



The Sizewell C Project

1.1 Appendix 1.1B Section 55 Application Checklist

Revision: 1.0
Applicable Regulation: Regulation 5(2)(q)
PINS Reference Number: EN010012

May 2020

Planning Act 2008
Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed
Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009





The Planning Inspectorate
Yr Arolygiaeth Gynllunio

Section 55

Acceptance of Applications Checklist

Appendix 3 of Advice Note Six: Preparation and submission of application documents

Version: October 2019

Section 55 Acceptance of Applications Checklist

Section 55 of the Planning Act 2008 can be viewed at legislation.gov.uk, here: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2008/29/section/55>

DISCLAIMER: This Checklist is for information only and is not a formal application document. It is a non-statutory checklist for the Planning Inspectorate to complete. Completion or self-assessment by the Applicant does not hold weight at the Acceptance stage. Unless specified, **all references to the Planning Inspectorate are made in relation to functions being carried out on behalf of the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government.**

Section 55(2) Acceptance of Applications				
1	Within 28 days (starting day after receipt) the Planning Inspectorate must decide whether or not to accept the application for Examination.	Date received	28 day due date	Date of decision
		27 May 2020	24 June 2020	
Section 55(3) - the Planning Inspectorate may only accept an application if it concludes that:		Planning Inspectorate comments		
Section 55(3)(a) and s55(3)(c): It is an application for an order granting development consent				
2	Is the development a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project ¹ (NSIP) (or does it form part of an NSIP); and does the application state on the face of it that it is an application for a Development Consent Order ² (DCO) under the Planning Act 2008 (the PA2008), or equivalent words?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The proposed development comprises an on-shore generating station in England which would have the generating capacity of more than 50 megawatts and, as such, is a nationally significant infrastructure project (NSIP) for the purposes of sections 14(1)(a) and 15(2) of the Planning Act 2008 (the Act).</p> <p>As Sizewell C is an NSIP, an application for a development consent order (DCO) must be made to the</p>		

¹ NSIP is defined generally in s14 with the detailed thresholds for each of the specified categories being set out in ss15 to 30

² Development consent is required for development to the extent that the development is or forms part of an NSIP (s31 of the PA2008)

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

	<p>Does the application specify the development to which it relates (ie which category or categories in ss14 to 30 does the Proposed Development fall)?</p> <p>If the development does not fall within the categories in ss14 to 30, has a direction been given by the Secretary of State under s35 of the PA2008 for the development to be treated as development for which development consent is required?</p>	<p>Secretary of State under section 37 of the Act. Section 115 of the Act allows the Secretary of State to grant development consent for associated development falling within that section.</p> <p>This is confirmed in the Cover Letter to the Application (Doc Ref. 1.1) and box 4 of the Application Form (Doc Ref. 1.2).</p>
3	<p>Summary: Section 55(3)(a) and s55(3)(c)</p>	<p>As set out in the Cover Letter (Doc Ref. 1.1) and the Application Form (Doc Ref. 1.2), the Application as submitted states on the face of it that it is an application for an order granting development consent and that development consent is required for Sizewell C because of its nature, scale and location.</p>
<p>Section 55(3)(e): The Applicant in relation to the application made has complied with Chapter 2 of Part 5 (pre-application procedure)</p>		
4	<p>In accordance with the EIA Regulations³, did the Applicant (prior to carrying out consultation in accordance with s42) either (a) request the Planning Inspectorate adopt a Screening Opinion in respect of the development to which the application relates, or (b) notify the Planning Inspectorate in writing that it proposed to provide an Environmental Statement in respect of that development?</p>	<p>Yes, (b).</p> <p>The Applicant did not request the Secretary of State to adopt a screening opinion in respect of the Sizewell C Project.</p> <p>The Applicant submitted an EIA Scoping Report to the Secretary of State in April 2014 in order to request a Scoping Opinion for the proposed Sizewell C Project under the 2009 EIA Regulations. In June 2014 the Secretary of State provided a Scoping Opinion (Appendix G.1 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1)).</p> <p>In May 2019, the Applicant submitted a further EIA Scoping Report to the Planning Inspectorate (on behalf of the Secretary of State) under the 2017 EIA Regulations. This was to present the proposed EIA scope for new elements of the Sizewell C Project and to scope the additional environmental effects required by the 2017 EIA Regulations. The Planning Inspectorate (on behalf of the Secretary of State) provided a Scoping Opinion in July 2019 (Appendix G.2 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1)).</p> <p>The Scoping Opinions have helped to inform the EIA process for the Sizewell C Project.</p> <p>Pursuant to regulation 8(2)(a) of the 2017 EIA Regulations, on 16 July 2019 the Applicant notified the</p>

³ Regulation 8 of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 (2017 EIA Regulations), or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, Regulation 6 of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2009 (2009 EIA Regulations)

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

		Planning Inspectorate that an Environmental Statement would be provided with the DCO application.
5	Have any Adequacy of Consultation Representations ⁴ been received from 'A', 'B', 'C' and 'D' local authorities; and if so do they confirm that the Applicant has complied with the duties under s42, s47 and s48?	<p>The local authorities identified under section 43 of the Act for the Sizewell C Project are shown on Plate 2.1 to Plate 2.4 in Chapter 2 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1) and are as follows:</p> <p>'A' local authorities: Mid Suffolk District Council, Babergh District Council, Ipswich Borough Council, Tendring District Council, South Norfolk District Council, Great Yarmouth Borough Council and the Broads Authority.</p> <p>'B' local authority: East Suffolk Council</p> <p>'C' local authority: Suffolk County Council</p> <p>'D' local authorities: Essex County Council, Norfolk County Council, Cambridgeshire County Council and the Broads Authority.</p> <p>The adequacy of consultation representations will be requested by the Planning Inspectorate following submission of the Application.</p>
Section 42: Duty to consult		
Did the Applicant consult the applicable persons set out in s42 of the PA2008 about the proposed application?		
6	Section 42(1)(a) persons prescribed ⁵ ?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The Applicant undertook section 42 consultation with consultees prescribed under Schedule 1 to the APFP Regulations. Chapters 2, 4, 6, 7 and 8 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1) set out the approach to consultation with the prescribed consultees and compliance with legislation and associated guidance and the details of the consultation that was carried out in accordance with section 42.</p> <p>Appendix G.3 to the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1) contains the list of prescribed consultees pursuant to section 42(1)(a).</p>
7	Section 42(1)(aa) the Marine Management Organisation ⁶ ?	Yes.

⁴ Section 55(4) of the PA2008 provides that the Planning Inspectorate must have regard to the Consultation Report, and any Adequacy of Consultation Representations received

⁵ Statutory consultees set out in Schedule 1 of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 (APFP Regulations)

⁶ In any case where the Proposed Development would affect, or would be likely to affect, any of the areas specified in s42(2) of the PA2008

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

		Chapter 2 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref 5.1) details the consultation that took place with the Marine Management Organisation confirming that the organisation was consulted at each stage of consultation.
8	Section 42(1)(b) each local authority within s43 ⁷ ?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1) details the consultation that has been undertaken with the following section 43 local authorities. On 1 April 2019 Suffolk Coastal District Council (SCDC) and Waveney District Council were replaced by a new local authority 'East Suffolk Council'.</p> <p>Category "A"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mid Suffolk District Council - Babergh District Council - Ipswich Borough Council - Tendring District Council - South Norfolk District Council (only an A local authority from 1 April 2019 following East Suffolk Council's creation) - Great Yarmouth Borough Council (only an A local authority from 1 April 2019 following East Suffolk Council's creation) - Broads Authority (only an A local authority from 1 April 2019 following East Suffolk Council's creation) - Waveney District Council (prior to becoming East Suffolk Council as of 1 April 2019) <p>Category "B"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - East Suffolk Council (prior to 1 April 2019, SCDC) <p>Category "C"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Suffolk County Council <p>Category "D"</p>

⁷ Definition of 'local authority' in s43(3) of the PA2008: The 'B' authority where the application land is in the authority's area; the 'A' authority where any part of the boundary of A's area is also a part of the boundary of B's area; the 'C' authority (upper tier) where the application land is in that authority's area; the 'D' authority (upper tier) where such an authority shares a boundary with a 'C' authority

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Essex County Council - Norfolk County Council - Cambridgeshire County Council - Broads Authority
9	Section 42(1)(c) the Greater London Authority (if in Greater London area)?	Not applicable.
10	Section 42(1)(d) each person in one or more of s44 categories ⁸ ?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Chapter 2 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1) outlines the identification of persons falling within Category 1, 2 and/or 3 under section 44 of the Act and confirms that consultation was carried out with those persons in accordance with section 42 of the Act.</p> <p>A list of persons with an interest in the land is provided in the Book of Reference (Doc Ref. 4.3).</p>
Section 45: Timetable for s42 consultation		
11	Did the Applicant notify s42 consultees of the deadline for receipt of consultation responses; and if so was the deadline notified by the Applicant 28 days or more starting with the day after receipt of the consultation documents?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Chapters 2, 4, 6, 7 and 8 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1) detail the section 42 consultation that has been undertaken by the Applicant. The section 42 consultation can be summarised as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Stage 1 section 42 notification sent to section 42 consultees on 20 November 2012. Consultation undertaken for 11 weeks from 21 November 2012 to 6 February 2013. A copy of the sample letter is contained in Appendix B.7 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref 5.1). 2. Stage 2 section 42 notification sent to section 42 consultees on 21 November 2016. Consultation undertaken for 10 weeks between 23 November 2016 and 3 February 2017. A copy of the sample letter is contained in Appendix D.9 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref 5.1). 3. Stage 3 section 42 notification sent to section 42 consultees on 3 January 2019. Consultation

⁸ Category 1: owner, lessee, tenant or occupier of land; Category 2: person interested in the land or has power to sell and convey the land or to release the land; Category 3: persons who would or might be entitled to make a relevant claim. There is no requirement on the Planning Inspectorate to check the accuracy of the list(s) provided or whether the Applicant has made diligent inquiry

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

		<p>undertaken for 12 weeks between 4 January and 29 March 2019. A copy of the sample letter is contained in Appendix E.7 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref 5.1).</p> <p>4. Stage 4 section 42 notification sent to section 42 consultees on 16 July 2019. Consultation undertaken for 10 weeks between 18 July and 27 September 2019. A copy of the sample letter is contained in Appendix F.3 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref 5.1). Minor changes were proposed to the Order Limits following the close of the Stage 4 consultation. Targeted consultation under section 42 of the Act was therefore undertaken in December 2019 and January and February 2020 with affected consultees who were provided with the consultation materials for Stage 4 and were consulted for a minimum of 28 days. This is described in the Consultation Report and copies of the section 42 letters sent to these consultees can be found in Appendix F.8 and F.9 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref 5.1).</p>
Section 46: Duty to notify the Planning Inspectorate of proposed application		
12	Did the Applicant supply information to notify the Planning Inspectorate of the proposed application; and if so was the information supplied to the Planning Inspectorate on or before the date it was sent to the s42 consultees? Was this done on or before commencing consultation under s42?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The Applicant supplied information to notify the Planning Inspectorate of the proposed application prior to the commencement of the statutory consultation and the consultation documents being sent to the section 42 consultees.</p>
Section 47: Duty to consult local community		
13	Did the Applicant prepare a Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) on how it intended to consult people living in the vicinity of the land?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The first SoCC was published in November 2012 (Appendix B.11 to the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1)) and an Updated SoCC was published in November 2016 (Appendix D.6 to the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1)).</p>
14	Were 'B' and (where relevant) 'C' authorities consulted about the content of the SoCC; and if so was the deadline for receipt of responses 28 days beginning with the day after the day that 'B' and (where applicable) 'C' authorities received the consultation documents?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Chapter 4 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref 5.1) details the Applicant's approach to developing the draft SoCC in consultation with SCDC (Category B) and Suffolk County Council (SCC) (Category C) in 2012. The first SoCC was sent to SCDC and SCC for review on 21 September 2012 (Appendix B.1 of the Consultation Report). SCDC and SCC issued their joint response on 19 October 2012 (Appendix B.1 of the Consultation Report). The published SoCC was made available for public inspection from 8 November</p>

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

		<p>2012 (Appendix B.11 of the Consultation Report).</p> <p>Subsequently, the SoCC was updated in November 2016 in consultation with SCDC and SCC, as explained in Chapter 6 of the Consultation Report. The draft Updated SoCC was sent to SCDC and SCC for review on 26 November 2014 (Appendix D.1 of the Consultation Report). SCDC and SCC issued their response jointly on 19 December 2014 (Appendix D.2 of the Consultation Report). A revised draft Updated SoCC was then sent to SCDC and SCC on 13 January 2016 (Appendix D.3 of the Consultation Report) and a joint response was issued on 10 February 2016 (Appendix D.4 of the Consultation Report). The published Updated SoCC was made available for public inspection from 9 November 2016 (Appendix D.6 of the Consultation Report).</p>
15	Has the Applicant had regard to any responses received when preparing the SoCC?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Appendices B.1, D.2 and D.4 of the Consultation Report provide copies of the responses received from SCDC and SCC on the draft SoCCs. Chapters 2, 4 and 6 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref 5.1) confirm that the Applicant had regard to these responses.</p>
16	Has the SoCC been made available for inspection in a way that is reasonably convenient for people living in the vicinity of the land; and has a notice been published in a newspaper circulating in the vicinity of the land which states where and when the SoCC can be inspected?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Hard copies of the SoCCs were made available for public inspection at a number of locations in the vicinity of the Sizewell C Project. The SoCCs were also made available to view on the Sizewell C section of the EDF Energy website. Chapter 2 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref 5.1) provides a list of locations and the dates on which each SoCC was made available.</p> <p>In accordance with section 47(6) of the Act, for each of the SoCCs notice was published in local newspapers prior to the start of the formal consultation periods, as listed below. The newspaper notices explained how and where the SoCCs could be viewed.</p> <p>Notice of the SoCC 2012 (Appendix B.10 of the Consultation Report): East Anglian Daily Times, Eastern Daily Press, Beccles and Bungay Journal, Lowestoft Journal, Coastal Scene and Ipswich Evening Star on 8 November 2012</p> <p>Notice of the Updated SoCC 2016 (Appendix D.5 of the Consultation Report): East Anglian Daily Times, Eastern Daily Press, Beccles and Bungay Journal, Lowestoft Journal, Coastal Scene and Ipswich Evening</p>

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

		Star on 9 November 2016	
17	Does the SoCC set out whether the development is EIA development ⁹ ; and does it set out how the Applicant intends to publicise and consult on the Preliminary Environmental Information?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Page 2 of the SoCC 2012 (Appendix B.11 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1)) stated that the Sizewell C Project is EIA development and that the Applicant would carry out an EIA and submit a full Environmental Statement (ES) as part of the application for development consent. The SoCC 2012 also stated that the Stage 1 main consultation document would include summary preliminary environmental information (PEI) and confirmed that the Applicant intended to publish a separate environmental report as part of the Stage 1 consultation which would provide more detailed PEI.</p> <p>Page 5 of the published Updated SoCC 2016 (Appendix D.6 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1)) confirmed that the Sizewell C Project is an EIA development and that the Applicant would carry out an EIA and submit a full ES and non-technical summary as part of the application for development consent. The Updated SoCC 2016 also stated that PEI would be presented at Stage 2 consultation and also in support of further consultation stages beyond Stage 2 depending on the level of information available at that time.</p>	
18	Has the Applicant carried out the consultation in accordance with the SoCC?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Chapters 2, 4, 6, 7 and 8 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1) detail the approach taken to consultation which demonstrates that the consultation was carried out in accordance with the published SoCCs.</p>	
Section 48: Duty to publicise the proposed application			
19	Did the Applicant publicise the proposed application in the prescribed manner set out in Regulation 4(2) of the APFP Regulations?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Chapters 2 and 8 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1) describe how the Applicant publicised the proposed application in compliance with Regulation 4(2) of the APFP Regulations.</p>	
		Newspaper(s)	Date
a)	for at least two successive weeks in one or more local newspapers circulating in the vicinity in which the	East Anglian Daily Times East Anglian Daily Times	18 July 2019 25 July 2019

⁹ Regulation 12 of the 2017 EIA Regulations, or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, Regulation 10 of the 2009 EIA Regulations

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

	Proposed Development would be situated;		
b)	once in a national newspaper;	The Times	18 July 2019
c)	once in the London Gazette and, if land in Scotland is affected, the Edinburgh Gazette; and	London Gazette	18 July 2019
d)	where the proposed application relates to offshore development – (i) once in Lloyds List; and (ii) once in an appropriate fishing trade journal?	Lloyd’s List Fishing News	18 July 2019 24 July 2019
20	Did the s48 notice include the required information set out in Regulation 4(3) of APFP Regulations?	Yes. Copies of the section 48 notices are provided in Appendix F.4 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref 5.1) with each containing the required information as set out below.	
	Information	Paragraph	Paragraph
a)	the name and address of the Applicant.	Paragraph 1	b) a statement that the Applicant intends to make an application for development consent to the Secretary of State
c)	a statement as to whether the application is EIA development	Paragraph 4	d) a summary of the main proposals, specifying the location or route of the Proposed Development
e)	a statement that the documents, plans and maps showing the nature and location of the Proposed Development are available for inspection free of charge at the places (including at least one address in the vicinity of the Proposed Development) and times set out in the notice	Paragraph 5	f) the latest date on which those documents, plans and maps will be available for inspection
g)	whether a charge will be made for copies of any of the documents, plans or maps and the amount of any	Paragraph 7	h) details of how to respond to the publicity
			Paragraph 8

	charge		
i)	a deadline for receipt of those responses by the Applicant, being not less than 28 days following the date when the notice is last published	Paragraph 9	
21	Are there any observations in respect of the s48 notice provided above? No.		
22	Has a copy of the s48 notice been sent to the EIA consultation bodies and to any person notified to the Applicant in accordance with the EIA Regulations ¹⁰ ?	<p>Yes. Chapters 2 and 8 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1) confirm that the EIA consultation bodies were sent a copy of the section 48 notice advising that the Sizewell C Project is EIA development under the 2017 EIA Regulations at Stage 4. The Planning Inspectorate has not notified the Applicant of any Regulation 11(1)(c) bodies. Copies of the notices are provided in Appendix F.4 of the Consultation Report.</p>	
s49: Duty to take account of responses to consultation and publicity			
23	Has the Applicant had regard to any relevant responses to the s42, s47 and s48 consultation?	<p>Yes. Chapters 4, 6, 7 and 8 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1) present summaries of the consultation feedback received in response to the section 42, section 47 and section 48 consultation and how the Applicant had regard to that feedback. The Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1) provides a summary of the relevant responses received by the Applicant through all statutory consultation grouped by theme and then sets out the Applicant's response and an explanation as to whether or not changes have been made to the proposals as a result.</p>	
Guidance about pre-application procedure			
24	To what extent has the Applicant had regard to statutory guidance 'Planning Act 2008: Guidance on the pre-	<p>Chapter 2 of the Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1) confirms that the Applicant has had regard to the guidance provided by the former DCLG in 'The Planning Act 2008: Guidance on the pre-application process.'</p>	

¹⁰ Regulation 13 of the 2017 EIA Regulations, or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, Regulation 11 of the 2009 EIA Regulations

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

	application process ¹¹ ?													
25	Summary: Section 55(3)(e)													
s55(3)(f) and s55(5A): The application (including accompaniments) achieves a satisfactory standard having regard to the extent to which it complies with section 37(3) (form and contents of application) and with any standards set under section 37(5) and follows any applicable guidance under section 37(4)														
26	<p>Is it made in the prescribed form as set out in Schedule 2 of the APFP Regulations, and does it include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a brief statement which explains why it falls within the remit of the Planning Inspectorate; and a brief statement that clearly identifies the location of the application site, or the route if it is a linear scheme? 	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Box 4 of the Application Form (Doc Ref. 1.2) explains why the Sizewell C Project falls within the remit of the Planning Inspectorate.</p> <p>Box 5 of the Application Form (Doc Ref. 1.2) provides a brief summary of the Sizewell C Project, whilst Box 6 provides details of its location.</p>												
27	Is it accompanied by a Consultation Report?	Yes. The Application is accompanied by a Consultation Report (Doc Ref. 5.1).												
28	Where a plan comprises three or more separate sheets, has a key plan been provided showing the relationship between the different sheets? ¹²	Yes.												
29	Is it accompanied by the documents and information set out in APFP Regulation 5(2)?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>An Environmental Statement (ES) (Doc Ref. Book 6), supporting documentation and related documents have been submitted. The ES has been prepared in accordance with the applicable EIA Regulations and the Scoping Opinions. The documents and information required by the APFP Regulation 5(2) are set out in the documents and locations within the Application as listed below:</p>												
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 25%;"></th> <th style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">Information</th> <th style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">Document</th> <th style="width: 25%;"></th> <th style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">Information</th> <th style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">Document</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>a)</td> <td>Where applicable, the</td> <td>Environmental Statement (Doc Ref.</td> <td>b)</td> <td>The Draft Development Consent</td> <td>Draft DCO (Doc Ref. 3.1)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Information	Document		Information	Document	a)	Where applicable, the	Environmental Statement (Doc Ref.	b)	The Draft Development Consent	Draft DCO (Doc Ref. 3.1)
	Information	Document		Information	Document									
a)	Where applicable, the	Environmental Statement (Doc Ref.	b)	The Draft Development Consent	Draft DCO (Doc Ref. 3.1)									

¹¹ The Planning Inspectorate must have regard to the extent to which the Applicant has had regard to guidance issued under s50

¹² Regulation 5(4) of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

c)	Environmental Statement required under the EIA Regulations ¹³ and any scoping or screening opinions or directions	Book 6)	d)	Order (Draft DCO) (Doc Ref. 3.1)	
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
e)	An Explanatory Memorandum explaining the purpose and effect of provisions in the draft DCO	Explanatory Memorandum (Doc Ref. 3.2)	f)	Where applicable, a Book of Reference (where the application involves any Compulsory Acquisition)	Book of Reference (Doc Ref. 4.3)
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
h)	A copy of any Flood Risk Assessment	Flood Risk Assessments (Doc Ref. 5.2 – 5.9)	i)	A statement whether the proposal engages one or more of the matters set out in section 79(1) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (statutory nuisances) and if so, how the Applicant proposes to mitigate or limit them.	Statement of Statutory Nuisances (Doc Ref. 5.12)
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
	A Statement of Reasons and a Funding Statement (where the application involves any Compulsory Acquisition)	Statement of Reasons (Doc Ref. 4.1) and Funding Statement (Doc Ref. 4.2)		A Land Plan identifying:- (i) the land required for, or affected by, the Proposed Development; (ii) where applicable, any land over which it is proposed to exercise powers of Compulsory Acquisition or any	Land Plans (Doc Ref. 2.1)

¹³ The 2017 EIA Regulations, or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, the 2009 EIA Regulations

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> rights to use land; (iii) any land in relation to which it is proposed to extinguish easements, servitudes and other private rights; and (iv) any special category land and replacement land 	
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
j)	<p>A Works Plan showing, in relation to existing features:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) the proposed location or (for a linear scheme) the proposed route and alignment of the development and works; and (ii) the limits within which the development and works may be carried out and any limits of deviation provided for in the draft DCO 	Works Plans (Doc Ref. 2.3)	k)	Where applicable, a plan identifying any new or altered means of access, stopping up of streets or roads or any diversions, extinguishments or creation of rights of way or public rights of navigation	Access/Rights of Way Plans (Doc Ref. 2.4)
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
l)	<p>Where applicable, a plan with accompanying information identifying:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) any statutory/ non- statutory sites or features of nature conservation eg sites of 	Environmental Statement (Doc Ref. Book 6)	m)	Where applicable, a plan with accompanying information identifying any statutory/ non-statutory sites or features of the historic environment, (eg scheduled monuments, World Heritage sites, listed buildings,	Environmental Statement (Doc Ref. Book 6)

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

n)	geological/ landscape importance; (ii) habitats of protected species, important habitats or other diversity features; and (iii) water bodies in a river basin management plan, together with an assessment of any effects on such sites, features, habitats or bodies likely to be caused by the Proposed Development		o)	archaeological sites and registered battlefields) together with an assessment of any effects on such sites, features or structures likely to be caused by the Proposed Development	
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
	Where applicable, a plan with any accompanying information identifying any Crown land	Crown Land Plans (Doc Ref. 2.2)		Any other plans, drawings and sections necessary to describe the development consent proposal showing details of design, external appearance, and the preferred layout of buildings/ structures, drainage, surface water management, means of vehicular and pedestrian access, any car parking and landscaping	Additional Plans, Drawings and Sections (Doc Ref. 2.5 – 2.11)
p)	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes	q)	Are they of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
	Any of the documents prescribed by Regulation 6 of the APFP Regulations:	Grid Connection Statement (Doc Ref. 7.1) Regulation 6 Additional Information (Doc Ref. 7.2)		Any other documents considered necessary to support the application	The List of Application Documents (Doc Ref. 1.1a) appended to the Cover Letter identifies other documents provided in support of the application.



SIZEWELL C PROJECT – SECTION 55 APPLICATION CHECKLIST

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

	Are they of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Are they of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
30	Are there any observations in respect of the documents provided at Box 29 (a) to (q) above?				
	No.				
31	Is the application accompanied by a report identifying any European site(s) to which Regulation 48 of The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 applies; or any Ramsar site(s), which may be affected by the Proposed Development, together with sufficient information that will enable the Secretary of State to make an appropriate assessment of the implications for the site if required by Regulation 48(1)? ¹⁴	Yes. The Application is accompanied by a Shadow Habitat Regulations Assessment Report (Doc Ref. 5.10) and associated appendices.			
32	If requested by the Planning Inspectorate, two paper copies of the application form and other supporting documents and plans ¹⁵	As agreed with the Planning Inspectorate, three electronic copies on USB sticks of the Application Form (Doc Ref. 1.2) and all other application documents listed on the Electronic Application Index have been submitted to the Planning Inspectorate.			
33	Has the Applicant had regard to statutory guidance 'Planning Act 2008: Application form guidance', and has this regard led to the application being prepared to a standard that the Planning Inspectorate considers satisfactory?	Yes. The Applicant has had regard to 'Planning Act 2008: Application form guidance' and the Application has been prepared to a satisfactory standard.			
34	Summary - s55(3)(f) and s55(5A)				

The Infrastructure Planning (Fees) Regulations 2010 (as amended)

¹⁴ Regulation 5(2)(g) of the APFP Regulations

¹⁵ Regulation 5(2)(r) of the APFP Regulations



SIZEWELL C PROJECT – SECTION 55 APPLICATION CHECKLIST

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Fees to accompany an application		
35	Was the fee paid at the same time that the application was made ¹⁶ ?	The application fee of £7,227.00 has been paid.

Role	Electronic signature	Date
Case Manager		
Acceptance Inspector		

¹⁶ The Planning Inspectorate must charge the Applicant a fee in respect of the decision by the Planning Inspectorate under section 55 of the PA2008. If the Applicant fails to pay the fee, the Planning Inspectorate need not consider the application until payment is received. The fee must be paid at the same time that the application is made

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED