

Response to request for information on Wylfa Newydd Application.

To The Planning Inspectorate.

30th December 2019

I write as an individual. I am also a member of an NGO organisation which attends meetings with BEIS Nuclear Forum.

When it became apparent that the Wylfa DCO planning application was progressing without concurrent and essential input from the relevant licencing and permitting authorities, I and others questioned how it could be possible to permit or authorise such an enormous project in a sensitive area without this work being carried out.

The Secretary of State is now requesting, without the benefit of all the regulatory work, especially by the Office of Nuclear Regulation, sufficient information to allow for a decision is to be made. I believe this is not possible. To fail to properly consider **all** issues would surely place her in conflict with her ministerial duty. This is work which the Planning Inspectorates guidance Advice note 11 <https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/Advice-note-11-Annex-D-EA.pdf> shows must be carried out openly, with public consultation and feeding into the planning process, to help advise her in making a properly informed decision.

The decision by the developer to not proceed with this essential licencing/permitting should mean a planning decision cannot and should not be considered until this work is done and all environmental impacts are properly assessed using up to date information. I assume this would otherwise contravene EIA regulations.

I am also aware from BEIS communications that the revised nuclear policy EN6, post 2025 is delayed since December 2017. Meaning consideration of each site by regulators and full public consultation is not done. Including assessing the need for Nuclear. Alongside considering policy for development in AONBs and SSSIs and the impacts of climate change. It may be that Wylfa fails to be a suitable site on a number of revised criteria. It may also be that sequential tests have to be carried out on a number of issues.

I would respectfully suggest that permission should be withheld until a review of EN1 and EN6 and all regulatory work is carried out.

Yours sincerely,

Michael J. Taylor



By email. 30th December 2019.