The areas that will be subject to vegetation survey are shown on Figure 4.

### 3.2.2 Methods

## SSSI Triangle and the area west of the Sizewell C platform

- To update the existing NVC survey a repeat survey will be undertaken. This will involve surveying up to 5 quadrats in areas of homogenous vegetation and recording vegetation frequency using the DOMIN scale.
- A total of 10-15 quadrats would be surveyed within the SSSI Triangle. Within the area west of the platform, it is proposed that a minimum of 5 quadrats would be surveyed in each of the wet woodland, reedbed and fen meadow habitats.
- In addition, in each compartment a detailed plant species list will also be compiled by undertaking a walkover survey and recording plant species using the DAFOR scale.
- The ditches within the survey compartments will be sampled using a throw attached to the end of a line.
- The location of quadrats will be recorded using GPS.
- A representative collection of photographs of vegetation and habitat types will also be collected.

Although the main purpose of this document is to describe the methodologies proposed for the areas of the SSSI due to be affected, similar studies are also proposed for the nearby parts of the Minsmere SAC and the coastal habitats of the County Wildlife Site. The proposed methods for these areas are therefore also set out, below.

## Minsmere to Walberswick SAC Vegetation

- The strip of SAC habitat extending northwards from the C Station Platform will be sampled using the same methodology outlined above.
- Quadrats would be surveyed within the reedbed and within the dune grassland.
- The presence of plant species forming interest features of the Minsmere to Walberswick SSSI/ SAC/Ramsar site will be recorded, and large stands of such vegetation (more than 5 metres square) will be pinpointed with GPS.


## Coastal Strip CWS

- The information pertaining to the County Wildlife Site designation and SAC designation will be reviewed, where appropriate.
- An NVC survey of the Coastal vegetation will be undertaken using the methodology outlined above.
- Quadrats would be surveyed within the shingle habitats, the sand dunes and within the dune grassland.
- The presence of plant species forming interest features of the CWS will be recorded, and large stands of such vegetation (more than 5 metres square) will be pinpointed with GPS.


### 3.2.3 Reporting

The final reporting will include a detailed NVC and plant species inventory of the areas of Sizewell Marshes SSSI likely to be affected by the development proposals. The report will include a comparison with the previous NVC survey and will highlight if any significant changes in community have occurred.

The assessment of the SAC vegetation will also include a detailed NVC and plant species inventory of the areas of the SAC that could potentially be affected by the development proposals. This will include a review of the presence or otherwise of plant species indicative of the interest features of the SAC, SSSI and Ramsar site.

The assessment of the coastal vegetation will include a detailed NVC and plant species inventory of the coastal habitats forming part of the Sizewell Coast CWS. This information will be used to inform longterm mitigation measures to safeguard and or restore the coastal vegetation.

Figures will be produced highlighting the location of quadrats and vegetation communities and boundaries.

### 3.2.4 Programme

- First Visit - Week commencing $2^{\text {nd }}$ June 2014
- Second Visit - Week Commencing $14^{\text {th }}$ July 2014
- Third visit (if required) - August (to be confirmed)
- Reporting - The aim is that an initial draft report will be prepared by the end of October. In parallel, inputs regarding habitat and plant communities will be provided to the Planning Application to be prepared for the creation of the proposed replacement habitat at Aldhurst Farm.


# APPENDIX A 

## Figures

Figure 1. Amec invertebrate sampling sites 2007


Figure 2. Amec invertebrate sampling sites 2009


Figure 3. Amec invertebrate sampling sites 2010


Figure 4. Areas where invertebrate and/or Phase 2 botanical surveys will occur in 2014


# Figure 5. Amec NVC quadrat locations 2007 



Figure 6. Amec NVC community mapping 2007

Figure 7. Amec dyke vegetation survey locations 2008


# Figure 8. Amec NVC community mapping and quadrat locations 2008 
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## SUMMARY

Surveys of terrestrial and aquatic invertebrate fauna were undertaken within fenland habitat at Sizewell Marshes SSSI and dry coastal dune, grassland and heath habitat within the Minsmere to Walberswick SAC and SSSI. The work was carried out over three sampling events during June, July and August, 2014. The survey work was undertaken by Jon Mellings for Hyder Consulting, on behalf of EDF Energy/NNB GenCo. The aim was to further inform the impact assessment relating to NNB GenCo's application for a Development Consent Order (DCO) to construct and operate a new nuclear power station, Sizewell C, near the town of Leiston, Suffolk.

The site of the proposed development lies within an area of high ecological sensitivity, being adjacent to and/or within the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and the Sizewell Marshes Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI).

All species data recorded during the survey were analysed using the Invertebrate Specieshabitats Information System (ISIS), an invertebrate classification software system developed by Natural England. In addition, aquatic sample data, collected primarily from a network of wet ditches within Sizewell Marshes SSSI and the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SSSI/SAC, were analysed using water quality indices such as Biological Working Party Score (BMWP), Average Score per Taxon (ASPT) and Community Conservation Index (CCI).

The 2014 findings indicated that habitats within the survey area supported both terrestrial and aquatic invertebrate assemblages of high nature conservation value. The assemblages recorded included a number of species of high conservation status, representative of the habitats designated within the Sizewell SSSI as well as two contiguous areas of coastal habitat; one within the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SAC and SSSI, and the second a non-statutory County Wildlife Site (Suffolk Shingle Beaches CWS) comprising coastal grassland and dune habitat located immediately to the south of the SAC and east of the Sizewell C platform.

Of a total of 796 species were recorded in total during the 2014 surveys, 55 of which were classified as a having a recognised conservation status in the UK/England. Of the species recorded within the survey areas, one species is classed as Nationally Endangered (RDB1) in the UK, one is Nationally Vulnerable (RDB2), four are classed as Nationally Rare (RDB3) and 39 are Nationally Scarce. In addition, 10 species currently classed as NERC (2006) Section 41 'Species of Principal Importance' were recorded.

Whilst the RDB1 and fully-protected Norfolk Hawker Aeshna isosceles was not recorded within the survey area in 2014, an adult was recorded flying over wet ditches in a network of fen meadows within the Sizewell Marshes SSSI, approximately 500 metres south of the survey area. Although it is not thought likely that this species breeds within the main 2014 survey areas, the more open, well-vegetated fen meadow ditches within the SSSI may support breeding Norfolk Hawker, and this may warrant further investigation.

Of the key invertebrate assemblages identified from the ISIS analysis, those of highest conservation value within the wetland communities were the 'W3 - Permanent Wet Mire' Broad Assemblage Type and the 'W314 - Reed-fen and Pools' Specific Assemblage Type'. Whilst these two assemblages were not attributed with the largest number of species within the wetland assemblages identified, the highest proportion of rarities were associated with this group. Overall, the sample site called 'SSSI Area 2' (ditch and reedbed habitat west of the proposed Sizewell C platform) was recorded as being of the highest conservation value of the sites surveyed, and analysis of data for the Sizewell SSSI as a whole indicated both the W3 and W314 assemblages as being of National significance.

Of the samples collected from the coastal zone habitats, the non-designated Coastal Strip CWS was found to support an 'F1 - unshaded early successional mosaic' Broad Assemblage Type and an 'F112 - open short sward' Specific Assemblage Type above the threshold for National significance.

Owing to the high conservation value of the recorded assemblages, and the sensitivities of the habitats and species expected to be lost or indirectly impacted upon, significant mitigation measures are required to offset loss. The report includes habitat creation and restoration recommendations based on knowledge of the ecological requirements of key invertebrate species and habitats, and also considers post habitat creation management issues.

## 1 Introduction

In June, 2014 Jon Mellings BSc (Hons) MCIEEM was contracted by Hyder Consulting Ltd, on behalf of EDF Energy/NNB GenCo. (hereafter referred to as NNB) to undertake entomological survey work to further inform a study relating to NNB's application for a Development Consent Order (DCO) to construct and operate a new nuclear power station, Sizewell C, near the town of Leiston, Suffolk. The site of the proposed development lies within an area of high landscape and ecological sensitivity, being within an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and adjacent to and/or within the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes Special Area of Conservation (SAC), the Sandlings Special Protection Area (SPA) and the Outer Thames Estuary SPA. Part of the site lies within the Sizewell Marshes Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI).

The aim of the surveys was to add resolution to existing knowledge derived from invertebrate surveys of the entire Sizewell Estate, including the SSSI, conducted by Amec between 2007 and 2010 (a study which informed earlier consultation stages relating to the Sizewell C proposals). In particular, greater resolution in terms of survey effort was considered necessary within targeted areas of the SSSI, SAC and other habitat in and around the site of the proposed development.

The land parcels selected for survey were located adjacent to and within close proximity of the existing Sizewell Nuclear Power Plant. The surveys were targeted primarily within sites of high conservation value, designated variously under UK and European legislation. These included habitat within Units 2 and 4 of the Sizewell Marshes Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI); and Unit 112 of the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SSSI, of which the coastal zone element is also protected under European Law as part of the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes Special Area of Conservation (SAC). The areas forming the basis of the current study are focused primarily on habitat expected to be lost or impacted upon by the development of Sizewell C and its associated infrastructure.

In addition to the designated areas, some habitat not currently subject to statutory designation was also surveyed. These included a strip of coastal habitat comprising shingle beach, sand dune and created sandy grassland habitat located immediately seaward (east) of the Sizewell C Platform; and a strip of secondary wet woodland which currently serves as a buffer between the existing Sizewell Platform and elements of the Sizewell Marshes SSSI complex to the west.

This report will contribute to Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) to be conducted in relation to the proposed construction of Sizewell C, and seeks to clarify the relative conservation value of invertebrate assemblages occurring within the habitats likely to be lost or impacted by the development.

Importantly, the findings will also be used to inform elements of habitat creation planned to mitigate loss and potential alteration of both statutory and undesignated habitat, feeding into proposals being considered for Aldhurst Farm. For this reason, an element of the 2014 survey effort has been to characterise habitat and identify key landscape features of particular importance to key invertebrate assemblages.

Survey work was completed over a period of three discrete sampling episodes, between midJune and mid-August 2014, during which time habitat was described and samples collected from a range of terrestrial and aquatic habitats from key areas within the vicinity of the proposed Sizewell c station.

### 1.1 Aims and objectives

### 1.1.1 Aim

The main aim of the surveys was to build on the findings of invertebrate surveys described within Amec (2012), and to further consolidate knowledge of the invertebrate assemblages and associated habitat features supporting these assemblages. Habitat and species data derived from the surveys will be subsequently used to inform both the impact assessment process and the sympathetic creation of alternative habitat to the west of the site. This aim will be fulfilled through completion of the following objectives.

### 1.1.2 Broad Objectives

The broad objectives of the proposed field survey were as follows:

1. To conduct terrestrial and aquatic invertebrate surveys using Common Standards Monitoring (CSM)-compatible techniques, building on, and complementary to, information derived from previous survey and desk study work;
2. To record, delineate and describe key invertebrate habitats present on site in terms of broad vegetation type, habitat structure and current management;
3. To evaluate invertebrate species and assemblages recorded using CSM-compatible indices, including the Invertebrate Species-habitats Information System (ISIS) as well as water quality indices such as the Biological Working Party Score (BMWP), Average Score Per Taxon (ASPT), and the Community Conservation Index (CCI);
4. To set out detailed findings/species lists, and provide an evaluation of key habitat and species assemblages and an appraisal of the conservation value of the site for invertebrates; and
5. To provide recommendations regarding potential development constraints/mitigation options, including site-specific habitat creation advice.

## 2 Methodology

### 2.1 Desk Study

Existing information pertaining to the invertebrate fauna of the site has been reviewed to inform this methodology, which was agreed with Natural England in 2014 (see Hyder Consulting, 2014). Reference material, including original SSSI and SAC citations, condition assessment documentation and existing invertebrate-specific studies and species lists (including Suffolk Wildlife Trust data), as well as the Amec reports from 2007, 2009 and 2010, has been consulted and used as a basis to fine-tuning the sampling approach.

### 2.2 Invertebrate habitat survey

Broad habitats on site were mapped using aerial photographs and ground-truthing (where possible). Plant communities were recorded with sufficient resolution to characterise each individual sampling compartment in terms of habitat type, structure and condition with reference to the invertebrate assemblages supported (the NVC data was collected separately, and is presented within a stand-alone botanical survey report (Hyder 2015)). Features known to be of importance to key invertebrate species recorded historically on site were targeted during the survey.

A total of ten different survey sites (or 'compartments') were assessed in this way during 2014. The locations of all of these compartments are shown on Figure 1, and an overview of the broad habitat recorded in each compartment is provided in Appendix 2, Table 1.

The compartments surveyed were as follows (see Figure 1; centroid grid references in parenthesis):

| Compartment <br> No. | Name (Grid ref.) |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | SSSI Triangle (TM47078 64362) |
| 2 | SSSI Area 2 (TM6889 63944) |
| 3 | SSSI Fen Meadow (TM46948 63819) |
| 4 | C-Platform Woodland Strip (TM47014 63902) |
| 5 | Coastal strip (Suffolk Shingle Beaches CWS) - dune and coastal <br> grassland (TM47585 64093) |
| 6 | Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SSSI/SAC - <br> coastal dune and upper dune grassland/heath (TM47567 64639) |
| 6 Ea | Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SSSI/SAC - dune <br> woodland/scrub, reed-swamp, ditch habitat and scrape (TM47328 <br> 64885) |
| 7 and 7a | Leiston Carr and associated wet woodland (TM46054 64018) |
| 8 | Grimseys (wet woodland) (TM46637 64195) |
| 9 | Turf Pits (W6a woodland) (TM 46467 64547) |

Compartments 1 to 6 were subsequently subject to detailed sampling for aquatic and terrestrial invertebrates, whilst compartments 7 to 10 were were only subject to the habitat assessment (these areas were included primarily with a view to providing context to the more detailed survey work). In addition to the location map (Figure 1) and the summary habitat descriptions provided in Appendix 2, Table 1, a more detailed description of the sub-habitats within each of the compartments surveyed in detail is provided on a series of annotated habitat maps, as follows:

Figure 2 - SSSI Triangle (Compartment 1);
Figure 3 - SSSI Area 2, SSSI Fen Meadow and C-Platform Woodland Strip (Compartments 2-4);

Figure 4 - Minsmere and Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SAC/SSSI (includes coastal dune and upper dune grassland/heath, upper dune woodland and scrub, reed-swamp, ditch habitat and scrape (Compartments 6 and 6a); and

Figure 5-Coastal strip County Wildlife Site (dune and coastal grassland)(Compartment 5).
Therefore, the compartments which received a walk-over survey only (Leiston Carr and associated wet woodland; Grimseys (wet woodland); Turf Pits (W6a woodland); and the reedswamp north-west of Sandy Lane Drove), have been indicated on the location map (Figure 1), but not mapped in detail.

Photographs of the 2014 survey locations are included in Appendix 4, Photographs 1 to 15.

### 2.3 Aquatic invertebrate sampling

### 2.3.1 Sample site selection and collection of macroinvertebrate samples

The selection of sampling sites for aquatic invertebrates aimed to reasonably represent the heterogeneity of habitat, and thus the macroinvertebrate fauna of the site as a whole. The initial sampling event was conducted on the $19^{\text {th }}$ June, 2014; the second took place over the $12^{\text {th }}$ and $13^{\text {th }}$ August, 2014. The aquatic invertebrate survey locations (A1 to A32) are indicated by the yellow rectangles on Figures 6 to 9.

A total of 32 aquatic samples were collected from ditches and other freshwater habitats distributed throughout the survey area: 16 samples were collected during mid-June, and a further 16 during mid-August. It was originally envisaged that samples would be collected from repeat locations during the August site visit; however, whilst samples were mainly taken from the same water-bodies as for the June visit, in some instances sample locations were altered to enable a greater representation of the site's heterogeneity to be recorded.

Each aquatic invertebrate sample was collected in accordance with the Murray Bligh (1999) three-minute sweep method, a standard method for sampling freshwater habitats. Each sample was collected from a sufficient range of representative meso-habitats to adequately cover the
main invertebrate niches of the waterbody in question ${ }^{1}$. Each sampling event was timed for three minutes, the sampling time divided proportionately between the different mesohabitats, and the watch stopped after each sweeping to enable the contents of the net to be deposited in the sample tray.

Each sample was then transferred to a sealed plastic sample pot, preserved and transported to the laboratory for washing, sorting and identification.

### 2.3.2 Washing, sorting and identification of samples

Preserved samples were thoroughly washed and graded by rinsing the content through a series of different sized meshes (standard brass sampling sieves by Endecott were used). Samples were then sorted into a plastic sorting square (as standardly used by the EA) and preserved in readiness for identification.

Samples were subsequently identified to family level (to enable Biological Monitoring Working Party (BMWP) and Average Score per Taxon (ASPT) scores to be calculated) and, where appropriate, to species level (for the requirements of Community Conservation Indices (CCI) methodology (Chadd and Extence, 2004)). Where necessary, specimens were identified using appropriate, up to date taxonomic keys, such as volumes produced by the Freshwater Biological Association and Field Studies Council (FSC).

### 2.3.3 Data analysis

Aquatic invertebrate data was input directly into spreadsheets set up to calculate BMWP scores using Walley and Hawkes' (1997) revised scoring system, with ASPT calculated by dividing the resultant BMWP score by the number of scoring families. Scores were interpreted in accordance with protocols in EA and Pond Conservation (2002) and Chadd and Extence (2004). Analysis of aquatic sample data was conducted on different scales, as appropriate, including sample site level (individual sample), ditch/waterbody level (e.g. an individual ditch within the SSSI Triangle), survey area level (e.g. SSSI Triangle) and also on a combined site level (e.g. SSSI level - SSSI Triangle, Area 2 and Fen Meadow combined ditches).

Data were also analysed alongside terrestrial invertebrate data using the Invertebrate Species habitat Information System (ISIS), 2010 version - an analytical package developed by Natural England as a standard method for the conservation evaluation of British invertebrate communities.

### 2.4 Terrestrial Invertebrate Sampling

In accordance with Drake et al (2007), sampling for terrestrial invertebrates was undertaken using a combination of standard capture methods recommended for Common Standards Monitoring (CSM) of different habitat types. In accordance with CSM protocol, data were collected using repeatable techniques enabling subsequent analysis using ISIS, and also allowing data to be reasonably comparable between sampling sites. Sampled habitat included reed-swamp, fen meadow, wet woodland, coastal dune, grassland and grass-heath.

[^85]The terrestrial invertebrate survey locations (T1 to T 30 ) are indicated by the pink rectangles on Figures 6 to 9.

Sampling was undertaken, for the most part, in suitably dry, sunny weather; however, due to the requirement for survey dates to be confirmed well in advance of the survey (for reasons of access and health \& safety), a small amount of sampling during the August visit was undertaken in sub-optimal weather. However, the schedule was revised, where possible, to maximise the sampling effort during periods of dry weather. Terrestrial invertebrate sampling was undertaken over three discrete visits, during mid-June, mid-July and mid-August (the June and August visits in tandem with the aquatic sampling).

The sampling strategy aimed to adequately represent the sites' terrestrial invertebrate fauna, enabling the key sub-assemblages within each defined survey zone to be described. Terrestrial sampling was undertaken with the premise that a minimum of four samples, following a standardised, timed methodology would be collected from each habitat substratum surveyed, within each of the six main sampling areas. This is because a minimum of four samples per substratum is specified in NERR005 (Drake et al, 2007) for analysis using ISIS. The sampling was also designed to account for the heterogeneity of habitat within the survey area as a whole, with an overriding aim to account for the sites' more specialised and 'important' habitats, with due consideration for key designated features known to support important invertebrate assemblages.

As the project progressed, decisions were made to increase the survey remit to include additional survey areas. A strip of wet woodland, which screened the habitat areas known as 'SSSI Area 2' and 'SSSI Fen Meadow' from the westernmost aspect of the existing Sizewell B and proposed C Platform areas (the 'C-Platform Woodland Strip'), was added to the survey area prior to the final survey visit. Due to time constraints, survey of this area was undertaken in place of the envisaged third sampling event within the area referred to as the 'SSSI Triangle'.

The precise approach to sampling varied according to habitat. Typically, however, a suite of sampling methods was used within each sampling location, according to habitat layers present. For example, at the interface of reed-swamp and wet woodland/carr habitat, the ground layer was sampled using a vacuum sampler; the field layer (reeds, swamp and low scrub) with a sweep net; and the arboreal and scrub layer (lower portion) with a beating tray.

### 2.4.1 Sample descriptives

Each sample taken was recorded within a 'sample log' form, which included a tick list of sample methods, with separate fields for 'sample zone', 'sample code', 'sample date', 'grid reference', 'timed duration of sample', 'habitat' and 'weather condition'. Habitat description generally added greater resolution to separately collected habitat descriptions/target notes.

The main sampling methods used were as follows (Note: Sample locations are described in detail within the results section).

### 2.4.2 Sweep-net

A standard sweep net was used to collect specimens from grassland, ditch edge, swamp and dwarf-scrub habitat. Timed 10-minute sweeps were undertaken in representative habitat, the time being recorded using a kitchen timer in accordance with Drake et al (2007). Specimens were extracted from the sweep net using a pooter attached to a glass sampling tube. Following collection, specimens were immediately killed using tissue paper impregnated with acetonebased nail-polish remover in accordance with Drake et al. (2007); sample tubes were labelled and cold-stored (at the end of each survey) in a portable electronic cool box. In some instances
(i.e. where specimens were readily identifiable in the field), species names were recorded at the time, together with sample details etc., on a recording form.

### 2.4.3 Beating tray

A beating tray was used to collect specimens from trees and scrub habitat (wet woodland). Samples were timed and species were collected, killed, stored or recorded in accordance with the method described for sweep samples above.

### 2.4.4 Vacuum Sampling

A petrol/two stroke-powered vacuum sampler was used to collect ground-dwelling specimens not easily retrieved by other sampling methods (pitfall trapping was not appropriate in the boggy conditions at most of the sites). Each vacuum sampling was timed for two minutes, and the contents of the attached sampling net were emptied into a white sampling tray. Specimens were collected using a pooter and processed in accordance with the method described above for sweep sampling.

### 2.4.5 Direct searching

Direct searching beneath refugia, such as rocks, under bark and in the crevices of standing and fallen trees, was undertaken to complement above methods, and spot sampling using a sweep net and/or pooter was undertaken incidentally to capture species not easily attainable from timed methods.

### 2.4.6 Mercury Vapour Moth Trapping

On each survey occasion a single standard Robinson mercury vapour moth trap (powered by a 1KVA petrol generator) was deployed for one night. Traps were set at the onset of dusk, left overnight and emptied at around 6.30am the following morning. During the June and July visits (Traps 1 and 2 respectively) the moth trap was located close to the north-west corner of the SSSI Triangle (grid reference: TM 47019 64409)(see the blue rectangle on Figure 6) positioned on a low bund which overlooked the reed-swamp and wet woodland/carr habitat.

In August, the trap (Trap 3) was deployed within the area immediately west of the existing Sizewell C car park (called the C Platform woodland strip)( see the blue rectangle on Figure 7). The trap was located in such a position to be visible to the SSSI Fen Meadow and associated wet woodland and ditches (grid reference: TM47017 63836). Moths were, for the most part, identified on site; however, species not readily identified were retained in sample tubes and placed in an electronic cool box for ex-situ examination.

### 2.4.7 Identification

Where practical, species were identified on site without undue disturbance; however, many species cannot be adequately identified in the field, and it was necessary for samples to be taken for ex situ identification using a binocular microscope and appropriate taxonomic keys, as required. Where it was considered necessary, specialist verification of rare or uncommon species was sought from an appropriate county recorder or expert in the relevant species group.

The vast majority of specimens collected were identified to species level; however, certain groups (including, in particular, parasitic Hymenoptera, some Diptera families not currently supported within ISIS analysis, aquatic bivalves and terrestrial molluscs) were not identified to species. The majority of specimens were identified by Jon Mellings. Diptera other than hoverflies (Syrphidae) and soldierflies (Stratiomyidae and their allies) were identified by Andy

Jukes and associated Diptera specialists. Andy Jukes also identified a proportion of the Hymenoptera specimens.

### 2.4.8 Data analysis using Invertebrate Species-habitats Information System (ISIS)

Terrestrial invertebrate sample data were input into an Excel spreadsheet. Each entry was referenced to sample number, sample site, collected date and OS grid reference of sample location.

ISIS is a computer-based application developed by Natural England for the recognition and scoring of invertebrate assemblage types. ISIS was developed primarily for the purpose of ecological monitoring of sites using Common Standards Monitoring protocols, in order to enable a consistent approach to Condition Assessment of SSSIs and other designated areas. However, the package is also widely used as a standard method enabling the conservation value of invertebrate assemblages to be evaluated for the purposes of Ecological Impact Assessment (EclA) and general interpretation of entomological assemblages.

Importantly, ISIS is a flexible package which can be used to interpret data collected at different scales (Drake et al., 2007). ISIS interprets species lists by recognising assemblage types within a list and scoring each type according to its conservation value.

The theory and practice of ISIS (2007 version) is explained in greater detail in Lott et al. (2007). The workings of the most recent available ISIS version (2010) are largely unchanged; however, explanations of refinements may be obtainable on request from Natural England. There follows a basic summary of ISIS (paraphrased from Lott, 2008) as a means of explaining the terms and interpretation used in the subsequent sections of this report. Terms are explained further in Appendix 1, Reference Table 1.

ISIS recognises invertebrate assemblage types in species lists collected at scales ranging from management compartment to landscape character area. The assemblage types are labelled in terms that relate to their favoured habitats in order to make them accessible to non-specialists. However, they are actually defined by lists of characteristic species that are generally found together in nature.

The output of ISIS produces values relating to different invertebrate assemblage types at two main levels (from Lott, 2008), 'Broad' and 'Specific' assemblage types:

- Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) - There are 14 BATs defined for the UK, which are characterised by species that are more widespread across the country. BATs can be found in a wide range of sites. Their classification reflects environmental factors, such as hydrology and disturbance cycles, that have an important effect on invertebrate assemblages.
- $\quad$ Specific Assemblage Types (SATs) - There are 28 SATs. These are characterised by stenotypic ${ }^{2}$ species, and are considered to have an intrinsic conservation value; such species are generally only found in sites with nature conservation value. SATs are more narrowly-defined than BATs, and each SAT is nested within a parent BAT.

[^86]Since 2008, there has also been a third category of assemblage type that cuts across this classification. These are mainly defined by lists of species dependent on a particular environmental resource, such as flowers as a source of pollen and nectar.

For any set of samples, ISIS scores each recognised assemblage type for representation and conservation value. Some of the scoring systems used in ISIS can be influenced by target group, sampling effort and/or seasonal factors.

In ISIS, a BAT is derived from a defined group of any species, both common and rare, recognised within a species list due to exhibiting a shared affinity for a defined broad habitat. For example, the 'Arboreal Canopy BAT' includes species with a greater association with a tree canopy than for any other habitat, such as moths whose larvae feed within the canopy of broadleaved trees.

In addition to attributing a group of species to a given BAT assemblage, or series of BAT assemblages, Rarity Scores, based on the species listed, are also generated for each BAT. Rarity Scores in ISIS are based on the Species Quality Index (SQI), a measure which has been historically used to define the conservation status of an assemblage based on rarity value. In basic terms, an individual BAT with a large number of species attributed to it may achieve a low rarity score if the species making up the community are largely common; conversely, a smaller sample size with a high proportion of rare and uncommon species may register a higher rarity score.

SATs are nested within a parent BAT. For example, the 'A211 - Heartwood decay' SAT is a subgroup of the overarching BAT community 'A2 - Wood decay', and includes species with more specialised habitat requirements than general deadwood species would exhibit.

SATs thus have intrinsic value for nature conservation, and were designed to be used in setting invertebrate conservation objectives on SSSIs. They can be selected as features of interest, when they are well expressed in existing data. The "\% of national species pool" score can be used to do this when a large body of data exists for a SSSI. A score of over $10 \%$ for most wetland SATs, and over 6\% for most non-wetland SATs, indicates that it is of 'national significance' (Lott, 2008).

### 2.4.9 Use of ISIS within the current report

ISIS analysis was undertaken using bulked terrestrial samples per sample area (e.g. SSSI Triangle) and also on a broader, combined site level. Since aquatic invertebrate sample data as well as terrestrial data can be analysed using ISIS, combinations of both aquatic and terrestrial data for each habitat unit were also analysed using ISIS. The strength of ISIS output can be weakened by analysis of data collected from non-target habitats.

The significant SATs and BATs recorded from the survey data have been used in combination with site-specific habitat types to pinpoint key assemblages and associated habitat within the various survey areas. This information has been subsequently used to define potential impact relating to the development proposals and also to inform habitat creation requirements.

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Desk Study

### 3.1.1 Designations

The majority of the habitat surveyed in 2014 lie within the Sizewell Marshes SSSI. This site is described in the SSSI citation as occupying 'a low-lying basin of deep fen peat; the water table is permanently high, with the area being prone to flooding, there is an extensive network of ditches'. In terms of invertebrate fauna, the citation states: 'Sizewell marshes are of exceptional interest for their invertebrate fauna, supporting a wide range of taxa and many nationally rare and scarce species. These include terrestrial and aquatic beetles (Coleoptera), flies (Diptera), moths (Lepidoptera), dragonflies (Odonata) and spiders (Araneae)'.

No particular species are mentioned in the citation; however, to the north of the existing Sizewell power station complex lies the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SAC, which is designated primarily for supporting Annex 1 habitats, including 'Perennial vegetation on stony banks'. No Annex 2 species are listed as being important. The southern corner of the SAC was within the 2014 survey area. The footprint of the SAC largely corresponds to that of the Minsmere and Walberswick SSSI, which is cited as having 'a rich insect fauna, particularly moths, which include a number of rare species, notably Archanara neurica (White-mantled Wainscot), Photedes brevilinea (Fenn's Wainscot) and Senta (Mythimna) flammea (Flame Wainscot).' The coastal strip area immediately to the east and north of the existing power station footprint, and south of the SAC, is not subject to statutory designation, but is listed as a non-statutory County Wildlife Site (Suffolk Shingle Beaches CWS).

The survey area also lies within the Suffolk Coasts and Heaths AONB, and within 1 km of the south of the site lies the Leiston-Aldeburgh SSSI, noted for its 'breeding and hunting areas for many species of dragonfly and damselfly' according to the SSSI citation, and the Sandlings SPA, an important site for heathland invertebrates in particular.

### 3.1.2 Review of site-specific invertebrate reports and records

A number of invertebrate surveys have been undertaken in recent years within the various statutorily designated and non-designated areas in and around the proposed Sizewell C development site. The most recent surveys, conducted in 2007, 2009 and 2010, were commissioned to inform the initial stages of the ongoing Sizewell $C$ development proposals, and have now been consolidated into a single report (Amec, 2014). As part of the Amec (2014) report, a comprehensive desk study and critique was conducted, which summarised findings of previous surveys, highlighted important species records and also, where relevant, identified knowledge gaps with a particular focus on gaps in taxonomic coverage.

The Amec surveys characterised, to a large extent, the invertebrate fauna occupying both the wetland habitats comprising the ditch network, associated fen meadow, reedswamp and carr habitat, and the more xerophile habitats including, coastal dune, grassland, heath and shingle and drier rides and heathland habitats within and around areas of plantation woodland inland. The Amec study area focused on habitat around the centroid grid reference of the Strategic Site Area (TM473640). In compliance with best practice guidelines, the study covered a larger area than was expected to be covered by the development.

The Hyder report 'Sizewell C Ecological Support: Invertebrate and NVC Surveys of SSSI: Review of previous studies and Methodology for further work' (Hyder 2014) reviewed the findings of Amec's 2007, 2009 and 2010 survey work, and provided the basis for the focus of the 2014 survey work, along with the aims and objectives for the report described herewithin.

This report, and the scope of the proposed survey work, was discussed with Natural England, including invertebrate specialist Jon Webb (one of the authors of the ISIS package). The Amec studies produced a valuable and robust dataset covering a large area; however, further survey requirements, allowing a more targeted, site-specific knowledge of areas most likely to be lost or impacted by the Sizewell C development, were identified.

In order to avoid duplication, a comprehensive review of site-specific survey literature is not provided here. However, both Amec's 2007 - 2010 survey documents, particularly the consolidated report (Amec, 2014) and the review and method statement produced by Hyder (2014) have been consulted, and are referred to at relevant points within this report. However, a brief resume of key species previously recorded within the survey area, and their habitat associations, are considered here.

### 3.1.3 Previously recorded species of conservation interest

## Protected species

Norfolk Hawker Aeshna isosceles is the only invertebrate species recorded from the survey area receiving full statutory protection under UK law. This dragonfly is classed as Nationally Endangered (Red Data Book 1/RDB1) and is fully protected under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act (WCA), 1981. Norfolk Hawker was also listed as a Priority Species in the original UK Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP), and is currently a Section 41 'Species of Principal Importance for Biodiversity' under the NERC Act (2006). In the UK, Norfolk Hawker is restricted to fens and grazing marsh that are relatively isolated from polluted water in the broadlands of Norfolk and northeast Suffolk.

In Amec (2014), it is stated that 'the Norfolk Hawker appeared to be relatively frequent on the ditches of the Sizewell Belts SSSI and that 'this may represent the most southerly British population of this restricted species'. Whilst Norfolk Hawker is known to breed in the ditches of Minsmere, breeding within the Sizewell SSSI has not been confirmed. However, due to the frequency of sightings, and the apparent suitability of ditch habitat in some areas, the insect is suspected to be a breeding species in the SSSI, according to Amec (2014).

During the Amec surveys Norfolk Hawker was frequently recorded flying around the intersecting rides at Goose Hill (called 'Site F' in the 2007 Amec report), approximate grid reference TM46634 64637). In 2009, Norfolk Hawker was also recorded from one of two malaise traps located on the southeast boundary of one of the key areas, known for the purpose of this report as the 'SSSI Triangle'. The dragonfly was also recorded in association with a ditch network within grazing marsh to the west of the SSSI Triangle. However, it is stated in Amec (2014) that 'no specific surveys of Norfolk Hawker have been undertaken at Sizewell or Minsmere'.

## Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce Species

Several species listed in the UK Red Data Book have been recorded consistently within the survey area in recent years. One species, known as the Suffolk Antlion Euroleon nostras, was recorded for the first time in the UK as recently as 1994 by Howard Mendel, who recorded the insect at Minsmere. In 2003, the insect was discovered by Suffolk Wildlife Trust at Walk Barn within the EDF Energy Estate (approximate grid reference: TM46568 65073); the larvae of the species (which predate ants falling into their conical burrows in sand) have subsequently been subject to surveillance by Suffolk Wildlife Trust. A peak number of around 900 larvae were recorded in 2004; however, numbers fluctuate on an annual basis.

The conservation status of the Suffolk Antlion has not been classified; however, RDB2 'Nationally Vulnerable' has been suggested. The insect was, however, selected as a priority species within the Suffolk Local Biodiversity Action Plan (LBAP). Suffolk Antlion was also recorded around Walk Barn during the Amec 2007-2010 surveys.

True flies (Diptera) feature strongly amongst the rarer species recorded within the Sizewell SSSI survey area. Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce Diptera recorded within Amec's 2007-2010 surveys with a strong association with the study area's wetland habitats, include the Nationally Vulnerable (RDB2) Ornate Brigadier Odontomyia ornata and Silver Colonel O. argentata. The Nationally Scarce Black Colonel O. tigrina and Barred General Stratiomys potamida have also been recorded. These soldierflies (stratiomyidae) all have aquatic larvae, and O. ornata, $O$. argentata and $O$. tigrina have a restricted range in the UK, being more or less confined to high quality wetland sites supporting significant networks of drainage ditches, such as coastal grazing marsh, fen and fen meadows.

Another soldierfly, the Orange-horned Green Colonel Odontomyia angulata was recorded during the 2009 survey. Classed as RDB1 'Nationally Endangered' in the UK, the insect was recorded alongside $O$. argentata and $O$. ornata (mentioned above) from a fen meadow ditch located immediately southwest of the SSSI Triangle. According to Stubbs and Drake (2001) O. angulata was known only from East Anglia and several fens in the Breck and Cambridgeshire. It has been associated with very ancient ponds which support an exceptional fauna. Stubbs and Drake (2001) suggest that the larva of this soldierfly may be amphibious, rather than truly aquatic, being often found in ponds with a tendency to dry out in summer.

Examples of other rare Diptera associated with wetland habitats recorded at Sizewell include: Parhelophilus consimilis, an RDB2 'Nationally Vulnerable' hoverfly associated with 'pools in habitats which are transitional between bog and fen, often in association with bulrush' (Stubbs and Falk, 2002); the RDB2 cranefly Prionocera subserricornis, which occurs in ancient fenland, with most records referring to ditches or pools filled with wet, black organic material; Antichaeta brevipennis, an RDB2 snail-parasitoid fly, usually associated with lush vegetation beside water bodies in wetlands, with existing Suffolk records referring to ditches in unimproved grazing marshes; and the tiny RDB2 fly Stenomicra delicatula, which is generally associated with Carex paniculata tussocks in fens, with the larvae thought to develop in damp decaying vegetation.

The RDB3 'Nationally Rare' Yellow-horned Horsefly Hybomitra ciureai, has also been recorded. H. ciureai is primarily associated with coastal grazing marshes in the UK. According to Stubbs and Drake (2001) the species is associated with freshwater ditches rather than brackish ones. A Nationally Scarce species of chloropid fly, Lipara rufitarsis, a reedbed specialist, has also been recorded. The larvae of this fly forms galls in the stems of Common Reed Phragmites australis.

Uncommon water beetles recorded from Sizewell ditch habitats include the RDB2 Nationally Vulnerable diving beetle Graphoderus cinereus, which is described as a declining species in the UK in Foster (2010). The species is associated with 'well-vegetated stagnant waters in lowland pools and fenland drainage dykes' Foster (2011). Foster (2010) argues that for this species 'the greatest threat is posed by drainage of old fen systems'. Hydraticus transversalis is another large aquatic beetle associated with 'rich fen in lowland ponds and fenland drainage ditches'. Both species were recorded during a Buglife-commissioned ditch survey undertaken Drake in 2009. The Nationally Rare (RDB3) Great Silver Water Beetle Hydrophilus piceus, a species also associated with botanically-diverse ditches and ponds, often in coastal fens and grazing marsh, has also been recorded on site. Sutton (2008) argues that $H$. piceus is a species requiring large areas of connected marshland habitat with well-vegetated drainage ditches in order to survive in the long term.

Several species with a strong association with Common Reed swamp habitat have been recorded on site. In particular, a number of species of noctuid moth, generically termed 'wainscots', are dependent on Phragmites australis as a larval foodplant, the larvae typically developing within the hollow reed stems. The RDB3 White-mantled Wainscot Archanara neurica, is restricted in its UK distribution to reedbeds occupying a stretch of coastline between Thorpeness and Southwold in Suffolk. The larvae develop in old, dead reed stems, and management by frequent cutting or burning is thought to be detrimental to the conservation of this species (Waring and Townsend, 2003). White-mantled Wainscot was recorded from a
malaise trap situated in the SSSI Triangle in 2007. The Nationally Scarce Flame Wainscot Mythimna flammea has also been recorded within Sizewell SSSI area; the larvae of this species, which also develop in Common Reed, are thought to favour areas of scattered reed, rather than dense stands (Waring and Lewington, 2003).

In 2007, some survey work was undertaken on the dune and shingle habitat, described within this report as the 'Coastal Strip', and these surveys recorded several uncommon species (Amec 2007). The RDB and Nationally Scarce species recorded were mainly beetles (Coleoptera) and true flies (Diptera). Of the beetles, the RDB3 'Rare' weevil Apion rubiginosum, a species of apionid associated with disturbed ground, grassland and coastal shingle, was recorded. The larvae of this species (like several closely-related species) form galls on the roots of Sheep's Sorrel Rumex acetosella. Another species with an association with sorrel, the leaf beetle Mantura chrysathemi, classed as Nationally Scarce (formerly Notable A), was also recorded.

A species of malachite beetle Clanoptilus marginellus, associated primarily with coastal shingle, where adults are found on the flowers of Hogweed Heracleum sphondylium, Tansy Tanacetum vulgare and other herbs (Hyman and Parsons, 1992) has been subject to a revision of conservation status from Nationally Scarce (Notable B) in Hyman and Parsons (1992) to IUCN (2001) 'Lower Risk - Near Threatened’ status (equivalent to RDB3 'Rare'). A further species of beetle recorded on the Coastal Strip area in 2007 was Melanopthalma curticollis, a species of lathridiid beetle, known from a handful of sites in the UK, which is included in the RDBK (insufficiently known) category.

Of the flies recorded within the Coastal Strip in 2007, one RDB3 'Rare' species of opomyzid fly, Opomyza punctella, was recorded.

Several species cited within the reports produced by Amec as Nationally Scarce have been subject to status revision due to recorded range expansion and/or increased recording effort in recent decades. Species frequently cited within the reports and reviews formerly classified as Nationally Scarce in the UK which have been subject to status revision include the Hairy Dragonfly Brachytron pratense, which has increased its range in southern UK and is now considered a 'local' species. The Lesser Cockroach Ectobius panzeri again has been downgraded to 'local' status due to range expansion/increased recording, whilst the aquatic beetles Rhantus grapii, R. suturalis, Ancaena bipustulata, Enochrus melanocephalus, Hydraena testacea and Ochthebius marinus were all subject to revision to 'local' status by Foster (2010).

## NERC (2006) Section 41 'Species of Principal Importance’

The White Admiral, Limenitis camilla, a butterfly associated primarily with woodland rides, is well recorded on the site, which is known to support a significant population. Both larvae, which feed on Honeysuckle Lonicera periclymenum, and adults have been subject to survey (Amec, 2014).

White Admiral is listed as a 'Species of Principal Importance' under Section 41 of the NERC Act (2006), due to a recorded decline of the species from former habitats in the UK. In addition to the 541 status, White Admiral has also been classed, using post-2001 IUCN criteria, as 'Vulnerable' in the UK. As butterflies are relatively easily monitored, the post-2001 IUCN guidelines are arguably easier to apply to butterflies and some other popular groups than to some of the more obscure taxa. However, confusion can result when a relatively common butterfly is afforded a comparable conservation status to species of true rarity status.

Similarly, the Grayling Hipparchia semele, another butterfly which is still locally common, is also classified as 'Vulnerable' using the post-2001 IUCN guidelines, and is also afforded S41 status.

A number of common moth species are also listed under S41 as 'Species of Principal Importance', though for research only. Research by Butterfly Conservation has found that a number of moth species still commonly recorded in the UK have declined considerably in recent
decades. Two such species which have been recorded within the survey area are the Cinnabar Moth Tyria jacobaeae and Latticed Heath Chiasmia clathrata.

### 3.2 2014 Survey findings

### 3.2.1 Sample sites

## Aquatic Macroinvertebrate sample sites

All aquatic macroinvertebrate sampling sites for both the June and August surveys are described in Appendix 2, Table 2, and corresponding sample sites are indicated (alongside terrestrial sampling locations) on the sample site maps (Appendix 3, Figures 6, 7, 8 and 9).

## Terrestrial sample sites

Appendix 2, Table 3, provides descriptions of the terrestrial invertebrate sample locations, and the types of sampling method(s) used during each of the three sampling events (in June, July and August, 2014) are summarised in Appendix 2, Table 4. Sample locations are illustrated alongside the aquatic sampling locations on the sample site maps (Appendix 3, Figures 6, 7, 8 and 9). The total number of terrestrial samples taken per substratum over the three surveys combined within each survey compartment (including the SSSI Triangle, SSSI Area 2, SSSI Fen Meadow, C-Platform Woodland Strip, Coastal Strip and SAC habitat) are summarised in Appendix 2, Table 5.

## Mercury Vapour Moth-trapping locations

As for aquatic and terrestrial invertebrate sampling points, moth trap locations are depicted in Appendix 3, Figures 6 and 7.

### 3.2.2 Species recorded

All species recorded during the survey are listed in Appendix 2, Tables 6 and 7. Table 6 shows species recorded from the aquatic samples only, whilst Table 7 shows species recorded during the terrestrial sampling component of the survey together with incidentally recorded species. Sample locations are indicated for each recorded species. For the purpose of this report, the terms 'Aquatic' and 'Terrestrial' are defined as: 'Aquatic' = species collected from water bodies using a pond net; and 'Terrestrial' = species collected from above the surface of the water. This division is somewhat artificial; many species frequenting terrestrial habitats as adults (e.g. dragonflies, soldierflies etc.) develop as larvae in aquatic habitats. There is thus a small amount of overlap between the Aquatic and Terrestrial species lists, with some species occurring in both lists.

The total number of invertebrate species recorded for each taxonomic order from the 2014 terrestrial and aquatic sample data were as follows:

| Order | Species recorded <br> (Total) | Species recorded <br> (Terrestrial) | Species recorded <br> (Aquatic) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coleoptera | 250 | 217 | 54 |
| Diptera | 141 | 138 | 17 |
| Lepidoptera | 131 | 131 | 1 |
| Hemiptera | 120 | 109 | 24 |
| Araneae | 36 | 36 | 5 |
| Hymenoptera | 26 | 26 | 2 |


| Order | Species recorded <br> (Total) | Species recorded <br> (Terrestrial) | Species recorded <br> (Aquatic) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pulmonata | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Orthoptera | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| Odonata | 13 | 11 | 8 |
| Opiliones | 12 | 12 | 0 |
| Isopoda | 9 | 7 | 3 |
| Hirudinea | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Veneroida | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Amphipoda | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Lithobiomorpha | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Neuroptera | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Dermaptera | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Dictyoptera | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Ephemeroptera | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Pseudoscorpionida | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Symphyta | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ |

## Uncommon species and s41 Species of Principal Importance

Of a total of 796 species recorded from both terrestrial and aquatic sample data in 2014, 55 are currently listed as having a recognised conservation status in the UK. All species of recognised conservation status recorded from both Aquatic and Terrestrial survey datasets are included in Appendix 2, Table 8. The table incorporates descriptors, including recorded location(s), recorded date(s), recorded habitat, and affiliation to ISIS assemblages (i.e. Broad Assemblage Type (BAT) and Specific Assemblage Type (SAT)).

The following species with a recognised conservation status were recorded for the combined survey areas:

RDB1 ('Nationally Endangered') - one species currently classed as RDB1, based on pre-1994 IUCN criteria (two if the record of Norfolk Hawker flying outside of the survey area is counted);

RDB2 ('Nationally Vulnerable' - one species classed as 'Vulnerable' based on IUCN pre-1994 criteria, and a further two based on post-2001 IUCN criteria;

RDB3 ('Nationally Rare') - four species based on pre-1994 IUCN criteria, and a further two classed under post-2001 IUCN criteria in the 'Lower risk - Near Threatened' category (equivalent to RDB3);

Nationally Scarce - a total of 39 species classed as Nationally Scarce (comprising Notable A [7 species], Notable B [20 species] Notable [12 species]); and

S41 - ten species currently classed as NERC (2006) Section 41 'Species of Principal Importance'.

## Species of note recorded outside of the survey area

Norfolk Hawker is classed as Nationally Endangered (RDB1) and is fully protected under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act (WCA), 1981. The insect was included as a Priority Species in the original UK Biodiversity Action Plan (UKBAP) and is currently a NERC

Act s41 'Species of Principal Importance'). Norfolk Hawker is restricted to fens and grazing marsh that are relatively isolated from polluted water in the broadlands of Norfolk and northeast Suffolk. According to Dragonfly Conservation (2015), optimal breeding conditions appear to be 'unspoilt grazing marsh dyke systems with clean, non-saline water, rushy margins, preferably with an abundance of Water Soldier Stratiotes aloides as well as other aquatic plants'.

During the 2014 survey, whilst Norfolk Hawker larvae or adults were not recorded within any of the survey sites, a single individual was recorded flying over floristically-diverse ditches in Unit 4 of the Sizewell Marshes SSSI during the initial, June visit. This was approximately 500 metres south of the SSSI Fen Meadow survey area.

### 3.3 BMWP, ASPT and CCI Analysis (Aquatic only) <br> 3.3.1 Biological Monitoring Working Party Score (BMWP) and Average Score Per Taxon (ASPT) - Background

The Biological Monitoring Working Party Score (BMWP), Average Score per Taxon (ASPT) and the Community Conservation Index ( CCl ) are commonly used metrics in analysis of aquatic macrophyte sample data in freshwater habitats in the UK. BMWP and ASPT are specifically tools for assessing water quality in relation to biological pollution, and are calculated based on values ascribed to different invertebrate families according to the generalised water quality tolerances of these families.

Higher BMWP scores indicate better water quality. Being based simply on the sum of all scoring macroinvertebrates represented within a sample, they tend to result from samples in which several higher scoring macroinvertebrate families are present. However, higher BMWP scores can also result from more diverse samples comprising largely lower-scoring families from habitats of poorer water quality. BMWP scores can be divided by the number of scoring families in a sample to give an ASPT index. An ASPT value therefore controls for the influence of species richness.

BMWP and ASPT are normally conducted alongside a range of chemical analyses for the purpose of water quality analysis. However, BMWP scores are also calculated as they can be used to define the Community Score ${ }^{3}$ necessary for the calculation of to CCl analysis (below), and have been calculated mainly for this purpose. Whilst the results of BMWP analysis provide useful additional background information, any reference to water quality based on BMWP and/or ASPT should be seen as incidental to the habitat and species conservation evaluation when carrying out ecological impact assessment (EcIA). Importantly, good water quality does not necessarily correlate with high conservation value. Sites scoring highly for conservation value can occur in poor water conditions, and conversely, good water quality does not necessarily indicate high conservation value (Chalkley, 2008).

For the purpose of this project the BMWP scoring used is based on Walley and Hawkes' (1996) revision of the original BMWP scoring system.
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### 3.3.2 Community Conservation Index (CCI) - Background

CCl is a protocol developed by Chadd and Extence (2004) of the Environment Agency to summarize aquatic macroinvertebrate data obtained from inland still and flowing water sites in Great Britain. It provides a means of assessing the conservation value of aquatic macroinvertebrate communities. Unlike BMWP scores, CCI requires species-level identification to be undertaken.

Both BMWP and CCI scores have been calculated for all aquatic samples collected during the 2014 survey. Unlike ISIS (below), CCI output is based primarily on species rarity rather than habitat fidelity. The aquaticinvertebrate data set was also evaluated using ISIS and is discussed in terms of both indices in the following sections of this report.

### 3.3.3 BMWP, ASPT and CCI - Results

Appendix 2, Tables 9 and 10, provide interpretation of the various scoring categories for BMWP and ASPT and CCI respectively. Analysis of data has been undertaken on different scales ${ }^{4}$ to enable evaluation on an individual sample site scale, individual ditch/waterbody scale, sample area level (e.g. SSSI Triangle) and also on a combined site level (e.g. SSSI level - SSSI Triangle, Area 2 and Fen Meadow combined ditches).

## Individual Sample level results

Results of BMWP, ASPT and CCI analysis for each Aquatic sample collected during the initial site visit (19/06/2014) are presented in Appendix 2, Table 11, and results from the August (1213/8/2014) site visit in Table 12. In addition, scoring classifications and interpretation of BMWP, ASPT and CCI scores for individual samples are shown in Appendix 2, Tables 13, 14 and 15 respectively.

## Ditch/waterbody level

Results of BMWP, ASPT and CCI analysis for each discrete ditch and waterbody are presented in Appendix 2, Tables 16, 17, 18 and 19. This approach aims to enable evaluation of grouped samples (comprising each ditch) to be considered independently of the overall survey area. In addition, where several samples have been collected from a single waterbody, a greater resolution of data is available for analysis.

## Site and combined site level results

Combined results of BMWP, ASPT and CCI analysis for each survey area (SSSI Triangle, SSSI Area 2, SSSI Fen Meadow, SAC (scrape) and SSSI (ditch)) are presented in Appendix 2, Table 20, with scoring classifications and interpretation of CCl scores for combined site data in Appendix 2, Table 21. All samples for each compartment from both sample dates were combined. The Table also shows results of combined SAC sites (SAC - scrape and ditch) and SSSI sites (Triangle, Area 2 and Fen Meadow), with the aim of providing more of a landscapescale perspective.

The total number of species recorded for all sites ranged from 16 to 56 in the June samples, and from 11 to 36 for the August samples. The highest diversity ( 56 species) was recorded in June from the SAC Scrape (site A8), the only non-ditch sample site, which occupied more open conditions within coastal grazing marsh habitat. The least diverse aquatic sample was 11

[^88]species, recorded from a heavily-shaded, partially-vegetated Common Duckweed-dominated section of ditch (site A29 in SSSI Area 2). The average number of species per sample recorded from the June survey was 30, whilst in August a mean of 23 species per sample was recorded.

In terms of BMWP score, the highest score for an individual sample was 107.8, recorded from the SAC scrape (site A8), whilst the lowest was 26.6, recorded from A26, again a relatively wellvegetated ditch section in SSSI Area 2. Results of the BMWP, ASPT and CCI analysis are discussed in relation to each site in the discussion section of this report.

### 3.4 ISIS Analysis (Terrestrial and Aquatic Invertebrates)

Output tables from ISIS are included in Appendix 2, Tables 22 to 41. Explanatory notes and definitions of ISIS scores are provided in Appendix 1. For an overview of ISIS, and an explanation of its use as a monitoring tool, see Drake et al. (2007) and Lott (2008).

The results of the ISIS analysis are set out by survey site/compartment in Section 4, and then the assemblages identified (both BATs and SATs) are discussed in more detail in Section 5.

## 4 Assessment of survey sites

Each survey site in turn (excluding those where only a habitat survey was carried out) is discussed in relation to the findings of both aquatic and/or terrestrial surveys undertaken, as appropriate. The findings of BMWP, ASPT and CCI analysis (aquatic), as well as ISIS analysis (terrestrial and aquatic), are discussed, and key species recorded during the surveys are considered in relation to ISIS assemblage associations and recorded habitat.

The evaluation of the different survey areas, on the basis of the assemblages and rarities present, is provided in Section 6.

### 4.1 SSSI Triangle (Compartment 1)

The SSSI Triangle is located to the north-west of the proposed Sizewell C Station platform (Survey Compartment 1, Figure 1). Conclusions of ditch macroinvertebrate surveys conducted in 2009 by Amec within the drains of the SSSI Triangle (2009 sample sites 13,14,15 and 23 not to be confused with the 2014 numbering used for the sample sites discussed within this report) were as follows: 'Relatively few rare or uncommon species were recorded in these samples, possibly because there are several ditches here that had a complete cover of duckweed (Lemna spp) and green algae, and consequently were clearly nutrient-enriched. These types of ditch are often of reduced invertebrate value partly because light penetration is seriously reduced and oxygen levels are reduced. Several ditches in this area were also shaded and this is again likely to have reduced the invertebrate value of these.'

### 4.1.1 Water quality

In 2014, more detailed aquatic surveying was undertaken within the ditch network in the SSSI Triangle, with a total of 13 samples being collected. BMWP and ASPT analysis of these data indicated that the water quality within the SSSI Triangle was of overall 'moderate water quality', with some ditches falling into the 'poor water quality' category and some approaching the 'good water quality' classification.

These findings may, therefore, be seen to confirm observations made in Amec (2009). Water quality was generally relatively poor (given that this is within a SSSI), and the probable reasons for this would be nutrient enrichment. In addition, in 2014, shading by overhanging trees and scrub was found to be prevalent, particularly along stretches of the northern drain. Ditches were subject to localised algal bloom, and the coverage of Common Duckweed was extensive in some areas.

However, the ditch sections surveyed varied in terms of shading and vegetation cover, and the diversity of aquatic macrophyte flora thus also varied considerably across the site. Whilst many uncommon macroinvertebrates can only persist in clean, well-oxygenated conditions, and sites that are heavily-polluted rarely support a diverse macroinvertebrate fauna, a number of rare and uncommon species are tolerant of relatively low oxygenation levels, as cautioned in Chalkley (2008).

Interpretation of the 2014 BMWP and ASPT scores indicates that the ditches and open water habitats within the central area and lagoon were generally the best, and that the water quality varied between ditches, being best within the lagoon and associated ditches, and poorest in the main drain traversing the northern boundary of the Triangle.

### 4.1.2 Uncommon species and CCl analysis

The SSSI Triangle aquatic samples yielded the lowest number of uncommon species of all the sample sites, despite a greater number of samples being collected from the area (13 compared to 12 in SSSI Area 2). The only uncommon species recorded within the SSSI Triangle ditches included a Nationally Scarce (Notable A) soldierfly, the Black Colonel Odontomyia tigrina (larva recorded in the southern boundary ditch, sample A5, and adult recorded from terrestrial sample site T1). However, two diving beetles Rhantus grapii (samples A5 and A6) and R. suturalis (sample A21) were also recorded. Increased recording in recent years of these two species has lead to them being downgraded from Nationally Scarce to Local (Foster, 2010). R. suturalis, a cosmopolitan species with a very broad global distribution, is now much more common, the increase in $R$. grapii being less significant.

All three of these species have been well-recorded across the Sizewell SSSI. The Black Colonel is described as being a 'distinctly local species, being mainly confined to the southern half of England' by Stubbs and Drake (2001). Stubbs and Drake also describe the fly's recorded habitat as 'at the margins of ponds, ditches and more rarely, canals, usually those with a rich flora of both emergent and floating vegetation'. The aquatic larvae have been more commonly associated with narrow ditches with dense growth of emergent plants, rather than wide, open ditches. Rhantus suturalis is described as being found 'usually in lowland stagnant waters, often recently created and polluted (Foster and Friday, 2011). Foster and Friday describe the habitat of $R$. grapii as 'shallow waters amongst vegetation, dead leaves or mosses in stagnant water, often partly shaded and usually in lowlands'.

Despite the general lack of uncommon species recorded within the aquatic ditch samples from the SSSI Triangle, a reasonable diversity of species generally associated with good quality wetlands was recorded in several of the samples. The single sample collected from the shallow margins of the central reedbed lagoon (A21), supported species such as Emerald Damselfly Lestes sponsa (larvae), Saucer Bug Ilyocoris cimicoides, Larger Noterus Noterus clavicornis (a water beetle associated with base-rich habitats), the Flat Ramshorn Snail Hippeutis complanatus and the Twisted Ramshorn Bathyomphalus contortus. Terrestrial sampling of the emergent swamp vegetation at the same site also included a local species of reed beetle Donacia semicuprea. This is one of several species from the same subfamily which has an aquatic larva. An adult Banded General Stratiomys potamida, a Nationally Scarce soldierfly, was also recorded incidentally within a few metres of the sample site.

With a CCI score of 15.5 , this sample marginally exceeded the threshold of 15 ( $>15$ to 20 ) for 'High conservation value' in terms of CCl attribution. However, a score of 14.7 was recorded for the combined SSSI Triangle aquatic samples, indicating 'Fairly high conservation value' according to Chadd and Extence (2004). This category is defined as 'sites supporting at least one uncommon species, or several species of restricted distribution and or a community of high taxon richness'. The presence of the Black Colonel, the two water beetles Rhantus suturalis and $R$. grapii, as well as several local species, together with a reasonably high species diversity, would have influenced this score.

The highest CCI score for a single sample in the SSSI Trianle was 16.04 recorded for Sample A5, taken from the southern boundary ditch. This score is towards the low end of the 'High conservation value' category. This site supported both Black Colonel and Rhantus grapii.

Other species recorded in the aquatic samples in the Triangle included the local Fen Snout Nemotelus pantherinus (recorded in sample A3) and Green Colonel Oplodontha viridula (A4). Neither species is listed as scoring in CCI, so such species would not have been included in conservation value calculations.

### 4.1.3 ISIS analysis of aquatic ditch data

The CCI is a simple index based primarily on taxon richness and rarity; it does not attempt to split recorded species into communities according to their respective habitat affinities. The BAT and SAT assemblages identified using ISIS provide a greater degree of information in relation to the more specific habitat associations of individual species and species groups. On a more powerful level, ISIS can evaluate both aquatic and terrestrial species simultaneously and provide an overall reflection of the relative value of the species assemblages making up the whole.

ISIS analysis of aquatic-only samples identified only one well-represented BAT within the SSSI Triangle, the 'W2 - Mineral marsh and open water' assemblage, with the most prominent SAT being the 'W211 - Open water on disturbed mineral sediments' assemblage. However, no SAT was attributed with more than two species.

Neither assemblage scored highly enough to achieve a score equating to Favourable Condition status. Such a score would indicate, for the purpose of Common Standards Monitoring, that a community of national importance had been identified from the ISIS output. The number of species (48) recruited to the W2 assemblage was large, indicating a robust evaluation. Species attributed to this broad assemblage included mainly common water beetles (Coleoptera), aquatic snails (Pulmonata), water bugs (Hemiptera) and damselflies (Odonata), with the local beetle Rhantus suturalis and Lister's River Snail Viviparus contectus being arguably the two least common species.

Much of the recorded W2 assemblage was made up of: large and middle-sized diving beetles (Dytiscidae) such as Dytiscus marginalis, Agabus biguttatus, A. sturmii and Ilybius fuliginosus; smaller species such as the Cherrystone Beetle Hydryphus ovatus and Hydroporus palustris; and aquatic snails including the Common Bithynia Bithynia tentaculata, the Valve Snail Valvata piscinalis, the Great Pond Snail Lymnaea stagnalis and Great Ramshorn Planorbarius corneus, as well as a range of other mainly common snails of the families Lymnaeidae and Planoribidae.

Of the remaining BATs identified from the data, the 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' BAT supported the largest number of species (13); however 16 or more species are needed for a BAT to be considered significant in ISIS. However, species attributed to this assemblage arguably included the more interesting from a conservation perspective, with Black Colonel Odontomyia tigrina and Rhantus grapii both being attributed to this community as well as local soldierflies Fen Snout Nemotelus pantherinus and Green Colonel Oplodontha viridula. In fact, of the rare and uncommon species recorded for the combined 2014 survey data site as a whole, including species recorded from both aquatic and terrestrial sampling techniques, more rare and uncommon species were categorised within the W3 assemblage than any other BAT.

Whilst BATs, as the name suggests, are broad brushstroke communities and comprise more generalist species as well as less common and more specialised ones, the species comprising the Specific Assemblage Types have more specialised habitat requirements. It follows that SATs of higher species-richness are only found in sites of higher conservation value. From the SSSI Triangle aquatic-only samples, SATs were poorly subscribed to. The 'W211 - Open water on disturbed mineral sediments' SAT was attributed with two species, Rhantus suturalis and the rather local Lister’s River Snail Viviparus contectus. For 'W314 - Reedfen and pools', the two soldierflies Odontomyia tigrina and Oplodontha viridula were identified, whilst Rhantus grapii was the only species attributed to the 'W313 - Moss and tussock fen' SAT from the SSSI Triangle aquatic dataset.

The results broadly agree with the conclusions of Amec (2014) that the ditches within the SSSI Triangle are somewhat eutrophic and would be considered to be of, at best, moderate water quality (although the lagoon and water within the reed bed ditches were generally better than that of the main drain to the north of the site). Whilst it is not necessarily agreed that high water
quality equates to high rarity value, analysis of aquatic-only macroinvertebrate data suggested the site's ditches at the time of survey were in suboptimal condition.

The results of the CCI and ISIS analysis together indicate that the assemblages present were reasonably diverse and supporting some uncommon species representative of more important wetland sites, but that overall the aquatic fauna was at best of no more than 'fairly high conservation value' (which is not particularly high for a SSSI).

There was some variation, particularly in terms of botanical diversity, between ditch samples, and generally the better samples were collected from sites which were not heavily shaded. The best sites were generally characterised by a more diverse aquatic macrophyte flora. Macrophytes strongly characteristic of high quality fenland ditch systems (such as Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae, Soft Hornwort Ceratophyllum submersum, fine-leaved pondweeds Potamogeton spp. and milfoils Myriophyllum spp.) were recorded within the Triangle ditches; however, the better sites for these species were patchily distributed.

Due to health and safety constraints, access of the central area of the reedbed, including the open water lagoon area, was not possible. However, access at sample site 21, enabled sampling to take place from some more diverse swamp habitat. The aquatic macrophyte community here included rushes, Juncus spp., Sedges Carex spp. and a relatively diverse aquatic herb flora not found within the near monoculture Phragmites australis swamp. A single aquatic sample was taken from this site, but arguably a greater focus on this area would have produced samples of higher conservation value.

### 4.1.4 Terrestrial-only data (ISIS)

Thirteen Nationally Scarce species, and five classed as species of Principal Importance under s41 of the NERC Act (2006), were recorded from terrestrial-only data collected for the SSSI Triangle. No Red Data Book species were recorded, with the exception of White Admiral Limenitis camilla, which has been classed as 'Vulnerable' (RDB3) under the post-2001 IUCN guidelines.

Results from ISIS analysis of the terrestrial-only data from the SSSI Triangle were split between a number of BATs and SATs, reflecting the diversity of habitat type present. Besides combining all terrestrial data, analysis was also attempted by sample method, i.e. sweep sample, beating sample, vacuum sample, moth trap, etc. However, the results showed little, if any, difference to the ISIS output of combined sample data.

The bulk of the data were split relatively evenly between the four dominant BATs, and none of these achieved a score exceeding the threshold required for Favourable Condition status. Despite a reasonable number of Nationally Scarce species recorded, these were rather diffusely scattered between BATs.

Surprisingly, the most well-represented BAT recorded from the SSSI Triangle terrestrial data was the 'F2-Grassland and scrub matrix' BAT. This is likely to be because parts of the site surveyed beneath the wooded understorey and at the peripheries of the swamp habitats (particularly between the northern ditch and the northern edge of the reedswamp) supported a Yorkshire Fog Holcus lanatus and Rough Meadow Grass Poa trivialis-dominated field layer, often with extensive stands of Common Nettle Urtica dioica. Thus, the community comprised mainly of common species associated with a range of grassland types. Uncommon invertebrate species ascribed to the F2 BAT in ISIS included Crudosilis ruficollis (referred to as Silis in Amec reports). This Nationally Scarce soldier beetle, like the local leaf beetle Phyllobrotica quadrimaculata which was also recorded, was found far more frequently within the reed swamps than the grassland and scrub habitats. C. ruficollis was abundant throughout the reedbeds within both the SSSI Triangle and Area 2.

The two highest-scoring BATs in terms of rarity score were the same two assemblages, W2 and W3, which were prevalent in the ISIS output for the aquatic-only sample data. Whilst these assemblages were attributed with fewer species than the F2 community, the rarity scores in each case approached the Favourable Condition threshold, suggesting assemblages of some conservation value. Three Nationally Scarce species, Black Colonel Odontomyia tigrina (also recorded in the ditch samples), Flame Wainscot Moth Mythimna flammea and a dolichopid fly Thrypticus divisus were ascribed to the 'W3 - Permanent Wet Mire' assemblage, along with several other species regarded as local in the UK.

Flame Wainscot is strongly associated with Common Reed Phragmites australis, the larvae developing in the hollow stems, and this species, like Odontomyia tigrina, is assigned to the 'W314 - Reedfen and pools' SAT. However, the species is thought to favour scattered reed over more dense stands (Waring and Townsend, 2003). Thrypticus divisus is one of a number of flies of the family Dolichopididae recorded during the survey.

The 'W2 - Mineral marsh and open water' BAT included the Nationally Scarce weevil Notaris scirpi, a species of fens, marshes and other wetland habitat, where the larvae develop in the rootstocks of sedges Carex spp. and Reedmace Typha spp., whilst the Nationally Scarce Banded General Stratiomys potamida, recorded from the interface between wet woodland and reedswamp in the Triangle, is attributed to the 'W1 - Flowing water' BAT and the 'W126 Seepage' SAT.

The 'A1 - Arboreal Canopy' assemblage was the second highest scoring BAT in terms of species-richness from the terrestrial dataset, and also supported two Nationally Scarce species. A true weevil associated with birch and other deciduous trees Curculio betulae, was recorded from several beating samples, along with another Nationally Scarce weevil Polydrusus formosus. Increased recording of the latter of these weevils has suggested it is increasing in the UK. Historically it has been associated with ancient broadleaved woodland. White Admiral Limenitis camilla, a well-recorded species in the Sizewell SSSI, is also attributed to A1.

Whilst the 'A2 - Wood decay’ BAT was attributed with too few species to be considered significant within the ISIS output, one Nationally Scarce scraptiid beetle Anaspis thoracica was attributed to this community from the sample data and to the 'A212 - Bark and sapwood decay' SAT.

Of the moth data collected, micro-lepidoptera are not recognised by ISIS; therefore, the two Nationally Scarce micro-moths recorded from the moth trapping within the SSSI Triangle, the Alder Signal Stathmopoda pedella and a gellechiid moth Monochroa palustrellus, were not included in the ISIS output. The larvae of S. pedella develop in the green fruits of Alder, and the species is found mainly in Alder carr habitat.

Monochroa palustrellus, a very local species, mainly occurring in south-east England, feeds on Curled Dock Rumex crispus. Its habitat associations include waste ground, vegetated shingle, sand dunes, dry grassland and fens and marshes. (Sterling and Parsons, 2012). Of the larger moths, the Nationally Scarce Water Ermine Spilosoma urticae, also recorded from an MV trap operated in the SSSI Triangle, is not ascribed to an assemblage in ISIS, despite n association with wetland habitats. This is possibly due to the moth being split between several broad assemblages.

As with the BATs, several SATs were represented within the ISIS output for the SSSI Triangle terrestrial data. Whilst none supported sufficient species richness to achieve Favourable Condition status, both the 'A215-Epiphyte fauna' and 'W314-Reedfen and pools' SATs equalled (though did not exceed) the threshold scores set in ISIS. The 'A215' assemblage is characterised mainly by moths with lichen-feeding larvae. Such communities can be indicative of old woodland (or hedgerows) occurring in areas relatively free of atmospheric pollution.

Three moths, the Rosy Footman Miltochrista miniata, Dingy Footman Eilema griseola and Beautiful Hook-tip Laspeyria flexula (the latter a local species of southern England), were representative of this assemblage. Of the remaining SATs, seven species were attributed to the 'A212 - Bark and sapwood decay' assemblage and two, including the Nationally Scarce Anaspis thoracica, to the 'A211 - Heartwood decay' assemblage. Whilst the latter two assemblages were not well-represented, the presence of wood decay specialists may indicate a greater resource of these species on site than the results suggest.

### 4.1.5 Combined aquatic and terrestrial data (ISIS)

Whilst combining the aquatic and terrestrial survey data for the SSSI Triangle increased the overall number of species affiliated to each BAT, overall, little change to the rarity scores was registered. The most species-rich assemblage identified was the 'W2-mineral marsh and open water' BAT, with 77 species being attributed to this group. Nevertheless, the rarity score remained below the Favourable Condition threshold, indicating that whilst a reasonable diversity of species characteristic of mineral marsh and open water habitat were present, the recorded assemblage comprised mainly of fairly common invertebrates, with only a few local or uncommon species. The 'W211-Open water on disturbed mineral sediments' SAT, a subgroup of the W2 assemblage, was poorly represented, with only two species considered to be habitat specialists.

The rarity score recorded for the 'F2 - Grassland and scrub' assemblage was also speciose, but comprising a generally undistinguished fauna.

The 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' BAT was again below the Favourable Condition threshold, although the score was reasonably high. The species affiliated to this group included some uncommon species with habitat specialisms. The 'W314 - Reedfen and pools' SAT was close to achieving Favourable Condition status, suggesting that the SSSI Triangle included species indicative of higher conservation value. Another assemblage with a reasonably high rarity score, albeit from a smallish dataset, was 'W1 - Flowing water' BAT.

### 4.2 SSSI Area 2 (Compartment 2)

SSSI Area 2 (see Compartment 2 on Figure 1) is located to the south-west of the SSSI Triangle, and north of the SSSI Fen Meadow site. It comprised a narrow strip of scrubby reedbed habitat, with a ditch on either side.

### 4.2.1 Water quality

BMWP and ASPT analysis of the 2014 aquatic survey data indicated that the habitat within the SSSI Area 2 was of overall 'moderate water quality', with some ditches falling into the 'poor water quality' category and some approaching the 'good water quality' classification.

In common with the findings discussed for the SSSI Triangle, this may be indicative of nutrient enrichment. Drains both to the west and east of Sandy Lane Drove were subject to shading by overhanging trees and scrub, and, as with the SSSI Triangle sample sites, ditches were subject to localised algal bloom and the coverage of Common Duckweed was extensive in some areas. However, the ditch sections surveyed varied in terms of overshading and vegetation cover, and the diversity of aquatic macrophyte flora also varied considerably, with some sample sites relatively unshaded (A25 for example).

Interpretation of the 2014 BMWP and ASPT scores indicates that the water quality in the ditch to the west of Sandy Lane Drove was marginally better than in the eastern ditch. However, both ditches were in the moderate water category, according to ASPT interpretation.

Whilst overhanging trees, predominantly Alder and willows, shaded both ditches, the eastern ditch was generally more heavily-shaded, with lower macrophyte diversity. For both the SSSI Triangle and the SSSI Area 2 ditches described here, it is uncertain how frequently managed the ditches are in terms of slubbing and clearance of vegetation. Some sample sites were densely vegetated, whilst others were mainly vegetated by a floating mat of Lemna minor. The most botanically-diverse sites provided good structural diversity as well as supporting macrophytes consistent with ditches of high conservation value. Frogbit, Soft Hornwort and fineleaved Potamogetons were recorded at such sample sites. However, the non-native invasive plant Nuttall's Waterweed Elodea nuttallii was also abundant in some places, although this species may not in itself be detrimental to the macroinvertebrate fauna.

### 4.2.2 Uncommon species and CCI analysis

In contrast to the findings for the SSSI Triangle, the CCI of the combined SSSI Area 2 samples produced a vey high score (30.45), interpreted as 'Very High Conservation value' according to Chadd and Extence's criteria. Interestingly, combined BMWP scores and ASPT indices were almost identical to those resulting from the SSSI Triangle samples, suggesting that taxon richness and water quality status had little to do with the recorded rarity values from the CCl analysis of combined samples. Samples for both sites were collected in the same timescale, and sampling conditions were more or less identical.

Of the two ditches, the western ditch, which was generally less heavily shaded, scored higher than the eastern ditch. As CCl scoring is influenced by the rarity value of the highest-scoring species, the presence of an RDB2 or RDB1 species in a sample can inflate the score. The presence of the Ornate Brigadier Odontomyia ornata in a sample collected from the western ditch will have thus increased the score for this feature. This species is well known from the site, having been recorded in previous surveys, discussed in Amec (2014), and summarised in Section 3.1.3, above. O. ornata is a specialist of high quality fen and grazing marsh ditch networks.

However, compared to the aquatic sample data from the SSSI Triangle, markedly more uncommon species were recorded from the SSSI Area 2 samples. Nationally Scarce species included the water beetles Peltoytes caesus (larvae) and Hydraticus seminger, and the ground beetle Oodes helopioides. The Variable Damselfly Coenagrion pulchellum was also recorded ('Lower risk, near threatened' - IUCN (2001)), as was a water-scavenger beetle Enochrus melanocephalus, recently demoted from Nationally Scarce status (Foster, 2010), and the Smooth Ramshorn Gyraulis laevis ('local' status), the least common water snail recorded during the survey. Peltodytes caesus is confined to lowland rich fen pools and ditches in the English and Welsh Fens according to (Foster and Friday, 2011), Hydaticus seminiger is a species of well-vegetated permanent waters, often coastal but avoiding brackish conditions, Oodes helopioides is a fen and marginal wetland species, and the Variable Damselfly breeds in wellvegetated, at most slow-flowing ditches.

### 4.2.3 ISIS analysis of aquatic data

Whilst the overall CCI score indicated that the SSSI Area 2 aquatic ditch fauna is of 'Very high conservation value', in the results recorded for the ISIS analysis of the SSSI Area 2 aquatic-only samples no BAT or SAT surpassed the Favourable Condition threshold. The main BATs and SATs represented were similar, both in terms of assemblages identified and the number of species attributed to each, to those ascribed to the SSSI Triangle aquatic-only dataset (described above).

As with the SSSI Triangle, the 'W2 -Mineral marsh and open water' BAT was the standout assemblage in terms of species recruitment, and it was the only assemblage represented by a sufficient number of species for a rarity score to be calculated. The score for W2 was, however, higher for Area 2 than the Triangle, being fairly close to the Favourable Condition threshold. Again, the 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' assemblage was the second highest scoring BAT, although the number of species attributed to W3 for Area 2 was 15, just short of being considered significant.

A crawling water beetle Peltodytes caesus and a water scavenger beetle Enochrus melanocephalus were included in the W2 BAT, together with Variable Damselfly and the 'local' Waterboatman Notonecta maculata. As with the SSSI Triangle, the bulk of the uncommon species were attributed to the 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' BAT. However, too few species were recorded to register a rarity score, and the SAT, based on species abundance and habitat affiliation alone, was insufficiently well subscribed to illustrate the value of the species recorded.

Ornate Brigadier Odontomyia ornata, the water beetle Hydaticus seminiger, the ground beetle Oodes helopioides and the Green Colonel Oplodontha viridula were all attributed to W3, being split in terms of SAT allegiance between the 'W313 - Moss and tussock fen' and 'W314Reedfen and pools' assemblages.

The results suggest that in terms of ISIS assemblages, the SSSI Area 2 and Triangle ditches were broadly similar. However, as CCI attributes a single score to the whole sample, the greater number of rarities recorded from the ditch as a whole is reflected more strongly in CCl . The presence of an RDB2 species in a sample is usually sufficient for the site to be deemed as having a higher conservation value.

### 4.2.4 Terrestrial-only data (ISIS)

In 2014, two RDB3 Nationally Rare species and 16 Nationally Scarce species were recorded from the terrestrial-only data collected for SSSI Area 2.

Two of the four BATs represented within the dataset produced scores which exceeded the threshold or Favourable Condition status: the 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' and 'W2 - Mineral marsh and open water' assemblages. These two assemblages, together with the 'F2-grassland and scrub matrix', 'A1 - Arboreal canopy' and 'A2 - Wood decay' BATs were all significantly represented in the dataset. It should be noted that, unlike the SSSI Triangle, no moth traps were operated within Area 2; however, a greater number of samples were collected from SSSI Area 2 over three separate sampling events. Due to a change in survey priority, a third August site visit to the SSSI Triangle was not undertaken.

The BAT to which the largest number of species (56) were attributed, and which comprised the greatest number of rarities, 'F3 - Permanent wet mire' was represented by two RDB3 species as well as four species classed as Nationally Scarce. The RDB3 Nationally Rare species included the Levels Yellow-horned Hoverfly Hybomitra ciureai, a species associated predominately with coastal grazing marsh (Stubbs and Drake, 2001) and Passaloecus clypealis, a small solitary wasp which is a specialist of reedswamp habitat. The larvae of $P$. clypealis probably develop in hollow reed stems, but it has also been reared from galls of Lipara lucens on reed (Richards, 1980). P. clypealis was swept from within the Reedbed at sample site T28. It is uncertain whether this species has been previously recorded at Sizewell.

Two Nationally Scarce flies, including Lonchoptera scutellata and a snail-killing fly Pascadina verbekei are included within the W3 assemblage, as well as the ground beetle Agonum nigrum and the rove beetle Stenus palustris. The adults of Lonchoptera species usually occur in humid places, often near water, and the larvae develop in decaying plant material (Smith, 1969). P. verbekei occurs in a range of wetlands, including fens, wet heath, riversides and dune slacks. The lavvae are parasitoids of aquatic Lymnaeid snails. Of the Nationally Scarce beetles, Stenus
palustris is associated with high quality wetland sites, such as undisturbed fens, grazing marshes etc. (Lott and Anderson, 2011), whilst Agonum nigrum is typically a coastal species associated with vegetated marshes (Luff, 2007).

The 'W2 - Mineral marsh and open water' BAT, an assemblage often found in association with the W3 BAT, comprised 38 species, constituting a significant proportion of the dataset. Four Nationally Scarce species were included: the dolichopid fly Dolichopus notatus and three beetles, two Nationally Scarce species of rove beetle Stenus pusillus and Oxytelus fulvipes, and Notaris scirpi, a weevil also recorded from the SSSI Triangle. Dolichopid flies typically develop from carnivorous larvae living in rotting wood, mud, dung, sap-runs etc., whilst Oxytelus fulvipes occurs in undisturbed marsh with fluctuating water-levels, such as carr, shaded ditches etc. (Lott, 2009). Notaris scirpi is associated with Carex and Typha spp. in which the larvae develop.

As with the SSSI Triangle, the 'F2 - Grassland and scrub' matrix was well represented in the sample, comprising 55 species. However, again the assemblage comprised mainly common species, and the rarity score was below the Favourable Condition threshold. The Nationally Scarce soldier beetle Crudosilis ruficollis, which was abundant throughout the reedbeds of both the Triangle and Area 2, is included in W2. In addition, a Nationally Scarce spider Tetragnatha pinicola was recorded. The spider is less of a wetland associate than the similar species $T$. extensa, and adults and juveniles were abundant throughout much of the survey area.

The 'A1 - Arboreal canopy' and 'A2 - Wood decay' BATs were both well represented within the ISIS output for SSSI Area 2. The woodland/carr habitat which followed the southeast margin of Area 2, included some mature, predominately Alder and Grey Willow wet woodland, with some multistemmed trees, suggesting historic coppicing of Alder. Rot holes and other features of standing wood decay habitat were also evident and some mature trees were growing in relatively open situations.

Attributed to the A1 BAT were two Nationally Scarce weevils (also recorded from the SSSI Triangle) Polydrusus formosus and Curculio betulae, and from the A2 assemblage two Nationally Scarce species not recorded elsewhere on site were recorded. These were the false darkling beetle Conopalpus testaceus and a Limoniid cranefly Gnophomyia viridipennis. Conopalpus testaceus is a species of ancient woodland, wooded bogs, hedgerows and orchards. Larvae develop in rotting wood (Hyman and Parsons, 1992). Gnophomyia viridipennis is associated with poplars, particularly Black Poplar Populus nigra, and Aspen P. tremula, the larvae developing beneath the bark of recently felled, or dead trees (Hancock, 2008).

Wood decay species were also well represented within the SATs, with 16 species being attributed to the 'A212 - Bark and sapwood decay' assemblage. The national species pool for A212 (as defined in ISIS) is large, and a threshold of 19 is set for an A212 to be considered as nationally important. Both C. testaceus and G. viridipennis (mentioned above) were attributed to this group, together with several 'local' species, including the sailor beetles Malthodes mysticus/ guttifer (females not easily separated morphologically) and M. dispar, the Black-clouded Longhorn Leiopus nebulosus, ${ }^{5}$ an ant Temnothorax nylanderi, and a hoverfly Chalcosyrphus nemorum.

Other well represented SATs included the 'W314 - Reedfen and pools’ assemblage which, whilst not including sufficient species to meet the threshold for national importance, was represented by such afforementioned rarities as the RDB3 Passaloecus clypealis and Hybomitra ciureai and the Nationally Scarce Agonum nigrum and Lonchoptera scutellata.

[^89]The Favourable Condition status afforded to the W3 and W2 assemblages underlines the significance of these two broad assemblages within the terrestrial-only ISIS output for the SSSI Area 2 site. In addition, the W314 community included both RDB and Nationally Scarce species. Of the other BATs and SATs, the number and conservation value of species attributed to the 'A212 - Bark and sapwood decay' SAT, suggests that this community may also be important on site. Given that the amount of direct searching of wood decay habitats was limited, and most wood decay species were obtained from beating, sweeping and vacuum sampling rather than from targeted searches, this is likely to be an under-estimation of the importance of this assemblage.

### 4.2.5 Combined aquatic and terrestrial data (ISIS)

Combining the aquatic and terrestrial survey data for the SSSI Area 2 increased the overall number of species affiliated to each BAT, but overall there was little change to the rarity scores. As with the SSSI Triangle, the most species-rich assemblage identified was the 'W2 - mineral marsh and open water' BAT, with 86 species being attributed to this group. However, whilst the rarity score for terrestrial data only (discussed above) exceeded the Favourable Condition threshold for the W2 community, the rarity score fell marginally below the Favourable Condition threshold when samples were combined. This indicates that the rarity score was depressed or diluted by the larger number of lower-scoring species within the aquatic samples. However, the W2 assemblage would still be considered to be of some importance within the context of the site.

Whilst the 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' BAT was not as well represented within the ISIS output from combined sample data, the sample was nonetheless large, with 67 species attributed, and the recorded score of 204 easily exceeded the threshold of 180 set for Favourable Condition status. This identifies SSSI Area 2 as being of national conservation value for its W3 assemblage. However, species attributed to SATs were distributed between a large number of assemblages (14 in total). Five of these, W211, W221, W313, W125 (in order of representation) are closely allied, representing specialists of a range of habitat nuances. Whilst none exceeded the threshold for Favourable Condition when the SSSI dataset was analysed as a whole, the top three ('W211-Open water on disturbed mineral sediments', 'W221 - Litter-rich fluctuating marsh' and 'W314 - Reedfen and pools') were all very close to the Favourable Conditions threshold.

The results achieved by the 'A1 - Arboreal canopy' and 'A2 - Wood decay' assemblages were virtually unchanged within the combined SSSI Area 2 dataset compared with the terrestrial-only output. The A2 BAT, and associated 'A212 - bark and sapwood decay' SAT, can be considered as significant assemblages within SSSI Area 2.

### 4.3 SSSI Fen Meadow (Compartment 3)

The SSSI Fen Meadow is one of a series of botanically-rich meadows occupying the area to the south of SSSI Area 2 and west of the existing Sizewell B Station (see Figure 1). Collectively, the meadows occupy an extensive area, and in terms of macrophyte diversity the ditches surrounding the meadows were of high quality. During the June survey, the protected Norfolk Hawker was recorded flying over ditches to the south of the survey area. The ditches supported aquatic species such as a bladderwort Utricularia sp., not seen elsewhere in the ditches surveyed, as well as Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae, which was well-distributed throughout.

There was some subtle variation in microtopography across the Fen Meadow survey site, and the meadow network as a whole, and the water level was above the soil surface in some areas. The ditches from which samples were taken in the Fen Meadow were botanically-rich, but were
partially shaded by adjacent wooded vegetation. No samples were taken from the open ditches which traversed fields further to the south.

### 4.3.1 Water quality

Like both the SSSI Triangle and SSSI Area 2, BMWP and ASPT analysis of the Fen Meadow ditches indicated overall 'Moderate Water Quality'. However, the samples collected during June indicated water quality was better than in either of the afforementioned sites, although the August samples produced lower scores. It is possible that lower scoring samples were influenced by changes in taxa due to seasonality, rather than an actual decline in water quality.

### 4.3.2 Uncommon species and CCI analysis

The high CCI score recorded for sample A31 (>20), indicating 'a site of very high conservation value', was evidently inflated due to the presence of the Nationally Rare Great Silver Water Beetle Hydrophilus piceus. Collectively, the water beetle fauna recorded from the combined Fen Meadow ditch samples was amongst the most diverse recorded for any of the sample sites, despite the fact that a lower number of samples were collected for the SSSI Fen Meadow. However, other than H. piceus the species were mostly relatively common and widespread. Other species of note recorded from the SSSI Fen Meadow ditches included Hesperocorixa linnaei, a patchily distributed species of lesser waterboatman (Corixidae), Lister's River Snail Vivipara contectus, a species of 'local' status, and larvae of the Common Green Colonel Oplodontha viridula, a species associated with a range of wetland habitats.

### 4.3.3 ISIS analysis - aquatic-only data

The 'W2 - Mineral marsh and open water' BAT accounted for the vast majority of the species data recorded from the SSSI Fen Meadow ditch samples, accounting for 66 percent of the data. The assemblage, however, fell far short of attaining a high rarity score in ISIS. Although the sample was characterised by a higher than average diversity of common water snails, water beetles, water bugs and damselflies, the only rarity recorded in any of the aquatic Fen Meadow samples, the Great Silver Water Beetle H. piceus, was attributed to a different BAT, the 'W3 Permanent wet mire' assemblage, which was represented by too few species in ISIS to be attributed with a rarity score.
H. piceus is associated with botanically-diverse ditches in extensive fenland and coastal grazing marshes, with aquatic macrophytes such as Frogbit often forming part of the macrophyte community. The beetle particularly favours sites rich in ramshorn snails (Planorbidae). Interestingly, in ISIS the majority of the ramshorn species are attributed to the W2 rather than W3 assemblage. In terms of the Fen Meadow dataset, the Flat Ramshorn Hippeutis complanatus and the Ramshorn Planorbis planorbis are the exceptions, being attributed to W3 alongside H. piceus.

As the samples recorded from the Fen Meadow ditches lacked specialist species in any number, SATs were very poorly represented. H. piceus and the Green Colonel (soldierfly) were both ascribed to the 'W314-Reedfen and pools' assemblage, the best represented SAT (in terms of rarities) within the whole Sizewell SSSI dataset, but represented by only these two species in the output for the aquatic-only dataset for the Fen Meadow.

As with some of the other sample area datasets analysed, the splitting of assemblages within ISIS creates a more precise understanding of the habitat affinities of the species recorded. However, the CCl analysis score is based on all species present, and extra weighting is placed on a sample supporting one or more RDB species. The overall score of Very High Conservation Value for the Fen Meadow site thus relates to the presence of Great Silver Water Beetle, combined with a fairly high diversity of species from a wide range of taxa.

### 4.3.4 ISIS analysis - terrestrial-only data

Whilst several species regarded as 'local' in the UK were recorded from the terrestrial-only samples collected from the SSSI Fen Meadow, no species currently listed as RDB or Nationally Scarce in the UK were identified. All species recorded were collected from four sweep net samples combined; therefore, the dataset was comoprised of the minimum number of samples required for which ISIS analysis can be conducted. No vacuum sampling, beating or water trap sampling was undertaken. Whilst it does qualify for ISIS analysis, the sample data cannot therefore be directly compared with sites receiving greater sampling effort.

In terms of ISIS output, the data were mainly divided between two significantly scoring BATs (the 'F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix' and 'W3 - Permanent wet mire’ assemblages), with a third (W2) falling below the assemblage threshold of 15. Both of the two higher scoring assemblages achieved rarity scores approaching the threshold scores set in ISIS. Only one SAT ('W314 - Reedfen and pools), was represented at all, with only two species (the Green Colonel and Paederis riparius, one of several similar rove beetles known in the UK), were attributed to the SAT.

Species represented in the sample included mainly true flies (Diptera), beetles (Coleoptera), and bugs (Hemiptera; mainly including leafhoppers and froghoppers (Auchenorrhyncha) and true bugs (Heteroptera)), with smaller groups, including dragonflies and damselflies (Odonata) and grasshoppers and crickets (Orthoptera), being well represented in relation to their respective UK faunas. Two bush-crickets attributed to the 'F2 - grassland and scrub matrix' community, the Long-winged Conehead Conocephalus discolor and Roesel's Bush-cricket Metrioptera roeselii, were formerly classed as RDB species in the UK, but have undergone considerable range expansions during the 1980s and subsequent decades. Both species are now widespread and common over much of southern England.

Another bush-cricket recorded in the Fen Meadow grassland was the Short-winged Conehead Conocephalus dorsalis. This species, historically the more common conehead, is now arguably the more restricted and local of the two species. Despite its classification within F2, the insect is almost always recorded from wetland habitats, often associated with the two afforementioned species as well as the Lesser-marsh Grasshopper Chorthippus albomarginatus, also recorded in the Fen Meadow. C.albomarginatus, another species long regarded as being of local distribution and of restricted habitat, has increased its UK range significantly in recent decades, and whilst it is predominantly found in wetlands, it may be found in drier habitats too.

Other more locally-distributed species recorded that were attributed to the 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' assemblage included the Fen Snout Nemotelus pantherinus (a rather local fenland soldierfly), two local hoverflies Neoascia tenur and Platycheirus occultus, a snail-killing fly Sepedon sphegea and an apionid weevil Cyanapion spencii (associated with wet grassland and fen where it feeds on Tufted Vetch Vicia cracca and similar species).

Several common dragonflies and damselflies were also recorded within the Fen Meadow. Black-tailed Skimmer Orthetrum cancellatum, arguably the most locally distributed of these, was attributed to the 'W2 - Mineral marsh and open water' assemblage.

Whilst the assemblages recorded within the Fen Meadow failed to achieve particularly high conservation scores, and no particular rarities were recorded, the BATs F2 and W3 were well represented, and together with W2 showed consistency with the SSSI Triangle and SSSI Area 2 data, in which these three assemblages were also well represented.

Arguably, more detailed and focused sampling within the Fen Meadow would have revealed a greater range of species, and greater resolution within ISIS would have been gained.

### 4.3.5 Combined aquatic and terrestrial data (ISIS)

Combining the aquatic and terrestrial data from the Fen Meadow generally reflected the findings of the two datasets. The three BATs (W2, W3 and F2) were significant and well represented within the data, but none exceeded the threshold for Favourable condition, despite the presence of RDB3 Greater Silver Water Beetle Hydrophilus piceus within the aquatic samples. Overall, a reasonable diversity of aquatic beetles and other taxa representative of fen and other wetland of conservation value was recorded.

### 4.4 C-Platform Woodland (Compartment 4)

The C-Platform Woodland is located along the western edge of the Sizewell C platform (see Figure 1). Only terrestrial invertebrate sampling was carried out in this compartment.

Sufficient sampling was undertaken during the August survey to represent the habitat layers present, and some direct searching of wood decay habitat was also carried out. In addition, moth trapping was also undertaken, although this was on a single night only and using only a single trap, and it is likely that the trapping would have drawn moths in from the neighbouring SSSI Fen Meadow habitat.

Unsurprsingly, given that the habitat was woodland, the field layer was subject to shading; however, there were some small gaps in the canopy, enabling patches of sunlight to penetrate to the field and ground layers. Few of the trees comprising the woodland were mature; however, some fallen and standing dead wood habitat was present. The western margin of the woodland graded into Alder and Grey Willow carr, with a fairly broad strip of heavily-silted habitat, interspersed with remnant open water ditches. The silty substrate was sparsely vegetated with Pendulous Sedge Carex pendula, False-Fox Sedge Carex otrubae and Yellow Flag Iris Iris pseudacorus, with Common Reed Phragmites australis and Reed Canary Grass Phalaris arundinacea represented in patchy stands.

### 4.4.1 ISIS analysis

The key BATs recorded in the woodland included (in order of representation) the 'F2Grassland and scrub matrix', 'A1 - Arboreal canopy' and 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' assemblages. Of these, only the W3 assembalge attained a sufficiently high rarity score for Favourable Condition Status to be achieved, although only one rarity was attributed to it, the White-mantled Wainscot Archanara neurica. This species is classed as RDB3 as well as being included under Section 41 of the NERC Act (2006) as a 'Species of Principal Importance' for biodiversity. The other species attributed to the W3 assemblage included several local species, such as Fen Wainscot Arenostola phragmitidis, a leafhopper Stroggylocephalus agrestis, two dolichopterid flies Dolichopus latelimbatus and Teucophorus spinigerellus, and the ground beetles Trichocellus placidus and Carabus granulatus.

The larvae of the White-mantled Wainscot and Fen Wainscot both develop in the stems of Common Reed. White-mantled Wainscot shows a particular preference for unmanaged stands, where old, dead reed stems exist; thus, stands managed by regular cutting or burning are thought not to be suitable. It is very locally distributed in the UK, being confined to coastal reedbeds between Thorpeness and Southwold. This species was also recorded during the Amec surveys (Amec, 2007-10).

Many of the moths recorded within this woodland habitat are likely to have been attracted from the nearby Fen Meadow, and possibly the reed swamp within SSSI Area 2, although some
stands of Common Reed were present along the ditches and as scattered stands within the carr habitat between the C-Platform Woodland and the Fen Meadow.

The only other uncommon species recorded from the C-Platform Woodland was the Nationally Scarce broad-nosed weevil Polydrusus formosus. This species, which has been recorded more frequently in recent years in the UK, has historically been associated with ancient broadleaved woodland. It was recorded from several areas during the survey, and was attributed to the 'A1 Arboreal canopy' assemblage, which otherwise comprised of mainly common species. A pot beetle Cryptocephalus pusillus and the harvestman Dicranopalpus ramosus were also attributed to A1; whilst this BAT was well represented in terms of species, it comprised of mainly common woodland invertebrates.

None of the SATs recorded for the C-Platform Woodland were represented by more than one or two species. Whilst Favourable Condition status was attributed to the 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' assemblage, this was largely influenced by the presence of White-mantled Wainscot in the analysed data. Due to the ability of moth traps to attract moths from some distance in the adjacent landscape, the precise origin of this important moth is uncertain; however, given its habitat preferences, it is likely that it originated from either the SSSI Area 2 reed bed or the ditch edge habitat within the Fen Meadow, if not from the immediately adjacent swamp and carr habitat.

The 'F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix' assemblage was the other species-rich assemblage recorded within the C-Platform Woodland (presumably where the canopy was more open); however, the rarity score was low and the sample mainly comprised common generalist grassland species. Whilst not being within the designated boundary of the SSSI, the C-Platform Woodland can be seen as part of the wider Sizewell Marshes habitat matrix. The habitat is contiguous with the Fen Meadow and SSSI Area 2, and provides additional wet woodland and swamp habitat.

On its own merits, however, the quality of wet woodland at the C-Platform Woodland site, in terms of nature conservation value, was below that of the old woodland stands within the SSSI Area 2 or the SSSI Triangle.

### 4.5 Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths \& Marshes SSSI/ SAC (Ditch and Scrape) (Compartment 6a)

Leiston ditch (see A7 and A17 on Figure 8) forms the western, inland boundary of the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SAC. The scrape sampled for this study (see target note 2 on Figure 4, and A8 on Figure 8) lies outside of the SAC boundary, but is within the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SSSI. The proximity of the two features (the ditch and the scrape), and general similarity in terms of vegetation and adjacent habitat, led to the two being considered together for the purpose of this report. In addition, whilst these waterbodies pass through more open grazing marsh habitat than the SSSI Triangle and Area 2, the ditch is hydrologically linked to the SSSI Triangle, so collectively the ditches surveyed are considered to be part of the same hydrological and ecological unit.

### 4.5.1 Water quality

BMWP and ASPT scores indicated moderate water quality within the SAC ditch (Leiston Ditch) and the SSSI scrape, the scores suggesting, on the basis of macroinvertebrates only (no water chemistry samples were taken), that there was little difference in water quality between all sampled waterbodies. The SAC ditch (see target note 1 on Figure 4) is hydrologically linked to and downstream of both northern and southern boundary ditches of the SSSI Triangle;
therefore any nutrient enrichment within the Triangle would be expected to also prevail within the SAC ditch. The more open conditions within the SAC ditch and adjacent scrape would be expected to provide more favourable conditions, and therefore provide a more diverse fauna than in the more shaded areas, although certain species are known to favour sites of partial shade, for example diving beetles such as Hydaticus seminiger and Rhantus grapii.

### 4.5.2 Uncommon species and CCI analysis

As individual units, the very high CCI score recorded for the single 'Scrape' sample (see target note 2 on Figure 4) was the second highest scored for an individual sample during the 2014 survey, and the diversity of CCl -scoring species was greater than for any of the other sample sites. This resulted in the scrape being classified within the 'Very high conservation value' category based on CCI interpretation recommendations. The combined SAC Ditch sample CCI was was lower, being afforded 'Fairly high conservation status'. The results are based on far fewer samples than collected for either the SSSI Triangle or SSSI Area 2. As discussed previously, the CCl scores are based on the rarity value for a whole assemblage, whereas the ISIS analysis splits the data into categories according to more precise habitat affinity.

### 4.5.3 ISIS analysis

Whereas CCI analysis can be undertaken using a single sample (providing a sufficient number of species are present in the sample), a minimum of four samples are usually required for ISIS analysis. The data from the three samples can be seen as of value within the context of analysis of combined ditch and waterbody data from all sample sites, but interpretation of the three samples described here must be considered tentative.

In total, one RDB3 species, the Great Silver Water Beetle Hydrophilus piceus, and three Nationally Scarce species (the hydrochid beetle Hydrochus angustatus, the weevil Pelenomus canaliculatus and a soldierfly the Black Colonel Odontomyia tigrina) were recorded. The first three were recorded from the Scrape, the Black Colonel from the ditch only.

The BATs and SATs recorded were consistent with those recorded throughout the survey area. The 'W2 - Mineral marsh and open water' BAT was by far the most well-represented assemblage in terms of number of species. However, the rarities mentioned above were, without exception, affiliated to the 'W3 - permanent wet mire' assemblage; these species were also attributed as specialists of the 'W314-reedfen and pools' SAT.

As W3 comprised of only 11 species in total, no rarity score was attributed to the assemblage. The rarity score for the W2 assemblage was fairly low, most of the uncommon species from the samples being attributed to W3. However, the 'W211 - Open water on disturbed sediments’ SAT was close to the Favourable Condition threshold set within ISIS.

The SATs and BATs represented were consistent with those recorded throughout the survey. Any comparison of conservation value, other than in terms of the ASPT and CCI analysis, is not appropriate due to the small sample size. However, the presence of Greater Silver Water Beetle in the scrape sample can certainly be considered as significant, as this species was also recorded in one of the Fen Meadow samples. H. piceus generally occurs in low density and is thought to require large ditch/pond networks. The recording of the species in two of 32 samples suggests the site as a whole may support a strong population of this species.

Both Hydrochus angustatus and Pelenomus canaliculatus were recorded from only the Scrape during the survey. $H$. angustatus is known to occur in a range of different still water habitats with exposed mud or peat, and is also known from vegetated river margins. The weevil $P$. canaliculatus is also found in a range of habitats, including bogs, marshes and fens, and at the margins of ponds, lakes, turloughs and other waterbodies, a key requirement for this species
being the presence of milfoils Myriophyllum spp. It is likely, therefore, that these species occur more widely within the survey area as a whole, there presence not being an indication of a particular affinity for ponds or scrapes.

The habitat within Leiston Ditch at this location was comparable to that recorded for the more open sites within the SSSI Triangle, Area 2 and Fen Meadow, both in terms of vegetation composition and structure. The ditch was of a similar width, depth and successional stage to many of the other sample sites, the main difference being the openness. Openness of habitat is one attribute which may also make the ditch more desirable as a breeding habitat for Norfolk Hawker Aeshna isosceles; however, this species also requires trees and scrub within close proximity to meadow land (The British Dragonfly Society, 2015).

The degree of brackish influence within the Scrape and SAC ditch, or within the study area as a whole, has not been considered here. Although a number of species with coastal affinities have been recorded, several of the aquatic species are said to avoid brackish waters despite being associated with coastal areas. However, one species which has occurred in samples, a local saldid bug which is more typically recorded from saltmarsh, was recorded from the scrape, although this particular species, Saldula pilosella, is also known from non-saltmarsh sites - such as freshwater marshes, especially in parts of the Norfolk Broads (Southwood and Leston, 1959).

### 4.6 Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SAC and SSSI (dune habitats)(Compartment 6)

The terrestrial samples collected from the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SAC and SSSI (see T14 to T20 on Figure 8) are considered to be ecologically distinct from the habitat from which the aquatic samples discussed above were taken. Therefore, no attempt has been made to amalgamate or compare the ISIS results from these habitats collectively. It was, however, considered of value for the coastal dune, dune grassland and heathland habitats (see target notes 4-6 on Figure 4) to be considered as a unit, and a combined analysis was undertaken in addition to the habitat-specific analyses.

The habitat surveyed comprised primarily of the more inland component of the upper dune. The sand cliffs adjacent to the shingle upper shore received some direct searching, primarily as spider-hunting wasps (Pompilidae) and other solitary aculeate Hymenoptera were in evidence. However the sweep, vacuum and beating sampling focused mainly on the sandy heath, exposed sand and grassland habitat which abruptly gave way to a strip of broadleaved, (predominantly birch) scrub/woodland inland.

The wooded edge was scalloped, providing sheltered sunny bays supporting dry heath ericoids, such as Common Heather Calluna vulgaris and Erica cinerea, with Common Gorse Ulex europaeus. These areas fromed a mosaic with patches of bare sand and short, rabbit-grazed grassland comprising Red/Sheep's Fescue Festuca rubra/ovina, Sweet Vernal Grass Anthoxanthum odoratum, Wavy Hair-grass Deschampsia flexuosa, and flowering composites such as Common Cat's-ear Hypocharis radicata and Mouse-eared Hawkweed Pilosella officinarum. Areas of scrub, including Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur, Silver Birch Betula pendula and mature Ulex europaeus, formed aggregations within the upper dunes. In addition, lichens, mainly Cladonia portentosa/ciliata type, formed patches in places, and Sand Sedge Carex arenaria, and to a lesser extent Marram Grass Ammophila arenaria, persisted from the dunes inland to the heathland area. Rest Harrow Ononis repens also formed extensive patches in places.

The varied microtopography provided niches for thermophilic (heat-loving) invertebrates, while the litter layer beneath the scrub, and at the interface with the wooded strip, provided additional micro-habitats.

### 4.6.1 ISIS analysis - terrestrial data

The dataset mainly comprised species characteristic of grassland, scrub and early successional mosaic habitats. The best represented orders included bugs (Hemiptera), beetles (Coleoptera) and spiders (Aranae). In proportion to the number of known UK species, Orthoptera (grasshoppers and crickets) were particularly well represented, with 11 species. Species recorded included both generalist grassland and scrub invertebrates and specialists of dry coastal biotypes. Some of the less common species were examples of species found mostly in coastal dune and grassland habitats, such as the Marram Chelifer Dactylochelifer latreille (a species of pseudoscorpion confined in the UK to the coasts of eastern England), a beefly (Bombilidae), the Dune Villa moth Villa modesta and Chorosoma schillingi an elongate species of mirid bug.

Neither Marram Chelifer or Lesser Cockroach Ectobius panzeri, a species typical of arid habitats including dry heathland, are attributed to a either a BAT or SAT in ISIS. E. panzer is one of several species recorded in previous Amec reports as being Nationally Scarce which have since been downgraded due to an increase in recording. It was abundant throughout the drier coastal habitats surveyed, and also occurred sporadically within the drier parts of fenland areas, such as the SSSI Triangle.

Three species currently classed as Nationally Scarce were recorded in the SAC dune/heathland habitat. These included a jewel beetle Aphanisticus pusillus, a wolf spider Hygrolosa rubrofasciata and a broad-nosed weevil Polydrusus formosus. The latter two species were recorded from the woodland edge habitat and are affiliated to the 'A1 - Arboreal canopy' BAT, whilst the former is included within the ' $F 112$ - Open short sward' SAT.
A. pusillus is a species typically found in dry habitats, including heathland and downland; however the beetle also has an affinity with rushes and sedges in wetland habitats, where the larvae is thought to develop in the stems. Hygrolosa rubrofsciata, a species of damp fens and woodlands, is described by Roberts (1995) as 'very uncommon and locally distributed. Polydrusus formosus, described in previous sections, was recorded in nearly all the sample sites supporting woodland.

Two NERC (2006) Section 41 'Species of Principal Importance', the butterflies Grayling Hipparchia semele and Small Heath Coenonympha pamphilus, were also recorded. The rather local Grayling is a species of dry sunny sheltered habitats such as heathland and calcareous grassland, whereas Small Heath is something of a generalist. Grayling was abundant throughout the upper dune heath and grassland habitats.

The majority of species recorded for the site were spilt between two main BATs, the 'F2 Grassland and scrub mosaic' assemblage (with 52 species attributed) and the closely allied ' $F 1$ - Unshaded early successional mosaic, assemblage ( 29 species). The only other assemblage sufficiently well represented to be credited with a rarity score was the 'A1 - Arboreal canopy' assemblage, with 17 recorded species. These classifications represent a reasonable reflection of sample effort.

Whilst none of the BATs exceeded the Favourable Condition threshold, the F2 and F1 assemblages both achieved reasonably high scores. The F2 assemblage lacked RDB species or current Nationally Scarce species but supported a good range of species typically found in coastal dry grassland habitats and similar habitats inland.

Two 'local' orb-web spiders Agalenatea redii and Neoscona adianta were included within the F2 assemblage. Both species are described in Roberts (1995) as being found on heather, gorse and other low vegetation. Two locally distributed tephritid flies of dry grasslands, Tephritis leontodonis and T. vespertina, were also attributed to F2. The larvae of these species develop in galls within yellow composites. T. leontodonis is associated with Hawksbits Leontodon spp. whilst $T$. vespertina is mainly associated with Common Cat's-ear Hypocharis radicata.

Beetles attributed to F2 included an apionid weevil Apion rubens; this is a local species in the UK, and has similar habitat preferences to the rarer A. rubiginosum recorded previously on site. Both species are associated with Sheep's Sorrel Rumex acetosella, which was abundant within the dry grasslands of both the SAC and the Coastal Strip. One of several species of bushcricket recorded within the SAC, and attributed to the F2 assemblage, was the Great Green Bush-cricket Tettigonia viridissima, mainly a coastal species in the UK.

Whilst none of the SATs recorded exceeded their respective Favourable Condition thresholds, the 'F112 - open short sward' assemblage was reasonably well represented, with 10 species being attributed. Species of note included within the F112 assemblage included the Nationally Scarce jewel beetle Aphanisticus pusillus and the Stripe-winged Grasshopper Stenobothrus lineatus. Stripe-winged Grasshopper has a strong affinity with dry, calcareous grassland, but is also sometimes found in acid grassland and heathland sites.

Local species attributed to both the 'F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic' assemblage and the 'F111 - Bare sand and chalk' SAT included: Chorosoma schillingi and Villa modesta, both coastal specialists (mentioned above); Notoxus monocerus, an anthicid beetle typically found in dry heathland and coastal habitat, and characterised by a pronounced thoracic horn; and Fanbristled Robberfly Dysimachus trigonus, a species mainly found in coastal dunes and dry heathland. This insect predates large flies of the genera Thereva, Pollenia and Philonichus (Stubbs and Drake, 2001).

Whilst the sampling of the SAC habitat covered all main habitat layers and nuances of the upper dune and scrub habitat, few rarities wee recorded. However, a number of local species representative of the habitats and sub-habitats were recorded, and relatively few generalists were included within the dataset. Sampling can also be regarded as a snapshot, and certain important groups will have been missed due to this. Aculeate Hymenoptera were particularly poorly represented; this group, which includes many sand-nesting species typically found in dry heathland paths, dunes etc, was almost absent from the samples.

### 4.7 Coastal Strip (CWS) (Compartment 5)

The Coastal Strip grassland habitat (see Compartment 5, Figure 1) is not subject to a formal, statutory designation, but is listed as a non-statutory County Wildlife Site (Suffolk Shingle Beaches CWS). The main area surveyed included a broad strip of dry grassland and short herb vegetation over a substrate of sand with occassional shingle exposures (see target notes 1 and 2 on Figure 5). Patches of exposed sand were frequent between the vegetated areas, and some small patches of scrub, mainly Common Gorse Ulex europaeus, were present. The area was generally flat and sheltered to the seaward side by a narrow section of dune, and supported a range of herbs and grasses typical of arid and coastal conditions. Although timed sweep and vacuum sampling were conducted exclusively within the grassland area, some direct searching was also undertaken in the adjacent dune.

The minimum of four samples were taken per substrate (see Figure 9), with four vacuum samples and four sweep samples being taken. Direct searching was undertaken during the initial June visit, and timed sweep and vacuum sampling in July.

### 4.7.1 ISIS analysis

Beetles (Coleoptera) and bugs (Hemiptera) were the most abundant groups in the samples, with two-winged flies (Diptera), butterflies and moths (Lepidoptera), and bees, ants and wasps (aculeate Hymenoptera) also recorded in some numbers. Of the smaller groups, grasshoppers and crickets were well represented in proportion to the total UK fauna, again with 11 species being attributed to this order.

As with the SAC coastal habitats, the two best represented BATs were the 'F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix' BAT (with 64 attributed species) and the 'F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic' BAT (with 52 species). Although the F2 assemblage comprised the greatest number of species, none of the SATs represented within the output were nested within F2, and the rarity score fell short of the favourable condition threshold.

Two Nationally Scarce species were, nonetheless, attributed to the F2 broad assemblage, these being Dicraeus raptus, a chloropid fly mainly associated with woodland rides on calcareous soils (the larvae develop in the seeds of Hairy Brome Bromus ramosus), and an apionid weevil Protapion difforme. Hyman and Parsons (1992) describe the habitat preferences of $P$. difforme as being 'damp grassland, wetland, disturbed ground, hedgebanks and along ditches'. Its foodplant is uncertain, but it has been associated with knotgrass Polgonum spp. and clovers Trifolium spp.

In contrast, whilst the F1 BAT was represented by fewer species than F2, the assemblage achieved a rarity score well above the Favourable Condition threshold set in ISIS. Arguably, the rarest recorded species from the survey was attributed to the F1 BAT. The spider-hunting wasp Evagetes pectinipes is classed as RDB1 'Endangered' and is otherwise only known on mainland UK from the Deal and Sandwich sand dunes in Kent. The female specimen was identified using Day (1988) and was subsequently sent to A. Jukes for a second opinion. Whilst males are difficult to distinguish from other Evagetes species, females are characterised by four elongate tarsal comb segments, which are much longer than the second tarsal segment.

Unlike the majority of specimens, E.pectinipes was recorded from the cliffed interface between the seaward edge of the dune system and the shingle upper shore (target note 3 on Figure 5). Another species of spider-hunting wasp, Episyron rufipes, was also recorded at this location. E.pectinipes is thought to be a cleptoparasite of this species. The presence of this species must be seen as significant, and may represent a range expansion. Other formerly very rare and restricted aculeates, such as the Beewolf Philanthus triangulus (recorded during the Amec surveys) are well known to have undergone significant range increases in recent decades.

In addition to E. rufipes, five species currently classed as Nationally Scarce were attributed to the F1 assemblage. These included the Grey Bush-cricket Platycleis albopunctata, the Heath Shieldbug Legnotus picipes, a jewel beetle Aphanisticus pusillus (discussed in SAC section above), a darkling beetle Crypticus quisquilius, and an apionid weevil Protapion dissimile.

Grey Bush-cricket is restricted almost exclusively to coastal habitats in the southern UK, where it is often associated with patches of Restharrow Ononis repens. It was very abundant in some areas of the Coastal Strip.

The Heath Shieldbug is a specialist of dry sandy habitats, such as coastal dunes and dry heathland (such as the Brecks), where it is associated with bedstraws Galium spp. The abundance of Lady's Bedstraw Galium verum within the Coastal Strip grassland was therefore probably important for this species.

Crypticus quisquilius was recorded in slightly atypical fenland habitat during the Amec surveys; however, it is mostly associated with 'sandy areas on the coast' Brendell (1975). Protapion dissimile is known to occur in dry, sandy places, where its only known hostplant is Hare's-foot

Clover Trifolium arvense (Morris, 1990). T. arvense is itself a local species, confined to sandy grassland sites including coastal habitats; this plant was very abundant within the Coastal Strip grassland.

Whilst Evagetes pectinipes, Platycleis albopunctata, Legnotus picipes and Protapion dissimile, as well as several other relatively uncommon sandy grassland and dune specialists (including a coreid bug Alydus calcaratus, a broad-nosed weevil Trachyphloeus angustisetulus and the NERC S41 listed Grayling) were all attributed to the 'F111 - Bare sand and chalk' SAT, the assemblage was insufficiently well represented to achieve Favourable Condition status. However, the 'F112 - open short sward' SAT did exceed the Favourable Condition threshold of 12 species, with 16 species attributed to this assemblage.

Species attributed to F112 did not include as many rarities as were attributed to F111; however, besides the one Nationally Scarce species attributed to this SAT, the jewel beetle Aphanisticus pusillus and a range of local species representative of coastal grassland were recorded. Examples included: the mirid bugs Dicyphus annulatus, Othocephalus saltator and Polymeris unifasciatus; a berytid bug Gampsocoris punctipes; and a darkling beetle Cteniopus sulphureus. The NERC S41-listed Small Heath was also attributed to F111.

It is worth noting that a number of the dry grassland associates recorded have a documented association with Restharrow Ononis repens in sandy conditions.

Besides the species discussed above in association with ISIS assemblages, several uncommon species were recorded that are not attributed to any BAT or SAT, but have a strong association with arid coastal habitats. These included: the Marram Chelifer Dactylochelifer latreillei (the pseudoscorpion also recorded within the SAC); Lesser Cockroach Ectobius panzeri (also recorded from the SAC and SSSI); Adonis Ladybird Hippodamia variegate; and a Nationally Scarce weevil Orthochaetes setiger.

According to Hyman and Parsons (1992), O. setiger is associated with sand dunes, sand quarries, calcareous grassland and coastal shingle arid grasslands. Another species recorded was the Cinnabar Moth Tyria jacobaeae. This species is typical of early successional habitats, where the larvae feed on various ragworts Senecio spp.. Whilst it is common and widespread in the UK, it is included as a NERC S41 'Species of Prncipal Importance' for research only.

For a site receiving no statutory protection, the Coastal Strip grassland and associated dune habitat was found to support an outstanding invertebrate fauna. The sampling was undertaken during ideal weather; however, whilst a preliminary visit was undertaken in June, when some direct searching was undertaken, the sampling itself was confined to a single sampling event in July. The Favourable Condition status achieved for the F1 BAT and F112 SAT indicates that the invertebrate assemblages recorded are of National Importance, and are of a quality sufficient for them to be considered as a primary feature for SSSI designation. The presence of an RDB1 species adds additonal weight to this argument.

The grassland was itself restoration habitat, created following earlier phases of the Sizewell development, and several of the species recorded are by their nature colonisers of habitats in the early stages of succession. Sandy, free-draining conditions, with structural diversity provided by the various herbs and grasses, as well as occasional aggregations of shingle within the grassland, provide important habitat. This is because they are both botanically diverse and the thermal properties of the sand elevate ground temperatures, enabling some species at the northern edge of their geographical range to persist

## 5 Discussion of ISIS assemblages recorded <br> 5.1 BATs - all sample sites combined

A breakdown of the number of species attributed to each Broad Assemblage Type (BAT) identified in the 2014 study, based on the combined aquatic and terrestrial invertebrate survey data, is presented in Text figure 1.


Graph 1 - species representation of all BATs represented by 16 or more species from total 2014 invertebrate sample data

It can be seen that the greatest number of species were recorded from the 'F2-grassland and scrub matrix', this assemblage being represented within the terrestrial datasets for all sample sites. In total, 175 species were recorded for the F2 BAT, with two wetland-related BATs also being well-represented: the 'W2 - mineral marsh and open water' BAT (123 species) and the 'W3 - permanent wet mire' BAT (107 species) respectively.

Whilst the F2 assemblage was well represented, the population attributed to this BAT was made up of a high proportion of widespread generalist grassland and scrub species, and relatively few rarities were attributed. According to Lott et al., (2007), F2 'can form mixed assemblages with a wide range of other types, including 'Shaded field and ground layer' and 'Permanent wet mire' assemblages. It can also co-exist with 'Unshaded early successional mosaic' assemblages in a habitat mosaic, but when grazing and other factors sustaining early successional vegetation disappear, this assemblage becomes dominant, at the expense of the early successional invertebrate assemblages'.

In terms of rarity value, the two highest-scoring assemblages from the dataset as a whole were the 'W3 - permanent wet mire' BAT and the 'F1 - unshaded early successional mosaic' assemblage. The W3 assemblage was well represented alongside the 'W2 - mineral marsh and open water' and 'F2 - grassland and scrub matrix' BATs recorded throughout the SSSI and SAC wetland datasets, whilst F1 was important alongside F2 in the more arid sandy grassland and upper dune habitats of the Coastal Strip and SAC.

Of the remaining BATs, the 'A1 - Arboreal canopy' community also contributed meaningfully to the overall fauna (it was represented by 85 species), and whilst the 'A2 - wood decay' BAT was
distributed relatively diffusely through the dataset, the nested SATs for this assemblage can be seen as significant on a whole-site scale.

### 5.2 SATs - all sample sites combined

Unlike BATs, SATs comprise of species with more exacting habitat requirements, and are therefore usually composed of a higher proportion of uncommon species than the parent BATs.

Thresholds for Favourable Condition vary considerably between SATs. Thus, for example, for the 'A215 - epiphyte fauna' SAT to achieve Favourable Condition it only needs to be represented by four species, whilst the Favourable Condition threshold for the 'A212 - bark and sapwood decay' SAT is 19 (i.e. 20 or more species need to be attributed to this group for Favourable Condition status to be achieved).

Graph 2, below, shows the SATs identified from all terrestrial and aquatic data collected in 2014. The white bars represent the total number of species attributed to each SAT, whilst the grey bars indicate the Favourable Condition thresholds set for each assemblage in ISIS.


Graph 2 - species representation between all SATs represented within the total 2014 invertebrate sample data

Favourable Condition status is used here for comparision purposes only, as the use of these thresholds on a large dataset collected from numerous samples may be considered inappropriate. However, the better-represented SATs, and those exceeding the thresholds set, provide a useful basis for assessing which SATs can be considered significant on a whole site level.

From the datasets recorded, the largest number of species attributed to an individual SAT was for the 'A212 - bark and sapwood' assemblage. A total of 23 species were attributed to this SAT from all recorded 2014 data. The A212 assemblage is characterised largely by beetles found in and around trees and shrubs, especially older specimens. The assemblage is primarily associated with death and decay of the outer woody tissues of trees and shrubs (i.e. the sapwood and bark), with some of the species associated with fluxes of sap (sap-runs). (Lott et al., 2007a). The A212 assemblage was represented both within the wet woodland habitat of the SSSI Triangle, SSSI Area 2 and the C-Platform Woodland compartments, but also the wooded
edge habitat within the SAC. On a site-by-site level, the assemblage was generally of lower importance, falling well below the Favourable Condition threshold. However, the threshold was exceeded when the SSSI and C-Platform terrestrial datasets were combined.

Another assemblage nested within the A2 BAT was the 'A215 - epiphyte fauna' SAT. This community was represented within each of the terrestrial datasets for the SSSI Triangle, SSSI Area 2 and C-Platform Woodland samples, falling just short of, or equalling, the Favourable Condition threshold set for the assemblage. Again, though, in the combined SSSI terrestrial samples the assemblage achieved a score above this threshold. The threshold for the Epiphyte fauna assemblage is low, with only a score of greater than three species needed.

According to Lott et al. (2007a), the A215 assemblage 'is found on the surface of trunks and branches of trees and shrubs. It includes grazers of epiphytes such as algae, lichens and mosses, as well as their predators and parasites. Some epiphyte feeders are also found on epiphytes growing on rocks and boulders.' Species affiliated to this SAT include mainly bugs and moths (Lott et al., 2007a), and species recorded on site included lichen-feeding footman moths recorded from the moth traps, such as the Rosy Footman Miltochrista miniata.

The effects of nutrient enrichment by aerial pollution may therefore potentially have an impact on this assemblage.

### 5.2.1 Wetland assemblages

The 'W314 - reedfen and pools' SAT was represented by the second largest number of species within the overall dataset, and this assemblage was also one of the more strongly represented SATs throughout the wetland sample site datasets. W314 is 'characterised by a number of invertebrate groups, but especially two-winged flies and also beetles' (Lott et al., 2007a), and is mainly restricted to topogenous mires and fens. Many sites are in floodplains or at lake margins subject to water level fluctuations (though the substratum rarely dries out completely). The best examples of this assemblage type are found in the wetter areas of fen meadow and at the margins of ponds and ditches.

Another wetland SAT represented within the overall dataset was the 'W211 - open water on disturbed mineral sediments' SAT. This assemblage is found in open water bodies on mineral sediments, especially those containing clay. It is characteristic of silt ponds, but can also be found in larger water bodies such as lakes and canals, often in coastal areas or associated with floodplains (Lott et al., 2007a). Unlike, the W314 SAT, W211 was exclusively represented within the aquatic data collected in 2014, and despite sometimes being poorly represented, it was the only SAT featured within all the aquatic sample site datasets. This assemblage was also the only SAT to exceed the threshold for Favourable Condition within the aquatic dataset for all ditch samples combined.

Four additional wetland SATs recorded within the dataset were: 'W221-litter-rich fluctuating marsh', 'W313 - moss and tussock fen', 'W125 - slow-flowing rivers' and 'W126 - seepages'. These assemblages were represented by few species overall. However, the national species pools for each of these assemblages are generally small, and the thresholds for Favourable Condition are set lower than for more well-represented SATs, such as W314. More specialist assemblages may also have been under-recorded; April-May is the recommended time for sampling for the W221 SAT (Drake et al., 2007), whilst spring and summer are recormmended for the W126 and W313 communities.

### 5.2.2 Coastal grassland and dune assemblages

The 'F111 - bare sand and chalk' and 'F112 - open short sward' SATs were more or less confined to the arid coastal habitats of the Coastal Strip and SAC. These assemblages were
well represented within the dataset as a whole, with 18 species attributed to each of these SATs.

F111 is described by Lott et al. (2007a) as being 'most frequently found in lowland areas on freely draining soils where repeated disturbance removes vegetation to create areas of bare and sparsely vegetated ground. Good examples of the assemblage type can be found in sand dunes, grazed heathland, chalk pits and sand pits.' Similarly, F112 'is found most frequently in lowland habitats where grazing or cutting of vegetation over calcareous soils limits the development of taller vegetation. Soils are also generally nutrient poor, which limits the dominance of grasses and thereby encourages widespread development of broad-leaved herbs. Exposure may also be a key factor in limiting taller growth on coastal sites.'

F112 achieved Favourable Condition status using both the Coastal Strip-only and the combined site data. However, a greater number of uncommon species contributed to the F111 SAT. Given that far fewer samples were collected within the Coastal Strip and SAC grasslands than in the wetter SSSI and associated sites, this result emphasizes the importance of these dune habitats for invertebrates.

### 5.2.3 Resource-based SATs

The four 'resource-based SATs' identified within the ISIS output (i.e. those representing habitats of particular value for foraging) were: 'F001 - scrub edge', 'F003 - scrub-heath and moorland', 'F002 - rich flower resource' and 'F006 - dung'. The latter two of these, however, were only poorly represented. F002 is characterised largely by bees due to their foraging association with areas supporting a high diversity of flowering plants.

One of the anomalies within the 2014 dataset was the shortage of aculeates, with both bees and wasps being particularly poorly represented within the samples. The coastal habitat in particular was floristically rich, and also supported plenty of bare earth suitable for groundnesting representatives of mining-bee genera, such as Andrena, Lasioglossum, Halictus and Colletes. Whilst a number of species of such genera, and other related taxa, are spring and latesummer flying or both, many species occur throughout the summer months, so the poor representation of these groups in the samples was surprising.

Unsurprisingly, the F001 assemblage was represented within habitats where there were sharp transitions between open habitats and scrub. In the SSSI Triangle and Area 2, this was between reedswamp and carr edge habitat, whilst within the SAC it was at the interface between the grassy heath and scrub woodland habitats. According to Lott et al. (2007a), F001'is found where scrub or woodland grades into or is interspersed with open areas of grassland, heathland or early successional vegetation types. The juxtaposition of open vegetation with woody development is important to insects with complex life cycles that require different microhabitats at different stages of development.' The 'F003 - scrub heath and moorland' assemblage was mainly found in the SAC where suitable structural resources were represented. This SAT is found on 'nutrient-poor, acid soils where herbaceous or dwarf shrub vegetation is dominant, although trees and taller shrubs can be an important component of the overall habitat. Seminatural systems supporting important examples of this assemblage type include mature areas of lowland heath, moorland and montane biotopes. It occurs on both damp and dry soils, but not when they are heavily fertilised.' (Lott et al. 2007a).

### 5.3 Associations between BATs, SATs and rare species

In order to gain a more complete understanding of the presence of rarities within BATs and SATs at Sizewell, RDB and Nationally Scarce species recorded both during the 2014 Hyder
surveys and the 2007-2010 Amec surveys were categorised according to their respective BAT and SAT affinities. The total numbers of RDB and Nationally Scarce species attributed to each are presented in Appendix 2, Table 42, whilst the charts below illustrate the prevalence of these rarities within the different BATs (Graph 3) and SATs (Graph 4). For each BAT and SAT, the white bars represent the number of rarities recorded in 2014, the grey bars the rarities recorded from the Amec surveys (minus those also recorded in 2014 to avoid duplication of records) and the black bars show the total number of rarities (white and grey bars combined).


Graph 3 - Representation of RDB and Nationally Scarce species within BATs recorded within the total 2014 dataset and additional species recorded during Amec (2007-2010) surveys


Graph 4 - Representation of RDB and Nationally Scarce species within SATs recorded within the total 2014 dataset and additional species recorded during AMEC (2007-2010) surveys.

Whilst these figures do not take account of sampling effort, nor how site-specific the records were, the results reveal a strong pattern in the distribution of rarities between the various BATs and SATs.

### 5.3.1 Wetland assemblages

If the RDB and Nationally Scarce species recorded for the survey as a whole are considered in relation to the greatest number each BAT supports, it can be seen that the clear majority of rare wetland species recorded both during 2014 surveys and in the Amec 2007-2010 studies fall into a single BAT, the 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' assemblage (Graph 3). When these datasets are combined (black bar), the distinction is further exaggerated.

From the 2014-only data, a total of 18 rare species are classified in this Permanent Wet Mire assemblage, comprising: one RDB2 species, the Ornate Brigadier Odontomyia ornata; four species classed as RDB3, the White Mantled Wainscot Archanara neurica, the Levels Yellowhorned Horsefly Hybomitra ciureai, Great Silver Water Beetle Hydrophilus piceus and a reednesting solitary wasp Passaloecus clypealis; and 13 Nationally Scarce species. To this total, a further 14 species can be added from the Amec surveys (one RDB1 species, the Norfolk Hawker Aeshna isosceles; seven RDB2 species; and four Nationally Scarce species), giving an overall total of 32 rare and scarce species for the W3 assemblage.

An even more pronounced affiliation is shown for the 'W314-Reedfen and pools' SAT, which is nested within the W3 assemblage. Twelve rarities are attributed to this one SAT from the 2014 dataset and nine from the Amec dataset, giving a total of 21 rare and uncommon species attributed to one Specific Assemblage Type.

The 12 species attributed to the W314 assemblage from the 2014-only data comprised: one RDB2 species Odontomyia ornata; four RDB3 species (as listed for W3 above) and seven Nationally Scarce species (the moths Flame Wainscot Mythimna flammea and Black Colonel Odontomyia tigrina, a spear-winged fly Lonchoptera scutellata, a snail-killing fly Pherbellia brunnipes, two ground beetles Agonum nigrum and Oodes helopioides and an aquatic weevil Pelenomus canaliculatus). From the Amec dataset, RDB1 Norfolk Hawker (listed above for the parent BAT), as well as six RDB2 and two Nationally Scarce species were recorded.

The overall total for the W3 BAT (if the two datasets are combined) would comprise one UK protected/RDB1 species (Norfolk hawker), eight RDB2, four RDB3 and 17 Nationally Scarce species, whilst the W314 SAT included one UK protected/RDB1 species, seven RDB2, four RDB3 and nine Nationally Scarce species.

It can therefore be concluded that both W314 and its parent BAT W3 are of very high nature conservation value, easily surpassing the Favourable Condition threshold both on site-specific and combined-site levels. The habitats supporting the W3 communities are distributed primarily within the reedswamp, ditch network and associated habitats within SSSI Area 2 and SSSI Triangle and also the SSSI Fen Meadow, SSSI scrape and SAC ditch.

The other SAT nested within W3, the 'W313 - moss and tussock fen' assemblage, was less well represented, both in terms of rarities and the total number of species recorded. However, the total national species pool for W313 is much smaller than for W314. W313 habitat tends to occur in the middle of fen compartments where water quality is higher due to the buffering effect of the surrounding fen vegetation.

Elements of habitat consistent with the description for W313 were found at the edges of the lagoon within the SSSI Triangle and in more open areas between carr and fen in the midst of reedswamp habitat in SSSI Area 2. However, as the W313 comprises mainly water beetles, and aquatic sampling was largely confined to the ditch networks, it is likely that W313 was under sampled. The two Nationally Scarce species recorded during the survey included a diving beetle

Hydaticus seminiger and a rove beetle Stenus palustris. The latter of these was recorded twice where over-mature Grey Willow had formed raised, mossy platforms surrounded by reedbed.

In contrast to the number of rarities attributed to W3, the two closely allied BATs W2 and W1 were relatively poorly represented in the samples. In terms of overall species (total dataset including both common and uncommon species), the 'W2 - mineral marsh and open water' assemblage was the most species-rich wetland BAT; however, far fewer rarities were ascribed to this BAT than to the less species-rich W3 assemblage, and none of the rarities recorded within the Amec surveys have been attributed to W2.

In total, five Nationally Scarce species are attributed to W2 from the 2014 dataset: two rove beetles, Oxytelus fulvipes (a species of undisturbed marsh with fluctuating water levels, such as carr and shaded ditches) and Stenus pusillus (a species of marshes, ditches and pond margins) (Lott and Anderson, 2011); Notaris scirpi, a weevil associated with Carex and Typha spp. in marshes and wetlands (Morris, 2002); Peltodytes caesus, a crawling water beetle 'confined to lowland rich fen pools and ditches' (Foster, 2011); and the Long-headed fly Dolichopus notatus, which is mostly known from dune slacks and coastal marshes (Falk and Crossley, 2005).

Of these, Peltodytes caesus was the only Nationally Scarce species attibuted to the 'W211 open water on disturbed mineral sediments' SAT, and Oxytelus fulvipes the only uncommon species representing the 'W221 - litter-rich fluctuating marsh' SAT. W211 was reasonably well represented within the overall species dataset, but it can be concluded that both W211 and W221, and their parent BAT W2, support assemblages of an overall lower conservation value than W3 and W314.

The only remaining wetland assemblage, 'W1-Flowing water', was very poorly represented in terms of the overall sample data. One Nationally Scarce species, the Banded General Stratiomys potamida, was attributed to this BAT, belongng to the 'W126 - seepage' assemblage. Seepage habitat is characteristic of the saturated silt at the edges of waterbodies, and is prevalent at the interface of carr woodland and reedswamp within the SSSI.

### 5.3.2 Grassland assemblages

The two closely-allied BATs, 'F1 - unshaded early successional mosaic' and 'F2-grassland and scrub matrix' were, in terms of the number of rarities recorded, the second and third bestrepresented assemblages recorded from the rarity-only datasets. The F2 assemblage was well represented within the terrestrial sample data for all sample sites, including both wetland and more arid survey areas, and was also the most species-rich assemblage of all recorded during the survey. However, the F1 assemblage is more specialised, being generally restricted to more arid conditions. Within the survey data, F1 was largely restricted to the sandy grassland, heath and dune habitats of the SAC and Coastal Strip.

From the 175 species recorded in total for the F2 assemblage during 2014, only three rarities were recorded. In contrast, for the A1 assemblage, eight rarities from 67 species were recorded. However, nine rarities in total were recorded for both F1 and F2 assemblage during the Amec 2007-2009 surveys. The total number of rarities recorded from both the Hyder and Amec surveys combined for F1 was 17, and for F2 it was 13.

The two nested SATs relating to F1, 'F111 - bare sand and chalk' and 'F112-open short sward', collectively contributed the majority of rarities recorded within the sandy grassland, heath and dune habitats of the Coastal Strip and SAC survey areas. Within the 2014 survey, the sandy grassland and associated bare ground habitat was found to support a high proportion of habitat specialists, including a number of uncommon species. The RDB1 spider-hunting wasp Evagetes pectinipes, attributed to F111, was arguably the most significant find of the entire 2014 survey.

A number of the uncommon species ascribed to the F111 assemblage have specialised habitat requirements. The only recorded foodplant of the weevil Protapion dissimile, recorded within the coastal strip grassland, is Hare's-foot Clover Trifolium arvense, the species only being recorded from dry, sparsely-vegetated sandy habitats. The Heath Shieldbug Legnotus picipes is also a specialist of dry, sandy and sparsely-vegetated habitats, but is associated with bedstraws Galium spp. Other uncommon and local species recorded during both the 2014 and Amec surveys were strongly associated with Sheep'sorrel Rumex acetosella and Restharrow Ononis repens, emphasising the importance of specific herbs within the habitat besides microclimate and structure.

Species ascribed to the F111 assemblage recorded during the Amec surveys included Clubiona frisia, an RDB3 spider which is strongly associated with Marram Grass tussocks on coastal dunes. The Marram Chelifer Dactylochelifer latreillie, whilst not ascribed to a particular BAT or SAT in ISIS, is associated with similar dune habitat. The Section 41-listed butterflies Grayling Hippachia semele and Small Heath Coenonympha pamphilus are both attributed to F111, and were well recorded within both the SAC and Coastal Strip.

Fewer rarities were recorded for the 'F112 - open short sward' assemblage than for the F111 assemblage; however, these two assemblages are closely allied in nature, and examples of both specific assemblage types were recorded from the same samples collected within the SAC and Coastal Strip.

The occurrence of the F112 assemblage within a coastal system is the less usual situation for this assemblage. Lott et al. (2007a) state that chalk downland and limestone grasslands are the predominant semi-natural systems supporting this assemblage type, although 'elements may also occur on short acid turf, sea cliffs and sand dune systems where shelly wind-blown sand produces similar conditions.' Examples of significant species ascribed to F112 included the jewel beetle Aphanisticus pusillus and a malachite beetle Clanoptilus marginellus, recorded during the Amec surveys. C. marginellus is a primarily coastal species in the UK, generally associated with coastal and riverside shingle and coastal grasslands.

Specific assemblages nested in the 'F2 - grassland and scrub matrix' BAT were very poorly represented in comparison to those attributed to F1. The majority of species recorded in the surveys are regarded in ISIS as being too generalist to be attributed to particular SATs. Uncommon species, such as the Nationally Scarce soldier beetle Crudosilis ruficollis showed no real affinity to grassland and scrub within the survey, the beetle being very well represented throughout the reed beds in the SSSI Triangle and Area 2, but not found in any other habitats.

### 5.3.3 Woodland and carr assemblages

Collectively, six uncommon species were attributed to the 'A1 - arboreal canopy' and 'A2-wood decay' woodland-related assemblages during the 2014 survey, with five additional species being recorded during the Amec 2007-2010 surveys (not including 'research only' NERC S41 species).

Six uncommon species in total were attributed to the A1 assemblage: two Nationally Scarce weevils Curculio betulae and Polydrusus formosus, and the White Admiral Limenitis camilla (listed here due to its post-2001 IUCN 'Vulnerable' status) were recorded in 2014, whilst three Nationally Scarce species (the social wasp Dolichovespula media, a crabroid wasp Nysson dimidiatus and a ladybird beetle Scymnus limbatus) were recorded during the Amec surveys.

Three of the five Nationally Scarce species attributed to the A2 BAT (combined 2014 and Amec records) were ascribed to the 'A212 - bark and sapwood decay' assemblage. A false darkling beetle Conopalpus testaceus and a cranefly Gnophomyia viridipennis were recorded within the 2014 survey, whilst the crabronid wasp Crossocerus binotatus was recorded during the Amec studies. By comparing the BATs and SATs with associated rarities it can be concluded that
whilst A212 was the most strongly-populated of all the SATs recorded during 2014 in terms of species number (uncommon, local and common species combined), only a moderate number of uncommon species was attributed to this group, even when combined with Amec rarity data.

Of the species attributed to the 'A211 - heartwood decay' SAT, only one Nationally Scarce species, Anaspis thoracica, was recorded, and few species were attributed to this assemblage overall. The majority of specimens were obtained through beating and sweeping. Some more extensive wood decay habitat was inaccessible, due to health \& safety constraints, as areas with deep silt deposits in carr habitat could not be traversed safely.

The 'A215 - epiphyte fauna' SAT was recorded as having assemblages of Favourable Condition at both site-specific and combined-site levels. However, no rarities were attributed to this assemblage, which comprised of mainly local and fairly common species despite being habitat specialists.

The A1 BAT has no nested SATs associated with it; instead, the assemblage comprises any species not included within the A2 assemblages or some of the other assemblages relating to woody species, such as 'F001 - scrub edge'.

Certain species groups of conservation value which are not currently included within ISIS analysis, may nevertheless have a known habitat assemblage. The Micro-lepidoptera are one such group, and one Nationally Scarce species, the Alder Signal moth Stathmopoda pedella, was recorded from the SSSI Triangle. The larvae of this moth feed only on the fruits of Alders, including both Alnus glutinosa but also the introduced Grey Alder Alnus incana, and are strongly associated with Alder carr and wet woodland. Alder Signal should therefore be considered for the purpose of the evaluation as an additional species within the recorded wet woodland fauna, even though it is not listed in ISIS.

Wet woodland, and associated habitats, collectively comprised a large proportion of the survey area as a whole, and a reasonable number of uncommon and local species with a fidelity to wooded habitat and associated wood decay habitats were recorded in the samples. In addition, the structure provided by woodland habitat is important to a number of species associated mainly with other habitats. Norfolk Hawker, for example, is considered to require wooded areas alongside the more open breeding sites (British Dragonfly Society, 2015), and many other species with aquatic larvae require woody vegetation as loafing/hunting sites as adults.

## 6 Evaluation of 2014 survey sites

The conservation value of the invertebrate habitats and species assemblages recorded within the 2014 survey areas is set out in the following sections. The evaluation of each site is based, as appropriate, upon a combination of the rare species present, the results of the water quality and CCI analysis, and the outputs of the ISIS invertebrate assemblage modelling (in particular the Favourable Condition assessment).

Figures 10 to 13 provide summaries of the key invertebrate habitats present at each sampling location/compartment.

### 6.1 SSSI Triangle (Compartment 1)

### 6.1.1 Species of conservation importance

- No UK Protected Species;
- 10 species currently classed as Nationally Scarce (A and B categories);
- One species classed (using post-2001 IUCN guidelines) as 'Vulnerable';
- Five species listed within Section 41 of the Nerc Act (2006) as 'Species of Principal Importance'.


### 6.1.2 Aquatic communities

- A CCI score of 14.7 was recorded for the SSSI Triangle indicating 'Fairly high conservation value';
- No BATs or SATs achieved scores above their Favourable Condition threshold for the SSSI Triangle ditch-only data;
- The most species-rich BATs attributed to the SSSI Triangle ditch-only data were the 'W2 - mineral marsh and open water' and 'W3 - permanent wet mire' assemblages;
- The most species-rich SATs attributed to the ditch-only data were 'W211 - open water on disturbed mineral sediments' and 'W125-slow-flowing rivers'.


### 6.1.3 Terrestrial communities

- No BATs or SATs achieving scores above Favourable Condition threshold were recorded from the SSSI Triangle terrestrial data;
- The most species-rich BATs recorded for the terrestrial-only data were the 'F2 grassland and scrub matrix', 'A1 - aboreal canopy' and 'W3-Permanent wet mire' assemblages;
- Most species-rich SATs recorded were 'W314 - reedfen and pools', 'W211 - open water on disturbed mineral sediments', 'F001 - scrub edge' and 'A215-epiphyte fauna'.


### 6.1.4 Combined

- No BATs or SATs achieved scores above their Favourable Condition threshold for the SSSI Triangle combined terrestrial and aquatic data;
- The most species-rich BATs recorded for the combined dataset were the 'W2 - Mineral marsh and open water', 'F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix', 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' and 'A1 - Arboreal canopy' assemblages;
- The most species-rich SATs recorded for the combined data were 'W314 - reedfen and pools', 'F001 - scrub edge’ and 'A212 - Bark and sapwood decay’.


### 6.1.5 Conservation value

Whilst none of the BATs recorded for the SSSI Triangle achieved rarity scores which surpassed the thresholds set in ISIS for Favourable Condition status, both the 'W3 - permanent wet mire' and 'W2 - mineral marsh and open water' assemblages achieved scores approaching the thresholds for their respective BATs. The CCI score indicated that the ditch and open water habitat within the SSSI Triangle as a whole was of 'fairly high conservation value' in terms of the Chadd \& Extence interpretation.

In total, 52 species were attributed to 15 different SATs from the combined datasets. This suggests that the site supported elements suitable for a number of specialist species. Two specialist assemblages, 'W314-reedfens and pools' and 'A215 - epiphyte fauna', equalled the threshold scores set in ISIS and were therefore close to achieving Favourable Condition status.

Whilst ten species classed as Nationally Scarce were recorded during the survey of the SSSI Triangle, no extreme rarities were recorded. However, the majority of Nationally Scarce species recorded were representative of the reedswamp, wet woodland and ditch habitats comprising the site. Black Colonel Odontomyia tigrina and the Banded General Stratiomys potamida are both strongly associated with fenland habitat (the former being a species of well-vegetated ditches, the latter a seepage specialist), whilst Flame Wainscot Mythimna flammea nests in Common Reed. The Alder Signal Moth Stathmopoda pedella (not recognised in ISIS) is an Alder carr specialist, and the arboreal beetles Anaspis thoracica and the weevil Curculio betulae are characteristic of ancient woodland.

Of the habitats recorded, the ditch network, reedbed and wet woodland all contribute to the overall conservation value of the SSSI Triangle. However, it should be noted that the conservation value of the Triangle was lower than that identified for the other main SSSI survey areas (Area 2 and the Fen Meadow), and some management would be required to increase the conservation value of the site to the standard of National Importance for its invertebrate assemblages. It should also be noted that the open water reedbed lagoon within the SSSI Triangle was not surveyed in detail due to accessibility and health \& safety constraints.

Finally, it should be noted that whilst Norfolk Hawker Aeshna isosceles was not recorded within the SSSI Triangle ditches during the 2014 surveys, it was recorded here during the Amec 20072010 surveys. Whilst the more usual breeding sites for this species are richly-vegetated stillwater ditches with clean water, the species is not exclusive to ditches, and it is not impossble that it could occur within the reedswamp lagoon.

### 6.2 SSSI Area 2 (Compartment 2)

### 6.2.1 Species of conservation importance

- No UK Protected Species;
- One RDB2 'Vulnerable’ (pre-2001 IUCN guidelines) species;
- Two RDB3 ‘Rare’ (pre-2001 IUCN guidelines) species;
- 18 species currently classed as Nationally Scarce (A and B categories);
- One species classed (using post-2001 IUCN guidelines) as ‘Lower risk - Near threatened'.


### 6.2.2 Aquatic communities

- $\quad \mathrm{CCI}$ score: 30.45 = 'Very high conservation value';
- No BATs or SATs achieved scores above their Favourable Condition threshold for the ditch-only data
- The most species-rich BATs from the ditch-only data were the 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' and 'W2 - Mineral marsh and open water' assemblages;
- The most species-rich SATs for the ditch-only data were 'W211- Open water on disturbed mineral sediments' and 'W314 - reedfen and pools'.


### 6.2.3 Terrestrial communities

- BATs achieving scores above Favourable Condition threshold for the terrestrial-only data were the 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' and 'W2 - Mineral marsh and open water' assemblages;
- No SATs achieving scores above the Favourable Condition threshold were recorded from the terrestrial-only data for SSSI Area 2;
- The most species-rich BATs recorded from the terrestrial data were 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' and 'F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix';
- The most species-rich SATs identified from the terrestrial data were 'W211 - open water on disturbed mineral sediments' and 'W314-reedfen and pools'.


### 6.2.4 Combined

- One BAT (W3) achieved a score above the Favourable Condition threshold using the combined data;
- No SATs achieved scores above their Favourable Condition threshold;
- The most species-rich BATs in Area 2 were 'W2 - Mineral marsh and open water', 'W3 Permanent wet mire', 'F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix' and 'A1 - Arboreal canopy';
- The most species-rich SATs were 'A212 - Bark and sapwood decay', 'W314 - reedfen and pools', 'W211 - Open water on disturbed mineral sediments'.


### 6.2.5 Conservation value

The BAT scores from the 2014 terrestrial data indicate that SSSI Area 2 supports 'permanent wet mire' and 'mineral marsh and open water' assemblage types of National importance. Whilst Favourable Condition status was not achieved when only aquatic data were analysed, the use of the Community Conservation Index $(\mathrm{CCI})$ indicated that the collective rarity and overall diversity of the fauna was of 'very high conservation value'. This classification is interpreted by Chadd and Extence (2004) as 'sites supporting several rarities, including species of national importance, or at least one extreme rarity (e.g. taxa included in the British RDBs) and/or a community of very high taxon richness'. Such sites are potentially of national significance and may merit statutory protection.

None of the SATs recorded from the ISIS output for Area 2 exceeded the required thresholds for Favourable Condition status to be afforded. However, the closely allied 'W314-reedfen and pools', 'W211 - open water on disturbed mineral sediments' and 'W221 - litter-rich fluctuating marsh' assemblages were all well represented and close to their respective thresholds, as was the 'A212 - bark and sapwood decay' assemblage.

The three RDB species recorded within SSSI Area 2 were all species with a very strong recorded association with high quality fen and grazing marsh habitats. The larvae of the RDB2 Ornate Brigadier Odontomyia ornata only develop in high quality, botanically-diverse old ditches on levels. Similarly, the RDB3 Levels Yellow-horned Horsefly Hybomita ciureai is confined to high quality coastal marshes and fens, whilst the crabronid wasp species Passaloecus clypealis is found only in Common Reed reed beds.

Of the habitats recorded, the ditch network, reedbed and wet woodland all contribute to the overall very high conservation value of the SSSI Area 2 site, as do the close proximities to other sites within the Sizewell SSSI including, amongst others, the SSSI Triangle and Fen Meadow.

The site can be regarded as being of at least National significance for its invertebrate population.

### 6.3 SSSI Fen Meadow (Compartment 3)

### 6.3.1 Species of conservation importance

- No UK Protected Species recorded;
- One RDB3 ‘Rare’ (pre-2001 IUCN guidelines) species recorded.


### 6.3.2 Aquatic communities

- $\quad \mathrm{CCI}$ score: 22.13 = 'Very high conservation value';
- No BATs or SATs achieved scores above their Favourable Condition threshold for the SSSI Fen Meadow ditch-only data;
- The most species-rich BATs for the ditch-only data included the 'W2 - Mineral marsh and open water' and 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' assemblages;
- No SATs were well represented within the aquatic-only dataset - the most species-rich SAT was 'W314 - reedfen and pools'.


### 6.3.3 Terrestrial communities

- No BATs or SATs achieved scores above their Favourable Condition threshold for the SSSI Fen Meadow terrestrial-only data;
- The most species-rich BATs recorded from the terrestrial data were 'F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix' and 'W3 - Permanent wet mire';
- No SATs were well represented within the terrestrial-only dataset, the most species-rich SAT being the 'W314 - reedfen and pools' assemblage.


### 6.3.4 Combined

- No BATs or SATs achieved scores above their Favourable Condition threshold for the combined aquatic and terrestrial data for the SSSI Fen Meadow site;
- The most species-rich BATs recorded were 'W2 - Mineral marsh and open water', 'W3 Permanent wet mire' and 'F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix';
- No SATs were well represented within the combined aquatic and terrestrial dataset - the most species-rich SAT was the 'W314 - reedfen and pools' assemblage.


### 6.3.5 Conservation value

Whilst none of the BATs identified in the ISIS analysis of the SSSI Fen Meadow samples achieved rarity scores which surpassed the threshold for Favourable Condition status, both the 'F2 - grassland and scrub matrix' and the 'W3 - permanent wet mire' assemblages did achieve scores approaching the appropriate threshold. The CCl score indicates that the combined ditch habitat within the SSSI Fen Meadow was of 'Very high conservation value'. This may at least partly reflect high diversity within a relatively small dataset enhanced by the presence of the RDB3 Greater Silver Water Beetle Hydrophilus piceus.

Few SATs were recorded for the SSSI Fen Meadow, and very few species were attibuted to them. Indeed, the 'W314 - reedfens and pools' assemblage was the only SAT represented by more than one species. This may be due to the relatively small number of samples taken and the limited range of sampling techniques used compared to the majority of other sites.

Whilst a good diversity of species were identified from the ditch network, Great Silver Water Beetle was the only Red Data Book species recorded, although several local species characteristic of fen habitat were also collected, such as the Fen Snout moth Nemotelus pantherinus, the snail-killing flies Sepedon sphegea and Tetanocera fuscinervis, and a number of beetles, including Cymbiodyta marginellus.

Of the habitats recorded, the ditch network and botanically-diverse fen meadow both contributed to the overall conservation value of the SSSI Fen Meadow compartment. The two ditches sampled were both floristically diverse, with abundant Frogbit, which is typical of habitat from which Great Silver Water Beetle is recorded.

Importantly, whilst not recorded in the Fen Meadow survey area itself, Norfolk Hawker was recorded within approximately 500 metres of the survey area, in habitat which forms part of the same ecological unit. Arguably, the ditch sample sites were too heavily shaded for this species to use them for breeding; however, the ditch forming the southern boundary of the Fen Meadow (which was outside of the survey area for the 2014 study) may be suitable.

The conservation value of the Fen Meadow site is arguably approaching National Importance, with the presence of Great Silver Water Beetle and other fenland species indicating that the habitat is of high conservation value.

### 6.4 C-Platform Woodland (Compartment 4) <br> 6.4.1 Species of conservation importance

- No UK Protected Species recorded;
- One RDB3 'Rare’ (pre-2001 IUCN guidelines) species;
- Two species currently classed as Nationally Scarce (A and B categories);
- Two species listed within Section 41 of the NERC Act (2006) as 'Species of Principal Importance';


### 6.4.2 Terrestrial communities

- The only BAT achieving a score above Favourable Condition threshold for the CPlatform Woodland terrestrial data (no aquatic samples were collected) was the 'W3 permanent wet mire' assemblage;
- No SATs achieving scores above Favourable Condition threshold were recorded from the terrestrial data;
- The most species-rich BATs for the C-Platform Woodland data were the 'F2 - grassland and scrub matrix', 'A1 - arboreal canopy' and 'W3 - permanent wet mire' assemblages;
- No SATs were well represented within the C-Platform Woodland dataset - the most species-rich SATs were 'F001 - scrub edge', 'A215 - epiphyte fauna' and 'W314reedfen and pools'.


### 6.4.3 Conservation value

The BAT scores from analysis of 2014 terrestrial data indicate that the C-Platform Woodland supports a 'W3 - permanent wet mire' assemblage type of National Importance. However, the W3 BAT was third in terms of the number of species attributed to the dataset. Both the 'F2 grassland and scrub matrix' and 'A1 - arboreal canopy' assemblages were better represented; however, they supported assemblages of lower rarity value.

SATs recorded from the ISIS output were generally poorly attributed, suggesting that a somewhat generalist fauna was recorded overall.

The one RDB3 species (also listed as a s41 'Species of Principal Importance') recorded within the C-Platform Woodland was White-mantled Wainscot Archanara neurica. This moth is of very restricted range in the UK, being known only from coastal reedbeds between Southwold and Thorpeness. As it was recorded from a mercury vapour moth trap, it is possible that the insect was attracted from habitats within an adjacent habitat compartment, such as the reed-lined ditches of the SSSI Fen Meadow, or the extensive reedbeds within SSSI Area 2. The species is a specialist of dead reed stems, and is thought to be sensitive to over-management of reedbeds.

The woodland habitat within the C-Platform generally comprised secondary growth of birch, Alder and Willow, and the greater conservation interest was arguably the wet carr and seepage habitat which bordered the SSSI. A Nationally Scarce arboreal weevil Polydrusus formosus, found throughout many of the study area's wooded habitats, was the only other uncommon species recorded; however, several local woodland and permanent wet mire species were identified.

Overall, a national importance status classification for the W3 assemblage can be considered tenuous due to the probability of the White-mantled Wainscot being attracted from the adjacent SSSI, which arguably supported habitat more consistant with the insect's biology. Also the sample size of 17 attributed to W3 is only marginally above the threshold of robustness set in ISIS.

Despite the C-platform Woodland's lack of designation, the habitat was found to support some representative wet woodland, seepage and wood decay habitat with potential to support specialist invertebrates recorded elsewhere on site. The conservation value is increased by the site's proximity to the SSSI, and with regard to its invertebrate communities it can be considered to be of fairly high conservation value.

### 6.5 Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths \& Marshes SSSI/ SAC (scrape and ditch) (Compartment 6a)

### 6.5.1 Species of conservation importance

- No UK Protected Species recorded;
- One RDB3 'Rare’ (pre-2001 IUCN guidelines) species;
- Two species currently classed as Nationally Scarce (A and B categories).


### 6.5.2 Aquatic communities

- $\quad$ SAC (ditch) CCI score: 13.83 = 'Fairly high conservation value';
- $\quad$ SSSI (scrape) CCI score: 26.58 = 'Very high conservation value';
- No BATs or SATs achieved scores exceeding the Favourable Condition threshold for the combined SSSI scrape and SAC ditch 2014 data;
- The most species-rich BAT recorded for the combined scrape and ditch data was the 'W2 - mineral marsh and open water' assemblage, with 'W3 - permanent wet mire' being ascribed an insufficient number of species to be considered robust;
- The most species-rich SATs recorded from the dataset were 'W211 - open water on disturbed mineral sediments' and 'W314 - reedfen and pools'.


### 6.5.3 Conservation value

Both the ditch on the western boundary of the SAC and nearby scrape (situated outside the SAC boundary, but within the Minsmere to Walberswick SSSI), supported reasonably diverse macrophyte assemblages. Both were situated in open conditions within grazing marsh, unlike the components of the ditch network within the SSSI Triangle and Area 2.

CCI analysis from a single sample from the SSSI scrape produced a CCl score of 26.58 , well above the threshold of 20 for 'Very high conservation value' (Chadd and Extence, 2004). A lower score was achieved from the two samples collected from the ditch (achieving 'Fairly high conservation value') potentially due to the nutrient inputs that Leiston Ditch receives from Leiston WTW.

The scrape sample was of relatively high diversity, with one RDB3 species (Great Silver Water Beetle) and two Nationally Scarce beetles (Pelenomus canaliculatus and Hydrochus angustatus), as well as several local species. The SAC ditch produced one of the more diverse datasets from the aquatic samples, and included the Nationally Scarce Black Colonel Odontomyia tigrina as well as Rhantus suturalis (a species which was recently downgraded to local from Nationally Scarce), as well as several local species.

Whilst the CCI scores were high, BATs and SATs recorded for the combined SAC ditch and SSSI scrape produced a robust score only for the 'W2 - mineral marsh and open water' assemblage, which produced a rather low rarity score. However, all four of the rarities recorded are attributed to 'W3 - permanent wet mire', which was not represented well enough in ISIS for a rarity score to be produced. Three of these species are also attributed to the 'W314 - reedfen and pools' assemblage, which again was too poorly represented to produce a significant score.

Based on visual appearance, the more open character and floristic diversity and structure, as well as the proximity to scrub habitat, suggests that the SAC ditch may have potential to support breeding Norfolk Hawker. However, it was uncertain whether the ditch was subject to saline intrusion. The Norfolk Hawker is intolerant of saline conditions

Habitat, position in the unit, and recorded fauna all indicate that both the scrape and ditch are integral to the overall network of important habitat and can therefore be considered to be of National significance.

### 6.6 Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths \& Marshes SSSI/ SAC (dune habitats)(Compartment 6)

### 6.6.1 Species of conservation importance

- No UK Protected Species recorded;
- One species classed (using post-2001 IUCN guidelines) as 'Vulnerable’;
- One species classed (using post-2001 IUCN guidelines) as ‘Lower risk - Near threatened';
- Three species currently classed as Nationally Scarce (A and B categories);
- Two species listed within Section 41 of the NERC Act (2006) as 'Species of Principal Importance'.


### 6.6.2 Terrestrial communities

- No BATs or SATs achieved scores exceeding the Favourable Condition threshold for the dune, grassland, heath and scrub data;
- The most species-rich BATs recorded were the 'F2 - grassland and scrub matrix', 'F1 unshaded early successional mosaic' and 'A1 - arboreal canopy' assemblages.
- The most species-rich SATs recorded from the dune, grassland, heath and scrub dataset were 'F112 - open short sward mosaic', 'F111 - bare sand and chalk' and 'F001 - scrub edge'.


### 6.6.3 Conservation value

The habitat recorded within the SAC coastal zone area was structural varied and also diverse botanically, given the general low botanical diversity associated with dry heathland biotopes. The habitat at the inland extremity of the dune system, including scrub edge habitat with dwarf shrub heath grassland and bare sand in mosaic, was the primary focus of the survey. This heath and acid grassland graded into more typical dune habitat towards the sea.

The varied microtopography and open, yet sheltered sandy habitat, together with an abundance of flowering plants (including yellow composites, legumes such as Restharrow and Common Bird's-foot Trefoil, as well as heaths and associated Gorse scrub) provided habitat of high invertebrate potential. Species recorded from the samples taken here included a number of local species with a strong affinity to dry grassland and heath habitat. However, no BATs or SATs recorded from the sample data produced scores above the thresholds for Favourable Condition. The two best represented BATs, 'F2 - grassland and scrub matrix' and 'F1 unshaded early successional mosaic', did, however, produce rarity scores approaching their respective thresholds for Favourable Condition, as did the third most well represented BAT, 'A1 - arboreal canopy'. In addition, the 'F112 - open short sward' SAT was also well represented, but again not sufficiently populated to achieve the threshold status.

No species classified as RDB under pre-2001 IUCN guidelines were recorded. However, the Grayling Butterfly Hipparchia Semele, which is classed as 'Vulnerable' (RDB3) under post-2001 IUCN guidelines, was abundant throughout the coastal heath/grassland zone. Similarly, Small Heath Coenonympha pamphilus, a widespread but declining species, classed as 'Lower risk Near threatened' under the post-2001 guidelines, was also recorded. These species are also both listed as 'Species of Principal Importance' under Section 41 of the NERC Act (2006).

Other species of higher rarity value included the Nationally Scarce wolf spider Hygrolycosa rubrofasciata, a fenland species, also associated with wet woodland and carr habitat, that was beaten from the scrub edge habitat which grades into reedswamp further inland. Aphanisticus pusillus, a jewel beetle with dual associations with dry, sandy habitats and wetland rushes, was also recorded, together with a number of other characteristic dry heathland and scrub species.

In terms of habitat representativeness, and in a wider landscape context, the SAC survey area is clearly of much higher conservation value than the results of the ISIS analysis show. The sampling was more or less confined to a single site visit, and certain groups almost certainly supported by the habitats, such as ground-nesting Aculeate Hymenoptera, were very poorly represented in the dataset.

### 6.7 Coastal Strip (CWS) (Compartment 5) <br> 6.7.1 Species of conservation importance

- No UK Protected Species recorded;
- One RDB1 ‘Endangered’ (pre-2001 IUCN guidelines) species;
- Nine species currently classed as Nationally Scarce (A and B categories);
- One species classed using post-2001 IUCN guidelines as 'Vulnerable';
- One species classed using post-2001 IUCN guidelines as 'Lower risk - Near threatened';
- Four species listed under Section 41 of the NERC Act (2006) as 'Species of Principal Importance'.


### 6.7.2 Terrestrial communities

- One BAT identified from the Coastal Strip CWS data, the 'F1 - unshaded early successional mosaic' assemblage, achieved a score above the Favourable Condition threshold;
- One SAT, 'F112 - open short sward mosaic, achieved a score above the Favourable Condition threshold;
- The most species-rich BATs recorded were ' $F 2$ - grassland and scrub matrix' and ' $F 1$ unshaded early successional mosaic';
- The most species-rich SATs recorded were 'F112 - open short sward mosaic' and 'F111 - bare sand and chalk'.


### 6.7.3 Conservation value

BAT scores from ISIS analysis of the 2014 data indicate that the Coastal Strip (CWS) supports an ' F 1 - unshaded early successional mosaic' assemblage of National importance, the assemblage being represented by slightly fewer species than the 'F2 - grassland and scrub matrix' assemblage, which itself achieved a rarity score approaching the Favourable Condition threshold.

From the SAT analysis, the 'F112 - open short sward' SAT also achieved a score above the threshold set in ISIS for Favourable Condition status, whilst 'F111 - bare sand and chalk' was also well represented within the ISIS output.

The main survey focussed on a flattish area of created sandy grassland in the lee of the dune system, which provided a partial buffer to excessive wind. Some sampling was also conducted within the dunes. The grassland supported a reasonably high diversity of typical dry grassland and coastal dune plants. Patches of exposed sand and shingle in mosaic created varied structure and habitat niches for invertebrates.

One species recorded from this dune habitat, the spider-hunting wasp Evagetes pectinipes, is classed as RDB1 'Nationally Endangered'. This species has rarely been recorded outside of its stronghold in Kent, and represents the most significant record from the 2014 survey. In addition, nine Nationally Scarce species were also recorded from this site, several of which (together with some of the local and common species recorded) were specialists of dry sandy grassland of an open nature. As with the SAC coastal habitats (Compartment 6), Grayling and Small Heath butterflies were also both recorded, together with a third species listed as a S41 'Species of Principal Importance', the Cinnabar Moth Tyria jacobaeae.

The Favourable Condition status recorded for both ' $F 1$ - unshaded early successional mosaic' and 'F112 - open short sward' indicate that the Coastal Strip (CWS) site supports invertebrate assemblages above the required threshold to be considered of National Importance. It can therefore be considered to be of SSSI quality for its invertebrate assemblages, thus exceeding its current CWS status.

## $7 \quad$ Potential impact of Sizewell C on invertebrates

The proposed Sizewell C landtake will involve considerable loss of, and disturbance to, land and associated habitats and species subject to statutory protection. Of the areas surveyed, it is understood that habitat within the Sizewell SSSI, including areas named for the purpose of this report as the SSSI Triangle, SSSI Area 2, SSSI Fen Meadow will be directly affected by the development and associated infrastructure. Furthermore the area known as the Coastal Strip CWS is also likely to be lost, or significantly disturbed, during and post development.

In addition to habitat loss, sensitive wetland and coastal habitats immediately to the north of the development footprint will potentially be impacted upon during and post development. These include both nationally protected areas within the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SSSI and areas subject to international protection under the European Habitats Directive, i.e. the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SAC and SPA.

Collectively, the areas comprising both designated and non-designated habitats surveyed in 2014 substantiated the findings of previous surveys, that is that both wetland areas of the Sizewell SSSI and the dune grassland/heathland coastal habitats support invertebrate assemblages of at least National Importance. Whilst the relative values from the analysis were found to vary between the areas surveyed, from an ecological perspective it is not possible to consider in isolation the component habitats comprising what is a landscape of very high conservation value.

The inland sites, comprising the Sizewell SSSI, are largely interdependent hydrologically and support habitats which are difficult to recreate in the short term. The wetland habitats are, for the large part, formed over a substrate of peat, which is a non-renewable resource. This habitat is integral to the hydrological functionality of the fenland habitat, and many of the rare and uncommon invertebrate species recorded are found predominantly, if not exclusively, within peatland biotopes.

Habitat and species assemblages recorded within the coastal zone, in particular the Coastal Strip CWS, were also found to be of high conservation value. However, coastal zone dune ecosystems are fundamentally dynamic and subject to constant patterns of change. The invertebrate assemblages recorded within the coastal strip include a high proportion of species which are effective colonisers of new habitat, being essentially species of ephemeral and early successional habitats. Successful recreation of the short sward grassland habitats represented within the Coastal Strip CWS should therefore be more straightforward than for the Fenland habitats.

## 8 <br> Habitat creation and management rationale and recommendations

### 8.1 General

There is no guarantee that habitat creation, or measures to minimise impact on the hydrology and other elements connected to the habitat, will be entirely successful in protecting all species and species assemblages currently existing on site. However, by replicating habitat features and micro-habitats which mimic the conditions required by key invertebrates and invertebrate assemblages, the chances of these species surviving and colonising the created habitat can be significantly increased.

In addition, habitat creation from scratch provides opportunities for improving conditions favourable to some of the species. For example, increased measures to attenuate water quality at source may provide more favourable conditions for those species sensitive to water quality, such as the Norfolk Hawker. Management objectives can be used to ensure that conditions specific to particular species or assemblages are achieved and subsequently maintained.

### 8.2 Fenland habitat

### 8.2.1 Rationale

As discussed in previous sections, the 2014 survey findings strongly indicate that the most ecologically important invertebrate assemblages recorded from habitats within the Sizewell Marshes SSSI, and associated sites, were affiliated to the 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' Broad Assemblage Type (BAT). In terms of species-richness, however, the 'W2-Mineral marsh and open water' BAT was the best represented of the wetland assemblages. Whilst the W3 assemblage essentially occurs on substrates that are permanently saturated, the W2 assemblage is associated with more extreme seasonal flooding; essentially, substrates supporting this assemblage may dry out entirely during the summer.

A key feature of permanent wet mire habitat is an underlying peat layer, which has water retention properties and provides conditions important for the associated vegetation and invertebrate assemblages. Lott et al. (2007) state that 'Water level fluctuations are not usually significant or at least, when they do occur, the substrate rarely dries out completely. Consequently this assemblage type is dominant on wet peat.'

The generic description of the habitat composition and dynamics on which species within the W3 assemblage depend (described in Lott et al., 2007) strongly reflects those described within the SSSI citation for Sizewell Marshes. In the citation, the SSSI is described as occupying 'a lowlaying basin of deep fen peat. The water table is permanently high, with the area being prone to flooding, and there is an extensive network of ditches across the site.' This statement characterises conditions favourable for both the W3 and W2 assemblages.

Owing to the statutory and recorded importance of the invertebrate assemblages supported within the SSSI areas to be directly affected, mitigation strategies need to include adequate measures to replicate habitat permanently lost due to the development process and to ensure the impact on retained habitat is minimised.

As an irreplaceable resource, and one which is fundamental to the functionality of the existing permanent wet mire habitat which characterises the Sizewell Marshes SSSI, it is recommended
that peat translocation is considered as a baseline to recreation of wetland as mitigation habitat (unless a similar peat layer is already present at the proposed receptor site, as is the case at Aldhurst Farm).

A second fundamental requirement is that measures are taken to ensure there is sufficient knowledge of the hydrology of the existing ecosystem to enable a comparable hydrological regime to be replicated within the design of recreated habitat. Hydrological controls should enable management sympathetic to the requirements of ditch, reedbed and other invertebrates specialising in wetland habitats characteristic of the exisiting and adjacent sites. Besides water level control to maintain permanently saturated substrates, measures should also be taken to ensure high water quality is maintained, and that inputs and throughputs are suitably buffered to minimise the risk of nutrient enrichment.

Once wetland habitat has been created, it often takes a number of years before the ecosystem has stabilised sufficiently to support target species. Nutrient enrichment is inevitable during the first few years of a habitat being created, and as such water quality will be below the required standard for many of the more sensitive wetland invertebrates. However, this will improve over time. Opinion is divided regarding the planting of newly created habitats. However, by translocating wetland plants from the original site, maintenance of local provenance is ensured. Planting and translocation of habitat should speed up the time it takes for the habitat to become established, therefore theoretically reducing the time period before invertebrates can colonise.

A sufficient overlap in time is therefore essential for species existing in the donor site to colonise (or be translocated to) the receptor site. Certain species with limited powers of mobility may take much longer to colonise than others, and if niche requirements are not met, such species may suffer localised extinction (although in this case it should be noted that only relatively small proportions of the habitats would be lost, and extensive areas of all of the habitat types due to be affected would be retained elsewhere within the SAC, SSSI and CWS).

A number of species recorded from the Sizewell Marshes SSSI habitats are associated with wood decay, and a number of arboreal species, including wet woodland specialists, have also been recorded. Wet woodland, carr and associated scrub-edge, seepage and wood decay habitat, is well represented within the SSSI Triangle and SSSI Area 2. In the case of the latter, in particular, a number of mature Alder, willow and birch trees were recorded, with features conversant with more ancient wet woodland rather than recent scrub encroachment. Whilst excessive scrub encroachment increases management requirements, and also leads to habitat succession away from more open fen habitats, some of the trees present within the sites provided important habitat, beneficial both to arboreal specialists and to fen specialists associated with edge habitats.

Some over-mature willows located within the Area 2 reedbed, in particular, had influenced the habitat topography, creating raised hummocks within open reedbed. These habitats (which are outside the area due to be lost) created conditions suitable for certain species, including 'moss and tussock fen' and 'wood decay' specialists to persist. The saturated peat overlain with silt and decaying leaves, along with inundated wood decay habitat beneath established wet woodland and carr, also supports specialist species, including several rarities.

Serious consideration should be given to the possibility of translocating wood decay habitat, in particular large logs in varying degrees of decomposition (from dry and hard-baked to moist and rotten). The creation of some wet woodland should also be given due consideration. It should be noted, however, that the majority of the more important wet woodland habitat was recorded within those areas not due to be lost, in particular SSSI Area 2, and the woodland habitat within the areas most likely to be directly affected, the C-Platform Woodland and the SSSI Triangle, was more secondary in nature and less mature.

### 8.2.2 Habitat restoration opportunities

Another possible mitigation consideration could be to restore some of the existing wet woodland habitat within and adjacent to the existing Sizewell SSSI. Some of the habitats visited, but not surveyed in detail during 2014, would potentially benefit from management sympathetic to wet woodland invertebrates. Sites such as Turf Pits (Compartment 9 on Figure 1), described as W6a Alnus glutinosa - Urtica dioica woodland, was found to be highly degraded, with extensive non-naïve invasive Rhododendron ponticum creating a heavily-shaded understorey. Whilst the woodland supported elements of good potential habitat for wood decay invertebrates, and there were silted ditches and leaf decay habitat suitable for a range of wet woodland craneflies and other species, dense shading from Rhododendron and other woody species was preventing light reaching the ground layer.

Investment in restorative and continued sympathetic management of this site, as well as other somewhat neglected wet woodland areas, such as the blocks at Grimseys and Leiston Carr (Compartments 8 and 7/7a, respectively), could produce valuable results. However, neither of these sites were without interest, therefore, restoration management should only be undertaken following surveys to establish the existing biodiversity value and to ensure restoration efforts produce positive results in the long term. It is certainly important that care should be taken not to damage or destroy habitat that is of conservation value in the name of habitat creation. Where possible, newly created ditches, ponds etc. may be of the most ecological benefit if they are created in relatively ecologically poor areas within the landscape (Kirby, 1994), but where they have potential to provide stepping stones between disjunct areas of high ecological value.

### 8.2.3 Species requirements

A number of species recorded in both 2014 and in the previous Amec surveys (2007 to 2010), were of high conservation value as well as having strong affinities to historic fenland habitats. The habitat affinities of these species have been used to inform habitat creation and management recommendations/guidance. In particular, rare and uncommon species affiliated to Specific Assemblage Types (SATs) have been selected, since such species have more specialised habitat requirements.

SAT species from both the 2014 and 2007-2010 surveys are listed together, with summaries of their recorded habitat affinities, in Appendix 2, Table 43. Examples of these have also been referred to on the specific invertebrate habitat maps (Appendix 3, Figures 10 to 13). Whilst the detailed design and planning of habitat creation for mitigation of the loss of habitats for the Sizewell C development is not the purpose of this report, recommendations can be used to ensure invertebrate habitat requirements are strongly represented within the mitigation strategy.

Some key considerations relating to specialist invertebrate species of the 'W314-Reedfen and pools' assemblage, and associated fenland and wet woodland SATs, are provided below.

### 8.2.4 Reedswamp

By far the greatest number of rare and uncommon species recorded within the wetland habitats comprising Sizewell Marshes SSSI have been classified under the 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' BAT and, on a more specialised level, within the 'W314-Reed-fen and pools' SAT.

On the whole, these species are adapted to permanently wet habitats, i.e. those which are underlain by a saturated deep peat layer where a permanently high water table is maintained.

## Habitat Creation

- A key consideration in habitat creation for invertebrates is that a more varied the microtopography provides niches for a greater range of species. Species living within reed bed and swamp habitats over peat are likely to be predominately specialists. Whilst a number of species are known to be associated with a particular habitat, the precise autecologies of many species are not well known. Furthermore, with climate change, more specialised species can change their habitats. Therefore, by providing sufficient habitat diversity within the broad context of a specialised habitat such a reedswamp, the chances of providing microhabitats favourable to specialist species, or species assemblages, can be increased.
- Topography of created reedswamp should be designed to provide a varied gradient from drier habitat through to permanent, open-water pools. More diverse vegetation structure similar to that recorded at the lagoon edge in the SSSI Triangle (sample sites T3 and A21) can be created at the edges of open-water pools within reedswamp. By providing a greater variation in depth, emergent vegetation such as Branched bur-reed Sparganium erectum, floating-leaved aquatics such as Frog-bit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae, and submerged species such as milfoils Myriophyllum sp., narrow-leaved Potamogeton spp. and bladderworts Utricularia spp. would develop. These would in turn provide varied habitat for a range of characteristic fenland invertebrates, including diving beetles (Dytiscidae), Reed Beetles (Chrysomelidae: Donacinae), soldierflies (Stratiomyidae), and dragonflies and damselflies (Odonata), potentially even species such as Norfolk Hawker Aeshna isosceles.
- Networks of pools and varied swamp and tussock habitats incorporated within the central areas of reedswamps, and buffered from the surrounding landscape by reed habitat, can maintain clean water conditions favourable to species sensitive to nutrient enrichment, as well as supporting more varied habitats such as 'moss and tussock fen'.
- Marginal areas of open water should be designed to include broad marginal habitat planted with more varied swamp communities, including typical wetland macrophytes found within the fenland habitats of the SSSI Triangle Lagoon.
- Species such as White-mantled Wainscot use old, dead reed stems to develop, and are thought to be vulnerable to localised extinction when reedbeds are managed using traditional practices such as cutting and burning. Once reedbeds are established, sympathetic management is likely to be as (if not more) important than the habitat creation for species with such specialisms. Knowledge of the autecology of White-mantled Wainscot suggests that this species would be unlikely to colonise newly-created reedbed for some years after creation, and then only if suitable old-reed habitat is retained through management. Alternatively, such features could be translocated to the new habitat areas, potentially with the larvae already present within the reed stems.
- Some uncommon species, such as Flame Wainscot, are known to favour more scattered stands of reed rather than densely-planted stands; therefore, maintenance of scattered reed along linear features such as ditches may be more beneficial to this species than active management of reedbed itself.
- Some species live in accumulated litter at the bases of stands of reeds, including a number of uncommon ground beetles.
- Species such as the solitary wasp Passaloecus clypealis nest within hollow reedstems and may be inquilines in Lipara galls (i.e. living within the same space of another species). Therefore, it is possible that Lipara species need to be present before Passaloecus can colonise.
- Raised platforms created by the splayed boles of over-mature Grey, Crack and White Willows within SSSI Area 2 provided island-like habitats above the level of inundation within some of the wetter parts of the swamp. Such habitats provided specific microhabitats and variation from the monotony of the reedbed. Species such as the Nationally Scarce rove beetle Stenus palustris (a tussock and moss fen specialist) and the reedbed solitary wasp Passaloecus clypealis were found in close proximity. Such raised structures could be integrated within the habitat design. Ideally, mature trees could be translocated. Alternatively, the creation of more undulating topography, including raised areas, would also be beneficial.
- Elements such as saturated logs could be integrated into parts of a reed swamp or at the peripheries; however, continuity of habitat is a key consideration.


## Management

The effective hydrological management of created reed swamp and other wetland habitats is of paramount importance, and will define which species and invertebrate assemblages thrive within the created habitat in the long term. On the basis that the invertebrate assemblages collectively supporting the highest rarity value recorded from the Sizewell Marshes SSSI habitats include the 'W3 - Permanent wet mire' and 'W314-Reedfen and pools' assemblages, hydrological management should facilitate a hydrological regime which ensures that the larger part of the substrate comprising the reed swamp is permanently saturated. Management of seasonal water level fluctuation should be based on the prevailing regime within the existing Sizewell SSSI and surrounding landscape.

Conservation management of reedswamp habitat usually involves cutting a pre-determined proportion of the entire reedbed on a rotational basis, the management depending to a degree on the specific ecological targets. In general, a longer rotation is better from an ecological perspective, with a small proportion of a large reedbed being cut annually or bi-annually on a rotation of 10 years or so.

Where reedbeds remain unmanaged indefinitely, encroachment by carr habitat can ultimately result in succession to wet woodland. The RDB3 and S41 'Species of Principal Importance' White-mantled Wainscot is considered to be sensitve to over-management of reedbed habitat, the species requiring old dead reed stems, typically at the edges of reedswamp habitat.

The following are therefore recommendations for the management of reedswamp:

- Manage reedswamp habitat with due sensitivity to species which are vulnerable to mechanised management, such as White-mantled Wainscot. Areas of reedswamp, particularly at the peripheries of reedswamp blocks, should be maintained without management for extensive periods, and managed only as part of a very long rotation.
- Ideally, the habitat should be left to develop and settle before any definite management regime is devised. Surveillance (or monitoring) of invertebrate populations becoming estabished within created habitat should provide a feedback loop from which more targeted management can be considered.
- However, if management by cutting is to be instigated within areas of created habitat, the longer the rotation the better. General management should include as long a rotation as is practical, with a small proportion of the whole reedswamp being cut every two or so years on a rotation of at least 10 years. Burning should not be used as a management technique, and short rotation management is negative in terms of managing reedbed for invertebrates.
- Kirby (1994) suggests cutting out a series of pools, over a succession of years, to provide cleared areas of different successional stage.
- A litter layer within the reedswamp accumulates over time and provides habitat for reedbed litter specialists, such as the ground beetle Demetrias imperialis. Litter should therefore be allowed to build up in a proportion of the reedbed.
- Invertebrates benefit from reedbeds with a range of successional stages, from young reed in shallow water to old reeds with scrub invasion on almost dry ground over dense litter (Kirby, 1994).
- Management of waterlevels within created fenland or reedswamp habitat should aim to mimic the conditions prevailing within the more entomologically-specialised and diverse comparable habitat within the wider landscape. Ideally, a consistant regime should ensure water level is maintained at or above the surface of the ground over the larger part of the wetland (for permanent wet mire specialists). Some seasonal variation in water level would benefit species more associated with fluctuating water levels.
- Herbicide spraying, for example to control invasive wetland plants and scrub, should be avoided.


### 8.2.5 Ditch network

The ditch network within the Sizewell SSSI was found to support some of the rarest and most characteristic fenland species recorded during both the 2014 and previous surveys by Amec. Species such as Great Silver Water Beetle Hydrophilus piceus and the Ornate Brigadier Odontomyia ornata are characteristic of fenlands wth extensive ditch networks, and are sensitive to loss and degradation of fenland habitat. In addition, the RDB1 Norfolk Hawker Aeshna isosceles, which receives full protection under UK law, has been recorded here. Whilst breeding has not been confirmed, the species is suspected to breed in some of the ditches within the Sizwell Marshes SSSI.

The existing network of ditches within the Sizewell Marshes is historic, and whilst originally manmade, the ditches now support a diverse fauna of high conservation value. Ditch networks were originally instigated to facilitate land drainage, an activity that acted to the detriment of invertebrate species associated with wetland habitats over peat. There is, however, considerable scope for improving the water quality of existing ditch networks and improving the conservation value of them. Creation of some strategically placed ditches and scrapes, specifically for species such as Norfolk Hawker, the Ornate Brigadier and Greater Silver Water beetle, should be considered. In particular, ditches can be created from a more conservation oriented standpoint than was considered when engineered drainage ditches were originally created.

The following recommendations are made.

## Habitat Creation

- Restore ditches currently nutrient-enriched or in poor condition. In general terms, excessively shaded ditches support poorer invertebrate assemblages than more open ones; however, some species, including seepage specialists and wet woodland craneflies, for example, favour shaded and silted habitat of late succession.
- Instigate measures to improve water quality in newly-created and existing ditches, through buffering mechanisms and hydrological management.
- Created ditch networks should aim to connect existing areas of high conservation value, enabling invertebrate species to readily colonise newly created habitat.
- Ditches created should aim to replicate conditions of the existing ditches in terms of structure and general vegetation communities. However, specific requirements of species of high conservation value, such as Norfolk Hawker, Ornate Brigadier, Great Silver Water beetle and other rarities, should also be considered.
- Variation in terms of width, depth and profile should be integrated into the design of new ditches and, where appropriate, enhancement of existing ditches. Habitat creation provides an opportunity to create habitat with more variety and with features beneficial to aquatic invertebrates, such as more extensive shallow marginal ledges.
- Species such as the Black Colonel, for example, favour narrow well-vegetated ditches, whilst the Ornate Brigadier favours ditches of two or more metres wide. A range of ditches of different breadth and depth would provide habitat for a greater range of species.
- Provision of scrapes, ponds and other wetland habitats across the landcape area should also be considered, as many ditch species will also live in ponds, providing habitat conditions are met and these can be deployed in areas where connectivity is an issue.
- Where possible, ditches and other waterbodies should be created only in habitat of relatively poor conservation value.
- Habitat created specifically for Norfolk Hawker would also favour a number of other specialists of fenland habitat. Norfolk Hawker requires clean water free from nutrient enrichment and sources of saline intrusion. Habitat should be disjunct from existing drainage ditches and have no flow. Ditches should provide wide shallow margins with tall marginal vegetation (e.g. Common Reed Phragmites australis, Branched Bur-reed Sparganium erectum etc.). More open water should support rich aquatic macrophyte flora, with species such as Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae and bladderwort Utricularia spp. Water Soldier Stratiotes aloides would be preferred, but should not be introduced if not of local provenance.
- Ditches restored or created for species such as Ornate Brigadier and Great Silver Water Beetle, should also aim to support clean water habitat with floating-leaved species, such as Frogbit, and Ivy-leaved Duckweed Lemna sulcate, and species such as Water Violet Hottonia palustris (again if this species is characteristic of local ditches). Floating rafts of vegetation in ditches of mid-succession are favoured.


## Management

- Manual, piecemeal management of ditches managed for conservation is favoured over mechanised, heavy duty management typical of Internal Drainage Board (IDB) management for flood prevention etc.
- Management should aim to provide a range of successional stages at any one time. Larvae of Great Silver Water Beetle, Ornate Brigadier and Norfolk Hawker favour mid-successional stages, whereas adult Great Silver Water Beetle is said to favour open water habitats of early succession.
- Some silted areas under dappled shade, along with habitat with saturated or semi-saturated wood decay and leaf decay habitat, should be maintained.


### 8.2.6 Wet woodland

The value of the wet woodland (comprising Alder and, to a lesser extent, willow and birch) for specialist invertebrates was apparent in sites such as SSSI Area 2 and the SSSI Triangle. Both sites supported well-established woodland (although the more mature woodland was in Area 2, which is outside the area that would be lost) and margins where reedswamp gave way to black
silt substrate charaterisitic of seepage habitat and often sparsely vegetated with a more diverse range of fenland macrophytes. These included Branched Bur-reed Sparganium erectum, Greater Reedmace Typha latifolia, Greater Pond Sedge Carex riparia and occasionally Tussock Sedge Carex paniculata, as well as herbs such as Water Mint Mentha aquatica, Skullcap Scutellaria galericulata, Water Forget-me-not Myosotis scorpioides, Wild Angelica Angelica sylvestris and other typical plants of fenland marginal habitats.

Standing and fallen wood decay habitat was abudant in some of the marginal habitats, and the more mature trees featured rot holes and other feaures important to carr woodland invertebrates. Branches of older Alder and other trees also often supported lichen assemblages, which gave rise to the significant epiphyte assemblages recorded from some of the datasets. There were also several uncommon species associated with the canopy and foliage of Alder and other wet woodland trees.

Whilst there are evident conflicts in management of wet woodland in relation to swamp habitat, and planting new wet woodland within or adjacent to newly-created wetland habitat is arguably ill-advised, consideration will nevertheless be given to incorporating wood decay habitat into these areas. As mentioned previously, mitigation opportunities may include restoration of degraded blocks of wet woodland, such as Turf Pits, Grimseys and Leiston Carr. However, caution is required, as all these blocks contain some habitat currently of value to invertebrates.

### 8.3 Coastal habitat

### 8.3.1 Rationale

The most significant assemblages recorded from ISIS analysis of the dry sandy grassland and dune/heath habitats of the Coastal Strip CWS and the Minsmere and Walberswick SAC and SSSI were 'F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic' and 'F112 - Open short sward'.

Species associated with the F1 assemblage type are typical of lowland habitats where disturbance removes vegetation to create areas of bare or sparsely vegetated ground. Such conditions are found in a range of situations and for different reasons. In this location, the coastal dune habitat is subject to accretion of blown sand and there was evidence of rabbit grazing on both the Coastal Strip and the SAC dune heath.

Lott et al.,(2007) states, in the description for F1, that the 'juxtaposition of disturbed areas of bare ground with other structural types of vegetation is often important to insects with complex life cycles that require different microhabitats at different stages of development. This juxtaposition is associated with small-scale dynamic processes driven by cyclical disturbance patterns' and that 'many species are efficient at dispersing and colonising newly-formed habitats, but landscapes with some degree of continuity of habitat are more likely to hold assemblages of the highest conservation value.'

Many characteristic species of these habitats develop in the soil and have thermophilic larvae. Bare ground on south-facing slopes is therefore a particularly valuable microhabitat for this assemblage type. Furthermore, several species of this community are seed eaters that profit from the high seed production of annual plants that share the same habitats.

The 'F112 - Open short sward' Specific Assemblage Type, which like F1 attained a 'Favourable Condition' score for the Coastal Strip, is an assemblage typical of nutrient-poor soils, where grazing or disturbance limits development of tall sward, thus enabling widespread development of broad-leaved herbs. On sites such as the Coastal Strip and SAC, exposure may be significant. Species associated with these assemblages, and the other well-represented SAT
'F111 - Bare sand and chalk' are often strongly thermophilic and a free-draining sandy substrate, such as that recorded within the coastal habitats at Sizewell, provides a microclimate favourable to warmth-loving species.

It is expected that at least a proportion of the sandy grassland and associated habitats of the Coastal Strip will be lost during the Sizewell C development. Whilst the habitat within the SAC dune and heath, and the wetland habitats including reedbed, wet ditches and grazing marsh immediately inland, is not expected to be impacted directly by the footprint of the development, the knock on effects of the development may impact the site's hydrologcal regime and also, diffuse pollution from the day to day running of the power station may impact upon both fenland and coastal habitats as a potential source of nutrient depositon.

In terms of habitat creation, the recreation of the habitat in mitigation for loss of the coastal strip should, in theory, be more straightforward than for the wetland habitats at Sizewell, not least because much of this area was created as part of the construction phase for Sizewell B. However, finding a location for habitat recreation may be more problematic owing to the finite availability of suitable areas for habitat creation within the coastal zone, although it could be that these habitats are recreated once the sea defences have been constructed, in a similar location.

The recorded assemblages included a number of species with strong affinities to coastal habitat, and a large number of local and Nationally Scarce species were recorded.

### 8.3.2 Species requirements

Of the species recorded, those such as the Nationally Scarce Grey Bush-cricket Platycleis albopunctata, the Lesser Cockroach Ectobius panzeri and other Orthopteroids, such as the Stripe-winged Grasshopper Stenobotrus lineatus, are well adapted to survival in changing habitats. These species are distributed along the coast and can typically recolonise habitat rapidly, particularly during hotter summers. The same is arguably true of the Grayling and Small Heath Butterflies which require, above all, warm conditions with short sward and bare ground for thermoregulation. The Grayling, whilst of restricted range due to its thermoregulatory requirements, is abundant both within the Coastal Strip, the SAC and within the network of sandy rides inland, and is therefore likely to make a rapid comeback, in areas of temporary disturbance.

However, certain species recorded have more complex or more specific requirements and interdependencies. The apionid weevil Protapion dissimile, for example, is found only in sandy habitats where its only foodplant Hare's-foot Clover Trifolium arvense grows. Similarly, the Heath Shieldbug Legnotus picipes requires sandy habitats with bedstraws Galium spp. These and similar species, whilst being well adapted to the dynamics associated with coastal habitats, may be more localised in their distibution due to requiring several elements to be present in their habitat. Other species, such as the jewel beetle Aphanisticus pusillus and the Nationally Scarce snail-killing fly Pherbellia brunnipes are associated with wetland habitats as larvae, but favour dry sandy conditions as adults.

A major consideration is the likely impact on the dune assemblages from the Sizewell development. The presence of an RDB1 'Nationally Endangered' species, the spider-hunting wasp Evagetes pectinipes, is particularly significant. This is the first Suffolk record and one of very few records outside of its Kent stronghold. Ideally, dune habitat should be protected during the development process, where at all possible. The species was found at the interface between the cliffed, seaward edge of the dune habitat and the shingle upper shore. This habitat received little more than incidental survey attention, and it is not known how well-established this important species is within the coastal area.

Assuming the dune habitat adjacent to the coastal strip can be protected from direct impact, habitat receation for the species recorded within the Coastal Strip CWS sandy grassland would seem relatively achievable, should suitable, coastal mitigation habitat currently of low ecological value be available.

## Habitat Creation

If habitat creation is considered as a mitigation option, the following should be considered for the Coastal Strip:

- Creation of habitat would need to occur in a position of similar nature (in terms of geology), proximity to the coast, and ideally within close proximity of the existing site and with comparable wetland habitat to landward. Habitat creation would only be worthwhile in an area which is currently of low ecological value, and care would need to be taken to ensure any habitat created was likely to provide habitat of greater value than that lost.
- The substrate comprising the Coastal Strip grassland was rather flat, and whilst some microtopography was evdent, this was generally subtle. The grassland was diverse and herbrich, and translocation of turves during winter may be an option (i.e. at such a time that many species would be dormant as pupae, eggs or overwintering adults or larvae).
- The replicaton of a sward with a similar diversity of herbs and grasses would be important. Species such as Hare's-foot Clover Trifolium arvense, Lady's Bedstraw Galium verum, Common Restharrow Ononis repens and Sheep's Sorrel Rumex acetosella are all examples of herbs which have one or more associated species recorded during the survey. In the case of Sheep's Sorrel, for example, the RDB3 weevil Apion rubiginosum, which is also associated with this plant, was recorded during the Amec 2007-2010 surveys.
- The Coastal Strip grassland was essentially of fairly consistent vegetation composition throughout, and a similar range of species are likely to occur throughout. The possibility of retaining some of this habitat, even a relatively narrow strip adjacent to the dune, should be considered if at all possible, and this strip maintained throughout the development process. This would then act as a reservoir from which created habitat could be colonised.


### 8.3.3 SAC dune grassland and heath

The dune grassland, heath and scrub habitat within southern end of the Minsmere to Walberswick SAC is not expected to be impacted directly by the development. Some protection of this sensitive habitat and its associated flora and fauna should, however, be considered during the development process.

Potential issues may include drifting of dust from building and land-forming activities, and trampling, and changes in hydrology may impact upon the ditch network, reedbed and wetwoodland forming the landward boundary of the dune heath/ grassland (which is an important element of the habitat complex for some of the rarer species, as discussed above).

In the long-term, if connectivity between coastal habitats to the south of the SAC is compromised, this may adversely affect the integrity of the coastal habitat as a corridor. Consideration should be given to preventing long-term restriction in the mobiity of species.

## $9 \quad$ Conclusions

It is clear, from both the 2014 inverterbate survey work and the previous Amec studies between 2007 and 2010, that the fenland and coastal habitats within close proximity of the proposed Sizewell C development are of considerable value for their invertebrate habitats, communities and species.

The surveys carried out in 2014 were designed to focus not only on the habitats most likely to be directly affected, but also areas further afield that could be affected indirectly, such as the southern end of the Minsmere to Wlaberswick Heaths and Marshes SAC/SSSI and parts of Sizewell Marshes SSSI to the west of the proposed development (both of which could potentially be affected by distance effects, such as hydrological change or air pollution).

A total of seven areas were assessed in some detail during the 2014 surveys, and these have been referred to as: the SSSI Triangle; SSSI Area 2; SSSI Fen Meadow; the C-Platform Woodland; Minsmere to Walberswick SAC/SSSI (ditch and scrape); Minsmere to Walberswick SAC/SSSI (dune habitats); and the Coastal Strip CWS. In addition, a further five areas were assessed for their invertebrate habitats in order to provide context to the assessment: Leiston Carr (two locations); Turf Pits; Grimseys woodland; and the large area of reedswamp south of Grimseys.

The locations of all of these sites are shown on Figure 1, with more detailed habitat mapping and invertebrate habitat assessment for the main survey compartments ( 1 to 6 ) presented on Figures 2 to 5 , and 10 to 13.

Assessment of the relative value of the aquatic habitats (ditches, scrape, lagoons, etc.) has been carried out using standard measures such as BMWP, ASPT and CCI scores, whilst Natural England's invertebrate assemblage computer package ISIS (Invertebrate Specieshabitats Information System) has been used to characterise and evaluate both the terrestrial and aquatic invertebrate communities across the sample sites.

Unsurprisingly, given that much of the habitat surveyed was within SAC and/or SSSI designations, the invertebrate species and assemblages recorded in many of the survey compartments were found to be of relatively high nature conservation value. However, even the coastal strip, which is currently afforded only non-statutory protection, was also found to support an invertebrate assemblage of National importance.

It is also important to note, however, that more valuable examples of many of the habitats due to be directly affected (in particular the wet woodland within the C-Platfom site, the fen meadow, and the reedbed and ditches within the SSSI Triangle) were recorded outside the main development footprint. Thus, for example, the invertebrate assemblages identified in the wet woodland within SSSI Area 2 (which is outside the footprint) were found to be of higher value than those in the SSSI Triangle or the C-Platform wet woodland site, whilst the reedbed communities within Area 2 were also found to be more valuable than those in the SSSI Triangle.

It is, nevertheless, clearly a complex picture, and considerable care will need to be exercised to ensure that impacts upon invertebrate communities are kept to a minimum. To this end, the detailed assessment of the invertebrate habitats and species set out in this report (including the specific requirements of some of the more rare species) will be invaluable in informing mitigation proposals. Detailed recommendations with regard to habitat creation and management have been provided, and these will be used to inform the mitigation strategy for the project, including the detailed habitat creation proposals at Aldhurst Farm and any habitat restoration required within the coastal strip dune grassland.
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The ISIS spreadsheet application (from Drake et al, 2007).
In order to assess an assemblage, a species list must be pasted into the first column of the ISIS 'data entry' sheet. Only scientific binomial names are accepted. It is important to delete any previous species lists first as contamination of the new list by the old may lead to serious errors. When assessing an assemblage from an SSSI for CSM, this species list would normally be produced by combining four separate samples.
In the data entry sheet, ISIS gives information on each species relating to its BAT, SAT and rarity score. If there is an error message, there could be two reasons. Firstly, the species name may belong to a taxonomic group that is not represented in the species index. In this case, no further action need be taken. Secondly, the species name may have been mistyped or a non-standard name used. In either case, the name should be corrected before proceeding Once a species list has been successfully entered, the results can be viewed in the ISIS 'results' sheet. The SAT table gives the code and the name of any SATs that have been recognised in the species list, together with a series of scores.
Scores used by ISIS - The following scores are generated for SATs:

- The 'weighted species score' is used for setting CSM targets. ISIS identifies any assemblage type whose score meets the default threshold for assessing assemblage types in favourable condition. The 'weighted species score' is usually equal to the number of species coded to that SAT, but in some SATs species are weighted for their fidelity to the SAT.


## - The 'No. spp.' score is a simple count of the species coded to that SAT.

- The 'related BAT rarity score' is the rarity score of the parent BAT (a score that is also returned in the BAT table).
together with a series of scores.
- The 'representation score' measures the relative importance of the BAT in the species list on a scale of 1 to 100 . It is designed as a coarse measure of ecological change at a small scale, for example in management units. The first visibility threshold can usefully be reduced to a lower value for this purpose. At larger scales it is influenced by sample site selection and just reports which habitats have been sampled. The second visibility threshold prevents the expression of BATs whose 'representation score' might be inflated by closely related BATs.

[^90]- The 'rarity score' is the average of all the individual species rarity scores in the assemblage. The rarity scores of individual species are often derived from their designated conservation status, but in some groups it is taken from an analysis of the number of 10km squares the species occupies, according to data held in the appropriate national recording scheme. It is therefore a version of the Species Quality Index.
'BAT species richness' is the number of recorded species that are characteristic of that BAT.
'IEC' is the Index of Ecological Continuity, a score that is used exclusively for saproxylic assemblages.
It is recommended that SATs rather than BATs should be used as features of interest for CSM. However, some invertebrate assemblages of interest are not found in SATs and these can be covered by the more comprehensive BAT classification (e.g. Arboreal Canopy, has no nested SATs). In these cases the BAT 'rarity score' should be used to assess condition. If this score meets the default threshold, 'fav' is returned in the 'Condition' column. Over fifteen species must be used in the calculation to produce a robust BAT 'rarity score'. A score based on a smaller number of species runs the risk of the score being unduly influenced by the presence of just one very rare species. Therefore, it is recommended that the first visibility threshold is set to 15 when interpreting species lists for CSM.
Table 1. Survey compartments broad habitat descriptions (see Figure 1 for locations of the Compartments).

| Compartment | Designations | General description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { SSSI Triangle } \end{aligned}$ | Sizewell Marshes SSSI Unit 2. Fen/Swamp Favourable Condition (2009) | A large tract of habitat comprising of extensive areas of Common Reed Phragmites australis reed-swamp, with Alder Alnus glutinosa and Willow Salix spp. carr and secondary and more mature wet woodland. An area comprising open water within the central area of the reed-swamp was visible from some aspects, but not surveyed due to access difficulties. However, some more florisitcally diverse swamp communities were accessed and may be further represented towards the margins of the open water areas. A number of wet ditches bordered and striated the Triangle area and were subject to aquatic sampling. These ditches varied in terms of macrophyte diversity and structure due largely to successional stage, but also location in relation to habitat juxtaposition, degree of openness/shade etc. The more open mid successional ditches typically supported a greater macrophyte diversity and structural range. Aquatic plants characteristic of ditch networks of higher conservation value including Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae and Soft Hornwort Ceratophyllum submersum for example, may also belie the presence of key aquatic invertebrate assemblages. Besides the aquatic invertebrate assemblages, the juxtaposition of for example, reedswamp and carr habitat and presence of open silt areas and water-logged wood decay habitat, provide niches for more specialised invertebrate species. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \text { SSSI Area } 2 \end{aligned}$ | Sizewell Marshes SSSI Unit 2. Fen/Swamp Favourable Condition (2009) | Separated from Sizewell C Platform by 'C Platform woodland strip', SSSI Area 2 comprises extensive areas of Common Reed Phragmites australis reed-swamp, with Alder Alnus glutinosus and Willow Salix spp. carr and mature wet woodland. Reedbed typically very dense with deep litter layer and few associate species besides Nettle Urtica dioica and Bramble Rubus fruticosusagg. inundated to a depth of between 5 and 30 cm at the time of survey, more diverse swamp communities within the marginal carr with Water-pepper Persicaria hydropiper, Water Mint Mentha aquatica, Branched Bur-reed Sparganium erectum and Water Forget-me-not Myosotis scorpioides. Two parallel drains to the south-east and north-west of Sandy Lane Drove ran the entire length of the site's north-west border and were subject to aquatic invertebrate sampling and supported a macrophyte fauna typical of the site as a whole, with more open stretches supporting a reasonably diverse flora including species such as Frogbit Hydrocharis morsusranae and Hornwort spp. Ceratophyllum spp. species often associated with ditches supporting diverse aquatic invertebrate assemblages. Additional drains running between the north-east and south-east corner of the site (adjacent to the wet woodland) were inaccessible and heavily shaded and silted. The extensive reed-swamp has potential to support key species such as Nationally Rare (RDB3), NERC Schedule 41 species, the White Mantled Wainscot Archanara nurica and other important reedbed fauna. A number of mature Alder and willow Salix spp. were recorded and the site included standing and fallen wood decay habitat, including water-logged branches and stumps favoured for larval development by specialist Diptera ad other taxa. |


| Compartment | Designations | General description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 <br> SSSI Fen <br> Meadow | Sizewell Marshes SSSI Unit 4. Grassland/fen Favourable Condition (2009) | Fen Meadow contiguous to extensive area of reed-swamp and wet woodland habitat 'SSSI Area 2' and east of secondary wet woodland strip (C Platform Woodland strip), which screens the existing SSSI from Sizewell C Platform. Habitat described in 2008 NVC survey report as - M22d - Juncus subnodulosus - Cirsium palustre fen meadow - Iris pseudacorus subcommunity. Habitat surveyed included the grassland and adjacent wet ditches adjacent to western and eastern field boundaries. On a landscape scale, the Fen Meadow forms part of a network of florisitcally similar, cattle grazed fen meadows and the associated network of field drains and ditches supported habitat with potential to support aquatic and terrestrial invertebrate assemblages of conservation interest. The Nationally Rare (RDB3) Greater Silver Water Beetle Hydrophilus piceusrecorded from the western ditch is a species associated primarily with coastal grazing marsh habitat of high conservation value. An individual (RDB1) Nationally Endangered (RDB1) Norfolk Hawker Dragonfly Aeshna isosceles was recorded over ditches, in similar habitat C500m south of the Fen Meadow during the initial (June) survey visit. |
| 4 <br> Sizewell C <br> Platform woodland strip | Not designated, adjacent to designated SSSI and SAC habitat | Narrow strip of secondary wet woodland screening the habitats to the west from the Sizewell C Platform. The habitat immediately adjacent to the Sizewell site car parks etc. comprises some created habitat with grassland planted with a range of predominately native trees and shrubs together with species of Pine Pinus spp. and Holm Oak Quercus ilex. Native trees included Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur, Silver Birch Betula pendula, Hazel Corylus avellana and Hawthorn Crataegus monogyna amongst others. Down slope a more natural, albeit secondary wet woodland habitat developed with middle -aged stands of Alder Alnus glutinosa, Grey Willow Salix cinerea and Downy Birch Betula pubescens.Downslope, parallel heavily silted and overshaded ditches lead to a surface inundated carr overstanding scattered swamp/wet woodland groundflora towards the interface with the adjacent SSSI Fen Meadow and reed swamp habitat of SSSI Area 2. Patches of Yorkshire Fog Holcus lanatus, Rough Meadow Grass Poa trivialis and Creepng Bent Grass Agrostis stolonifera grassland formed small 'lawns' within some of the more open patches of wet woodland. Low scrub comprising mainly of Bramble Rubus fruticosus agg. with Common Dog Rose Rosa canina, persisted throughout much of the drier habitat with Common Nettle Urtica dioica. The silted ditches and temporarily inundated areas within the more structually open carr habitat supported characteristic wet woodland and marginal species such as Pendulous Sedge Carex pendula, False-Fox Sedge Carex otrubae, Yellow Flag Iris Iris pseudacorus and Common Reed Phragmites australis was represented in patchy stands as was Reed Canary Grass Phalaris arundinacea. The habitat was largely somewhat too heavily shaded to support certain wet woodland invertebrate assemblages. Exposed silt habitats with water-logged wood decay habitat provided some potential interest with some fallen Birches with loose bark and superficial rot habitat. The juxtaposition of the woodland strip in relation to the Fen Meadow and Reed-swamp increased the potential value of this habitat, including the canopy. Natonally Rare (RDB3) and NERC Schedule 41 species, White Mantled Wainscot Archanara nurica was recorded in a moth trap run within this habitat, however, it is likely that this was attracted from adjacent SSSI reed-swamp. |

## General description

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Compartment } & \text { Designations } & \text { General description } \\ \hline 5 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Not designated, } \\ \text { adjacent to designated } \\ \text { SSSI and SAC habitat }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Strip comprising coastal shingle, vegetated sand dune and created sandy grassland adjacent to the seaward aspect } \\ \text { of the Sizewell C Platform. Survey focused primarily on the flattish grassland strip inland of the dune system. This } \\ \text { sheltered belt of habitat supported a diverse, albeit rather uniformly planted range of typical sandy grassland species, } \\ \text { providing a flower-rich resource, within a predominantly dry, sheltered yet open habitat. Bare sand patches and } \\ \text { localised shingle exposures, provided additional feature beneficial to invertebrate species typical of coastal zone } \\ \text { habitats. Collectively the dune habitat and grassland strip supported an extensive Orthopteran fauna with } \\ \text { approximately half of the native British fauna recorded in this area, including the Nationally Scarce Grey Bush-cricket } \\ \text { Platycleis albopunctata together with the closely related Lesser Cockroach Ectobius panzeri.Two butteflies listed as } \\ \text { NERC Section 41 'Species of principal importance' were also recorded including the Grayling Hipparchia semele and } \\ \text { the Small Heath Coenonympha pamphilus.The flower-rich habitat combined with the extent of exposed sand } \\ \text { provides potential for a high diversity of ground nesting aculeate Hymenoptera to be recorded as well asa range of } \\ \text { other specialist and generalist species. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{ll}\text { Minsmere } \\ \text { SAC and SSSI }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Minsmere to } \\ \text { Walberswick Heaths } \\ \text { and Marshes SAC; } \\ \text { Minsmere to } \\ \text { Walberswick Heaths } \\ \text { and Marshes SSSI Unit }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Areas from which sampling was undertaken within the SAC included primarily the coastal dune and sandy } \\ \text { grassland/heath habitat occupying a zone defined by the line of concrete tank traps at the northern extremity of the } \\ \text { area described as the 'Coastal Strip' northwards for approximately 350m. In addition to the dune and sandy } \\ \text { grassland/heath habitat a narrow strip of transition scrub/woodland and the strip of coastal reed swamp beyond was } \\ \text { also described. The ditch forming the western boundary of this reed-swamp was subject to aquatic sampling as was } \\ \text { the shallow scrape occupying the coastal grazing marsh to the west of the ditch. As a whole, the succession of } \\ \text { habitats from the upper shore to the vegetated dune habitat, the flatter sandy grassland/heathland habitat and its } \\ \text { interface with the scrub woodland belt and subsequent reed-bed habitat, provided a particularly varied transition both } \\ \text { in abiotic terms, due to edaphic, geomorphological and hydrological conditions and in terms of florstic diversity. }\end{array} \\ \text { Besides the diversity of the individual habitats, transition zones between for example the upper sandy }\end{array}\right]$
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Compartment } & \text { Designations } & \text { General description } \\ \hline & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Area y: Leiston Carr wet woodland mapped in 2008 NVC survey as comprising W2a Salix cinerea-Betula } \\ \text { pubescens-Phragmites australis woodland to the south grading into W6a Alnus glutinosa - Urtica dioica woodland to } \\ \text { the north. Habitat viewed from a distance due to access difficulties, but the southern area appeared relatively dry for } \\ \text { wet woodland with Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur alongside Downy Birch, Alder and Grey Willow. }\end{array} \\ \hline 8 & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Sizewell Marshes SSSI - } \\ \text { Unit 2. Fen/Swamp - } \\ \text { Favourable Condition } \\ \text { (2009) }\end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{l}\text { Mature Alder Alnus glutinosa and Downy Birch Betula pubescens with open water bodies. Ditch along western } \\ \text { boundary fairly open with a varied age structure of trees. Mature, multistemmed Alder with suckering bases and } \\ \text { younger Alder seedlings. Also mixed stands of younger and more mature Downy B. pubescens and Silver Birch } \\ \text { B.pendula.Some wood decay habitat present predominantly standing and fallen birch wood, with rot holes and } \\ \text { peeling bark habitat, also sap runs in some of the living trees. Some branches and fallen trunks within water-bodies, } \\ \text { providing saturated wood with potential to support specialist Diptera including for example Syrphidae and Tipulidae } \\ \text { spp. Ground layer with uneven topography and varing level of surface water; generally wet at time of survey with } \\ \text { some inundated areas and also drier areas frequently colonised by grasses, predominately Yorkshire Fog Holcus }\end{array} \\ & & \begin{array}{l}\text { lanatus. Wetter areas variously supported stands of Common Nettle Urtica dioica and Water-pepper Persicaria } \\ \text { hydropiper with more scattered Soft Rush Juncus effusus, Hard Rush J. inflexus, Yellow Flag Iris Iris psuedacorus, } \\ \text { Water Mint Mentha aquatica and Brooklime Veronica beccabunga. Common Reed Phragmites australis typically }\end{array} \\ \text { flanked the ditches and water-bodies and weedy margins often included water starwort species Callitriche spp. and } \\ \text { Lesser Water-parsnip Berula erecta. Expanses of exposed, unvegetated silt and leaf litter provided habitat for }\end{array}\right\}$

[^91]| Compartment | Designations | General description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | Sizewell Marshes SSSI - | Phragmites australis reed-swamp occupying land adjacent to the ditch northwest of Sandy Lane Drove. Fairly dense <br> Reed-swamp <br> (north-west of <br> Sandy Lane |
| Unit 2. Fen/Swamp -  <br> Drove) Favourable Condition <br> (2009) possibly in the process of succession from fen meadow to reed-swamp. Additional macrophytes included Water Mint <br> Mentha aquatica, Water-pepper Persicaria hydropiper, Gipsywort Lycopus europaeus, Fool's Water-cress Apium <br>  nodiflorum, Greater Bird's-foot Trefoil Lotus pedunculatus, Nodding Bur-marigold, Bidens cernua and Marsh Thistle <br> Cirsium palustre. A water starwort Callitriche sp. was also recorded in wetter areas. The habitat lacked a deep litter <br> layer characteristic of more mature reed swamps. Likely to provide habitat for a range of species including the larvae <br> of wainscot moths not associated with mature reed beds and other stem developing species as well as general <br> swamp species associated with flowering plant resource. The presence of Nodding Bur-marigold suggests a <br> seasonally fluctuating water table, species associated with fluctuating water tables in fen habitats may also occur in <br> this habitat. |  |  |

Table 2. Aquatic sample site locations and descriptions (see Figures 6-9 for locations).

| Sample site | Sample zone | Sampling Date | Grid reference | Habitat Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | SSSI Triangle | 19/06/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TM46958 } \\ & 64453 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch approximately 3 m wide $\times 0.75 \mathrm{~m}$ at deepest point. Well vegetated, mid-succession, Water-cress Rorippa nasturtium-aquaticum dominant in channel emergent vegetation, with abundant Lesser Water-parsnip Berula erecta and Common Reed Phragmites australis, mainly at margins. Common Duckweed Lemna minor and to a lesser extent, Large Duckweed Spirodela polyrhiza locally forming dense carpet on surface. Sample site just in field (cattle-grazed pasture) of junction with SSSI Triangle. Partially shaded by Birch Betula sp. and Alder Alnus glutinosa. Flow direction east; very slow. Water clear. |
| A2 | SSSI Triangle | 19/06/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM47044 } \\ & 64443 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch approximately 4 m wide $\times 0.75 \mathrm{~m}$ at deepest point. Open water well vegetated, mid-succession, Water-cress Rorippa nasturtium-aquaticum dominant component of in-channel, emergent vegetation, with abundant water starwort Callitriche sp. and Common Duckweed Lemna minor. Flow directon east; very slow Water clear. Banks with Common Nettle Urtica dioica and False Oat Grass Arrhenatherum elatius. |
| A3 | SSSI Triangle | 19/06/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM47223 } \\ & 64489 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch approximately 4 m wide $\times 0.75 \mathrm{~m}$ at deepest point. Densely vegetated with Sweet-grass Glyceria plicata/fluitans forming a dense, surface mat with Water-cress Rorippa nasturtium-aquaticum and occasional emergent Greater Reedmace Typha latifolia. Floating-leaved aquatics included Common Duckweed Lemna minor, Large Duckweed Spirodella polyrhiza and very occasional Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae.a water starwort Callitriche sp. also present. Flow direction east, very slow, water clear. |
| A4 | SSSI Triangle | 19/06/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM47144 } \\ & 64271 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch approximately 3 m wide $\times 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ at deepest point. Heavily shaded by riparian Alder Alnus glutinosa and Sallow Salix cinerea/caprea. Adjacent vegetation dominated by marginal Common Reed Phragmites australis with Common Nettle Urtica dioica. Flow very slow. Little emergent vegetation but abundant Common Duckweed Lemna minor on surface with some Water-cress Rorippa nasturtium-aquaticum, Nuttall's Waterweed Elodea nuttalli and a water starwort Callitriche sp.found in sample. Flow east; very slow, silted. Later succession. |
| A5 | SSSI Triangle | 19/06/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM47140 } \\ & 64275 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch approximately 3m wide, depth indetermined due to dense covering of Common Duckweed Lemna minor. Shaded by riparian willow Salix spp. Margins and banks bordered with Common Reed Phragmites australis.Flow direction east; very slow |
| A6 | SSSI Triangle | 19/06/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM47364 } \\ & 64512 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch approximately 3 m wide, by 0.7 m maximum depth. Shaded by riparian Alder Alnus glutinosa. With dense Common Reed Phragmites australis and Common Duckweed Lemna minor. Flow direction east; very slow. |

[^92]Hyder Consulting (UK) Limited-2212959

Sample $\quad$ Sample zone $\quad$ Sampling $\quad$ Grid reference $\begin{aligned} & \text { Habitat Description }\end{aligned}$

| Sample site | Sample zone | Sampling Date | Grid reference | Habitat Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A7 | SAC Ditch | 19/06/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM47432 } \\ & 64755 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch at margin of grazing marsh (north) and reedbed strip (south). Approximately $2-3 \mathrm{~m}$ wide, maximum depth 1 m . With marginal shallows. Flow east, very slow. Water clear. Well vegetated, mid stage of succession, Common Duckweed Lemna minor covering surface with in-channel macrophytes including Water-cress Rorippa nasturtium-aquaticum, Lesser Water-parsnip Berula erecta, and tall emergents including Branched Bur-reed Sparganium erectum and marginal Common Reed Phragmites australis, Yellow Flag Iris Iris pseudacorus and Greater Willowherb Epilobium hirsutum. |
| A8 | SAC Scrape | 19/06/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM47334 } \\ & 64860 \end{aligned}$ | Open, shallow scrape, well vegetated with emegent Spike rushes Eleocharis palustris/uniglumis and Common Water Plantain Alisma plantago-aquaticum with submerged aquatics including Fennelleaved Pondweed Potamogeton pectinatus and Curled Pondweed Potamogeton crispus. Depth gradually increasing to approximately 0.5 m . RDB3 Nationally Rare, Great Silver Water Beetle Hydrophilus piceus in sample (photographed and released). |
| A9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SSSI Fen } \\ & \text { Meadow Ditch } \end{aligned}$ | 19/06/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM46997 } \\ & 63817 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch located at eastern border of cattle-grazed fen meadow; approximately 2 m wide by 1 m maximum depth. Partially shaded by wet woodland margin to east. With emergent Branched Burreed Sparganium erectum with False Fox Sedge Carex otrubae and surface covering of Common Duckweed Lemna minor interspersed with Large Duckweed Spirodela polyrhiza. |
| A10 | SSSI Fen <br> Meadow Ditch | 19/06/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM46903 } \\ & 63846 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch located at western border of cattle-grazed fen meadow; approximately 2 m wide by 1 m maximum depth. Partially shaded by riparian Alder Alnus glutinosa and sallow Salix cinerea/caprea. Greater/Lesser Pond Sedge Carex riparia/acutiformis, False Fox Sedge Carex otrubae at margins with Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae, Common Duckweed Lemna minor and Large Duckweed Spirodela polyrhiza and submerged aquatics including a water milfoil Myriophyllum sp.Flow north, very slow. |
| A11 | SSSI Area 2 | 19/06/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM46662 } \\ & 63751 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch approximately 4 m wide, up to 1 m deep and of mid-late successional stage. Partial shade from riparian Alder Alnus glutinosa. Constant cover of Common Duckweed Lemna minor over surface, with emergent Common Reed Phragmites australis. |
| A12 | SSSI Area 2 | 19/06/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM46658 } \\ & 63719 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch heavily shaded by overhanging vegetation, with deep silt layer, some Common Duckweed Lemna minor and emergent sedge species Carex sp. Flow west, very slow. |
| A13 | SSSI Area 2 | 19/06/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM46727 } \\ & 63841 \end{aligned}$ | Well vegetated ditch (west of Sandy Lane Drove) with floating-leaved species including Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae and Common Duckweed Lemna minor amongst in-channel Common Reed Phragmites australis.with Lesser Water-parsnip Berula erecta. Submerged aquatic Soft Hornwort Ceratophyllum submersum found in sample. Flow north, very slow. |
| A14 | SSSI Area 2 | 19/06/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM46765 } \\ & 63871 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch located to the east of Sandy Lane Drove. Approximately 4m wide, surface densely covered in Common Duckweed Lemna minor with emergent Common Reed Phragmites australis. Partial shade from Alder Alnus glutinosa. Flow north, very slow. |


| Sample <br> site | Sample zone | Sampling <br> Date | Grid reference | Habitat Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A15 | SSSI Area 2 | $19 / 06 / 2014$ | TM46694 <br> 63796 | Ditch located to the east of Sandy Lane Drove. Approximately 4m wide, surface densely covered in <br> Common Duckweed Lemna minor with Large Duckweed Spirodela polyrhiza and some Frogbit <br> Hydrocharis morsus-ranae,emergent Common Reed Phragmites australis and a water starwort <br> Callitriche sp. and Fennel-leaved Pondweed Potamogeton pectinatus in sample.Partial shade from <br> Alder Alnus glutinosa. Flow north, very slow. |
| A16 | SSSI Area 2 | $19 / 06 / 2014$ | TM46686 <br> 63789 | Ditch approximately 4m wide, Iocated to the west of Sandy Lane Drove. Shaded by riparian Alder <br> Alnus glutinosa, surface densely covered in Common Duckweed Lemna minor with sedge sp. Carex <br> sp, and Branched Bur-reed Sparganium erectum. Submerged aquatic vegetation included including a <br> hornwort Ceratophyllum sp. Flow north, very slow. |
| A17 | SAC Ditch | $12 / 08 / 2014$ | TM47418 <br> 64678 | Ditch at margin of grazing marsh (north) and reedbed strip (south) approximately 3m wide x up to 1m <br> deep, with marginal shallows. Flow east, very slow. Water clear. Well vegetated, mid stage of <br> succession, Common Duckweed Lemna minor covering surface with in-channel macrophytes <br> including Water-cress Rorippa nasturtium-aquaticum, Lesser Water-parsnip Berula erecta, and tall <br> emergents including Branched Bur-reed Sparganium erectum and marginal Common Reed <br> Phragmites australis, Yellow Flag Iris Iris pseudacorus and Greater Willowherb Epilobium hirsutum. |
| A18 | SSSI Triangle | $12 / 08 / 2014$ | TM46957 <br> 64456 | Ditch approximately 3m wide x 0.75m at deepest point. As A1, vegetation cover approximately <br> $70 \%$ Cattle poached marginal habitat with Water-pepper Persicaria hydropiper and Soft Rush Juncus <br> effusus, channel with Water-cress Rorippa nasturtium-aquaticum, Lesser Water-parsnip Berula <br> erecta with patches of Common Reed Phragmites australis. |
| A19 | SSSI Triangle | $12 / 08 / 2014$ | TM47059 <br> 64431 | Ditch width approxiately 4m, maximum depth 0.5m; flow east, very slow; water clear mostly <br> unshaded. Surface covered with dense Common Duckweed Lemna minor; aquatic emergents <br> included Water-cress Rorippa nasturtium aquaticum with Lesser Water-parsnip Berula erecta and <br> Common Reed Phragmites australis. |
| A20 | SSSI Triangle | $12 / 08 / 2014$ |  |  |


| Sample | Sample zone | Sampling | Grid reference | Habitat Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Sample site | Sample zone | Sampling Date | Grid reference | Habitat Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A21 | SSSI Triangle | 12/08/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM46986 } \\ & 64319 \end{aligned}$ | Tall herb swamp habitat at the margin of open water area. Well vegetated shallows extending gradually outwards into open water, more open than adjacent Common Reed Phragmites australis swamp, partially shaded from wet woodland margin, with encroaching Alder Alnus glutinosa and willows Salix spp. Water clear, still. litter layer and vegetation structure providing niches for a range of aquatic and hygrophilous invertebrates. Vegetation relatively diverse wih tall emergents including Branched Bur-reed Sparganium erectum, Common Reed Phragmites australis, False-fox Sedge Carex otrubae, Bottle Sedge Carex rostrataand Compact Rush Juncus conglomeratus with Water Mint Mentha aquatica, Gipsywort Lycopus europaeus, Water Dock Rumex hydrolapathi, Marsh Bedstraw Galium palustre and a range of other species. |
| A22 | SSSI Triangle | 12/08/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM47255 } \\ & 64454 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch approximately 4 m wide and 0.5 m deep. Still, shade roughly $50 \%$, Common Duckweed Lemna minor covering surface. Vegetated mainly with Lesser Water-parsnip Berula erecta and Common Reed Phragmites australis. |
| A23 | SSSI Triangle | 12/08/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM47283 } \\ & 64429 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch approximately 4 m wide and 0.5 m deep. Open water with Common Reed Phragmites australis and floating leaved aquatics including Common Duckweed Lemna minor and Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae. |
| A24 | SSSI Triangle | 12/08/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM47366 } \\ & 64514 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch approximately 4 m wide and 0.5 m deep. Shaded and surface covered with Common Duckweed Lemna minor, with marginal/emergent vegetation including Common Reed Phragmites australis and Branched Bur-reed Sparganium erectum providing some additional structure beneficial to aquatic invertebrates. |
| A25 | SSSI Area 2 | 12/08/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM46795 } \\ & 63904 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch approximately 4 m wide and 0.5 m deep. Mid-late succession, heavily vegetated and botanically diverse with floating-leaved aquatics including Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae, Common Duckweed Lemna minor and Large Duckweed Spirodela polyrhiza with Common Reed Phragmites australis, Lesser Water-parsnip Berula erecta, Marsh Bedstraw Galium palustre and a range of other species. Margins of adjacent field indundated at time of survey, included within sample. Habitat with high potential to support diverse invertebrate assemblages and species such as Great Silver Water Beetle Hydrophilus piceus. |
| A26 | SSSI Area 2 | 12/08/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TM46772 } \\ & 63877 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch approximately 4 m wide and 0.5 m deep. Mid-late succession, with Common Duckweed Lemna minor and Common Reed Phragmites australis at borders and aquatic species including a water starwort Callitriche sp. providing some structural diversity. |
| A27 | SSSI Area 2 | 12/08/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM46769 } \\ & 63876 \end{aligned}$ | Ditch overshaded by Crack Willow Salix fragilis at sample site. Open water habitat with Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae and Common Reed Phragmites australis. |
| A28 | SSSI Area 2 | 12/08/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM46727 } \\ & 63838 \end{aligned}$ | Florisically diverse ditch approximately 3 m wide by 0.5 m maximum depth. Structurally good invertebrate habitat with emergent Lesser Water-parsnip Berula erecta, floating-leaved Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae and submerged aquatics including a hornwort Ceratophyllum sp., and non-native Nuttall's Waterweed Elodea nuttalli. |


| Sample <br> site | Sample zone | Sampling <br> Date | Grid reference | Habitat Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A29 | SSSI Area 2 | $12 / 08 / 2014$ | TM46698 <br> 65798 | Ditch appoximately 4m wide, depth undetermined. Heavily shaded by bankside Alder Alnus glutinosa <br> Common Duckweed Lemna minor covering surface of open water. Margins with Common Reed <br> Phragmites australis, Water-pepper Persicaria hydropiper, Water Mint Mentha aquatica and <br> Creeping Buttercup Ranunculus repens. |
| A30 | SSSI Area 2 | $12 / 08 / 2014$ | TM46950 <br> $63672 ?$ | Heavily shaded ditch approximately 3m wide by 0.5m deep. With Common Reed Phragmites <br> australis, Lesser Water-parsnip Berula erecta and Water-pepper Persicaria hydropiper. |
| A31 | SSSI Fen <br> Meadow Ditch | $13 / 08 / 2014$ | TM46906 <br> 63822 | Mid-late sucessional ditch at the interface between of a botanically diverse fen meadow and a <br> wooded strip, creating partial shade (approximately 20\%) from the westerly aspect. Ditch <br> approximately 4m wide and up to 1m deep. Fairly dense vegetation providing structural diversity. <br> Abundant in-channel Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae, with Common Duckweed Lemna minor and <br> Large Duckweed Spirodela polyrhiza on surface and submerged aquatics including a hornwort <br> Ceratophyllum sp. Marginal vegetation included Common Reed Phragmites australis, Greater/Lesser <br> Pond Sedge Carex riparia/acutiformis, False Fox Sedge Carex otrubae, Marsh Bedstraw Galium <br> palustre and Marsh Woundwort Stachys palustris. Overhanging trees including Alder Alnus glutinosa <br> and Grey/Goat Willow Salix cinerea/caprea. RDB3 nationally rare Great Silver Water Beetle <br> Hydrophilus piceus in sample, habitat typical of grazing marsh ditches favoured by this species. |
| A32 |  | SSSI Fen <br> Meadow Ditch | 13/08/2014 | TM46953 <br> 63897 |
|  |  |  | Ditch approximately 4m wide, up to 1m deep and of mid-late successional stage. Fairly heavily <br> shaded from eastern aspect interface with wet woodland strip (approximately 50\% shade) due to <br> overhanging Alder Alnus glutinosa, Grey/Goat Willow Salix cinerea/caprea and Crack Willow Salix <br> fragilis. Marginal habitat with encroaching Greater/Lesser Pond Sedge Carex riparia/acutiformis, <br> False Fox Sedge Carex otrubae with Common Reed Phragmites australis, a sweet grass Glyceria <br> fluitans/plicata, Water Mint Mentha aquatica and Creeping Bent Grass Agrostis stolonifera.Open <br> water surface with dense Common Duckweed Lemna minor, Large Duckweed Spirodela polyrhiza <br> and Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae. Snail-rich habitat with potential to support Great Silver Water <br> Beetle Hydrophilus piceus as found at sample site A31. |  |

Table 3. Terrestrial invertebrate sample locations and habitat descriptions (see Figures 6-9 for locations).

| Sample site | Sample zone | Sampling Date | Grid reference | Habitat Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SSSI } \\ \text { Triangle } \end{gathered}$ | 17/06/2014 | TM47019 64409 | Wet woodland with Alder Alnus glutinosa, Downy Birch Betula pubescens, Grey willow Salix cinerea and Crack Willow S. fragilis. Species-poor ground flora with Yorkshire Fog Holcus lanatus (not H. mollis), Rough Meadow Grass Poa trivialis, Common Nettle Urtica dioica and Persicaria hydropiper.Some mature trees and fairly open structure, light persisting to ground layer. Wet silt with dappled shade at margins of ditches and ditch edge vegetation targeted for sampling. |
| T2 | SSSI <br> Triangle | 17/06/2014 | TM46981 64333 | Wet woodland/reed-swamp interface. Wet woodland/carr habitat predominately Alder Alnus glutinosa saplings and small trees (secondary woodland). Common Reed Phragmites australis reedswamp, margin of dense reedswamp and scattered reed and other vegetation persisting beneath dappled shade of carr. Ground uneven with sparsely vegetated silted channels - seepage habitat. Patches of Rough Meadow Grass Poa trivialis and Common Nettle Urtica dioica with scattered wetland vegetation including Celery-leaved Buttercup Ranunculus sceleratus, Gipsywort Lycopus europaeus, Water Dock Rumex hydrolapathi, Soft Rush Juncus effusus and Water Mint Mentha aquatica. |
| T3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SSSI } \\ \text { Triangle } \end{gathered}$ | 17/06/2014 | TM46991 64322 | Open, herb-rich fen at the margin of open water area. Well vegetated shallows extending gradually outwards into open water, more open than adjacent Common Reed Phragmites australis swamp, partially shaded from wet woodland margin, with encroaching Alder Alnus glutinosa and willows Salix spp. Water clear, still. Structurally and florisitically diverse wih tall emergents including Branched Burreed Sparganium erectum, Common Reed Phragmites australis, False-fox Sedge Carex otrubae,Bottle/Cyperus Sedge Carex rostrata/pseudocyperus, Compact Rush Juncus conglomeratus, Greater Reednmace Typha latifolia and a clubrush Schoenoplectus lacustris/tabarnaemontari with Water Mint Mentha aquatica, Gipsywort Lycopus europaeus, Water Dock Rumex hydrolapathi, Marsh Bedstraw Galium palustre, Common Water Plantain Alisma plantago-aquatica and a range of other species. |
| T4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SSSI } \\ \text { Triangle } \end{gathered}$ | 17/06/2014 | TM47200 64447 | Common Reed Phragmites australis reedbed, relatively dry with water at or below soil surface. In clearing with mature birch Betula spp. Reedbed with Bramble Rubus fruticosus agg. and scattered ruderal vegetation including Common Nettle Urtica dioica, Rough Meadow Grass Poa trivialis, Hedge Bindweed Calystegia sepium, Wild Angelica Angelica sylvestris, Hemp Agrimony Eupatorium cannabinum, Herb Robert Geranium robertianum, Tufted Forget-me-not Myosotis laxa, Marsh Thistle Cirsium palustre, Lesser Stitchwort Stellaria graminea and Marsh Bedstraw Galium palustre. |


| Sample site | Sample zone | Sampling Date | Grid reference | Habitat Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T5 | SSSI Area 2 | 18/06/2014 | TM46691 63773 | Wet woodland/Alder Alnus glutinosa carr with mature multi-stemmed Alder and Crack Willow Salix fragilis persisting into Phragmites australis reedswamp. Standing and fallen deadwood and log pile. Ground layer at edge of reedswamp and wet ditches with Rough Meadow Grass Poa trivialis dominant with Common Nettle Urtica dioica and Cleavers Galium aparine and Branched Bur-reed Sprganium erectum in ditches. Also typical wet woodland associates including Bittersweet Solanum dulcamara, Water Mint Mentha aquatica, Skullcap Scutellaria galericulata, Water Pepper Pesicaria hydropiper, Wavy Bittercress Cardamine flexuosa and Wood Dock Rumex sanguineus. |
| T6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SSSI } \\ \text { Area } 2 \end{gathered}$ | 18/06/2014 | TM46743 63800 | Phragmites australis reedswamp with Alnus glutinosa carr, with mature, multistemmed Alders persisting in open reedswamp. Water table at and slightly above ground level. Other vegetation included Reed Canary Grass Phalaris arundinacea, Water Mint Mentha aquatica, Wild Angelica Angelica sy/vestris, Skullcap Scutellaria galericulata and Hemp Agrimony Eupatorium cannabinum. Both mature and sapling Alder. |
| T7 | SSSI <br> Area 2 | 18/06/2014 | TM46794 63855 | Phragmites australis reedswamp with with mature, multistemmed Alder Alnus glutinosa and Grey Willow Salix cinerea scrub persisting in open reedswamp. Also mature Hawthorn Crataegus monogyna and Hazel Corylus avellana. Water table at and slightly above ground level. Heavily silted wet ditch very sparsely vegetated silt seepage habitat at edge of carr, adjacent to open reedswamp. |
| T8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SSSI } \\ \text { Area } 2 \end{gathered}$ | 18/06/2014 | TM46832 63889 | Phragmites australis reedswamp with mature Alder Alnus glutinosa and Grey Willow Salix cinerea carr at margins. More open habitat within and at edges of carr/reedswamp with macrophytes including Celery-leaved Buttercup Ranunculus sceleratus and Water Pepper Persicaria hydropiper and waterstarwort spp. Callitriche spp. in shallow pools on silt and at bases of reeds. Also Branched Bur-reed Sparganium erectum, Brooklime Veronica beccabunga and Water Forget-me-not Myosotis scorpioides. |
| T9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SSSI } \\ \text { Area } 2 \end{gathered}$ | 18/06/2014 | TM46910 63896 | Fen meadow, herb-rich and partially inundated wet grassland with: Yorkshire Fog Holcus lanatus, Bluntflowered Rush Juncus subnodulosus, Greater Bird's-foot Trefoil Lotus pedicularis, Tufted Vetch Vicia cracca, Meadow Vetchling Lathyrus pratensis, Ragged Robin Lychnis flos-cuculi, Water Mint Mentha aquatica, Lesser Spearwort Ranunculus flammula, Celery-leaved Buttercup R. sceleratusand Marsh Bedstraw Galium palustre, Marsh Pennywort Hydrocotyle vulgaris and False Fox Sedge Carex otrubae..Some subtle variation in degree of inundation, vegetation strucure/composition, but largely homogenous, |

Sample | Sample | Sampling | Grid reference | Habitat Description |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

| Sample site | Sample zone | Sampling Date | Grid reference | Habitat Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{T} 10,11, \\ 12,13 \end{gathered}$ | Coastal Strip | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { T10,11,12 } \\ & \text { (14/07/201 } \\ & \text { 4); T13 } \\ & (17 / 07 / 201 \\ & 4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM47570 } \\ & \text { 63814; } \\ & \text { TM47583 } \\ & \text { 63912; } \\ & \text { TM47585 } \\ & \text { 64027; } \\ & \text { TM47602 } \\ & \text { 64384. } \end{aligned}$ | Dry, sandy, short sward grassland, frequent bare earth (sand and shingle) patches and sandy path. Occasional tussocky patches. Diverse and herb-rich. Grasses including Red Fescue Festuca rubra, Yorkshire Fog Holcus lanatus, Sweet Vernal Grass Anthoxanthum odoratum,Common Bent Grass Agrostis capillarisand Cock's-foot Dactylis glomerata. Ribwort Plantain Plantago lanceolata, Lady's Bedstraw Galium verum, Common Restharrow Ononis repens, Common Bird's-foot Trefoil Lotus corniculatus, Sheep's-bit Jasione montana,Common Cat's-ear Hypochaeris radicata,Common Ragwort Senecio jacobaeae,Common Stork's-bill Erodium cicutarium, Smooth Hawk's-beard Crepis capillaris, Sheep's Sorrel Rumex acetosella,English Stonecrop Sedum anglicum, Hare's-foot Clover Trifolium arvense, Common Harebell Campanula rotundifolia, Yellow Rattle Rhinanthus minor, Hairy Tare Vicia hirsuta, Sand Sedge Carex arenaria and a range of other species. |
| T14 | SAC dune grassland | 17/07/2014 | TM47580 64551 | Well vegetated dune grassland with localised scrub patches (Gorse Ulex europaeus; Bramble Rubus fruticosus agg. Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur, Silver Birch Betula pendula and Pine Pinus spp. saplings). Occasional to locally abundant dwarf shrub heath with Common Heather Calluna vulgaris, Bell Heather Erica cinerea with Sand Sedge Carex arenaria, Red/Sheep's Fescue Festuca ovina/rubra, Sweet Vernal Grass Anthoxanthum odoratum, Common Cat's-ear Hypochaeris radicata and Sheep's-bit Jasione montana. Undulating microtopography with dry, sandy bare ground providing varied microhabitat. |
| T15 | SAC dune grassland | 17/07/2014 | TM47546 64675 | As T14 but more tussocky seaward, Marram Grass Ammophila arenaria increasingly dominant. With Sand Sedge Carex arenaria, frequent patches of Common Restharrow Ononis repens and Lady's Bedstraw Galium verum. Sandy bare ground mainly around track edges. |
| T16 | SAC dune grassland | 17/07/2014 | TM47573 64834 | Upper dune grassland close to interface with scrub/carr woodland and reedbed. Dry, sheltered scrub heath. Sandy with Sand Sedge Carex arenaria and occasional Common Heather Calluna vulgaris and Bell Heather Erica cinerea. Cladonia portentosa/ciliata type lichen abundant forming almost constant cover in places. With Common Cat's-ear Hypochaeris radicata, Hairbell Campanula rotundifolia and Sheep's-bit Jasione montana. |
| T17 | SAC dune grassland | 15/08/2014 | TM47516 64624 | Dune/scrub heath interface with ericoids dry grassland and birch dominated scrub woodland |
| T18 | SAC dune grassland | 15/08/2014 | TM47509 64699 | Scrub woodland /dune heath interface with ericoids dry grassland and birch dominated scrub woodland |
| T19 | SAC dune grassland | 15/08/2014 | TM47513 64728 | Scrub woodland /dune heath interface with ericoids dry grassland and birch dominated scrub woodland |
| T20 | SAC dune grassland | 15/08/2014 | TM7508 64561 | Scrub woodland /dune heath interface with ericoids dry grassland and birch dominated scrub woodland |
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| Sample <br> site | Sample <br> zone | Sampling <br> Date | Grid reference | Habitat Description |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T21 | C- <br> Wlatform <br> Woodland | $11 / 08 / 2014$ | TM4701364103 | Mainly secondary Alder Alnus glutinosa growth; tall but slender. Fairly dense canopy with Grey Willow <br> Salix cinerea and also Hazel Corylus avellana. Swamp with varied topography. Heavily silted with ditch <br> lines. Evidence of seasonal inundation during winter months. Sparsely vegetated with Pendulous Sedge <br> Carex pendula, Hemp Agrimony Eupatoria cannabinum, Glyceria fluitans and False Fox Sedge Carex <br> otrubae. Also thinly scattered Common Duckweed Lemna minor, on pooled water in residual channels <br> and Water Foget-me-not Myosotis scorpioidesand Reed Canary Grass Phalaris arundinacea. |
| T22 | C- <br> Platform <br> Woodland |  | TM47026 64011 | Alder Alnus glutinosa woodland and inundated understorey, with Creeping Bent Agrostis stolonifera, <br> Yorkshire Fog Holcus lanatus and False Fox Sedge Carex otrubae. Survey area also included the <br> planted trees at the slope top forming a buffer to the existing Sizewell complex. Drier habitat with <br> Pedunculate Oak Quercus robur, Silver Birch Betula pendula, Hawthorn Crataegus monogyna and Holly <br> Ilex aquifolium. |
| T23 | C- <br> Wlatform <br> Woodland | $11 / 08 / 2014$ | C- <br> Platform <br> Woodland | $11 / 08 / 2014$ |


| Sample <br> site | Sample <br> zone | Sampling <br> Date | Grid reference | Habitat Description |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T27 | SSSI <br> Area 2 | $13 / 08 / 2014$ | TM4955 63947 | Phragmites australis reedswamp at the edge of willow Salix spp. and Alder Alnus glutinosa carr. Shade <br> from carr approximately 20 percent in sample area. Reeds inundated at base, with litter layer. Mature <br> willow and Alder with some standing and fallen wood decay habitat. More open swamp beneath carr <br> with Water Pepper Persicaria hydropiper, Water Forget-me-not Myosotis scorpioides, Gipsywort <br> Lycopus europaeus, Water Mint Mentha aquatica |
| T28 Branched Bur-reed Sparganium erectum. |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Terrestrial invertebrate samples taken per site by survey date (see Figures 6-9 for sample site locations).

|  |  | 16-20th June 2014 |  |  |  | 14-17th July 2014 |  |  |  | 11-16th August 2014 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Site | Sample Zone | Sweep <br> Sample | Vacuum Sample | Water Trap | Beating Sample | Sweep Sample | Vacuum Sample | Water Trap | Beating Sample | Sweep Sample | Vacuum Sample | Water Trap | Beating Sample |
| T1 | SSSI Triangle | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| T2 | SSSI Triangle | X | X | X |  | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| T3 | SSSI Triangle | X | X | X |  | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| T4 | SSSI Triangle | X | X | X |  | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| T5 | SSSI Area 2 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| T6 | SSSI Area 2 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| T7 | SSSI Area 2 | X | X | X |  | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| T8 | SSSI Area 2 | X | X | X |  | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| T9 | SSSI Fen Meadow | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T10 | Coastal Strip |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T11 | Coastal Strip |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T12 | Coastal Strip |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T13 | Coastal Strip |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T14 | SAC Dune/Heath |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T15 | SAC Dune/Heath |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T16 | SAC Dune/Heath |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T17 | SAC Dune/Heath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |
| T18 | SAC Dune/Heath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| T19 | SAC Dune/Heath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| T20 | SAC Dune/Heath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| T21 | Woodland C Platform |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X | X |
| T22 | Woodland C Platform |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X | X |
| T23 | Woodland C Platform |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X | X |
| T24 | Woodland C Platform |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X | X |
| T25 | SSSI Fen Meadow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| T26 | SSSI Fen Meadow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |


|  |  | 16-20th June 2014 |  |  |  | 14-17th July 2014 |  |  |  | 11-16th August 2014 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Site | Sample Zone | Sweep Sample | Vacuum Sample | Water Trap | Beating Sample | Sweep Sample | Vacuum Sample | Water Trap | Beating Sample | Sweep Sample | Vacuum Sample | Water Trap | Beating Sample |
| T27 | SSSI Area 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | x | x | x |
| T28 | SSSI Area 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | x | x | X |
| T29 | SSSI Area 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | x | x | x |
| T30 | SSSI Area 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | x | x | x |

Table 5. Total number of terrestrial samples taken for each survey compartment per sample technique survey dates combined (see Figure 1 for compartment locations).

| Sample Zone | Sweep Sample | Vacuum Sample | Water Trap | Beating Sample | Direct Search |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSSI Triangle | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 |  |
| SSSI Area 2 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 10 |  |
| SSSI Fen Meadow | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal Strip | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |
| SAC Dune/Heath | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Woodland C Platform | 4 | 4 |  | incidental |  |
| incidental |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Aquatic invertebrates recorded 2014 (see Figures 6-9 for sample site locations).

| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order | UK status | A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | ${ }^{4} 5$ | A6 | A7 | A8 | A9 | A10 | A11 | A12 | A13 | A14 | A15 | A16 | A17 | A18 | ${ }^{\text {A19 }}$ | A20 | A21 | A22 | A23 | A24 | A25 | A26 | A27 | A28 | A29 | A30 | A31 | A32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshwater shrimps (Amphipoda) - 2 species recorded during aquatic survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A freshwater shrimp | Crangonyx pseudogracilis | Crangonyctidae | Amphipoda | Widespread |  |  | $x$ | $x$ |  |  | $x$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $x$ | x |  |  | $x$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $x$ | x | $\times$ | $x$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| A freshwater shrimp | Gammarus pulex | Gammaridae | Amphipoda | Widespread | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| Spiders (Araneae) - 5 species recorded during aquatic survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A tetragnathid } \\ & \text { spider } \end{aligned}$ | Pachygnatha clercki | Lycosidae | Araneae | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | x |
| A lycosid spider | Pirata hygrophilus | Lycosidae | Araneae | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A lycosid spider | Pirata piraticus | Lycosidae | Araneae | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| Atetragnathid spider | Pachygnatha clercki | Tetragnathidae | Araneae | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A theridid spider | Robertus Ilvidus | Therididae | Araneae | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beetles Coleoptera) - 68 species recorded during aquatic survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A ground beetle | Elaphrus cupreus | Carabidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A ground beetle | Oodes helopioides | Carabidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| lris Flea Beetle | Aphthona nonstriata | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| A reed beetle | Donacia semicuprea | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A leaf beetle | Galerucella nymphaeae/sagittariae group | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| Dock Leat Beetle | Gastrophysa viridula | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A ladybird beetle | coccidula rufa | Coccinellidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A true weevil | Euophyrum confine | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Introduced (New Zealand) |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A ceutorhynchine weevil | Nedyus quadrimaculatus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A true weevil | Pelenomus canaliculatus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apea weevil | Sitona lineatus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A broad-nosed weevil | Strophosoma melanogrammum | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| A true weevil | Thryogenes neresis | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A diving beetle | Agabus bipustuatus | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adiving beetle | Agabus sturmii | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A diving beetle | Agabus Ilybius sp. | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A diving beetle | Colymbetes fuscus | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A diving beetle | Dytiscidae sp. | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A diving beetle | Dytiscus marginalis | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A diving beetle | Dyticcus sp. | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Unknown | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A diving beetle | Graptodytes pictus | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A diving beetle | Hydaticus seminiger | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A diving beetle | Hydroporus angustatus | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| A diving beetle | Hydrooorus incognitus | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A diving beetle | Hydroporus palustris | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | $\times$ |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | x |  |  |  |
| A diving beetle | Hydroporus pubescens | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |
| A diving beetle | Hydroporus sp. | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| A diving beetle | Hydroporus/Hygrotes sp. | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A diving beetle | Hygrotus inaequalis | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Cherrystone Beetle | Hyphyorrus ovatus | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  | $\times$ | x |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  | x |  | $\times$ |  |
| A diving beetle | Hyphydrus sp. | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sizewell C—Sizewell Marshes Invertebrate Surveys 2014s 2014 <br> Hyder Consulting (UK) Limited-2212959 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order | UK status | A1 | A2 | А 3 | A4 | A5 | A6 | A7 | A8 | A9 | A10 | A11 | A12 | A13 | A14 | A15 | A16 | A17 | A18 | A19 | A20 | A21 | A22 | A23 | A24 | A25 | A26 | A27 | A28 | A29 | A30 | 31 | A32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A shore fly | Ephyridae sp | Ephyridae | Diptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A marsh fly | Sciomyzidae sp | Sciomyzidae | Diptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fen Snout | Nemotelus pantherinus | Stratiomyidae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ornate Brigadier | Odontomyia ornata | Stratiomyidae | Diptera | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IUCN (pre } \\ & \text { 1994) - } \\ & \text { VIublerable } \\ & \text { (RDB2) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black Colonel | Odontomyia tigrina | Stratiomyidae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce (A) |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Green | Oplodontha viridula | Stratiomyidae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A soldiertly | Oxyceravanoyia sp. | Stratiomyidae | Diptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A soldierily | Stratiomyidae sp. | Stratiomyidae | Diptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| An Eristaline hovertly | Eristalini sp | Syrphidae | Diptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notch-horned | Haematopota pluvialis | Tabanidae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| Hairy-legged Horsefly | Hybomitra bimaculata | Tabanidae | Diptera | Local |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A horsefly | Tabanidae sp | Tabanidae | Diptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A cranefly larva | Tipulidae sp | Tipulidae | Diptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mayties (Ephemeroptera) - 1 species recorded from aquatic survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pond Olive | Cloeon dipterum | Baetidae | Ephemeroptera | Widespread |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| True bugs (Hemiptera - 24 species recorded from aquatic survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A leaftopper | Cicadetta viridis | Cicadellidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| A lesser waterboatman | Corixa panzeri | Corixidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A lesser | Corixa ounctata | Corixidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A lesser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| waterboatman | Corixidae sp | Corixidae | Hemiptera | Unknown |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| waterboatman | Hesperocorixa linnaei | Corixidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |
| A lesser waterboatman | Hesperocorixa sahliergi | Corixidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $x$ |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |
| A lesser | Sigara distincta | Corixidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A lesser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| waterboatman | Sigara dorsalis | Corixidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| A lesser waterboatman | Sigara falleni | Corixidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A lesser waterboatman | Sigara venusta | Corixidae | Hemiptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A pond skater | Gerris sp. | Gerridae | Hemiptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A water measurer | Hydrometra stagnorum | Hydrometridae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birch Catkin Bug | Kleidocerys resedae | Lygaeidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A mirid bug | Capsus ater | Miridae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A grass bug | Notostira elongata | Miridae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saucer Bug | llyocoris cimicoides | Naucoridae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| Water Scorpion | Nepa cinerea | Nepidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| Water Stick Insect | Ranatra linearis | Nepidae | Hemiptera | Looal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A waterboatman | Notonecta glauca | Notonectidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| A waterboatman | Notonecta maculata | Notonectidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A waterboatman | Notonecta sp | Notonectidae | Hemiptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A pygmy backswimmer | Plea minutissima | Pleidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A shore bug | Chartoscita cincta | Saldidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A shore bug | Saldula pilosella | Saldidae | Hemiptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A water cricket | Velia caprai | Velidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leeches (Hirudinea) - 4 species recorded from aquatic survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A freshwater leech | Erpobdella octoculata | Erpobdellidae | Hirudinea | Widespread | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A freshwater leech | Erpobdella testacea | Eroobdellidae | Hirudinea | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sizewell C—Sizewell Marshes Invertebrate Surveys 2014s 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Commor name | Scientitic name | Family | Order | UK status | A1 | A2 | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | A4 | A5 | A6 | A7 | A8 | A9 | A10 | A11 | ${ }^{\text {A12 }}$ | A13 | A14 | A15 | A16 | ${ }^{\text {A17 }}$ | A18 | A19 | A20 | A21 | A22 | A23 | A24 | A25 | ${ }^{\text {A26 }}$ | A27 | A28 | A29 | A30 | A31 | A32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great Ramshorn | Planorbarius correus | Planorbidae | Pulmonata | Widespread | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Keeled Ramshorn | Planortis carinatus | Planortidae | Pulmonata | Widespread |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |
| Ramshorm Snail | Planortis planortis | Planorbidae | Pumonata | Widespread | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| An amber snail | Succinea putris | Succineidae | Pulmonata | Widespread |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ | x | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | x | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Jenkins' Spire <br> Shell | Potamopyrgus antipodarium | Tateidae | Pulmonata | Introduced | $x$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| Flat Vave Snail | Vavata cristata | Valvatidae | Pulmonata | Widespread | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |
|  | Vavatapiscinalis | Valvalidae | Pumonata | widespread | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $x$ | x | x |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | x |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  | x | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| Lister's River | Viviparus conectus | Viviparidae | Pulmonata | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |
| A zonitid snail | Zonitiodes nitidus | Zonitidae | Pulmonata | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sawfilies (Symphyta) - 1 species recorded from aquatic survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A sawly | Symohyta so. | Symphya sp. | Symphya | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caddisflies (Trichoptera)- 2 species recorded from aquatic survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A cased caddisisty | Limneopilidae sp. | Limnephilidae | Trichopiera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| A cased caddisifly | Limnephius affinisinciosus | Limnephilidae | Trichopiera | Widespread |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A cased caddistly | Limneohilidae marmoratus | Limnephilidae | Trichoptera | Widespread | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pea mussels and orb mussels (Veneroida) - 3 species recorded from aquatic survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capped Orb Mussel | Musoculium lacustis | Sphaeridae | Venerioda | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horry Orb Mussel | Sphaerium correum | Sphaeridae | Veneroida | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\times}$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  | x | $\times$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Caserse }}^{\text {Casera Pea }}$ | Pisidium casertanum | Sphaeridae | Venerorida | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7. Terrestrial invertebrate survey data 2014 (see Figures 6-9 for sample site locations)



| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A thomisid spider | Xysticus erraticus | Thomisidae | Araneae |
| A crab spider | Xysticus sp. | Thomisidae | Araneae |
| An anobiid beetle | Anobium fulvicornis | Anobiidae | Coleoptera |
| An anobiid beetle | Anobium punctatum | Anobiidae | Coleoptera |
| An anobiid beetle | Grynobius planus | Anobiidae | Coleoptera |
| An anthicid beetle | Notoxus monoceros | Anthicidae | Coleoptera |
| An anthocorid bug | Orius majusculus | Anthocoridae | Coleoptera |
| An apionid weevil | Apion rubens | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| An apionid weevil | Aspidapion radiolus | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| An apionid weevil | Betulapion simile | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| An apionid weevil | Ceratapion onopordi | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| An apionid weevil | Cyanapion spencii | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| Gorse Seed Weevil | Exapion ulcis | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| An apionid weevil | Holotrichapion ononis | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| An apionid weevil | Ischnapion loti | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| An apionid weevil | Oxystoma craccae | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| An apionid weevil | Oxystoma pomonae | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| An apionid weevil | Perapion marchicum | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| An apionid weevil | Protapion dichroum | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| An apionid weevil | Protapion difforme | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| An apionid weevil | Protapion dissimile | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| An apionid weevil | Protapion nigritarse | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| An apionid weevil | Protapion trifolii | Apionidae | Coleoptera |
| A leaf-rolling weevil | Deporaus betulae | Attelabidae | Coleoptera |
| A jewel beetle | Aphanisticus pusillus | Buprestidae | Coleoptera |
| A soldier beetle | Cantharis pallida | Cantharidae | Coleoptera |
| A soldier beetle | Cantharis pellucida | Cantharidae | Coleoptera |
| A soldier beetle | Crudosilis ruficollis | Cantharidae | Coleoptera |
| A sailor beetle | Malthinus flaveolus | Cantharidae | Coleoptera |
| A sailor beetle | Malthodes dispar | Cantharidae | Coleoptera |
| A sailor beetle | Malthodes marginatus | Cantharidae | Coleoptera |
| A sailor beetle | Malthodes mysticus/guttifer | Cantharidae | Coleoptera |
| A soldier beetle | Rhagonycha fulva | Cantharidae | Coleoptera |
| A soldier beetle | Rhagonycha testacea | Cantharidae | Coleoptera |
| A ground beetle | Agonum fuliginosum | Carabidae | Coleoptera |
| A ground beetle | Agonum nigrum | Carabidae | Coleoptera |
| A ground beetle | Agonum thoreyi | Carabidae | Coleoptera |
| A ground beetle | Amara aenea | Carabidae | Coleoptera |
| A ground beetle | Badister peltatus | Carabidae | Coleoptera |
| A ground beetle | Bembidion assimile | Carabidae | Coleoptera |




| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order | UK status | T1 | T2 | тз | T4 | т5 | т6 | T7 | т8 | т9 | T10 | T11 | T12 | T13 | T14 | T15 | T16 | T17 | T18 | T19 | T20 | T21 | T22 | T23 | T24 | T25 | T26 | T27 | T28 | T29 | т30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dock Leaf Beetle | Gastrophysa viridula | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A flea beetle | Longitarsus gracilis | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A flea beetle | Longitarsus jacobaeae/flavicorni $s$ | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |
| Wheat Flea Beetle | Neocrepidodera ferruginea | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A leaf beetle | Oulema obscura | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  | x | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A leaf beetle | Phaedon armoraciae | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Celery Leaf Beetle | Phaedon tumidulus | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Willow Beetle | Phratora vulgatissima | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A leaf beetle | Phyllobrotica quadrimaculata | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Local | x | x |  |  | x | x | $x$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A reed beetle | Plateumaris discolor | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A reed beetle | Plateumaris sericea | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A leaf beetle | Sermylassa halensis | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x | $x$ |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A flea beetle | Sphaeroderma testaceum | Chrysomelidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |
| Two-spot Ladybird | Adalia bipunctata | Coccinellidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| 10-spot Ladybird | Adalia decempunctata | Coccinellidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  | x | x |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cream-spot Ladybird | Calvia quattuordecimguttat a | Coccinellidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |
| Kidney-spot Ladybird | Chilocorus renipustulatus | Coccinellidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| A ladybird beetle | Coccidula rufa | Coccinellidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seven-spot Ladybird | Coccinella septempunctata | Coccinellidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  | x | x | x |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| Harlequin Ladybird | Harmonia axyridis | Coccinellidae | Coleoptera | Introduced | x |  | x |  | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x |
| Adonis' Ladybird | Hippodamia <br> (Adonia) variegata | Coccinellidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-spot Ladybird | Micraspis 16punctata | Coccinellidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-spot Ladybird | Myrrha octodecimguttata | Coccinellidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-spot Ladybird | Propylea quattuordecimpunct ata | Coccinellidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| A ladybird beetle | Rhyzobius litura | Coccinellidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24-spot Ladybird | Subcoccinella vigintiquattuorpunct ata | Coccinellidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A cryptophagid beetle | Atomaria fuscata | Cryptophagidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |
| A cryptophagid beetle | Telmatophilus typhae | Cryptophagidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A ceutorhyncine weevil | Ceutorhynchus erysimi | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |
| Cabbage Seed Weevil | Ceutorhynchus obstrictus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Locally common |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A ceutorhyncine weevil | Ceutorhynchus pallidactylis | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A true weevil | Curculio betulae | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) | x |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A true weevil | Dorytomus taeniatus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^93]| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order | UK status | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | т8 | т9 | T10 | T11 | T12 | T13 | T14 | T15 | T16 | T17 | 18 | T19 | T20 | T21 | T22 | т23 | T24 | T25 | T26 | T27 | T28 | т29 | T30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A true weevil | Euophyrum confine | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Introduced } \\ \text { (New } \\ \text { Zealand) } \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A true weevil | Glocianus distinctus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A true weevil | Hypera nigrirostris | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A true weevil | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mecinus } \\ & \text { pascuorum } \end{aligned}$ | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A true weevil | Mecinus pyraster | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A ceutorhyncine weevil | Nedyus quadrimaculatus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A flea weevil | Orchestes pillosus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A true weevil | Orthochaetes setiger | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A broad-nosed weevil | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Otiorhynchus } \\ & \text { ovatus } \end{aligned}$ | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | $\times$ | x |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A broad-nosed weevil | Phyllobius | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Leaf Weevil | Phyllobius pyri | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banded Pine Weevil | Pissodes castaneus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A broad-nosed weevil | Polydrusus cervinus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A broad-nosed weevil | Polydrusus formosus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (A) | x |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A true weevil | Rhamphus pulicarius | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A true weevil | Rhinonchus perpendicularis | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clover Weevil | Sitona hispidulus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A pea weevil | Sitona lineatus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |  | x |  | x |
| A true weevil | Trachyphloeus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A true weevil | Trichosirocalus troglodytes | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A diving beetle | Graptodytes pictus | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A diving beetle | lybius fuliginosus | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Supertramp | Rhantus suturalis | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A click beetle | Agrypnus murinus | Elateridae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A click-beetle | Athous haemorrhoidalis | Elateridae | Coleoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A click beetle | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Dallopius } \\ \text { marginatus } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Elateridae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  | x | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A click-beetle | Denticollis linearis | Elateridae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  | $x$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| An erirhinid weevil | Notaris scirpi | Erirhinidae | Coleoptera | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nationally } \\ & \text { Scarce (B) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| An erirhinid weevil | Thryogenes nereis | Erirhinidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dung beetle | Geotrupes spiniger | Geotrupidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A water scavenger beetle | Anacaena globulus | Hydrophilidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A water scavenger beetle | Anacaena limbata | Hydrophilidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A water scavenger beetle | Anacaena lutescens | Hydrophilidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A water scavenger beetle | Cercyon impressus | Hydrophilidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A water scavenger beetle | Cercyon pygmaeus | Hydrophilidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A water scavenger beetle | Cercyon tristis | Hydrophilidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A latridid beetle | Cartodere bifasciata | Latrididae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order | UK status | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | т6 | 77 | т8 | т9 | T10 | T11 | T12 | T13 | T14 | T15 | T16 | T17 | T18 | T19 | T20 | T21 | T22 | T23 | T24 | T25 | T26 | T27 | T28 | T29 | T30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A latridid beetle | Corticaria impressa | Latrididae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| A malachite beetle | Anthocomus rufus | Malachiidae | Coleoptera | Local |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  | x |
| A malachite beetle | Malachius bipustulatus | Malachiidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A false darkling beetle | Conopalpus testaceus | Melandryidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A mirid bug | Deraeocoris flavilinea | Miridae | Coleoptera | Widespread (south) | x | $x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ | x | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grey Damselbug | Himacerus major | Nabidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A sap beetle | Epuraea biguttata | Nitidulidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| Lurid Flower Beetle | Oedemera lurida | Oedemeridae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thick-kneed Flower Beetle | Oedemera nobilis | Oedemeridae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A pselaphid beetle | Rybaxis longicornis | Pselaphidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brown Chafer | Serica brunnea | Scarabaeidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A marsh beetle | Cyphon coarctatus | Scirtidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | x | x |  | x | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  | x |
| A marsh beetle | Cyphon ochraceus | Scirtidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  | x | x | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  | x | $x$ |  |  |
| A marsh beetle | Cyphon padi | Scirtidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | x | x | x | x | $x$ | x | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ | x | x | x |  |  | $x$ | x | x | x |
| A marsh beetle | Cyphon variabilis | Scirtidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | x | x | x | x | x |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x |  |
| A marsh beetle | Microcara testacea | Scirtidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A scirtid beetle | Scirtes hemisphaericus | Scirtidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| A scraptiid beetle | Anaspis frontalis | Scraptiidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A scraptiid beetle | Anaspis garneysi | Scraptiidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A scraptiid beetle | Anaspis maculata | Scraptiidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | $x$ |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A scraptiid beetle | Anaspis regimbarti | Scraptiidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A scraptiid beetle | Anaspis thoracica | Scraptidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (A) | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A scydmaenid beetle | Cephennium gallicum | Scydmaenidae | Coleoptera | Local | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A carrion beetle | Silpha atrata | Silphidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  | x |  | $x$ | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A silvan flat bark beetle | Psammoecus bipunctatus | Silvanidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Anotylus rugosus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Brachygluta fossulata | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Drusilla canaliculata | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Gabrius splendidulus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| A rove beetle | Hygronoma dimidiata | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Lathrobium brunnipes | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| A rove beetle | Lathrobium impressum | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Local | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Lathrobium terminatum | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Lesteva sicula | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | $x$ | x |  |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Ocypus aeneocephalus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Oxytelus fulvipes | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (A) |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Paederus riparius | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | $x$ | $x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ | x | $x$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |  |
| A rove beetle | Phillonthus decorus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Philonthus micans/micantoides | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| A rove beetle | Quedius fumatus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order | UK status | T1 | T2 | т3 | T4 | T5 | т6 | T7 | т8 | тя | T10 | T11 | T12 | T13 | T14 | T15 | T16 | T17 | T18 | T19 | T20 | T21 | T22 | T23 | T24 | T25 | T26 | T27 | T28 | T29 | T30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A rove beetle | Remus sericeus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Rugilus rufipes | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus aceris | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus bifoveolatus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | x |  | $\times$ |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  | x |
| A rove beetle | Stenus bimaculatus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  | x |  | x | $\times$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |  | $\times$ |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus boops | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus cicindeloides | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus clavicornis | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus flavipes | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus fulvicornis | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus juno | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | $x$ | $x$ | x |  | x | x | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ | x | $x$ |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus latifrons | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus /ustrator | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus nitidiusculus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | x |  |  | x | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus palustris | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Nationally } \\ \text { Scarce (B) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus picipes | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | $\times$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus providus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus pusilus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Nationally } \\ \text { Scarce (B) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | x | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Stenus solutus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Tachinus proximus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Tachyporus chrysomelinus/disp ar | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Tachyporus hypnorum | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  | x |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Tachyporus nitidulus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Tachyporus obtusus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Tachyporus pallidus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  | x | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Tachyporus pusilus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Tasgius morsitans | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rove beetle | Xantholinus linearis | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A darkling beetle | Crypticus quisquilius | Tenebrionidae | Coleoptera | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Nationally } \\ \text { Scarce (B) } \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A darkling beetle | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cteniopus } \\ & \text { sulphureus } \end{aligned}$ | Tenebrionidae | Coleoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A darkling beetle | Lagria hirta | Tenebrionidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  | x | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $x$ | x | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A throsciid beetle | Trixagus dermestoides | Throsciidae | Coleoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Earwig | Forficula auricularia | Forficulidae | Dermaptera | Widespread | $\times$ |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ | x |  | x |  | $x$ | x | $x$ | $x$ | x |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lesser Cockroach | Ectobius panzeri | Blattelidae | Dictyoptera | Local |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ | x | x | x |  | $\times$ | x | $x$ | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Golden-haired Robberfly | Choerades marginatus | Asilidae | Diptera | Local | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fan-bristled Robberlly | Dysmachus trigonus | Asilidae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Striped Slender Robberfly | Leptogaster cylindrica | Asilidae | Diptera | Widespread |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kite-tailed Robberfly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Machimus } \\ & \text { atricapillus } \end{aligned}$ | Asilidae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Awl Robberfly | Neoitamus cyanurus | Asilidae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dune Villa | Villa modesta | Bombylidae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order | UK status | T1 | T2 | т3 | T4 | т5 | T6 | T7 | т8 | т9 | T10 | T11 | T12 | T13 | T14 | T15 | T16 | T17 | T18 | T19 | T20 | T21 | T22 | T23 | T24 | T25 | T26 | T27 | T28 | T29 | T30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gout Fly | Chlorops pumilionis | Chloropidae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | $\times$ |
| A chloropid fly | Dicraeus raptus | Chloropidae | Diptera | Nationally <br> Scarce |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A chloropid fly | Meromyza ornata | Chloropidae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A chloropid fly | Meromyza zachvatkini | Chloropidae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A chloropid fly | Platycephala | chloropidae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x |
| A frit fly | Thaumatomyia notata | Chloropidae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| A conopid fly | Sicus ferrugineus | Conopidae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Hercostomus aerosus | Dolichopididae | Diptera | Widespread | x | x | $\times$ |  | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Hercostomus plagiatus | Dolichopididae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Thrypticus polinosus | Dolichopididae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Bathycranium bicolorellum | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Campsicnemus armatus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Campsicnemus curvipes | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Widespread |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Campsicnemus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Widespread |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Campsicnemus pusilus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Campsicnemus scambus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Widespread |  | x |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopodidae sp. | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Unknown |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopus atratus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Widespread |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopus discifer | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopus latelimbatus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopus longitarsis | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local |  | $\times$ | x |  | x | $\times$ | $x$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopus notatus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Nationally <br> Scarce |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopus nubilis | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  | $x$ |
| A dolichopid fly | pennatus <br> Dolichopus pennatus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local |  | x | $\times$ |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopus picipes | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopus planitarsis | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopus plumipes | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Widespread | x | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopus rupestris | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | x |  | x | x | x | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopus simplex | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Widespread | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopus sp. | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Unknown |  |  | x |  | x | $x$ |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopus ungulatus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Widespread | x | x | $x$ | $x$ | x | $x$ | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopus urbanus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local |  | x |  | $x$ | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Hercostomus brevicornis | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Hercostomus chalybeus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local |  | $x$ | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Hercostomus chrysozygos | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local |  | x | x |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Hercostomus germanus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order | UK status | T1 | T2 | т3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | т8 | T9 | T10 | T11 | T12 | T13 | T14 | T15 | T16 | T17 | T18 | T19 | T20 | T21 | T22 | T23 | T24 | T25 | T26 | T27 | T28 | T29 | T30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A dolichopid fly | Hercostomus metallicus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local | x | x | x |  |  | x | $x$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Hercostomus sp. | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Unknown |  |  | x |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Hypophylus obscurellus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Medetera micacea | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Poecilobothrus nobilitatus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Rhaphium appendiculatum | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Widespread |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Rhaphium caliginosum | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Sciapus platypterus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Sybistroma crinipes | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Teucophorus spinigerellus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A dolichopid fly | Thrypticus divisus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| An empid fly | Empis hyaplipennis | Empididae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A empid fly | Empis opaca | Empididae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| An empid fly | Hilara flavipes | Empidoidea | Diptera | Widespread |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| An empid fly | Hybos femoratus | Empidoidea | Diptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| An empid fly | Phyllodromia melanocephala | Empidoidea | Diptera | Widespread | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A empid fly | Rhamphomyia culicina | Empidoidea | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| An empid fly | Tachydromia heeri | Empidoidea | Diptera | Unknown | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| An ephydrid fly | Coenia palustris | Ephydridae | Diptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| An ephydrid fly | Ephydridae sp. | Ephydridae | Diptera | Unknown |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| An ephydrid fly | Limnellia quadrata | Ephydridae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |
| An ephydrid fly | Notiphila annulipes | Ephydridae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A empid fly | Notiphila aquatica | Ephydridae | Diptera | Unknown |  | x |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| An ephydrid fly | Notiphila cinerea | Ephydridae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| An ephydrid fly | Notiphila riparia | Ephydridae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| An ephydrid fly | Paracoenia fumosa | Ephydridae | Diptera | Local | x |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x |
| An ephydrid fly | Parydra coarctata | Ephydridae | Diptera | Widespread |  | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |
| An ephydrid fly | Parydra hecate | Ephydridae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| An ephydrid fly | Parydra littoralis | Ephydridae | Diptera | Local |  | x |  |  |  | $x$ |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| An ephydrid fly | Parydra sp | Ephydridae | Diptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| An ephydrid fly | Pelina nitens | Ephydridae | Diptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| An ephydrid fly | Scatella silacea | Ephydridae | Diptera | Local |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| A cranefly | Eloeophila maculata | Limoniidae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A cranefly | Gnophomyia viridipennis | Limoniidae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce (Notable) |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A limonid cranefly | Helius flavus | Limoniidae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A cranefly | Phylidorea ferruginea | Limoniidae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  | x |  | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x | x |
| A cranefly | Pseudolimnophila sepium | Limoniidae | Diptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A lonchopterid fly | Lochoptera furcata | Lonchopteridae | Diptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| A lonchopterid fly | Lonchoptera bifurcata | Lonchopteridae | Diptera | Widespread | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ | x |  |
| A lonchopterid fly | Lonchoptera lutea | Lonchopteridae | Diptera | Widespread | x | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | $\times$ |

$\qquad$

$\qquad$| Chloromyia | Straionyidae |
| :--- | :--- |






| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order | UK status | T1 | T2 | т3 | T4 | т5 | т6 | T7 | т8 | т9 | T10 | T11 | T12 | T13 | T14 | T15 | T16 | T17 | T18 | T19 | T20 | T21 | T22 | T23 | T24 | T25 | T26 | T27 | T28 | T29 | T30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A mirid bug | Teratocoris saundersi | Miridae | Hemiptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree Damselbug | Himacerus apterus | Nabidae | Hemiptera | Widespread | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |  | x |  | x |  |
| Ant Damselbug | Himacerus mirmicoides | Nabidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A damselbug | Himacerus sp. | Nabidae | Hemiptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heath Damselbug | Nabis ericetorum | Nabidae | Hemiptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Broad Damselbug | Nabis flavomarginatus | Nabidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |
| Marsh Damselbug | Nabis limbatus | Nabidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x | x |  |  | x |  | x |  |
| Common Damselbug | Nabis rugosus | Nabidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bishop's-mitre Bug | Aelia acuminata | Pentatomidae | Hemiptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x | x | x | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hairy Shieldbug | Dolycoris baccarum | Pentatomidae | Hemiptera | Widespread | $x$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |  | x |  | x |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birch Shieldbug | Elasmostethus interstinctus | Pentatomidae | Hemiptera | Widespread | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x | x |  |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Green Shieldbug | Palomina prasina | Pentatomidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forest Bug | Pentatoma rufipes | Pentatomidae | Hemiptera | Widespread | $x$ | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gorse Shieldbug | Piezodorus lituratus | Pentatomidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turtle Shieldbug | Podops inunctus | Pentatomidae | Hemiptera | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Widespread } \\ \text { (south) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rhopalid bug | Chorosoma schillingi | Rhopalidae | Hemiptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A rhopalid bug | Myrmus miriformis | Rhopalidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A saldid bug | Chartoscirta cincta | Saldidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x | $x$ | $\times$ |
| A saldid bug | Saldula pilosella | Saldidae | Hemiptera | Local |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A saldid bug | Saldula saltatoria | Saldidae | Hemiptera | Widespread |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A tortoise bug | Eurygaster testudinaria/maura | Scutelleridae | Hemiptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A lacebug | Acalypta parvula | Tingidae | Hemiptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A lacebug | Dictyla convergens | Tingidae | Hemiptera | Local |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| A lacebug | Kalama tricornis | Tingidae | Hemiptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A mining bee | Andrena ovatula | Apidae | Hymenoptera | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Widespread } \\ & \text { (south) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Honey Bee | Apis mellifera | Apidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large Red-tailed Bumblebee | Bombus lapidarius | Apidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White-tailed Bumblebee | Bombus lucorum | Apidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Carder Bee | Bombus pascuorum | Apidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buff-tailed Bumblebee | Bombus terrestris | Apidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A mining bee | Lasioglossum calceatum | Apidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A cuckoo wasp | Trichrysis cyanea | Chrysididae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A digger wasp | Cerceris arenaria | Crabronidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A crabronid wasp | Crossocerus ovatus | Crabronidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A digger wasp | Mellinus arvensis | Crabronidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A crabronid wasp | Passaloecus clypealis | Crabronidae | Hymenoptera | Check |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Club Horned Wood Borer Wasp | Trypoxylon clavicerum | Crabronidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Knopper Gall | Andricus quercuscalicis | Cynipidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A formicine ant | Formica cunicularia | Formicidae | Hymenoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A formicine ant | Formica fusca | Formicidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x | x | x |  | x | x | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order | UK status | T1 | T2 | т3 | T4 | T5 | т6 | 77 | т8 | т9 | T10 | T11 | T12 | T13 | T14 | T15 | T16 | T17 | T18 | T19 | T20 | T21 | T22 | ${ }^{\text {T23 }}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | T25 | T26 | T27 | T28 | T29 | T30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yellow Meadow Ant | Lasius flavus | Formicidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A black ant | Lasius niger (group) | Formicidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x | x |  |  | x | x | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A myrmicine ant | Myrmica rubra | Formicidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread | x |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| A myrmicine ant | Myrmica ruginodis | Formicidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread | x | x | x | x |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  | x |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  | x |  | $\times$ |  |
| A myrmicine ant | Myrmica sabuleti | Formicidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A myrmicine ant | Myrmica scabrinodis (group) | Formicidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A myrmicine ant | Temnothorax nylanderi | Formicidae | Hymenoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| A spider-hunting wasp | Episyron rutipes | Pompilidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A spider-hunting wasp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Evagetes } \\ & \text { crassicornis } \end{aligned}$ | Pompilidae | Hymenoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A spider-hunting wasp | Evagetes pectinipes | Pompilidae | Hymenoptera | RDB1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Pill Woodlouse | Armadillidium vulgare | Armadililididae | Isopoda | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A woodlouse | Cylisticus convexus | Cylisticidae | Isopoda | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A woodlouse | Ligidium hypnorum | Ligiidae | Isopoda | Local | x | x |  |  | x | x | $x$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | $\times$ | x |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |
| Common Shiny Woodlouse | Oniscus asellus | Oniscidae | Isopoda | Widespread |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Striped | Philoscia muscorum | Philosciidae | Isopoda | Widespread | $x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ | x | $x$ | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | $\times$ | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Rough Woodlouse | Porcellio scaber | Porcellionidae | Isopoda | Widespread | x | x | x | $\times$ | x | $x$ | x | x |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | x |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | x | x | x | x | x |  |  | $\times$ |  | $x$ |  |
| Common Pygmy Woodlouse | Trichoniscus pusillus | Trichoniscidae | Isopoda | Widespread | $x$ | $x$ |  |  |  | $x$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| A longhorn moth | Nemophora degeerella | Adelidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scarce Footman | Eilema complana | Arctidae | Lepidoptera | Local | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dingy Footman | Eilema griseola | Arctidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Footman | Eilema lurideola | Arctidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rosy Footman | Miltochrista miniata | Arctidae | Lepidoptera | Local | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ruby Tiger | Phragmatobia fuliginosa fuliginosa | Arctidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buff Ermine | Spilosoma luteum | Arctiidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water Ermine | Spilosoma urticae | Arctidae | Lepidoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Round-winged Muslin | Thumatha serex | Arctidae | Lepidoptera | Local | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cinnabar Moth | Tyria jacobaeae | Arctiidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A micro-moth | Argyresthia brockeella | Argyresthidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| An argyresthid moth | Argyresthia goedartella | Argyresthiidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A micro-moth | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Argyresthia } \\ \text { trifasciata } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Argyresthiidae | Lepidoptera | Local | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water Veneer | Acentria ephemerella | Crambidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A crambid moth | Agriphila selasella | Crambidae | Lepidoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A crambid moth | Agriphila straminella | Crambidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A micro-moth | Agriphila straminella | Crambidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A micro-moth | Anania coronata | Crambidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small Magpie | Anania hortulata | Crambidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small China-mark | Cataclysta lemnata | Crambidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pale Water Veneer | Donacaula forficella | Crambidae | Lepidoptera | Local | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A crambid moth | Eudonia sp. | Crambidae | Lepidoptera | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera



 Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera
 Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera
 Lepidoptera Lepidoptera
 Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera

| Scientific name | Family |
| :---: | :---: |
| Agrotis exclamationis | Noctuidae |
| Archanara neurica | Noctuidae |
| Arenosteola phragmitidis | Noctuidae |
| Autographa pulcrina | Noctuidae |
| Axylia putris | Noctuidae |
| Chilodes maritimus | Noctuidae |
| Chortodes pygmina | Noctuidae |
| Diarsia rubi | Noctuidae |
| Hoplodrina alsines | Noctuidae |
| Laspeyria flexula | Noctuidae |
| Mythimna conigera | Noctuidae |
| Mythimna flammea | Noctuidae |
| Mythimna impura | Noctuidae |
| Mythimna pallens | Noctuidae |
| Mythimna pudorina | Noctuidae |
| Noctua interjecta caliginosa | Noctuidae |
| Noctua janthe | Noctuidae |
| Noctua pronuba | Noctuidae |
| Ochropleura plecta | Noctuidae |
| Oligia strigilis | Noctuidae |
| Oligia strigilis (agg.) | Noctuidae |
| Phlogophora meticulosa | Noctuidae |
| Protodeltote pygarga | Noctuidae |
| Pseudoips prasinana | Noctuidae |
| Rivula sericealis | Noctuidae |
| Xestia c-nigrum | Noctuidae |
| Xestia triangulum | Noctuidae |
| Zanclognatha tarsipennalis | Noctuidae |
| Furcula bifida | Notodontidae |
| Notodonta dromedarius | Notodontidae |
| Notodonta ziczac | Notodontidae |
| Phalera bucephala | Notodontidae |
| Pheosia gnoma | Notodontidae |
| Pheosia tremula | Notodontidae |
| Pterostoma palpina | Notodontidae |
| Ptilodon capucina | Notodontidae |
| Stauropus fagi | Notodontidae |
| Aglais urticae | Nymphalidae |
| Aphantopus hyperantus | Nymphalidae |
| Coenonympha pamphilus | Nymphalidae | | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Coenonympha } \\ \text { pamphilus }\end{array}$ | Nymphalidae |
| :--- | :--- | Sizewell C-Sizewell Marshes Invertebrate Surveys 2014s 2014
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( | UK status | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| UK status |
| :--- |
| Local | Widespread

Local
Widespread Widespread Widespread
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 Lithobiomorpha Widespread Mecoptera Widespread Neuroptera $\quad$ Widespread Widespread

 Order Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera




 Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera

 Lepidoptera Lepidoptera
 Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera Lepidoptera

 Lithobiomorph Neuroptera
 Odonata


| Common name |
| :--- |
| Grayling |
| x |
| White Admiral |
| Meadow Brown |
| Speckled Wood |
| Comma |
| Gatekeeper |
| Red Admiral |
| Large White |
| Green-veined White |
| Common Plume |
| Crescent Plume |
| White Plume Moth |
| A pyralid moth |
| A micro-moth |
| Large Elephant |
| Hawkmoth |
| Small Elephant |
| Hawkmoth |
| Poplar Hawkmoth |
| Eyed Hawkmoth |
| A stathmopodid moth |
| Buff Arches |
| a tortricid moth |
| a tortricid moth |
| a tortricid moth |
| a tortricid moth |
| Light Brown Apple <br> Moth <br> a tortricid moth <br> Barred Fruit-tree <br> Tortrix <br> Dark Fruit Tree Tortrix <br> A ypsolophid moth <br> Six-spot Burnet <br> A centipede <br> Common Lithobius <br> A scorpion fly <br> A lacewing <br> A sponge fly <br> Southern Hawker <br> Brown Hawker <br> Common Hawker <br> Azure Damselfly |

[^94]| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order | UK status | T1 | T2 | т3 | T4 | т5 | т6 | T7 | т8 | т9 | T10 | T11 | T12 | T13 | T14 | T15 | T16 | T17 | T18 | T19 | T20 | T21 | T22 | T23 | T24 | T25 | T26 | T27 | T28 | T29 | T30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Common Blue-tailed Damselfly | Ischnura elegans | Coenagriidae | Odonata | Widespread | x | x | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large Red Damselfly | Pyrrhosoma nymphula | Coenagriidae | Odonata | Widespread |  | x |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emerald Damselfly | Lestes sponsa | Lestidae | Odonata | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Four-spot Chaser | Libellula quadrimaculata | Libellulidae | Odonata | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black-tailed Skimmer | Orthetrum cancellatum | Libellulidae | Odonata | Widespread |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| Ruddy Darter | Sympetrum sanguineum | Libellulidae | Odonata | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Darter | Sympetrum striolatum | Libellulidae | Odonata | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A harvestman | Nemastoma bimaculatum | Nemastomatida e | Opiliones | Widespread | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |
| A harvestman | Dicranopalpus ramosus | Phalangiidae | Opiliones | Widespread | x |  | $x$ |  | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ | x | x | x | x |  |  | x | $x$ | $x$ |  |
| A harvestman | Lacinius ephippatus | Phalangiidae | Opiliones | Widespread |  | x |  | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| A harvestman | Leiobunum blackwalli | Phalangiidae | Opiliones | Widespread |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A harvestman | Leiobunum rotundum | Phalangiidae | Opiliones | Widespread | x | x | $x$ | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| A harvestman | Lophopilio palpinalis | Phalangiidae | Opiliones | Widespread |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A harvestman | Mitopus morio | Phalangiidae | Opiliones | Widespread |  | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A harvestman | Nelima gothica | Phalangiidae | Opiliones | Local |  |  |  |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A harvestman | Oligolophus tridens | Phalangiidae | Opiliones | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A harvestman | Paroligolophus agrestis | Phalangiidae | Opiliones | Widespread |  | x |  | x | x | $x$ | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |  | x | x | x | x |
| A harvestman | Phalangium opilio | Phalangiidae | Opiliones | Widespread |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A harvestman | Platybunus triangularis | Phalangiidae | Opiliones | Widespread |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lesser Marsh Grasshopper | Chorthippus albomarginatus | Acrididae | Orthoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |
| Field Grasshopper | Chorthippus brunneus | Acrididae | Orthoptera | Widespread | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ | x | x | x | $x$ | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meadow Grasshopper | Chorthippus parallelus | Acrididae | Orthoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |
| Mottled Grasshopper | Myrmeleotettix maculatus | Acrididae | Orthoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x | x | x | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Green Grasshopper | Omocestus viridulus | Acrididae | Orthoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |
| Stripe-winged Grasshopper | Stenobothrus lineatus | Acrididae | Orthoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slender Groundhopper | Tetrix subulata | Tetrigidae | Orthoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| Common Groundhopper | Tetrix undulata | Tetrigidae | Orthoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |
| Long-winged Conehead | Conocephalus discolor | Tettigoniidae | Orthoptera | Widespread |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-winged Conehead | Conocephalus dorsalis | Tettigoniidae | Orthoptera | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |
| Speckled Bush-cricket | Leptophyes punctatissima | Tettigoniidae | Orthoptera | Widespread |  | $x$ |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  | x | x |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak Bush-cricket | Meconema thalassinum | Tettigoniidae | Orthoptera | Widespread |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  | x | x |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| Roesel's Bush-cricket | Metrioptera roeselii | Tettigoniidae | Orthoptera | Widespread (south) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x | x |  | x | x |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grey Bush-cricket | Platycleis albopunctata | Tettigoniidae | Orthoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Great Green Bushcricket | Tettigonia viridissima | Tettigoniidae | Orthoptera | Local |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | $x$ |  | x |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A millipede | Polydesmus sp | Polydesmidae | Polydesmida | Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A pseudoscorpion | Dactylochelifer latreillei | Cheliferidae | Pseudoscorpio nida | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


Table 8. Red data book, Nationally Scarce and Nerc (2006) Section 41 'species of principal importance'

| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order | UK status | NERC s. 41 | Date | Recorded grid ref | Sites recorded | BAT affinity | SAT affinity | Recorded habitat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A spider-hunting wasp | Evagetes pectinipes | Pompilidae | Hymenoptera | IUCN (pre 1994) - Endangered (RDB1) | n/a | 17/07/2014 | TM475 639 | Coastal Strip | F1 | F111 | Cliffed dune edge/shingle interface |
| Ornate Brigadier | Odontomyia ornata | Stratiomyidae | Diptera | IUCN (pre 1994) <br> - Vulnerable (RDB2) | n/a | 19/06/2014 | TM46765 63871 | SSSI Area 2 | W3 | W314 | Adult in aquatic sample well vegetated ditch; adjacent to fen and carr habitat |
| Grayling | Hipparchia semele | Nymphalidae | Lepidoptera | IUCN (2001) Vulnerable | NERC S41 Species of principal importance | 17/7/2014; | TM47546 64675; TM47513 64728; TM47580 64551; TM47573 64834; TM47516 64624; TM47602 64384 | SAC (dune grassland); Coastal Strip (grassland) | F1 | F111 | Dunes, dune grassland and heath |
| White Admiral | Limenitis camilla | Nymphalidae | Lepidoptera | IUCN (2001) - <br> Vulnerable | NERC S41 Species of principal importance | 15/07/2014 | TM47000 64443 | SSSI Triangle | A1 | 0 | Track edge adjacent wet woodland |
| Great Silver Water Beetle | Hydrophilus piceus | Hydrophilidae | Coleoptera | IUCN (2001) Lower risk near threatened (RDB3) | n/a | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 19/06/2014; } \\ & \text { 13/08/2014 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TM47334 64860; } \\ & \text { TM46906 } 63822 \end{aligned}$ | SAC (scrape); Fen Meadow | W3 | W314 | Grazing marsh vegetated scrape and vegetated wet ditch |
| Levels Yellow Horned Horsefly | Hybomitra ciureai | Tabanidae | Diptera | IUCN (pre-1994) - Rare (RDB3) | n/a | 16/07/2014 | TM46743 63800 | SSSI Area 2 | W3 | W314 | Reed swamp / carr woodland interface |
| A crabronid wasp | Passaloecus clypealis | Crabronidae | Hymenoptera | IUCN (pre-1994) <br> - Rare (RDB3) | n/a | 13/08/2014 | TM46970 63988 | SSSI Area 2 | W3 | W314 | Open reed swamp with scattered carr |
| White Mantled Wainscot | Archanara neurica | Noctuidae | Lepidoptera | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IUCN (pre-1994) } \\ & \text { - Rare (RDB3) } \end{aligned}$ | NERC S41 Species of principal importance | 14/08/2014 | TM47017 63836 | C-Platform Woodland | W3 | W314 | MV trap situated at interface between wet woodland, wet ditch and fen meadow |
| Small Heath | Coenonympha pamphilus | Nymphalidae | Lepidoptera | IUCN (2001) Lower risk near threatened | NERC S41 Species of principal importance | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 20/06/2014; } \\ & \text { 17/07/2014; } \\ & \text { 17/07/2014 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM47602 64384; } \\ & \text { TM47580 64551 } \end{aligned}$ | Coastal Strip; SAC (dune/grassland) | F1 | F112 | Dune grassland and heath |
| Variable Damselfly | Coenagrion pulchellum | Coenagrionidae | Odonata | IUCN (2001) Lower risk near threatened |  | 19/06/2014 | TM46658 63719 | SSSI Area 2 | W2 | 0 | Larva in vegetated wet ditch with overhanging vegetation |
| A wolf spider | Hygrolycosa rubrofasciata | Lycosidae | Aranae | Nationally Scarce (A) |  | 15/08/2014 | TM47513 64728 | SAC (scrub woodland) | W3 | 0 | Scrub birch woodland at the interface between reedswamp and dry heath. |
| A ground beetle | Badister peltatus | Carabidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (A) | n/a | 17/07/2014 | Unrecorded | Unrecorded | 0 | 0 | Unrecorded |
| A broad-nosed weevil | Polydrusus formosus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (A) | n/a | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18/06/2014; } \\ & \text { 15/07/2014; } \\ & \text { 16/07/2014; } \\ & \text { 11/08/2014; } \\ & 15 / 08 / 2014 \end{aligned}$ | TM46691 63773; TM47024 64415; TM46794 63855; TM47013 64103; TM47509 64699 | SSSI Area 2; CPlatfform Woodland; SAC (scrub edge) | A1 | 0 | Broadleaved woodland edge including wet woodland and dune edge scrub birch/oak woodland |
| A scraptiid beetle | Anaspis thoracica | Scraptiidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (A) |  | 17/06/2014 | TM47024 64415 | SSSI Triangle | A2 | A212 | Wet woodland clearing at edge of reedswamp |
| A rove beetle | Oxytelus fulvipes | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (A) | n/a | 18/06/2014 | TM46743 63800 | SSSI Area 2 | W2 | W221 | Reed swamp / carr woodland interface |


| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order | UK status | NERC s. 41 | Date | Recorded grid ref | Sites recorded | BAT affinity | SAT affinity | Recorded habitat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black Colonel | Odontomyia tigrina | Stratiomyidae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce (A) | n/a | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19/06/2014; } \\ & 16 / 07 / 2014 \end{aligned}$ | TM47140 64275; <br> TM46958 64453 | SSSI Triangle; SAC (ditch) | W3 | W314 | 1) larva from shaded,Common Duckweed covered wet ditch with Common Reed; 2) in MV trap located at edge of wet woodland clearing adjecent to reed swamp and ditches |
| Flame Wainscot | Mythimna flammea | Noctuidae | Lepidoptera | Nationally Scarce (A) |  | 18/06/2014 | TM46958 64453 | SSSI Triangle | W3 | W314 | MV trap located at edge of wet woodland clearing adjecent to reed swamp and ditches |
| A true weevil | Pelenomus canaliculatus | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce |  | 19/06/2014 | TM47334 64860 | SAC (scrape) | W3 | W314 | Shallow, well vegetatedscrape on coastal grazing marsh |
| A diving beetle | Hydaticus seminiger | Dytiscidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce |  | 19/06/2014 | TM46662 63751 | SSSI Area 2 | W3 | W313 | Vegetated wet ditch |
| A hydrochid beetle | Hydrochus angustatus | Hydrochidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce |  | 19/06/2014 | TM47334 64860 | SAC scrape | W3 | 0 | Shallow, well vegetatedscrape on coastal grazing marsh |
| A chloropid fly | Dicraeus raptus | Chloropidae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce |  | 14/07/2014 | TM47585 64027 | Coastal Strip Grassland | F2 | F212 | Dune grassland |
| A dolichopid fly | Hercostomus plagiatus | Dolichopididae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce |  | 16/07/2014 | TM46691 63773 | SSSI Area 2 | W1 | 0 | Carr woodland merging into reed swamp |
| A dolichopid fly | Campsicnemus pusillus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce |  | 18/06/2014 | TM46832 63889 | SSSI Area 2 | W3 | 0 | Reedswamp |
| A dolichopid fly | Dolichopus notatus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce |  | 16/07/2014 | TM46768 63809 | SSSI Area 2 | W2 | 0 | Carr woodland merging into reed swamp |
| A dolichopid fly | Thrypticus divisus | Dolichopodidae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce |  | 15/07/2014 | TM47024 64415 | SSSI Triangle | W3 | 0 | Reed swamp / carr woodland interface |
| A cranefly | Gnophomyia viridipennis | Limoniidae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce |  | 16/07/2014 | TM46768 63809 | SSSI Area 2 | A2 | A212 | Reed swamp / carr woodland interface |
| A lonchopterid fly | Lonchoptera scutellata | Lonchopteridae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce |  | 16/07/2014 | TM46832 63889 | SSSI Area 2 | W3 | W314 | Reed swamp / carr woodland interface |
| A sciomyzid fly | Pherbellia brunnipes | Sciomyzidae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce |  | 14/07/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM47570 63814; } \\ & \text { TM47583 63912 } \end{aligned}$ | Coastal Strip Grassland | W3 | W314 | Dune grassland |
| A sciomyzid fly | Psacadina verbekei | Sciomyzidae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce |  | 13/08/2014 | TM46991 64005 | SSSI Area 2 | W3 | 0 | Reed swamp / carr woodland interface |
| An apionid weevil | Protapion difforme | Apionidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | 14/07/2014 | TM47585 64027 | Coastal Strip Grassland | F2 | 0 | Dune grassland |
| An apionid weevil | Protapion dissimile | Apionidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | 14/07/2014 | TM47583 63912; TM47585 64027 | Coastal Strip Grassland | F1 | F111 | Dune grassland |
| A jewel beetle | Aphanisticus pusillus | Buprestidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | 14/07/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TM47585 64027; } \\ & \text { TM47546 } 64675 \end{aligned}$ | Coastal Strip Grassland; SAC dune grassland | F1 | F112 | Dunes, dune grassland and heath |
| A soldier beetle | Crudosilis ruficollis | Cantharidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 18/06/2014; } \\ & \text { 15/07/2014; } \\ & \text { 16/07/2014 } \end{aligned}$ | TM46691 63773; TM46743 63800; TM46794 63855; TM46832 63889; TM46981 64333; TM46991 64322; TM47024 64415; TM47200 64447 | SSSI Triangle; SSSI Area 2 | F2 | 0 | Reed swamp and reed swamp / carr woodland interface |
| A ground beetle | Agonum nigrum | Carabidae | Coleoptera | Nationally <br> Scarce (B) |  | 16/07/2014 | TM46794 63855 | SSSI Area 2 | W3 | W314 | Reed swamp / carr woodland interface |


| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order | UK status | NERC s. 41 | Date | Recorded grid ref | Sites recorded | BAT affinity | SAT affinity | Recorded habitat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A ground beetle | Oodes helopioides | Carabidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | 13/08/2014 | TM46727 63838 | SSSI Area 2 | W3 | W314 | Densely vegtated and structurally diverse wet ditch |
| A true weevil | Curculio betulae | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17/06/2014; } \\ & \text { 18/06/2014; } \\ & \text { 16/07/2014; } \end{aligned}$ | TM46832 63889; TM47024 64415; TM46794 63855 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SSSI Area 2; SSSI } \\ & \text { Triangle } \end{aligned}$ | A1 | 0 | Birch and other deciduous trees at reed swamp wet woodland interface |
| A true weevil | Orthochaetes setiger | Curculionidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | 14/07/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM47570 63814; } \\ & \text { TM47585 } 64027 \end{aligned}$ | Coastal Strip Grassland | 0 | 0 | Dune grassland |
| An erirhinid weevil | Notaris scirpi | Erirhinidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15/07/2014; } \\ & \text { 16/07/2014; } \\ & \text { 15/08/2014 } \end{aligned}$ | TM46955 63947; TM46794 63855; TM46991 64322 | SSSI Area 2; SSSI Triangle | W2 | 0 | Reed swamp; tall herb fen |
| A crawling water beetle | Peltodytes caesus | Haliplidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | 19/06/2014 | TM46727 63841 | SSSI Area 2 | W2 | W211 | Densely vegtated and structurally diverse wet ditch |
| A false darkling beetle | Conopalpus testaceus | Melandryidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | 16/07/2014 | TM46691 63773 | SSSI Area 2 | A2 | A212 | Carr woodland merging into reed swamp |
| A rove beetle | Stenus palustris | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 16/07/2014; } \\ & \text { 16/08/2014 } \end{aligned}$ | TM46832 63889; TM46991 64005 | SSSI Area 2 | W3 | W313 | Reed swamp / carr woodland interface |
| A rove beetle | Stenus pusillus | Staphylinidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 16/07/2014; } \\ & \text { 18/06/2014 } \end{aligned}$ | TM46743 63800; TM46794 63855 | SSSI Area 2 | W2 | 0 | Reed swamp / carr woodland interface |
| A darkling beetle | Crypticus quisquilius | Tenebrionidae | Coleoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | 20/06/2014 | Unrecorded | Coastal Strip Grassland | F1 | 0 | Dune grassland |
| Banded General | Stratiomys potamida | Stratiomyidae | Diptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | 17/06/2014 | TM46981 64333 | SSSI Triangle | W1 | W126 | Reed swamp / carr woodland interface |
| Heath Shieldbug | Legnotus picipes | Cydnidae | Hemiptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | 14/07/2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM47570 63814; } \\ & \text { TM47585 } 64027 \end{aligned}$ | Coastal Strip Grassland | F1 | F111 | Dune grassland |
| Water Ermine | Spilosoma urticae | Arctiidae | Lepidoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | 18/06/2014 | TM46958 64453 | SSSI Triangle | 0 | 0 | MV trap located at edge of wet woodland clearing adjecent to reed swamp and ditches |
| A gelechiid moth | Monochroa palustrellus | Gelechiidae | Lepidoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | 17/07/2014 | TM46958 64453 | SSSI Triangle | n/a | n/a | MV trap located at edge of wet woodland clearing adjecent to reed swamp and ditches |
| Alder Signal | Stathmopoda pedella | Stathmopodidae | Lepidoptera | Nationally Scarce (B) |  | 18/06/2014 | TM46958 64453 | SSSI Triangle | n/a | n/a | MV trap located at edge of wet woodland clearing adjacent to reed swamp and ditches |
| Grey Bushcricket | Platycleis albopunctata | Tettigoniidae | Orthoptera | Nationally <br> Scarce (B) |  | 14/07/2014 | TM47570 63814 TM47583 63912; TM47585 64027 | Coastal Strip Grassland | F1 | F111 | Dune grassland |
| Buff Ermine | Spilosoma luteum | Arctiidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | NERC S41 <br> Species of principal importance (research) | 18/06/2014 | TM46958 64453 | SSSI Triangle | 0 | 0 | MV trap located at edge of wet woodland clearing adjecent to reed swamp and ditches |
| Cinnabar | Tyria jacobaeae | Arctiidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | NERC S41 Species of principal importance | 20/06/2014 |  | Coastal Strip Grassland | 0 | 0 | Dune grassland |
| Ghost Moth | Hepialus humuli | Hepialidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | NERC S41 <br> Species of principal importance (research) | 16/07/2014 | TM46958 64453 | SSSI Triangle | F2 | 0 | MV trap located at edge of wet woodland clearing adjecent to reed swamp and ditches |


| Common name | Scientific name | Family | Order | UK status | NERC s. 41 | Date | Recorded grid ref | Sites recorded | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BAT } \\ & \text { affinity } \end{aligned}$ | SAT affinity | Recorded habitat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grey Dagger | Acronicta psi | Noctuidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | NERC S41 Species of principal importance (research) | 16/07/2014 | TM46958 64453 | SSSI Triangle | A1 | 0 | MV trap located at edge of wet woodland clearing adjecent to reed swamp and ditches |
| Knot Grass | Acronicta rumicis | Noctuidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | NERC S41 Species of principal importance (research) | 18/06/2014 | TM46958 64453 | SSSI Triangle | 0 | 0 | MV trap located at edge of wet woodland clearing adjecent to reed swamp and ditches |
| Small Square- spot | Diarsia rubi | Noctuidae | Lepidoptera | Widespread | NERC S41 Species of principal importance (research) | 14/08/2014 | TM47017 63836 | C-Platfform Woodland | 0 | 0 | MV trap situated at interface between wet woodland, wet ditch and fen meadow |

Table 9. Interpretation of Biological Working Party Score (BMWP) and Average Score Per Taxon (ASPT)

| BMWP and ASPT score interpretration | ASPT score | Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BMWP score | $>6$ | Very Good |
| $>150$ | $>5$ | Good |
| $101-150$ | $>4$ | Moderate |
| $51-100$ | $<4$ | Poor |
| $16-50$ |  | Very Poor |
| $0-15$ |  |  |

Table 10. Interpretation of Community Conservation Index (CCI) scoring categories (Chadd and Extence, 2004)

| CCI Score | Conservation Category | Interpretation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| CCI Score | Conservation Category | Interpretation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0.0-5.0$ | Low conservation value | Sites supporting only common species and/or a community of low taxon richness |
| $>5.0-10.0$ | Moderate conservation value | Sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of <br> moderate taxon richness |
| $>10.0-15.0$ | High conservation value conservation value | Sites supporting at least one uncommon species, or several species of restricted distribution, <br> and /or a community of high taxon richness |
| $>15.0-20.0$ | Sery high conservation value | Site supporting several uncommon species, at least one of which may be nationally rare <br> and/or a commity of high taxon richness |
| $>20.0$ | Sites supporting several rarities, including species of national importance, or at last one <br> extreme rarity(e.g. taxa included in the British RDBs) and/or a community of very high taxon <br> richness |  |
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Table 11. Results of BMWP, ASPT and CCI analysis of June 2014 aquatic sample data (see Figures 6-9 for sample site locations).

|  | Aquatic invertebrate samples collected 19/06/2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SSSI Triangle |  |  |  |  |  | SAC (ditch) A7 | SAC (scrape) A8 | SSSI Fen Meadow |  | SSSI Area 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | A5 | A6 |  |  | A9 | A10 | A11 | A12 | A13 | A14 | A15 | A16 |
| Total no. species in sample | 21 | 24 | 21 | 27 | 29 | 25 | 32 | 56 | 40 | 34 | 18 | 37 | 53 | 16 | 20 | 30 |
| Total scoring BMWP families | 13 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 26 | 20 | 16 | 1 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 12 | 16 |
| Total scoring CCI species | 17 | 18 | 18 | 23 | 24 | 22 | 28 | 38 | 30 | 22 | 16 | 28 | 36 | 16 | 19 | 21 |
| BMWP Score | $\begin{array}{r} 48 . \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 43 | $\begin{gathered} 52 . \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 60.6 | 55.4 | 57 | 107.8 | 88.9 | 67.1 | 40.8 | 82.8 | 84.1 | 37.7 | 48.4 | $\begin{gathered} 70 . \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |
| ASPT Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.7 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 4.04 | 3.96 | 4.38 | 4.15 | 4.45 | 4.19 | 4.08 | 4.14 | 4.2 | 3.77 | 4.03 | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| Highest CoS in sample | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| CCI Score | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.7 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 8.7 | $\begin{gathered} 16.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 11.5 | 26.58 | 9.8 | 7.09 | $\begin{gathered} 13.5 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.6 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 10 |

Table 12. Results of BMWP, ASPT and CCI analysis of August 2014 aquatic sample data (see Figures 6-9 for sample site locations).

|  | Aquatic invertebrate samples collected 12-13/08/2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SAC (ditch) | SSSI Triangle |  |  |  |  |  |  | SSSI Area 2 |  |  |  |  |  | SSSI Fen Meadow |  |
|  | A17 | A18 | A19 | A20 | A21 | A22 | A23 | A24 | A25 | A26 | A27 | A28 | A29 | A30 | A31 | A32 |
| Total no. species in sample | 32 | 24 | 18 | 17 | 36 | 24 | 25 | 20 | 25 | 12 | 22 | 25 | 11 | 24 | 28 | 17 |
| Total scoring BMWP families | 16 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 17 | 7 | 13 | 13 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 9 |
| Total scoring CCI species | 21 | 20 | 16 | 15 | 32 | 22 | 23 | 19 | 23 | 11 | 20 | 20 | 11 | 20 | 22 | 15 |
| BMWP Score | 66.1 | 42.8 | 31.9 | 33.2 | 58 | 54.8 | 55.4 | 42.6 | 69.6 | 26.6 | 56.2 | 52.9 | 26.7 | 54.9 | 35.4 | 34.4 |
| ASPT Score | 4.13 | 3.89 | 3.54 | 3.69 | 4.14 | 4.22 | 4.26 | 3.87 | 4.09 | 3.8 | 4.32 | 4.06 | 3.81 | 4.22 | 3.93 | 3.81 |
| Highest CoS in sample | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| CCI Score | 14.87 | 8.5 | 8.75 | 9.33 | 15.53 | 8.86 | 9.35 | 8.42 | 18.26 | 10 | 9.75 | 10.75 | 11.36 | 9.5 | 24.09 | 9 |

Table 13. BMWP score interpretation - sample site level (see Figures 6-9 for sample site locations).

| Sample number | Sample zone | BMWP Score | Category | Classification |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| A1 | SSSI Triangle | 48.2 | $16-50$ | Poor water quality |
| A2 | SSSI Triangle | 46.3 | $16-50$ | Poor water quality |
| A3 | SSSI Triangle | 43 | $16-50$ | Poor water quality |
| A4 | SSSI Triangle | 52.9 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A5 | SSSI Triangle | 60.6 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A6 | SSSI Triangle | 55.4 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A18 | SSSI Triangle | 42.8 | $16-50$ | Poor water quality |
| A19 | SSSI Triangle | 31.9 | $16-50$ | Poor water quality |
| A20 | SSSI Triangle | 33.2 | $16-50$ | Poor water quality |
| A21 | SSSI Triangle | 58 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A22 | SSSI Triangle | 54.8 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A23 | SSSI Triangle | 55.4 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A24 | SSSI Triangle | 42.6 | $16-50$ | Poor water quality |
| A11 | SSSI Area 2 | 40.8 | $16-50$ | Poor water quality |
| A12 | SSSI Area 2 | 82.8 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A13 | SSSI Area 2 | 84.1 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A14 | SSSI Area 2 | 37.7 | $16-50$ | Poor water quality |
| A15 | SSSI Area 2 | 48.4 | $16-50$ | Poor water quality |
| A16 | SSSI Area 2 | 70.8 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A25 | SSSI Area 2 | 69.6 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A26 | SSSI Area 2 | 26.6 | $16-50$ | Poor water quality |
| A27 | SSSI Area 2 | 56.2 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A28 | SSSI Area 2 | 52.9 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A29 | SSSI Area 2 | 26.7 | $16-50$ | Moderate water quality |
| A30 | SSSI Area 2 | 54.9 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A9 | SSSI Fen Meadow | 88.9 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A10 | SSSI Fen Meadow | 67.1 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A31 | SSSI Fen Meadow | 35.4 | $16-50$ | Poor water quality |
| A32 | SSSI Fen Meadow | 34.4 | $16-50$ | Poor water quality |
| A7 | SAC (ditch) | 57 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A17 | SAC (ditch) | 66.1 | $51-100$ | Moderate water quality |
| A8 | SAC (scrape) | 107.8 | $101-150$ | Good water quality |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 14. ASPT interpretation - sample site level (see Figures 6-9 for sample site locations).

| Sample number | Sample zone | ASPT | Category | Classification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | SSSI Triangle | 3.71 | <4 | Poor water quality |
| A2 | SSSI Triangle | 3.56 | <4 | Poor water quality |
| A3 | SSSI Triangle | 3.58 | <4 | Poor water quality |
| A4 | SSSI Triangle | 3.78 | <4 | Poor water quality |
| A5 | SSSI Triangle | 4.04 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A6 | SSSI Triangle | 3.96 | <4 | Poor water quality |
| A18 | SSSI Triangle | 3.89 | <4 | Poor water quality |
| A19 | SSSI Triangle | 3.54 | <4 | Very poor water quality |
| A20 | SSSI Triangle | 3.69 | <4 | Poor water quality |
| A21 | SSSI Triangle | 4.14 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A22 | SSSI Triangle | 4.22 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A23 | SSSI Triangle | 4.26 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A24 | SSSI Triangle | 3.87 | <4 | Poor water quality |
| A11 | SSSI Area 2 | 4.08 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A12 | SSSI Area 2 | 4.14 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A13 | SSSI Area 2 | 4.2 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A14 | SSSI Area 2 | 3.77 | $>4<5$ | Poor water quality |
| A15 | SSSI Area 2 | 4.03 | <4 | Moderate water quality |
| A16 | SSSI Area 2 | 4.42 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A25 | SSSI Area 2 | 4.09 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A26 | SSSI Area 2 | 3.8 | <4 | Poor water quality |
| A27 | SSSI Area 2 | 4.32 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A28 | SSSI Area 2 | 4.06 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A29 | SSSI Area 2 | 3.81 | <4 | Poor water quality |
| A30 | SSSI Area 2 | 4.22 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A9 | SSSI Fen Meadow | 4.45 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A10 | SSSI Fen Meadow | 4.19 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A31 | SSSI Fen Meadow | 3.93 | <4 | Poor water quality |
| A32 | SSSI Fen Meadow | 3.81 | <4 | Poor water quality |
| A7 | SAC (ditch) | 4.38 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A17 | SAC (ditch) | 4.13 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |
| A8 | SAC (scrape) | 4.15 | $>4<5$ | Moderate water quality |

Table 15. CCI score interpretation - sample site level (see Figures 6-9 for sample site locations).

| Interpretation of CCI scores (Chadd and Extence, 2004) for each sample site |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample number | Sample zone | CCI score | Category | Classification |
| A1 | SSSI Triangle | 9.12 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A2 | SSSI Triangle | 8.33 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A3 | SSSI Triangle | 5.78 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A4 | SSSI Triangle | 8.7 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A5 | SSSI Triangle | 16.04 | $>15.0$ to 20.0 - sites supporting several uncommon species, at least one of which may be nationally rare and/or a community of high taxon richness | High conservation value |
| A6 | SSSI Triangle | 14.32 | $>10$ to 15.0 - sites supporting at least one uncommon species, or several species of restricted distribution and/or a community of high taxon richness | Fairly high conservation value |
| A18 | SSSI Triangle | 8.5 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A19 | SSSI Triangle | 8.75 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A20 | SSSI Triangle | 9.33 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A21 | SSSI Triangle | 15.53 | $>15.0$ to 20.0 - sites supporting several uncommon species, at least one of which may be nationally rare and/or a community of high taxon richness | High conservation value |
| A22 | SSSI Triangle | 8.86 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A23 | SSSI Triangle | 19.96 | $>15.0$ to 20.0 - sites supporting several uncommon species, at least one of which may be nationally rare and/or a community of high taxon richness | High conservation value |
| A24 | SSSI Triangle | 8.42 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A11 | SSSI Area 2 | 13.56 | $>10$ to 15.0 - sites supporting at least one uncommon species, or several species of restricted distribution and/or a community of high taxon richness | Fairly high conservation value |

Interpretation of CCI scores (Chadd and Extence, 2004) for each sample site

| Sample number | Sample zone | CCI score | Category | Classification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A12 | SSSI Area 2 | 10.71 | $>10$ to 15.0 - sites supporting at least one uncommon species, or several species of restricted distribution and/or a community of high taxon richness | Fairly high conservation value |
| A13 | SSSI Area 2 | 29.67 | >20.0 - sites supporting several rarities, including species of national importance, or at least one extreme rarity (e.g. taxa included in the British RDBs) and/or a community of very high taxon richness. | Very high conservation value |
| A14 | SSSI Area 2 | 10.31 | $>10$ to 15.0 - sites supporting at least one uncommon species, or several species of restricted distribution and/or a community of high taxon richness | Fairly high conservation value |
| A15 | SSSI Area 2 | 10.53 | $>10$ to 15.0 - sites supporting at least one uncommon species, or several species of restricted distribution and/or a community of high taxon richness | Fairly high conservation value |
| A16 | SSSI Area 2 | 10 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A25 | SSSI Area 2 | 18.26 | $>15.0$ to 20.0 - sites supporting several uncommon species, at least one of which may be nationally rare and/or a community of high taxon richness | High conservation value |
| A26 | SSSI Area 2 | 10 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A27 | SSSI Area 2 | 9.75 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A28 | SSSI Area 2 | 10.75 | $>10$ to 15.0 - sites supporting at least one uncommon species, or several species of restricted distribution and/or a community of high taxon richness | Fairly high conservation value |
| A29 | SSSI Area 2 | 11.36 | $>10$ to 15.0 - sites supporting at least one uncommon species, or several species of restricted distribution and/or a community of high taxon richness | Fairly high conservation value |
| A30 | SSSI Area 2 | 9.5 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A9 | SSSI Fen Meadow | 9.8 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A10 | SSSI Fen Meadow | 7.09 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A31 | SSSI Fen Meadow | 24.09 | >20.0 - sites supporting several rarities, including species of national importance, or at least one extreme rarity (e.g. taxa included in the British RDBs) and/or a community of very high taxon richness. | Very high conservation value |

Interpretation of CCI scores (Chadd and Extence, 2004) for each sample site

| Sample number | Sample zone | CCI score | Category | Classification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A32 | SSSI Fen Meadow | 9 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 - sites supporting at least one species of restricted distribution and/or a community of moderate taxon richness | Moderate conservation value |
| A7 | SAC (ditch) | 11.5 | $>10$ to 15.0 - sites supporting at least one uncommon species, or several species of restricted distribution and/or a community of high taxon richness | Fairly high conservation value |
| A17 | SAC (ditch) | 22.67 | $>20.0$ - sites supporting several rarities, including species of national importance, or at least one extreme rarity (e.g. taxa included in the British RDBs) and/or a community of very high taxon richness. | Very high conservation value |
| A8 | SAC (scrape) | 26.58 | >20.0 - sites supporting several rarities, including species of national importance, or at least one extreme rarity (e.g. taxa included in the British RDBs) and/or a community of very high taxon richness. | Very high conservation value |

Table 16. SSSI Triangle - BMWP, ASPT and CCI scores per discrete waterbody/ditch (see Figures 6-9 for sample site locations).

|  | Aquatic invertebrate samples collected 19/6/2014 and 12-13/08/2014-SSSI Triangle combined samples per ditch |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Field edge drain NW corner of Triangle | Main drain northern border of Triangle | Southern boundary ditch | Reedswamp ditch connected to Lagoon | Tall herb fen reedswamp lagoon |
| Samples combined | A1, A18 | A2, A3, A19, A20 | A4, A5, A6, A24 | A22, A23 | A21 |
| Total no. species in sample | 33 | 38 | 51 | 36 | 36 |
| Total scoring BMWP families | 15 | 17 | 21 | 17 | 14 |
| Total scoring CCI species | 25 | 28 | 40 | 32 | 32 |
| BMWP Score | 57.3 | 62.5 | 86.7 | 75.8 | 58 |
| ASPT Score | 3.82 | 3.68 | 4.13 | 4.46 | 4.15 |
| Highest CoS in sample | 5 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 7 |
| CCI Score | 8.6 (Moderate conservation value) | 8.04 (Moderate conservation value) | 14.88 (Fairly high conservation value) | 8.49 (Moderate conservation value) | 15.5 (High conservation value) |

Table 17. SSSI Area 2 - BMWP, ASPT and CCI scores per discrete waterbody/ditch (see Figures 6-9 for sample site locations).

|  | Aquatic invertebrate samples collected 19/6/2014 and 12-13/08/2014 - SSSI Area 2 combined samples per ditch |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ditch east of Sandy Lane Drove | Ditch west of Sandy Lane Drove | Ditch at southwest corner of SSSI Area 2 |
| Samples combined | A11, A14, A15, A26, A28, A30 | A13, A16, A25, A27, A29 |  |
| Total no. species in sample | 46 | 72 |  |
| Total scoring BMWP families | 21 | 26 | 37 |
| Total scoring CCI species | 37 | 51 | 20 |
| BMWP Score | 88.2 (Moderate water quality) |  |  |
| ASPT Score | 4.2 (Modrate water quality) | 112.4 (Good water quality) |  |
| Highest CoS in sample | 7 | 4.32 (Moderate water quality) |  |
| CCI Score | 15.7 (High conservation value) | 29.65 (very high conservation value) | 8 |

Sizewell C—Sizewell Marshes Invertebrate Surveys 2014s 2014
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Table 18. SSSI Fen Meadow - BMWP, ASPT and CCI scores per discrete waterbody/ditch (see Figures 6-9 for sample site locations).

|  | Aquatic invertebrate samples collected 19/6/2014 and $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 3 / 0 8 / 2 0 1 4 ~} \mathbf{- S S S I}$ Fen Meadow combined samples per ditch |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ditch bordering Fen Meadow east field margin | Ditch bordering Fen Meadow west field margin |
| Samples combined | A9, A32 | A10, A31 |
| Total no. species in sample | 46 | 51 |
| Total scoring BMWP families | 20 | 16 |
| Total scoring CCI species | 34 | 33 |
| BMWP Score | 88.9 | 67.1 |
| ASPT Score | 4.45 | 4.19 |
| Highest CoS in sample | 5 | 10 |
| CCI Score | 9.56 (Moderate conservation value) |  |

Table 19. Minsmere and Walberswick SSSI (scrape) and SAC (ditch) - BMWP, ASPT and CCI scores per discrete waterbody/ditch

|  | Aquatic invertebrate samples collected $\mathbf{1 9 / 6 / 2 0 1 4}$ and |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12-13/08/2014 SAC/SSSI combined samples per ditch |  |
| Total no. species in sample | SSSI(scrape) | SAC (ditch) |
| Total scoring BMWP families | 56 | 44 |
| Total scoring CCI species | 26 | 19 |
| BMWP Score | 37 | 40 |
| ASPT Score | 107.8 (Good water quality) |  |
| Highest CoS in sample | 4.15 (Moderate water quality) | 78.8 (Moderate water quality) |
| CCI Score | 10 | 4.15 (Moderate water quality) |

Table 20. BMWP, ASPT and CCI scores for combined sample sites

|  | Aquatic invertebrate samples collected 19/6/2014 and 12-13/08/2014 sample sites combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SSSI Triangle | SSSI Area 2 | SSSI Fen Meadow | SSSI Total | SAC (scrape) | SAC (ditch) | SAC (total) | Total (All sites) |
| Total no. species in sample | 90 | 99 | 74 | 128 | 56 | 44 | 83 | 172 |
| Total scoring BMWP families | 26 | 26 | 23 | 31 | 26 | 19 | 34 | 36 |
| Total scoring CCI species | 60 | 67 | 47 | 87 | 37 | 40 | 63 | 100 |
| BMWP Score | 111.1 | 111.7 | 102 | 131.4 | 107.8 | 78.8 | 146.4 | 159.8 |
| ASPT Score | 4.27 | 4.3 | 4.43 | 4.24 | 4.14 | 4.15 | 4.31 | 4.44 |
| Highest CoS in sample | 7 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 12 |
| CCI Score | 14.7 | 30.45 | 22.13 | 31.03 | 26.58 | 13.83 | 24.6 | 32.64 |

Table 21. Interpretation of combined sample site CCI data

| Interpretation of CCI scores (Chadd and Extence, 2004) for combined sample sites |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample zone | CCI score (combined samples) | Category | Classification |
| SSSI Triangle | 14.7 | $>10$ to 15.0 - sites supporting at least one uncommon species, or several species of restricted distribution and/or a community of high taxon richness | Fairly high conservation value |
| SSSI Area 2 | 30.45 | >20.0 - sites supporting several rarities, including species of national importance, or at least one extreme rarity (e.g. taxa included in the British RDBs) and/or a community of very high taxon richness. | Very high conservation value |
| SSSI Fen Meadow | 22.13 | >20.0 - sites supporting several rarities, including species of national importance, or at least one extreme rarity (e.g. taxa included in the British RDBs) and/or a community of very high taxon richness. | Very high conservation value |
| SSSI Total | 31.03 | >20.0 - sites supporting several rarities, including species of national importance, or at least one extreme rarity (e.g. taxa included in the British RDBs) and/or a community of very high taxon richness. | Very high conservation value |
| SAC (scrape) | 26.58 | $>20.0$ - sites supporting several rarities, including species of national importance, or at least one extreme rarity (e.g. taxa included in the British RDBs) and/or a community of very high taxon richness. | Very high conservation value |
| SAC (ditch) | 13.83 | $>10$ to 15.0 - sites supporting at least one uncommon species, or several species of restricted distribution and/or a community of high taxon richness | Fairly high conservation value |
| SAC (total) | 24.6 | $>20.0$ - sites supporting several rarities, including species of national importance, or at least one extreme rarity (e.g. taxa included in the British RDBs) and/or a community of very high taxon richness. | Very high conservation value |
| Total aquatic survey | 32.64 | >20.0 - sites supporting several rarities, including species of national importance, or at least one extreme rarity (e.g. taxa included in the British RDBs) and/or a community of very high taxon richness. | Very high conservation value |

Table 22. SSSI Triangle - ISIS output - Total aquatic sample data (terrestrial data excluded)
The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT code | SAT name | No. spp. | Conditio <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Percentage of <br> national <br> species pool |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Related BAT |  |  |  |  |
| rarity score |  |  |  |  |

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representation <br> $(\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}$ | Rarit <br> $\mathbf{y}$ <br> score | Conditio <br> $\mathbf{n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 56 | 115 | BAT species <br> richness |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 13 |  | 48 |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 7 | 11 |  |
| W1 | flowing water | 6 | 6 |  |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| A1 | arboreal canopy | 1 |  | 1 |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 90 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 4 |

Table 23. SSSI Triangle - ISIS output - Total terrestrial sample data (aquatic excluded)

## The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT code | SAT name | No. spp. | Conditio n | Percentage of national species pool | Related BAT rarity score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A215 | epiphyte fauna | 3 |  | 15 |  |
| W314 | reedfen and pools | 10 |  | 9 | 161 |
| F001 | scrub edge | 7 |  | 4 |  |
| W221 | litter-rich fluctuating marsh | 1 |  | 3 | 143 |
| W211 | open water on disturbed mineral sediments | 1 |  | 3 | 143 |
| M211 | sandy beaches | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| W126 | seepage | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| F002 | rich flower resource | 4 |  | 2 |  |
| A212 | bark \& sapwood decay | 7 |  | 1 |  |
| A211 | heartwood decay | 2 |  | 1 |  |
| F221 | montane \& upland | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| M311 | saltmarsh | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| W312 | Sphagnum bog | 1 |  | 1 | 161 |
| F112 | open short sward | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| F111 | bare sand \& chalk | 2 |  | 0 |  |

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representatio <br> $\mathbf{n ( 1 - 1 0 0 )}$ | Rarit <br> $\mathbf{y}$ <br> $\mathbf{s c o r e}$ | Conditio <br> $\mathbf{n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 21 | 117 | BAT species <br> richness |
| A1 | arboreal canopy | 17 | 126 | 71 |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 16 | 161 | 58 |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 11 | 143 | 54 |
| A2 | wood decay | 4 |  | 37 |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 3 | 13 |  |
| W1 | flowing water | 3 | 12 |  |
| F1 | unshaded early successional mosaic | 3 | 12 |  |
| M3 | saltmarsh, estuary \& mud flat | 1 |  | 9 |
| M2 | sandy shore | 0 | 2 | 1 |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 372 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 28 |

Table 24. SSSI Triangle - ISIS output - All sample data (terrestrial and aquatic combined)

## The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT code | SAT name | No. spp. | Conditio n | Percentage of national species pool | Related BAT rarity score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A215 | epiphyte fauna | 3 |  | 15 |  |
| W314 | reedfen and pools | 10 |  | 9 | 162 |
| W211 | open water on disturbed mineral sediments | 2 |  | 5 | 129 |
| W125 | slow-flowing rivers | 1 |  | 4 | 138 |
| F001 | scrub edge | 7 |  | 4 |  |
| W221 | litter-rich fluctuating marsh | 1 |  | 3 | 129 |
| M211 | sandy beaches | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| W313 | moss and tussock fen | 1 |  | 2 | 162 |
| W126 | seepage | 1 |  | 2 | 138 |
| A212 | bark \& sapwood decay | 7 |  | 1 |  |
| F002 | rich flower resource | 3 |  | 1 |  |
| A211 | heartwood decay | 2 |  | 1 |  |
| F221 | montane \& upland | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| M311 | saltmarsh | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| W312 | Sphagnum bog | 1 |  | 1 | 162 |

## All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representatio <br> $\mathbf{n ( 1 - 1 0 0 )}$ | Rarit <br> $\mathbf{y}$ <br> $\mathbf{s c o r e}$ | Conditio <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | BAT species <br> richness |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 19 | 129 | IEC |  |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 18 | 116 | 77 |  |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 15 | 162 | 75 |  |
| A1 | arboreal canopy | 14 | 126 | 60 |  |
| W1 | flowing water | 4 | 138 | 58 |  |
| A2 | wood decay | 3 |  | 16 |  |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 3 | 14 | 0 |  |
| F1 | unshaded early successional mosaic | 2 | 12 |  |  |
| M3 | saltmarsh, estuary \& mud flat | 0 | 8 |  |  |
| M2 | sandy shore | 0 | 2 | 1 |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 444 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 35 |

Table 25. SSSI Area 2 - ISIS output - aquatic only data

The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT <br> code | SAT name | No. spp. | Condition | Percentage of <br> national <br> species pool |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Related BAT |  |  |  |  |
| rarity score |  |  |  |  |

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representation <br> $(\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}$ | Rarity <br> score | Condition | BAT species <br> richness |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 68 | 134 | 50 | IEC |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 21 |  | 15 |  |
| W1 | flowing water | 5 |  | 4 |  |
| A1 | arboreal canopy | 1 |  | 1 |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 80 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 7 |

Table 26. SSSI Area 2 - ISIS output - terrestrial only data
The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT code | SAT name | No. spp. | Condition | Percentage of national species pool | Related BAT rarity score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W221 | litter-rich fluctuating marsh | 2 |  | 5 | 157 |
| W314 | reedfen and pools | 6 |  | 5 | 182 |
| A215 | epiphyte fauna | 1 |  | 5 | 175 |
| A212 | bark \& sapwood decay | 16 |  | 3 | 175 |
| W313 | moss and tussock fen | 1 |  | 2 | 182 |
| F001 | scrub edge | 3 |  | 2 |  |
| F006 | dung | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| F221 | montane \& upland | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| A211 | heartwood decay | 1 |  | 1 | 175 |
| F112 | open short sward | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| F111 | bare sand \& chalk | 1 |  | 0 |  |

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representation <br> $(\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}$ | Rarity <br> score | Condition | BAT species <br> richness |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 20 | 131 |  | IEC |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 20 | 182 | fav | 55 |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 14 | 157 | fav | 35 |
| A1 | arboreal canopy | 12 | 133 | 3 |  |
| A2 | wood decay | 8 | 175 | 33 |  |
| W1 | flowing water | 5 |  | 21 |  |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 3 |  | 14 |  |
| F1 | unshaded early successional mosaic | 2 |  | 9 |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 284 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 7 |

Table 27. SSSI Area 2 - ISIS output - total data (aquatic and terrestrial combined)

## The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { SAT } \\ \text { code }\end{array}$ | SAT name | $\begin{array}{c}\text { No. } \\ \text { spp. }\end{array}$ | Condition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percentage of |  |  |  |
| national |  |  |  |
| species pool |  |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Related BAT <br>

rarity score\end{array}\right]\)

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representation <br> $(1-100)$ | Rarity <br> score | Condition | BAT species <br> richness |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 25 | 145 |  | IEC |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 19 | 204 | fav | 66 |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 16 | 126 | 67 |  |
| A1 | arboreal canopy | 10 | 132 | 54 |  |
| A2 | wood decay | 6 | 175 | 34 |  |
| W1 | flowing water | 5 | 144 | 21 |  |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 3 |  | 18 |  |
| F1 | unshaded early successional mosaic | 1 |  | 9 |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 357 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 12 |

Table 28. SSSI Fen Meadow - ISIS output - Total (Aquatic only)
The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT <br> code | SAT name | No. <br> $\mathbf{s p p}$. | Conditio <br> $\mathbf{n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| W125 | Percentage of <br> national <br> species pool | Related BAT <br> rarity score |  |
| slow-flowing rivers | 1 | 4 |  |
| open water on disturbed mineral <br> sediments | 1 |  | 111 |
| W314 | reedfen and pools | 2 | 3 |

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representatio <br> $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}$ | Rarit <br> $\mathbf{y}$ <br> score | Conditio <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | BAT species <br> richness |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 66 | 111 | IEC |  |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 26 |  | 35 |  |
| W1 | flowing water | 6 |  | 14 |  |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 2 |  | 3 |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 56 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 3 |

Table 29. SSSI Fen Meadow - ISIS output - Total (Terrestrial only)

The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT <br> code | SAT name | No. <br> spp. | Condition | Percentage of <br> national <br> species pool |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W314 | reedfen and pools | 2 | 2 | 150 |

## All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representation <br> $(\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}$ | Rarity <br> score | Condition | BAT species <br> richness |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 39 | 141 | 22 | IEC |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 32 | 150 | 18 |  |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 19 |  | 11 |  |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 2 |  | 1 |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 58 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 1 |

Table 30. SSSI Fen Meadow - ISIS output - Total (Terrestrial \& Aquatic)
The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT <br> code | SAT name | No. <br> spp. | Condition | Percentage <br> of national <br> species pool | Related BAT <br> rarity score |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W125 | slow-flowing rivers | 1 | 4 |  |  |
| W31 | reedfen and pools | 3 | 3 | 152 |  |
| W21 | open water on disturbed mineral <br> sediments | 1 | 3 | 116 |  |

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BAT } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | BAT name | Representation (1-100) | Rarity score | Condition | BAT species richness | IEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 41 | 116 |  | 44 |  |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 27 | 152 |  | 29 |  |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 21 | 141 |  | 22 |  |
| W1 | flowing water | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 111 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 4 |

Table 31. C-Platform Woodland Strip - ISIS output - Total (Terrestrial)

The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT <br> code | SAT name | No. <br> spp. | Condition | Percentage of <br> national <br> species pool |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R215 | epiphyte fauna | 2 | 10 |  |
| r001 | scrub edge | 4 | 2 |  |
| W314 | reedfen and pools | 2 | 2 | 182 |
| F002 | rich flower resource | 1 | 0 |  |
| A212 | bark \& sapwood decay | 2 | 0 |  |
| F111 | bare sand \& chalk | 1 | 0 |  |

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representation <br> $(\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}$ | Rarity <br> score | Condition | BAT species <br> richness | IEC |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 22 | 107 | 29 |  |  |
| A1 | arboreal canopy | 16 | 129 |  | 21 |  |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 13 | 182 | fav | 17 |  |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 9 |  |  | 12 |  |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 5 |  | 7 |  |  |
| F1 | unshaded early successional mosaic | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |
| A2 | wood decay | 3 |  | 4 | 0 |  |
| W1 | flowing water | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 144 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 13 |

Table 32. Minsmere and Walberswick Heath and Marshes SSSI (scrape) and SSSI and SAC (ditch) - ISIS output - Aquatic data

The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT <br> code | SAT name | No. spp. | Condition | Percentage <br> of national <br> species pool |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W211 | Related <br> BAT rarity <br> score |  |  |  |
| open water on disturbed mineral |  |  |  |  |
| sediments |  | 5 | 13 |  |
| W125 | slow-flowing rivers | 1 | 4 | 120 |
| W314 | reedfen and pools | 4 | 4 |  |
| M311 | saltmarsh | 1 | 1 |  |

## All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT code | BAT name | Representation (1-100) | Rarity score | Condition | BAT species richness | IEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 59 | 120 |  | 46 |  |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 14 |  |  | 11 |  |
| W1 | flowing water | 5 |  |  | 4 |  |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| M3 | saltmarsh, estuary \& mud flat | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 82 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 4 |

Table 33. SAC dune grassland/scrub - ISIS output - terrestrial only data
The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT <br> code | SAT name | No. <br> spp. | Condition | Percentage of <br> national <br> species pool |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F112 | open short sward | 10 | 5 | 144 |
| r001 | scrub edge | 6 | 3 |  |
| F111 | bare sand \& chalk | 7 | 2 | 144 |
| F002 | rich flower resource | 2 | 1 |  |
| F003 | scrub-heath \& moorland | 2 | 1 |  |
| A212 | bark \& sapwood decay | 1 | 0 |  |

## All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representation <br> $(\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}$ | Rarity <br> score | Condition | BAT species <br> richness |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 41 | 148 | 52 | IEC |
| F1 | unshaded early successional mosaic | 21 | 144 | 27 |  |
| A1 | arboreal canopy | 13 | 147 | 17 |  |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 2 |  | 3 |  |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| A2 | wood decay | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 127 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 1 |

Table 34. Coastal Strip - ISIS output - All sample data (terrestrial)
The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT code | SAT name | No. spp. | Condition | Percentage of national species pool | Related BAT rarity score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F112 | open short sward | 16 | fav | 8 | 200 |
| F111 | bare sand \& chalk | 14 |  | 3 | 200 |
| W314 | reedfen and pools | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| F001 | scrub edge | 3 |  | 2 |  |
| F002 | rich flower resource | 3 |  | 1 |  |
| A212 | bark \& sapwood decay | 2 |  | 0 |  |

## All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representation <br> $(\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}$ | Rarity <br> score | Condition | BAT species <br> richness |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 42 | 136 |  | IEC |
| F1 | unshaded early successional mosaic | 34 | 200 | fav | 54 |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 2 |  |  |  |
| A2 | wood decay | 1 |  | 3 |  |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 1 |  | 2 | 0 |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| A1 | arboreal canopy | 1 |  | 1 |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 151 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 0 |

Table 35. Combined Aquatic data - all sites (SSSI Triangle, SSSI Area 2, SSSI Fen Meadow, SAC ditch \& scrape)

| SAT <br> code | SAT name | No. <br> spp. | Condition <br> orcentage <br> spational <br> species <br> pool | Related BAT <br> rarity score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| W211 | open water on disturbed mineral <br> sediments | 9 | fav | 23 |

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BAT } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | BAT name | Representation (1-100) | Rarity score | Condition | BAT species richness | IEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 46 | 132 |  | 76 |  |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 19 | 241 | fav | 32 |  |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |
| W1 | flowing water | 3 |  |  | 5 |  |
| A1 | arboreal canopy | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| M3 | saltmarsh, estuary \& mud flat | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 175 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 9 |

Table 36. Combined SSSI Aquatic data - (SSSI Triangle, SSSI Area 2, SSSI Fen Meadow)
The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as
follows:

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { SAT } \\ \text { code }\end{array}$ | SAT name | $\begin{array}{c}\text { No. } \\ \text { spp. }\end{array}$ | Condition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Percentage of <br>

national <br>
species pool\end{array} $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Related BAT } \\
\text { rarity score }\end{array}
$$\right]\)

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representation <br> $(\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}$ | Rarity <br> score | Condition | BAT species <br> richness |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 54 | 129 |  | 66 |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 20 | 236 | fav | IEC |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 5 |  |  | 6 |
| W1 | flowing water | 4 |  | 6 |  |
| A1 | arboreal canopy | 2 |  | 5 |  |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 1 |  | 1 |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 129 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 7 |

## Table 37. Combined SAC and Coastal Strip (Terrestrial data)

The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT <br> code | SAT name | No. <br> spp. | Condition | Percentage of <br> national <br> species pool | Related BAT <br> rarity score |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F112 | open short sward | 17 | fav | 9 | 195 |
| F001 | scrub edge | 8 | 4 |  |  |
| F111 | bare sand \& chalk | 17 | 4 | 195 |  |
| F003 | scrub-heath \& moorland | 7 | 2 |  |  |
| W314 | reedfen and pools | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| A212 | bark \& sapwood decay | 3 | 1 |  |  |

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representation <br> $(\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}$ | Rarity <br> score | Condition | BAT species <br> richness |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 42 | 135 |  | IEC |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 220 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 1 |

Table 38. Combined SSSI - Terrestrial data only (SSSI Triangle, Area 2, Fen Meadow)

The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT code | SAT name | No. spp. | Condition | Percentage of national species pool | Related BAT rarity score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A215 | epiphyte fauna | 4 | fav | 20 | 179 |
| W314 | reedfen and pools | 14 | fav | 13 | 187 |
| W221 | litter-rich fluctuating marsh | 2 |  | 5 | 152 |
| F001 | scrub edge | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| A212 | bark \& sapwood decay | 19 |  | 4 | 179 |
| W211 | open water on disturbed mineral sediments | 1 |  | 3 | 152 |
| M211 | sandy beaches | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| W313 | moss and tussock fen | 1 |  | 2 | 187 |
| F006 | dung | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| W126 | seepage | 1 |  | 2 | 156 |
| A211 | heartwood decay | 3 |  | 2 | 179 |
| F221 | montane \& upland | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| M311 | saltmarsh | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| W312 | Sphagnum bog | 1 |  | 1 | 187 |
| F003 | scrub-heath \& moorland | 3 |  | 1 |  |

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representa- <br> tion $(\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}$ | Rarity <br> score | Condition | BAT species <br> richness | IEC |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 23 | 124 |  | 109 |  |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 18 | 187 | fav | 86 |  |
| A1 | arboreal canopy | 15 | 124 |  | 72 |  |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 11 | 152 | fav | 55 |  |
| A2 | wood decay | 6 | 179 |  | 30 | 1 |
| W1 | flowing water | 4 | 156 | fav | 18 |  |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 3 | 131 |  | 16 |  |
| F1 | unshaded early successional mosaic | 2 |  |  | 11 |  |
| M3 | saltmarsh, estuary \& mud flat | 0 |  | 2 | 1 |  |
| M2 | sandy shore | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 509 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 29 |

Table 39. Combined SSSI - Terrestrial data only including C-Platform data (SSSI Triangle, Area 2, Fen Meadow, CPlatform)

The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT code | SAT name | No. spp. | Condition | Percentage of national species pool | Related BAT rarity score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A215 | epiphyte fauna | 4 | fav | 20 | 177 |
| W314 | reedfen and pools | 15 | fav | 13 | 193 |
| W221 | litter-rich fluctuating marsh | 2 |  | 5 | 151 |
| F001 | scrub edge | 9 |  | 5 |  |
| A212 | bark \& sapwood decay | 20 | fav | 4 | 177 |
| W211 | open water on disturbed mineral sediments | 1 |  | 3 | 151 |
| M211 | sandy beaches | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| W313 | moss and tussock fen | 1 |  | 2 | 193 |
| F006 | dung | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| W126 | seepage | 1 |  | 2 | 156 |
| A211 | heartwood decay | 3 |  | 2 | 177 |
| F221 | montane \& upland | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| M311 | saltmarsh | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| W312 | Sphagnum bog | 1 |  | 1 | 193 |
| F003 | scrub-heath \& moorland | 3 |  | 1 |  |

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representation <br> $(\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}$ | Rarity <br> score | Condition | BAT species <br> richness | IEC |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 23 | 122 |  | 122 |  |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 18 | 193 | fav | 92 |  |
| A1 | arboreal canopy | 15 | 123 |  | 78 |  |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 11 | 151 | fav | 58 |  |
| A2 | wood decay | 6 | 177 |  | 31 | 1 |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 3 | 133 |  | 18 |  |
| W1 | flowing water | 3 | 156 | fav | 18 |  |
| F1 | unshaded early successional | mosaic | 2 |  |  | 13 |
| M3 | saltmarsh, estuary \& mud flat | 0 |  | 2 | 1 |  |
| M2 | sandy shore | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 562 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 39 |

Table 40. Combined SSSI - Aquatic and Terrestrial data for all SSSI Sites and C-Platform (SSSI Triangle, SSSI Area 2, SSSI Fen Meadow, C-Platform)

The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT <br> code | SAT name | No. <br> spp. | Condition | Percentage of <br> national <br> species pool |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A215 | epiphyte fauna | 4 | fav | 20 |
| W314 | reedfen and pools | 18 | fav | 16 |

## All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT code | BAT name | Representation (1-100) | Rarity score | Condition | BAT species richness | IEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 20 | 123 |  | 120 |  |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 19 | 143 |  | 111 |  |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 17 | 209 | fav | 102 |  |
| A1 | arboreal canopy | 13 | 123 |  | 79 |  |
| A2 | wood decay | 5 | 177 |  | 32 | 1 |
| W1 | flowing water | 4 | 148 |  | 23 |  |
| F3 | shaded field \& ground layer | 3 | 133 |  | 18 |  |
| F1 | unshaded early successional mosaic | 2 |  |  | 13 |  |
| M3 | saltmarsh, estuary \& mud flat | 0 |  |  | 2 |  |
| M2 | sandy shore | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 634 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 45 |

Table 41. Combined SSSI Aquatic and Terrestrial data for all SSSI Sites (SSSI Triangle, SSSI Area 2, SSSI Fen Meadow)

## The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT code | SAT name | No. spp. | Condition | Percentage of national species pool | Related BAT rarity score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A215 | epiphyte fauna | 4 | fav | 20 | 179 |
| W211 | open water on disturbed mineral sediments | 6 |  | 15 | 141 |
| W314 | reedfen and pools | 17 | fav | 15 | 205 |
| W221 | litter-rich fluctuating marsh | 3 |  | 8 | 141 |
| W313 | moss and tussock fen | 3 |  | 7 | 205 |
| F001 | scrub edge | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| W125 | slow-flowing rivers | 1 |  | 4 | 148 |
| A212 | bark \& sapwood decay | 19 |  | 4 | 179 |
| M211 | sandy beaches | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| F006 | dung | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| W126 | seepage | 1 |  | 2 | 148 |
| A211 | heartwood decay | 3 |  | 2 | 179 |
| F221 | montane \& upland | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| M311 | saltmarsh | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| W312 | Sphagnum bog | 1 |  | 1 | 205 |

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representation <br> $(\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}$ | Rarity <br> score | Condition | BAT species <br> richness |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 20 | 141 |  | IEC |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 590 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 35 |

Table 42. Red Data Book and Nationally Scarce species attributed to key BATs and SATs (2014 data and Amec 2007-10 data)

| BAT | 2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2007- \\ & 2010 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total | SAT | 2014 | $\begin{aligned} & 2007- \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W3 - permanent wet mire | 18 | 14 | 32 | W313-moss and tussock fen | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | W314 - reedfen and pools | 12 | 9 | 21 |
| F1 - unshaded early successional mosaic | 8 | 9 | 17 | F111- bare sand and chalk | 3 | 4 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | F112-open short sward | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| F2 - grassland and scrub matrix | 4 | 9 | 13 | no rarities attributed |  |  | 0 |
| A1-arboreal canopy | 4 | 2 | 6 | n/a |  |  | 0 |
| W2 - mineral marsh and open water | 6 | 0 | 6 | W211 - open water on disturbed mineral sediments | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | W221-litter-rich fluctuating marsh | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| A2-wood decay | 3 | 2 | 5 | A212 - bark and sapwood decay | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| W1 - flowing water | 2 | 2 | 4 | W126-seepage | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Table 43. Habitat affinities of RDB and Nationally Scarce species recorded during 2014 and Amec 2007-2010 surveys (NB: species recorded by Amec-only highlighted in bold)

| Species | Description | BAT | SAT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aeshna isosceles | Grazing marsh dyke systems with clean, still, non-saline water. Favours dykes branched from main drains or with dead-ends; Specific vegetation requirements including primarily Water Soldier Stratiotes aloides and/or Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae; bladderworts Utricularia sp. Also emergent marginal vegetation including rushes Juncus spp. and Yellow Iris Iris pseudacorus.Adults need trees/ scrub for resting wooded areas near breeding sites. Larvae thought to take two years to develop. Threats: Loss/degradation of grazing marsh ditch systems; inappropriate management (mechanised ditch management); eutrophication through nutrient enrichment; saltwater penetration, due to changes in sealevel/coastal / hydrological management. | W3 - <br> permanent wet mire | W314 - reedfen and pools |
| Agonum nigrum | River banks, reedbeds, marshes in dune slacks. Found around lush vegetation, sedges, grasses, reeds on soft soil and mud. Threats: Drainage of fens, development, agriculture, falling watertables due to abstraction and engineering. | W3 - <br> permanent wet mire | W314 - reedfen and pools |
| Archanara neurica | Virtually confined in the UK to a ' 15 km stretch of coastal reedbeds in Suffolk, between Thorpeness and Southwold'; larvae develop in dead stems of Common Reed Phragmites australis 'at edges of reed-beds and reed-lined ditches on or near the coast, with abundant dead stems. Frequently cut or burned sites ar thought to be unsuitable' (Waring et al., 2004). | W3 permanent wet mire | W314 - reedfen and pools |
| Demetrias imperialis | Found in reedbeds (litter) and amongst reed-mace in flood litter. Hibernate in dead Typha stems. Threats: Drainage and habitat loss due to development and engineering leading to falling water levels. | W3 permanent wet mire | W314 - reedfen and pools |
| Graphoderus cinereus | Richly vegetated permanent ditches. Good water quality important, threats include drainage of old fen systems, seasonal drying of previously pemanent waterbodies (Foster, 2010). | W3 permanent wet mire | W314 - reedfen and pools |
| Hybomitra ciureai | Primarily associated with coastal grazing marshes in the UK. According to Stubbs and Drake (2001) H. ciureai is associated with freshwater ditches rather than brackish ones. | W3 permanent wet mire | W314 - reedfen and pools |
| Hydaticus transvalis | Associated with rich fen and fenland drainage ditches, more open habitat than H . seminiger | W3 permanent wet mire | W314 - reedfen and pools |
| Hydrophilus piceus | Richly vegetated, permanent wet ditches and pools within reedbeds; needs large network of linked ditches. Associated with Lemna trisulca, Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae, ditches often Phragmites australis lined. | W3 permanent wet mire | W314 - reedfen and pools |
| Lipara rufitarsus | A reedbed specialist, the larvae form galls in the stems of Common Reed Phragmites australis. | W3 permanent wet mire | W314 - reedfen and pools |
| Lonchoptera scutellata | Associated with sedges including Carex riparia and $C$. acutiformis at water margins of fens and damp woodland. Also Carex paniculata. Larvae probably develop in leaf litter or decaying vegetable matter. Threats: Loss of wetland habitat through drainage. Mis-management of water levels resulting in loss of marginal vegetation. | W3 permanent wet mire | W314 - reedfen and pools |


| Species | Description | BAT | SAT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mythimna <br> flammea | Larvae develop in stems of Common Reed in fens and <br> marshes with scattered reed rather than dense stands <br> (Waring et al., 2004). | W3- <br> permanent wet <br> mire | W314-reed- <br> fen and pools |
| Odontomyia <br> ornata | Richly vegetated, permanent wet ditches and pools within <br> grazing marshes reedswamps, favours wider ditches for <br> breeding which feature structurally diverse, floating mats of <br> vegetation. Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae and lvy-leaved <br> Duckweed Lemna trisulca are cited plants in breeding habitat. <br> the 'key to survival' of this species 'is the presence of <br> extensive areas with ditches that are cleared out on a cycle of <br> about five years' Considered 'good flagship species' for <br> grazing marshes, due to its strong dependency on this habitat. | W3- <br> permanent wet <br> mire | W314-reed- <br> fen and pools |
|  | Richly vegetated, permanent wet ditches and pools within <br> grazing marshes reedswamps; favours wider ditches for <br> breeding which feature structurally diverse, floating mats of <br> vegetation. Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus--ranae and lvy-leaved <br> Duckweed Lemna trisulca are cited plants in breeding habitat. <br> the 'key to survival' of this species 'is the presence of <br> extensive areas with ditches that are cleared out on a cycle of <br> about five years' Considered 'good flagship species' for <br> grazing marshes, due to its strong dependency on this habitat. | W3- <br> permanent wet <br> mire | W314-reed- <br> fen and pools |
| tigrina |  |  |  |


| Species | Description | BAT | SAT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oxytelus fulvipes | A species of 'undisturbed marsh with fluctuating water levels such as carr and shaded ditches' (Lott, 2009) | W2 - mineral marsh and open water | W211 - open water on disturbed sediments |
| Peltodytes caesus | A crawling water beetle confined to lowland rich fen pools and ditches in the English and Welsh Fens '(Foster, 2011) | W2 - mineral marsh and open water | W211 - open water on disturbed sediments |
| Molophilus bihamatus | A swamp specialist cranefly associated with Alder carr seepage habitat | W1 - flowing water | W126 seepage |
| Stratiomys potamida | Wetland associated soldierfly with an aquatic larval stage. Banded General is found in wet meadows with ditches and streams, seepages and springs especially in fens or lightly wooded places; boggy pond and pool margins and carr woodland. Larvae are tolerant of seasonal waterlevel fluctuation (Drake and Stubbs, 2001). | W1 - flowing water | W126 seepage |
| Aphanisticus pusillus | 'Often recorded in dry places, particularly downland or heathland. This species has also been noted in wetland' (Hyman and Parsons, 1992) who also state that the species is 'associated with members of the Juncaceae and Cyperaceae'. | F1-unshaded early successional mosaic | F112 - open short sward |
| Clanoptilus marginellus | Primarily a coastal species in the UK associated with coastal and riverside shingle and coastal grasslands. | F1-unshaded early successional mosaic | F112 - open short sward |
| Coenonympha pamphilus | A species of open, sunny habitats including grassland, heaths, meadows, sand dunes etc. Adults favour areas with short sward. Larvae feed on various grasses including bent grasses Agrostis spp.,fescues Festuca spp. and meadow gasses Poa spp. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { F1 - unshaded } \\ \text { early } \\ \text { successional } \\ \text { mosaic } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | F112 - open short sward |
| Clubiona frisa | Found at the base of Marram Grass Ammophila arenaria tussocks on coastal dunes (Roberts, 1995) | F1-unshaded early successional mosaic | F111-bare sand and chalk |
| Evagetes pectinipes | UK records almost exclusively from sand dune habitat in Kent in the UK prior to current survey. Species thought to be a cleptoparasite of Episyron rufipes, another spider-hunting wasp. Both species were recorded on the cliffed, seaward edge of the dune habitat within the Coastal Strip. Spiderhunting wasps stock burrows in sand with spiders (Episyron rufipes collects orb-web spiders (Araneidae) and wolf spiders (Lycosidae)). | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { F1 - unshaded } \\ \text { early } \\ \text { successional } \\ \text { mosaic } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | F111-bare sand and chalk |
| Hipparchia semele | Sheltered and sunny sites where the vegetation is sparse. Species requires bare ground. Typically found in heathland, sand dunes, coastal grassland etc. Larvae feed on various grasses including Red Fescue Festuca rubra, Sheep's Fescue F. ovina, Marram Grass Ammophila arenaria and various others. Adults nectar on Common Bird's-foot Trefoil Lotus corniculatus, Bramble Rubus fruticosus agg., Common Heath Calluna vulgaris, Bell Heather Erica cinerea and various other herbs. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { F1 - unshaded } \\ \text { early } \\ \text { successional } \\ \text { mosaic } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | F111-bare sand and chalk |


| Species | Description | BAT | SAT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Legnotus picipes | Associated with bedstraws Galium spp. (including G. verum <br> and G. saxatile). Usually found on dry and well drained sandy <br> substrates. Mainly sand dunes, also shingle and edges of dry <br> heath. Burrows in sand, Adults hibernate. Threats include <br> habitat succession, due to diminishing rabbit populations <br> (myxamotosis); habitat loss through afforestation and <br> development. (Kirby, 1992) | F1-unshaded <br> early <br> successional <br> mosaic | F111-bare <br> sand and <br> chalk |
|  | An almost exclusively coastal species in the UK (Haes and <br> Harding, 1997). Benton (2012) stated that the Suffolk coastal <br> population had been considered extinct, but that it was <br> currently on the increase, where it occurred within several <br> dune systems. It is frequently observed in patches of <br> Common Restharrow Ononis repens in coastal grassland and <br> dune habtats. | F1-unshaded <br> early <br> successional <br> mosaic | F111-bare <br> sand and <br> chalk |
| albopunctata |  |  |  |
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| Species | Description | BAT | SAT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hygrolycosa <br> rubrofasciata | Generally found in damp habitats at ground level. Mostly in <br> fens but sometime at the edge of carr woodland and by the <br> sides of rides. Threats include drainage/loss of fen habitat. <br> Scrub encroachment less of an issue due to the species being <br> tolerant of wooded habitats. Maintenance of water table <br> important. (Harvey et al., 2002) | W3- <br> permanent wet <br> mire | 0 |
| Limenitis camilla | Broadleaved and mixed woodland, also conifer plantations <br> where the larval foodplant Honeysuckle Lonicera <br> periclymenum is available. Favours mature fairly shaded <br> woodland with clearings and rides. Adults feed on honeydew <br> and are strongly associated with Bramble Rubus fruticosus <br> agg. in sunny, sheltered clearings. | A1-arboreal <br> canopy | 0 |
| Polydrusus <br> formosus | Broadleaved woodland rides, clearings and wood edge. <br> 'Recorded from hazel, oak, alder, birch, cherry and wild rose'. <br> Threats include: Loss of broad-leaved woodland through <br> clear-felling or coniferisation; also management neglect <br> leading to high forest succession. | A1-arboreal <br> canopy | 0 |
| Rhagio <br> strigosus | Mainly associated with chalk downland in the UK 0 | F2-grassland <br> and scrub <br> matrix |  |

## Figure 1-Survey compartment location map



Sizewell C—Sizewell Marshes Invertebrate Surveys 2014s 2014

1) Tall herb swamp habitat at the margin of open water arsa. Woll vegetated shallows grading into open water: More open than adacent Common feed Phragmites australis swamp, partialy shaded from wet woodiand margin stil. Structurally Giverse with pronounced itter layer. vegetation diverse with tall emergents including Branched Bur-resa Sparganium erectum, Common Reed, Fale-fox Sedge Carex otrubae, Bottle Sedge Carex rostrata, Greater Resamace Typha latifolia, Yellow Flag Iris Inis pseudacorus, a clubrush Schoenoplectus sp., Compact Rush Juncus conglomeratus and Water Dock Rumex hydrolapathic with Water Mint Menthe aquatica, Gipoywort Lycopus europoeus, Marsh Bedstraw Galium polustre and Common Water cocur at the inaccessible margins of the lagoon.

## 2) Phragmites australis reed-swamp general habitat

 dominated by Common Reed with few associatec other than Common Nettl Urtica dioica and Greater Wiowharo Epilobium hirsutum. Despite lacking flonistic diversity. Dead stems in mature reedbed margins, such as at the pertpheries of the open water area, vuinerable to scrub succession. Inundation levels varied, generally increasing towards central lagoon. Some dry areas with deep itter layer.3) Ditch approximately 4 m wide $\times 0.76 \mathrm{~m}$ at deepest point. sometimes vegetated with $S$ weet-grass nasturtium-aquaticum and Lecser Water-parsnip Berula erecta and emergent/marginal vegetation including
 leaved aquatics included Common Duckweed Lemna minor and Large Duckweed Spirodella polyrhiza with occasional Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae. Water starworts Callitriche spp. and fine-leaved pondweeds Potamogeton spp. also present. Flow direction east, very slow, water clear throughout. Supported a range of vegetation typical of the site as a whole, often shaded by Alder and Willow.
$\sim$
$\approx$

[^95] rocn. Some dry areas with deep Itter layer.
centrallegoon. some ary areas win osep ititer layer.
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4) Ditch further within reed-swamp approximately } 4 \mathrm{~m} \text { wide and } 0.5 \mathrm{~m} \text { deep. Stil, some areas heavily shaded to } 50 \text { percent or more, Common } \\
& \text { Duckweed covering surface occasionally accompanied Dy Large Duckweed and Frogbit. Some areas more structurally diverse, vegetated with } \\
& \text { macrophytes such as Lecser Water-parsnip, Branched Bur-reed and Common Reed. Generally not as diverse as (3) and often heavily shaded. } \\
& \text { However, with potential to support a similar range of species including those favouring different stage of succession and more silt edged habitat. } \\
& \text { 5) Ditch approximately am wide x } 0.6 \mathrm{~m} \text { at deepest point. Some areas neavily shaded by riparian Alder and wilowc. Adjacent vegetation often dominated by marginal } \\
& \text { Common Reed with Common Nettle. Generally littl emergent vegetation Dut some Water-cress and Lesser Water-parsnip. Non-native invasive Nuttalls Waterweed } \\
& \text { Elodea nuttalii with water starwort Callitriche spp. and fine-leaved pondweeds Potamogeton spp. Otten abundant in submerged aquatic layer. Dut concealed by almost } \\
& \text { constant Common Duckweed on surface. Probably later succession despite lack of emergent vegetation. Fowi direction east; very siow. Submerged aquatic and floating-leaved } \\
& \text { vegetation providing structure and a food recource for aquatic invertebrates. }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

6) Reed-swamp wet woodland edge habitat: The interface between wet woodland and carr habitat and open reedbed provides structural variation deneficial to scrub edge inverteDrate speciec as well as species associated with exposed mud and waterlogged wood. Wet woodland predominately Alder with Grey and Crack
Willow and Downy Birch Betula pubescens overstanding a partially shaded ground layer. With varied microtopography giving rice to diverse nabitat structure and hydrological variation. Drier raised areas with Yorkshire Fog Holcus lanatus, Rough Meadow Grass Poa trivi-
alis and Common Nettle. Water Pepper in wetter depressions and alis and Common Nettle. Water Pepper in wetter depressions and potential habitat for seepage and inundated wood decay specialists. Mature trees with rot holes and other features favoured by saproxylic species. Bryophyte and lichen assemblages on more mature trees provided potential habitat for epiphyte invertebrate fauna and niohec for species sensitive to water-level fluctuation.~
$\odot$
Fig 3 Habitat map: SSSI Area 2; Fen Meadow and C-Platform Woodland Strip

7) Mid-late sucessional ditch between the botanically diverse fen meadow and a wooded strip, creating partial shade. Ditch approximately 4 m wide and up to 1 m desp. Fairly dense, disce vegetation. Abundant in-channel Frogeit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae, with Common Duckweed Lemna
minor and Large Duckweed Spirodela polyrhiza on Surface and submerged aquatics including a horfwort Ceratophyllum $s p$. Marginal vegetation included Common Reed Phragmites australis, Greater/Lesser Pond Sedge Carex nipariia/acutiformis, False Fox Sedge Carex otrubae, Marsh Bedstraw Galium palustre and Marsh Woundwort Stachys palustris. Overhanging Alder Alinus glutinosa and Grey/Goat Willow Salix cinerea/caprea.

## 2) SSSI Fen Meadow - floristically diverse, partially inundated

 meadow forming part of a network of similar meadows bordered and traversed by floristically diverse wet otches. The proximity to wet woodiand and reed swamp habitat further increases its ecological potential. The diverse sward provided a recource for both polyphagous and monophagous herbivcrous invertebrates as well as a nectar resource. Despite its diversity, the sward was fairly homogeneous, with limited topographical, hydrological or floristio variation save at the slighty cattle poached margins and interfaces. The wet ditches bordering the meadow providied potential hunting habitat for dragonfies.
## $\odot$

$\bigcirc$
4) Reed-swamp wet woodiand edge habitat. The interface between wet woodland and carr and open reed bed provides structural variation Deneficial to scrub ecige invertebrates and species associated with exposed mud and wateriogged wood. Some mature
Alder and Grey Willow creating dappled shade within the ground layer. Varied microtopography giving rise to diverse habitat structure with patches of shalow, open water often partially silted over a peat substrate. Open, Dare sit exposures at and around the water table provide habitat for seepage invertebrates. Mature trees here featured rot holes, providing saproxyfio habiat. Bryophyte and lichen assemblages on more mature trees favouring epiphyte invertebrates and niches for species sensitive to water-level fluctuation. Mature trees frequently layered, providing drier platforms within otherwise inundated nabitat.
> 3) SSSI Area 2: Open Pnragmites australis reed-bed with few associates other than Common Netie Urica. The dioica, Greater Willowherb Epilobium hirsutum and ccoasional Hemp Agnimory Eupatorium cannabinum. The
condition of the reed-swamp within SSSI Area 2 suggested it received litte active management, which may make it favourable Dreeoing habitat for the RDB3 white-mantled Wainscot Dut vuinerable to scrub succession. Ground layer . largely inundated at the time of survey.
> 3) SSSI Area 2: Open Phragmites australis reed-bed with few associates other than Common Nettle Urtica

[^96]7) Swamp communties on exposed sit. More diverse range
of macrophytes away from the Common Reed dominated of macrophytes away from the Common Reed comnated swamp. Stands of species such as Water Pepper Persicaria
hydropiper, and scattered Water Mint Mentha aquatice. Celery-leavea Buttercup Ranunculus sceleratus, Greater Pond Sedge Carex riparia, Water Forget-me-not Myosotis scorpioides, Branched Bur-reed Sparganium erectum, Plantain Alisma plantago-aquatica provided potential foodplants for both generalist and specialist fen invertebrates as
well as adoctional nectar resources. well as adcitional nectar resources.
8) Wet ditch 4 m wide $\times 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Mid-late succession, heavily vegetated and botanically diverse with floating-leaved aquatics incluoing Frogbit, Common Duckweed and Large Marsh Bedstraw Galium palustre and a range of other species. Margins of adjacent field indundated at time of survey.
:...l

## Fig 4 Habitat map: Includes habitat within both Minsmere and Walberswick Heath SAC (Coastal dune habitat) and reed-swamp and scrape within SSSI only.

5) Upper dune grassland ciose to interface with scrub/carr habitat. vulgaris and Eell Heather Erica cineres abundant throughout with extensive areas characterised by Cladonia portentosa/ciliata type ichen, sandy patches and flowering herbs including Commmon Cat's-
ear Hypochaeris radicata, Mouse-ear-hawkweed Piloselle officinarum
 structural and compositional features Denefcial to speciaist coastal and heath owelling invertebrates.
6) Dry dune grascland, inclucing vegetated strip adjacent to ciffed edge at interface with shingle upper shore. Grase/neath habitat with short sward Red/Sneep's Fescue Festuca nubra/ovina, and Swest Vernal Marram Grass Ammophila arenaria with Sand Sedge Carex arenaria and herbs including Common Restnarrow Ononis repens and Lady's Bedstraw Galum verum. Bare sand on tracks providing nabitat for
 cenefit from the structural diversity provided by vegetation and micro-
topography. 7) Snallow, partially vegetated interface between dune and shingle
provides microcimate supporting sand dweling aculeates including
pompilidae and crabronidae species. Shingle vegetated with
reprecentative upper shore specialists including Sea-kale Crambe
meritima, Sea Pea Lathyrus japonicus, Sea Holly Eryngium maritimum,
Sea Campion Silene maritima and Sea Sandwort Honckenya peploides.
Refuga including flotsum and strandline debris provide potential habitat
for a range of specialist imvertebrates.
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Fig 5 Habitat map: Coastal Strip (CWS)

Figure 6. SSSI Triangle - aquatic and terrestrial invertebrate sampling sites 2014


Figure 7. SSSI Area 2, Fen Meadow and C-Platform Woodland - aquatic and terrestrial invertebrate sampling sites 2014


Figure 8. Minsmere and Walberswick SAC and SSSI - aquatic and terrestrial invertebrate sampling sites 2014


Figure 9. Coastal Strip (CWS) - terrestrial invertebrate sampling sites 2014
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## Fig 10 Significant invertebrate habitat map: SSSI Triangle

3 Better wet arch samplas sitisc were typically characticicsad by foating Ceratophylum submersum, with emergent Common Resad Phragmites Ceratophyffum submersum,with emergent Common Reed Phragmites Parsnip Berula erecta. Very slow-flowing with little shade from vemtanging vegstation. Several ROB and Natoonaly Scarce specise Soldierfies incluoing the RDB2 Ornate Brigadier Odontmyia ornata and Elack Coionelo. tigrina are both strongy associatad with hign quarty Giver Water Beetle Hydrophilus piceus requires extensive ditch systems of similar composition in which to breed. Rotational ditch management is important to all these speciec.(ISIS affinites: W314 - Reed-fen and pools) 9 Reedswamp specialist the RDB3 White-Mantled Wainscot
Archanara neurica is a species of particular local importance to the Sizewell site. Like other wainscot moths its larvae develop in the stems of Common Reed Phragmites australis. White-manted Wainscot edges of mature reedbeds close to the coast. The insect is thought to be censitive to more strident management such as burning and short-rotation cutting. The reedoeds within the SSSI Area 2 and SSSI Area 2 may -Platform woodsand. Thece reedbeds include old stands of reed as well as new growth. (ISIS affities: W314 - Reed-fen and pools).
11 Saturated logs habitat at the margins of seepage and oitoh
habitats. Larvae of hoverfies such as Chelcosyrphus nemorum.



## Fig 11 Significant invertebrate habitat map: SSSI Area 2; Fen Meadow and C-Platform Woodland Strip

7 Marginal vegetation at the interface between wet Alder carr and reedswamp
nabitat. more open and diverse swamp commnities on Dare silt. A Nationally
Scarce lonchopterid fiy Lonchoptera scutellata was recorded from this marginal
nabitat. The species is associated with sedges including Carex riparia and
C. acutiformis at water margins of fens and damp woodland. Also Carex
paniculata (all three recorded within this carr/reedswamp interface. Larvae thought
to develop in leaf litter or decaying vegetable matter. Threats to $L$. scutellata
include loss of wetand habiat through drainage and mis-management of water
levels resulting in loss of marginal vegetation. (ISIS affinities: Grouped under W314

- Reed-fen and pocls..
8 A number of species of ground bestles (Carabidae) and rove deeties
8 A number of species of ground bestles (Carabidae) and rove be9tles
(Staphylinidae) are associated with the fitter layer of reedbeds and associated saturated peat and exposed silt. The majority of species are predators of other in-
 to provide a range of saturated and humid conditins at the dases of reeds.
Nationaly Scaroe species recorded include Ooedes helopiodes, a semi-aq species of marginal habitats. Agonum nigrum, a species of Dare mud and marginal nabitats and Demetrias imperialis associated with itter habtats in reed beds. (ISIS
affinities include: W314 - Reed-fen and pools).
 no flow are favoured by the legally protected Norfilk Hawker Aeshna south of the survey area. It is thought possible, but not proved that the species may Dreed within the ditoh network, whist Water Soldier Sratiotes aloides, with which the cragonfly has deen associated elsevenere in its range is thought to be
 that the species may breed in habitat of suitable water quality on site.
11 Reed swamp specialist the RDB3 White-Mantled Wainscot Archanara neurica is a species of particular local importance to the Sizewell site. Like other
 ment and is associated with the edges of mature reedbeds close to the coast. The insect is thought to De sensitive to more strident management such as burning may support this species which was recorded from a moth trap in the C-Platform
 affinities: W314 - Reed-fen and pools).



## Fig 12 Significant invertebrate habitat map: Includes habitat within both Minsmere and Walberswick

 SSSI only. 5 Open, shallow scrape, well vegetated with emergent Spike rushes plantago-aquatica with submerged aquatios including Fennel-leaved Pondweed Potamogeton pectinatus and Curled Pondweed Potamogeton crispus. Depth gradually increasing to approximately 0.6 m . RDe3 Nationally Fare, Great Silver Water Beete Hydrophius piceus recorded within scrape, which also supported a Nationally Scarce hygrophilous weevil Pelenomus canaliculatus which is associated with water mifoïs Myriophylum spp. (This plant was recorded extensively water beetle Hydrochus angstatus, a bare mud species was recorded too. The extensive and well vegetated shallows supported a very diverse macroinverteorate fauna. (ISIS affinites include: W314 - Reed-fen and吾6 A Nationaly Scarce wolf spider Hygrolycosa rubrofasciata was
 a transition from the upper dry dune heath from the reedswamp and wetiand nabitat beyond. H.rubrofasciata is generally a fenland species, but is sometimesfoud in wet woodland/carr habitat (ISIS affititie: W3 - Permanent wet mire.
7 Branched and truncated oitches within fen meadow habitat with clean water and no flow are favoured by the legally protected Norfolk Hawker Keuns thoz eut buinp pepucoen sem sepeds siul sepeososi buysey from Fen Meadow south of the survey area. It is thought possible, but
 Water Soldier Sratiotes aloides, with which the dragonfly nas ceen
associated elsewhere in its range is thought to be absent from the oitones, recent records suggest it can occur in ortches with bladderwort



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Key } \\
\text { Reed swamp } \\
\text { Wet woodland/carr } \\
\text { Wet ditch } \\
\text { Dunes } \\
\text { Grass/heath } \\
\text { Water }
\end{array}
$$



## Fig 13 Significant invertebrate habitat map: Coastal Strip (CWS)

4 Dry, sandy, short sward grassiand, frequent bare Occasional tussocky patches. Diverse and herb-rich. A Nationally Scarce jewel Beetle Aphanisticus pusillus heathland, weas recorded both in the Coastal Strip and heac. Thi weas recorded both in the Coastal Strip and Hyman and Parsons, 1992) who also state that the apecies is "associated with members of the Juncaceas and Cyperacese: The proximity between the arid coastal and inland fenland habitat may benefit dual habitat requirements. (ISIS affinitiea: F112 - Open short sward).
8 Two nymphalid (formerly satyria) but- OVS eut ypoq u! pepioves evem, eoveyodul
rediound to sejods. Its se pers! semet and Coastal Strip grassland habitats. The Grayling hipparchia semele, requires habi-
tats with dry bare ground on wihich to bask and is confined to dry grassland heathland. coastal dunes and grasslands and simi-
larty free-draining arid situations. The Small Heath Coenonympha pamphilus is less the larvae of both species feed on grasses
 others. Graying was abundant throughout tats of both the Coastal Strip and SAC. (ISIS
affinities: F111 - Bare sand and chalk).
Key
Short sward/sandy grassland
Dune
Dune/shingle interfaoe
7 Hare s-foot Clover Trifolum anvense, recorded is the throughout much of the Coastal Strip grassland is the weevil Protapion dissimile recorded from the ccastal strip grassiand. This species avours distrubed ground and sand dunes and dry, sparsley vegetated sandy places on acid or neutral soils. Tnreats to $P$. dissimile grazing. (ISIS affinites: F111 - Bare sand and chalk).
6 A Nationally Scarce snail-killing fly Pherbelhia brunnipes was recorded on two samples within the dry Cosstal Strip grassland. The coastal levels, dune alacka and damp wooda. The adulta may benefit from the flower-rich resource of the Coastal Strip, whilet the
larvae are thought to develop as parasitoids in aquatic snaila. In common with other Snail-killing flies, P. brunnipes may benefit important for lifecycle. (ISIS affinities include: W314 - Reed-fen and poola).
2 Lady's-bedstraw Galium verum was a constant component of the low growing herb layer within
the coastal strip grassland. This species is an important foodplant of the Nationally Scarce Heath Shieldbug Legnotus picipes, a specialist of dry.
well drained sandy and also shingle habitats in IEfseoo uly pereioosse fsow sit smouna y uilum dune grasslands and inland heathe. The species is vulherable to loss of habitat through succession,
denefiting from rabbit grazing on coastal habitats. A larva of another bedstraw feeding insect, the was also recorded on Lady's bedstraw (ISIS
affinities: F111 - Bare sand and chalk). 3 Cliffed dune habitat at intarface with upper shore
shingle. Sof sand oliffe, one or two metres high marked the seaward extremity of the dunes throughout much of the coastal strip and SSSI. Several species of ground nesting aculeate hymenoptera were recorded. An RDB1 spider-hunting wasp Evagetes pectinipes in 2014. The species only known from Kent in the UK prior to current survey. E. pectinipes is thought to be a cleptoparasite of another spider-hunting wasp Episyron rufipes, (also recorded). Spider.h (Episyron rufipes colects orb-web spiders (Araneidae) and woff spiders (Lycosidae)). (ISIS affinities: F111 - Bare sand and chalk).

1 A number of species of coastal dune systems are strongly associated with Marram Grass Ammophila arenaria, the root syctems of which
form a key-role in the the creation of dunec. A RDB3 spider Clubiona frisia is a specialist nocturnal hunter which lives at the bases of orded only during Ameo surveys (2007-2010) only immature clubionids Another Marram Grass associate, the very local Marram Cheiter Dactylochelifer latreillei, pseudoccorpion associated with dune systems
was recorded in both the Coastal Strip and SAC dune habitat in 2014. (ISIS affinities: F111

$$
x_{2}^{2(2)}
$$

[^97]5 Numerous lush patches of Restharrow Ononis repens on and around dunes provides shetter
and a food resource for a variety of specialists and a food resource for a variety of specialists Platycleis albopunctata a specialist coastal species was abundant in Restharrow, particularly on the tops and sides of the seaward dunes.
(ISIS affinites: F111 - Bare sand and chalk)

[^98]

Photograph 4: SSSI partially shaded ditch (2)

 Photograph 15: Coastal Strip grassland shingle


Photograph 3: SSSI shaded ditch with Lemna minor


Photograph 11: Minsmere \& Walberswick SAC reedbed
 Photograph 6: SSSI Area 2 T6 sample site

Appendix 4 - Photographs

Photograph 9. SSSI Fen Meadow
 Photograph 10: SAC: Dune/shingle interface

## Sizewell C Invertebrate Surveys 2016

## Methods

## 2016 Fieldwork

In 2016, invertebrate fieldwork was undertaken using an identical range of sampling methods as used during the Arcadis 2014 Sizewell survey. These comprised terrestrial sampling methods including timed sweeping, vacuum sampling and beating. As in 2014, water traps were also deployed at most survey sites. Within one survey compartment, Goosehill Rides (Compartment 13), Flight interception Traps (FITs) were deployed instead of water traps and beat sampling. This method had not been used during the 2014 survey; however, a number of pan or water trap samples had been collected within the Goosehill Rides during the 2015 field season.
Flight interception traps were suspended from tree branches and flying insects and other captured invertebrates were preserved in an ethylene glycol (antifreeze) solution. Traps were run for approximately two weeks on each of three sampling events.
Collected FIT samples were strained and preserved in IMS in labelled and dated tubes (as for all sample methods). In addition to the terrestrial sampling methods, two aquatic samples were collected from field drains forming the southern boundary of the Fen Meadow SSSI (Compartment 3) to complement aquatic samples collected during 2014.

All samples were labelled and sample sites were recorded using a handheld Garmin Global Positioning System (GPS) device. A brief description of habitat present at each sample site was made and more detailed habitat descriptives were added (for sites not surveyed during 2014) in the form of geo-referenced target notes.
The number of samples collected per method and compartment are presented in Table 1. Details of sample timings are also indicated. For a more comprehensive account of the methods used see Arcadis 2014.

## Antlion Euroleon nostras survey

Besides the general sampling and habitat recording undertaken. Searches for larval evidence in the form of burrows of the antlion Euroleon nostras were undertaken. The searches focused on rides at Goosehill as far north as Walk Barn and were undertaken during all three (May, Jun and July) surveys.

## Ex situ identification

Note: Identification of 45 pitfall and 45 water trap samples collected by Arcadis during 2015 as part of a separate reptile prey study was also undertaken as part of the current project and data subsequently analysed alongside Arcadis 2016 data and existing 2014 and compartment-specific Amec 2007 to 2011 data.
All preserved samples collected during both 2015 and 2016 fieldwork were systematically identified using a stereo dissecting microscope and where required, appropriate, taxon-specific keys. These included primarily volumes produced by the Royal Entomological Society and/or the Field Studies Council as well as standard references such as recently published volumes e.g. Beetles Volumes 1 and 4 published by Andrew Duff and the Field Guide to Bees of Great Britain and Ireland by Steven Falk.
The majority of species were identified by Jon Mellings; however, some diptera (two-winged flies) were outsourced to specialist dipterists P.A. Ward and M.W.J. Paskin subcontracted by Andy Jukes.
In certain instances specimen verification was sought. Examples include the weevils Procras granulicollis and Sitona waterhousei specimens, verified by M.G. Morris. Both records may constitute county firsts for Suffolk.

## Data analysis

As with the fieldwork data was recorded in accordance with methods used for the 2014 survey. Each individual record per sample was entered into an Excel spreadsheet together with metadata relating to recorded date, collection method, species status and (in most cases) the approximate number of specimens of a particular species within a sample.
Data was processed to provide lists per survey compartment and combined with data derived from the Arcadis 2014 survey data, together with and additional data collected within the survey compartments between 2007 and 2011 by Amec. These datasets were subsequently transferred to the ISIS spreadsheet for analysis. Once imported to ISIS, lists were meticulously screened for nomenclature errors. ISIS output was then interpreted and presented with commentary relating to uncommon/designated species recorded for each sample site.

| Survey Component | Survey Location | Activity | Timing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main Site Invertebrate Surveys | SSSI Triangle (Compartment 1) | - Complete terrestrial Terrestrial sample (Beating/sweep/vacuum/wate $r$ traps) ensuring sufficient samples taken from wet woodland of western edge of SSSI Triangle | - 1 beating sample per month - May (5/5/2016) <br> - and June (22/6/2016) <br> - 4 samples per method (beating/sweep/ vacuum /water traps) (28/7/2016) |
|  | Goose Hill (Compartment 13) | - Walkover / habitat description <br> - Terrestrial sample (Sweep/vacuum/set up flight interception traps (FITs) <br> - Antlion walkover | - 5/5/2016 <br> - Four samples per method per visit on 5/5/2016, 26/6/2016 and 28/7/2016 <br> - During terrestrial sampling (5/5/2016, 26/6/2016 and 28/7/2016) |
|  | C station Platform Woodland (Area 4a) | - Terrestrial sample (Sweep/vacuum /water traps/ beating) | - 5-6/5/2016 and |
|  | Fen meadow (Area 3) | - 2 aquatic samples ditch running E - W for Norfolk Hawker and general aquatic invertebrates <br> - 2 sweep and 2 suction samples | - 3/5/2016 <br> - 3/5/2016, 21/6/2016 <br> - $25 / 7 / 2016$ |
|  | Arable margins (Area 15) | - Walkover to identify the best arable margins then sample the best examples <br> - Sampling best examples trapping methods to include: Sweeping, vacuum, beating and water traps as required | - $4 / 5 / 2016$ <br> - Four samples per method 4-6/5/2016, 21-25/6/2016 and 28/7/2016 |

## ISIS Results from analysis of combined data collected within compartments at Sizewell

The species lists used were derived from both terrestrial and (where available) aquatic samples. Lists included representatives from all the main insect orders recognised within ISIS as well as other arthropods including spiders (Araneae), harvestmen (Opiliones), woodlice (Isopoda), Pseudoscorpions (Pseudoscorpiones) and some centipedes (Chilopoda). No molluscs were recorded during the terrestrial sampling, however, aquatic molluscs, being part of standard aquatic invertebrate assessment methods were included. ISIS does not (currently) recognise certain families, for example, micromoths, certain true fly families such as Muscidae and Tachnidae and certain beetle families e.g. Leiodidae. Parasitic hymenoptera (Parasitica) is another large group not serviced by ISIS.

Errors identified within the ISIS output (displayed at the bottom of the data output tables) either comprised species not represented within ISIS, or those not recorded to species. Prior to running ISIS, each site list was subject to a rigorous check to ensure the nomenclature used for target species was recognisable within ISIS.

The following interpretation relates to sites within recognised Sizewell compartments to which new datasets have been added post 2014. Two main sources of data were used for this purpose as follows:

1. Data collected in 2016 survey in order to fill gaps in existing Arcadis (2014) and Amec (2007-2011) datasets;
2. Data derived from identification of pitfall trap and water trap samples collected as part of a reptile prey monitoring project conducted by Arcadis in 2015.

For some sites the new (2015 and 2016) data has been added to existing data collected between 2007 and 2011 by Amec, and/or 2014 by Arcadis. A total of 976 species were recorded from the 2015 and 2016 data combined and all data including combined 2014, 2015 and 2016 Arcadis and Amec 2007 to 2011 data gives a total species list for the Sizewell project of 2,068 invertebrate species.

In some cases, datasets are derived only from the 2015 reptile prey monitoring samples, from sites not targeted strategically for the purpose of invertebrate survey. Whilst these datasets have been analysed, they are represented by relatively few samples. However, in such cases at least 10 samples were collected from each site, providing sufficient resolution, if minimal, for meaningful analysis using ISIS.

The datasets for sites such as 'SZC - Studio' and 'SZC - St James' for example, are based on samples collected primarily for the purpose of reptile prey studies.

Specific Assemblage Types (SATs) are considered to give a better indication of conservation value and may be better suited to targeted monitoring when used in a conservation context, i.e. for undertaking condition assessments of SSSI features for statutory nature conservation purposes. However, for the purpose of the following interpretation, it was considered more useful to consider BATs in greater detail followed by the SATs. This was partly to facilitate discussion relating to species recognised as being of higher conservation status in relation to their associated assemblages. However, SATs are also discussed and their conservation value emphasised where required.

## SSSI Triangle

Combined data collected by Arcadis (2014 and 2016) and Amec (2009)

The following designated species have been recorded from the combined SSSI Triangle sample data:

Protected species:

- Norfolk Hawker Anaciaeschna (Aeshna) isosceles (Odonata: Aeshnidae) UK Protected Species under Sch. 1,5 and 8 of WCA (1981); Also included as a Species of Principal Importance in S41 of the NERC Act (2006) and classed under IUCN post-2001 guidelines as 'Endangered' in the UK.
- A sciomyzid fly Antichaeta brevipennis (Diptera: Sciomyzidae) RDB2 - Vulnerable
- White-mantled Wainscot Archanara neurica (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) RDB3-Rare; S41 NERC (2006)
- Levels Yellow-horned Horsefly Hybomitra ciureai (Diptera: Tabanidae) RDB3 - Rare
- A cranefly Prionocera subserricornis (Diptera: Tipulidae) RDB2 - Vulnerable
- Yellow Downlooker Snipefly Rhagio strigosus (Diptera: Rhagionidae) RDB3
- A periscelid fly Stenomicra delicata (Diptera: Periscelididae) RDB2
- A fanniid fly Piezura graminicola (Diptera: Fanniidae) RDB - insufficiently known

Nationally scarce species:

- An anthomyzid fly Anagnota bicolor (Diptera: Anthomyzidae) NS
- A scraptiid beetle Anaspis thoracica (Coleoptera: Scraptiidae) NS (Na)
- A ground beetle Bembidion fumigatum (Coleoptera: Carabidae) NS (Nb)
- Scarce Orange Legionnaire Beris clavipes (Diptera: Stratiomyidae) NS
- A sciomyzid fly Colobaea bifasciella (Diptera: Sciomyzidae) NS
- A true weevil Curculio betulae (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) NS (Nb)
- A cranefly Dicranomyia lucida (Diptera: Limoniidae) NS
- A cylindrotomid fly Diogma glabrata (Diptera: Cylindrotomidae) NS
- Lesser Cockroach Ectobius panzeri (Dictyoptera: Blattelliidae) NS
- A chloropid fly Elachiptera uniseta (Diprtera: Chloropidae) NS
- A chloropid fly Lipara rufitarsis (Diprtera: Chloropidae) NS
- An erirhinid weevil Notaris scirpi (Coleoptera: Erirhinidae) NS (Nb)
- Black Colonel Odontomyia tigrina (Diptera: Stratiomyidae) NS
- Ornate Brigadier Odontomyia ornata (Diptera: Stratiomyidae) NS
- A leafhopper Paralimnus phragmitis (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) NS (Nb)
- A sciomyzid fly Pherbellia dorsata (Diptera: Sciomyzidae) NS
- A sciomyzid fly Pherbellia griseola (Diptera: Sciomyzidae) NS
- A leaf weevil Polydrusus formosus (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) NS (Na)
- Drab Wood-soldierfly Solva marginata (Diptera: Xylomyidae) NS
- Banded General Stratiomys potamida (Diptera: Stratiomyidae) NS
- A dolichopodid fly Thrypticus divisus (Diptera: Dolichopodidae) NS
- A dolichopodid fly Thrypticus nigricauda (Diptera: Dolichopodidae) NS


## ISIS analysis

## Results

The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAT } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | SAT name | No. spp. | Conditio n | Percentage of national species pool | Relate d BAT rarity score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W314 | reedfen and pools | 23 | fav | 21 | 242 |
| W211 | open water on disturbed mineral sediments | 3 |  | 8 | 130 |
| F001 | scrub edge | 9 |  | 5 |  |
| W125 | slow-flowing rivers | 1 |  | 4 | 150 |
| W126 | seepage | 2 |  | 4 | 150 |
| W221 | litter-rich fluctuating marsh | 1 |  | 3 | 130 |
| F002 | rich flower resource | 6 |  | 2 |  |
| A212 | bark \& sapwood decay | 12 |  | 2 | 175 |
| M211 | sandy beaches | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| W313 | moss and tussock fen | 1 |  | 2 | 242 |
| M311 | saltmarsh | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| A211 | heartwood decay | 2 |  | 1 | 175 |


| F221 | montane \& upland | 1 | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| W312 | Sphagnum bog | 1 | 1 | 242 |
| F111 | bare sand \& chalk | 4 | 1 | 141 |

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

## The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { BAT } \\ \text { code }\end{array}$ | BAT name | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Representation } \\ (\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Rarity } \\ \text { score }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Conditio } \\ \mathbf{n}\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { BAT } \\ \text { species } \\ \text { richness }\end{array}$ | IEC |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2 | grassland \& scrub matrix | 20 | 128 | 131 |  |  |$]$

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 765 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of errors in species list | 105 |

## Interpretation of SSSI Triangle ISIS analysis

The following interpretation is based on all available data collected within the SSSI Triangle (Compartment 1) from surveys of terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates conducted between 2007 and 2016. The interpretation should be considered alongside previous analysis and interpretation of SSSI Triangle samples using ISIS, conducted by Arcadis (2015) and Webb (2015?) 2016 samples were collected mainly as a gap filling exercise. This covered in particular, a later summer sampling event not undertaken during the initial round of 2014 sampling by Arcadis and supplementary beating samples collected within a similar time frame to the additional survey. 2016 sampling within the SSSI Triangle was undertaken using exactly the same methods as in 2014 and by the same operative.

For the purpose of this analysis, data was collated from the following sources:

Amec (2007) terrestrial surveys
Amec (2009) aquatic surveys
Amec (2010) terrestrial surveys (malaise traps)
Arcadis (2014) terrestrial and aquatic surveys
Arcadis (2016) terrestrial surveys
Samples collected during the 2016 Arcadis

In total, 769 species recorded during the combined surveys were analysed using ISIS, the list includes both terrestrial and aquatic samples, with representatives from all the main insect orders recognised within ISIS as well as other arthropods including spiders (Araneae), harvestmen (Opiliones), woodlice (Isopoda), Pseudoscorpions (Pseudoscorpiones) and some centipedes (Chilopoda). No molluscs were recorded during the terrestrial sampling, however, aquatic molluscs, being part of standard aquatic invertebrate assessment methods were included.

Of the species input, 105 errors were identified within ISIS, these errors comprised either of species not represented within ISIS, or those not recorded to species. The list was subject to rigorous check to ensure nomenclature used for target species was recognisable within ISIS.

Significant Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) recognised within ISIS
In total, 10 Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) were recognised from the analysed SSSI Triangle dataset. Of these, eight comprised a sufficient number of species to be considered robust, i.e. being made up of more than 15 species.

In terms of species deployment, the largest number of species attributed to a single BAT was 131, attributed to the F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage. However, the two other most well represented BATs were wetland assemblages, the W3 - Permanent wet mire and the W2 - Mineral marsh and open water. These two assemblages, supporting 128 and104 species respectively, are closely allied. Whilst the current version of ISIS is unable to attribute species to more than one BAT, there will inevitably be some crossover in terms of habitat affinity between species attributed to closely allied assemblages.

Of the remaining BATs represented, the W1 - Flowing water assemblage, represented by 46 species in total, further contributes to a significant body of wetland and wetland-related species.

Other broad assemblages with a significant presence within the ISIS analysis included the two woodland related assemblages A1 - Arboreal Canopy with 49 attributed species and A2 - Wood decay with 29 species. Allied to these assemblages, the F3 - Shaded field and ground layer assemblage, which comprises species associated with woodland and scrub ground-layers, comprised 28 species. Collectively, these three BATs constituted just over 100 species, a significant, though not dominant proportion of the recognised fauna as a whole.

In terms of conservation significance, one broad assemblage, the W3 - Permanent wet mire achieved a Rarity Score (RS) of 242. This score easily exceeded the Favourable Condition Target (FCT) of 180 specified within ISIS for the W3 assemblage. This indicates that the site supports a W3 - Permanent wet mire assemblage of National Importance. Whilst other recorded BATs were attributed with a similar number of species to the W3 assemblage, none exhibited scores exceeding the assemblage-specific FCTs set within ISIS. However, in some instances Rarity Scores attained were close to these thresholds. The W1 - Flowing water BAT, whilst being represented by relatively few (46) species, attained a RS of 150; this equalled but did not exceed the FCT of 150 specified in ISIS. The W1 assemblage can, therefore, be said to be on the cusp of achieving National significance.

The remaining wetland specific BAT, W2 - Mineral marsh and open water, was relatively well-represented in terms of species-richness, but scored a RS of 130, compared to a threshold of 150. Whilst this score does not indicate National significance, it is relatively close to the threshold and therefore can be said to be of conservation value. Two nationally scarce species, a ground beetle Bembidion fumigatum and a weevil Notaris scirpi which is associated with Reedmaces Typha sp. and club-rushes Scirpus sp.(Schoenoplectus) were attributed to W2.

Whilst the F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage was the most species-rich assemblage recorded within the SSSI Triangle, the RS for this assemblage fell short of its FCT of 160, scoring 128. The assemblage did, however, include two Red Data Book species, the nationally rare (RDB3) Yellow Downlooker Snipefly Rhagio strigosus; a species associated with scrub and woodland edge habitats (recorded by Amec, 2007). A fanniid fly Piezura graminicola (recorded by Amec, 2010), classed as RDBK (unknown) in the UK was also attributed to the F2 assemblage.

The woodland associated BATs including A1 - Arboreal canopy, A2 - Wood decay and F3 - Shaded field and ground layer, all achieved Rarity Scores below their respective favourable condition targets. However, the RS of 175 for the A2 - Wood decay BAT came relatively close to the FCT of 190 set in ISIS. Two nationally scarce species associated with wood decay habitat in the SSSI Triangle included the Drab Wood-soldierfly Solva marginata and a scraptiid beetle Anaspis thoracica, both recorded from 2016 Arcadis data. And two Nationally Scarce beetles; the weevils Curculio betulae (recorded in 2014) and Polydrusus formosus (recorded in 2014 and 2016), are attributed to the A1 Arboreal canopy BAT.

Of the Specific Assemblage Types (SATs) recorded within the SSSI Triangle, one the W314 - Reedfen and pools SAT achieved a Number of Species Score (No. spp) of 23 more than doubling the FCT of 10 set within ISIS for this SAT. The W314 assemblage can be seen as being clearly of at least national significance, and of SSSI standard. This score reflects the score registered by the parent W3 - Permanent wet mire BAT. However SATs are considered better indicators of high conservation value, as they are composed entirely of species with exacting habitat requirements. The recorded W314 SAT species collectively represent 21 percent or approximately one fifth) of the total UK fauna attributed to this SAT.

Species of a higher conservation status listed within the W314 SAT included six Red Data Book species and five species classed as nationally scarce in the UK. Three of the true flies (Diptera) including a sciomyzid Antichaeta brevipennis, a cranefly Prionocera subserricornis and a perscelid fly Stenomicra delicata are currently classed as nationally vulnerable (RDB2). Nationally rare (RDB3) species included a moth, the White-Mantled Wainscot Archanara neurica and the Levels Yellow-horned Horsefly Hybomitra ciureai.

The other RDB species classed within the W314 assemblage recorded from the SSSI Triangle was the Norfolk Hawker Anaciaeshna isoceles. This species was recorded in a malaise trap during the 2010 Amec surveys. Norfolk Hawker is fully protected under Schedules 1,5 and 8 of WCA (1981), is listed as a 'Species of Principal Importance' under the S41 of the NERC Act (2006) and is classed as nationally endangered using post-2001 IUCN critera. In both 2014 and 2016, the insect was recorded towards the northern end of the complex of Fen Meadows (compartment 12) and whilst, at least seven insects were recorded in 2016 flying around a well-vegetated drain in this area, no adults or larvae were recorded in the SSSI Triangle, during either 2014 or 2016 surveys. Habitat within the SSSI Triangle drains is generally considered to be unsuitable for this dragonfly as a breeding species; however, it may benefit from the habitat for hunting and perching, furthermore, the suitability of the reedbed lagoon for supporting the insect has not been assessed due to access issues.

Nationally scarce species attributed to the W314 - Reedbed and pools SAT recorded within the SSSI Triangle included two species of soldierfly (Diptera: Stratiomyidae) the Black Colonel Odontomyia tigrina and the Ornate Brigadier Odontomyia ornata. Both species have a strong fidelity to fenland biotopes. The Ornate Brigadier was until recently classed as nationally vulnerable (RDB2) in the UK, but has been subject to a recent status revision due to an increase in records. Other nationally scarce species attributed to W314 included two sciomyzid flies, Colobaea bifasciella and Pherbellia dorsata and a chloropid fly Lipara rufitarsis the larvae of which form galls within the stems of Common Reed Phragmites australis.

Whilst none of the remaining 13 SATs recognised from the SSSI Triangle dataset exceeded their respective FCTs, the F001 - Scrub edge and A212 - Bark and sapwood decay SATs were both well represented. Nine species were attributed to the F001 assemblage, this being very close to the FCT of 10 set within ISIS for this assemblage. F001 is one of the cross-cutting 'resource based' SATs added to ISIS during a 2008 revision. None of the species attributed to the F001 assemblage is currently classed as rare or scarce in the UK. The A212 - Bark and sapwood decay assemblage was represented by 12 species, compared to a FCT score of 19 set in ISIS. The nationally scarce Wood-soldierfly Solva marginata and a scraptiid beetle Anaspis thoracica, both mentioned in relation to the overarching A2 - Wood decay BAT (above), are both specialists attributed to the A212 assemblage.

The representation within these two SATs illustrates the importance of woodland edge habitat within the SSSI Triangle. These SATs should also be considered in combination with the A1 - Arboreal canopy BAT (which has no associated SATs in ISIS).

## SZC - Studio

Specimens identified from $5 \times$ pitfall traps and $5 \times$ pan traps collected on 2/6/2015.

The following designated species have been recorded from the combined SZC - Studio sample data:

- Short-horned Furrow Bee Lasioglossum brevicorne (Hymenoptera: Halictidae) RDB3 Rare
- Red-girdled Mining Bee Andrena labiata (Hymenoptera: Andrenidae) NS (Na)
- Grey-gastered Furrow Bee Lasioglossum prasinum (Hymenoptera: Halictidae) NS (Na)
- A ground beetle Panagaeus bipustulatus (Coleoptera: Carabidae) NS (Nb)


## Results

The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT code | SAT name | No. spp. | Conditio n | Percentage of national species pool | Relate <br> d BAT <br> rarity <br> score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F111 | bare sand \& chalk | 7 |  | 2 | 156 |
| A212 | bark \& sapwood decay | 2 |  | 0 |  |

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

## The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representation (1- <br> $\mathbf{1 0 0})$ | Rarity <br> score | Conditio <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | BAT species <br> richness | IEC |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | unshaded early successional |  |  |  |  |  |
| mosaic | grassland \& scrub matrix | 39 | 156 | 27 |  |  |
| A2 | wood decay | 33 | 132 | 23 |  |  |
| W2 | mineral marsh \& open water | 3 |  | 2 | 0 |  |
| W3 | permanent wet mire | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

| Number of species | 70 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of errors in species list | 0 |

Interpretation of SZC - Studio ISIS analysis
The following interpretation is based on all available data collected within the SZC - Studio site (as named in Arcadis 2015 samples) from surveys of terrestrial invertebrates collected during a single episode of pitfall and pan trapping collected on $2 / 6 / 2015$. The data was collected primarily as part of a study of reptile prey, rather than as a strategic assessment of invertebrate conservation value per se. The data is, however, useful in adding resolution to the existing body of data collected for the purposes of the current project.

Importantly, the samples were collected from a single point in time (within a one or two week operational period) and therefore do not provide evidence of species occurring at other times of year. With five samples being collected from each sample method, the data is technically of sufficiently high resolution to provide a valid analysis using ISIS. However, the results should be seen as indicative rather than comprehensive and should not be used for direct comparison with sites that have received more comprehensive sampling.

In total, 70 species recorded during the single 2015 sampling event were analysed using ISIS, the list includes terrestrial samples only.

## Significant Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) recognised within ISIS

In total, five Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) were recognised from the analysed SZC - Studio dataset. Of these, two comprised a sufficient number of species to be considered robust, i.e. being made up of more than 15 species.

In terms of species deployment, the largest number of species attributed to a single BAT was 27, attributed to the F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic assemblage. F1 includes species characteristic of relatively dry, sparsely vegetated habitats including sites subject to some disturbance. The other relatively well-represented BAT was the F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage to which 23 species were attributed. Other BATs resulting from the ISIS analysis of the SZC - Studio data were represented by only one or two species. These included A2 - Wood decay (2 species), and W2 - Mineral marsh and open water and W3 - Permanent wet mire (1 species each).

In terms of conservation significance, none of the assemblages achieved a Rarity Score exceeding the Favourable Condition Target set within ISIS. However, the F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic assemblage attained an RS of 156. This score was very close to the corresponding FCT of 160, furthermore, all four species of a recognised UK
conservation status recorded within the SZC - Studio dataset were attributed to the F1 BAT. These included three species of ground-nesting bee including the nationally rare (RDB3) Short-horned Furrow Bee Lasioglossum brevicorne, and two nationally scarce species, the Grey-gastered Furrow Bee L. prasinum and the Red-girdled Mining Bee Andrena labiata. In addition, a ground beetle Panagaeus bipustulatus classed as Nationally Scarce was also attributed to the F1 assemblage.

The RS recorded for the other main BAT recorded within the SZC - Studio, the F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage was 132. Whilst this was not particularly close to exceeding the FCT of 160 set in ISIS for this assemblage, the score can be said to reflect an assemblage of potential conservation value. The assemblage is also closely allied in terms of composition and succession to the F1 assemblage and there is undoubtedly some crossover in terms of habitat usage between species ascribed to these assemblages.

Whilst no RDB or Nationally Scarce species were attributed to the F2 assemblage, several species of local distribution attributed to F2 were recorded within the SZC - Studio sample site. These included ground dwelling spiders of the family Gnaphosidae including Drassyllus pusillus described by Harvey et al (2002) as 'local and not common' and Haplodrassus signifer a local species. Both species are associated with sandy heathland as well as dry grassland habitats. Local beetle species attributed to F2 included an ant-like beetle Notoxus monoceros also associated primarily with dry sandy grassland and heathland biotopes, which was recorded widely throughout the Sizewell compartments, a ground beetle Notiophilus aquaticus and Quedius (Raphirus) schatzmayri, a rove beetle associated with generally damper grassland habitats.

Only two Specific Assemblage Types (SATs) were recorded from the SZC - Studio sample data. These included the F111 - Bare sand and chalk assemblage, to which seven species were attributed and A212 - Bark and sapwood decay, to which two species were attributed.

Whilst the representation of seven species attributed to the F111 assemblage fell far short of achieving the FCT of 18 set within ISIS for this assemblage, the three species of higher conservation value also recorded for the overarching F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic BAT, including the RDB3 Short-horned Furrow Bee Lasioglossum brevicorne, and the nationally scarce Grey-gastered Furrow Bee L. prasinum and the ground beetle Panagaeus bipustulatus. Additional species considered to be sufficiently stenoecious to justify inclusion within a SAT level assemblage included the Green Tiger Beetle Cicindela campestris, essentially a species of sandy heathland and Alopecosa cuneata, one of the more local lycosid spiders recorded across the survey area.

The Short-horned Furrow Bee is of very restricted range in the UK and is associated primarily with dry heathland habitats and like the Grey-gastered Mining Bee, also recorded is thought to nest in sand. Both species are recorded in Suffolk, with most records being from the Brecks. The ground beetle Panagaeus bipustulatus is, according to Luff (2007) associated primarily with open, well-drained grasslands, dunes as well chalk and gravel pits.

The data collected from the SZC - Studio site adds resolution to the overall knowledge of the fauna occurring within the Sizewell survey area. In particular adding species associated with dry heathland and other unshaded early successional mosaic and drier grassland habitats. Neither furrow bee Lasioglossum brevicorne or L. prasinum nor the ground beetle Panagaeus bipustulatus were recorded within other compartments within the Sizewell survey area.

## SZC - St James

Specimens identified from $5 \times$ pitfall traps and $5 \times$ pan traps collected on 6/5/2015.

The following designated species have been recorded from the combined SZC - St James sample data:

- A flea beetle Longitarsus dorsalis (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) NS (Nb)
- A ground bug Megalonotus praetextatus (Hemiptera: Lygaeidae) NS
- A hoverfly Platycheirus immarginatus (Diptera: Syrphidae) NS


## Results <br> The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| SAT code | SAT name | No. spp. | Conditio n | Percentage of national species pool | Relate d BAT rarity score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F112 | open short sward | 3 |  | 2 | 154 |
| F111 | bare sand \& chalk | 6 |  | 1 | 154 |
| M311 | saltmarsh | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| A211 | heartwood decay | 1 |  | 1 |  |

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

## The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:

| BAT <br> code | BAT name | Representation (1- <br> 100) | Rarity <br> score | Conditio <br> n | BAT species <br> richness | IEC |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

## Technical statistics:

```
Number of species
Number of errors in species list

Interpretation of SZC - St James ISIS analysis
The following interpretation is based on all available data collected within the SZC - St James site (as named in Arcadis 2015 samples) from surveys of terrestrial invertebrates collected during a single episode of pitfall and pan trapping collected on \(6 / 5 / 2015\). The data was collected primarily as part of a study of reptile prey, rather than as a strategic assessment of invertebrate conservation value per se. The data is, however, useful in adding resolution to the existing body of data collected for the purposes of the current project.

Importantly, the samples were collected from a single point in time (within a one or two week operational period) and therefore do not provide evidence of species occurring at other times of year. With five samples being collected from each sample method, the data is technically of sufficiently high resolution to provide a valid analysis using ISIS. However, the results should be seen as indicative rather than comprehensive and should not be used for direct comparison with sites that have received more comprehensive sampling.

For the SZC - St James site, 85 species recorded during the single 2015 sampling event were analysed using ISIS, the list includes terrestrial samples only.

\section*{Significant Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) recognised within ISIS}

In total, nine Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) were recognised from the analysed SZC - St James dataset. Of these, only two comprised a sufficient number of species to be considered robust, i.e. being made up of more than 15 species.

In terms of species deployment, the largest number of species attributed to a single BAT was 28, attributed to the F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic assemblage. F1 includes species characteristic of relatively dry, sparsely vegetated habitats including sites subject to some disturbance. The other relatively well-represented BAT was the F2
- Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage to which 26 species were attributed. Other BATs resulting from the ISIS analysis of the SZC - St James data were, apart from the W3 - Permanent wet mire, to which nine species were attributed, generally represented by only one or two species. Of these F3 - Shaded field and ground layer was represented by two species and A1 - Arboreal canopy, A2 - Wood decay, W1 - Flowing water, W2 - Mineral marsh and open water and M3 - Saltmarsh, estuary and mud-flat, were each represented by a single species.

In terms of conservation significance, none of the assemblages achieved a Rarity Score exceeding the Favourable Condition Target set within ISIS. However, the F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic assemblage attained an RS of 154 . This score approaching the corresponding FCT of 160.

Two of the three species classed as nationally scarce in the UK, recorded within the SZC - St James dataset were attributed to the F1 BAT. These included Megalonotus praetextatus, a species of ground bug (Lygaeidae) and a flea beetle Longitarsus dorsalis (Chrysomelidae). Both species are associated with dry and well-drained substrates on both calcareous and sandy soils and both species, are also associated with coastal biotopes. The ground dwelling Megalonotus praetextatus is thought to feed on the seeds of Common Storksbill Erodium cicutarium (Kirby, 1992), whilst Longitarsus dorsalis is associated with ragworts Senecio spp (Hyman and Parsons, 1992).

The RS recorded for the other main BAT recorded within the SZC - St James site, the F2-Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage, was 148 . This score again can be said to be reasonably close the FCT of 160 specified in ISIS. Whilst FCT status is not achieved in the case of either the F1 or F2 assemblages, the scores for both can be said to indicate conservation value. The F1 and F2 assemblages are closely allied in terms of composition and succession and there is undoubtedly some habitat usage crossover between species ascribed to these assemblages.

Whilst no RDB or Nationally Scarce species were attributed to the F2 assemblage, several species of local distribution attributed to F2 were recorded at SZC - St James. These included ground dwelling spiders of the family Gnaphosidae including Drassyllus pusillus and Haplodrassus signifer described in relation to the SZC - Studio (above) and Steatoda phalerata (Theridiidae) a free-living specialist ant predator associated with dry grassland, heathland and dunes. S. phalerata was described in Harvey et al (2002) as being 'generally uncommon throughout its range'. Other recorded local species attributed to F2 included a dung beetle Onthophagus coenobita associated with unimproved grassland habitats and a tephritid fly Tephritis vespertina, the larvae of which develop in galls formed in the seed heads of Common Cat' s-ear Hypochaeris radicata (White, 1998).

Four Specific Assemblage Types (SATs) were recorded from the SZC - St James sample data. None of these achieved sufficiently high 'No. spp.' scores to exceed the thresholds set in ISIS for favourable condition. The bestrepresented assemblages were F111 - Bare sand and chalk (six species attributed compared to a threshold of 18) and F112 - Open short sward (three species compared to a threshold of 12). The two remaining SATs, M311 Saltmarsh and A211 - Heartwood decay were each represented by a single species.

Whilst the representation of six species attributed to the F111 assemblage fell far short of achieving the FCT of 18 set within ISIS for this assemblage, two of the three species of higher conservation value also recorded for the overarching F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic BAT, including the previously discussed ground bug Megalonotus praetextatus, and flea beetle Longitarsus dorsalis. Other habitat specialist attributed to F111 recorded from the SZC - St James site included two species of hunting spider (Lycosidae); Alopecosa cuneata and Pardosa palustris, a ground beetle Amara eurynota and the Marram Beetle Philopedon plagiatum. These species are all associated with dry, open and often sandy habitats such as dry grassland, heaths and coastal dunes. Philopedon plagiatum is a predominately coastal dune species in the UK. It was found in a number of the more coastal compartments during the wider survey.

The third nationally scarce species recorded was a hoverfly Platycheirus immarginatus. This species was the only species attributed to the M311 - Saltmarsh assemblage. According to Stubbs and Falk (2002), P. immarginatus is associated primarily with brackish marsh, either on the coast or tidal reaches of rivers and the larvae are known to feed on the aphid Trichocallus cyperi on sedges in wetlands. There is no shortage of potential habitat for this hoverfly in the broader habitat and several records exist within the Suffolk coastal zone.

The data collected from the SZC - St James site adds resolution to the overall knowledge of the fauna occurring within the Sizewell survey area. Whilst the other uncommon species and most of the commoner species recorded within the wider Sizewell survey area, the hoverfly Platycheirus immarginatus was recorded only at this site within
the context of the current project. The prevailing F1 and F2 assemblages and associated SATs including F111 in particular, supported comparable assemblages to those recorded from other sites characterised by patches of dry heathland, sandy acid grassland and other plagioclimax or early successional habitats recorded within the broader survey.

\section*{SZC - Kenton}

Specimens identified from \(5 \times\) pitfall traps and \(5 \times\) pan traps collected on 5/5/2015 and survey data derived from samples collected in 2007 by Amec.

The following designated species have been recorded from the combined SZC - Kenton survey data:
- A weevil Procas granulicollis (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) RDB Indeterminate (Arcadis, 2015) Verified by M.G. Morris
- Ornate Brigadier Odontomyia argentata (Diptera: Stratiomyidae) NS (revised from RDB2) (Arcadis, 2015)
- A ladybird beetle Scymnus limbatus (Coleoptera: Coccinelidae) NS (nb) (Amec, 2007)

\section*{Results}

The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SAT } \\
& \text { code }
\end{aligned}
\] & SAT name & No. spp. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Conditio \\
n
\end{tabular} & Percentage of national species pool & Relate d BAT rarity score \\
\hline F111 & bare sand \& chalk & 7 & & 2 & 145 \\
\hline F001 & scrub edge & 2 & & 1 & \\
\hline A212 & bark \& sapwood decay & 5 & & 1 & \\
\hline W314 & reedfen and pools & 1 & & 1 & \\
\hline F002 & rich flower resource & 2 & & 1 & \\
\hline F003 & scrub-heath \& moorland & 1 & & 0 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{llccccc}
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
BAT \\
code
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ BAT name } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Representation (1- \\
100)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Rarity \\
score
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Conditio \\
n
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BAT species \\
richness
\end{tabular} & IEC \\
\hline F2 & grassland \& scrub matrix & 36 & 148 & 52 & \\
\hline F1 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
unshaded early successional \\
mosaic
\end{tabular} & 23 & 145 & 3 & \\
\hline W3 & permanent wet mire & 5 & & 8 & \\
\hline F3 & shaded field \& ground layer & 4 & & 6 & \\
\hline A2 & wood decay & 3 & 5 & 0 \\
\hline A1 & arboreal canopy & 3 & & 4 & \\
\hline W2 & mineral marsh \& open water & 1 & & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

\section*{Technical statistics:}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Number of species & 161 \\
Number of errors in species list & 15
\end{tabular}

\section*{Interpretation of SZC - Kenton ISIS analysis}

The following interpretation is based on all available data collected within the SZC - Kenton site (as named in Arcadis 2015 samples) from data derived from surveys of terrestrial invertebrates conducted by Amec (2007) and results of a
single episode of pitfall and pan trapping by Arcadis (collected 6/5/2015). The Arcadis data was collected primarily as part of a study of reptile prey, rather than as a strategic assessment of invertebrate conservation value per se.

Being derived from two separate sets of sample data, the number of species available for analysis was greater than for the SZC - Studio and SZC - St James sites discussed above, however, the two sources provided relatively small species lists reflecting survey effort. The total number of species available for analysis was 161, with 15 errors identified in the species list attributed to non-target species.

As with the SZC - Studio and SZC - St James analysis, the finding should not be compared directly with findings from sites where analysis has been based on more comprehensive datasets.

\section*{Significant Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) recognised within ISIS}

In total, seven Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) were recognised from the analysed SZC - Kenton dataset. Of these, only two were represented by a sufficient number of species to be considered robust, i.e. being made up of more than 15 species.

In terms of species deployment, the largest number of species attributed to a single BAT was 52, attributed to the F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage. F2 includes species characteristic of areas of dense herbage or partial shade where a humid microclimate is maintained at ground level. Semi-natural systems supporting important examples of this assemblage type include heath grassland, moorland, hay meadows, scattered scrub and woodland. The other BAT represented by sufficient number of species to be considered robust, was the F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic assemblage. However, unlike within the SZC - Studio and SZC - St James sites, where F1 was the dominant assemblage, only 23 species were attributed to this assemblage, less than half the number attributed to the F2 BAT.

The remaining 26 species attributed to BATs were distributed between the non-significant BATs. These were registered in descending order of abundance as follows: W3 - Permanent wet mire (8 species), F3 - Shaded field and ground layer (6 species); A2 - Wood decay ( 5 species), A1 - Arboreal canopy (4 species) and W2 - Mineral marsh and open water (1 species).

In terms of conservation significance, none of the assemblages achieved a Rarity Score exceeding the Favourable Condition Target set within ISIS. The highest scoring of the two sites for which Rarity Scores were attributed, was F2 Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage which achieved a RS of 148. This score approaches the FCT set within ISIS for this assemblage and equals the score achieved for F2 resulting from the analysis of the SZC - St James data, however, the species contributing to the Kenton dataset was twice that attributed to the same assemblage at that site.

A rare species of weevil Procas granulicollis classed as an RDBK Indeterminate endemic species in Hymen and Parsons (1992) was the only species of recognised conservation status attributed to the F2 assemblage for SZC Kenton site. Procas granulicollis is mainly known from specimens recorded from Wales, northern England and southern Scotland. All known Suffolk records are for a sibling species Procas armillatus, which is also uncommon in the UK. Due to potential confusion with this species, verification was sought from M.G. Morris, author of the five RES keys of the British weevil fauna, who confirmed the specimen as \(P\). granulicollis. The species has been associated with Climbing Corydalis Ceratocapnos claviculata and Bracken Pteridium aquilinum and habitats supporting both of these species may be required by the species.

Other than P. granulicollis, several species regarded as local were attributed to the F2 assemblage recorded for SZC Kenton. The species composition showed a marked similarity to that recorded for SZC - St James. Local spider species Drassylus pusillus, Haplodrassus signifer and Steatoda phalerata, were also recorded at St James as were the local F2 beetles Onthophagus coenobita and Ocypus aeneocephalus. Additional local species not recorded at the St James or Studio sites included the spider Zelotes latreillei (Gnaphosidae) a species strongly associated with heathland.

The RS recorded for the other main BAT recorded within the SZC - St James site, the F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic assemblage, was 145 . This score again can be said to be reasonably close the FCT of 160 specified in ISIS, but was less well represented within the Kenton samples as at either the St James or Studio sites
desipite the larger sample site. Whilst FCT status is not achieved in the case of either the F2 or F1 assemblages, the scores for both can be said to indicate conservation value.

Whilst no RDB or Nationally Scarce species were attributed to the F1 assemblage, a number of species of local distribution attributed to F1 were recorded at SZC - Kenton. These included Anoplius viaticus, a spider-hunting wasp which typically occurs in sandy heathland sites and the Orange-horned Nomad Bee Nomada fulvicornis, a cleptoparasite of mining bees including Andrena bimaculata, A. pilipes and A. tibialis, which is associated with heathland and other sandy biotopes. Other local species attributed to F1 recorded from SZC - Kenton included the Minotaur Beetle Typhaeus typhoeus, ground beetles such as Amara convexior and Harpalus rufipalpis, the Green Tiger Beetle Cicindela campestris, a weevil Rhinoncus castor and the lycosid spiders Alopecosa cuneata, Arctosa perita. These species are all specialists of sandy heathland and/or coastal dune habitats.

Five of these species are considered sufficiently specialised to be attributed to the F111 - Bare sand and chalk SAT, these included: Alopecosa cuneata, Arctosa perita, Cicindela campestris and Harpalus rufipalpis. Whilst none of the SATs recorded from the SZC - Kenton site achieved scores approaching their corresponding FCTs, the F111 assemblage was the best represented in terms of species number. However, with seven attributed species (including the five listed above), the score fell far below the FCT threshold score of 18 species.

Other represented SATs included A212 - Bark and sapwood decay, to which 5 species compared to a FCT threshold of 19 were recorded, F001 - scrub edge (two species against a FCT threshold of 10), F002 - Rich flower resource (two species against a threshold of 14) and F003 - Scrub-heath and moorland (one species against a threshold of eight).

Despite being habitat specialists, no species of particular rarity value populated these SATs from the sample data; however, a former RDB2 species (now classed as nationally scarce) with a strong association with fenland and grazing meadows, the Ornate Brigadier Odontomyia ornata was the only species attributed to the remaining W314 Reedfen and pools SAT. This species is strongly associated with high quality grazing marsh and fen and has been recorded in several of the Sizewell compartments conducted for the purpose of this project.

One other nationally scarce species was also recorded within the Kenton samples. Scymnus limbatus, one of the brown ladybird beetles (Coccinelidae) was recorded in the Amec (2007) survey. This species was one of four species attributed to the A1 - Arboreal canopy BAT. Despite being arboreal, S. limbatus is essentially a wetland insect associated with wet woodland, especially trees including willows and poplars.

The data collected from the SZC - Kenton site adds resolution to the overall knowledge of the fauna occurring within the Sizewell survey area. Whilst none of the BATs or associated SATs recorded achieved scores exceeding the FCTs set for them in ISIS, the ISIS analysis identified the site as supporting distinct F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix and allied F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic assemblages and the attributed fauna associated with these BATs. Importantly the associated F111 - bare sand and chalk SAT supported species with a strong heathland association, the fauna overall was typical of that recorded in comparable habitat throughout the Sizewell survey area. However, the stand out species recorded with the SZC - Kenton site was Procas granulicollis, a rare species of weevil apparently unknown from Suffolk. It is possible that this species has been confused with the closely related and equally uncommon \(P\). armillatus ( \(P\). picipes), which has been recorded in Suffolk.

\section*{C-Platform and C-Platform Wet Woodland (Compartments 4 and 4a)}

Specimens identified from 2016 C-Platform Woodland samples; \(5 \times\) pitfall traps and \(5 \times\) pan traps collected on 5/5/2015 and samples collected by Amec in 2007.

The following designated species have been recorded from the combined C-Platform data:

Red data book (pre-1994) species:
- Silver Colonel Odontomyia argentata (Diptera: Stratiomyidae) (RDB2 Vulnerable) (Arcadis, 2015)
- Grayling Hipparchia semele (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) IUCN (2001) Vulnerable; NERC (2006) S41

Nationally Scarce species (including former Notable A and B categories):
- A ground beetle Amara lucida (Coleoptera: Carabidae) NS (Nb)
- Cryptic Leatherbug Bathysolen nubilus (Hemiptera: Coreidae) NS (Nb)
- An apionid weevil Catapion pubescens (Coleoptera: Apionidae) NS (Nb)
- A hoverfly Cheilosia mutabilis (Diptera: Syrphidae) NS
- A darkling beetle Crypticus quisquilius (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) NS (Nb)
- A weevil Glocianus punctiger (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) NS (Nb)
- A weevil Hypera dauci (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) NS (Nb)
- A limoniid cranefly Limonia trivittata (Diptera: Limoniidae) NS
- A muscid fly Lispe uliginosa (Diptera: Muscidae) NS
- A rove beetle Lomechusa emarginata (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) NS
- A flea beetle Longitarsus dorsalis (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) NS (Nb)
- A snail-killing fly Pherbellia griseola (Diptera: Sciomyzidae) NS
- A leaf weevil Polydrusus formosus (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) NS (Na)
- A ground beetle Pterostichus quadrifoveolatus (Coleoptera: Carabidae) NS (Nb)
- An empid fly Rhamphomyia caliginosa (Diptera: Empidae) NS
- A pea weevil Sitona waterhousei (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) NS (Nb)
- A rove beetle Stenus carbonarius (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) NS (Nb)
- A gnaphosid spider Trachyzelotes pedestris (Araneae: Gnaphosidae) NS (Nb)
- A weevil Trichosirocalus barnevillei (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) NS (Nb)

\section*{Results}

The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|c|cc|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
SAT \\
code
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ SAT name } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
No. \\
spp.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Conditio \\
\(\mathbf{n}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Percentage \\
of national \\
species \\
pool
\end{tabular} \\
\hline R215 & epiphyte fauna & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Relate \\
d BAT \\
rarity \\
score
\end{tabular} \\
\hline W314 & reedfen and pools & 2 & 10 & 144 \\
\hline F001 & scrub edge & 7 & 6 & 183 \\
\hline F111 & bare sand \& chalk & 11 & fav & 6 \\
\hline F002 & rich flower resource & 25 & fav & 6
\end{tabular}

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|l|ccccc}
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
BAT \\
code
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ BAT name } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Representation \\
\((\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Rarity \\
score
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Conditio \\
\(\mathbf{n}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BAT \\
species \\
richness
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular} IEC

\section*{Technical statistics:}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Number of species & 621 \\
\hline Number of errors in species list & 43
\end{tabular}

Interpretation of C-Platform (compartment 4 including the C-Platform Woodland - 4a) ISIS analysis The following interpretation is based on all available data collected within the C-Platform area from surveys of terrestrial invertebrates collected for Arcadis during targeted surveys in 2014 and 2016, together with pitfall and pan trapping data collected for a reptile prey study in May 2015 and data collected by Amec in 2007. The data analysed includes the 2014 and 2016 survey data collected in the C-Platform Woodland (compatment 4a), analysed separately for the purpose of the 2014 survey. The 2015 survey data was collected as part of a reptile prey study conducted by Arcadis and comprises pitfall and pan trap data collected at two sites on the C-Platform. These were called the SZC - Platform Conifer (TM47348 63995) and SZC - Platform Grassland (TM47348 63995). Habitats from which data was collected included both wet Alder Alnus glutinosa woodland and sandy coastal grassland with stands of planted conifers immediately north of the existing Sizewell Powerstation.

For the C-Platform site, 624 species recorded during the (above listed) combined surveys were analysed using ISIS, the list includes terrestrial samples only. 43 errors were recorded within the list due to non-target species, not used in ISIS analysis.

\section*{Significant Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) recognised within ISIS}

In total, nine Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) were recognised from the analysed C-Platform dataset. Of these, seven BATs comprised a sufficient number of species to be considered robust, i.e. being made up of more than 15 species.

In terms of species deployment, the largest number of species attributed to a single BAT was 166, for the F2 Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage; by far the greatest number of species attributed to a single BAT from the CPlatform data. The second most species-rich BAT recognised was the F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic assemblage to which 89 species were attributed. Three other BATs were all well populated. These included in decsending order: W3 - Permanent wet mire ( 60 species), A1 - Arboreal canopy ( 42 species) and W2 - Mineral marsh and open water (41 species).

The two remaining significant BATs recorded included F3 - Shaded field and ground layer (18 species) and A2 Wood decay (19 species).

In terms of conservation significance, two of the recognised BATs, the F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic and W3 - Permanent wet mire assemblages achieved Rarity Scores exceeding the corresponding Favourable Condition Targets set within ISIS. The RS achieved for F1 was 168 compared to the threshold of 160 specified for F1 in ISIS and for W3, the RS achieved was 183 compared to a threshold of 180 . These scores indicate that the site supported nationally significant assemblages for both F1 and W3 BATs.

The F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic is likely to reflect most strongly the data collected from the sandy grassland habitat to the north of the C-Platform. This area is more or less connected to the sandy, dune grassland of the Coastal Strip (Compartment 5), for which the F1 BAT achieved nationally significant scores resulting from analysis of the 2014 sample data. Elements of this assemblage are also likely to be expressed from samples collected from the upper grassland and scrub buffer surveyed as part of the C-Platform Woodland strip (forms a strip contiguous with the the eastern slope top of the wet woodland zone and the C-Platform access road).

The W3 - Permanent wet mire BAT almost certainly reflects the data collected from the wet woodland understorey, especially adjacent to the more open carr habitat. This habitat supported a combination of wet pools, open silt, raised grassy area and stands of macrophytes including most evidently; Carex otrubae, C. riparia/acutiformis, Iris pseudacorus, Phragmites australis, Sparganium erectum and Juncus spp.

Despite being the most species-rich BAT, the RS of 130 attributed to the F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage fell somewhat short of the threshold and this was the case for the remaining BATs represented by 15 or more
species, the RS and associated FCTs for each was as follows: A1 - Arboreal canopy (RS: 119; FCT: 170); W2 - Mineral marsh and open water (RS: 127; FCT: 150); F3 - Shaded field and ground layer (RS: 122; FCT: 150) and A2 - Wood decay (RS: 144; FCT: 190).

These SATs, with the possible exception of A1 - Arboreal canopy, all produced Rarity Scores approaching their corresponding FCT thresholds and can be considered to be of some conservation value.

23 species with a recognised conservation status were recorded from the Combined C-Platform dataset. Eight of these were attributed to the F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic BAT, four to F2 - Grassland and scrub mosaic, four to W3 - Permanent wet mire and one each for A1 - Arboreal canopy, W1 - Flowing water and W2 - Mineral marsh and open water. An additional three nationally scarce species not assigned to any category were recorded. These included an apionid weevil Catapion pubescens, a species of grassland, willow carr and sand dunes (Hyman and Parsons, 1992); a hoverfly Cheilosia mutabilis, associated with heaths, open spaces in conifer plantations and heathy rides in deciduous woodlands, according to Stubbs and Falk (2001); and an empid fly Rhamphomyia caliginosa, which occurs in a wide range of wetland habitats including fens and dune slacks.

Species attributed to the F1 assemblage recorded from the combined C-Platform dataset included the Grayling Hipparchia semele, a butterfly classed as 'vulnerable' based on post-2001 IUCN criteria, which is also afforded Species of Principal Importance’ status under S41 of the NERC Act (2006). The Grayling has been recorded from a number of the drier, more sandy compartments including the coastal dune grasslands adjacent to the C-Platform, heathlands within the SAC (Compartment 6) and plantation rides of Goosehill. The species is associated with arid, sparsely vegetated habitats. The Grayling' s conservation status is based on a significant decline over recent decades; however it is still relatively common in suitable habitats.

The remaining species of a recognised conservation classification attributed to the F1 assemblage were all nationally scarce and included six species of beetle and a true bug.

Arguably the most significant species recorded from the combined C-Platform samples was Sitona waterhousei, a species of pea weevil. S. waterhousei has according to records, not previously been recorded from Suffolk and Hyman and Parsons (1992) state 'not recorded in East Anglia'. Whilst the species is of distinctive appearance in comparision to other more commonly recorded members of the genus, the specimen was authenticated M.G. Morris, author of the RES keys to the British weevil fauna.

Hyman and Parsons (1992) describe the favoured habitat of S. waterhousei as 'coastal undercliffs, calcareous grasslands and possibly coastal shingle and quarries near the coast'. The adult beetles have been associated with Common Bird' s-foot Trefoil Lotus corniculatus. S. waterhousei was recorded from a single specimen found in the SZC-Platform conifer pitfall sample 1, collected on \(5 / 5 / 2015\). The sample site is very close to the sandy grassland habitat of the Coastal Strip (Compartment 5) this area supporting abundant Lotus corniculatus.

In common with S. waterhousei, the other nationally scarce beetles attributed to the F1 BAT recorded from the combined C-Platform samples were all species with a strong association with arid, sparsely vegetated habitats. Invariably, descriptions in Hymen and Parson (1992) cited sandy coastal grasslands, dunes and shingle habitats. Species included a ground beetle Amara lucida and darkling beetle Crypticus quisquilius, both of which are almost exclusively coastal species associated with dunes and heathland; the weevil Hypera dauci, a sandy grassland, dune and disturbed ground specialist associated with Common Storksbill Erodium cicutarium; the weevil Trichosirocalus barnevillei, a Yarrow Achillea millefolium feeding species with similar habitat preferences to \(H\). dauci as well as occurring on grasslands within the Brecks; and Longitarsus dorsalis, a flea beetle associated with ragworts Senecio spp., growing on dry sandy or calcareous soils.

The Cryptic Leatherbug Bathysolen nubilis, is also associated with dry, sparsely vegetated conditions including coastal shingle and disturbance habitats such as gravel and chalk pits. The Cryptic Leatherbug is a ground dweller that feeds on leguminaceous plants, particularly Meddicks Medicago spp. (Kirby, 1992).

Nationally scarce species affiliated to the F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix BAT included three beetles: Pterostichus quadrifoveolata, a ground beetle species which has fairly recently become established in Britain. It is primarily found in eastern England where it occurs in heathland and woodland habitats; Lomechusa emarginata, a distinctive species
of rove beetle that live in association with ants. According to Harde (1998), the larvae of Lomechusa species live in the nests of ants of the genus Formica, whilst the adults are associated with Myrmica spp; and a Dandelion Taraxacum officinale agg feeding weevil Glocianus punctiger, which occurs in 'grasslands, waste places and margins of tracks and woods' (Morris, 2008).

The remaining F2-associated nationally scarce species recorded from the combined C-Platform samples was Trachyzelotes pedestris, a spider of the family Gnaphosidae associated with arid grasslands and heathland that was recorded in several other survey units.

The rarest species recorded from the combined C-Platform samples included the nationally vulnerable (RDB2) soldierfly, the Silver Colonel Odontomyia argentata, attributed to the W3 - Permanent wet mire assemblage. Interestingly, the insect recorded was from one of the 2015 pan traps located just north of the existing Sizewell Powerstation and not as would be expected from the C-Platform wet woodland. Silver Colonel is a fenland and grazing marsh specialist, with an aquatic larval stage. The species has been recorded in several other compartments within the Sizewell survey area.

Nationally scarce species attributed to W3 included a wetland associated snail-killing fly Pherbellia griseola, the larvae of which develop in aquatic snails including the Marsh Snail Lymnaea/Stagnicola palustris; a wetland associated muscid fly Lispe ulignosa and Stenus carbonarius, a rove beetle found in richly vegetated lakeshores, fen and fluctuating marsh. The beetle is usually in association with willow or alder carr (Lott and Anderson, 2011).

In total, 10 SATs were represented within the ISIS output for the combined C-Platform site. Of these, two The F111Bare sand and chalk and F001 - Scrub edge SATs were both attributed with score exceeding the FCT thersholds specified for them in ISIS. F111 was attributed with 25 species, exceeding the FCT of 25, whilst the F001 scored 11 compared to a FCT of 10.

In accordance with ISIS protocol, SATs with scores above the corresponding FCT thresholds specified in ISIS can be seen as being of national significance. As SATs comprise species exhibiting a high level of specialism, where significant SATs occur these are considered as better indicators of high conservation value than the parent BATs. The high score achieved by the F111 - Bare sand and chalk samples collected from the C-Platform samples can largely be attributed to species recorded from the dry, disturbed habitats within the Platform footprint, but within close proximity to the high quality and herb-rich coastal strip. The five species of higher conservation significance, which have been attributed to the F111 assemblage are described in relation to the parent F1 BAT (above). These include Grayling Hipparchia semele and four aridophilous beetles; Amara lucida, Hypera dauci, Longitarsus dorsalis and Trichosirocalus barnevillei.

The other SAT represented in the sample that is nested in F1, the F112 - Open short sward assemblage, did not achieve its FCT of 12 , but with a score of eight, was reasonably well represented. Uncommon species attributed to F112 included the Cryptic Leatherbug Bathysolen nubilis and pea weevil species Sitona waterhousei (both described above).

Three recorded SATs with some crossover recorded from the C-Platform dataset evidently relate strongly to the wet woodland of compartment 4a, rather than the drier habitat discussed above. These include W314 - Reedbed and pools, to which seven species were attributed against a FCT of 10 and two woodland related SATs, the A215 Epiphyte fauna SAT, which was attributed with two species compared to its theshold of three and the A212 - Bark and sapwood decay SAT, to which 13 species were attributed compared to an FCT of 19. The bark and sapwood decay SAT was represented mainly by a range of local and widespread wood boring and bark dwelling beetles. These included longhorn beetles such as Stenurella melanura and Grammoptera ruficornis and boring beetles including a scolytid (Curculionidae) Hylastes ater and an anobiid Anobium fulvicorne. But no rarities were attributed to this SAT.

Whilst the scores recorded for these SATs do not achieve their associated FCTs, they are all reasonably represented in relation to their targets. The epiphyte fauna SAT is based on a small species pool in ISIS, hence the low threshold. Species attributed to this SAT included Cardiastethus fasciiventris a local anthocorid bug and Phytocoris longipennis, one of a number of arboreal mirid bugs recorded during the survey.

In addition, of the resource-based SATs (other than the Favourable FOO1) represented within the combined CPlatform samples, both F003 - scrub-heath and moorland assemblage with a score of seven compared to its FCT
threshold of eight, was close to achieving FCT. Whilst the FOO2 - Rich flower resource SAT, scored 10 compared to its FCT of 14. These SATs reasonably reflect the more diffuse conditions present in and around the C-Platform area. The scores for the F003 and FOO2 were both close to their respective threshold scores, a number of generally widespread ground nesting bees including mining bees Andrena spp. and furrow bees Lasioglossum and associated cleptoparasitic species of the genus Sphecodes, were recorded from the C-Platform combined samples and these species persist in areas supporting significant nectar and pollen resources.

Collectively both the SATs and overarching BATs recorded within the combined C-Platform samples appear to accurately reflect the range of habitats present within this compartment. The high scores relating to the F1 - BAT and associated F111 (and F112) SATs reflect the importance of arid sandy disturbance habitat. Such conditions might be expected to occur within the footprint of an industrial site, which has been subject to some anthropogenically induced disturbance and importantly, which is more or less contiguous to an ecologically valuable coastal zone grassland habitat.

Whilst the Sizewell Powerstation is operational, the habitats are structurally and compositionally analogous to the S41 priority habitat category 'Open mosaic habitats on previously developed land'. Such habitats often support invertebrate populations of high conervation value.

In contrast to these, essentially arid habitats, the wet woodland (compartment 4a), relates in terms of an ecological unit to the fen, carr and grazing marsh biotope which alongside the drier grasslands, heathland and sandy coastal habitats characterising the Sizewell area. Whilst results suggest that the wet woodland element per se, did not support highly significant assemblages, the associated W3 - Permanent wet mire BAT achieved a score exceeding its Favourable Condition Target, indicating that the W3 assemblage was of national importance.

\section*{SSSI Fen Meadow west of C-Platform}

Combined data collected by Arcadis (2014 and 2016) and Amec (2009)

The following designated species have been recorded from the combined SSSI Fen Meadow survey data:

RDB species:
- Silver Colonel Odontomyia argentata (Diptera: Stratiomyidae) RDB2 Vulnerable
- Great Silver Water Beetle Hydrophilus piceus (Coleoptera: Hydrophilidae) IUCN (2001) Lower Risk Near Threatened
- Scarce Chaser Libellula fulva (Odonata: Libellulidae) IUCN (2001) Lower Risk - Near Threatened
- A dolichopodid fly Syntormon mikii (Diptera: Dolichopodidae) IUCN (1994) Lower Risk - Near Threatened

Nationally Scarce species:
- A rove beetle Bledius opacus (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) NS
- A planthopper Criomorphus williamsi (Hemiptera: Delphacidae)NS (Nb)
- A flea beetle Longitarsus dorsalis (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) NS (Nb)
- Ornate Brigadier Odontomyia ornata (Diptera: Stratiomyidae) NS (revised from RDB2)
- A ground Beetle Oodes helopioides (Coleoptera: Carabidae) NS (Nb)
- A sciomyzid fly Psacadina verbekei (Diptera: Sciomyzidae) NS
- An empid fly Rhamphomyia caliginosa (Diptera: Empididae) NS
- Long-horned Soldier Vanoyia tenuicornis (Stratiomyidae: Diptera) NS

\section*{Results}

The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:


All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

\section*{The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:}
\begin{tabular}{|l|ccccc}
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
BAT \\
code
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ BAT name } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Representation \\
\((\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 )}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Rarity \\
score
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Conditio \\
\(\mathbf{n}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BAT \\
species \\
richness
\end{tabular} \\
\hline W2 & mineral marsh \& open water & 30 & 123 & IEC \\
\hline W3 & permanent wet mire & 30 & 212 & fav & 78 \\
\hline F2 & grassland \& scrub matrix & 22 & 140 & 57 \\
\hline W1 & flowing water & 6 & & 15 \\
\hline F1 & unshaded early successional mosaic & 1 & & 3 \\
\hline F3 & shaded field \& ground layer & 1 & 3 & \\
\hline M3 & saltmarsh, estuary \& mud flat & 1 & 3 & \\
\hline A1 & arboreal canopy & 0 & & 1 & \\
\hline A2 & wood decay & 0 & & 1 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

\section*{Technical statistics:}
Number of species 272
Number of errors in species list 12

\section*{Interpretation of SSSI Fen Meadow (compartment 3) ISIS analysis}

The following interpretation is based on all available data collected within the SSSI Fen Meadow (Compartment 3) from surveys of terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates conducted by Arcadis (2014 and 2016) and Amec (2009). 2016 samples were collected mainly as a gap filling exercise to create a more comprehensive dataset, with better temporal coverage than was available from the 2014 sample alone. Sampling methods used during the 2016 survey were undertaken using exactly the same methods as in 2014 and by the same operative.

\section*{2016 Ditch samples}

In 2016, two samples were collected from the ditch which formed the southern boundary of the Fen Meadow site using standard three-minute sweep aquatic invertebrate sampling methods as used in 2014. This added to data collected from the two ditches marking the western and eastern boundary of the Fen Meadow in 2014.

Norfolk Hawker
Being well vegetated and open, the ditch sampled in 2016 was considered to have some potential to support the UK protected Norfolk Hawker Anaciaeschna isoceles. A number (+/-seven) of adult Norfolk Hawkers were recorded on 21/6/2016 approximately 500 metres south of this site (around TM4671063183), flying around and landing on emergent macrophyte vegetation in compartment 12. However, no adults or larvae were recorded at any time within the surveyed Fen Meadow (Compartment 3) including ditches. An observed difference between the ditches around which the dragonflies were recorded and those within Compartment 3, was the presence of a bladderwort Utricularia sp. in the ditch/ditches where the dragonflies were observed.

In total, 272 species recorded during the combined surveys were analysed using ISIS, as previously mentioned, the list includes both terrestrial and aquatic samples.

Of the species input, 12 errors were identified within ISIS, these errors comprised either of species not represented within ISIS, or those not recorded to species. The list was subject to rigorous check to ensure nomenclature used for target species was recognisable within ISIS.

\section*{Significant Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) recognised within ISIS}

In total, nine Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) were recognised from the analysed SSSI Fen Meadow dataset. Of these, three comprised a sufficient number of species to be considered robust, i.e. being made up of more than 15 species.

The two most well represented BATs were the closely allied wetland assemblages, the W3 - Permanent wet mire and the W2 - Mineral marsh and open water. These two assemblages were each attributed with 78 species in ISIS. The other significant BAT represented within the ISIS output was F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix with 57 recognised species. The remaining BATs were represented by no more than three species, with the exception of the W1 Flowing water assemblage, to which 15 species were attributing. This assemblage can be seen as being on the cusp of being considered significant.

In terms of conservation significance, one broad assemblage, the W3 - Permanent wet mire achieved a Rarity Score (RS) of 212. This score easily exceeded the Favourable Condition Target (FCT) of 180 specified within ISIS for the W3 assemblage indicating that the site supports a W3 - Permanent wet mire assemblage of National Importance. W3 assemblages are characteristically found in wetlands where disturbance is limited. They are typical of mires and seepages which may have little open water, but which remain permanently wet and with little fluctuation in waterlevel, often due to the presence of underlying peat (Lott et al, 2007). This description reasonably characterises the Fen Meadow, the habitat never entirely dried out over the summer months when the surveys were undertaken.

Whilst the W2 BAT was attributed with a similar number of species to the W3 assemblage, the RS achieved by W2 was 123, significantly lower than the FCT score of 150 specified in ISIS for this assemblage. This score indicates that whilst a number of species were attributed to the W2 - Mineral marsh and open water SAT, the species were comprised primarily of relatively common species with fewer rarities. In contrast to W3, habitat typically supporting W2 assemblages is characterised by still, open water and littoral areas on mineral substrates that may be subject to repeated distrubance, for example by flooding or grazing. W2 assemblages are typically found in floodplain wetlands, fluctuating meres, dune slacks, carr and wet woodland etc (Lott et al, 2007).

The Rarity Score exhibited by the other relatively species-rich BAT, the F2-Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage, was 140 compared to the FCT score of 160 specified in ISIS. Whilst this score falls short of its associated FCT and therefore, does not indicate an F2 assemblage of national significance, based on the sample data. This score does indicate an assemblage of some conservation value.

Six species of recognised higher conservation status recorded from the Fen Meadow have been attributed to the W3 assemblage. Outstanding examples of this fauna include a nationally vulnerable (RDB2) soldierfly, the Silver Colonel Odontomyia argentata and two species classed under IUCN (2001) guidelines in the 'Lower Risk - Near Threatened' category (analogous to RDB3 'rare') Silver Water Beetle Hydrophilus piceus and a dolichopodid fly Syntormon mikii. The Silver Colonel and Silver Water Beetle have both been recorded in several locations throughout the survey area and during both Amec and Arcadis surveys. Silver Water Beetle (Britains largest aquatic insect) was recorded in both the western Fen Meadow ditch in 2014 and in the northern ditch during the recent (2016) survey.

This species and the Silver Colonel are extremely stenoecious species and in the UK are associated almost exclusively with coastal grazing marshes supporting extensive ditch networks. The ditches are typically well vegetated, the conservation biology of the Silver Colonel is said by Stubbs and Drake (2001) to be not well known; however, the larvae have been associated with ephemeral water-bodies within wetland areas and according to Stubbs and Drake (2001) larvae have been associated with rotting Alder on the continent, suggesting that open levels bordering areas of wet woodland may be important.

The Great Silver Water Beetle has been associated with weed-rich ditches, often supporting Frogbit Hydrocharis morsus-ranae and a strong population of aquatic snails of the family Planorbidae. These elements were both present within the Fen Meadow ditches and where the beetle was recorded elsewhere in the Sizewell survey area. The longlegged fly species Syntormon mikii has been recorded from a handful of mainly coastal wetland sites most in southwestern England, but also in East Anglia, its precise habitat preferences are currently unknown.

Nationally scarce species attributed to the W3 assemblage recorded within the Fen meadow included the Ornate Brigadier Odontomyia ornata, a ground beetle Oodes helopioides and a snail-killing fly Psacadina verbekei.

Ornate Brigadier was until recently, classed as RDB2 - Vulnerable in the UK, but has been recently reclassified to scarce due to an increase in records; the species has been recorded within several of the Sizewell compartments by both Arcadis and Amec. Like O. argentata and H. piceus listed above, the Ornate Brigadier is strongly associated with relict coastal grazing levels, the species being rarely found outside such biotopes. Ooedes helopioides is also exclusively found in wetlands and is unusual amongst the ground beetles in being amphibious. This species was also recorded during the 2014 Arcadis survey within SSSI Area 2 (Compartment 2). The larva of the snail-killing fly species Psacadina verbekei is a parasite of aquatic snails including Lynaeidae spp. It is found in a range of habitats including fens, damp heaths etc. But is thought to require permanent standing water.

No particular rarities were recorded for the W2 assemblage; however, two species of conservation designation were attributed to the W1 - Flowing water assemblage, this assemblage was populated with only 15 species, therefore a rarity score was not provided in ISIS. The Scarce Chaser Libellula fulva is a dragonfly that is on the increase in the UK, but is still afforded the equivalent RDB3 status of Lower Risk - Near Threatened under post-2001 IUCN guidelines. The species was identified from a larva collected from the northern boundary drain in 2016. L. fulva is particularly associated with slow flowing rivers and ditches with abundant emergent vegetation. The species is particularly associated with waterbodies adjacent to lush meadows. Also attributed to W1 was another species of soldierfly, the Long-horned Soldier Vanoyia tenuicornis. Like the Ornate Brigadier and Silver Colonel mentioned above, Longhorned Soldier is another fenland species with aquatic larvae. The species was recorded both from adult and larval specimens.

None of the 11 SATs recorded from ISIS analysis of the Fen Meadow dataset provided species-richness scores exceeding the specified FCTs set in ISIS. However, a score of 10 was attained for the W314 - Reedfen and pools SAT, this being equal to the FCT set in ISIS of 10. Species considered specialised enough to be attributed to this assemblage included both Silver Colonel and Ornate Brigadier soldierflies discussed above, as well as the Longlegged Fly Syntormon mikii, the Silver Water Beetle and the ground beetle Oodes helopioides. Collectively and these species impart a considerable rarity value on the Fen Meadow and their rarity alone indicates national significance.

Few other SATs recorded achieved scores close to the FCTs. Two species including the aforementioned Scarce Chaser Libellula fulva and an aquatic snail Leach’ s Bithynia Bithynia leachii were attributed to the W125 - Slow-flowing rivers SAT, against the FCT of three specified in ISIS (the low threshold is based on the small species-pool attributed to this assemblage in ISIS). Four of the other SATs recorded from the Fen Meadow ISIS output were also attributed with only two species (four others with one species); however, the FCTs were higher. The species attributed to these SATs were however, specialists associated with a range of closely allied niches. These included W211 - Open water on disturbed mineral sediments, W313 - Moss and tussock fen, M311 - Saltmarsh and W312 - Sphagnum bog.

The grassland habitat of the fen meadow supported a range of typical wet grassland invertebrates. Grasshoppers and crickets were well represented with local species including the Great Green Bush-cricket Tettigonia viridissima, Short-winged Conehead Conocephalus dorsalis and Lesser Marsh Grasshopper Chorthippus albomarginatus occurring alongside the formerly rare Long-winged Conehead Conocephalus discolor and Roesel' s Bush-cricket Metrioptera roeselii, both species now being ubiquitous across much of the southern-half of the UK.

The findings of the ISIS analysis coupled with the large number of rare and scarce specialist invertebrates recorded within the Fen Meadow highlights the conservation importance of this relict fen meadow and associated ditches. These habitats should not be considered in isolation, as several of the more specialised species recorded, e.g. the Silver Colonel Odontomyia argentata have an affinity with wet woodland edge habitat as well as the open ditch and meadowland elements and the juxtaposition of the Fen Meadow to the reedswamp habitat within the SSSI Area 2 site undoubtedly imparts mutual conservation benefits. The BAT Rarity Score achieved for the W3 - Permanent wet mire habitat indicates the site to be of national significance for this assemblage. The high species score attained for the more specialised nested W314 - Reedfen and pools SAT further endorsed the value of this habitat.

\section*{Arable Margins}

Combined data collected by Arcadis (2014, 2015 and 2016) and Amec (2009)

The following designated species have been recorded from the combined Arable Margins:

RDB species:
- A ground beetle Ophonus parallelus (Coleoptera: Carabidae) Pre-1994 RDB3 Rare
- An empid fly Empis prodromus (Diptera: Empidae) IUCN (1994) Lower Risk Near Threatened
- A tumbling flower beetle Mordellistena humeralis (Coleoptera: Mordellidae) RDBK - insufficiently known
- White-letter Hairstreak Satyrium w-album (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae) IUCN (2001) Endangered; NERC (2006) S41

Nationally scarce species:
- A ground beetle Amara lucida (Coleoptera: Carabidae) NS (Nb)
- Red-girdled Mining Bee Andrena labiata (Hymenoptera: Andrenidae) NS (Na)
- Grey-gastered Mining Bee Andrena tibialis (Hymenoptera: Andrenidae) NS (Na)
- An apionid weevil Catapion pubescens (Coleoptera: Apionidae) NS (Nb)
- A rove beetle Gabrius osseticus (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) NS (Nb)
- A ground bug Megalonotus praetextatus (Hemiptera Lygaeidae) NS (Nb)
- A ground bug Megalonotus sabulicola (Hemiptera Lygaeidae) NS (Nb)
- Small-spurred Digger Wasp Nysson dimidiatus (Hymenoptera: Crabronidae) NS (Nb)
- A rove beetle Ocypus ophthalmicus (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) NS (Na)
- A rove beetle Omalium allardi (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) NS
- A leaf beetle Podagrica fuscicornis (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) NS (Nb)
- An apionid weevil Protapion dissimile (Coleoptera: Apionidae) NS (Nb)
- An empid fly Rhamphomyia caliginosa (Diptera: Empidae) NS
- A shore bug Saldula pilosella (Hemiptera: Saldidae) NS
- A weevil Sibinia primita (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) NS (Nb)
- A ground beetle Stenolophus skrimshiranus (Coleoptera: Carabidae) NS (Nb)
- A ground beetle Stenolophus teutonus (Coleoptera: Carabidae) NS (Nb)
- A rove beetle Sunius melanocephalus (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) NS
- A tetragnathid spider Tetragnatha pinicola (Araneae: Tetragnathidae) NS (Nb)
- A gnaphosid spider Trachyzelotes pedestris (Araneae: Gnaphosidae) NS (Nb)

\section*{Results}

The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline SAT code & SAT name & No. spp. & Conditio n & Percentage of national species pool & Relate d BAT rarity score \\
\hline F001 & scrub edge & 12 & fav & 7 & \\
\hline F112 & open short sward & 8 & & 4 & 168 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|llcll|}
\hline F002 & rich flower resource & 7 & 3 & \\
\hline F111 & bare sand \& chalk & 10 & 2 & 168 \\
\hline F003 & scrub-heath \& moorland & 7 & 2 & \\
\hline A212 & bark \& sapwood decay & 6 & 1 & \\
\hline A211 & heartwood decay & 2 & 1 & \\
\hline M311 & saltmarsh & 1 & 1 & \\
\hline W314 & reedfen and pools & 1 & 1 & 155 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

All SATs scoring more than zero are listed

\section*{The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:}
\begin{tabular}{|llccccc}
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
BAT \\
code
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ BAT name } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Representation (1- \\
\(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Rarity \\
score
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Conditio \\
\(\mathbf{n}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BAT species \\
richness
\end{tabular} & IEC \\
\hline F2 & grassland \& scrub matrix & 40 & 127 & & 176 & \\
\hline F1 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
unshaded early successional \\
mosaic
\end{tabular} & 17 & 168 & fav & 76 \\
\hline A1 & arboreal canopy & 8 & 118 & 33 & \\
\hline W3 & permanent wet mire & 5 & 155 & 22 & \\
\hline F3 & shaded field \& ground layer & 3 & & 15 & \\
\hline W2 & mineral marsh \& open water & 3 & & 15 & \\
\hline A2 & wood decay & 3 & 12 & 0 \\
\hline W1 & flowing water & 2 & & 10 & \\
\hline M3 & saltmarsh, estuary \& mud flat & 1 & 5 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna being analysed

\section*{Technical statistics:}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Number of species & 442 \\
\hline Number of errors in species list & 5
\end{tabular}

Interpretation of Arable Margins ISIS analysis
The following interpretation is based on all available data collected within the Arable Margins area from surveys of terrestrial invertebrates collected for Arcadis during targeted surveys in 2016, together with pitfall and pan trapping data collected for a reptile prey study in May 2015 and data collected by Amec in 2009.

For the Arable Margins site, 443 species recorded during the (above listed) combined surveys were analysed using ISIS, the list includes terrestrial samples only. Five errors were recorded within the list due to non-target species, not used in ISIS analysis.

\section*{Significant Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) recognised within ISIS}

In total, nine Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) were recognised from the analysed arable Margins dataset. Of these, four BATs comprised a sufficient number of species to be considered robust, i.e. being made up of more than 15 species.

In terms of species deployment, the largest number of species attributed to a single BAT was 178, for the F2 Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage; by far the greatest number of species attributed to a single BAT from the Arable Margins data. The second most species-rich BAT recognised was the F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic assemblage to which 76 species were attributed. These BATs can both be seen as being well represented within the sample data and would be expected considering the general open-ness of the habitat surveyed. The remaining significant BATs recognised within ISIS for the Arable Margins site were the A1 - Arboreal canopy with 33 species and the W3 - Permanent wet mire assemblage to which 22 species were attributed. The Arboreal canopy BAT reflects the presence of species recorded from the trees and shrubs forming the hedgerows and wooded margins of the compartment and whilst the W3 - Permanent wet mire was complemented by far fewer species as the dominant F2 and F1 assemblages, the presence of this assemblage was not entirely unexpected. There were several ephemeral
ponds within the Arable Margins compartment and the close proximity of the unit to high quality fen habitats would increase the chances of wetland species occurring in peripheral habitat.

In addition to the four BATs mentioned above, two of the remaining BATs; the F3 - Shaded field and ground layer and the W2 - Mineral marsh and open water BATs were each represented by 15 species, therefore being on the cusp of being considered significant in ISIS. Other recognised BATs included A2 - Wood decay represented by 12 species, W1 - Flowing water (10 species) and M3 - Saltmarsh, estuary and mudflat ( 5 species). The presence of species attributed to the M3 BAT reflects the close proximity of the site to brackish coastal habitats.

In terms of conservation significance, one of the recognised BATs, the F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic assemblage achieved a Rarity Score exceeding the Favourable Condition Target set within ISIS. The RS achieved was 168 compared to the threshold of 160 specified for F1 in ISIS.

In comparison, the RS achieved for the most species-rich assemblage F2-Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage was 127 compared to the FCT of 160 set for this assemblage in ISIS. This score is relatively low considering the species-richness of the assemblage and is likely to reflect a lower ratio of rare and uncommon species in relation to the more widespread species within the sample data recruited to this assemblage.

Whilst the habitat within the Arable Margins was essentially within a relatively intensely managed agricultural setting, the better margins supported a reasonable diversity of flowering ephemeral herbs with a resource of sandy exposed ground. This habitat has structural and compositional similarities to habitat supporting diverse F1 assemblages in nearby coastal dune, dune grassland and heath habitats and there is a strong mutual affinity between the supported faunas.

Eleven species with a recognised conservation status were attributed to the F1 assemblage recorded from the Arable Margins dataset. The rarest was an RDB3 ground beetle Ophonus (Metaphonus) parallelus, a coastal species in the UK, with records mainly along the south coast and the Thames area, but with several records for the Suffolk coast including one from Dunwich. O. parallelus, listed as Harpalus parallelus in Hyman and Parsons (1992) is, according to the same authors associated with coastal habitats including 'calcareous grassland, chalk cliffs and open ground on chalky soils’.

Other uncommon beetles also attributed to the F1 assemblage within the Arable Margins site were all nationally scarce species, including another ground beetle Amara lucida, two species of rove beetle, Gabrius osseticus and Ocypus ophthalmicus an apionid weevil Protapion dissimile and a true weevil Sibinia primita. Within the literature, these species, without exception are insects with some affinity to open sandy soil habitats and there is a strong link with disturbed and coastal habitat. The weevil Sibinia primita is associated with pearlworts Sagina spp., spurreys Spergularia spp. and similar herbs of sandy and coastal biotopes. The weevil Apion dissimile is associated with Hare ' s-foot Clover Trifolium arvense, which was recorded within the survey area.

Other nationally scarce species attributed to the F1 assemblage recorded from the Arable Margins included two closely related ground bugs Megalonotus praetextatus and \(M\). sabulicola. The former of these was also recorded in the SZC - St James compartment. Both species share an affinity to dry, sandy habitats with warm microclimates. \(M\). sabulicola is a predominately coastal species in the UK.

Other nationally scarce species attributed to the F1 assemblage recorded within the Arable Margins included the Red-Girdled Mining Bee Andrena labitata, a ground nesting species also recorded in other compartments within the survey area; the Grey-gastered Mining Bee Andrena tibialis, a species associated with open habitats with light soils including heathland, quarries, sandpits and other brownfield sites; and the
Small-spurred Digger Wasp Nysson dimidiatus, another species which is primarily associated with dry sandy (and clayey) soils with sparse vegetation. N. dimidiatus is known to be a cleptoparasite of another ground nesting solitary bee Harpactus tumidus, however, this species was not recorded during the survey.

Four of the remaining Nationally Scarce species recorded from samples collected within the Arable Margin compartment were attributed to the F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix habitat. These included two beetles; a rove beetle Sunius melanocephalus associated with open habitats and damp soils and a flea beetle Podagrica fuscicornis of grassland, scrub and disturbed habitats where it is associated with mallows Malva spp. The other nationally scarce
species attributed to F2 included Trachzelotes pedestris a xerophile species and Tetragnatha pinicola, which is found in lightly wooded habitats with young trees and tall herbage in open areas.

The A1 - Arboreal canopy BAT did not achieve a particularly high Rarity Score, with an RS of 118 far short of the FCT of 170. This is likely to be due to the range of species recorded being predominately widespread. However, one A1 species of higher conservation value was recorded from one of the 2015 water traps. The White-letter Hairstreak Satyrium w-album is classed as 'Endangered' under post-2001 IUCN criteria \({ }^{1}\) as well as being listed as a 'Species of principal importance’ under S41 of the NERC Act (2006). White-letter Hairstreak is associated exclusively with elms Ulmus spp. and has been afforded Endangered status due to a sharp population decline in recent decades.

Despite being composed of relatively few species, the W3 - Permanent wet mire BAT achieved a RS of 155, which can be seen as approaching the FCT of 180 specified in ISIS for the assemblage. As suggested above, the presence of W3 species within an essentially agricultural biotope, may reflect overspill from more suitable habitat nearby, rather than due to the quality of the wetland habitat within the Arable Margins compartment. One Nationally Scarce ground beetle Strenolophus skrimshiranus was attributed to the W3 assemblage. This species is a species of water margins, fens and standing water.

In total, nine SATs were represented within the ISIS output for the Arable Margins site. The F111 - Bare sand and chalk SAT nested within F1, discussed above, supported the majority of uncommon species with beetles including the RDB3 Ophonus parallelus and nationally scarce beetles Amara lucida, Gabrius osseticus and Sibinia primita and the ground bug Megalonotus praetextatus all being recognised as habitat specialists within this SAT. However, with a species-richness of 10 compared to a threshold score of 18, F1 fell well short of achieving Favourable Condition status.

In contrast, one of the resource-based SATs, the FOO1 - Scrub edge assemblage comprised of 12 species and therefore, exceeded the FCT of 10 set in ISIS. No particular rarities were attributed to this assemblage; however, the recognised score indicates the importance of the scrub edge habitat within the Arable margins compartment. The species attributed to F001 include specialists found on and around the hedgerows and more scrubby woodland edges characteristic of the Arable margins.

Other well represented SATs included the FOO3 - scrub-heath and moorland assemblage which exhibited a species score of seven, just falling short of the FCT of eight specified in ISIS and the F002 - Rich flower resource which scored seven compared to its FCT of 14. The F002 SAT comprises species with a strong requirement for nectaring sources, and is likely to comprise largely of mining-bees of the genus Andrena and Lasioglossum as well as bumblebees Bombus spp. Bees were represented within the sample data by around 20 species. Many species are polylectic, foraging both within the early season tree blossom and pollen resources of trees such as Blackthorn Prunus spinosa, Hawthorn Crataegus monogyna and willows Salix spp. and from flowering grassland herbs. The juxtaposition of these resources is often an important habitat feature.

Overall, despite being one of the more disturbed and intensively managed compartments within the survey area, the Arable margins site supported a BAT and SAT which achieved the Favourable Condition Targets specified within ISIS as well as an extensive list of species of recognised conservation status. Most of the 24 rare and uncommon species recorded within the Arable margins site are associated with sparsely vegetated, sandy marginal habitats anologous to the coastal grasslands, dunes and heathland habitats characteristic of the broader landscape. The F1 - Unshaded early successional BAT assemblage recorded from analysis of data collected within the compartment, exceeded the FCT set in ISIS and the site can, therefore be seen as supporting a F1 assemblage of National significance. However, the nested F111- Bare earth SAT, SATs being considered to be of greater intrinsic conservation value than the parent BATs, fell short of achieving its FCT.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) ISIS works on species rarity (based on grid square occupancy) rather than factors such as rate of decline used in post-2001 IUCN conservation status. Consequently, species such as White-letter Hairstreak Satyrium w-album which have been afforded Endangered status under post-2001 IUCN criteria, but which are still widely recorded in the UK (despite a marked decline in recent decades) are scored as widespread species. A rarity value of 1 is given to White-letter Hairstreak in ISIS, species with a score of 2 are generally local, nationally scarce species score 4 and so on.
}

Cross-cutting resource based SATs are somewhat difficult to interpret in assessing site value as they are based on more diffuse habitat resources, rather than being based on more tangible and recognisable habitat features. The FCT achieved for the F001 - Scrub edge SAT, again indicates an F001 resource of national significance; however, such a result may not be as useful in impact assessment as for informing nature conservation targets.

The value of the arable margin hedgerow habitat is arguably the most irreplaceble element of the habitat as flowerrich arable margins are amongst the more easily replicable habitats, whilst hedgerows comprising mature woody species cannot be replicated in the short term. The value of the marginal and open ground habitat cannot be easily seen in isolation from the hedgerows and also, the habitat should be seen primarily as an overspill for the surrounding higher quality, heathland, dune, sparsely vegetated coastal grassland and fen habitats. Without these habitats the arable margin habitat would undoubtedly support an invertebrate fauna of far lower conservation value.

\section*{Goosehill Rides (Compartment 13)}

Combined data collected by Arcadis (2015 and 2016) and Amec (2007)
The following designated species have been recorded from the combined Goosehill Rides survey data:

RDB species:
- An antlion Euroleon nostras (Neuroptera: Myrmeleontidae) pRDB2
- A spider-hunting wasp Arachnospila consobrina (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae) Pre-1994 RDB3 Rare
- Levels Yellow-horned Horsefly Hybomitra ciureai (Diptera: Tabanidae) Pre-1994 RDB3 Rare
- Grayling Hipparchia semele (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) IUCN (2001) Vulnerable; NERC (2006) S41
- White Admiral Limenitis camilla (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) IUCN (2001) Vulnerable; NERC (2006) S41

Nationally Scarce species:
- A scraptiid beetle Anaspis thoracica (Coleoptera: Scraptiidae) NS (Nb)
- A ground bug Aphanus rolandri (Hemiptera: Lygaeidae) NS
- Panteloon Bee Dasypoda hirtipes (Melittidae: Hymenoptera) NS (Nb)
- A weevil Dorytomus filirostris (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) NS (Nb)
- Lesser Cockroach Ectobius panzeri (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae) NS (Nb)
- A chloropid fly Lasiambia palposa agg. (Diptera: Chloropidae) NS
- An opomyzid fly Geomyza majuscula (Diptera: Opomyzidae) NS
- Horsetail Weevil Grypus equiseti (Coleoptera: Erirhinidae) NS (Nb)
- A spider-hunting wasp Priocnemis cordivalvata (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae) NS (Nb)
- A spider-hunting wasp Priocnemis coriacea (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae) NS (Na)
- A rove beetle Stenus pusillus (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) NS (Nb)
- A chloropid fly Trachysiphonella scutellata (Diptera: Chloropidae) NS
- A gnaphosid spider Trachyzelotes pedestris (Araneae: Gnaphosidae) NS (Nb)
- An ambrosia beetle Xyleborus dispar (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) NS (Nb)

\section*{Results}

The specific assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline SAT code & SAT name & No. spp. & Conditio n & Percentage of national species pool & Relate d BAT rarity score \\
\hline F001 & scrub edge & 20 & fav & 11 & \\
\hline F002 & rich flower resource & 23 & fav & 10 & \\
\hline A212 & bark \& sapwood decay & 29 & fav & 6 & 157 \\
\hline F112 & open short sward & 10 & & 5 & 163 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|ll|}
\hline F111 & bare sand \& chalk & 20 & fav & 5 \\
\hline F003 & scrub-heath \& moorland & 10 & fav & 3 \\
\hline F006 & dung & 2 & & 2 \\
\hline W314 & reedfen and pools & 2 & & 2 \\
\hline A211 & heartwood decay & 2 & 1 & 163 \\
\hline A213 & fungal fruiting bodies & 1 & 1 & 157 \\
\hline M311 & saltmarsh & 1 & 1 & 157 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{All SATs scoring more than zero are listed}

\section*{The broad assemblage types represented in this list are as follows:}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|cc|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
BAT \\
code
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ BAT name } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Representation (1- \\
\(\mathbf{1 0 0 )}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Rarity \\
score
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Conditio \\
\(\mathbf{n}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
BAT species \\
richness
\end{tabular} \\
\hline F2 & grassland \& scrub matrix & IEC
\end{tabular}

Rarity scores are shown only for BATS represented by more than 15 species in the assemblage / fauna
being analysed

\section*{Technical statistics:}
Number of species 559
Number of errors in species list39

\section*{Antlion Euroleon nostras at Goosehill}

During the 2016 survey, the Goosehill Rides were searched for signs of larval activity of the antlion Euroleon nostras. During the June site visit an aggregation was found under the shelter of the corrugated iron roof of Walk Barn alongside the sandy track edge, forming the southern edge of the Barn. Inside the woodstore of the Barn under the shelter of the roof, a large aggregation of \(c 300\) burrows was recorded. The burrows appeared to have been partially obliterated by recently stacked logs. Despite extensive searching throughout the tracks, rides and any visible sand exposure within the Goosehill area, no antlion burrows were recorded outside of the sheltered areas in and around Walk Barn during the course of the survey.

\section*{Interpretation of Goosehill Rides ISIS analysis}

The following interpretation is based on all available data collected within the Goosehill Rides area from surveys of terrestrial invertebrates collected for Arcadis during targeted surveys in 2016, together with pitfall and pan trapping data collected for a reptile prey study in May 2015 and data collected by Amec in 2009.

For the Goosehill Rides site, 559 species recorded during the (above listed) combined surveys were analysed using ISIS. The list includes terrestrial samples only. 42 errors were recorded within the list due to non-target species, not used in ISIS analysis.

\section*{Significant Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) recognised within ISIS}

In total, eight Broad Assemblage Types (BATs) were recognised from the analysed Goosehill Rides dataset. Of these, five BATs comprised a sufficient number of species to be considered robust, i.e. being made up of more than 15 species.

In terms of species deployment, the largest number of species attributed to a single BAT was 167, for the F2 Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage; by far the greatest number of species attributed to a single BAT from the

Goosehill data. The second most species-rich BAT recognised was the F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic assemblage to which 90 species were attributed. Whilst the overiding habitat at Goosehill is essentially plantation woodland, the target habitats for the purpose of this survey were mainly open, well-lit sandy rides supporting areas of predominately acid grassland and more open, partially vegetated habitats which reflect the assemblages recorded.

The best represented of the remaining significant BATs was A2 - Wood decay, with 44 attributed species, reflecting the abundance of mature and decaying trees within the site. Importantly, much of the wood decay habitat was coniferous. The three remaining significant BATs included W3 - Permanent wet mire (32 species), F3 - Shaded field and ground layer ( 29 species) and A1 - Arboreal canopy ( 26 species). These BATs reasonably reflected the habitat types recorded within the site, which included some wetter areas alongside the prevailing dry and sandy habitats.

A fifth BAT, W2 - Mineral marsh and open water, with 14 attributed species, came close to the significance threshold of 15 , but as such cannot be considered robust enough for the purposes of appraisal.

In terms of conservation significance, one of the recognised BATs, the F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic assemblage achieved a Rarity Score exceeding the Favourable Condition Target set within ISIS. The RS achieved was 166 compared to the threshold of 160 specified for F1 in ISIS. Interestingly, this score was very close to that achieved from samples collected in the adjoining Arable Margins compartment, which despite the different landuse was very similar in geological and edaphic terms.

In comparison, the RS achieved for the most species-rich assemblage F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage was 130 compared to the FCT of 160 specified for this assemblage in ISIS. This score is relatively low considering the species-richness of the assemblage and is likely to reflect a lower ratio of rare and uncommon species in relation to the more widespread species within the sample data recruited to this assemblage.

Whilst none of the other significant BATs recorded within the Goosehill Rides produced Rarity Scores which exceeded the FCTs specified within ISIS, the scores attained for all four of the W3, A2, F3 and A1 BATs all approached their FCTs and can be seen as supporting BATs of some conservation value. The score attained by W3 - Permanent wet mire was 169 and was, therefore, close to the FCT of 180. The A2 - Wood decay assemblage achieved an RS of 157 compared to a threshold of 190; for F3 - Shaded field and ground layer the RS was 124 and the FCT 150 and the RS score or A1 - Arboreal canopy was 140 compared to its FCT of 170.

The largest number of species with a recognised conservation status attributed to a single BAT was nine species attributed to the F1 - Unshaded early successional mosaic assemblage. Red Data Book species included the Grayling Hipparchia semele, a butterfly classed as 'vulnerable' based on post-2001 IUCN criteria, which is also afforded Species of Principal Importance' status under S41 of the NERC Act (2006) and a RDB3 'rare' spider-hunting wasp Arachnospila consobrina. The Grayling has been recorded from a number of the drier, more sandy compartments including the coastal dune grasslands and heathlands within the Sizewell survey area. The species is fairly xerophilic and characteristic of arid conditions. The Grayling' s conservation status is based on a significant decline over recent decades, however it is still relatively common in suitable habitats.

In contrast Arachnospila consobrina is of very restricted range in the UK, and whilst it has formerly been recorded from close to the survey site in Suffolk, most British records are from coastal habitats in south Wales. A. consobrina is a species of sandy habitats, including coastal dunes, but little is known of its biology.

Nationally scarce species attributed to the F1 assemblage recorded from Goosehill Rides included two more spiderhunting wasps Priocnemis cordivalvata recorded by Amec in 2007 and P. coriacea, recorded from the 2015 pan trap samples alongside, P. perturbator, a much commoner species which was found in a number of samples across the survey area. P. cordivalvata is associated with mature woodlands and sunny glades on clay soils (Day, 1998), whilst \(P\). coriacea and P. perturbator are species of sandy habitats.

Other nationally scarce hymenoptera recorded at Goosehill included the Panteloon Bee Dasypoda hirtipes, a distinctive species mainly found on heathland and dry, sandy coastal districts. The Panteloon Bee is strongly associated with yellow composites and would benefit from the resource of Common Cat' s-ear Hypochaeris radicata found throughout the Goosehill Rides area.

Other nationally scarce F1 species recorded from Goosehill included Aphanus rolandri, a striking black and red ground bug associated with dry, sheltered and well-drained habitats including heathlands and coastal habitats and two species of chloropid fly; Trachysiphonella scutellata and Lasiambia palposa agg. These are species of dry grassland. T. scutellata occurs in both acid heathland and calcareous biotopes.

The remaining rarities were scattered thinly between the other recorded BATs. Another RDB3 species the Levels Yellow-horned Horsefly Hybomitra ciureai was attributed to the W3 - Permanent wet mire BAT. This species was formerly recorded during the 2014 surveys and is a fenland specialist of very restricted range in the UK.

The F2 - Grassland and scrub matrix assemblage included a nationally scarce spider Trachyzelotes pedestris, a species of arid grasslands and heathland that was recorded in several other survey units.

The White Admiral Limenitis camilla a butterfly classed as 'vulnerable' based on post-2001 IUCN criteria, and is an S41 'Species of Principal Importance' was attributed to the A1 - Arboreal canopy assemblage as was a nationally scarce weevil Dorytomus filirostris which is associated with poplars Populus spp. often in fenland habitats. The only rarity associated with the A2 - Wood decay assemblage recorded was Anaspis thoracica, a species that was recorded on several sites within the Sizewell survey area.

12 SATs were recognised following ISIS analysis of the Goosehill Rides dataset. Of these, five produced scores exceeding the FCTs specified in ISIS. As such, the following assemblages can be regarded as being of national importance: FOO1 - Scrub edge (score 20; FCT threshold 10); F002 - rich flower resource (score 23; FCT threshold 14), A212 - Bark and sapwood decay (score 29, FCT threshold 19), F111 - Bare sand and chalk (score 20; FCT threshold 18) and F003 - scrub heath and moorland (score 10; FCT threshold 8).

These scores indicate Goosehill to be a site that collectively provides a wide range of habitat niches which, in turn, support a very diverse range of specialist invertebrate species. A number of the SATs achieving scores exceeding the Favourable Condition targets were resource based and therefore somewhat intangible in terms of habitat interpretation. However, the F111 - Bare earth and chalk assemblage was attributed with five species of higher conservation status including Grayling Hipparchia semele, a spider-hunting wasp Arachnospila consobrina, the Panteloon Bee Dasypoda hirtipes and two chloropid flies Trachysiphonella scutellata and Lasiambia palposa.

Interestingly, much of the wood decay habitat recorded within the Goosehill Rides compartment was provided by over mature conifers and species contributing to the specialist A212 - Bark and sapwood decay assemblage included some species either in part or wholly associated with coniferous trees.

Overall, the results indicate the Goosehill Rides to support both BAT and SAT assemblages of National Importance. The more open habitat areas benefit from shelter and there was a mixture of dry, sandy habitats beneficial to strongly thermophilous species typical of those more frequently found on heathlands and localised geological variation provided some interesting transitions to wetlands. The mature trees, including conifers and resource of wood decay habitat, which was often left in situ evidently provided habitat for a range of species associated with wood decay, including both saproxylic species and secondary colonisers such as solitary hymenoptera.

As suspected, the Goosehill Rides were found to support a range of ground nesting aculeate hymenoptera, a group that was not well covered during the 2014 survey. Several rare and uncommon species were recorded from the Goosehill Rides not found elsewhere on site. The invertebrate fauna of the Goosehill Rides will inevitably benefit from the movement of specialist fauna from the adjacent coastal dunes, grassland, heathland as well as the wet woodlands and fen and grazing marsh habitats which border the site to the south and east.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pre 1994 list of rare and endangered species within the UK

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Pre 1994 Endangered Status: Species in danger of extinction and whose survival is unlikely if causal factors continue to operate. Endangered species either (a) occur as only a single population within one $10-\mathrm{km}$ square, or (b) only occur in especially vulnerable habitats, or (c) have been declining rapidly or continuously for twenty years or more to the point where they occur in five or fewer $10-\mathrm{km}$ squares, or (d) may already have become extinct
    ${ }^{3}$ Post 1994 Great Britain (GB) Rarity Status: Native species which have not been recorded from more than 15 British hectads in recent decades and where there is reasonable confidence that exhaustive recording would not find them in more
    ${ }^{4}$ Post 1994 GB Rarity Status: Native species which are not regarded as Nationally Rare AND which have not been recorded from more than 100 British hectads in recent decades and where there is reasonable confidence that exhaustive recording would not find them in more

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ Pre 1994 Vulnerable Status: Species which are likely to move into the Endangered category in the near future if causal factors continue to operate. Vulnerable species are declining throughout their range or occupy vulnerable habitats.
    ${ }^{6}$ Pre 1994 Rare Status: Species which occur in small populations and although not currently either Endangered or Vulnerable are at risk. Rare species exist in 15 or fewer $10-\mathrm{km}$ squares, or are more widespread than this but dependent on small areas of especially vulnerable habitat.
    ${ }^{7}$ Post 1994 Threat Criteria: A species that does not qualify for Critically Endangered, Endangered or Vulnerable now, but is close to qualifying for or is likely to qualify for a threatened category in the near future.
    ${ }^{8}$ Pre 1994 Status: Species suspected to merit either Endangered, Vulnerable, Rare or Indeterminate status but lacking enough information.

[^3]:    ${ }^{9}$ Post 1994 Threat Criteria: A species which the best available evidence indicates that it is facing a high risk of extinction.
    ${ }^{10}$ Pre 1994 Status: Taxa that do not fall within RDB categories but are nonetheless uncommon in Great Britain and thought to occur in between 31 and 10010 km squares of the National Grid or for less-well recorded groups between eight and twenty vice-counties.

[^4]:    ${ }^{11}$ A tent-like structure used for collecting flying insects. Insects fly into a screen and are funnelled upwards into a collection chamber.

[^5]:    ${ }^{12}$ Post 1994 Threat Criteria: A species which the best available evidence indicates that it is facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

[^6]:    ${ }^{13}$ Pre 1994 GB Status: Species which do not fall within Red Data Book categories, but which are nonetheless uncommon in Great Britain and thought to occur in 30 or fewer (typically between 16 and 30) hectads. Equivalent to post 1994 Nationally Scarce.

[^7]:    ${ }^{14}$ Pre 1994 GB Status: Species which do not fall within Red Data Book categories, but which are nonetheless uncommon in Great Britain and thought to occur in 15-100 hectads. Equivalent to post 1994 Nationally Scarce.

[^8]:    ${ }^{15}$ Could be deemed Nationally Scarce but more information is needed.

[^9]:    ${ }^{16}$ Over fifteen species must be used in the calculation to produce a robust BAT rarity score. A score based on a smaller number of species runs the risk of being unduly influenced by the presence of just one rare species.
    ${ }^{17}$ An average score derived from scoring individual species within an assemblage based on their designated conservation status

[^10]:    ${ }^{18}$ A term within ISIS for the total number of species

[^11]:    Building better energy together

[^12]:    ${ }^{19}$ Pre 1994 Status: Species considered to be either Endangered, Vulnerable or Rare but with insufficient information to say which.

[^13]:[^14]:    © AMEC Environment \& Infrastructure UK Limited
    March 2014
    Doc Reg No. 34868 CA003R

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ The site description for the Sizewell Marshes Site of Special Scientific Interest, adjacent to the preliminary works area, states that Sizewell Marshes are of exceptional interest for their invertebrate fauna, supporting a wide range of
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[^17]:    ${ }^{2}$ The defence of accidental or reckless disturbance or destruction as a result of an otherwise lawful operation was removed.
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[^20]:    ${ }^{3}$ This grid reference puts this record in the sea off Sizewell Hall!

[^21]:    ${ }^{4}$ Supplied by Marris House Nets, Bournemouth
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[^23]:    ${ }^{5}$ Yellow and white are the most effective colours for water traps. Other colours have been found to be much less effective.
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[^31]:    ${ }^{6}$ It is possible that any individuals of this species present on the dunes may have been alert enough able to avoid the vacuum.
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[^52]:    11 mm mesh bag, net supplied by EFE/GB Nets Ltd, Totnes

[^53]:    2 Entec UK Ltd provided a field assistant for Health and Safety purposes.
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[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ The project timescales have since changed.
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[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ Both from personal communications and from the annual land management reviews produced in conjunction with ADAS.

[^69]:    ${ }^{2}$ Supplied by Marris House Nets, Bournemouth

[^70]:    ${ }^{3}$ Yellow and white are the most effective colours for water traps. Other colours have been found to be much less effective.

[^71]:    41 mm mesh bag, net supplied by EFE/GB Nets Ltd, Totnes
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[^76]:    ${ }^{5}$ This grid reference puts this record in the sea off Sizewell Hall!

[^77]:    ${ }^{6}$ This is likely to be a result of the fact that honeysuckle is widespread in hedgerows and gardens while white admiral tends to breed on honeysuckle in woodland habitats.

[^78]:    ${ }^{7}$ It should be noted that these records do not mean that this species in actually breeding on all these sites.
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[^85]:    ${ }^{1}$ This refers to invertebrates reasonably collected with a pond net, i.e., those occurring within the water column, within and around aquatic vegetation, and on or within the first centimetre or so of the bottom substrate. Specific grab sampling (or similar techniques) for species living at greater depth within the substratum, was not attempted.

[^86]:    ${ }^{2}$ Stenotypic species are specialists which are tolerant of only a very narrow range of environmental conditions.

[^87]:    ${ }^{3}$ The CCI Community Score (CoS) can be derived either from the highest Conservation Score (CS) relating to the rarest taxon in a given sample, or from the BMWP score. The highest scoring of the two methods is used to derive the CoS.

[^88]:    ${ }^{4}$ According to Chadd and Extence (2004), CCI can be used to analyse data collected on different scales; i.e. analysis of an individual sample can be undertaken, or data from a number of amalgamated samples can also be analysed.

[^89]:    ${ }^{5}$ Formerly considered a single species, L. nebulosus has been split into two separate species, L.nebulosus and L.linnei (Wallin et al, 2010). Specimens collected were keyed out as L.nebulosus.
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[^95]:    The condition of the SSSI Triangle reed-swamp suggected it has received little recent management, increasing the potential for such species but making it
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