

**Cais gan Horizon Nuclear Power ar gyfer Gorsaf Bŵer Niwclear Wylfa Newydd**

**Cwestiynau ysgrifenedig yr Awdurdod Archwilio a cheisiadau am wybodaeth (ExQ1)**

**Cyhoeddwyd ar 06 Tachwedd 2018**

Mae'r tabl canlynol yn amlinellu cwestiynau ysgrifenedig yr Awdurdod Archwilio (AA) a cheisiadau am wybodaeth – ExQ1. Yn ôl yr angen, mae amserlen yr Archwiliad yn galluogi'r AA i gyhoeddi rownd arall o gwestiynau ysgrifenedig maes o law. Os gwneir hyn, cyfeirir at y rownd bellach o gwestiynau fel ExQ2.

Mae'r cwestiynau wedi'u trefnu gan ddefnyddio fframwaith yn seiliedig ar faterion, sy'n deillio o'r Asesiad Cyntaf o'r Prif Faterion a ddarparwyd fel Atodiad B i lythyr Rheol 6, dyddiedig 25 Medi 2018. Ychwanegwyd cwestiynau at y fframwaith o faterion a amlinellwyd yno wrth iddynt godi o sylwadau ac i fynd i'r afael â'r asesiad o'r cais yn erbyn polisiau perthnasol.

Mae Colofn 2 y tabl y dynodi pa Bartion â Buddiant ac unigolion eraill y mae pob cwestiwn wedi'i gyfeirio atynt. Byddai'r AA yn ddiolchgar pe gallai pob unigolyn a enwir ateb yr holl gwestiynau sydd wedi'u cyfeirio atynt, gan roi ymateb sylweddol neu nodi nad yw'r cwestiwn yn berthnasol iddynt am reswm. Nid yw hyn yn atal unigolyn nad yw cwestiwn wedi'i gyfeirio ato/ati rhag ateb y cwestiwn hwnnw os yw'n berthnasol i'w fuddiannau/buddiannau.

Mae gan bob cwestiwn rif cyfeirnod unigryw sy'n dechrau gydag 1 (sy'n dynodi ei fod o ExQ1), ac yna rhif y mater a rhif y cwestiwn. Er enghraifft, mae'r cwestiwn cyntaf ynghylch materion ansawdd aer ac allyriadau wedi'i nodi fel C1.1.1. Pan fyddwch yn ateb cwestiwn, dechreuwch eich ateb drwy ddyfynnu'r rhif cyfeirnod unigryw.

Os ydych yn ymateb i nifer fach o gwestiynau, bydd atebion mewn llythyr yn ateb y gofyn. Os ydych yn ateb nifer fawr o gwestiynau, byddai o gymorth i'r AA pe byddech yn defnyddio tabl wedi'i seilio ar yr un hwn i drefnu eich ymatebion. Mae fersiwn o'r tabl hwn y gellir ei haddasu ym Microsoft Word ar gael ar gais gan dîm yr achos: cysylltwch ag [Wylfa@pins.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:Wylfa@pins.gsi.gov.uk) a rhowch Wylfa Newydd yn llinell pwnc eich e-bost.

Dylai ymatebion gael eu derbyn erbyn **Dyddiad cau 2: Dydd Mawrth 04 Rhagfyr 2018**

## Abbreviations used

<b>PA2008</b>	<i>The Planning Act 2008</i>	<b>MP Order</b>	<i>The Infrastructure Planning (Model Provisions) Order 2009</i>
<b>Art</b>	<i>Article</i>	<b>NPS</b>	<i>National Policy Statement</i>
<b>ALA 1981</b>	<i>Acquisition of Land Act 1981</i>	<b>NSIP</b>	<i>Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project</i>
<b>BoR</b>	<i>Book of Reference</i>	<b>R</b>	<i>Requirement</i>
<b>CA</b>	<i>Compulsory Acquisition</i>	<b>RR</b>	<i>Relevant Representation</i>
<b>CPO</b>	<i>Compulsory purchase order</i>	<b>SI</b>	<i>Statutory Instrument</i>
<b>dDCO</b>	<i>Draft DCO</i>	<b>SoS</b>	<i>Secretary of State</i>
<b>EM</b>	<i>Explanatory Memorandum</i>	<b>SoCG</b>	<i>Statement of Common Ground</i>
<b>ES</b>	<i>Environmental Statement</i>	<b>SSSI</b>	<i>Site of Special Scientific Interest</i>
<b>ExA</b>	<i>Examining Authority</i>	<b>SoCG</b>	<i>Statement of Common Ground</i>
<b>LIR</b>	<i>Local Impact Report</i>	<b>TP</b>	<i>Temporary Possession</i>
<b>LPA</b>	<i>Local planning authority</i>	<b>TA</b>	<i>Transport Assessment</i>
<b>MP</b>	<i>Model Provision (in the MP Order)</i>		
<b>BCUHB</b>	<i>Bwrdd Lechyd Prifysgol Betsi Cadwaladr/Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board</i>		
<b>CBHG</b>	<i>Camaes Bay History Group</i>		
<b>DAP</b>	<i>Destination Anglesey Partnership</i>		
<b>DcFW</b>	<i>Comisiwn Dylunio Cymru/Design Commission for Wales</i>		
<b>DCWW</b>	<i>Dŵr Cymru/Welsh Water</i>		
<b>GAPS</b>	<i>Gwynedd Archaeological Planning Services</i>		
<b>GCC</b>	<i>Cygnor Gwynedd/Gwynedd County Council</i>		
<b>IACC</b>	<i>Cyngor Dir Ynys Môn/Isle of Anglesey County Council</i>		
<b>IP</b>	<i>Interested Party</i>		
<b>LbCC</b>	<i>Cygnor Cymuned Llanbadrig/Llanbadrig Community</i>		

	<i>Council</i>
<b>LdCC</b>	<i>Cygnor Cymuned LLanddona/Llandonna Community Council</i>
<b>LPCC</b>	<i>Cygnor Cymuned LLangoed a Penmon/LLangoed and Penmon Community Council</i>
<b>MCA</b>	<i>Maritime and Coastguard Agency</i>
<b>NAP</b>	<i>North Anglesey Partnership</i>
<b>NDA</b>	<i>Nuclear Decommissioning Authority</i>
<b>NG</b>	<i>National Grid</i>
<b>NRW</b>	<i>Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru/Natural Resources Wales</i>
<b>NWEAB</b>	<i>Bwrdd Uchelgais Economaidd Gogledd Cymru/North Wales Economic Ambition Board</i>
<b>NWFR</b>	<i>Tân ac Achub Gogledd Cymru/North Wales Fire and Rescue</i>
<b>NWP</b>	<i>Heddlu Gogledd Cymru/North Wales Police</i>
<b>NWWT</b>	<i>Ymddiriedolaeth Natur Gogledd Cymru/North Wales Wildlife Trust</i>
<b>NT</b>	<i>National Trust</i>
<b>PAWB</b>	<i>Pobol Atal Wylfa B/People Against Wylfa B</i>
<b>PHW</b>	<i>Lechyd Choeddus Cymru/Public Health Wales</i>
<b>TAG</b>	<i>Tregele Action Group</i>
<b>VCC</b>	<i>Cyngor Cymuned Fali/Valley Community Council</i>
<b>WG</b>	<i>Llywodraeth Cymru/Welsh Government</i>
<b>WHGT</b>	<i>Welsh Historic Gardens Trust</i>

<b>Location</b>	<b>Reference</b>
General question	<b>Q</b>
The NSIP	
Power station itself	<b>WA</b>
Other on site works at power station	<b>WB</b>
Permanent Marine Works	<b>WC</b>
Temporary Marine Works	<b>WD</b>
Off-site Power Station Facilities	<b>WE</b>
Wylfa Newydd Development Area	<b>WF</b>
Associated development	
Site campus	<b>ADA</b>
Park and Ride	<b>ADB</b>
Logistics Centre	<b>ADC</b>
A5025 Off-line highways	<b>ADD</b>
Improvements	
Ecological Compensation Sites	<b>ADE</b>
Code of Construction Practice	<b>CoCP</b>
Code of Operational Practice	<b>CoOP</b>
Main Power Station Site Sub Code of Construction Practice	<b>MPSSSCoOP</b>
Workforce Accommodation	<b>WAMS</b>
Management Strategy	

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>1.</b>	<b>Ansawdd Aer gan gynnwys Llŵch</b>		
<b>Q1.0.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WB	Gan gyfeirio at baragraff 5.5.44 Pennod D5 Ansawdd Aer [APP-124] a Ffigur D5-1 APP-237, i ba raddau y byddai hyblygrwydd o ran lleoliad y gwaith trin carthffosiaeth hunangynhwysol arfaethedig, ac a fyddai'r hyblygrwydd hwnnw'n arwain at unrhyw oblygiadau i'r asesiad a wnaed mewn perthynas ag arogleuon?
<b>Q1.0.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WB	Mae paragraff 1.9.58 Pennod D1 Datblygiad Arfaethedig [APP-120] yn darparu casgliad ynglŷn â'r posibilrwydd y gallai arogleuon gael eu rhyddhau o'r gwaith trin carthffosiaeth hunangynhwysol arfaethedig, a rhoddir cyd-destun ychwanegol ym mharagraffau 7.4.2 a 7.4.4 yr MPSSCoCP [App-415]. Ceisir manylion pellach ynglŷn â'r math(au) o system trin gwastraff hunangynhwysol sydd wedi arwain at y casgliadau ym mharagraff 1.9.58 ac a fyddai'n dangos addasrwydd yr ymagwedd yn yr MPSSCoCP [App-415].
<b>Q1.0.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WB	O ran paragraffau 1.9.57 ac 1.9.58 APP-120, a pharagraffau 5.4.18 i 5.4.26 APP-124, a ddylai'r gwaith trin carthffosiaeth fod yn eitem 'waith' wedi'i rhifo ar wahân o fewn y datblygiad?
<b>Q1.0.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WB	Mae paragraff 5.4.22 Pennod D5 Ansawdd Aer [APP-124] yn cyfeirio at 'fesurau lliniaru ymgorfforedig' a gwaith posibl arall mewn perthynas ag effeithiau posibl arogleuon o Waith Trin Dŵr Gwastraff ('WwTW') presennol Cemaes. Faint o sicrwydd fyddai mewn perthynas â chyflawni'r mesurau lliniaru (a'r gwaith arall) y cyfeirir atynt ym mharagraff 5.4.22?
<b>Q1.0.5</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Cyfoeth Naturiol	WB	Mae Datganiad Amgylcheddol (ES) D13 paragraffau 13.5.14-15 yn datgan y byddai gan garthffosiaeth sy'n deillio o adeiladu a charthffosiaeth campws y

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
	Cymru (NRW)		<p>safle gyfradd ryddhau ddyddiol fwyaf o 1,598m<sup>3</sup>. Mae'n ymddangos yn annhebygol y byddai'r cyfraddau rhyddhau yn union yr un fath. [13] A yw hyn yn gywir, a yw'r ddau yr un fath ac a oes angen gosod unrhyw derfynau newydd a'u rheoli trwy ddull addas?</p> <p>A yw NRW yn fodlon y gellir rheoli hyn trwy'r EP?</p>
<b>Q1.0.6</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn (IACC) ac NRW	Q	<p>Gan gyfeirio at Dabl D5-46 Pennod D5 Ansawdd Aer [APP-124], ac adran 7.5 yr MPSSCoCP [APP-415], mae'r Awdurdod Archwilio (ExA) yn nodi bod llawer o'r mesurau hyn heb eu diffinio/pennu'n llawn eto, gan gynnwys y lleoliadau monitro a'r safonau allyriadau gweithfeydd (gweler paragraff 7.5.2 [APP-415]).</p> <p>Byddai IACC ac NRW hefyd yn ymwneud â nodi manyleb y gwaith hwn ar ôl caniatâd, a phennu unrhyw "waith modelu ac asesu ychwanegol a fyddai'n cael ei wneud i gefnogi datblygiad y cynllun wrth iddo aeddfedu".</p> <p>Gwahoddir IACC ac NRW i wneud sylwadau ar: b'un a ydynt o'r farn y byddai'n briodol i'r materion hyn gael eu gohirio tan gyfnod diweddarach; a, ph'un a oes digon o sicrwydd y byddant yn cael eu darparu trwy ddarpariaethau'r Gorchymyn Caniatâd Datblygu drafft (dDCO) ai peidio.</p>
<b>Q1.0.7</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	<p>Paragraff 1.6.192 <i>Cyfeirnod Cais: 6.4.1 ES Cyfrol D – WNDA Datblygiad D1 – Datblygiad Arfaethedig</i> [REF] O ran plu ager o'r chwe boeler ategol; pa mor aml y byddai'r plu mwy o faint (er enghraifft, hyd at 100m o hyd) yn amlwg? A faint o blu mwy y gellid disgwyl iddynt ddigwydd/bod yn weladwy ar unrhyw un adeg?</p>
<b>Q1.0.8</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Ymatebwch i ymholiad Bwrdd Iechyd Prifysgol Betsi Cadwaladr [RR-076] ynglŷn â sut y byddai'r datblygwr yn sicrhau na fyddai ansawdd aer lleol yn dirywio (yn y tymor byr neu'r tymor hir) a sut y bydd hyn yn cael ei fesur, ei ddilysu a'i werthuso.</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q1.0.9</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Ymatebwch i'r pryderon a fynegwyd gan gynrychiolwyr (gan gynnwys RR-051, RR-52, RR-97, RR-104, RR-002, RR-018, RR-050, RR-054, RR-060 ac RR-063) ynglŷn â'r posibilrwydd o effeithiau o ganlyniad i sŵn, llwch/ansawdd aer, goleuadau a 'llygredd' cyffredinol.
<b>2.</b>	<b>Bioamrywiaeth</b>		
<b>Q2.0.1</b>	IACC ac NRW	WF	A yw IACC ac NRW yn fodlon bod yr asesiadau gwaelodlin ar gyfer Priddoedd a Daeareg ym Mhennod B7 [APP-072] ac Ecoleg Ddaearol a Dŵr Croyw ym Mhennod B9 [APP-074] yn ddigonol?
<b>Q2.0.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio pa mor hyderus ydyw bod y waelodlin ecolegol, sydd wedi'i seilio'n bennaf ar arolygon ecolegol a gynhaliwyd yn 2016 neu cyn hynny, yn gadarn ac yn addas i lywio'r asesiad o effeithiau?
<b>Q2.0.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod A2 [APP-056] paragraff 2.6.1 yn datgan na fyddai'r cyfleuster storio gweddillion tanwydd yn dechrau tan flwyddyn 15. A yw'r Ymgeisydd yn bwriadu cynnal arolygon cyn-adeiladu cyn hyn?
<b>Q2.0.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Yn Nhabl 9-7, Pennod B9 [APP-074], tudalen B9-34, mae'r Ymgeisydd yn datgan bod y dull o reoli lefel y dŵr yn Lagŵn Cemlyn yn parhau i gael ei ystyried. A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio p'un a yw hyn yn angenrheidiol o hyd i liniaru effeithiau arwyddocaol, ac oherwydd bod Bae Cemlyn y tu allan i safle'r cais, sut y byddai'r mesurau hyn yn cael eu sicrhau?
<b>Q2.0.5</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Yn Nhabl 9-7 (Pennod B9, tudalen B9-35), a all yr Ymgeisydd roi diweddariad ar y rhaglen o fonitro proffiliau traeth a newidiadau lefel dŵr o fewn Bae Cemlyn?
<b>Q2.0.6</b>	NRW ac IACC	WA	A yw NRW/IACC yn fodlon â'r trefniadau prydles 15 mlynedd a ddisgrifir ym

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			Mhennod B9 paragraff 9.3.8 ar gyfer yr ardaloedd lliniaru ecolegol?
<b>Q2.0.7</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	<p>Mae Pennod B9 paragraff 9.4.27 yn esbonio y bydd barn broffesiynol yn cael ei defnyddio i ddod i gasgliadau ynglŷn ag arwyddocâd. Fodd bynnag, mae'n ymddangos bod rhai anghysondebau wrth weithredu'r fethodoleg hon ym Mhennod D9, e.e.:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mae paragraff 9.5.152 yn nodi newid bach i gen o ganlyniad i golli cynefin ac yn dod i'r casgliad y byddai'r effaith yn ddibwys. Priodolir gwerth 'canolig' i gen yn Nhabl D9-5. Mae'r raddfa arwyddocâd yn Ffigur B1-2 yn dangos y dylai'r cyfuniad hwn arwain at effeithiau bach/cymedrol.</li> <li>• Mae paragraffau 9.5.158-159 yn datgan y byddai llawer o infertebratau'n marw o ganlyniad i glirio llystyfiant ac uwchbridd ac yn sgil cael eu denu i olau. Ac eto, ystyrir bod hyn yn newid bach.</li> <li>• Mae paragraff 9.5.216 yn amlygu'r posibilrwydd o golli poblogaeth gyfan o Frain Coesgoch sy'n bridio, ac eto ystyrir ei fod yn newid canolig yn unig ym mharagraff 9.5.217.</li> <li>• Mae paragraff 9.5.359 yn amlygu newid bach o ran colli a darnio cynefin gwiwerod coch ac yn dod i'r casgliad y byddai'r effaith yn ddibwys. Priodolir gwerth 'canolig' i wiwerod yn Nhabl D9-5. Mae'r raddfa arwyddocâd yn Ffigur B1-2 yn dangos y dylai'r cyfuniad hwn arwain at effeithiau bach/cymedrol.</li> <li>• Mae paragraff 9.5.372 yn nodi newid 'mawr' o ran darnio cynefin draenogod, ac eto mae paragraff 9.5.374 yn israddio'r newid hwnnw i 'fach' heb esboniad.</li> </ul> <p>A all yr Ymgeisydd egluro'r anghysondebau hyn?</p>
<b>Q2.0.8</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Sut byddai'r 'ardaloedd eraill' a amlygir ym Mhennod D9 [APP-128]



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			paragraff 9.3.30 yn cael eu sicrhau yn yr MPSSSCoCP [APP-415]?
<b>Q2.0.9</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Sut byddai'r clustogfeydd o amgylch Twmpath y Fonesig Sylvia Crowe, a ddisgrifir ym Mhennod D9 paragraff 9.4.5, yn cael eu sicrhau?
<b>Q2.0.10</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Sut byddai dim gweithio yn ystod y nos tra bod y safle'n cael ei glirio, a ddisgrifir ym Mhennod D9 paragraff 9.4.7, yn cael ei sicrhau?
<b>Q2.0.11</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D9 paragraff 9.4.18 yn cyfeirio at atodiad D8-8 Crynodeb o ddyluniad rhagarweiniol ar gyfer adeiladu system ddraenio dŵr wyneb [APP-167]. A ddylid cyfeirio at y ddogfen hon yn yr MPSSSCoCP?
<b>Q2.0.12</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D9 paragraff 9.4.24 yn cyfeirio at arolygon cyn-adeiladu a fanylir yn yr MPSSSCoCP. A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio ble mae'r rhain a sut y byddent yn cael eu sicrhau?
<b>Q2.0.13</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D9 paragraff 9.4.53 yn manylu ar y mesurau draenio sydd wedi'u cynnwys yn yr MPSSSCoCP [APP-415]. A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau ble mae'r rhain wedi'u manylu?
<b>Q2.0.14</b>	NRW	WA	A yw NRW yn fodlon â'r mesurau lliniaru a ddisgrifir yn y Map Llwybr Lliniaru [APP-422] Eitem 0209 ar gyfer diogelu ansawdd dŵr wyneb yn Safle o Ddiddordeb Gwyddonol Arbennig (SoDdGA) Tre'r Gof?
<b>Q2.0.15</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Nid yw NRW yn cytuno â modelu hydroddaearegol yr Ymgeisydd ar gyfer Tre'r Gof ac mae'n credu y gallai dad-ddyfrio achosi difrod sylweddol. Beth yw ymateb yr Ymgeisydd?
<b>Q2.0.16</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac NRW	WA	Beth fyddai'r effeithiau ar SoDdGA Tre'r Gof os na ellir gwella'r system ddraenio o gymharu â'r waelodlin, ac a ellir cynnwys gwelliannau pellach yn

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			y datblygiad awdurdodedig?
<b>Q2.0.17</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Ym Mhennod D9 Tabl D9-11, cynigir monitro botanegol tymor hir ar gyfer SoDdGA Tre'r Gof. A all yr Ymgeisydd roi mwy o fanylion ynglŷn â ble y cyflwynir y monitro?
<b>Q2.0.18</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D9 paragraff 9.5.50 yn amlygu nifer o fesurau a weithredir i ddiogelu SoDdGA Tre'r Gof. A all yr Ymgeisydd nodi ble mae'r rhain i gyd wedi'u sicrhau yn yr MPSSSCoCP?
<b>Q2.0.19</b>	NRW	WA	Yn ei RR paragraff 4.4.1 [RR-088], mae NRW o'r farn na roddwyd ystyriaeth ddigonol i liniaru uniongyrchol yn SoDdGA Tre'r Gof. A all NRW awgrymu unrhyw fesurau uniongyrchol ychwanegol i ddiogelu'r SoDdGA?
<b>Q2.0.20</b>	IACC ac NRW	WA	A yw IACC ac NRW yn fodlon y byddai'r trefniadau monitro ansawdd aer a ddisgrifir yn Adrannau 7.5 a 7.6 yr MPSSSCoCP yn ddigonol i warchod SoDdGA Tre'r Gof?
<b>Q2.0.21</b>	NRW, NWWT a'r RSPB	WA	A yw NRW, NWWT a'r RSPB yn cytuno y byddai creu a rheoli glaswelltir porfa fer rhywogaeth gyfoethog a brithwaith o laswelltir/rhostir arfordirol fel y disgrifiwyd ym Mhennod 8.16 [APP-424] paragraffau 6.5.7 a 6.5.12 a rheoli Twmpath A fel y disgrifiwyd yn 5.4.12 yn ddigonol i ddarparu lle delfrydol i Frain Coesgoch chwilota am fwyd?
<b>Q2.0.22</b>	NRW, NWWT, RSPB	WA	A yw NRW, NWWT a'r RSPB yn cytuno y byddai'r mesurau lliniaru a ddisgrifir yn yr MPSSSCoCP 8.3.3 yn ddigonol i warchod safleoedd nythu Brain Coesgoch rhag sŵn adeiladu?
<b>Q2.0.23</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	A all yr Ymgeisydd nodi ble yn y Strategaeth Rheoli Tirwedd a Chynefinoedd a'r MPSSSCoCP y rhoddir manylion monitro Brain Coesgoch fel y disgrifir

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			ym Mhennod D9 Tabl D9-10 tudalen 133?
<b>Q2.0.24</b>	NRW	WA	A yw NRW yn fodlon y gellid sicrhau trawsleoli ymlusgiaid (Pennod D9 paragraffau 9.5.189 – 190 a pharagraff 9.5.337) trwy drwydded lliniaru rhywogaethau, neu a ddylai mwy o fanylion gael eu cynnwys yn yr MPSSSCoCP?
<b>Q2.0.25</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Sut byddai'r mesurau ym Mhennod D9 paragraff 9.5.247 i warchod clwydi tylluanod gwynion yn cael eu sicrhau?
<b>Q2.0.26</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Sut byddai'r mesurau i warchod ystlumod, ym Mhennod D9 paragraffau 9.5.294, 9.5.295, 9.5.313 a 9.5.317 yn cael eu sicrhau?
<b>Q2.0.27</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D9 paragraff 9.5.326 yn datgan y byddai pob cwlferf parhaol o ddiamedr priodol ar gyfer dyfrgwn ac yn cynnwys sarnau mamaliaid. Sut byddai hyn yn cael ei sicrhau?
<b>Q2.0.28</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D9 paragraff 9.5.340 yn datgan y byddai'r gwaith adlinio ar gyfer Nant Caerdegog Isaf (Cwrs Dŵr 13) yn cael ei raglennu oddeutu 12 mis cyn ôl-lenwi. Sut byddai hyn yn cael ei sicrhau yn adran 10.2 yr MPSSSCoCP?
<b>Q2.0.29</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D9 paragraff 9.5.350 yn trafod mesurau lliniaru ar ffurf trawsleoli llygod y dŵr. Sut byddai hyn yn cael ei sicrhau yn yr MPSSSCoCP?
<b>Q2.0.30</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D9 paragraff 9.5.486 yn rhoi manylion darpariaethau i liniaru newidiadau posibl mewn ansawdd dŵr yn ystod y cam adeiladu. A yw'r Ymgeisydd yn cynnig unrhyw fesurau lliniaru penodol yn ystod y cam gweithredol?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q2.0.31</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D9 paragraff 9.7.23 yn amlygu SoDdGAau Cors Gwawr a Chae Canol-dydd fel Safleoedd Digolledu Ecolegol. A yw'r Ymgeisydd wedi cynnal asesiadau gwaelodlin o'r safleoedd hyn i farnu llwyddiant tebygol y mesurau digolledu?
<b>Q2.0.32</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D9 Tabl D9-10 yn datgan ar dudalen 133 bod "Monitro wedi'i fanylu ...yn y Strategaeth Rheoli Tirwedd a Chynefinoedd", ac ar dudalen 140 "Byddai cynefinoedd yn cael eu monitro ac arolygon rhywogaethau'n cael eu cynnal ... yn y Strategaeth Rheoli Ecoleg a Thirwedd". A all yr Ymgeisydd egluro ble y cyflwynir y strategaeth?
<b>Q2.0.33</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D9 tabl D9-10 ar dudalen 136 yn datgan y byddai'r ardal wella oddi ar y safle yn cael ei sefydlu cyn i'r Gwaith Paratoi a Chlirio'r Safle ddechrau. Sut byddai hyn yn cael ei sicrhau?
<b>Q2.0.34</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D9 tabl D9-10 ar dudalen 137 yn datgan "Byddai llystyfiant, uwchbridd a waliau cerrig sych yn cael eu clirio mewn modd cyfeiriadol i annog symudiad mamaliaid nodedig". Sut byddai hyn yn cael ei sicrhau?
<b>Q2.0.35</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D9 tabl D9-10 yn datgan ar dudalen 140 y byddai gwiwerod coch o fewn Twmpath y Fonesig Sylvia Crowe yn cael eu monitro'n flynyddol yn ystod y cam adeiladu. A all yr ymgeisydd egluro ble y cyflwynir y strategaeth fonitro?
<b>Q2.0.36</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae paragraff 4.4.1 yr MPSSSCoOP [APP-415] yn datgan "Bydd goleuadau adeiladu'n cael eu dylunio i leihau gwawl awyr, golau tanbaid a gollyngiad golau ar dderbynyddion sensitif". Heblaw am Dwmpath E, ni roddir lefelau lwcs uchaf. A yw'r Ymgeisydd yn bwriadu datblygu strategaeth oleuo?

<b>Cyfeirnod</b>	<b>Ymatebwr:</b>	<b>Lleoliad:</b>	<b>Cwestiwn:</b>
<b>Q2.0.37</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	A ddylai'r dogfennau strategaeth digolledu SoDdGA (Atodiadau D9-23 [APP-190] a D9-24, APP-191]) fod yn ddogfennau ardystiedig y cyfeirir atynt yn y dDCO?
<b>Q2.0.38</b>	RSPB	Q	Yn ei RR [RR-084], mae'r RSPB yn cyfeirio at bapur Opsiynau Ecolegol ar y cyd sy'n amlinellu cyfres o argymhellion ar gyfer safleoedd Natura 2000. A all yr RSPB ddarparu copi o'r papur?
<b>Q2.0.39</b>	RSPB, yr Ymddiriedolaeth Genedlaethol (NT) ac Ymddiriedolaeth Bywyd Gwyllt Gogledd Cymru (NWWT)	WA	Yn ei RR, mae'r RSPB yn pryderu bod y mesurau a ddewiswyd i warchod y nythfa Môr-wenoliaid yn ddiffygiol. Pa fesurau mae'r RSPB/NT/NWWT yn eu hawgrymu nad yw'r Ymgeisydd wedi ymrwymo iddynt?
<b>Q2.0.40</b>	NT	WF	Yn ei RR [RR-053], mae NT yn datgan ei bod yn pryderu ynglŷn â'r diffyg manylion mewn perthynas â monitro amgylcheddol. A all NT ymhelaethu ar y pryderon hyn?
<b>Q2.0.41</b>	IACC	WF	Yn ei RR [APP-020] paragraff 5.7.2, mae IACC yn mynegi pryder nad yw'r effeithiau ar yr amgylchedd naturiol wedi derbyn sylw'n briodol. A all IACC ymhelaethu ar y pryderon hyn?
<b>Q2.0.42</b>	IACC	ADB	Yn ei RR, mae gan IACC bryderon o hyd ynglŷn ag effeithiau ar ecoleg, gan gynnwys llygod y dŵr. A all IACC ymhelaethu ar y pryderon hyn?
<b>Q2.0.43</b>	NRW	WF	Yn ei RR [RR-088] paragraff 4.5.1, mae NRW yn datgan nad yw hyd y cyfnod monitro ôl-adeiladu'n foddhaol. Pa hyd fyddai'n foddhaol ym marn NRW?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q2.0.44</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D7 [APP-126] paragraff 7.4.6 yn datgan "os bydd angen unrhyw ollyngfeydd dŵr budr newydd yn ystod y cam adeiladu neu weithredu, ni fyddent yn effeithio ar unrhyw safleoedd o bwysigrwydd daearegol". Sut byddai hyn yn cael ei sicrhau?
<b>Q2.0.45</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D7 paragraff 7.4.7 yn tybio "byddai'r bibell ollwng newydd yn defnyddio'r sianel goncrit hon cyn belled ag y bo'n ymarferol, ac felly byddai unrhyw waith cloddio yn y ddaeareg leol yn gyfyngedig." Sut byddai hyn yn cael ei sicrhau?
<b>Q2.0.46</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADB	Mae Pennod F7 [APP-272] paragraff 7.4.21 yn datgan y byddai strategaethau atal llygredd yn cael eu rhoi ar waith yn ystod y cam gweithredu yn unol ag adran 10 Cod Ymarfer Gweithredol Wylfa Newydd. A ddylid cyfeirio hefyd at Adran 10.3 yr is-CoCP Parcio a Theithio [APP-418]?
<b>Q2.0.47</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	Mae Pennod G7 [APP-310] paragraff 7.4.12 yn tybio y byddai uwchbridd ac isbridd a gliriwyd o'r ardal digolledu llifogydd yn cael eu hadfer i'r ardal ar ôl cloddio'r ddaeareg waelodol i ostwng y dirffurf. Sut byddai hyn yn cael ei sicrhau?
<b>Q2.0.48</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	Mae Pennod G7 paragraff 7.4.13 yn datgan y byddai uchder y twmpathau storio uwchbridd yn cael ei gyfyngu i 2m. Sut byddai hyn yn cael ei sicrhau?
<b>Q2.0.49</b>	NRW ac IACC	ADD	A yw NRW ac IACC yn cytuno â'r tybiaethau a wnaed ym Mhennod G9 [APP-312] paragraffau 9.3.4- 9.3.8 ynglŷn â derbynyddion ecolegol, gan fod y data arolwg yn dod o ddwy flynedd yn ôl?
<b>Q2.0.50</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	Mae Pennod G9 paragraff 9.4.4 yn nodi bod gwybodaeth am glirio'r safle wedi'i dangos yn ffigurau G1-2a i G1-2j ((Cyfeirnod y Cais: 6.7.48). A

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			ddylai'r rhain fod yn y Llyfryn?
<b>Q2.0.51</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	A all yr Ymgeisydd egluro pam, ym Mhennod G9 Tablau G9-10 a G9-11, yr ystyriwyd newidiadau i sŵn a dirgryniad mewn perthynas ag ystlumod, dyfrgwn a physgod yn ystod y cam adeiladu, ond dim ond mewn perthynas ag ystlumod yn ystod y cam gweithredu?
<b>Q2.0.52</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau a oes posibilrwydd y gallai'r gwaith ar yr A5025 gael unrhyw effeithiau cyfunol ar dderbynyddion ecolegol?
<b>Q2.0.53</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	Mae Pennod G9 paragraff 9.5.47 yn cyfeirio at ffens lleihau sŵn ar hyd croesfan Afon Alaw. A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau ble y byddai hyn yn cael ei sicrhau yn y Cod Ymarfer Adeiladu?
<b>Q2.0.54</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	Mewn perthynas â gwrthdrawiadau cerbydau yn ystod y cam gweithredu, mae Pennod G9 yn cyfeirio at "fesurau ymgorfforedig, a ddisgrifir yn CoOP Wylfa Newydd....a fyddai'n darparu llwybr diogel o dan y ffordd." (e.e. paragraff 9.5.469.5.64). A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau ble y byddai hyn yn cael ei sicrhau yn y Cod Ymarfer Adeiladu?
<b>Q2.0.55</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau ble y byddai'r holl strategaethau i ddiogelu rhywogaethau a warchodir ac a reolir yn gyfreithlon yn Atodiad G9-10 [APP-334] yn cael eu sicrhau?
<b>Q2.0.56</b>	NRW	ADD	A yw NRW yn fodlon y byddai'r darpariaethau cynefinoedd a'r monitro ôl-adeiladu ar gyfer Madfallod Dŵr Cribog mewn pyllau newydd, yn Nhabl G9-17, yn cael eu sicrhau trwy'r Drwydded Madfallod Dŵr Cribog?
<b>Q2.0.57</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau ble y byddai monitro blychau ystlumod ar ôl adeiladu, fel y disgrifir yn Nhabl G9-10, yn cael ei sicrhau?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q2.0.58</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	Ym Mhennod G9, mae'r tir a gymerir dros dro ar gyfer rhannau 3, 5, a 7 yn llai na hwnnw a gymerir yn barhaol. Yn ogystal, nid yw'r ardaloedd cynefin a gollir, a restrir yn Nhablau G9-12 i 16, bob amser yn cyfateb i'r cynefin a gollir yn barhaol neu dros dro a fanylir yn nhestun Pennod G9. A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau cyfanswm y tir a gymerir dros dro ac yn barhaol ar gyfer y gwaith ar yr A5025?
<b>Q2.0.59</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau cyfanswm y cynefin a ddarperir ar gyfer Madfallod Dŵr Cribog yn Rhan 3, gan nad yw'n eglur p'un a yw'r pyllau a ddisgrifir ym Mhennod G9 paragraffau 9.6.5 a 9.6.6 yr un peth.
<b>Q2.0.60</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	A all yr Ymgeisydd egluro sut y byddai'r mesurau lliniaru a ddisgrifir ym Mhennod G9 paragraffau 9.6.5, 9.6.6 a 9.6.10 yn cael eu sicrhau?
<b>Q2.0.61</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau bod y Cynllun Tirwedd yn Atodiad G10-9 [APP-344] a gofynion dDCO OH9 ac OH10 [APP-029] yn bodloni'r gwelliannau ar gyfer rhywogaethau a grwpiau a ddisgrifir ym Mhennod G9 paragraffau 9.7.6 a 9.7.7, ac nid dim ond ar gyfer llygod y dŵr?
<b>Q2.0.62</b>	NRW	ADD	A all NRW egluro pam mae'n awgrymu bod angen i'r tir a amlygwyd ar gyfer mesurau lliniaru a/neu ddigolledu gael ei fonitro a'i reoli yn y tymor hir i sicrhau na fyddai'r prosiect yn niweidiol i gynnal a chadw Statws Cadwraeth Ffafirol rhywogaethau yr effeithir arnynt?
<b>Q2.0.63</b>	NRW	WF	Mae paragraff 4.4.3 yr MPSSSCoCP [APP-415] yn datgan na fyddai unrhyw oleuadau'n cael eu defnyddio ar gyfer yr Ardaloedd Gemau Amldefnydd (MUGAs) ar ôl 21:00 o'r gloch yn ystod misoedd y gaeaf i atal golau rhag tresmasu ar ysgubor ystlumod Tyn-y-Maes a'r ardal liniaru ecolegol gerllaw. O ystyried y byddai'n tywyllu'n gynharach na hynny yn ystod misoedd y



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			gaeaf, a ydych chi'n credu bod y cyrffyw 21:00 arfaethedig yn briodol neu a ddylai fod yn gynharach? Os felly, pa amser?
<b>Q2.0.64</b>	NRW and IACC	WF	A yw'r lefelau sbardun ar gyfer llwch wrth dderbynyddion ecolegol a amlinellir ym mharagraff 7.6.6 yr MPSSSCoCP[APP-415] yn briodol? Os ydych chi'n credu y dylid defnyddio lefelau sbardun gwahanol, rhwch fanylion/esboniad pellach.
<b>Q2.0.65</b>	NRW ac IACC	WF	Mae paragraff 7.6.9 yr MPSSSCoCP [APP-415] yn nodi'r camau a fyddai'n cael eu cymryd pe byddai'r lefelau sbardun yn cael eu croesi. Nid yw'r rhestr hon yn cynnwys gofyniad i roi'r gorau i weithio ar hyn o bryd – a ddylai gynnwys gofyniad o'r fath ac, os felly, pam?
<b>Q2.0.66</b>	NRW	ADB	A allwch chi gadarnhau eich bod yn fodlon â'r mesurau arfaethedig i ymdrin â moch daear, ystlumod a llygod y dŵr fel yr amlinellir yn adran 11 yr Is-CoCP Parcio a Theithio [APP-418]?
<b>3.</b>	<b>Newid yn yr Hinsawdd a Chydnerthedd</b>		
<b>Q3.0.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Ymdrinnir â'r newid yn yr hinsawdd ac ymaddasu yn Adran 5.6 y Datganiad Cynaliadwyedd [APP-426], ond nid yw'n ymddangos bod yr ymagwedd yn cydymffurfio'n llawn â gofynion EN-1 ac EN-6. Mae Adran 5.6 yn esbonio sut y byddai'r prosiect yn helpu i leihau effeithiau'r newid yn yr hinsawdd ac yn darparu mesurau lliniaru yn ystod y cam adeiladu, ond nid oes cymaint o fanylion am ymaddasu. A all yr Ymgeisydd ddangos sut y byddai paragraffau 4.8.6 - 4.8.8, 4.8.10 a 4.8.12 EN1 yn cael eu bodloni?
<b>Q3.0.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADA	Yn yr adroddiad Carbon ac Ynni [APP-423], Methodoleg Ôl Troed Carbon, mae Ffigur 4-4 yn dangos bod Adeiladu'n cynnwys gweithrediad y Campws, ond mae Tabl 4-1 yn cynnwys defnydd o ynni gan beiriannau adeiladu yn unig. Mae Ffigur 5-5 yn cynnwys y Campws. A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			ble yr ymdriniwyd ag effeithiau gweithredol y Campws?
<b>Q3.0.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC	A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio sut mae ymchwydd storm posibl o ganlyniad i'r newid yn yr hinsawdd wedi derbyn sylw er mwyn diogelu'r Cyfleuster Dadlwytho Morol (MOLF) a'r Orsaf Bŵer?
<b>4.</b>	<b>Gorchymyn Caniatâd Datblygu</b>		
	<b>Rhan 1</b>		<b>RHAGARWEINIOL</b>
	<b>Rhan 2</b>		<b>PRIF BWERAU</b>
<b>Q4.0.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<b><u>Erthygl 4 – Terfynau Gwyro</u></b> Cyfiawnhewch yr hyblygrwydd a ddarperir gan yr erthygl hon.
<b>Q4.0.2</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	WF	<b><u>Erthygl 5 – Effaith y Gorchymyn ar y Caniatâd Paratoi Safle</u></b>  A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn, fel yr Awdurdod Cynllunio Lleol (ACLI), yn fodlon â'r mecanweithiau a gynigiwyd i alluogi rhyngwyneb rhwng y caniatâd cynllunio ar gyfer gwaith paratoi'r safle ac awdurdodiad o dan y DCO ar gyfer Gwaith Rhif 12?
<b>Q4.0.3</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	WF	<b><u>Erthygl 5 – Effaith y Gorchymyn ar y Caniatâd Paratoi Safle</u></b> A yw'r gofynion a bennir yn Atodlen 3 yn cyfateb i'r amodau cynllunio?
<b>Q4.0.4</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	WF	<b><u>Erthygl 5 – Effaith y Gorchymyn ar y Caniatâd Paratoi Safle</u></b> A fyddai unrhyw ganlyniadau anfwriadol i allu'r ACLI i orfodi/a fyddai unrhyw ansicrwydd? – e.e. os yw Horizon yn cyflwyno hysbysiad i Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn yn ei hysbysu ei fod yn bwriadu ymgymryd â gwaith paratoi a chlirio'r safle a'i gwblhau o dan y DCO ac felly byddai <u>holl</u> amodau'r caniatâd cynllunio yn dod yn anorfodadwy, a oes unrhyw amodau y mae angen iddynt fod ag oes y tu hwnt i'r caniatâd cynllunio?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
Q4.0.5	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<b><u>Erthygl 6 - Cynnal a chadw'r datblygiad awdurdodedig</u></b> Cyfiawnhewch yr hyblygrwydd a ddarperir gan yr erthygl hon.
Q4.0.6	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	<b><u>Erthygl 10 - Amddiffyniad i achosion mewn perthynas â niwsans statudol</u></b>  A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn, fel Awdurdod Iechyd yr Amgylchedd, yn fodlon â'r amddiffyniad a ddarperir gan erthygl 10 – h.y. bod mesurau lliniaru digonol ar gyfer niwsans a achosir gan sŵn, llwch, dirgryndod, llwch neu oleuadau a gynigir gan y Codau Ymarfer Adeiladu (CoCPs).
Q4.0.7	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	<b><u>Erthygl 10 – Amddiffyniad i achosion mewn perthynas â niwsans statudol</u></b> A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn, fel Awdurdod Iechyd yr Amgylchedd, yn dymuno gwneud sylwadau ar y Datganiad o Niwsansau Statudol (APP-052)
	<b>Rhan 3</b>		<b>STRYDOEDD</b>
Q4.0.8	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn/ Yr Awdurdod Priffyrdd	Q	Cadarnhewch fod yr holl strydoedd a restrwyd yn rhannau 1 a 2 o Atodlen 5 (Strydoedd sy'n destun newid cynllun) yn gywir?
Q4.0.9	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn a'r Ymgeisydd	Q	<b><u>Erthygl 11 - Pŵer i newid cynllun ac ati strydoedd a Gwaith Stryd</u></b> <b><u>Erthygl 12</u></b>  11 (5) a 12 (3) (a) A all yr ymgeisydd gadarnhau a yw'r diwrnodau y cyfeirir atynt yn ddiwrnodau gwaith neu'n ddiwrnodau olynol? (b) A chynhaliwyd trafodaethau, ac a ddaethpwyd i gytundeb gyda Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn, fel awdurdod priffyrdd lleol, ynglŷn â chaniatâd tybiedig ar gyfer ceisiadau ar ôl 56 diwrnod?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q4.0.10</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	A ydych chi'n ystyried ei bod yn angenrheidiol cau/diddymu'r strydoedd a restrwyd yn Atodlen 6?
<b>Q4.0.11</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn a Phartion â Buddiant	Q	A ydych chi o'r farn fod cau neu ddileu mynedfeydd preifat a restrwyd yn Atodlen 7 yn angenrheidiol?  Os nad ydych, rhestrwch y strydoedd perthnasol na ddylid eu cynnwys ac esboniwch pam?
<b>Q4.0.12</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn a'r Ymgeisydd	Q	<b>Erthygl 16 - Cau Strydoedd Dros Dro ac Erthygl 18 – Mynedfa i Waith</b>  A yw'r 28 diwrnod y cyfeirir atynt yn 16 (8) ac 18(2) yn ddiwrnodau gwaith neu'n ddiwrnodau olynol?  A oes trafodaethau wedi'u cynnal gyda Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn, ac a ddaethpwyd i gytundeb gyda'r Cyngor, fel yr awdurdod priffyrdd lleol, ynglŷn â chaniatâd tybiedig ar gyfer ceisiadau ar ôl 28 diwrnod yn 16(8) o gofio y byddai hyn y tu allan i'r broses statudol arferol?  O ystyried y diffiniad o 'stryd' o dan Erthygl 2 (Dehongli) pa 'hawliau tramwy cyhoeddus eraill' y gellir eu cau dros dro? A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn yn fodlon y byddai hyn yn angenrheidiol?
<b>Q4.0.13</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Chyngor Ynys Môn	Q	A oes unrhyw wahaniaethau rhwng y cyfyngiadau arfaethedig a'r rheiny a fyddai'n berthnasol o dan Ddeddf Rheoleiddio Traffig Ffyrdd 1984? Os oes, sut maent yn cymharu?
<b>Q4.0.14</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Phartion â	Q	<b>Erthygl 17 - Defnyddio Ffyrdd Preifat ar gyfer Adeiladu</b>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
	Buddiant		<p>17 – Pa ffyrdd preifat y bwriedir eu defnyddio o fewn terfynau'r gorchymyn yn ystod y cyfnod adeiladu ac a oes trafodaethau wedi'u cynnal, ac a daethpwyd i gytundeb gyda pherchnogion y ffyrdd hyn ynglŷn â'u defnyddio?</p> <p>A all yr ymgeisydd gadarnhau y byddai materion gwahanu ardaloedd/ mynediad?</p>
<b>Q4.0.15</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	<p><b><u>Erthygl 19 - Adeiladu a Chynnal a chadw strydoedd newydd a strydoedd a newidiwyd</u></b></p> <p>19 – A oes trafodaethau wedi'u cynnal, ac a daethpwyd i gytundeb ynglŷn â'r cynigion cynnal a chadw 12 mis arfaethedig ar ôl cwblhau?</p>
	<b>Rhan 4</b>		<b>PWERAU ATODOL</b>
	<b>Rhan 5</b>		<b>PWERAU CAFFAEL</b>
<b>Q4.0.16</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mewn perthynas â Chaffael Gorfodol a chan gyfeirio at y sylwadau gan Magnox Limited [RR-013], a oes unrhyw wrthdaro posibl wedi'i nodi gyda'r Drwydded Safle Niwclear a roddwyd o dan y Ddeddf Safleoedd Niwclear?
<b>Q4.0.17</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Gan gyfeirio at y sylwadau gan SP Energy Networks [RR-014], rhowch ddiweddariad ar y cyd-drafodion mewn perthynas â'r darpariaethau amddiffynnol arfaethedig o fewn Atodlen 15. Mae hyn i gynnwys diweddariadau mewn perthynas â chyd-drafodion ag SP Energy Networks ac unrhyw bartion eraill. Wrth wneud hynny, a wnewch chi gadarnhau unrhyw ddiwygiadau arfaethedig i'r darpariaethau amddiffynnol drafft o fewn Atodlen 15.

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q4.0.18</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Ymatebwch i'r materion a godwyd mewn perthynas â chaffael gorfodol gan Davis Meade Property Consultants ar ran MW, EW, & M Harper [RR-048].
<b>Q4.0.19</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Ymatebwch i'r materion a godwyd mewn perthynas â chaffael gorfodol gan Davis Meade Property Consultants ar ran Meistri G ac I Hughes [RR-122].
<b>Q4.0.20</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Ymatebwch i faterion a godwyd yn y sylwadau gan yr Ymddiriedolaeth Genedlaethol [RR-053], ynglŷn â thir yr Ymddiriedolaeth Genedlaethol a Chaffael Gorfodol arfaethedig. Cyfeiriwch ymhle yn y cais y mae gwybodaeth wedi'i darparu ynghylch a yw tir yr Ymddiriedolaeth Genedlaethol yn destun Caffael Gorfodol arfaethedig.
<b>Q4.0.21</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Ymatebwch i'r materion a godwyd gan Lywodraeth Cymru [RR-092] mewn perthynas â nodi Tir y Goron a'r tir y cyfeiriwyd ato yn y sylwadau.
<b>Q4.0.22</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADC	Ymatebwch i'r materion a godwyd gan Network Rail [RR-089] mewn perthynas â'r Caffael Gorfodol arfaethedig o'r hawliau/tir y cyfeiriwyd atynt yn y sylwadau.
<b>Q4.0.23</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mewn perthynas â'r Llyfr Cyfeirio, [Rhif Cyfeirnod Cais 4.3 Rhannau 1, 2 a 3], gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd ddarparu Atodlen Gwrthwynebiadau Caffael Gorfodol wedi'i chwblhau. (Gweler yr enghraifft yn Atodiad A y ddogfen hon)
<b>Q4.0.24</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	I Gaffael Gorfodol gael ei gynnwys mewn DCO, mae a.122 Deddf 2008 yn datgan bod rhaid bodloni'r amodau yn a.122 (2) a (3). Eglurwch y cyfeirnod coll (datgenir ar hyn o bryd fel " <b>Error! Reference source not found</b> ") ym mharagraff 9.2.4 o'r Datganiad Rhesymau (APP-032).
<b>Q4.0.25</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae Rheoliad 6(2) o Offeryn Statudol 2015 Rhif.462 (" <i>Rheoliadau Cynllunio</i> ")

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<i>Seilwaith (Partion â Buddiant a Darpariaethau Rhagnodedig Amrywiol 2015</i> ) yn datgan bod rhaid gweithredu caffaeliad gorfodol o fewn 5 mlynedd trwy gyflwyno 'hysbysiad i drafod telerau' o dan adran 5 Deddf Prynu Gorfodol 1965. Darparwch fanylion am sut fyddai'r ymgeisydd yn talu cost y caffaeliad gorfodol arfaethedig o fewn y raddfa amser honno.
<b>Q4.0.26</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae Erthygl 28(2) yn datgan "..., <i>nid oes unrhyw beth yn y paragraff hwn yn atal yr ymgwymerwr rhag parhau â meddiant o dir ar ôl diwedd y cyfnod hwnnw, os...</i> ". Rhowch fwy o eglurder ynghylch diben a bwriad y ddarpariaeth hon.
<b>Q4.0.27</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Cadarnhewch ddiben cynnwys, a'r rheswm dros gynnwys Erthygl 29 (6)(a).
<b>Q4.0.28</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae'r Memorandwm Esboniadol yn amlinellu diben Erthygl 31. A yw hyn yn rhoi digon o sicrwydd i'r rheiny a fyddai'n destun caffael gorfodol? Os nad ydyw, pam?
<b>Q4.0.29</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae Erthyglau 31 a 32 wedi'u cyfyngu i 'isbridd', a defnyddir y term mewn man arall yn y DCO drafft. A ddylid diffinio 'isbridd' o dan Erthygl 2? Os mai 'isbridd' yw'r pridd sydd yn uniongyrchol o dan y pridd wyneb (neu uwchbridd), a oes sicrwydd ynglŷn â'r deunydd o dan lain 88 ac mewn lleoliadau eraill? Os nad oes, a ddylai'r drafft gynnwys '...craig a/neu isbridd...'?
<b>Q4.0.30</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Darparwch fanylion pellach, yng nghyd-destun y Memorandwm Esboniadol, am yr ymagwedd a amlinellir yn Erthyglau 33(6)&(9) a goblygiadau posibl penderfyniad o'r fath o ran cyflawni'r datblygiad arfaethedig.
<b>Q4.0.31</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae Erthygl 35(4) yn cynnwys y canlynol "... <i>rhaid i'r ymgwymerwr naill ai gaffael y tir o dan baragraff 1(a) neu,...</i> ". Esboniwch gyd-destun a natur y caffaeliad y cyfeirir ato.

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q4.0.32</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Erthygl 39 – Esboniwch rif yr erthygl y cyfeirir ati yn y testun "...y mae erthygl 3838 (Cyfarpar a hawliau ymgwymerwyr statudol mewn strydoedd sydd wedi'u cau) neu Ran 3 o Ddeddf 1991 yn berthnasol...". Ai erthygl 38 ydyw fel y datganwyd yn y rhan berthnasol o'r Memorandwm Esboniadol?
<b>Q4.0.33</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraff 2(3)(b) o Atodlen 12 y DCO drafft yn cynnwys testun newydd arfaethedig ar gyfer adran 58 Deddf Iawndal Tir 1973. Ymddengys bod y testun newydd arfaethedig yn cael yr effaith o gynnwys cyfyngiad a fyddai'n achosi i baragraff olaf adran 58 i fod yn berthnasol i adran 58(1) b yn unig. Cyfiawnhewch hyn neu darparwch ddrafft amgen fel bod 51 (a) yn dal yn weithredol.
<b>Q4.0.34</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraff 3(2)(b) yn cyfeirio at "...baragraff 10 o Atodlen 13 i Orchymyn (Gorsaf Bŵer Niwclear) Wylfa Newydd...". A oes angen cywiro'r cyfeiriad hwn gan yr ymddengys nad oes paragraff 10 yn Atodlen 13?
<b>Q4.0.35</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraff 10.21 o'r Memorandwm Esboniadol (Rhif Cyfeirnod Cais 3.3 Diwygiad 2.0) yn nodi bod Atodlen 12 yn cynnwys addasiadau i ehangu darpariaethau iawndal a chreu hawliau newydd a phennu cyfamodau cyfyngol. Crynowch yr addasiadau hyn a rhowch fwy o fanylion ar eu heffeithiau, gan gynnwys y rheiny ym mharagraff 6 o Atodlen 12 arfaethedig mewn perthynas ag adran amnewid 8.
	Rhan 6		<b>GWAITH MOROL</b>
<b>Q4.0.36</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC / WD	<b><u>Erthygl 43 – Ymgorffori Deddf 1847</u></b> Esboniwch yr effaith ymarferol o beidio ag ymgorffori adrannau 3A i 34, 36, 40 i 50, 59, 60, 67, 71-72, 77 i 82, 85, 89, 91 to 99, 101, 102, a 104 Deddf 1847 yn erthygl 43 (1) y DCO



<b>Cyfeirnod</b>	<b>Ymatebwr:</b>	<b>Lleoliad:</b>	<b>Cwestiwn:</b>
<b>Q4.0.37</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC / WD	<b><u>Erthygl 43 – Ymgorffori Deddf 1847</u></b> Darparwch destun adrannau 35, 37, 39 a 69 Deddf 1847 fel y'i newidiwyd gan erthygl 43 (2) i (5)
<b>Q4.0.38</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC / WD	<b><u>Erthygl 43 – Ymgorffori Deddf 1847</u></b> Esboniwch effaith ymarferol dehongli diffiniadau penodol yn Neddf 1847 yn unol ag erthygl 43 (6)
<b>Q4.0.39</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC / WD	<b><u>Erthygl 43 – Ymgorffori Deddf 1847</u></b> Esboniwch ymhellach pa ddirwyon a fforffediadau y gellid eu hadennill, sut a chan bwy (erthygl 43 (7))
<b>Q4.0.40</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC / WD	<b><u>Erthygl 49 Cynnal gwaith morol</u></b> Darparwch gyfiawnhad pellach dros y pŵer i 'gynnal' ['maintain'] y gwaith morol – o ystyried ystyr "maintain" ["cynnal"] fel yr amlinellir yn Erthygl 2:
<b>Q4.0.41</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC / WD	<b><u>Erthygl 50 – Gwaith atodol</u></b> Cadarnhewch p'un a yw'r gwaith atodol wedi'i nodi yn Atodlen 1 (datblygiad awdurdodedig) a'i fod felly yn destun gofynion ac yn dod o dan y profiso na ddylai unrhyw waith arall "sy'n angenrheidiol neu'n gyfleus" beri unrhyw effeithiau amgylcheddol newydd sylweddol neu wahanol sylweddol i'r rheiny a aseswyd fel y'u hamlinellwyd yn y Datganiad Amgylcheddol.
<b>Q4.0.42</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC / WD	<b><u>Erthygl 50 – Gwaith Atodol</u></b> Darparwch gyfiawnhad pam ei bod yn "angenrheidiol ac yn gyfleus" i gael y pŵer i gyflawni pob un o'r gweithiau a nodwyd yn Erthygl 50.

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
Q4.0.43	Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru	WC / WD	<p><b><u>Erthygl 53 Pŵer i garthu</u></b></p> <p>Mae'r ymgeisydd yn egluro</p> <p><i>Mae Erthygl 53 yn rhoi'r hawl i Horizon garthu o fewn terfynau'r harbwr at y diben o adeiladu, cynnal a gweithredu'r Gwaith Morol. Mae'r pwerau hyn dan reolaeth y gofynion a bennwyd yn Neddf y Môr a Mynediad i'r Arfordir 2009 i gael trwyddedau morol. <u>Yn ogystal</u>, mae'n ofynnol cael cymeradwyaeth Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru i ollwng unrhyw ddeunydd carthu islaw cymedr penllanw mawr, a gellir rhoi'r gymeradwyaeth hon yn amodol ar amodau a chyfyngiadau.</i></p> <p>Beth yw'r gymeradwyaeth ychwanegol i ollwng deunydd carthu y cyfeirir ati gan yr ymgeisydd a beth sydd angen i Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru ei ystyried cyn rhoi'r gymeradwyaeth hon?</p> <p>Gan ystyried y materion sy'n berthnasol i benderfynu ar drwydded forol (adran 69 Deddf y Môr a Mynediad i'r Arfordir) a yw Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru yn fodlon y gellir darparu amodau a chyfyngiadau digonol ar y pŵer i garthu yn y drwydded forol (ac unrhyw ganiatâd arall i ollwng deunydd carthu) ac nad oes angen unrhyw reolaethau pellach yn y DCO mewn perthynas â charthu?</p>
Q4.0.44	Trinity House	WC/ WD	<p><b><u>Erthyglau 56, 57, 58, 59, 71</u></b></p> <p>A yw Trinity House yn fodlon gyda drafft a diben Erthyglau 56, 57, 58, 59 a 71? Os nad ydyw, pam?</p>
Q4.0.45	Asiantaeth y Môr a Gwylwyr y	WC/ WD	<p><b><u>Erthygl 59 - Diogelwch Mordwyo</u></b></p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
	Glannau		A yw Asiantaeth y Môr a Gwylwyr y Glannau yn fodlon gyda drafft a diben Erthygl 59? Os nad ydyw, pam?
<b>Q4.0.46</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC/ WD	<b><u>Erthygl 61 – Is-ddeddfau</u></b>  Ai'r bwriad yw caniatáu i'r holl gychod y cyfeirir atynt yn is-baragraff (i) i weithredu yn yr harbwr?
	Rhan 7		<b>AMRYWIOL A CHYFFREDINOL</b>
<b>Q4.0.47</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd; Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn; Gwasanaeth Cynllunio Archaeolegol Gwynedd	Q	Erthygl 72: Esboniwch a chyfiawnhewch pam, o ystyried yr ymchwilio archaeolegol sylweddol sydd wedi'i wneud, ei bod yn angenrheidiol cyflwyno gweithdrefn arbennig ar gyfer symud olion dynol? Nodwch unrhyw leoliadau lle credir bod potensial i ddarganfod olion dynol nad ydynt wedi'u nodi eto.
<b>Q4.0.48</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Erthygl 73: Esboniwch pam ei bod yn angenrheidiol i "ddiogelu unrhyw gytundeb a wneir gan Horizon yn unol â'i hawliau o dan erthygl 9(1)(b) (caniatâd i drosglwyddo budd Gorchymyn) fel na all unrhyw ddeddfiad neu reol gyfreithiol arall addasu neu rwystro hawliau a rhwymedigaethau'r partion o dan unrhyw gyfryw brydles neu gytundeb". Ym mha amgylchiadau allai gael ei chymhwyso?
<b>Q4.0.49</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Erthygl 74: Esboniwch drwy gyfiawnhau'r amgylchiadau posibl pan allai fod yn angenrheidiol cyflawni datblygiad 'a ganiateir' o fewn Tir y Gorchymyn ar dir y diffiniwyd yn 'weithredol' o dan bwerau Gorchymyn Cynllunio Gwlad a Thref (Datblygu Cyffredinol a Ganiateir) 1995 mewn perthynas â Dosbarth B (ymgymeriad harbwr) a Dosbarth G (ymgymerwyr trydan) o dan Rhan B y gorchymyn gweithdrefn ddatblygu cyffredinol (GDPO). Pam mae'n angenrheidiol i geisio hawliau datblygu a ganiateir a pham na roir caniatâd i'r datblygiad potensial hwn drwy'r DCO?
<b>Q4.0.50</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys	Q	Erthygl 74: a yw'r awdurdod cynllunio lleol yn fodlon fod y tir o fewn

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
	Môn		cyfyngiadau'r Gorchymyn yn cael ei drin fel 'tir gweithredol' a bod hawliau datblygu a ganiateir o dan (a) Rhan 17 Atodlen 2 o Orchymyn Cynllunio Gwlad a Thref (Datblygu Cyffredinol a Ganiateir) 1995; a (b) Dosbarth B (ymgymeriadau harbwr) a Dosbarth G (ymgymerwyr trydan) o dan Ran B o'r GDPO yn cael eu cymhwyso?
<b>Q4.0.51</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	Erthygl 75: a yw'r awdurdod cynllunio lleol yn fodlon gyda datgymhwyso Rheoliadau Perthi 1997 fel y cynigiwyd?
<b>Q4.0.52</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Erthygl 76: (a) eglurwch fod pob un o bedair cyfrol y Datganiad Dylunio a Mynediad wedi'u cynnwys ar gyfer ardystio; a (b) cywirwch y dyblygiad 'Wylfa Newydd CoCP'.
<b>Q4.0.53</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	Erthygl 77: a yw'r awdurdod cynllunio lleol yn fodlon â'r trefniadau ar gyfer cyflwyno hysbysiadau?
<b>Q4.0.54</b>	Atodlenni (Y cyfan fel yr ymwnânt ag Erthyglau ar wahân y DCO)		
		<b>Atodlenni</b>	
<b>Atodlen 2</b>			
<b>Q4.0.55</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn yn fodlon fod y cynlluniau cymeradwy a restrwyd yn Atodlen 2 yn gywir ac yn adlewyrchu unrhyw newidiadau neu ddiwygiadau a allai fod wedi'u gwneud ers i'r cais gael ei gyflwyno?
<b>Atodlen 3</b>			
<b>Q4.0.56</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Dylid esbonio sut mae pob gofyniad yn bodloni'r profion ar gyfer amodau cynllunio (sef y dylid cyfyngu arnynt gymaint â phosibl a'u gorfodi dim ond pan fyddant yn angenrheidiol, yn berthnasol i gynllunio ac i'r datblygiad sydd i'w ganiatáu, yn orfodadwy, yn fanwl gywir ac yn rhesymol ym mhob

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			ffordd arall)
Q4.0.57	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Mae 3 (4) yn ceisio cyfyngu'r graddau y gallai cymal atodol (<i>tailpiece</i>) (neu eiriad arall) ganiatáu newidiadau i'r cynllun cymeradwy i'r newidiadau bach neu ddibwys hynny yn unig sydd wedi bod yn destun AEA.</p> <p>Tynnir sylw'r ymgeisydd at:</p> <p>Adran 17 Nodyn Cyngor 15  <a href="https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/advice_note_15_version_1.pdf">https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/advice_note_15_version_1.pdf</a>  <i>R. (on the application of Hubert) v Carmarthenshire CC Queen's Bench Division (Administrative Court), 05 Awst 2015</i>  <i>R. (on the application of Midcounties Co-operative Ltd) v Wyre Forest DC Queen's Bench Division (Administrative Court) 27 Mawrth 2009</i></p> <p>O ystyried yr uchod, rhowch sylwadau ar bob gofyniad sy'n darparu hyblygrwydd o ran cymeradwyo ac amrywio manylion terfynol, boed hynny trwy ddefnyddio cymal atodol ai peidio (er enghraifft PR3, sy'n ymddangos fel petai'n cael yr un effaith â chymal atodol yn yr ystyr y byddai'n caniatáu i'r ymgeisydd ddiwygio/newid dyluniad a gosodiad y cyfleuster parcio a theithio arfaethedig yn ddiweddarach).</p> <p>Dylai'r sylwadau hyn gyfiawnhau pam mae'r hyblygrwydd yn angenrheidiol a chadarnhau na fyddai'n galluogi'r ACLI i ganiatáu datblygiad sylweddol wahanol i hwnnw a aseswyd yn yr ES ac a bennwyd gan baramedrau'r DCO yn y lluniadau dylunio manwl (gan felly osgoi'r angen i geisio awdurdodiad am newid trwy adran 153 Deddf Cynllunio 2008) neu roi'r gorau'n gyfan gwbl i'r angen am unrhyw gynllun. Gofynnir i'r ymgeisydd hefyd ystyried Rheoliadau 18 i 19 Rheoliadau Cynllunio Seilwaith (Asesu Effeithiau Amgylcheddol) 2009 a'r diffiniad o "gais dilynol", ac ystyried p'un a oes</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			angen i unrhyw ran o'r DCO (e.e. Erthygl 79 ac Atodlen 18) a'r gofynion gael eu newid o ganlyniad.
<b>Q4.0.58</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	PW7 / PW8 – Byddai'r broses o adeiladu'r datblygiad awdurdodedig yn cael ei rheoli trwy naill ai God Ymarfer Adeiladu Wylfa Newydd [8.6] neu God Ymarfer Adeiladu penodol [8.7-8.13]. A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn yn fodlon ar y manylion a gynhwysir yn y dogfennau hyn?
<b>Q4.0.59</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	1 (5) Sut mae awyrellau, cyrn simnai a ffliwiau'n derbyn sylw yn Erthygl 3 (1) (5) – a ydynt yn wedi'u cynnwys neu eu heithrio o amlen yr adeiladu?
<b>Q4.0.60</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	PW6 – mae'n gwarchod adar gwyllt sy'n bridio yn benodol. Pam nad yw'r un amddiffyniadau'n cael eu cynnig ar gyfer rhywogaethau eraill a warchodir, fel Madfallod Dŵr Cribog, Ystlumod ac ati
<b>Q4.0.61</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	PW8 – mae'n ymddangos na fyddai angen i God Ymddygiad Wylfa Newydd gael ei gyflwyno i Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn na'i gymeradwyo ganddo. A yw hyn wedi cael ei drafod a'i gytuno rhwng yr Ymgeisydd a Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn? Os na, pam?
<b>Q4.0.62</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	PW9 – rhowch gyfiawnhad pam y byddai (1) un mis a (2) tri mis yn raddfeydd amser priodol.
<b>Q4.0.63</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	SPC4 – mae'n berthnasol i Rywogaethau a Warchodir gan Ewrop. A ddylai rhywogaethau eraill a warchodir gael gofynion tebyg? Os na, pam?
<b>Q4.0.64</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	SPC5 – darparwch y wybodaeth sydd wedi'i hepgor ar hyn o bryd ac wedi'i dangos fel [*].
<b>Q4.0.65</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	SP6 – pam mae'r gofyniad hwn yn angenrheidiol?
<b>Q4.0.66</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys	Q	SPC12 – o ystyried maint y cerbydau adeiladu arfaethedig, a yw'r gatiâu'n

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
	Môn a'r Ymgeisydd		ddigon pell o'r briffordd (sef pellter arfaethedig o 8 metr) i sicrhau y byddai cerbydau sy'n aros yn glir o'r briffordd?
<b>Q4.0.67</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	WN4A – o dan y paramedr uchaf, pam mae dwy golofn ar gyfer uchder – H (m) a H (m AOD) a pham mae H (m) yn unig ar gyfer y paramedr lleiaf?
<b>Q4.0.68</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	WN7 – pam mae H (m uwchben lefel orffenedig y tir) yn cael ei ddefnyddio yma yn hytrach nag AOD?
<b>Q4.0.69</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	<p>WN9 (1) – Beth a olygir gan 'gomisiynwyd' ac a ddylai hyn gael ei gynnwys yn Erthygl 2 – Dehongli?</p> <p>A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn yn fodlon ar hyn fel sbardun ar gyfer cyflwyno'r cynllun tirwedd a chynefin terfynol?</p> <p>WN9 (2) A ddylai hyn gael ei aileirio i gynnwys manylion <i>ond heb fod yn gyfyngedig</i>? A ddylai'r rhestr gael ei hymestyn i gynnwys manylion tirweddu caled; goleuo; dodrefn stryd ac arwyddion; triniaeth ffin ac ati?</p> <p>WN9 (4) mae'r geiriad presennol yn ymddangos fel petai'n gosod cyfrifoldeb ar Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn i amlygu coed a phrysglwyni afiach neu a ddifrodwyd yn ddifrifol yn ystod y cyfnod ôl-ofal 5 mlynedd. Os yw hyn yn wir, a oes gan Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn yr adnoddau a'r arbenigedd i ymgymryd â'r gofyniad hwn ac, os na, pam?</p>
<b>Q4.0.70</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	WN11 (2) a yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn yn fodlon bod y gwaith cynefin a thirweddu'n ymdrin â'r holl ardaloedd lle y byddai angen cynlluniau rheoli?
<b>Q4.0.71</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	WN13 (1) a ddylai 'Mae'r Safle Derbynnydd Ymlusgiaid wedi...' gael ei ddisodli â 'Mae'n rhaid i'r Safle Derbynnydd Ymlusgiaid...'? Os na, pam?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q4.0.72</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	WN14 (1) a ddylai 'Mae'r Safle Derbynnydd Madfallod Dŵr Cribog wedi...' gael ei ddisodli â 'Mae'n rhaid i'r Safle Derbynnydd Madfallod Dŵr Cribog...'? Os na, pam?
<b>Q4.0.73</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	<p>WN15 a WN16 – Mae'r gofynion hyn yn rheoli nifer y lleoedd parcio a gynigir yn ystod y cyfnod adeiladu a gweithredu. A all yr Ymgeisydd:</p> <p>(a) esbonio pam mae hyn wedi'i eirio fel uchafswm yn hytrach na rhif penodol?</p> <p>(b) esbonio pam nad oes lleiafswm?</p> <p>(c) nodi ble yn y DCO mae dyluniad a gosodiad parcio ceir (gan gynnwys darparu lleoedd parcio i'r anabl; manau gwefru cerbydau trydan; goleuo; draenio; darparu rhyng-gipwyr petrol/olew neu ddulliau eraill o reoli llygredd ac ati) wedi'u manylu a'u sicrhau??</p> <p>A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn, fel Awdurdod Priffyrdd Lleol, yn fodlon bod hyn yn cyfeirio at uchafswm nifer o leoedd yn unig yn hytrach na nifer penodol o leoedd?</p> <p>A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn, fel Awdurdod Priffyrdd Lleol, yn fodlon bod modd ar gael iddo i gymeradwyo dyluniad a gosodiad parcio ceir? Os felly, sut?</p>
<b>Q4.0.74</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	<p>WN19 – mae'n mynnu bod dyluniad manwl campws y safle'n cael ei gyflwyno a'i gymeradwyo.</p> <p>Mae'n ymddangos mai hwn yw'r unig ofyniad sy'n ceisio sicrhau dylunio/darparu campws y safle.</p> <p>A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn yn fodlon, gan gyfeirio'n benodol at y sŵn a</p>



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			gynhyrchir gan y gwaith; draenio (rheoli); oriau defnyddio'r manau chwarae amldeffnydd (MUGAs); goleuadau allanol, parcio a llifoleuadau ac ati, bod y gofyniad yn sicrhau'r materion hyn yn ddigonol? Os na, pam?
<b>Q4.0.75</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Tabl WN20 – nid oes pwynt wedi'i roi o ble y dylid mesur uchder – a ddylai hyn fod yn AOD?
<b>Q4.0.76</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	WN21(2) (a) A ddylai'r rhestr hon ddechrau gyda " <i>cynnwys ond nid yn gyfyngedig i</i> "? (b) A ddylai (e) gynnwys cyfeiriad at berthi? (c) A ddylai'r rhestr gael ei hymestyn i gynnwys modd o amgáu; goleuadau allanol a stryd; dodrefn stryd ac arwyddion? (d) A oes gan Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn yr adnoddau a'r arbenigedd i ymgymryd â'r gofyniad hwn ac os na, pam?
<b>Q4.0.77</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	WN23 – nid yw'n ymddangos bod hyn yn darparu dyddiad/gweithgarwch sbarduno ar gyfer cyflwyno'r strategaeth ddatgomisiynu ac felly, fel y mae wedi'i eirio ar hyn o bryd, a allai cyfleuster campws y safle gael ei gadw'n barhaol?  Gan fod campws y safle wedi'i ddiffinio fel cyfleuster dros dro, sut dylai'r gofyniad gael ei ddiwygio i gynnwys sbardun ar gyfer cyflwyno'r manylion hyn?
<b>Q4.0.78</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn a Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru	Q	WN25 – mae'n ymddangos bod hyn yn mynnu bod manylion y gwaith morol yn cael eu cyflwyno i Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru a'u cymeradwyo ganddyn nhw yn unig.  A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn a Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru yn fodlon?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q4.0.79</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn a Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru	Q	WN26 (a), (b), (c) a (d) – ai AOD yw'r cyfeirbwynt cywir neu a fyddai Uwchben Datwm Siart (ACD), Penllanw Cymedrig (MHW), neu Ddistyll Cymedrig (MLW) yn fwy priodol?  A ddylid darparu diffiniadau ar gyfer brig ton ('crest') a morglawdd cerrig ('roundhead') yn Nhabl WN26a?
<b>Q4.0.80</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru	WC/ WD	WN 28 - Beth yw'r berthynas rhwng y gofyniad hwn a'r caniatadau sy'n ofynnol (gan Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru) ar gyfer dyddodi fel yr amlinellir yn Erthygl 53? A yw'r hyblygrwydd o dan y gofyniad "oni chytunir fel arall â Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru" yn gyson â'r angen i sicrhau amrywiad o unrhyw ganiatadau o'r fath?  <b>WN28 Gwaredu Deunydd a Garthwyd</b> Mae'n rhaid i unrhyw ddeunydd sy'n weddill a garthwyd o'r datblygiad awdurdodedig na ellir ei aildefnyddio gael ei waredu yng Ngogledd Caergybi, oni gytunir fel arall â Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru.
<b>Q4.0.81</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	OPSF2 – A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn yn fodlon y byddai'r gofyniad yn sicrhau'r cyfleusterau arfaethedig yn ddigonol, gan gynnwys, er enghraifft: draenio, sŵn o'r gwaith, goblygiadau a rheolaeth archaeolegol, dyluniad a gosodiad y maes parcio, casglu sbwriel, storio beiciau ac ati?  (4) Sut byddai'r manylion tirweddu a fyddai'n cael eu rheoli gan (4) yn cael eu cyflwyno/cymeradwyo? A ddylai hyn fod yn ofyniad ar wahân? A oes gan Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn yr adnoddau a'r arbenigedd i ymgymryd â'r gofyniad hwn ac os na, pam?
<b>Q4.0.82</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Tabl OPSF3 – nid oes pwynt wedi'i roi o ble y dylid mesur uchder – a ddylai hyn fod yn AOD?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q4.0.83</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>OPSF5 – y teitl yw parcio ceir a beiciau gweithredol, ond mae'r gofyniad yn cyfeirio at leoedd parcio yn unig – a oes angen i'r gofyniad gynnwys parcio beiciau?</p> <p>Nid yw'n ymddangos bod argyfyngau wedi'u diffinio er mwyn bodloni'r prawf gorfodadwyedd – a ddylent gael eu diffinio?</p> <p>Mae'r lleoedd parcio ceir wedi'u datgan fel uchafswm yn hytrach na rhif penodol – a yw hyn yn dderbyniol i Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn/yr Awdurdod Priffyrdd?</p> <p>Oni bai bod manylion yn cael eu darparu yn rhan o OPSF2, nid oes rhaid i ddyluniad/gosodiad y maes parcio, gan gynnwys draenio, goleuadau, lleoedd parcio i'r anabl a manau gwefru cerbydau trydan ac ati, gael eu cyflwyno a'u cymeradwyo – a yw hyn yn dderbyniol i Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn/yr Awdurdod Priffyrdd?</p>
<b>Q4.0.84</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Dylid cyfeirio at ble yn y cais y mae strategaeth ddatgomisiynu ar gyfer cyfleusterau oddi ar safle'r orsaf bŵer yn cael ei sicrhau.
<b>Q4.0.85</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	<p>Dylid cadarnhau p'un a yw'r lluniadau manwl yn ymwneud â'r cyfleuster parcio a theithio yn Atodlen 2 y DCO drafft yn cynnwys manylion tirweddu a threfniadau cynnal a chadw, o ystyried nad yw'n ymddangos bod gofyniad ar hyn o bryd, heblaw am gynnal a chadw, ynglŷn â thirweddu ar gyfer y cyfleuster parcio a theithio?</p> <p>A hoffai Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn wneud sylwadau?</p>
<b>Q4.0.86</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	PR3 (4) esboniwch sut byddai'r manylion tirweddu ar gyfer unrhyw gynllun diwygiedig a fyddai'n cael ei reoli gan (4) yn cael eu cyflwyno/cymeradwyo. A ddylai hyn fod yn ofyniad ar wahân?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			A oes gan Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn yr adnoddau a'r arbenigedd i ymgymryd â'r gofyniad hwn ac os na, pam?
<b>Q4.0.87</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	PR4 – nid oes pwynt wedi'i roi o ble y dylid mesur uchder – a ddylai hyn fod yn AOD?
<b>Q4.0.88</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	<p>PR5 Parcio gweithredol – mae'n cynnwys parcio beiciau – a ddylai'r teitl gael ei newid i barcio ceir a beiciau gweithredol?</p> <p>Mae'r lleoedd parcio ceir wedi'u datgan fel uchafswm yn hytrach na rhif penodol – a yw hyn yn dderbyniol i Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn/yr Awdurdod Priffyrdd? A ddylid nodi lleiafswm?</p> <p>Yr uchafswm a roddir yw 1,900 – fodd bynnag, mae'r Datganiad Cynllunio [8.1] (paragraff 4.3.16) yn dweud y byddai'n darparu lle parcio i 1,900 o geir, 55 o fysiau mini a 35 o feiciau modur – cadarnhewch p'un a yw nifer y lleoedd parcio a gynigir yn cynnwys neu'n eithrio lleoedd parcio ar gyfer bysiau mini a beiciau modur.</p> <p>Oni bai bod manylion yn cael eu darparu yn rhan o PR2 neu PR3, nid yw'n ymddangos bod rhaid i ddyluniad/gosodiad y maes parcio, gan gynnwys draenio, goleuadau, lleoedd parcio i'r anabl a manau gwefru cerbydau trydan, gael eu cyflwyno i Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn a'u cymeradwyo ganddo. A hoffai Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn, sef yr Awdurdod Priffyrdd Lleol, wneud sylwadau?</p>
<b>Q4.0.89</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	<p>PR6 - nid yw'n ymddangos bod hyn yn darparu dyddiad/gweithgarwch sbarduno ar gyfer cyflwyno'r strategaeth ddatgomisiynu ac felly, fel y mae wedi'i eirio ar hyn o bryd, gallai'r cyfleuster parcio a theithio gael ei gadw'n barhaol.</p> <p>Gan fod y cyfleuster parcio a theithio wedi'i ddiffinio'n gyfleuster dros dro,</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>sut dylai'r gofyniad gael ei ddiwygio i gynnwys sbardun ar gyfer cyflwyno'r manylion hyn?</p> <p>A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn yn fodlon â'r rhestr o fanylion ynglŷn â'r hyn y dylai'r strategaeth ddatgomisiynu ei gynnwys, fel yr amlinellir yn (2)?</p>
<b>Q4.0.90</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	<p>LC3 (4) – esboniwch sut byddai'r manylion tirweddu ar gyfer unrhyw gynllun diwygiedig a fyddai'n cael ei reoli gan (4) yn cael eu cyflwyno/cymeradwyo. A ddylai hyn fod yn ofyniad ar wahân?</p> <p>A oes gan Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn yr adnoddau a'r arbenigedd i ymgymryd â'r gofyniad hwn ac os na, pam?</p>
<b>Q4.0.91</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	<p>Mae PR2 yn mynnu bod dyluniad manwl y ganolfan logisteg yn cael ei gyflwyno a'i gymeradwyo. Mae'n ymddangos mai hwn yw'r unig ofyniad sy'n darparu unrhyw reolaeth dros ddylunio / darparu'r ganolfan logisteg.</p> <p>A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn yn fodlon, gan gyfeirio'n benodol at ddraenio (rheoli); oriau defnydd; goleuadau allanol ac ati, bod y gofyniad yn sicrhau'r materion hyn yn ddigonol? Os na, pam?</p>
<b>Q4.0.92</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>LC4 - nid oes pwynt wedi'i roi o ble y dylid mesur uchder – a ddylai hyn fod yn AOD?</p>
<b>Q4.0.93</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>LC6 – a all yr Ymgeisydd roi manylion i esbonio beth yw ystyr cyfleusterau chwilio a sgrinio, aros ac archwilio? Sawl cerbyd sy'n debygol o fod yn ymgymryd â'r gweithgareddau hyn ar unrhyw un adeg?</p> <p>Nid yw'n ymddangos bod argyfyngau wedi'u diffinio er mwyn bodloni'r prawf gorfodadwyedd ar gyfer gofynion – a ddylent gael eu diffinio?</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			Mae'r lleoedd parcio ceir wedi'u datgan fel uchafswm yn hytrach na rhif penodol – a yw hyn yn dderbyniol i Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn, sef yr Awdurdod Priffyrdd?
<b>Q4.0.94</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn a CADW	Q	<p>Nid yw'n ymddangos bod LC7, fel y mae wedi'i eirio ar hyn o bryd, yn darparu dyddiad/gweithgarwch sbarduno ar gyfer cyflwyno'r strategaeth ddatgomisiynu ac felly, fel y mae wedi'i eirio ar hyn o bryd, gallai'r ganolfan logisteg gael ei chadw'n barhaol. Gan fod y ganolfan logisteg wedi'i bwriadu i fod yn gyfleuster dros dro, a all yr Ymgeisydd aileirio'r gofyniad i gynnwys sbardun ar gyfer cyflwyno'r manylion hyn?</p> <p>A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn yn fodlon â'r rhestr o fanylion ynglŷn â'r hyn y dylai'r strategaeth ddatgomisiynu ei gynnwys, fel yr amlinellir yn (2)?</p>
<b>Q4.0.95</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	OH6 - nid oes pwynt wedi'i roi o ble y dylid mesur uchder – a ddylai hyn fod yn AOD?
<b>Q4.0.96</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	<p>OH8 (2) a ddylai goleuadau gael eu cynnwys yn y rhestr hon?</p> <p>(4) A oes gan Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn yr adnoddau a'r arbenigedd i ymgymryd â'r gofyniad hwn ac os na, pam?</p>
<b>Q4.0.97</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn a Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru	Q	<p>OH9 (1) ac OH10 (1) a ddylai hyn fod yn 'cyn cwblhau'?</p> <p>Ai hwn yw'r sbardun cywir ar gyfer cyflwyno'r manylion hyn neu a ddylai'r wybodaeth gael ei chyflwyno yr un pryd â'r manylion ar gyfer gwaith Rhif 8?</p> <p>O ystyried bod y gwaith yn ymwneud ag ardal wella ar gyfer llygod dŵr, a fyddai angen iddo gael ei ganiatáu gan Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru yn ogystal â</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn?
<b>Q4.0.98</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn a Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru	Q	ECS2 (1) o ystyried bod y gwaith yn ymwneud â safleoedd digolledu ecolegol, a fyddai angen iddo gael ei ganiatáu gan Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru yn ogystal â Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn?  A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn a Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru yn fodlon â'r rhestr a gynhwysir o fewn (2)?
<b>Q4.0.99</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn a'r Ymgeisydd	Q	ECS3 (1) a ddylai "bydd" gael ei ddisodli â "rhaid" yn y llinell olaf?
<b>Q4.0.100</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn a Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru	Q	ECS4 a yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn a Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru yn fodlon â'r rhestr a gynhwysir o fewn (1) ac a oes angen i'r gwaith gael ei ganiatáu gan Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru yn ogystal â Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn?
<b>Atodlen 4</b>			
<b>Atodlen 17</b>			
<b>Q4.0.101</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	Atodlen 17 (Erthygl 75) a yw'r awdurdod cynllunio lleol yn fodlon â'r rhestr o Wrychoedd neu Berthi Pwysig sydd i'w dileu?
<b>Atodlen 18</b>			
<b>Q4.0.102</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Atodlen 18 (Erthygl 79): Rhowch esboniad a chyfiawnhad llawn ar gyfer gwyro oddi wrth y dull drafftio safonol mewn perthynas â'r Weithdrefn yn ymwneud â chymeradwyaethau penodol a amlinellir yn Atodiad 1 Nodyn Cyngor 15 PINS (diw. 2) Drafftio Gorchmynion Caniatâd Datblygu a gyhoeddwyd ym mis Gorffennaf 2018.
<b>Q4.0.103</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn ac awdurdodau cyflawni eraill	Q	Atodlen 18 (Erthygl 79): Gwnewch sylwadau ar y gweithdrefnau; y graddfeydd amser; categoreiddio graddfa'r datblygiad a'r ffioedd a gynigir mewn perthynas â'r weithdrefn apelio a gynigir yn Atodlen 18 (Erthygl 79). A yw'r cynigion yn rhesymol ac yn gymesur ac a fyddai adnoddau digonol yn cael eu darparu ar eu cyfer?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<b>Atodlen 19</b>
<b>Q4.0.104</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	Q	<p>Mae Atodlen 19 Rhan 1 (Erthygl 80) yn cynnig eithrio, addasiadau, ac ati i Ddeddfwriaeth Gyffredinol Gyhoeddus.</p> <p>(a) A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn, sef yr awdurdod priffyrdd, yn fodlon ar ddatgymhwyso adrannau 141, 169, 171A a 173 Deddf Priffyrdd 1980 ac, yn absenoldeb y grymoedd hyn, yn fodlon bod digon o reolaeth yn nogfennau'r cais, megis Cod Arferion Adeiladu (CoCP) Wylfa Newydd, is-CoCP Gwelliannau Priffyrdd Oddi Ar Linell Bresennol y Ffordd yr A5025 a'r Datganiad Dylunio a Mynediad (DAS)?</p> <p>(b) A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn, sef yr awdurdod cynllunio, yn fodlon ei bod yn briodol at ddibenion y Rheoliadau Ardoll Seilwaith Cymunedol (CIL), y bydd unrhyw adeilad o fewn y datblygiad awdurdodedig yn dod o fewn yr esemptiad o dan reoliad 6 ac ni fydd yn cael ei ystyried yn "ddatblygiad" at ddibenion codi CIL?</p> <p>(c) A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn, sef yr awdurdod priffyrdd, yn fodlon ar ddatgymhwyso adrannau 56(1), 56(1A), 56A, 61(1), 62(2), 62(4), 63(1), 64, 66, 71 i 74A, 77, 78, 82(1) i 84, 86, 87 i 106 ac Atodlen 3A Deddf 1991, a'i bod yn briodol datgymhwyso a/neu fod rheolaethau digonol wedi'u darparu yn nogfennau'r cais, megis CoCP Wylfa Newydd, Cod Arferion Gweithredol (CoOP) Wylfa Newydd a/neu is-CoCPs perthnasol?</p> <p>(ch) A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn, sef yr awdurdod cynllunio, yn fodlon ei bod yn briodol cymhwyso adran 57(2) Deddf 1990 fel bod (heb unrhyw reolaethau ychwanegol) "datblygiad cysylltiedig penodol" sef Gwaith Rhif 3A (Campws y Safle), 6 (y Cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio yn Dalar Hir) a Gwaith Rhif 7 (y Ganolfan Logisteg ym Mharc Cybi) yn dychwelyd i'w defnydd gwreiddiol ar ôl adeiladu, ac na fydd angen caniatâd cynllunio i aildechrau</p>



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>defnyddio'r tir hwnnw at y diben yr oedd yn cael ei ddefnyddio ar ei gyfer fel arfer cyn i'r caniatâd datblygu gael ei roi?</p> <p>(d) A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn, sef yr awdurdod cynllunio, ac at ddibenion gorfodi unrhyw gytundeb adran 106 drwy sicrhau ei fod yn rhwymo'r holl dir a buddiannau ynddo, yn fodlon yr ystyrir bod yr ymgwymerwr yn unigolyn â buddiant yn Nhir y Gorchymyn, neu unrhyw ran ohono, wrth lunio'r cytundeb (er gwaethaf y ffaith efallai nad oes gan yr ymgeisydd fuddiant yn yr holl dir) a bod unrhyw un y trosglwyddir y tir iddo i'w drin fel unigolyn sy'n deillio teitl (adran 106 (3))?</p> <p>(dd) A oes gan Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn unrhyw sylwadau ar gynnig yr ymgeisydd i gymhwyso adran 239 Deddf Cynllunio Gwlad a Thref 1990?</p> <p>(e) A oes gan Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn, sef yr awdurdod lleol, unrhyw sylwadau ar baragraff 6 Atodlen 19 sy'n sicrhau bod rhaid i Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn ystyried y trothwyon a amlinellir yn CoCP Wylfa Newydd ac unrhyw is-CoCPs perthnasol cyn cyhoeddi hysbysiad o dan adran 60(5), a bod rhaid i unrhyw waith twnelu o dan y ddaear gael ei reoleiddio gan adrannau 60 a 61 Deddf Rheoli Llygredd 1974?</p> <p>(f) A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn, sef yr awdurdod cynllunio, yn fodlon ar gynnig yr ymgeisydd i ddatgymhwyso adran 42 Deddf Llywodraeth Leol (Darpariaethau Amrywiol) 1976?</p>
<b>Q4.0.105</b>	Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn	WF	<p>Mae Atodlen 19 Rhan 2 (Erthygl 81) yn cynnig diwygiadau i Is-ddeddfau Lleol.</p> <p>A yw Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn yn fodlon ar ddatgymhwyso Is-ddeddf Blaendraeth Cyngor Dosbarth Gwledig Twrcelyn 1952 ac a yw'r DCO a'i ofynion yn darparu rheolaethau digonol ynglŷn â defnyddio'r blaendraeth o</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			fewn plwyfi Llanbadrig a Llanallgo (sef, mewn perthynas â'r gallu i godi strwythurau neu barcio neu yrru cerbydau ar hyd y blaendraeth)?
<b>Q4.0.106</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Gan gyfeirio at Atodlen 19 Rhan 1 (Erthygl 80).</p> <p>(a) Nodwch pam mae pob un o ddarpariaethau Rhan 3 Deddf 1991 wedi cael eu datgymhwyso, h.y. trwy gyfrwng peidio â bod yn berthnasol, neu'n cael eu rheoli trwy rymoedd y DCO (os felly, dylid nodi'r grym perthnasol), neu'n cael eu rheoli trwy ddarpariaethau amddiffynnol yn ymwneud â chyfarpar a berchenogir gan ymgymrwyr statudol (os felly, dylid nodi'r ddarpariaeth amddiffynnol berthnasol) neu'n derbyn sylw trwy CoCP Wylfa Newydd, CoOP Wylfa Newydd a/neu is-CoCPs perthnasol (os felly, dylid nodi'r rheolaeth benodol yn y dogfennau hyn).</p> <p>(b) Pam mae angen cymhwyso adran 239 (Defnyddio a datblygu claddfeydd) er mwyn "osgoi amheuaeth"?</p> <p>(c) Mae Adran 42 Deddf Llywodraeth Leol (Darpariaethau Amrywiol) 1976 yn darparu fel a ganlyn:</p> <p>42.— Deddfau lleol penodol yn y dyfodol ac ati i fod yn destun deddfiadau cynllunio ac ati, heblaw fel y darperir fel arall.</p> <p>(1) Bydd Deddf neu orchymyn y mae'r adran hon yn berthnasol iddi/iddo yn dod i rym yn amodol ar—</p> <p>(a) ddarpariaethau'r deddfiadau sy'n ymwneud â chynllunio gwlad a thref;</p> <p>(b) darpariaethau'r deddfiadau sy'n ymwneud ag adeiladau hanesyddol a henebion;</p> <p>ac eithrio i'r graddau bod y Ddeddf neu'r gorchymyn yn darparu'n benodol fel arall.</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>(2) Mae'r adran hon yn berthnasol i Ddeddf neu orchymyn sy'n—</p> <p>(a) Ddeddf leol a basiwyd ar ôl neu yn yr un Sesiwn â'r Ddeddf hon;</p> <p>(b) gorchymyn dros dro a gadarnhawyd gan Ddeddf a basiwyd felly; neu</p> <p>(c) orchymyn a wneir trwy arfer grymoedd a roddir gan Ddeddf ac sy'n dod i rym ar ôl i'r Ddeddf hon gael ei phasio neu yn yr un Sesiwn â'r Ddeddf hon, ac sy'n awdurdodi cynnal gwaith o fath a bennwyd felly ar dir a nodir yn y Ddeddf neu'r gorchymyn.</p> <p>Ac eithrio i'r graddau bod y Ddeddf neu'r gorchymyn yn darparu'n benodol fel arall.</p> <p>1) Ar ba sail yr ystyrir bod y DCO yn 'Ddeddf leol' o fewn ystyr Adran 42?</p> <p>2) Rhowch fwy o gyfiawnhad dros ddatgymhwyso adran 42.</p>
<b>Q4.0.107</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Pam nad yw Gwaith 1I (Adeilad Efelychu a Hyfforddi) a Gwaith 1K (Ardal Archwilio Cerbydau) wedi'u cynnwys yn y rhestr o waith sy'n rhan o Ofyniad Gwaith Gorsaf Bêr (4), er y cyfeirir atynt yn WN4A y DCO Drafft [APP-029]
<b>Q4.0.108</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Chyngor Sir Gwynedd	Q	Mae [RR-021] yn amau gallu Gofynion DCO i sicrhau lefelau uchel o feddiannaeth campws y safle, ac felly lleihau pwysau ar ardaloedd eraill. A fyddai'r DCO drafft yn sicrhau lefelau uchel o feddiannaeth y campws, a pham?
<b>Q4.0.109</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae gofyniad PW7 yn Atodlen 3 y DCO drafft yn ceisio mynd i'r afael â Chod Ymarfer Adeiladu ('CoCP') Wylfa Newydd. A fyddai'r CoCP yn mynd i'r afael yn ddigonol ag effeithiau posibl y datblygiad arfaethedig ar briddoedd a daeareg, ac o briddoedd a daeareg ar gymunedau lleol (gan gynnwys mewn perthynas â halogiad)?
<b>Q4.0.110</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	Q	Mae paragraff 7.4.62 y Datganiad Cynllunio yn dweud y byddai gwasanaethau Llety a Thwristiaeth yn aros ar waith am y ddwy flynedd

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>gyntaf o weithredu. A all yr Ymgeisydd:</p> <p>(a) Gadarnhau a yw hyn yn golygu gweithredu'r adweithydd cyntaf neu'r ail adweithydd?</p> <p>(b) Pam yr ystyrir bod dwy flynedd yn gyfnod priodol.</p> <p>A all IACC gadarnhau a yw'n credu y byddai dwy flynedd yn gyfnod derbyniol ac, os na, a all nodi pa mor hir y mae'n credu y byddai'n angenrheidiol a pham?</p>
<b>Q4.0.111</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Darparwch gopi o'r Penawdau Telerau drafft ar gyfer y cytundeb a106 sy'n cael ei drafod ar gyfer y cais DCO.
<b>Q4.0.112</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC, NRW, Llywodraeth Cymru a phartion eraill sy'n ymwneud â'r cytundeb A106	Q	Mae Adran 7.4 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yn ymdrin â rhwymedigaethau cynllunio ac yn amlinellu'r penawdau telerau arfaethedig. Nodwch sut y byddai'r cynigion yn bodloni'r profion ar gyfer rhwymedigaethau cynllunio.
<b>Q4.0.113</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>A allwch chi gadarnhau p'un a yw dogfen 3.4 Penawdau Telerau Drafft ar gyfer Rhwymedigaethau Cynllunio y cyfeirir ati yn y Canllaw i'r Cais [APP-004] ac yn y Map Llwybr Lliniaru [APP-422] wedi cael ei chyflwyno neu'n bwriadu cael ei chyflwyno?</p> <p>Os nad yw wedi cael ei chyflwyno neu os na fwriedir ei chyflwyno, a allwch chi gadarnhau p'un ai Adran 7 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yw'r ddogfen berthnasol o ran y Penawdau Telerau drafft ac, os yw hyn yn iawn, a ellir diwygio dogfennau'r cais i gywiro'r cyfeiriadau at ddogfen 3.4?</p>
<b>Q4.0.114</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae'r Ymgeisydd wedi darparu Map Llwybr Lliniaru (APP-422) sy'n nodi'r

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>llwybrau wahanol y byddai lliniaru yn cael eu sicrhau. Gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd ddarparu Map Llwybr Lliniaru / Atodlen Lliniaru wedi'i ddiweddarau sy'n cynnwys:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Diweddariad i'r golofn 'Diogelu Mekanwaith' sy'n nodi trwy gyfeirio at baragraffau penodol lle mae'r lliniaru wedi'i gynnwys;</li> <li>• Colofn newydd sy'n nodi cyflwr y cynnydd mewn perthynas â p'un o'r lliniaru arfaethedig sydd wedi'i sicrhau ac, os nad, pam? a;</li> <li>• Dileu lliniaru a fyddai'n cael ei sicrhau trwy Gytundeb 106.</li> </ul> <p>Gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd ddarparu ail Map Llwybr Lliniaru / Atodlen Lliniaru sy'n nodi:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Y lliniaru y bwriedir ei ddarparu trwy Gytundeb 106 a ffynhonnell y lliniaru hwnnw;</li> <li>• Colofn sy'n rhoi cyfeiriad clir i ble mae'r lliniaru hwnnw wedi'i gynnwys yn y Cytundeb 106; a</li> <li>• Colofn ar wahân yn nodi beth fyddai'n digwydd i'r lliniaru hwnnw yn absenoldeb Cytundeb 106.</li> </ul>
<b>Q4.0.115</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC, Llywodraeth Cymru, NRW a'r Gwasanaethau Brys	Q	Byddai Bwrdd Rhaglen yn gyfrifol am osod ac adolygu'r rhaglen fonitro a goruchwylio'r cyllid o'r cytundeb Adran 106. A ellir rhoi mwy o fanylion am sut y byddai hyn yn gweithio, yn enwedig pa broses/dull a fyddai'n cael ei r(h)oi ar waith pe byddai anghydfod?
<b>5.</b>	<b>Asesiad Rheoliadau Cynefinoedd</b>		
<b>Q5.0.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Pennod D9 paragraff 9.5.451 [APP-128] yn datgan y bwriedir cynnal gwaith monitro geomorffolegol yn ystod y cam gweithredu i amlygu unrhyw newidiadau mewn amodau gwaelodlin yn SoDdGA ac Ardal Cadwraeth Arbennig (ACA) Bae Cemlyn. A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau mai dyma'r

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			rhadglenn forol y cyfeirir ati yn Adran 14 y CoCP?
<b>Q5.0.2</b>	NRW a'r RSPB	WFD	Ym mharagraffau 4.3.1 a 4.3.2 ei RR [RR-088], mae NRW, a'r RSPB yn ei RR [RR-084], yn credu na all effeithiau niweidiol ar Ardal Gwarchodaeth Arbennig (AGA) Ynys Môn gael eu diystyru oherwydd sŵn, dirgryniad ac aflonyddu gweledol. A all NRW a'r RSPB esbonio pam?
<b>Q5.0.3</b>	NRW a'r RSPB	WD	Beth yw safbwyntiau NRW a'r RSPB ynglŷn ag effeithiolrwydd y cynigion monitro a ddisgrifir ym mharagraffau 10.3.43 – 10.3.53 yr Asesiad Rheoliadau Cynefinoedd (HRA) Cysgodol [APP-050]?
<b>Q5.0.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Ym mharagraff 4.3.7 ei RR, mae NRW o'r farn na ellir diystyru effeithiau niweidiol ar gyfanrwydd safle AGA Aber Afon Dyfrdwy. A all yr Ymgeisydd wneud sylwadau?
<b>Q5.0.5</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Ym mharagraff 4.3.9 ei RR, mae NRW yn gofyn am wybodaeth ychwanegol am foddelu prosesau arfordirol i asesu effeithiau niweidiol ar Esgair Gemlyn. A all yr Ymgeisydd ddarparu hyn?
<b>Q5.0.6</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Ym mharagraff 4.3.10 ei RR, mae NRW yn gofyn am fwy o fanylion ynglŷn â'r mesurau lliniaru a nodir yn 7.4.5 yr HRA Cysgodol ac mewn perthynas â'r draenio a gynigir ar Dwmpath E ac o'i amgylch. A all yr Ymgeisydd ddarparu'r manylion hyn?
<b>Q5.0.7</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Ym mharagraff 4.4.7 ei RR, nid yw NRW yn gallu dod i'r casgliad y bydd y pecyn digolledu arfaethedig ar gyfer SoDdGA Tre'r Gof yn darparu cynefin cyfnewid o faint nac ansawdd digonol. A all yr Ymgeisydd wneud sylwadau?
<b>Q5.0.8</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Ym mharagraff 4.4.9 ei RR, mae NRW yn datgan nad yw pennod D9 yn ymdrin yn llawn â sut y bydd mesurau lliniaru'n gwrthbwysu'r achosion o

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			groesi trothwyon ansawdd aer a amlygwyd yn SoDdGA Cae Gwyn. A all yr Ymgeisydd ddarparu eglurhad pellach?
<b>Q5.0.9</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	A all yr Ymgeisydd gyfiawnhau pam y dewiswyd y senarios achos gwaethaf a roddwyd yn Nhabl 2.2 yr HRA Cysgodol [APP-050] ac esbonio'r rhesymau dros y senarios hynny sy'n wahanol i'r rhai a ddefnyddiwyd yn yr ES?
<b>Q5.0.10</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio pam y dylai'r ExA fod yn hyderus bod yr holl rywogaethau adar perthnasol a safleoedd Ewropeaidd cysylltiedig wedi cael eu hamlygu, o ystyried absenoldeb data arolwg ar gyfer ardaloedd penodol y mae'r Datblygiad Arfaethedig yn effeithio arnynt, fel y nodir ym mharagraff 4.7.2?
<b>Q5.0.11</b>	NRW a'r RSPB	WC/WD	A all NRW a'r RSPB gadarnhau eu bod yn cytuno bod yr arolwg adar môr a ddisgrifir yn yr Adolygiad Gwaelodlin o Adar Môr [APP-225] a'r HRA Cysgodol [APP-050] yn ddigonol i asesu'r effeithiau ar safleoedd Ewropeaidd?
<b>Q5.0.12</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	A all yr Ymgeisydd ddarparu crynodebau o ddata crai ar gyfer arolwg Minesto o adar môr yng nghyffiniau'r safle gwaredu y cyfeirir ato yn yr HRA Cysgodol [APP-050] paragraff 6.5.35?
<b>Q5.0.13</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio pam y dylai'r ExA fod yn hyderus bod y data'n gynrychioliadol o ardal gyfan y Safle Gwaredu a'i Barth Dylanwad cysylltiedig, o ystyried bod paragraff 6.5.35 yr HRA Cysgodol yn datgan bod yr arolygon wedi ystyried rhan ddeheuol y Safle Gwaredu a'r Parth Dylanwad yn unig?
<b>Q5.0.14</b>	NRW a'r RSPB	WF	A all NRW a'r RSPB gadarnhau eu bod yn cytuno â'r dull o briodoli rhywogaethau adar i wahanol safleoedd Ewropeaidd a ddisgrifir ym

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			mharagraffau 6.5.63 – 6.5.67 yr HRA Cysgodol? Os na, pam?
<b>Q5.0.15</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac NRW	WF	A all yr Ymgeisydd ac NRW wneud sylwadau ar y penderfyniad i eithrio effeithiau cyfunol ar ansawdd aer lle mae'r cyfraniad proses o'r Datblygiad Arfaethedig yn llai nag 1% o'r llwyth critigol, yng ngoleuni'r dyfarniad yn Wealden v SSCLG [2017] EWHC 351 (Admin)?
<b>Q5.0.16</b>	NRW, RSPB, ac NWWT	WF	A yw NRW, yr RSPB ac NWWT yn fodlon â chasgliad yr Ymgeisydd ynglŷn ag effeithiau arwyddocaol tebygol ar safleoedd Ewropeaidd fel y'i mynegir yn yr HRA Cysgodol a'r Atodiad i'r HRA Cysgodol [APP-0101]? Os na, pam?
<b>Q5.0.17</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Yng ngoleuni'r dyfarniad yn 'People Over Wind and Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta' gan Lys Cyfiawnder Ewrop (C-323/17), a all yr Ymgeisydd nodi i ba raddau y mae'r strategaeth ddatgomiynu a sicrhawyd trwy Ofyniad PW10 y dDCO, yn gyfystyr â mesur i osgoi neu leihau'r effeithiau ar safleoedd Ewropeaidd?
<b>Q5.0.18</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	O ran gollyngiadau i'r amgylchedd morol o ganlyniad i ddad-ddyfrio, paragraff 7.4.12 yr HRA Cysgodol, a all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio ble y byddai'r pwyntiau gollwng? Sut mae lefelau glawiad 'arferol' wedi cael eu diffinio? Pa lefelau gwaddodion crog y gellid eu disgwyl pan na fyddai'r lefelau glawiad arferol hyn yn berthnasol?
<b>Q5.0.19</b>	NRW	WA	A yw NRW yn cytuno na fydd unrhyw effeithiau niweidiol ar gyfanrwydd ACA Bae Cemlyn o ganlyniad i lefelau copr, plwm a sinc toddedig o ollngiadau yn ystod y cam adeiladu, fel y disgrifir yn adran A2 yr HRA Cysgodol?
<b>Q5.0.20</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio sut y cyfrifwyd yr amcangyfrifon halltedd a ddangosir yn Nhabl 7-4 yr HRA Cysgodol?



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q5.0.21</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Pa dystiolaeth y mae'r Ymgeisydd wedi dibynnu arni wrth ddod i'r casgliad, yn Nhabl 7-29 yr HRA Cysgodol, y gellir rheoli a lliniaru'r effeithiau o'r Ganolfan Ymwelwyr a Chyfyngau a Chysylltiad Gogledd Cymru fel na fydd unrhyw effeithiau cyfunol arwyddocaol ar ACA Bae Cemlyn?
<b>Q5.0.22</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	Nid yw'r lefelau sŵn amgylchynol disgwylidig yn ystod y cam adeiladu a ddefnyddiwyd i asesu'r effeithiau ar famaliaid môr yn cynnwys ffrwydro (paragraff 8.3.116 yr HRA Cysgodol). A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio pam na ystyriwyd sŵn ffrwydro, a pha effaith y byddai cynnwys ffrwydro yn ei chael ar y casgliad na fyddai unrhyw effeithiau niweidiol ar gyfanrwydd?
<b>Q5.0.23</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	O ran effeithiau cyfunol ar nodweddion mamaliaid morol dynodedig ACAau, lle mae'r casgliadau'n dibynnu ar ddarparu mesurau lliniaru digonol gan brosiectau eraill, a all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio ble y mae'n dibynnu ar fesurau lliniaru a sicrhair trwy ganiatadau a ble y mae'n dibynnu ar fesurau lliniaru y mae'n tybio y byddant yn cael eu darparu?
<b>Q5.0.24</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	O ran effeithiau cyfunol ar nodweddion mamaliaid morol dynodedig ACAau, lle mae'r casgliadau'n dibynnu ar y dybiaeth y bydd sŵn tanddwr aflonyddol yn cael ei gyfyngu i ardal fach (er enghraifft, effeithiau tanceri sy'n gysylltiedig â Nwy Naturiol Hylifol Amlwch y cyfeirir atynt yn Nhabl 8-55), a all yr Ymgeisydd amlygu'r dystiolaeth y mae'r casgliadau hyn wedi'u seilio arni?
<b>Q5.0.25</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	O ran effeithiau cyfunol ar nodweddion mamaliaid morol dynodedig ACAau, lle mae'r casgliadau'n dibynnu ar y dybiaeth y byddai newidiadau i gymylogrwydd neu waddodion crog yn digwydd dros bellter cyfyngedig yn unig, a all yr ymgeisydd amlygu'r dystiolaeth y mae'r casgliadau hyn wedi'u seilio arni?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q5.0.26</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	Gan fod effaith debygol arwyddocaol (LSE) wedi cael ei hamlygu ar gyfer sŵn yn yr awyr ar famaliaid morol a bod y posibilrwydd o effeithiau niweidiol ar gyfanrwydd yn cael ei ystyried yn yr HRA Cysgodol, a all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio pam y gellir eithrio effeithiau cyfunol ym mharagraff 8.4.4 yr HRA Cysgodol?
<b>Q5.0.27</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Ym mharagraff 10.3.44 yr HRA Cysgodol, a all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio pa fesurau ymarferol a rhesymol eraill y gellir eu defnyddio i leihau lefelau sŵn?
<b>Q5.0.28</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	A all yr Ymgeisydd nodi hyd ac amllder tebygol ffrwydro ar yr wyneb ar brif safle'r orsaf bŵer yn ystod y cam adeiladu, a pha mor aml y byddai'r sŵn yn cyrraedd lefel a fyddai'n achosi i Fôr-wenoliaid 'godi i'r awyr' yn nythfa Bae Cemlyn?
<b>Q5.0.29</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio pam y dylai'r ExA fod yn hyderus bod y lefelau sŵn a adroddwyd ar gyfer ffrwydro arbrofol ym Mhennod 9 Atodiad D13-13 [APP-231] yn cynrychioli'r lefelau sŵn sy'n debygol o gael eu profi yn nythfa Môr-wenoliaid Bae Cemlyn o ganlyniad i ffrwydro ar yr wyneb yn ystod y cam adeiladu?
<b>Q5.0.30</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	Mae paragraffau 10.3.79 -10.3.81 yr HRA Cysgodol yn cyfeirio at fodelu gollyngiad golau o'r MOLF. A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau pa ddogfen y cyflwynir y modelu hwn ynddi?
<b>Q5.0.31</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau p'un a yw'r cyfrifiad o nifer y pysgod sy'n debygol o gael eu tynnu i mewn i'r mewnlif dŵr oeri/eu dal ynddo (paragraffau 10.3.228 – 10.3.234 yr HRA Cysgodol) yn ystyried y defnydd o'r system atal pysgod acwstig?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q5.0.32</b>	NRW a'r RSPB	WD/WE	A oes gan NRW neu'r RSPB unrhyw bryderon ynglŷn ag effeithiau ar AGA Môr-wenoliaid Ynys Môn o ganlyniad i newid neu golli cynefin chwilota am fwyd, newidiadau i ansawdd dŵr y môr neu rywogaethau ysglyfaeth sy'n cael eu tynnu i mewn/dal yn ystod y camau adeiladu a gweithredu?
<b>Q5.0.33</b>	NRW	WD	A all NRW ddarparu tystiolaeth sy'n cefnogi paragraff 4.3.7 ei RR [RR-088] bod rhai Môr-wenoliaid Pigddu sy'n bridio yng Nghemlyn hefyd yn ffurfio rhan o nodwedd llwybr Môr-wenoliaid yn AGA a safle Ramsar Aber Afon Dyfrdwy?
<b>Q5.0.34</b>	NRW	WD	A yw NRW yn cytuno bod y boblogaeth Môr-wenoliaid Pigddu yn AGA Ynys Môn oddeutu bum gwaith yn fwy nag ydoedd ar yr adeg y dynodwyd yr AGA (paragraff 10.3.59 yr HRA Cysgodol), ac, os felly, a fyddai amcanion cadwraeth yr AGA yn cael eu tanseilio pe byddai nifer y Môr-wenoliaid Pigddu yn nythfa Bae Cemlyn yn lleihau yn ystod y cyfnod adeiladu?
<b>Q5.0.35</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae paragraff 10.3.40 yr HRA Cysgodol yn dod i'r casgliad na fyddai unrhyw effaith niweidiol ar gyfanrwydd AGA Môr-wenoliaid Ynys Môn o ganlyniad i effeithiau rhyng-brosiect yn ystod y cam adeiladu, ond nid yw'n eglur i'r ExA pam na fyddai'r mân effeithiau hyn yn cyfuno i gael effaith niweidiol ar gyfanrwydd yr AGA. Gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd roi esboniad manwl, gyda chyfiawnhad/tystiolaeth ategol lle y bo'r angen, ynglŷn â pham na fyddai'r effeithiau adeiladu a amlygwyd ym mharagraff 10.3.40 yn tanseilio amcanion cadwraeth yr AGA.
<b>Q5.0.36</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae Tabl 10-12 yr HRA Cysgodol yn dod i'r casgliad na fyddai'r Datblygiad Arfaethedig a Datgomisiynu Wylfa yn cael unrhyw effeithiau niweidiol cyfunol o ran sŵn ac yn weledol ar y boblogaeth Môr-wenoliaid Pigddu yn AGA Môr-wenoliaid Ynys Môn. A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio sut y sicrhawyd y

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			gofyniad i rywfaint o waith Datgomisiynu Wylfa gael ei wneud y tu allan i'r tymor bridio? Pa waith fyddai'n dod o dan y cyfyngiad hwn? O ystyried yr adroddir y byddai Datgomisiynu Wylfa yn cael effaith aflonyddol fach i gymedrol ar adar, pam y dylai'r ExA fod yn hyderus y gallai effeithiau niweidiol mewn cyfuniad gael eu hosgoi?
<b>Q5.0.37</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio pa dystiolaeth y mae wedi dibynnu arni yn nhabl 10-23 wrth ddod i'r casgliad na fyddai unrhyw effeithiau niweidiol ar gyfanrwydd AGA Môr-wenoliaid Ynys Môn o ganlyniad i effeithiau cyfunol y Datblygiad Arfaethedig â Pharc Eco Ynys Môn, Cysylltiad Gogledd Cymru, gosodiad Barcud Llanw 10MW Dwfyn Caergybi, y Parth Arddangos, Nwy Naturiol Hylifol Amlwch a Chanolfan Derbyn Ymwelwyr a'r Cyfryngau Wylfa yng Ngorllewin Ynys Môn?
<b>Q5.0.38</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD/E	O ran y mesurau cydnerthedd a ddisgrifir yn adran 11.3 yr HRA Cysgodol, a all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio pam na fyddai cynllun ymateb i ddigwyddiad i fynd i'r afael ag effeithiau niweidiol o ganlyniad i lwythi gwaddodion uwch yn rhyddhau i lagŵn Cemlyn yn ystod stormydd yn gyfystyr â mesur lliniaru?
<b>Q5.0.39</b>	RSPB, NWWT, NT ac NRW	WD/E	A all yr RSPB, NWWT, NT ac NRW gadarnhau a fyddai darparu'r 'mesurau cydnerthedd' a gynigir yn adran 11.3 yr HRA Cysgodol yn ddigonol i leddfu eu pryderon ynglŷn â'r effeithiau ar AGA Môr-wenoliaid Ynys Môn? Os na, pam, a pha fesurau ychwanegol y byddai eu hangen?
<b>Q5.0.40</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD/E	A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau a yw'n datblygu pecyn o fesurau digolledu ar gyfer effeithiau ar AGA Môr-wenoliaid Ynys Môn? Pa fesurau mae'n eu hystyried?
<b>Q5.0.41</b>	NRW	WD/E	A all NRW gynghori ynghylch a fyddai'n bosibl datblygu pecyn o fesurau

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			digolledu ar gyfer effeithiau'r Datblygiad Arfaethedig ar AGA Môr-wenoliaid Ynys Môn? Pa fesurau y dylid eu cynnwys mewn pecyn o'r fath?
<b>Q5.0.42</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Yn Nhabl 11-1 yr HRA Cysgodol, a all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio pam na fyddai mesurau lliniaru'n cael eu sicrhau'n uniongyrchol trwy'r dDCO, yn hytrach na thrwy nifer o ddogfennau ardystiedig, a fyddai'n rhoi mwy o sicrwydd y byddai effeithiau niweidiol yn cael eu hosgoi?
<b>Q5.0.43</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae mesurau i amddiffyn ACA Bae Cemlyn rhag effaith niferoedd uwch o ymwelwyr yn ystod y cam adeiladu yn dibynnu ar weithredu'r Strategaeth Rheoli Gweithlu [APP-413] trwy'r Cod Ymddygiad. Y contractwyr fydd yn gyfrifol am weithredu a gorfodi Cod Ymddygiad (gofyniad PW8 y dDCO), felly a all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio pam y dylai'r ExA fod yn hyderus y byddai'r mesurau hyn yn cael eu darparu'n ddigonol?
<b>Q5.0.44</b>	NRW ac NWWT	WF	A all NRW ac NWWT gadarnhau a fyddai'r mesurau a gynigir yn y Strategaeth Rheoli Gweithlu [APP-413] yn ddigonol, yn eu barn nhw, i osgoi effeithiau niweidiol ar gyfanrwydd o ganlyniad i sathru a mwy o ddefnydd gan ymwelwyr? Os na, pam?
<b>Q5.0.45</b>	NRW	WF	A all NRW naill ai gadarnhau bod yr amcanion cadwraeth yn yr Asesiad Rheoliadau Cynefinoedd Cysgodol yn gywir neu ddarparu'r amcanion cadwraeth ar gyfer y safleoedd y mae'n credu na ellir eithrio effeithiau arwyddocaol tebygol ohonynt?
<b>Q5.0.46</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac NRW	WA	A all yr Ymgeisydd ac NRW adolygu'r dyfarniad diweddar yn Achos C-164/17: Cyfeiriad am ddyfarniad rhagarweiniol gan y Goruchaf Lys (Iwerddon) a wnaed ar 3 Ebrill 2017 — Edel Grace, Peter Sweetman v An Bord Pleanala, a chynghori ar unrhyw oblygiadau y mae'n credu sydd gan y dyfarniad i'r Asesiad Priodol o'r Datblygiad Arfaethedig?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
Q5.0.47	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Mae ES D13 paragraff 13.5.70 braidd yn anghyson o ran y pwynt rhyddhau ar gyfer y system adfer a dychwelyd pysgod. Yn gyntaf, mae'n datgan nad yw'r lleoliad wedi'i bennu eto, ond dywedir ei fod 'i'r gogledd o'r morglawdd dwyreiniol yn ardal y gyfuchlin -6.0m'. Wedi hynny, mae'n dweud y byddai'r pwynt rhyddhau'n cael ei osod ar wyneb gogleddol y morglawdd dwyreiniol. A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau?
<b>6.</b>	<b>Yr Amgylchedd Hanesyddol</b>		
Q6.0.1	Cadw	Q	Amlinellwch oblygiadau darpariaethau Deddf Amgylcheddol Hanesyddol (Cymru) (2016) i'r Archwiliad, os oes rhai; gan gynnwys y darpariaethau hynny sydd bellach mewn grym a'r rhai sydd heb eu gweithredu eto.
Q6.0.2	IACC a Cadw	Q	Gan gyfeirio at 6.2.11 ES Cyfrol B – <i>Cyflwyniad i'r asesiadau amgylcheddol B11 – Treftadaeth ddiwylliannol</i> [APP-076] a ydych chi'n fodlon â methodoleg yr Ymgeisydd; ei feini prawf asesu; ei fesurau o faint newid ac effaith, a'i gasgliadau mewn perthynas â Threftadaeth Ddiwylliannol ar draws y prosiect o ran: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Barn Gwmpasu'r Arolygiaeth Gynllunio, yn enwedig o ran y 'camau a gymerir';</li> <li>(a) Ymgynghoriadau statudol ac anstatudol a gynhaliwyd gan yr Ymgeisydd;</li> <li>(b) Methodoleg a meini prawf asesu penodol i bwnc, yn enwedig: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Asesu paramedrau</li> <li>ii. Amlygu ardaloedd astudio</li> <li>iii. Amlygu derbynyddion</li> <li>iv. Amlygu amodau gwaelodlin</li> <li>v. Asesu effeithiau</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>vi. Cyfyngiadau</p> <p>Os na, amlinellwch eich pryderon ac esboniwch sut y gallai'r materion gael eu datrys.</p>
<b>Q6.0.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Gan gyfeirio at Dabl B11-14 <i>Meini prawf DMRB ar gyfer sefydlu gwerth asedau treftadaeth yn 6.2.11 ES Cyfrol B – Cyflwyniad i'r asesiadau amgylcheddol B11 – Treftadaeth ddiwylliannol</i> [APP-076]:</p> <p>(a) Esboniwch pam nad yw Henebion Cofrestredig ac adeiladau Gradd I a Gradd II* yn cael eu hystyried o werth 'uchel iawn'?</p> <p>(b) A yw gwerth 'uchel' yn arwain at fesurau lliniaru llai nag optimaidd?</p>
<b>Q6.0.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Gan gyfeirio at baragraff 5.8.13 of EN-1, esboniwch, gan roi enghreifftiau, sut mae arwyddocâd, cyfraniad eu lleoliadau a'r cyfraniad cadarnhaol y gall asedau treftadaeth ei wneud at gymunedau cynaliadwy a hyfywedd economaidd, wedi cael eu cynnal neu eu gwella ar draws y prosiect.</p>
<b>Q6.0.5</b>	IACC, Cadw, NT, GAPS ac WHGT	WF, WE, ADB, ADC ac ADD	<p>A ydych chi'n fodlon ag ymagwedd yr Ymgeisydd at Dreftadaeth Ddiwylliannol ar gyfer pob safle fel yr amlinellir yn D11 [APP-130]; E11 [APP-248]; F11 [APP276]; G11 [App-314] a H11 [APP-365] a'r Astudiaethau Gwaelodlin yn APP-202 ac APP-204 mewn perthynas â'r:</p> <p>(a) ardal astudio;</p> <p>(b) y disgrifiad a'r dadansoddiad o'r amgylchedd gwaelodlin;</p> <p>(c) y sylfaen ddylunio a'r gweithgareddau a gynigir ar gyfer asesu effeithiau;</p> <p>(ch) yr ymagwedd at y fethodoleg asesu effeithiau;</p> <p>(d) yr ymagwedd arfaethedig at fesurau lliniaru ychwanegol, gan gynnwys y dulliau a gynigir i sicrhau mesurau lliniaru ychwanegol</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>trwy Godau Ymarfer Adeiladu, is-Godau Ymarfer Adeiladu a'r Cytundeb Adran 106 arfaethedig; a'r (dd) dadansoddiad o effeithiau gweddilliol;</p> <p>yn ystod adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu ar gyfer archaeoleg ddaearol, adeiladau hanesyddol a mathau o dirweddau hanesyddol?</p> <p>Os na, nodwch natur y pryder a sut y gellid mynd i'r afael ag unrhyw fater.</p>
<b>Q6.0.6</b>	IACC, Cadw, Llywodraeth Cymru, NT, Gwasanaeth Cynllunio Archaeolegol Gwynedd (GAPS) ac Ymddiriedolaeth Gerddi Hanesyddol Cymru (WHGT)	WF, WE, ADB, ADD ac ADC	<p>Gan gyfeirio at Effeithiau ar Asedau Treftadaeth ar bob safle – D11-6 [APP-213], E11-2 [APP-263], F11-5 [APP-301], G11-5 [APP-351] a H11-2 [APP-381], a yw asesiad yr Ymgeisydd o'r:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) effeithiau tebygol;</li> <li>(b) cynigion ar gyfer mesurau lliniaru ychwanegol; ac</li> <li>(c) arwyddocâd effeithiau gweddilliol</li> </ul> <p>yn foddhaol ar gyfer olion archaeolegol; adeiladau hanesyddol; a thirweddau a gerddi hanesyddol yn ystod adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu?</p> <p>Os na, esboniwch pam ac amlinellwch yr hyn y mae angen ei wneud i fynd i'r afael ag unrhyw faterion.</p>
<b>Q6.0.7</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Rhestrwch yr Henebion Cofrestredig, yr Adeiladau Rhestredig, yr Ardaloedd Cadwraeth a'r Tirweddau a Pharciau Hanesyddol Cofrestredig hynny yr aseswyd y gallent gael eu niweidio'n sylweddol neu eu colli'n llwyr o ganlyniad i'r prosiect, yn ystod pob cam ac ar draws pob safle. Ym mhob achos, rhwch y rhesymau pam mae'r niwed sylweddol neu'r golled o ran arwyddocâd yn angenrheidiol i gyflawni budd sylweddol i'r cyhoedd sy'n</p>



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			gwrthbwyso'r niwed hwnnw neu'r golled honno; a'r camau a gymerir i liniaru'r niwed a/neu'r golled o ran arwyddocâd.
<b>Q6.0.8</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC, Cadw, NT, GAPS ac WHGT	WF, WA, WB, WC, WD, WE, ADB, ADC, ADD ac ADE	<p>A yw'r cynigion ar gyfer cyflawni Strategaeth Rheoli Treftadaeth Ddiwylliannol a amlinellir yn adran 12 y Cod Ymarfer Adeiladu a'r is-Godau Ymarfer Adeiladu perthnasol [APP-414 i APP-420] yn ddigonol i gynllunio a rheoli'r holl weithgareddau adeiladu'n effeithiol mewn perthynas â Threftadaeth Ddiwylliannol a chyflawni canlyniadau derbyniol sy'n bodloni'r meini prawf a nodir yn EN-1 Rhan 5.8 (yr Amgylchedd Hanesyddol) a pholisiau treftadaeth ar lefel genedlaethol (Cymru) a lleol?</p> <p>Nodwch ddiffygion posibl a'r camau y gellid eu cymryd i'w hunioni.</p>
<b>Q6.0.9</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF, WA, WB, WC, WD, WE, ADB, ADC, ADD ac ADE	<p>Gan gyfeirio at yr Effeithiau ar Asedau Treftadaeth ar bob safle – D11-6 [APP-213], E11-2 [APP-263], F11-5 [APP-301], G11-5 [APP-351] a H11-2 [APP-381]:</p> <p>(a) Esboniwch y prosesau a'r amserlenni a ddefnyddir i sicrhau bod pob un o'r mesurau lliniaru ychwanegol a gynigir mewn perthynas ag olion archaeolegol; adeiladau hanesyddol; a mathau o dirwedd hanesyddol yn ystod adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu yn cael eu dylunio, eu cymeradwyo gan yr awdurdodau cymwys a'u cynnal ar y safle;</p> <p>(b) Sut byddant yn cael eu sicrhau trwy'r dDCO [APP-029] a'r Cod Ymarfer Adeiladu ac is-Godau Ymarfer Adeiladu perthnasol;</p> <p>(c) Pa fesurau a gymerir i greu'r partneriaethau angenrheidiol a darparu adnoddau digonol i gytuno ar y gwaith hwn a'i weithredu, a sut gellir sicrhau'r rhain trwy'r dDCO?</p>
<b>Q6.0.10</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Amlinellwch mewn tabl y rhaglen ar gyfer gweithredu'r amryw fesurau

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>lliniaru a nodir yn adran 12 y Cod Ymarfer Adeiladu a'r is-Godau Ymarfer Adeiladu perthnasol [APP-414 to APP-420] i alluogi'r ExA i ddeall y dilyniant gweithgarwch mewn perthynas ag asedau treftadaeth ar gyfer pob safle datblygu; trefn y digwyddiadau; eu hamseriad bras a'r cyfnod a ganiateir ar gyfer eu gweithredu.</p>
<b>Q6.0.11</b>	IACC, Cadw, NT, GAPS ac WHGT	WF/WA	<p>Gan gyfeirio at Ardd Cestyll (Tirwedd Hanesyddol Math 2) ac ar y Gofrestr Parciau a Gerddi o Ddiddordeb Hanesyddol Arbennig yng Nghymru, a safleoedd Tŷ Cestyll a'i ardd lysiau, a ydych chi'n cytuno ag asesiad yr Ymgeisydd o effeithiau; ei gynigion ar gyfer mesurau lliniaru ychwanegol; a'i ddadansoddiad o arwyddocâd effeithiau gweddilliol yn ystod adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu, fel yr amlinellir yn 6.4.11 ES Cyfrol D - WNDA Datblygiad D11 – <i>Treftadaeth ddiwylliannol</i> [APP-130]?</p> <p>Gan gyfeirio at y Cynrychiolaethau Perthnasol a gyflwynwyd ar y mater hwn [RR-020; RR-053; RR-092]:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Pa dystiolaeth ychwanegol sy'n angenrheidiol i amlygu graddau effeithiau posibl?</li> <li>(b) Pa fanylion ychwanegol sy'n angenrheidiol i allu asesu niwed?</li> <li>(c) A oes unrhyw fesurau ychwanegol y gellid eu cymryd i liniaru effaith y datblygiad ar yr ased treftadaeth hwn?</li> <li>(ch) Beth y dylid ei gynnwys yn y rhwymedigaeth gynllunio sydd i'w chytuno â'r Ymddiriedolaeth Genedlaethol, Cadw a Gwasanaeth Cynllunio Archaeolegol Gwynedd y cyfeirir ati ym mharagraff 6.4.203 8.1 Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] a fwriedir i fynd i'r afael â dyluniadau mesurau tirwedd priodol i adfer a/neu wella lleoliad blaenorol gardd lysiau Gardd Cestyll?</li> </ul>
<b>Q6.0.12</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF/WA	Ymatebwch i sylwadau Llywodraeth Cymru yn ei chynrychiolaeth berthnasol

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>[RR-092] mewn perthynas â pharc a gardd hanesyddol cofrestredig Cestyll, a'i leoliad, sef:</p> <p>(a) Y dylid rhoi mwy o fanylion am sut mae dyluniad y tirweddu arfaethedig (creu twmpathau, plannu coed, adfer ffiniau caeau) o fewn y lleoliad hanfodol presennol wedi cael ei lywio gan yr effaith ar y parc a'r ardd cofrestredig.</p> <p>(b) Gofynnir am ddelweddau i ddangos sut y bydd yr orsaf bŵer yn edrych mewn golygfeydd gerllaw ehangder deheuol Cestyll ac a fyddai'n llywio cynllun tirweddu ar gyfer yr ardal hon.</p> <p>(c) Mae angen egluro'n fanylach sut y bydd modd cyrraedd yr ardd ddyffryn os bydd y mynediad hanesyddol yn cael ei ddileu trwy'r twmpath/bryncyn tirweddu arfaethedig.</p>
<b>Q6.0.13</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC, Cadw, NT, GAPS ac WHGT	WF/WA	<p>Gan gyfeirio at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Felin Flawd Felin Gafnan (Adeilad Rhestredig Gradd II*);</li> <li>• Y Breuandy yn Felin Gafnan (Adeilad Rhestredig Gradd II*); a'r</li> <li>• Tŷ Sychu Grawn yn Felin Gafnan (Adeilad Rhestredig Gradd II);</li> </ul> <p>(a) A ydych chi'n cytuno ag asesiad yr Ymgeisydd o effeithiau; ei gynigion ar gyfer mesurau lliniaru ychwanegol; a'i ddadansoddiad o arwyddocâd effeithiau gweddilliol yn ystod adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu, fel yr amlinellir yn 6.4.11 ES Cyfrol D - WNDA Datblygiad D11 – Treftadaeth ddiwylliannol [APP-130]?</p> <p>(b) A oes unrhyw fesurau ychwanegol y gellid eu cymryd i liniaru effaith y datblygiad ar yr asedau treftadaeth hyn?</p>
<b>Q6.0.14</b>	NT	WF/WA	Yng ngoleuni cynrychiolaeth berthnasol yr Ymddiriedolaeth Genedlaethol

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>[RR-053], sy'n datgan ei bod yn gyfrifol am dri adeilad rhestredig sy'n cydffinio â'r harbwr arfaethedig, gan gynnwys Felin Gafnan, sef melin Gradd II*, esboniwch:</p> <p>(a) Y manylion ychwanegol sy'n angenrheidiol i alluogi asesiad llawn o effaith y cynnig;</p> <p>(b) Sut y dylid rhoi pwys digonol i'r asedau treftadaeth; a</p> <p>(c) Beth y gellid ei ystyried yn lefel ddigonol o fesurau lliniaru a digolledu?</p> <p>Os yw'n briodol, gallai'r ymateb gael ei gynnwys mewn datganiad o dir cyffredin.</p>
<b>Q6.0.15</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF/WA	<p>Ymatebwch yn fanwl i gynrychiolaeth berthnasol yr Ymddiriedolaeth Genedlaethol [RR-053], lle mae'n datgan 'ei bod yn gyfrifol am dri adeilad rhestredig sy'n cydffinio â'r harbwr arfaethedig, gan gynnwys Felin Gafnan, sef melin Gradd II*. Nid oes digon o fanylion yn y cais i allu asesu effaith y cynnig yn llawn. Nid yw'r cais yn rhoi digon o bwys i'r asedau treftadaeth, a chynigir lefel annigonol o fesurau lliniaru a digolledu.'</p>
<b>Q6.0.16</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC, Cadw, NT, GAPS ac WHGT	WF/WA	<p>A yw'n werth llunio Cynllun Rheoli Cadwraeth cynhwysfawr ar gyfer y grŵp o asedau treftadaeth sy'n cynnwys:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gardd Cestyll (Tirwedd Hanesyddol Math 2)</li> <li>• Safleoedd Tŷ Cestyll a'i ardd lysiau</li> <li>• Melin Flawd Felin Gafnan (Adeilad Rhestredig Gradd II*);</li> <li>• Y Breuandy yn Felin Gafnan (Adeilad Rhestredig Gradd II*); a'r</li> <li>• Tŷ Sychu Grawn yn Felin Gafnan (Adeilad Rhestredig Gradd II);</li> <li>• Tŷ Cafnan (Adeilad Rhestredig Gradd II)</li> </ul>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			Os felly, sut gellid gwneud hyn a sut gellid ei sicrhau trwy'r dDCO?
<b>Q6.0.17</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF/WA	<p>Cynhyrchwch gynllun wrth raddfa 1:1250 o'r ardal o Borth-y-Pistyll yn ymestyn i'r de i Cemlyn Road sy'n dangos lleoliad:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gardd Cestyll (Tirwedd Hanesyddol Math 2);</li> <li>• Safleoedd Tŷ Cestyll a'i ardd lysiau;</li> <li>• Melin Flawd Felin Gafnan (Adeilad Rhestredig Gradd II*);</li> <li>• Y Breuandy yn Felin Gafnan (Adeilad Rhestredig Gradd II*); a'r</li> <li>• Tŷ Sychu Grawn yn Felin Gafnan (Adeilad Rhestredig Gradd II);</li> <li>• Tŷ Cafnan (Adeilad Rhestredig Gradd II)</li> </ul> <p>mewn perthynas â ffiniau ardal WNDA, safle NPS Wylfa a safle Gorsaf Bŵer Wylfa.</p> <p>Dangoswch (a) y gwaith a gynlluniwyd ar gyfer y lleoliad hwn yn ystod y camau paratoi a chlirio'r safle ac adeiladu; a (b) y sefyllfa yn ystod y cyfnod gweithredu, gan ddangos yn gywir y ffensys terfyn arfaethedig, llinell arfaethedig Llwybr Arfordir Cymru a'r dirffurf a'r dirwedd arfaethedig.</p> <p>Sut byddai'r cyhoedd yn cyrraedd yr asedau treftadaeth hyn yn ystod y camau adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu?</p>
<b>Q6.0.18</b>	IACC, Cadw, Llywodraeth Cymru, NT a GAPS	WF	<p>Gan gyfeirio at Dirwedd o Ddiddordeb Hanesyddol Eithriadol Amlwch a Mynydd Parys, a ydych chi'n cytuno â'r:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) fethodoleg;</li> <li>(b) meini prawf asesu;</li> <li>(c) gwerthusiad o bwysigrwydd cymharol;</li> <li>(ch) asesiad o arwyddocâd cyffredinol yr effaith; a'r</li> </ul>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>(d) casgliad, sef: 'Aseswyd bod effaith gyffredinol y datblygiad ar y dirwedd hanesyddol ar y Gofrestr yn isel iawn.' yn 6.4.78 ES Cyfrol D WNDA Datblygiad App D 11-7 <i>Asesiad o arwyddocâd effaith y datblygiad ar y dirwedd hanesyddol ar gyfer Gorsaf Bŵer Wylfa Newydd</i> [APP-214]?</p> <p>Os na, nodwch pam ac awgrymwch sut y gellid ymdrin â'r mater.</p>
<b>Q6.0.19</b>	IACC, Cadw, Llywodraeth Cymru, NT a GAPS	ADC	<p>Mae 6.8.1 ES Cyfrol H – Canolfan Logisteg H1 – <i>Datblygiad arfaethedig</i> [APP-355] yn cynnig cynnal yr olygfa rhwng Maen Hir Tŷ Mawr a Siambr Gladdu Trefignath ar draws cornel ddeheuol y safle.</p> <p>(a) A yw'r cynigion a ddangosir yn Ffig A2-5 Prif Gynllun Canolfan Logisteg yn 8.1.10 <i>ES Llyfryn Ffigurau – Cyfrol A</i> [APP-06] yn cyflawni'r amcan hwn mewn modd boddhaol?</p> <p>(b) A yw'r effeithiau ar arwyddocâd lleoliadau'r henebion cofrestredig hyn wedi'u lliniaru'n ddigonol?</p> <p>Os na, esboniwch sut y gellid mynd i'r afael â'r materion.</p>
<b>Q6.0.20</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	<p>Ewch i'r afael â phryder IACC mewn perthynas â gwelliannau i'r A5025 oddi ar linell y ffordd, sef: 'Nid oes digon o fanylion am yr effeithiau posibl ar asedau treftadaeth, gan gynnwys Siop Soar a'r Black Lion Inn (adeiladau rhestredig Gradd II) a Maen Hir Capel Soar. Sut bydd y rhain yn cael eu lliniaru a/neu eu digolledu'?</p>
<b>Q6.0.21</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>(a) Sut byddai rhaglen cloddio a chofnodi archaeolegol gytunedig yn cael ei chytuno, ei dilyn a'i chwblhau cyn yr Archwiliad; ac</p> <p>(b) Esboniwch sut y bwriedir cyflawni mesurau lliniaru archaeolegol ar yr holl safleoedd?</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			Llywodraeth Cymru [RR-092] IACC [RR-020] NT [RR-053]
<b>Q6.0.22</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Yn dilyn NT RR[RR-053], a allwch chi nodi'r tybiaethau archaeolegol morol yn ymwneud â Phorth-y-Pistyll a Phorth y Felin.
<b>Q6.0.23</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	A fydd cloddfeydd archaeolegol a/neu ddarganfyddiadau archaeolegol yn hygyrch i grwpiau lleol, fel yr awgrymwyd gan Grŵp Hanes Bae Cemaes [RR-016] a Chlwb Ieuenctid Jesse Hughes [RR-051], ac, os felly, sut byddai hyn yn cael ei sicrhau?
<b>7.</b>	<b>Tirwedd a Gweledol</b>		
<b>Q7.0.1</b>	NRW, IACC, Cyngor Sir Gwynedd (GCC), NT a Cadw	Q	<p>A ydych chi'n fodlon ag ymagwedd yr Ymgeisydd at asesu effeithiau Tirweddol a Gweledol fel yr amlinellir yn 6.2.10 ES Cyfrol B – <i>Cyflwyniad i'r asesiadau amgylcheddol</i> B10 – Tirwedd a gweledol [APP-075], gan gynnwys:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Asesu paramedrau</li> <li>(b) Amlygu ardaloedd astudio</li> <li>(c) Amlygu derbynyddion</li> <li>(ch) Amlygu amodau gwaelodlin</li> <li>(d) Asesu effeithiau</li> <li>(dd) Defnyddio: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Methodoleg asesu'r Llawlyfr Dylunio ar gyfer Ffyrdd a Phontydd ar gyfer Gwelliannau i'r A5025 Oddi ar Linell y Ffordd; a'r</li> <li>ii. Fethodoleg asesu effeithiau gweledol yn y nos ar gyfer yr Orsaf Bŵer</li> </ul> </li> <li>(e) Cyfyngiadau</li> </ul>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			Os na, nodwch eich rhesymau a'ch argymhellion ar gyfer mynd i'r afael ag unrhyw faterion.
Q7.0.2	NRW, IACC, GCC, Cadw, NT, VCC, LdCC, LPCC, LbCC a TAG	WF	<p>1. A yw ymagwedd yr Ymgeisydd at effeithiau tirweddol a gweledol y datblygiad mewn perthynas ag Ardal Ddatblygu Wylfa Newydd fel y'i hamlinellir yn 6.4.10 ES Cyfrol D - WNDA Datblygiad D10 – Tirwedd a gweledol [APP-129] yn ddigonol ac, os na, sut dylid mynd i'r afael ag unrhyw faterion; gan gyfeirio at:</p> <p>(a) Yr ymagwedd at yr Ardal Astudio fel y'i disgrifir yn adran 10.2; gan gynnwys y Pyrth Gweledd Damcaniaethol, y prif Bwyntiau Asesu ac ehangder gweledd damcaniaethol y gwahanol bwyntiau asesu o fewn yr ardal astudio drosfwaol, ar gyfer y gwahanol gamau asesu a gyflwynir yn ffigurau D10-18 i D10-27 yn 6.4.101 ES Cyfrol D - WNDA Datblygiad, Llyfryn Ffigurau – Cyfrol D (Rhannau 1 a 2) [App-237 ac APP-238];</p> <p>(b) Y crynodeb o'r amodau gwaelodlin tirweddol a gweledol o fewn yr ardaloedd astudio, gan gynnwys Gwerth Derbynyddion Tirwedd a grynhoir yn Nhabl D10-3; y golygfannau Cynrychioliadol a Dangosol a ddewiswyd ar gyfer y dydd a'r nos a ddisgrifir yn Adran 3 ac a ddangosir yn Ffigurau D10.14 – D10.17 yn 6.4.101 ES Cyfrol D - WNDA Datblygiad, Llyfryn Ffigurau – Cyfrol D (Rhan 1 o 2) [APP-237 ac APP-238];</p> <p>(c) Sail ddylunio a gweithgareddau ar gyfer adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu, gan gynnwys mesurau lliniaru Ymgorfforedig ac Arfer Da;</p> <p>(ch) Asesu effeithiau, gan gynnwys:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• gwerthuso sensitifrwydd derbynyddion</li> <li>• effeithiau ar gymeriad y dirwedd a'r morlun</li> <li>• effeithiau yn ystod y dydd a'r nos</li> <li>• effeithiau ar gymeriad y dirwedd a'r morlun</li> <li>• effeithiau gweledol</li> </ul>



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>(d) Mesurau lliniaru ychwanegol yn ystod adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu;</p> <p>(dd) Effeithiau gweddilliol a Mesurau Lliniaru Ychwanegol ar gyfer adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu a grynhoir yn Nhablau D10.43 i D10 ar gyfer y dirwedd; gweledol yn ystod y dydd; gweledol yn ystod y nos; a derbynyddion (safleoedd digolledu ecolegol).</p> <p>2. A yw'r ymagwedd o ddefnyddio golygfeydd cymunedol cynrychioliadol yn hytrach na golygfannau unigol yn ddigonol i asesu effeithiau ar dderbynyddion cymunedol yn ystod y dydd a'r nos?</p>
<b>Q7.0.3</b>	NRW, IACC, NT ac IPs	Q	<p>(a) O ystyried nodweddion arbennig Ardal o Harddwch Naturiol Eithriadol (AHNE) Ynys Môn fel yr amlinellir yn y Cynllun Rheoli AHNE (gweler paragraff 2.1.30 yn 8.16 Strategaeth Rheoli Tirwedd a Chynefinoedd (Rhan 1 o 2) [APP-424]), a yw'r mesurau lliniaru a amlinellir yn D10.14 ES Cyfrol D - WNDA Datblygiad D10 – Tirwedd a gweledol [APP-129] mewn perthynas ag AHNE Ynys Môn yn ddigonol i gyflawni amcanion polisi EN-1 paragraffau 5.9.9 i 5.9.11 a pholisïau cynllunio cenedlaethol Cymru a lleol, gan gynnwys polisïau yn y Cynllun Rheoli AHNE, fel y crynhoir ym mharagraffau 6.4.244 i 6.4.257 yn 8.1 Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406]?</p> <p>(b) Os na, pa fesurau ychwanegol y gellid eu cymryd i gyflawni'r amcanion polisi a sut gellid eu sicrhau trwy'r dDCO?</p>
<b>Q7.0.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Mae IACC [RR-0202], NRW [RR-088] ac NT [RR-053] yn mynegi pryderon ynglŷn ag effeithiau ar AHNE Ynys Môn. Ymatebwch i'r honiadau canlynol:</p> <p>(a) Bydd angen cyflwyno cynigion manwl i sicrhau bod mesurau i liniaru effeithiau ar yr AHNE yn cael eu datblygu'n llawn ac i gadarnhau bod</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>integreiddiad tirweddol a gweledol yr orsaf bŵer â'r AHNE wedi cael ei ddatblygu i greu'r effaith orau; ac</p> <p>(b) Mae'r niwed i'r dirwedd o fewn a gerllaw AHNE Ynys Môn wedi'i danbriso ac mae'r mesurau lliniaru a digolledu a gynigir yn annigonol</p> <p>Dangoswch, mewn perthynas ag:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ardal Ddatblygu Wylfa Newydd</li> <li>• Y Cyfleuster Gorsaf Bŵer Oddi ar y Safle</li> <li>• Y gwelliannau i'r A5025 oddi ar linell y ffordd</li> <li>• Y Ganolfan Logisteg ym Mharc Cybi</li> </ul> <p>y mesurau lliniaru a amlinellir yn D10.14 ES Cyfrol D - WNDA Datblygiad D10 – Tirwedd a gweledol [APP-129] mewn perthynas ag AHNE Ynys Môn i gyflawni amcanion polisi EN-1 paragraffau 5.9.9 i 5.9.11 a pholisïau cynllunio cenedlaethol Cymru a lleol, gan gynnwys polisïau yn y Cynllun Rheoli AHNE, fel y crynhoir ym mharagraffau 6.4.244 i 6.4.257 yn 8.1 Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406]?</p>
<p><b>Q7.0.5</b></p>	<p>NRW, IACC, GCC, Cadw, NT, VCC, LdCC, LPCC, LbCC, NAP, TAG ac IPs</p>	<p>WF</p>	<p>A yw'r golygfeydd ffotogyfosodiadau ar draws pob safle fel yr amlinellir yn [APP-199]; [APP-200]; [APP-261], [APP-378], [APP-296] ac [APP-343] yn ddigonol, ac a ydych chi'n fodlon â'r canlynol:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Y lleoliadau a ddewiswyd;</li> <li>• Y fethodoleg ar gyfer ffotogyfosodiadau;</li> <li>• Yr amserau a ddewiswyd ar gyfer y delweddau?</li> </ul> <p>(a) A oes unrhyw olygfannau ychwanegol a fyddai'n ddefnyddiol?</p> <p>(b) A yw'r delweddau'n codi unrhyw faterion neu bryderon, a sut gellid mynd i'r afael â'r rhain?</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q7.0.6</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WE	Disgrifir yr holl olygfannau yn 6.5.23 ES Cyfrol E – Cyfleusterau Gorsaf Bŵer Oddi ar y Safle: AECC ESL ac MEEG App E10-5 – Golygfannau ffotogyfosodiad [APP-261] fel “yr olygfa o lwybr troed i’r de o’r MEEG”; ni all hyn fod yn gywir ym mhob achos. Darparwch fersiwn wedi’i chywiro.
<b>Q7.0.7</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Mae Grŵp Gweithredu Tregele [RR-101] a phreswylwyr lleol eraill [RR-117 ac RR-118] yn pryderu ynglŷn â pha mor effeithiol y bydd y drymlinoedd artiffisial wrth ddiogelu ansawdd bywyd preswylwyr Tregele.  Darparwch gyfres o ddelweddau ffotogyfosodiad o Olygfan Gynrychioliadol 18 (chwith) i ddangos safle ac uchder y twmpath arfaethedig gyferbyn â’r eiddo preswyl ar yr A5025 yn Nhregele, ac esboniwch i ba raddau y bydd yn lliniaru sŵn, llwch a llygredd golau yn ystod y camau adeiladu a gweithredu.
<b>Q7.0.8</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	O ran y morglawdd a’r MOLF arfaethedig: (a) Rhwch wybodaeth am yr amrywiaeth bosibl o ddeunyddiau ar gyfer eu hadeiladu a’r lliwiau posibl drwy gydol y cyfnod gweithredu. Esboniwch sut y byddai’r lliwiau a ddewisir yn cael eu cynnal. (b) Sut byddai’r deunyddiau a’r lliwiau cytunedig yn cael eu sicrhau o fewn y dDCO? (c) Esboniwch ddiben a defnydd y MOLF ar ôl y cyfnod adeiladu?
<b>Q7.0.9</b>	NRW, IACC, NT, Cadw ac IPs	WE	A yw ymagwedd yr Ymgeisydd at effeithiau tirweddol a gweledol y datblygiad mewn perthynas â’r Cyfleusterau Gorsaf Bŵer Oddi ar y Safle a amlinellir yn 6.5.10 ES Cyfrol E – Cyfleusterau Gorsaf Bŵer Oddi ar y Safle: AECC ESL ac MEEG E10 – Tirwedd a gweledol [APP-248] yn ddigonol ac, os na, sut y dylid mynd i’r afael ag unrhyw faterion; gan gyfeirio at:

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>(a) Yr ymagwedd at yr Ardal Astudio fel y'i disgrifir yn adran 10.2; gan gynnwys y Pyrth Gwelededd Damcaniaethol (Ffig E10.1[APP-265]).</p> <p>(b) Y crynodeb o'r amodau gwaelodlin tirwedd a gweledol o fewn yr ardaloedd astudio;</p> <p>(c) Y golygfannau cynrychioliadol a ddewiswyd, a ddisgrifir yn 6.5.22 ES Cyfrol E – Cyfleusterau Gorsaf Bŵer Oddi ar y Safle: AECC ESL ac MEEG App E10-4 – Golygfannau cynrychioliadol [APP-260];</p> <p>(ch) Sail ddylunio a gweithgareddau ar gyfer adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu, gan gynnwys mesurau lliniaru Ymgorfforedig ac Arfer Da;</p> <p>(d) Asesu effeithiau yn ystod adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu, gan gynnwys:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• gwerthuso sensitifrwydd derbynyddion</li> <li>• effeithiau ar gymeriad y dirwedd</li> <li>• effeithiau yn ystod y dydd a'r nos</li> <li>• effeithiau gweledol</li> </ul> <p>(dd) Mesurau lliniaru ychwanegol yn ystod gweithredu (Tabl E10.30);</p> <p>(e) Effeithiau gweddilliol (Tabl E10.4) ar gyfer adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu.</p> <p>(6.5.20 ES Cyfrol E – Cyfleusterau Gorsaf Bŵer Oddi ar y Safle: AECC ESL ac MEEG App E10-2 – Atodlen effeithiau ar y dirwedd [APP-258] a 6.5.21 ES Cyfrol E – Cyfleusterau Gorsaf Bŵer Oddi ar y Safle: AECC ESL ac MEEG App E10-3 – Atodlen effeithiau gweledol [APP-259])</p>
<b>Q7.0.10</b>	NRW, IACC, Cadw ac NT	ADC	<p>A yw ymagwedd yr Ymgeisydd at effeithiau tirweddol a gweledol y datblygiad mewn perthynas â'r Ganolfan Logisteg, fel yr amlinellir yn 6.8.10 ES Cyfrol H – Canolfan Logisteg H10 – Tirwedd a gweledol [APP-364], yn ddigonol ac, os na, sut y dylid mynd i'r afael ag unrhyw faterion; gan gyfeirio at:</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>(a) Yr ymagwedd at yr Ardal Astudio fel y'i disgrifir yn adran 10.2; gan gynnwys y Pyrth Gweledd Damcaniaethol (Ffig E10.1 [APP-383]).</p> <p>(b) Y crynodeb o'r amodau gwaelodlin tirwedd a gweledol o fewn yr ardaloedd astudio;</p> <p>(c) Y golygfannau cynrychioliadol a ddewiswyd, a ddisgrifir yn 6.8.24 ES Cyfrol H – Canolfan Logisteg App H10-4 – Golygfannau cynrychioliadol [APP-378];</p> <p>(ch) Sail ddylunio a gweithgareddau ar gyfer adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu, gan gynnwys mesurau lliniaru Ymgorfforedig ac Arfer Da;</p> <p>(d) Asesu effeithiau yn ystod adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu, gan gynnwys:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• gwerthuso sensitifrwydd derbynyddion</li> <li>• effeithiau ar gymeriad y dirwedd</li> <li>• effeithiau gweledol ar dderbynyddion hamdden, cymunedol a byrhoedlog, ac ymwelwyr â Siambr Gladdu Trefnath a Maen Hir Tŷ Mawr</li> <li>• golygfeydd pellter hir</li> <li>• golygfeydd yn y nos</li> </ul> <p>(dd) Mesurau lliniaru ychwanegol yn ystod adeiladu (Tabl H10-3) a gweithredu (Tabl H10-4);</p> <p>(e) Effeithiau gweddilliol tirweddol (Tabl H10.5) a gweledol (Tabl H10-6) ar gyfer adeiladu a gweithredu.</p>
<b>Q7.0.11</b>	NRW, IACC ac IPs	ADB	A yw ymagwedd yr Ymgeisydd at effeithiau tirweddol a gweledol y datblygiad mewn perthynas â'r cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio yn Dalar Hir, fel yr amlinellir yn 6.6.10 ES Cyfrol F – Parcio a Theithio F10 – Tirwedd a gweledol [APP-275], yn ddigonol ac, os na, sut y dylid mynd i'r afael ag unrhyw faterion; gan gyfeirio at:

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>(a) Yr ymagwedd at yr Ardal Astudio fel y'i disgrifir yn adran 10.2; gan gynnwys y Pyrth Gwelededd Damcaniaethol (Ffig F10.1 [APP-303]).</p> <p>(b) Y crynodeb o'r amodau gwaelodlin tirwedd a gweledol o fewn yr ardaloedd astudio;</p> <p>(c) Y golygfannau cynrychioliadol a ddewiswyd, a ddisgrifir yn 6.6.30 ES Cyfrol F – Parcio a Theithio App F10-4 – Golygfannau cynrychioliadol [APP-295];</p> <p>(ch) Sail ddylunio a gweithgareddau ar gyfer adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu, gan gynnwys mesurau lliniaru Ymgorfforedig ac Arfer Da;</p> <p>(d) Asesu effeithiau yn ystod adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu, gan gynnwys:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• gwerthuso sensitifrwydd derbynyddion</li> <li>• effeithiau ar y dirwedd leol</li> <li>• effeithiau gweledol ar dderbynyddion hamdden, cymunedol a byrhoedlog</li> <li>• golygfeydd yn y nos</li> </ul> <p>(dd) Mesurau lliniaru ychwanegol yn ystod adeiladu (Ffig F10.3), gweithredu (Ffig F10.4) a datgomisiynu (Ffig F10.5);</p> <p>(e) Effeithiau gweddilliol tirweddol (Ffig F10.6) a gweledol (Ffig F10-7) ar gyfer adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu.</p>
<b>Q7.0.12</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	ADB	Yr Ymgeisydd – Cadarnhewch oriau goleuo'r cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio (paragraff 4.3.1 y PRSCoCP [APP-418])
<b>Q7.0.13</b>	NRW, IACC ac IPs	ADC	A yw ymagwedd yr Ymgeisydd at effeithiau tirweddol a gweledol y datblygiad mewn perthynas â'r gwelliannau i'r A5025 oddi ar linell y ffordd, fel yr amlinellir yn 6.7.10 ES Cyfrol G – Gwelliannau i'r A5025 Oddi ar Linell y Ffordd G10 – Tirwedd a gweledol [APP-313] yn ddigonol ar gyfer:

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rhan 1: Y Fali</li> <li>• Rhan 2: Llanfachraeth</li> <li>• Rhan 3: Llanfaethlu</li> <li>• Rhan 7: Cefn Coch; a</li> <li>• Chyffordd Ffordd Fynediad yr Orsaf Bŵer</li> </ul> <p>ac, os na, sut y dylid mynd i'r afael ag unrhyw faterion; gan gyfeirio at:</p> <p>(a) Yr ymagwedd at yr Ardal Astudio fel y'i disgrifir yn adran 10.2; gan gynnwys y Pyrth Gwelededd Damcaniaethol (Ffig G10-13 i G10-17 [APP-354]).</p> <p>(b) Y crynodeb o'r amodau gwaelodlin tirwedd a gweledol o fewn yr ardaloedd astudio;</p> <p>(c) Y golygfannau cynrychioliadol a dangosol a ddewiswyd, a ddisgrifir yn 6.7.37 ES Cyfrol G – Gwelliannau i'r A5025 Oddi ar Linell y Ffordd App G10-5 – Golygfannau cynrychioliadol [APP=340] a 6.7.38 ES Cyfrol G – Gwelliannau i'r A5025 Oddi ar Linell y Ffordd App G10-6 – Golygfannau dangosol [APP-341];</p> <p>(ch) Sail ddylunio a gweithgareddau ar gyfer adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu, gan gynnwys mesurau lliniaru Ymgorfforedig ac Arfer Da;</p> <p>(d) Asesu effeithiau yn ystod adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu, gan gynnwys:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• gwerthuso sensitifrwydd derbynyddion</li> <li>• effeithiau ar y dirwedd leol</li> <li>• effeithiau gweledol ar ardaloedd preswyl, defnyddwyr ardaloedd cymunedol, defnyddwyr hawliau tramwy cyhoeddus (PROW), llwybrau trafndiaeth ac ymwelwyr â derbynyddion dethol</li> <li>• golygfeydd yn y nos</li> </ul> <p>(dd) Mesurau lliniaru ychwanegol yn ystod adeiladu a gweithredu (Tabl</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			G10-9); (e) Effeithiau gweddilliol ar gymeriad y dirwedd (Tabl G10-11) ac yn weledol (Tabl G10-12) ar gyfer adeiladu a gweithredu?
<b>Q7.0.14</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	O ran eiddo preswyl yn Llanfachraeth (R24, R25, R27, R28, R30, R33, R36 ac R40) ac unrhyw eiddo preswyl arall sy'n profi effeithiau niweidiol mawr neu fawr iawn o ganlyniad i ollyngiad golau yn ystod adeiladu a gweithredu'r gwelliannau i'r A5025 oddi ar linell y ffordd, esboniwch sut y byddai'r effeithiau hyn yn cael eu lliniaru'n ymarferol gyda chymorth cynlluniau a thrychiadau. [APP-313].
<b>Q7.0.15</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	Mae preswylwyr Plas Ellen [RR-063] yn pryderu ynghylch (a) sŵn o ganlyniad i fwy o draffig ar yr A5025; (b) sŵn o'r ffordd osgoi arfaethedig a'i heffaith weledol; ac (c) y ffaith y byddai'r ffordd osgoi arfaethedig yn agosach i'n heiddo.  Esboniwch sut y byddai'r sefyllfa hon yn cael ei lliniaru, gan gynnwys p'un a fwriedir gosod rhwystrau lleihau sŵn ar ochr ddwyreiniol yr A5025.
<b>Q7.0.16</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae Pennod G1 yn datgan bod angen goleuadau gweithredol ar gyfer rhan 1 (paragraff 1.3.46) a ffordd fynediad yr orsaf bŵer (paragraff 1.3.197). Darparwch luniadau dylunio ar gyfer y goleuadau hyn neu nodwch ym mhle yn y dogfennau y gellir dod o hyd i'r wybodaeth hon. Nodwch hefyd sut y byddai'r goleuadau hyn yn cael eu sicrhau.
<b>Q7.0.17</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae Pennod D1 paragraff 1.6.97 yn cyfeirio at graenau codi pwysau trwm o wahanol uchder ("dau graen codi pwysau trwm iawn hyd at 270m o uchder, un craen codi pwysau trwm iawn symudol hyd at 220m o uchder, oddeutu 40 craen tŵr hyd at 192m o uchder a nifer fawr o graenau symudol llai"). Nid yw'r uchderau'n cyd-fynd â'r uchderau mwyaf a roddir yn Nhabl D1-4; tybir bod yr anghysondeb hwn oherwydd bod y mesuriadau



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>yn Nhabl D1-4 Uwchlaw Datwm Ordians (AOD) (a'r uchderau hyn yw'r rhai sy'n cyd-fynd â Thablau WN2B ac WN2C y DCO drafft). Yn yr un modd, nid yw nifer y craeniau yn Nhabl D1-4 yn cyd-fynd â pharagraff 1.6.97; felly, nid yw'n eglur faint o graeniau a fyddai'n cael eu defnyddio ar unrhyw un adeg.</p> <p>(a) A gynnigir uchafswm nifer o graeniau? Beth fyddai uchder mwyaf y craeniau?</p>
<b>Q7.0.18</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Esboniwch sut y bydd y 'cynnig i greu drymlinoedd artiffisial a ffens 2 fetr ar hyd y terfyn yn agos i ni yn cael effaith ar ein hamgylchedd' yn cael ei liniaru yn ystod y cam adeiladu a gweithredu [RR-007].
<b>Q7.0.19</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Darparwch CGI o'r prif safle a'r ardal WNDA yn ystod y cam gweithredu 5 mlynedd yn ôl y paramedrau mwyaf (h.y. uchder mwyaf adeiladau a strwythurau ac uchder lleiaf ailfodelu'r dirwedd, gan gynnwys 'drymlinoedd' newydd) o'r tro yn yr A5025 yn GR 3170 8866.
<b>8.</b>	<b>Yr Amgylchedd Morol</b>		
<b>Q8.0.1</b>	NRW ac IACC	Q	A yw IACC ac NRW yn fodlon bod yr arolygon i lywio'r asesiadau gwaelodlin o'r amgylchedd morol a roddir ym Mhennod B13 [APP-078] yn ddigonol? Os na, pam?
<b>Q8.0.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio i ba raddau y mae'n credu bod y waelodlin ecolegol forol yn parhau i fod yn gywir o ystyried y cynhaliwyd yr arolygon yn 2016 neu cyn hynny?
<b>Q8.0.3</b>	NRW	WC/WD	A yw NRW yn fodlon â'r asesiad ym Mhennod D13 [APP-132] Tabl D13-4 o werth canolig derbynyddion yr amgylchedd morol, e.e. traethau creigiog a phyllau?

<b>Cyfeirnod</b>	<b>Ymatebwr:</b>	<b>Lleoliad:</b>	<b>Cwestiwn:</b>
<b>Q8.0.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC/WD	A all yr Ymgeisydd amlygu VP-1 ar Ffigur D13-12 [APP-238]?
<b>Q8.0.5</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	A all yr Ymgeisydd egluro'r mesurau a gymerir i atal dŵr llygredig o'r gwaith ffurfio concrit rhag mynd i mewn i'r môr?
<b>Q8.0.6</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC/WD	A yw'r metrigau a ddefnyddiwyd i asesu sŵn tanddwr yn gyson â Chyfarwyddeb Fframwaith y Strategaeth Forol a dogfennau canllaw'r Deyrnas Unedig (DU)?
<b>Q8.0.7</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	Mae Pennod D13 paragraff 13.5.8 yn datgan y byddai dŵr ffo'n cael ei drin pe byddai'r lefelau pH yn fwy nag 8. A fyddai hyn yn cael ei sicrhau yn y CoCP?
<b>Q8.0.8</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	Sut byddai solidau crog mewn dŵr y môr, Pennod D13, paragraff 13.5.27, yn cael eu monitro?
<b>Q8.0.9</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	Sut byddai'r lefelau goleuo mwyaf, Pennod D13, paragraff 13.5.74, yn cael eu sicrhau?
<b>Q8.0.10</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau y byddai'r cynllun carthu y cyfeirir ato ym Mhennod D13 paragraff 13.5.78 yn cael ei sicrhau yn y CoCP?
<b>Q8.0.11</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	Ym Mhennod D13, paragraff 13.6.13, rhoddir terfynau ar gyfer gollwng carthffosiaeth. Sut byddai'r rhain yn cael eu sicrhau?
<b>Q8.0.12</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	Mae Pennod D13, paragraff 13.6.45, yn rhoi terfynau gwahardd ar gyfer pori. Sut byddai'r rhain yn cael eu sicrhau?
<b>Q8.0.13</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	Mae Pennod D13, paragraff 13.6.281, yn rhoi'r paramedrau morthwyl achos gwaethaf a ddefnyddiwyd ar gyfer modelu. A yw'r cyfyngiadau paramedr

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			hyn yn cael eu sicrhau yn y dDCO?
<b>Q8.0.14</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	Mae Pennod D13, paragraff 13.6.366, yn datgan y bydd arfer gorau'r Cyd-bwyllgor Cadwraeth Natur (JNCC) yn cael ei ddefnyddio mewn perthynas â gosod pyst seiliau. Pa fesurau a fyddai'n cael eu cymryd i fynd i'r afael ag effeithiau eraill ar sŵn yn ystod y cam adeiladu?
<b>Q8.0.15</b>	NRW	WD	A all NRW gadarnhau eu bod yn fodlon mai'r Safle Gwaredu a ddisgrifir ym Mhennod D13 adran 13.7 yw'r safle gorau sydd ar gael ac y byddai digon o le yno? Os na, pam?
<b>Q8.0.16</b>	NRW	WD	A yw NRW yn fodlon y byddai'r mesurau lliniaru ychwanegol a amlinellir ym Mhennod D13 paragraff 13.8.6 yn ddigonol i leihau arwyddocâd cyflwyno a lledaenu rhywogaethau estron o ganolig i fach? Os na, pam?
<b>Q8.0.17</b>	NRW	WC/WD	A yw NRW yn fodlon y byddai'r gwelliant a ddisgrifir ym Mhennod D13 paragraff 13.8.8 yn ddigonol i leihau arwyddocâd effeithiau ar gynefinoedd islanw a rhynglanw o ganolig i fach o ganlyniad i golled uniongyrchol o dan ôl troed y Gwaith Morol?
<b>Q8.0.18</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC	Sut byddai'r mesurau gwella ar gyfer gwelliant ecolegol a ddisgrifir ym Mhennod D13 paragraff 13.8.8 a'r rhaglen fonitro yn 13.8.9 yn cael eu sicrhau?
<b>Q8.0.19</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	Mae paragraff 8.3.12 is-CoCP y Gwaith Morol ([APP-416]) yn rhoi manylion mesurau cyfyngu ar sŵn ar gyfer Môn-wenoliaid. A all yr Ymgeisydd ddisgrifio sut y byddai penderfyniadau'n cael eu gwneud o ddydd i ddydd?
<b>Q8.0.20</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC	Yn ei RR [RR-088] paragraff 4.2.2, mae NRW yn dweud bod angen mwy o wybodaeth i ddangos na fyddai infertebratau môr-waelodol yn Ardal Ddyfrol y Moelrhoniaid mewn perygl o ddirywio. A all yr Ymgeisydd ddarparu'r

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			wybodaeth hon?
<b>Q8.0.21</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac NRW	WC/WD	Ym mharagraff 4.2.9 ei RR, nid yw NRW yn cytuno "na ragwelir unrhyw effeithiau ar y dŵr ymdrochi yng Nghemaes, ac ystyrir bod Prosiect Wylfa Newydd yn cydymffurfio â'r Gyfarwyddeb Dŵr Ymdrochi". A all y ddau barti ddatrys pryderon NRW?
<b>Q8.0.22</b>	NRW	WC	Ym mharagraff 4.3.3 ei RR, mae NRW yn nodi y gallai mwy o bysgod gael eu dal trwy greu bae cysgodol. A all NRW awgrymu sut y gellir rhagfynegi hyn?
<b>Q8.0.23</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac NRW	WD	Ym mharagraff 4.6.1 ei RR, mae NRW yn dweud nad oes digon o dystiolaeth i ddangos bod effeithiau cronol y prosiect ar gynefinoedd môr-waelodol yn ddibwys. A all yr Ymgeisydd wneud sylwadau?
<b>Q8.0.24</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC ac NRW	Q	<p>Mae paragraff 6.4.127 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yn cyfeirio at y ffaith bod perygl llifogydd yn y cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio arfaethedig. Yna mae'n datgan 'Byddai mesurau lliniaru ychwanegol arfaethedig yn cael eu rhoi ar waith...' A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau beth fyddai'r mesurau lliniaru ychwanegol hyn a ph'un a fyddent yn cael eu rhoi ar waith?</p> <p>A all IACC ac NRW gadarnhau pa fesurau ychwanegol a fyddai'n angenrheidiol, yn eu barn nhw, a sut yr hoffent i'r mesurau ychwanegol hyn gael eu sicrhau?</p>
<b>Q8.0.25</b>	IACC ac NRW	Q	Mae'r gofynion, fel y maent wedi'u geirio ar hyn o bryd, yn dibynnu ar y CoCP [APP-414-APP-421] i gyflawni'r manylion mewn perthynas â strategaethau draenio a chynlluniau lliniaru llifogydd. Er bod y CoCPs yn cyfeirio at ddrafftio'r dogfennau hyn gan yr Ymgeisydd, nid yw'n ymddangos y byddai'n rhaid iddynt gael eu cyflwyno i IACC neu NRW a'u cymeradwyo ganddynt (e.e. adran 10.5 WNCOP [APP-414]). O ystyried y

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			pryderon ynglŷn â draenio a llifogydd (yn enwedig mewn perthynas â'r cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio arfaethedig), a yw IACC ac NRW yn fodlon â'r cynigion hyn ac, os nad ydynt, pa ddulliau yr hoffent eu gweld yn cael eu rhoi ar waith i reoli'r elfennau hyn o'r cynllun?
<b>Q8.0.26</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC ac NRW	WA	<p>Mae Cod Ymarfer Gweithredu Wylfa Newydd [APP-421] yn 10.3 yn cyfeirio at ddatblygu cynllun lliniaru llifogydd ar gyfer y cam gweithredu.</p> <p>Yr Ymgeisydd – a fyddai'r cynllun hwn yn cael ei lunio ar y cyd ag IACC ac NRW, ac a fyddai'n cael ei gyflwyno i IACC a/neu NRW i'w gymeradwyo?</p> <p>IACC/NRW – a fyddech chi eisiau i'r cynllun hwn gael ei gyflwyno i chi i'w gymeradwyo?</p>
<b>Q8.0.27</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Mae ES D13 paragraff 13.5.70 braidd yn anghyson o ran y pwynt rhyddhau ar gyfer y system adfer a dychwelyd pysgod. Yn gyntaf, mae'n datgan nad yw'r lleoliad wedi'i bennu eto, ond dywedir ei fod 'i'r gogledd o'r morglawdd dwyreiniol yn ardal y gyfuchlin -6.0m'. Wedi hynny, mae'n dweud y byddai'r pwynt rhyddhau'n cael ei osod ar wyneb gogleddol y morglawdd dwyreiniol. A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau?
<b>9.</b>	<b>Sŵn a Dirgryniad</b>		
<b>Q9.0.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraff 6.4.13 dogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125] yn nodi y byddai gweithgareddau adfer terfynol yn dechrau ym mis 112 h.y. ar ôl diwedd blwyddyn 9 (108 mis). Nid yw'n ymddangos bod hyn yn cytuno â'r wybodaeth yn y siart Gantt yn Ffigur A2-6 Llinell Amser Adeiladu dogfen A2 yr ES [APP-056], sy'n nodi y byddai'r gwaith adfer terfynol yn digwydd ym mlynnyddoedd 7 – 9. Esboniwch yr anghysondeb ymddangosiadol hwn.
<b>Q9.0.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Mae paragraffau 6.4.39 – 6.4.41 dogfen B6 yr ES [APP-071] yn datgan y

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			defnyddiwyd techneg daenlen, sy'n defnyddio dull rhagfynegi empirig, i amcangyfrif lefelau dirgryniad. Mae'r paragraffau hyn yn awgrymu y gellir cyfiawnhau'r ymagwedd gan fod rhagfynegi lledaeniad dirgryniad yn gywir yn gofyn am fodelau cyfrifiadurol cymhleth a fewnbynwyd â data manwl, sydd y tu hwnt i asesiad dirgryniad ar y cam hwn o'r cynnig. Dywedir hefyd bod dulliau rhagfynegi empirig yn tueddu i oramcangyfrif lefelau dirgryniad, ac felly eu bod yn ddull ceidwadol. Cadarnhewch a gytunwyd ar yr ymagwedd hon ag ymgynghori perthnasol.
<b>Q9.0.3</b>	IACC ac NRW	WF	Mae paragraff 6.4.61 dogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125] yn amlygu nifer o ffynonellau sŵn posibl nad ydynt wedi cael eu cynnwys yn yr asesiad ar y sail eu bod yn debygol o gael effeithiau dibwys. Ni nodir p'un a gytunwyd ar yr ymagwedd hon â'r cyrff ymgynghori perthnasol. A all IACC ac NRW gadarnhau i ba raddau y maent yn fodlon bod yr holl ffynonellau sŵn perthnasol wedi cael eu hystyried?
<b>Q9.0.4</b>	IACC ac NRW	WF	O ran sŵn posibl o'r gwyntellau a ddefnyddir i wthio aer trwy staciau adeilad yr adweithydd, mae paragraff 6.4.62 dogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125] yn dweud nad yw'r system wacáu wedi cael ei phennu eto ac nad yw'r lefelau sŵn yn hysbys, a bod senario achos gwaethaf o bŵer sŵn cyfunol o oddeutu 110dB(A) wedi cael ei dybio yn seiliedig ar farn broffesiynol. Ni nodir a drafodwyd hyn â chyrff ymgynghori perthnasol. Gofynnir i IACC ac NRW nodi a oes ganddynt unrhyw wrthwynebiadau i'r tybiaethau a wnaed yn y senario achos gwaethaf ar gyfer y system wacáu, h.y. y lefel 110dB(A).
<b>Q9.0.5</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Mae paragraff 6.4.63 dogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125] yn nodi uchderau platfform adeiladau a ddefnyddiwyd ar gyfer modelu sŵn. Nid yw'r rhain yn adlewyrchu paramedrau lleiaf neu fwyaf unrhyw un o'r pyrth a bennir yn Nhabl WN5 yn Atodlen 3 y dDCO [APP-029]. Esboniwch y sail ar

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			gyfer yr uchder platfformau a ddewiswyd at ddibenion modelu.
Q9.0.6	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Mae Tabl D6-18 (ar dudalen D6-41) dogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125] yn cyflwyno arwyddocâd effeithiau sŵn adeiladu rhagfynedig ar grwpiau derbynyddion preswyl A – H o ran nifer yr anheddau y gellid effeithio arnynt yn ôl pob maen prawf maint newid (mawr i ddibwys). Mae'r wybodaeth ddilynol yn crynhoi'r canlyniadau, ond mae'n ymddangos bod rhai hepgoriadau/anghysondebau:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ni chyfeirir at grŵp derbynyddion C, er bod y grŵp hwn, o'r 5 grŵp a fyddai'n profi newid mawr o bosibl, yn cynnwys y nifer ail fwyaf o anheddau (8), gan arwain at effaith arwyddocaol fawr;</li> <li>• yn y tabl, amlygir 416 o anheddau (y nifer fwyaf yr effeithir arnynt mewn unrhyw un lleoliad) yng ngrŵp derbynyddion H fel rhai a fyddai'n profi effaith arwyddocaol gymedrol o ganlyniad i faint newid bach, er y dywedir y bydd yr asesiad yn canolbwyntio'n bennaf ar fesurau lliniaru ychwanegol ar gyfer eiddo yng ngrwpiau B ac F ar y sail y gallai'r gwelliannau mwyaf gael eu gwneud yn y lleoliadau hynny;</li> <li>• ym mharagraff 6.5.12, mae'n ymddangos y disgwylir i gyfanswm o 814 o anheddau brofi effaith 'arwyddocaol gymedrol' o ganlyniad i faint newid bach, er yr amlygir 815 yn y tabl; ac</li> <li>• ym mharagraff 6.5.15, disgwylir i gyfanswm o 44 o anheddau brofi effaith fach (nid arwyddocaol) o ganlyniad i faint newid dibwys, er bod 43 wedi'u hamlygu yn y tabl.</li> </ul> <p>Gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd esbonio/eglwuro'r anghysondebau ymddangosiadol hyn ac unrhyw oblygiadau i'r asesiad, yn enwedig o ran yr angen i liniaru unrhyw effeithiau arwyddocaol.</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
Q9.0.7	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae Tablau D6-19, D6-20 a D6-21 dogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125] yn cyflwyno'r wybodaeth uchod mewn perthynas â gwestai ac ysgolion (a amlygir fel derbynyddion sensitifrwydd uchel hefyd); adeiladau cymunedol a mannau addoli (a amlygir fel derbynyddion sensitifrwydd canolog); ac adeiladau masnachol a swyddfeydd, yn y drefn honno. Fodd bynnag, ni nodir yr enwau a'r lleoliadau. Er mwyn rhoi'r cyd-destun ar gyfer y derbynyddion hyn, gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd ddarparu'r wybodaeth hon neu ddatgan ble y gellir dod o hyd iddi yn nogfennau'r cais.
Q9.0.8	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	O ran gweithredu, dywedir bod Tabl D6-26 (tudalen 52) dogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125] yn cyflwyno lefel amcangyfrifedig gychwynnol yr effaith yn ystod gweithrediadau arferol ar gyfer yr eiddo yr effeithir arno fwyaf o fewn pob grŵp derbynyddion preswyl (cyn addasu ar gyfer ffactorau cyd-destunol perthnasol). Fodd bynnag, nid yw'n cynnwys grŵp derbynyddion H. Gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd ddarparu'r wybodaeth hon neu esbonio'r hepgoriad ymddangosiadol.
Q9.0.9	IACC	Q	Gofynnir i IACC ddatgan p'un a yw'n cytuno â thybiaeth yr Ymgeisydd ym mharagraff 6.5.111 dogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125] na fyddai'r profion larwm argyfwng yn arwain at effaith arwyddocaol debygol ar dderbynyddion cyfagos sy'n sensitif i sŵn, er gwaethaf y diffyg manylion ymddangosiadol i gyfiawnhau'r safbwynt hwn?
Q9.0.10	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	O ran adeiladu'r cyfleusterau gwastraff ymbelydrol, mae'r Ymgeisydd yn dod i'r casgliad, ym mharagraff 6.5.115 dogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125], nad yw'r effeithiau posibl yn debygol o fod yn arwyddocaol. Dywedir hefyd nad yw'r rhestr beiriannau a'r rhaglen ar gyfer y storfa gweddillion tanwydd wedi cael eu llunio eto, ac felly ni wnaed unrhyw fodelu sŵn neu ddirgryniad. Gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd gyfiawnhau'r casgliadau y daethpwyd iddynt ynglŷn ag absenoldeb effeithiau



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			arwyddocaol tebygol o weithgareddau sy'n gysylltiedig â'r cyfleusterau gwastraff pan fo cyn lleied o fanylion ar gael ar hyn o bryd ynglŷn â'u hadeiladu.
Q9.0.11	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Amlinellir yr effeithiau sŵn a dirgryniad gweddilliol arwyddocaol a ragfynegir yn Nhabl D6-35 yn Adran 6.7 (tudalennau D6-77 to D6-82) dogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125]. Mae paragraff 6.7.2 yn datgan na amlygwyd unrhyw effeithiau gweddilliol arwyddocaol ar gyfer y camau gweithredu na datgomisiynu, ond nid yw hyn yn cyd-fynd â'r wybodaeth a geir yn Nhabl D6-35, sy'n amlygu effaith fawr yn ystod y cam datgomisiynu. Amlygir yr effeithiau gweddilliol arwyddocaol fel a ganlyn:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• effaith fawr ar 321 o eiddo preswyl ac effaith gymedrol ar 850 o eiddo preswyl o ganlyniad i amlygiad i sŵn adeiladu (yn bennaf yng ngrwpiau derbynyddion B a H);</li> <li>• effaith fawr ar 1 gwesty ac effaith gymedrol ar 4 gwesty o ganlyniad i amlygiad i sŵn adeiladu;</li> <li>• effaith gymedrol ar 1 ysgol o ganlyniad i amlygiad i sŵn adeiladu;</li> <li>• effaith gymedrol ar 1 man addoli o ganlyniad i amlygiad i sŵn adeiladu;</li> <li>• effaith gymedrol ar 1 eiddo masnachol a 5 swyddfa o ganlyniad i amlygiad i sŵn adeiladu;</li> <li>• effaith gymedrol ar eiddo preswyl, ac adeiladau eraill a drafodir yn (ES D6) Adran 6.5.29 ymlaen, sy'n agos i'r WNDA o ganlyniad i amlygiad i ddirgryniad adeiladu; ac</li> <li>• effaith fawr ar eiddo preswyl, ysgolion a gwestai yn ystod y cam datgomisiynu o ganlyniad i amlygiad i sŵn datgomisiynu.</li> </ul> <p>O ran y chweched pwynt bwled uchod ynglŷn â dirgryniad adeiladu, dywedir y bydd yr effaith yn fawr ar bob derbynydd cyn mesurau lliniaru, ac fe'i</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			gostyngir i effaith gymedrol ar yr holl dderbynyddion yn dilyn mesurau lliniaru ychwanegol. Fodd bynnag, yn Adran 6.5, rhagfynegir y bydd yr effeithiau ar nifer o'r derbynyddion yn gymedrol cyn mesurau lliniaru. Nid yw'n eglur pa dderbynyddion y mae'n cyfeirio atynt. Gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd amlygu'r derbynyddion penodol y rhagfynegir effaith weddilliol arwyddocaol arnynt mewn perthynas â dirgryniad adeiladu, a lefel arwyddocâd yr effaith.
<b>Q9.0.12</b>	IACC	Q	Ni nodir p'un a yw rhanddeiliaid perthnasol wedi cytuno â'r rhestr o brosiectau a gynhwysir yn yr asesiad o effeithiau cronol. Gofynnir i IACC ddatgan a yw'n fodlon bod y rhestr yn cynnwys yr holl ddatblygiadau a allai gyfrannu at effaith arwyddocaol gronol gyda'r datblygiad arfaethedig ac, os na, amlygu unrhyw ddatblygiadau eraill sy'n berthnasol yn ei farn ef.
<b>Q9.0.13</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	O ran newidyddion yr Orsaf Bŵer, mae paragraffau 6.4.89 i 6.4.92 dogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125] yn disgrifio'r terfynau maes agos ar gyfer sŵn a osodir yng Nghod Ymarfer Gweithredu ('CoOP') Wylfa Newydd [APP-421], ac mae'n dweud y trafodwyd y rhain gydag IACC, er na nodir a gytunwyd arnynt. Mae paragraffau 6.4.95 – 6.4.97 yn datgan bod y modelu'n dangos y byddai'r terfynau'n cael eu croesi wrth dderbynyddion penodol a bod sawl ffordd o gyflawni'r gostyngiad sy'n ofynnol. Rhoddir enghraifft. Nid yw'r wybodaeth yn y CoOP yn rhoi mwy o fanylion am fesurau lliniaru penodol, ac felly sicrwydd y byddai mesurau effeithiol yn cael eu rhoi ar waith. Gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd amlygu'r mesurau lliniaru arfaethedig, nodi ble y'u sicrheir yn y dDCO [APP-029] neu ddogfennau eraill y cais, a chyfiawnhau'r hyder sydd ganddo yn eu heffeithiolrwydd.
<b>Q9.0.14</b>	IACC	Q	Mae paragraff 6.4.26 dogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125] yn datgan y byddai cydymffurfio â'r Strategaethau Rheoli Sŵn a Dirgryniad a amlinellir yng Nghod Ymarfer Adeiladu ('CoCP') Wylfa Newydd a'r MPSSCoCP [APP-414 ac APP-415, yn y drefn honno] yn ofyniad o'r

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			contract rhwng Horizon a'r contractwyr a benodir i wneud y gwaith. Mae Adran 2.4 APP-414 yn datgan y byddai'n rhaid i'r contractwyr baratoi Cynlluniau Rheoli Amgylcheddol Adeiladu ('CEMPs') yn unol â hynny, a fyddai'n cael 'eu hadolygu a'u derbyn' gan yr Ymgeisydd cyn i'r gwaith ddechrau. Gofynnir i IACC nodi a yw'n fodlon â'r ymagwedd hon?
<b>Q9.0.15</b>	IACC ac NRW	Q	Mae Adran 6.4 dogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125] yn rhoi disgrifiad manwl o'r mesurau lliniaru arfaethedig ac yn datgan, mewn perthynas â nifer ohonynt, y byddant yn cael eu rhoi ar waith 'i'r graddau sy'n ymarferol'. Er y croesgyfeirir i God Ymarfer Adeiladu Wylfa Newydd [APP-414], ni roddir gwybodaeth fwy penodol yn y ddogfen honno. A yw IACC ac NRW yn fodlon bod digon o sicrwydd y bydd y mesurau arfaethedig yn cael eu rhoi ar waith?
<b>Q9.0.16</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraff 6.4.28 dogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125] yn datgan y byddai peiriannau ac offer trwm yn cydymffurfio â'r terfynau sŵn a ddyfynnir yng Nghyfarwydddeb Sŵn Awyr Agored 2000/14/EC, fel yr amlinellir yng Nghod Ymarfer Adeiladu Wylfa Newydd (WNCocP) [APP-414]. Fodd bynnag, nid yw'r terfynau sŵn wedi cael eu nodi yn yr WNCocP. Gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd ddarparu'r wybodaeth hon neu nodi ble y gellir dod o hyd iddi yn nogfennau'r cais.
<b>Q9.0.17</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Mae paragraff 6.4.44 dogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125] yn nodi y byddai gweithgareddau allweddol a allai fod yn swllyd yn cael eu cyfyngu yn ystod y cyfnodau mwy sensitif gyda'r nos, yn ystod y nos ac yn ystod y penwythnos, ond nid yw'n rhoi llawer o wybodaeth ynglŷn â pha fath o weithgareddau yw'r rhain a sut y byddent yn cael eu cyfyngu. Ni roddir gwybodaeth ychwanegol yn yr MPSSCoCP [APP-415]. Gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd ddarparu manylion am yr holl weithgareddau y bwriedir iddynt gael eu cyfyngu a sut y byddai cyfyngiadau o'r fath yn gweithio.

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q9.0.18</b>	Partïon â Buddiant	Q	Ni roddwyd cyfiawnhad yn nogfen D6 Sŵn a dirgryniad yr ES [APP-125] ynglŷn â pham na ellir lliniaru'r effeithiau gweddilliol arwyddocaol ymhellach. Gofynnir i Bartïon â Buddiant ddatgan a ydynt yn fodlon bod y mesurau lliniaru a gynigiwyd yn briodol ac, os na, pam.
<b>Q9.0.19</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraff 4.3.6 y gynrychiolaeth gan Lywodraeth Cymru [RR-092] yn amlygu'r cyd-destunau a ddarperir gan: Ddeddf Llesiant Cenedlaethau'r Dyfodol 2015; canllawiau polisi statudol 2017 ar reoli ansawdd aer lleol yng Nghymru; a, chynllun gweithredu ynghylch sŵn a seinwedd drafft 2018-2023 Llywodraeth Cymru (sy'n destun ymgynghori ar hyn o bryd). Sut mae'r Datganiad Amgylcheddol wedi mynd i'r afael â'r cyd-destunau hyn mewn perthynas ag effeithiau posibl y datblygiad ar ansawdd aer a sŵn?
<b>Q9.0.20</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraff 1.6.105 <i>Cyfeirnod Cais: 6.4.1 ES Cyfrol D – WNDA Datblygiad D1 – Datblygiad Arfaethedig</i> [REF] yn datgan '...byddai cymdogion agos yn cael eu hysbysu'n briodol am gyfnodau ffrwydro...'. Pwy fyddai'n cael ei ystyried yn 'gymydog agos' a beth fyddai'n cael ei ystyried yn 'hysbysu'n briodol'?
<b>Q9.0.21</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Gan gyfeirio at Gyfeirnod Cais: <i>6.4.6 1 ES Cyfrol D – WNDA Datblygiad D6 – Sŵn a dirgryniad</i> [REF], i ba raddau y byddai ffrwydradau'n amrywio o ran maint e.e. maint y wefr a thunelledd y graig a fyddai'n cael ei dadleoli? Pwy fyddai'n debygol o glywed y ffrwydrad a pha mor aml y disgwyliid iddo ddigwydd?
<b>Q9.0.22</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADE	Ymatebwch i bryderon a godwyd mewn cynrychiolaethau (gan gynnwys RR-100, RR-113 ac RR-106), ynglŷn â'r effeithiau posibl sy'n gysylltiedig â chlirio a chael gwared ar uwchbridd.

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q9.0.23</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Ymatebwch i bryderon a godwyd gan Bartion â Buddiant (RR-101, RR-119, RR-040, RR-117, RR-118 ac RR-007) ynglŷn ag: effeithiolrwydd mesurau lliniaru ar gyfer y rhai hynny a fyddai'n profi effeithiau (y gallai rhai ohonynt fod yn effeithiau mawr neu gymedrol) o ganlyniad i'r datblygiad arfaethedig; ac, effeithiolrwydd y Cynllun Cynorthwyo Cymdogaeth.
<b>Q9.0.24</b>	Cyngor Cymuned Mechell	WF	Yn eich RR, rydych wedi dweud eich bod o'r farn y byddai sŵn ac aflonyddu yn effeithio ar nifer o eiddo ym Mynydd Mechell. A allwch chi roi mwy o fanylion ynglŷn â sut y daethoch i'r casgliad hwn a nodi pa eiddo yr effeithir arnynt?
<b>Q9.0.25</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Cynigir drymlinoedd artiffisial fel modd o leihau sŵn. A allai'r Ymgeisydd roi enghreifftiau o fannau lle y defnyddiwyd drymlinoedd artiffisial yn llwyddiannus i leihau sŵn ac, os yw'n bosibl, rhoi cyfle i'r ExA ymweld â safle lle mae drymlinoedd tebyg i'r rhai a gynigir ar waith?
<b>Q9.0.26</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Mae'r WNCocP[APP-414] yn cynnig Strategaeth Lliniaru Sŵn Lleol (LNMS) ar gyfer, ymhlith pethau eraill, eiddo y byddai sŵn traffig yn cael effaith niweidiol arnynt, yn amodol ar nifer o feini prawf (paragraff 8.3.13). A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio pa ddulliau a gynigir i ymdrin ag anghydfodau neu pan wrthodir honiad, e.e. pan fydd perchennog eiddo o'r farn bod problem ond nid yw HNP yn credu ei bod yn bodloni'r meini prawf a osodir ym mharagraff 8.3.13, neu pan fydd perchennog yr eiddo o'r farn bod y gwelliannau a gynigir (paragraff 8.3.17) yn annerbyniol?
<b>Q9.0.27</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Mae paragraff 8.4.3 [APP-414] yn disgrifio beth fyddai'n digwydd pe byddai sŵn a dirgryniad yn croesi'r lefelau a bennwyd. A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio: (a) Pam nad yw rhoi'r gorau i weithio wedi'i gynnwys ar y rhestr hon? (b) A yw'n credu y dylai bafflau/rhwystrau sŵn cludadwy gael eu cynnwys ym mhwynt bwled 4 ac, os na, a ddylent gael eu cynnwys fel

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			mesur posibl? (c) Beth a olygir gan 'fesurau dichonadwy a rhesymol eraill' a sut y gellid diffinio hyn ymhellach?
<b>Q9.0.28</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADB	Mae paragraff 8.3.1 yr is-CoCP Parcio a Theithio [APP-418] yn nodi nifer o fesurau arfer da i leihau sŵn gymaint â phosibl. Gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd:  (a) Gadarnhau y bydd y cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio yn cael ei osod mewn ffordd sy'n cynyddu llif traffig i'r eithaf a lleihau'r angen i fysiau gwennol neu gerbydau eraill sy'n gwneud sŵn blipio facio'n ôl; a (b) Datgan pa fesurau a fyddai'n cael eu rhoi ar waith i leihau sŵn blipio wrth facio'n ôl gymaint â phosibl.
<b>Q9.0.29</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADC	Cynigir nifer o fesurau lliniaru arfer da i leihau sŵn gymaint â phosibl ym mharagraff 8.2.4 is-CoCP y Ganolfan Logisteg [APP-419]. A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio sut y bydd yn sicrhau bod gyrwyr danfoniadau'n ymwybodol o'r mesurau hyn a sut y cânt eu gorfodi ar y safle?
<b>Q9.0.30</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Mae ES B6 paragraff 6.4.82 yn datgan "Nid yw'n bosibl rhagfynegi dirgryniadau ffrwydro hyd nes y bydd dyluniadau ffrwydro wedi'u cwblhau. Felly, mae'r asesiad hwn wedi gosod gwerthoedd terfyn ar gyfer dirgryniadau ffrwydro, yr ystyrir y byddant yn arwain at effeithiau dibwys neu fach, a byddai'r holl ffrwydradau'n cael eu dylunio i fod islaw'r trothwyon hyn." Rhoddir y trothwyon hyn yn adran 8.2 is-CoCP prif safle'r Orsaf Bŵer. Esboniwch sut y byddai'r broses ddylunio'n sicrhau bod lefelau dirgryniad yn aros o dan y trothwyon hyn yn ystod y cam adeiladu.
<b>Q9.0.31</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	ADC	Amlygwyd nifer o ysgolion (e.e. Ysgol Gynradd Cemaes) y gallai sŵn effeithio arnynt, a dywedir y gallai fod angen mesurau i liniaru'r effeithiau hyn. Gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd gadarnhau:

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>(a) pan aseswyd yr ysgolion, a ystyriwyd effaith y cynnig ar eu hardaloedd allanol (e.e. meysydd chwarae a chaeau chwaraeon);</p> <p>(b) a fyddai'r cynnig yn effeithio ar unrhyw un o'r ardaloedd hyn; a</p> <p>(c) phe byddai angen mesurau lliniaru, pa ffurf y byddai'r rhain yn ei chymryd i alluogi disgyblion yn yr ysgol i barhau i chwarae neu gymryd rhan mewn chwaraeon y tu allan?</p> <p>A hoffai IACC wneud unrhyw sylwadau?</p>
<b>10.</b>	<b>Economaidd-gymdeithasol</b>		
<b>10.1</b>	<b>Llety</b>		
<b>Q10.1.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	<p>Yn Nhabl 5.2 Datganiad Cynaliadwyedd yr Ymgeisydd, gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd gynghori ar:</p> <p>Amcan 3 – perthnasedd swyddi, sgiliau, addysg a hyfforddiant</p> <p>Amcan 4 – pam nad yw llety'n agwedd negyddol yn erbyn y meincnod yn ystod adeiladu</p> <p>Amcan 5 – mae trafndiaeth gynaliadwy yn agwedd gadarnhaol bwysig, ond nid yw'r Datganiad Cynaliadwyedd yn sôn am gerbydau trydan neu hybrid, sy'n arbennig o berthnasol i fysiau.</p> <p>Amcan 13 – mae llety'n agwedd gadarnhaol fach, ond mae'r disgrifiad a roddir ym mharagraff 5.15.10 yn awgrymu melyn, sef amrywiaeth o ganlyniadau cadarnhaol a negyddol posibl.</p>
<b>Q10.1.2</b>	IACC	ADA	A ydych chi'n credu y byddai campws arfaethedig y safle'n cydymffurfio â pholisi PS 10 y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol ar y Cyd (CDLIC)? Os na, pam?
<b>Q10.1.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADA	<p>Ymatebwch, gyda thystiolaeth, i bryderon IACC ynghylch y canlynol:</p> <p>(a) Nid oes cyfiawnhad digonol o'r angen i letya hyd at 4000 o weithwyr</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>ar y safle;</p> <p>(b) Pam y gwrthodwyd lleoliadau amgen ar gyfer y llety dros dro, gan gynnwys safleoedd posibl eraill o fewn NWDA a rhai mewn mannau eraill;</p> <p>(c) Mae'r cyfleusterau hamdden a'r cyfleusterau eraill oddi ar y safle yn annigonol i weithlu o 9000?</p>
<b>Q10.1.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADA	<p>Mae paragraff 4.3.14 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yn cyfeirio at ddarparu campws y safle 'fesul cam' ac mae'r ES [APP-088– paragraff 1.4.9 ac APP-122 – paragraff 3.4.6] yn dweud y daw'r lleoedd gwely ar gael pan gyrhaeddir trothwyon y gweithlu fel y'u nodir yn y gofyniad dDCO.</p> <p>Gofynnir i'r Ymgeisydd</p> <p>(a) Nodi ble y gellir dod o hyd i wybodaeth bellach am hyn yn y dogfennau a gyflwynwyd neu ddarparu manylion ychwanegol ynglŷn â sut y bydd y dull hwn o gyflwyno fesul cam yn gweithio a ph'un a fyddai'n gysylltiedig â'r Strategaeth Rheoli Llety Gweithwyr (WAMS)?</p> <p>(b) Nodi beth yw'r gofyniad perthnasol a ble y cyfeirir at y trothwyon?</p> <p>(c) Beth fyddai niferoedd y gweithlu cyn i'r angen am gampws y safle gael ei ysgogi, a beth fyddai'r trefniadau llety ar gyfer y gweithwyr hyn?</p> <p>(ch) Pam mae rhaglen adeiladu 5 mlynedd ar gyfer campws y safle?</p> <p>(d) Pryd yn ystod y rhaglen adeiladu/camau cyflwyno graddol y byddai elfen cyfleusterau iechyd a chymdeithasol (gan gynnwys y MUGAs) campws y safle yn cael ei darparu, ac os na fydd hyn yn ystod y camau cyntaf, pa drefniadau amgen a fyddai'n cael eu gwneud ar gyfer anghenion iechyd a chymdeithasol gweithwyr yn ystod y cyfnod hwn.</p>
<b>Q10.1.5</b>	IACC a'r Ymgeisydd	ADA	Cyfeiriwyd yn yr RR at ganiatâd cynllunio sydd eisoes yn bodoli (Cyfeirnod Awdurdod Cynllunio Lleol (ACLI): 46C427K/TR/EIA/ECON) am lety ar gyfer



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>3,500 o weithwyr yn safleoedd Cae Glas a Kingsland yng Nghaergybi.</p> <p>(a) A all IACC ddarparu copi o'r hysbysiad o benderfyniad ac adroddiad y pwyllgor ar gyfer y caniatâd cynllunio hwn ac unrhyw sylwadau yr hoffai eu gwneud ar y cynllun hwn; ac</p> <p>(b) A all yr Ymgeisydd ymhelaethu ymhellach ar y rhesymau (Adran 2.2 APP-122] pam mae wedi dewis darparu campws ar y safle ar gyfer gweithwyr yn hytrach na defnyddio'r safleoedd y rhoddwyd caniatâd iddynt ac sydd ar gael yn awr o ran cynllunio ac a fyddai'n darparu gwaddol tymor hir?</p>
<b>Q10.1.6</b>	IACC a'r Ymgeisydd	WA	<p>Pan fydd ar waith, byddai angen 1,000 o weithwyr dros dro bob naw mis i ymdrin â chynnodau cau cynlluniedig am gyfnod o 25-30 o ddiwrnodau. Nid yw effeithiau hyn wedi cael eu hasesu oherwydd cynhaliwyd cyfnodau cau yn Wylfa A heb effaith anffafriol ar y stoc dwristiaeth (paragraff 1.5.125 [APP-088]).</p> <p>(a) Faint o weithwyr oedd eu hangen ar gyfer y cyfnodau cau yn Wylfa A a pha mor aml y'u cynhaliwyd?</p> <p>(b) A ddarparwyd llety ar gyfer y gweithwyr hyn?</p> <p>(c) A oedd cyfnodau cau Wylfa A wedi'u hamseru fel nad oeddent yn digwydd yn ystod y cyfnod twristiaeth brig ym mis Awst?</p> <p>(ch) A fyddai cyfnodau cau Wylfa B yn cael eu hamseru i osgoi cyfnod twristiaeth brig mis Awst ac, os yw IACC o'r farn bod hyn yn angenrheidiol, a fyddai angen iddo gael ei reoli gan ofyniad?</p>
<b>Q10.1.7</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Bwriedir i rywfaint o lety'r gweithlu ddod o'r lle sydd ar gael mewn llety carafanau a gwersylla. A all yr Ymgeisydd:</p> <p>(a) Egluro p'un a yw'r niferoedd lle pen a nodir yn cyfeirio at leiniau</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>parhaol yn unig (h.y. carafanau sefydlog/symudol a phebyll a godwyd yn barhaol) neu a ydynt yn cynnwys lleiniau dros dro (h.y. lle y byddai angen dod â charafán i'r safle neu godi pabell ar y safle)?</p> <p>(b) Os yw'r rhif yn cynnwys lleiniau dros dro, a all yr Ymgeisydd ddarparu ffigurau ar gyfer lleiniau dros dro a pharhaol ac, o ran y lleiniau parhaol, nodi faint o'r rhain a fyddai mewn carafán neu gartref sefydlog/symudol a faint a fyddai mewn pabell?</p> <p>(c) Rhoi enghreifftiau o brosiectau eraill lle yr ystyriwyd ei fod yn dderbyniol defnyddio pebyll i letya gweithwyr.</p> <p>(ch) Cyfeirir at y posibilrwydd y gallai gweithwyr ddod â'u carafanau eu hunain. Gan edrych ar brosiectau eraill tebyg mewn mannau eraill, a oes unrhyw ddata i awgrymu faint sy'n bosibl a ble y byddent yn cael eu lleoli?</p>
<b>Q10.1.8</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC a GCC	Q	<p>Mae Polisi TAI 14 y CDLIC yn ymdrin â defnyddio carafanau, cartrefi symudol a mathau eraill o lety nad ydynt yn barhaol ar gyfer gweithwyr dros dro. Fodd bynnag, mae hyn yn ddarostyngedig i nifer o feini prawf.</p> <p>(a) A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau, pan asesodd y lle gwrsylla a charafanio sydd ar gael, a roddwyd ystyriaeth i'r meini prawf hyn a dim ond y safleoedd/lleiniau hynny a oedd yn bodloni'r meini prawf a gynhwyswyd yn y cyfrifiadau terfynol ac, os na, pam?</p> <p>(b) A all IACC a GCC nodi faint o safleoedd carafanio/gwrsylla yn yr Ardal Astudio Allweddol (KSA) a fyddai'n bodloni'r meini prawf a osodwyd yn y polisi, yn eu barn nhw, a faint o le y byddent yn ei ddarparu?</p>
<b>Q10.1.9</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>(a) A allwch chi gadarnhau p'un a yw'r ffigurau a roddwyd ar gyfer lleoedd gwely yn y sector rhentu preifat yn cynnwys lleoedd gwely a fyddai'n dod o lety hunanarlwyo gwyliau neu ail gartrefi?</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>(b) Esboniwch sut rydych wedi gwahaniaethu rhwng llety rhentu preifat a bythynnod/fflatiau gwyliau.</p> <p>(c) Rhowch fanylion ynglŷn â'r mesurau diogelu rydych wedi'u rhoi ar waith i sicrhau nad yw bythynnod/fflatiau gwyliau wedi cael eu cyfrif ddwywaith fel 'llety twristiaid', 'sector rhentu preifat' neu 'ail gartrefi'?</p>
<b>Q10.1.10</b>	GCC a Phrifysgol Bangor	Q	<p>A oes gennych unrhyw bryderon ynglŷn â'r effaith y gallai'r galw am lety ar gyfer gweithwyr dros dro ei chael ar y stoc rhentu preifat ym Mangor sydd eisoes yn cael ei defnyddio gan fyfyrwyr Prifysgol neu sydd ar gael iddynt?</p> <p>(a) Faint o fyfyrwyr sydd ym Mhrifysgol Bangor ar hyn o bryd?</p> <p>(b) O'r rhain, faint y mae arnynt angen llety?</p> <p>(c) O'r rhai y mae arnynt angen llety, faint y gellir eu lletya mewn neuaddau preswyl neu lety'r Brifysgol a faint y gallai fod angen iddynt ddefnyddio'r sector rhentu preifat?</p> <p>(ch) A oes gan y Brifysgol strategaeth lety ac, os felly, a yw'n ystyried effaith bosibl y cais ac a oes unrhyw gynigion yn y dyfodol i'r Brifysgol ddarparu llety ychwanegol i fyfyrwyr ar ei phen ei hun neu mewn partneriaeth?</p> <p>(d) A hoffech wneud unrhyw sylwadau ar y mater hwn i'r ExA?</p>
<b>Q10.1.11</b>	IACC	Q	<p>Yn eich RR (paragraff 5.10.1), rydych yn dweud eich bod o'r farn bod y ffigurau a ddefnyddiwyd gan HNP i bennu capasiti llety presennol yn annibynadwy ac yn goramcangyfrif y capasiti. Pa dystiolaeth y gallwch ei darparu i gyfiawnhau'r datganiad hwn?</p>
<b>Q10.1.12</b>	IACC, GCC a Llywodraeth Cymru	Q	<p>A ydych chi'n credu y byddai'r mesurau lliniaru arfaethedig a amlinellir yn Adran 1.6 yr ES Cyfrol C [APP-088] mewn perthynas â monitro a rheoli tai a chefnogi darparu tai ychwanegol yn foddhaol?</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q10.1.13</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraff 1.5.37 [APP-088] yn amcangyfrif y byddai tua 600 o weithwyr yn ceisio symud i stoc berchen-feddianwyr (h.y. prynu tŷ/fflat). A allwch chi gadarnhau a fyddai'r rhain yn weithwyr adeiladu neu weithredu ac, os felly, a allwch chi roi ffigurau ar wahân ar gyfer pob un?
<b>Q10.1.14</b>	IACC, GCC, Grŵp Cynefin a Grŵp Tai Pennaf	Q	(a) Rhowch fanylion faint o dai fforddiadwy a adeiladwyd o fewn y KSA yn ystod y pum mlynedd diwethaf yn ôl y math o dai (e.e. fflat, tŷ), maint (e.e. 1 ystafell wely, 2 ystafell wely) a'r math o ddeiliadaeth (e.e. rhentu cymdeithasol, neu rent marchnad canolraddol neu ostyngedig); (b) Faint o alw sydd am dai fforddiadwy yn y KSA ar hyn o bryd? (c) A ddarparwyd digon o dai i fodloni'r angen presennol am dai fforddiadwy ac, os felly, a oes unrhyw gapasiti dros ben? (ch) Pa fesurau a gynigir i sicrhau bod tai fforddiadwy ar gael i bobl leol o hyd?
<b>Q10.1.15</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	(a) Sut byddai'r Strategaeth Rheoli Llety Gweithwyr (WAMS) yn cael ei hariannu? (b) A fyddai tâl yn cael ei godi ar weithwyr i ddefnyddio'r gwasanaeth? (c) A fyddai tâl yn cael ei godi ar ddarparwyr llety i gofrestru/defnyddio'r gwasanaeth?
<b>Q10.1.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADA	Mae'r Strategaeth Rheoli Gweithlu [APP-413] yn datgan y byddai amrywiaeth o gyfleusterau cymdeithasol, hamdden a chwaraeon ar gampws y safle i'w defnyddio gan weithwyr yn ystod eu hamser rhydd. A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau:  (a) Pa gyfleusterau a fyddai'n cael eu darparu ar y safle. (b) A fyddai'r cyfleusterau hyn ar gael yn rhad ac am ddim ac, os na, p'un a fyddent yn cael eu cymorthdal. (c) A fyddai gweithwyr sy'n byw gartref yn gymwys i ddefnyddio'r

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>cyfleusterau.            (ch) Sut mae wedi cyfrifo bod ganddo ddigon o gyfleusterau i fodloni anghenion y 4,000 neu'r 9,000 o weithwyr arfaethedig (os bwriedir iddynt fod ar gael i weithwyr sy'n byw gartref).            (d) A fyddent ar gael i'w defnyddio gan y gymuned ehangach ai peidio (paragraff 2.3.1 BP18).            (dd) A roddwyd unrhyw ystyriaeth i b'un a allai'r cyfleusterau cymdeithasol gael eu hadeiladu mewn modd a fyddai'n golygu y gellid eu cadw yn ystod y cyfnod gweithredu fel gwaddol i'r gweithlu gweithredu/y gymuned leol?</p>
<b>Q10.1.17</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC a GCC	Q	<p>Mae'r strategaeth lety'n tybio y byddai datblygwyr tai yn disgwyl ac yn ymateb i'r galw a fyddai'n cael ei greu gan Brosiect Wylfa Newydd. O ystyried yr amser arwain hyd at adeiladu, a yw unrhyw rai o'r partion yn ymgysylltu â datblygwyr yn rhagweithiol ynglŷn â'r mater hwn i sicrhau bod y stoc dai sy'n angenrheidiol yn cael ei darparu mewn pryd ac am bris fforddiadwy? Sut byddai'r Gronfa Dai arfaethedig yn helpu i gyflenwi'r stoc hon?</p>
<b>Q10.1.18</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Mae'r strategaeth lety arfaethedig wedi'i seilio ar 2,000 o weithwyr sy'n byw gartref. Mae paragraff 1.5.21 yr ES [APP-088] yn datgan pe byddai hyn yn digwydd y gallai'r galw fynd yn fwy na'r cyflenwad am 'rai mathau o lety'. Eglurwch ba fathau o'r stoc y byddai hyn yn effeithio arnynt.</p>
<b>Q10.1.19</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Er yr ystyriwyd y llety sydd ar gael o ran fforddiadwyedd, a aseswyd addasrwydd llety i weithwyr? Os felly, pa feini prawf a ddefnyddiwyd?</p>
<b>Q10.1.20</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Cyfeirir at y llety sydd ar gael fel lle pen.            (a) A all yr Ymgeisydd egluro'r hyn a olygir gan le pen?            (b) O ystyried y byddai mwyafrif y gweithwyr (yn enwedig yn ystod y</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			cam adeiladu) yn unigolion sengl, cadarnhewch sut y cyfrifwyd ystafell wely ddwbl, e.e. a fyddai uned dwy ystafell wely yn cynnwys lle pen ar gyfer dau neu bedwar o bobl?
<b>Q10.1.21</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	Q	<p>Cyfeirir at y ffaith y gallai cyfyngiadau trwyddedu a chynllunio fodoli ar gyfer safleoedd carafanau a gweryslla mewn perthynas â deiliadaeth.</p> <p>(a) A oes cyfyngiadau tebyg (e.e. amodau cynllunio) yn bodoli ar gyfer eiddo arall yn y stoc dwristiaeth, fel bythynnod gwyliau?</p> <p>(b) Os oes, sut byddai hyn yn effeithio ar ffigurau'r stoc sydd ar gael ac a roddwyd ystyriaeth i hyn?</p> <p>(c) A fyddai IACC yn cefnogi dileu/atal yr amodau/cyfyngiadau trwydded hyn dros dro fel bod stoc yn gallu cael ei defnyddio ar gyfer llety gweithwyr yn ystod y cam adeiladu?</p>
<b>Q10.1.22</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC a GCC	Q	<p>Mae Adran 9.3 yr ES [APP-412] yn gwneud nifer o ddatganiadau ynglŷn â'r hyn y 'gallai'r' Gronfa Dai ei wneud. A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau:</p> <p>(a) Yr hyn y 'byddai'n' ei wneud?</p> <p>(b) Sut y byddai'n gweithredu a phwy fyddai'n gwneud penderfyniadau ynglŷn â'r ffordd fwyaf effeithiol o wario'r arian?</p> <p>(c) Pa gronfeydd fyddai ar gael iddi ac a fyddai'r rhain ar gael ar y dechrau neu'n cael eu cyflwyno'n raddol ar draws y prosiect cyfan?</p> <p>(ch) Beth fyddai'n digwydd yn achos anghydfod?</p> <p>A all IACC a GCC gadarnhau a ydynt yn credu y byddai'r Gronfa Dai yn helpu i hybu'r cyflenwad tai yn yr ardal? Os na, pam?</p>
<b>Q10.1.23</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Disgwylir y byddai rhywfaint o lety'n cael ei ddarparu trwy ddefnyddio llety cudd (ystafelloedd sbâr, rhandai ac ati). A all yr Ymgeisydd nodi pa fesurau

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			y byddai'n eu cynnig mewn perthynas â diogelu?
<b>Q10.1.24</b>	IACC a GCC	Q	Ni fyddai'r WAMS [APP-412] yn ddogfen ardystiedig, er y byddai rhai o'r mesurau a gynhwysir ynddi'n cael eu sicrhau trwy ddulliau eraill, fel Adran 106. A yw IACC a GCC yn fodlon na ddylai'r WAMS fod yn ddogfen ardystiedig?
<b>Q10.1.25</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae'r WAMS[APP-412] yn datgan (paragraff 1.1.5) y bydd nifer o brosiectau seilwaith eraill ar raddfa fawr yn cael eu hadeiladu yn y DU ar yr un adeg â'r cynnig. O ganlyniad, byddai dewis ar gael i weithwyr o ran ble i weithio ac felly byddai angen i'r llety a gynigir ar gyfer y prosiect hwn fod yn atyniadol.  A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio sut yr adlewyrchir hyn yn nyluniad, gosodiad a chyfleusterau campws y safle (gan gyfeirio'n benodol at faint yr ystafelloedd)?
<b>Q10.1.26</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraff 2.1.1 yr WAMS [APP-412] yn nodi tri nod trosfwaol ar gyfer y WAMS, sy'n cynnwys 'darparu gwaddol cadarnhaol'. A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio sut y byddai hyn yn cael ei gyflawni trwy gampws y safle, sy'n gyfleuster dros dro?
<b>Q10.1.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	(a) Faint o bobl sydd wedi defnyddio'r Cynllun Cymorth Pris Eiddo ers iddo ddod i rym ym mis Ebrill 2015? (b) Sut y diffinnir eiddo cymwys? (c) A oes dull i'w ddefnyddio i fynd i'r afael ag anghytundeb ynglŷn â ph'un a yw eiddo (i) yn gymwys neu (ii) yn uwch na'r prisiadau? (ch) A oes dull o adolygu'r swm £5,000 tuag at gostau symud a ffioedd proffesiynol i gyfrif am y cynnydd blynyddol yng nghostau darparu'r gwasanaethau hyn?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q10.1.28</b>	IACC	Q	<p>Mae'r ES [APP-088] yn cyfeirio at y ffaith bod nifer y tai sy'n cael eu hadeiladu ar Ynys Môn wedi gostwng 60% ers 2008-2009.</p> <p>A all IACC ddarparu'r wybodaeth ganlynol:</p> <p>(a) A oedd gan IACC gyflenwad pum mlynedd o dir ar gyfer tai yn ystod y cyfnod hwn? Os felly, beth ydoedd ac a gafodd ei gyflawni?</p> <p>(b) Rhoi diweddariad ar y sefyllfa bresennol o ran cyflenwad 5 mlynedd o dir ar gyfer tai?</p> <p>(c) Nodi p'un a yw unrhyw ddiffyg yn ymwneud â chyflenwad (h.y. prinder caniatâd cynllunio) neu ddarparu (h.y. nid yw datblygwyr yn gweithredu caniatâd cynllunio)?</p>
<b>Q10.1.29</b>	IACC a'r Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Mae'r WAMS [APP-412] yn cyfeirio at y ffaith bod targedau tai presennol y CDLIC yn adlewyrchu'r twf a ragwelir yn y boblogaeth o ganlyniad i'r Prosiect Ynys Ynni (EIP). A all IACC esbonio:</p> <p>(a) Beth yw'r EIP?</p> <p>(b) Mae'r WAMS yn datgan mai'r cynnig hwn fyddai elfen unigol fwyaf yr EIP. Beth yw'r elfennau eraill?</p> <p>Yr Ymgeisydd – A allwch chi gadarnhau bod effeithiau cronol yr EIP wedi cael eu hystyried wrth lunio dogfennau'r cais?</p>
<b>Q10.1.30</b>	GCC	Q	<p>Mae'r KSA hefyd yn cynnwys Gwynedd, ond nid yw'n ymddangos y darparwyd unrhyw wybodaeth ynglŷn â nifer y cartrefi newydd sy'n cael eu hadeiladu yng Ngwynedd.</p> <p>A all GCC:</p>



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>(a) Roi manylion nifer y cartrefi a adeiladwyd rhwng 2008/9 a 2015/16 a ph'un a yw hyn yn uwch neu'n is na'r blynyddoedd blaenorol?</p> <p>(b) Nodi beth yw'ch sefyllfa bresennol o ran cyflenwad 5 mlynedd o dir ar gyfer tai; a</p> <p>(c) Nodi a yw'r sefyllfa bresennol o ran cyflenwad 5 mlynedd o dir ar gyfer tai yn cynnwys lwfans ar gyfer yr anghenion tai a fyddai'n cael eu cynhyrchu gan y cynnig?</p>
<b>Q10.1.31</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	Q	Mae'r ES yn cyfeirio at y ffaith bod gan Ynys Môn y nawfed gyfran fwyaf o ail gartrefi mewn awdurdodau lleol yng Nghymru a Lloegr (paragraff 1.3.9 [APP-088]). Faint o ail gartrefi sydd ar Ynys Môn a pha gyfran o'r stoc dai ydyw? A yw'r diffiniad o ail gartrefi'n cynnwys llety gosod ar gyfer gwyliau?
<b>10.2</b>	<b>Cyflogaeth</b>		
<b>Q10.2.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Ffigur C1-5 yr ES [APP-088] – esboniwch beth yw'r gwahaniaeth rhwng llafurwr a llafurwr cyffredinol a pham yr ystyrir bod angen gwahaniaethu rhwng y ddau.
<b>Q10.2.2</b>	IACC, GCC a Llywodraeth Cymru	Q	Ni fyddai'r Strategaeth Swyddi a Sgiliau [APP-411] yn cael ei sicrhau gan y dDCO. O ystyried bod hyn yn amlinellu sut y byddai Horizon yn gweithio gyda rhanddeiliaid i ariannu hyfforddiant ychwanegol i fodloni anghenion sgiliau'r prosiect, a yw IACC, GCC a Llywodraeth Cymru yn fodlon â'r ymagwedd hon?
<b>Q10.2.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Mae paragraff 6.2.9 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yn cyfeirio at weithwyr sy'n byw gartref –</p> <p>(a) A allwch chi esbonio beth a olygir wrth weithiwr sy'n byw gartref, ble mae hyn yn cael ei ddiffinio yn y dogfennau a, phe byddai 1,260 o'r rhain yn dod o Ynys Môn, ble byddai'r 740 arall o weithwyr sy'n byw</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>gartref wedi'u lleoli?</p> <p>(b) A yw'r targed ar gyfer gweithwyr sy'n byw gartref yn ymwneud â'r prosiect cyfan (adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu) neu adeiladu yn unig?</p> <p>(c) O ystyried y dywedir y bydd 850 o weithwyr gweithredu – beth yw'r targed o ran gweithwyr sy'n byw gartref ar gyfer yr elfen hon o'r cynllun?</p>
<b>Q10.2.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Mae paragraff 1.3.7 yr ES Cyfrol C [APP-088] yn datgan bod y data'n dangos bod gweithlu preswyl Ynys Môn yn cynnwys cyfran gymharol uchel o sgiliau lefel uchel (grwpiau dosbarthiad galwedigaethol safonol 1-3).</p> <p>(a) O ran y rolau a amlinellir yn ffigur C1-5 yr ES Cyfrol C [APP-088], faint o'r rhain fyddai'n cael eu dosbarthu'n sgiliau lefel uchel?</p> <p>(b) Os yw mwyafrif y rolau hyn yn rhai sgiliau lefel isel, sut mae'r Ymgeisydd yn bwriadu llenwi'r swyddi hyn o blith y gweithlu lleol?</p> <p>(c) Sut mae'r Strategaeth Swyddi a Sgiliau [APP-411] yn adlewyrchu'r hyfforddiant sy'n ofynnol o ystyried lefelau sgiliau presennol y gweithwyr sy'n byw gartref?</p>
<b>Q10.2.5</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Mae paragraff 3.2.33 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yn dweud y bydd angen i oddeutu traean o'r gweithlu gweithredol feddu ar sgiliau medrus hyd at lefel dechnegol.</p> <p>(a) Diffiniwch yr hyn a olygir wrth feddu ar sgiliau medrus hyd at lefel dechnegol?</p> <p>(b) Faint o'r gweithlu adeiladu y byddai angen iddynt feddu ar sgiliau medrus hyd at lefel dechnegol?</p> <p>(c) Faint o'r gweithlu gweithredu y byddai angen iddynt feddu ar sgiliau medrus hyd at lefel dechnegol?</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			(ch) Pa fesurau (heblaw am brentisiaethau) sy'n cael eu cynnig i sicrhau bod gweithwyr lleol yn gallu cael mynediad at yr hyfforddiant i ddod yn fedrus hyd at lefel dechnegol?
<b>Q10.2.6</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Bydd angen 1,000 o weithwyr ychwanegol bob naw mis ar gyfer cyfnodau cau cynlluniedig – faint o'r rhain fyddai'n gweithwyr sy'n byw gartref?
<b>Q10.2.7</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Byddai'r Strategaeth Swyddi a Sgiliau [APP-411] yn darparu gwasanaeth broceru trwy ôl-lenwi swyddi gwag i gynorthwyo busnesau lle'r oedd gweithwyr yn symud i brosiect Wylfa Newydd. A fyddai tâl yn cael ei godi ar gwmnïau i ddefnyddio'r gwasanaeth hwn?
<b>Q10.2.8</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Cynigiwyd cynllun peilot ar gyfer y Strategaeth Swyddi a Sgiliau mewn perthynas â'r Cynigion Paratoi a Chlirio'r Safle. A yw'r cynllun peilot wedi dechrau ac, os felly, a allwch chi roi diweddariad ar sut mae wedi gweithredu?
<b>Q10.2.9</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	A fyddai'r Porth Cyflogaeth a Sgiliau yn ddwyieithog?
<b>Q10.2.10</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC, GCC, Heddlu Gogledd Cymru (NWP), Iechyd Cyhoeddus Cymru (PHW) a Bwrdd Iechyd Prifysgol Betsi Cadwaladr (BCUHB)	Q	Mae'r Strategaeth Rheoli Gweithlu [APP-413] yn amlinellu'r paramedrau ar gyfer codau ymddygiad yn ymwneud ag ymddygiad y gweithlu (paragraff 2.2.1) ac ymddygiad y cyflogwr (paragraff 2.3.1). A all yr Ymgeisydd:  (a) Gadarnhau a fyddai'n rhaid i weithwyr sy'n byw gartref lofnodi'r codau ymddygiad? (b) Esbonio'r hyn a olygir gan weithwyr sydd oddi ar y safle? (c) Cadarnhau na fyddai'r codau'n torri Hawliau Dynol neu hawliau cyflogaeth gweithwyr (yn enwedig gweithwyr sy'n byw gartref). (ch) Esbonio canlyniadau torri'r codau. (d) Esbonio sut byddai'r codau'n cael eu gorfodi?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			A yw IACC, GCC, NWP, PHW a BCUHB yn fodlon â'r mesurau a gynigiwyd gan WMS o ystyried y pryderon a fynegwyd ganddynt, gan gyfeirio'n benodol at ddiogelu ac ymddygiad gwrthgymdeithasol? Os na, pam?
<b>Q10.2.11</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC, GCC ac NWP	Q	<p>Mae pwynt bwled 8 (BP8) paragraff 2.3.1 y Strategaeth Rheoli Gweithlu [APP-413] yn datgan 'bydd gwiriadau diogelwch priodol yn cael eu cynnal ar bersonél adeiladu', ac mae pwynt bwled 17 (BP17) yn ymdrin â gwiriadau yn ymwneud ag oedolion agored i niwed a phlant. A all yr Ymgeisydd:</p> <p>(a) Esbonio pam yr ystyrir bod BP8 yn angenrheidiol ar gyfer personél adeiladu yn unig ac nid y gweithlu cyfan; ac</p> <p>(b) Esbonio beth fyddai'n digwydd pe byddai gweithiwr yn methu'r gwiriadau diogelwch neu sgrinio?</p> <p>A all IACC, GCC ac NWP gadarnhau:</p> <p>(a) Bod y rhestr o wiriadau arfaethedig yn BP8 yn ddigonol; a</p> <p>(b) Ph'un a yw'r mesurau arfaethedig yn BP8 a BP17 yn mynd i'r afael â'u pryderon ynglŷn â diogelu yn briodol?</p>
<b>Q10.2.12</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae'r ES [APP-122] yn dweud bod y mesurau lliniaru ymgorfforedig ar gyfer y cam datgomisiynu yn debyg i'r rhai ar gyfer y cam adeiladu (paragraff 3.4.37). A gynigir unrhyw fesurau lliniaru ar gyfer y gweithwyr o ran rhaglenni ailhyfforddi, ac ati, i'w galluogi i ddod o hyd i gyflogaeth amgen yn yr ardal pan fydd y gwaith wedi cau?
<b>Q10.2.13</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	A allwch chi roi diweddariad ar gynnydd mewn perthynas â'r Strategaeth Swyddi a Sgilliau?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q10.2.14</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	Q	(a) Faint o arian fyddai ar gael i'r gronfa Sgiliau? (b) O ystyried yr angen a argymhellwyd am hyblygrwydd a'r ystod eang o brosiectau a gweithgareddau y gallai eu hariannu, a fyddai'r swm a gynnigir yn ddigonol i liniaru effaith y cais?
<b>Q10.2.15</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae Tablau 3-4 i 3-6 y Strategaeth Swyddi a Sgiliau [APP-411] yn nodi'r amser y byddai'n ei gymryd i hyfforddi ar gyfer y gwahanol rolau. O ystyried yr amser a gymerir i hyfforddi ar gyfer rhai o'r swyddi hyn, pryd byddai'r hyfforddiant hwn yn dechrau?
<b>Q10.2.16</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae tabl 3-7 y Strategaeth Swyddi a Sgiliau [APP-411] yn awgrymu y byddai mwyafrif y cyfleoedd ar gyfer gweithwyr sy'n byw gartref mewn gwasanaethau safle.  A all yr Ymgeisydd nodi'r hyn sy'n cael ei wneud i gynyddu cyfleoedd gymaint â phosibl i weithwyr sy'n byw gartref mewn meysydd eraill lle y byddai gwell cyfraddau cyflog a chyfleoedd tymor hwy?
<b>Q10.2.17</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Sut byddai Gwasanaeth Cyflogaeth a Sgiliau Wylfa Newydd yn cael ei ariannu?
<b>Q10.2.18</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	A allwch chi roi diweddariad ar ddatblygiad eich cynllun prentisiaethau a/neu a ydych chi'n parhau i ddarparu cyllid i Gwmni Prentis Menai? A yw cynllun prentisiaethau Horizon wedi'i seilio ar y cymorth ariannol a ddarperir gan y Llywodraeth ar gyfer prentisiaethau?
<b>Q10.2.19</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Byddai Horizon yn brif gyflogwr ar gyfer staff gweithredu yn unig. Pa fesurau cytundebol a gynnigir i sicrhau bod contractwyr yn manteisio i'r eithaf ar y cyfleoedd i recriwtio pobl leol yn ystod y camau adeiladu a datgomisiynu?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
Q10.2.20	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	A allwch chi esbonio sut mae eich Cynllun Datblygu Graddedigion yn annog ymgeiswyr o ogledd Cymru a/neu a allai fod yn siaradwyr Cymraeg yn benodol?
Q10.2.21	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	(a) A fydd y gwaith ymgysylltu ag ysgolion yn cael ei wneud yn Gymraeg ac yn Saesneg? (b) A fyddai'r Swyddogion Addysg yn ddwyieithog?
Q10.2.22	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	O ystyried mai un o brif fuddion y cynllun fyddai creu swyddi a chyfleoedd cadwyn gyflenwi lleol, pam nad yw'r cynllun gweithredu cadwyn gyflenwi wedi cael ei ddatblygu ymhellach?
Q10.2.23	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Rydych yn dweud na fyddai gweithwyr presennol yn cael eu dadleoli o gwbl neu i raddau cyfyngedig iawn – pa dystiolaeth, yn enwedig o brosiectau eraill tebyg fel Hinckley C, sydd gennych i gefnogi'r honiad hwn?
Q10.2.24	IACC	Q	Yn eich RR, rydych yn cyfeirio at yr angen am reoli a lliniaru dadleoli gweithwyr presennol yn well er mwyn osgoi effeithiau niweidiol arwyddocaol ar fusnesau presennol a lleol. Pa fesurau yr hoffech chi eu gweld yn cael eu cynnig?
Q10.2.25	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Ym mharagraff 6.3.26, mae'r Ymgeisydd yn trafod "cyflog teg". Beth yw bwriadau'r Ymgeisydd o ran Cyflog Byw y DU?
Q10.2.26	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae'r Ymgeisydd yn dweud y byddai angen hyd at 690 o staff i reoli'r cyfleusterau, gan gynnwys campws y safle. Pa fesurau (fel rhaglenni hyfforddi) mae'r Ymgeisydd yn eu cynnig i sicrhau y byddai digon o staff ar gael â'r sgiliau perthnasol i reoli'r cyfleuster heb achosi prinder staff i fusnesau presennol, yn enwedig y rhai hynny yn y sector twristiaeth?
<b>10.3</b>	<b>Iechyd</b>		

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q10.3.1</b>	IACC, BCUHB, PHW a Phartion â Diddordeb	Q	Mae paragraff 6.3.95 y Datganiad Cynllunio [8.1] yn rhestru effeithiau andwyol posibl ar iechyd a lles. Ydych chi'n cytuno, ac os na, pam?
<b>Q10.3.2</b>	Ymgeisydd	ADA	Mae presgripsiynau yn rhad ac am ddim yng Nghymru ar gyfer pawb sydd wedi cofrestru gyda meddyg teulu yng Nghymru. Sut y byddai cost presgripsiynau ar gyfer gweithwyr sydd wedi cofrestru gyda'r meddyg teulu ar y safle yn cael ei gynnwys?
<b>Q10.3.3</b>	BCUHB a PHW	Q	Mae'r ES ([6.3.1] - paragraff 1.3.10) yn nodi bod yna 23 meddygfa (11 ar Ynys Môn a 12 ar dir mawr Menai).  (a) A allai'r meddygfeydd hyn gymryd cleifion ychwanegol? (b) Faint o gleifion sy'n byw ar Ynys Môn y sydd rhaid iddynt ddefnyddio llawdriniaeth ar y tir mawr? (c) A oes strategaeth gofal iechyd gyfredol ar gyfer darparu darpariaeth iechyd yn y KSA yn y dyfodol ac a yw'n cyfrif am y gallu ychwanegol y byddai ei angen o ganlyniad i'r Cais?
<b>Q10.3.4</b>	Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae gan y Gwasanaethau Brys bryderon ynghylch y pwysau y gellid eu rhoi ar y gwasanaethau y maent yn eu darparu a cholli staff profiadol / medrus posibl i'r prosiect. Sut y byddai hyn yn cael sylw?
<b>Q10.3.5</b>	BCUHB a PHW	Q	A oes gallu yn y gwasanaethau iechyd meddwl presennol i ddelio ag unrhyw gynnydd yn y galw am wasanaethau a allai godi o ganlyniad i'r cais? A fyddai angen cyllid ychwanegol? A oes gwasanaethau ar gael ar hyn o bryd yn yr iaith Gymraeg?
<b>Q10.3.6</b>	Ymgeisydd, BCUHB a PHW	Q	Ymgeisydd - A fyddai unrhyw gynnydd yn y galw am wasanaethau cymorth sy'n ymwneud ag alcohol, ysmegu, cyffuriau neu afiechydon trosglwyddadwy (yn arbennig STD) yn cael ei ddarparu gan y ddarpariaeth gofal iechyd ar y safle neu trwy ddefnyddio gwasanaethau presennol y tu allan i'r safle?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			BCUHB a PHW - Os defnyddiwyd gwasanaethau oddi ar y safle a oes ganddynt y gallu i ymdrin ag unrhyw gynnydd yn y galw?  Y cyfan - A fyddai'r gwasanaethau hyn ar gael yn yr iaith Gymraeg?
<b>Q10.3.7</b>	BCUHB, PHW a'r darparwyr Gwasanaeth Brys	Q	Mae'r ymgeisydd yn bwriadu sefydlu Grŵp Monitro Iechyd a Lles i fonitro gweithrediad lliniaru'r Asesiad Effaith ar Iechyd. Oes gennych chi'r staff a'r adnoddau perthnasol sydd ar gael i gymryd rhan yn y grŵp hwn?
<b>Q10.3.8</b>	Ymgeisydd, BCUHB a PHW	Q	Ymgeisydd - pryd y penodir y Swyddog Ymgysylltu Cymunedol dwyieithog?  BCUHB a PHW - A fyddai un person yn gallu cyflawni'r rôl hon yn llwyddiannus?
<b>10.4</b>	<b>Busnes Lleol a Thwristiaeth</b>		
<b>Q10.4.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC, GCC a Llywodraeth Cymru	Q	Mae'r Siarter Cadwyn Gyflenwi (a'r Cynllun Gweithredu) yn disgwyl cyfleoedd datblygu busnes ar gyfer busnesau lleol (Ynys Môn) a rhanbarthol (gogledd Cymru).  (a) A oes unrhyw waith wedi cael ei wneud i adolygu p'un a yw'r busnesau sy'n angenrheidiol i gyflenwi'r Ymgeisydd ar gael yn lleol neu'n rhanbarthol? (b) Os oes, beth oedd y canfyddiadau? Yn arbennig, pa ganran o anghenion cyflenwi'r Ymgeisydd y gellid eu bodloni'n lleol neu'n rhanbarthol? (c) Os nad yw cyflenwyr ar gael yn lleol oherwydd bod cwmnïau'n rhy fach neu oherwydd bod ganddynt adnoddau/sgiliau cyfyngedig, pa gymorth a gynigir i alluogi cwmnïau presennol i feddu ar y potensial i gyflenwi/cynnig am waith? (ch) A oes digon o le swyddfa, lle warws ac unedau busnes bach i fodloni anghenion y sefydliadau/cwmnïau a fyddai'n cyflenwi'r prosiect?



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			(d) Mae 11 cwmni adeiladu ar Ynys Môn – pa fesurau a gynigir i ddiogelu'r busnesau hyn a sicrhau eu bod yn gallu cynnal eu gweithlu yn ystod y cyfnod adeiladu?
<b>Q10.4.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraff 1.5.89 yr ES [APP-088] yn cyfeirio at ddirywiad llety twristiaeth o ganlyniad i feddiannaeth tymor hir, llai o gynnal a chadw a disgwyliadau is ar gyfer llety. Pa fesurau rhagweithiol a gynigir i leihau unrhyw ddiraddio i'r stoc hon gymaint â phosibl ac i sicrhau y byddai o safon sy'n addas ar gyfer llety gwyliau pan fydd y gweithwyr wedi'i gadael?
<b>Q10.4.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Mae'r ES [APP-088 – paragraff 1.5.98] yn datgan y gallai'r sector bwyd a diod elwa'n sylweddol hefyd o'r contractau rheoli cyfleusterau ac arlwyyo a fydd yn ofynnol drwy gydol cylch oes y prosiect:  (a) Pa fesurau a gynigir i sicrhau bod y contractau hyn yn cael eu dyfarnu i gwmnïau lleol yn hytrach na chwmnïau arlwyyo contract mawr? (b) O ystyried y bydd cyfleusterau arlwyyo ar y safle a fydd yn gwasanaethu anghenion y datblygiad, pa gyfleoedd realistig a fyddai i'r sector bwyd a diod lleol elwa o'r cynllun?
<b>Q10.4.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	O ran trosiant llafur: (a) Beth yw cyflog canolrifol gweithiwr adeiladu sy'n gweithio ar brosiect tebyg, fel Hinckley C, a sut mae hyn yn cymharu â'r cyflog canolrifol ar gyfer preswylwyr yn y KSA? (b) Pa 'fuddion' eraill y gallai gweithwyr y cynllun eu disgwyl e.e. llety wedi'i gymorthdalw/am ddim, prydau bwyd wedi'u cymorthdalw, gofal iechyd preifat, cyfleusterau chwaraeon a hamdden am ddim neu wedi'u cymorthdalw, ac ati?
<b>Q10.4.5</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac	WA	Cyfeirir at fwriad gan Horizon yn y dyfodol i ddarparu canolfan derbyn

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
	IACC		ymwelwyr a'r cyfryngau ger Safle'r Orsaf Bŵer a fyddai'n darparu canolbwynt yng ngogledd yr ynys a chyfleuster i'r gymuned leol. Sut byddai'r cyfleuster hwn yn cael ei sicrhau?
<b>Q10.4.6</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Cynigir Cronfa Dwristiaeth i fynd i'r afael ag unrhyw effeithiau perthnasol ar y sector twristiaeth leol ar Ynys Môn. A oes unrhyw fanylion ychwanegol ar gael ynglŷn â sut y byddai hyn yn gweithredu, y cyllid a fydd ar gael iddi a sut y byddai'n cael ei sicrhau?
<b>Q10.4.7</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	Q	Byddai'r Gwelliannau Priffyrdd oddi ar linell y ffordd a gynigir yn arwain at osgoi nifer o bentrefi. Pa fesurau a gynigir i liniaru'r effaith ar unrhyw fusnes a fyddai'n gweld gostyngiad mewn masnach sy'n mynd heibio?
<b>10.5</b>	<b>Y Gymraeg a'i Diwylliant</b>		
<b>Q10.5.1</b>	Fforwm Iaith Strategol Ynys Môn	Q	Yn eich RR, rydych yn nodi bod angen mesurau i ddiogelu'r Gymraeg – a allwch chi ddarparu rhagor o fanylion ynghylch pa fesurau fyddai'n angenrheidiol yn eich barn chi?
<b>Q10.5.2</b>	Menter Iaith Môn a Fforwm Iaith Strategol Ynys Môn	Q	Yn eich RR, rydych chi wedi nodi pryder ynghylch faint o hyfforddwyr/athrawon cyfrwng Cymraeg fydd ar gael, a faint o amser mae'n cymryd i hyfforddi hyfforddwyr/athrawon Cymraeg – a allwch chi: (a) ddarparu manylion ynghylch faint o hyfforddwyr/athrawon Cymraeg cofrestredig sydd ar gael; (b) faint o amser mae'n cymryd i hyfforddi hyfforddwr/athro iaith; ac (c) enghreifftiau o broblemau'n ymwneud â recriwtio e.e. pa mor anodd yw recriwtio athrawon sy'n siarad Cymraeg mewn ysgolion lleol?
<b>Q10.5.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae'r IACC yn ystyried bod Strategaeth Addysg yn angenrheidiol - a ystyriwyd strategaeth o'r fath, ac os felly, pam y daethpwyd i'r casgliad nad oedd strategaeth o'r fath yn angenrheidiol?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q10.5.4</b>	IACC, GCC a Phartion â Buddiant	Q	Mae'r Parth Teithio Adeiladu Dyddiol (DCCZ) yn daith 90 munud yn y car o brif safle'r weithfa bŵer. A allwch chi ddarparu gwybodaeth am ganran y siaradwyr Cymraeg sy'n byw o fewn yr ardal hon?
<b>Q10.5.5</b>	IACC, GCC a Phartion â Buddiant	Q	<p>Cyfeirir at Horizon yn darparu gwasanaeth athrawon peripatetig yn y dogfennau (paragraff 6.2.28 yn y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406]) ac mae'n datgan y byddai dau athro'n cael eu hariannu i ddechrau (paragraff 7.4.27).</p> <p>(a) A oes gwasanaeth athrawon peripatetig ar gyfer y Gymraeg yn bodoli eisoes ar Ynys Môn?</p> <p>(b) Os nad oes gwasanaeth eisoes, sut fyddai'r gwasanaeth yn cael ei weithredu; pwy fyddai'n ei reoli, a pha mor hir fyddai'n para e.e. yn ystod y cyfnod adeiladu yn unig? Yn ystod adeiladu a chyfnod cyfyngedig o weithredu? Yn ystod y cyfnod adeiladu, gweithredu a datgomisiynu?</p> <p>(c) A fyddai dau athro yn ddigon – o ystyried y dywedwyd y byddai angen i unrhyw wasanaeth ymestyn i Wynedd o bosibl?</p>
<b>Q10.5.6</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Mae paragraff 6.2.41 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yn datgan y byddai 85% o'r gweithlu gweithrediadol yn bobl leol.</p> <p>(a) A fydddech cystal â diffinio ystyr person lleol neu ym mhle yn y dogfennau y gellir dod o hyd i'r diffiniad hwn?</p> <p>(b) O ystyried y byddai'r gweithlu gweithrediadol yn 850 o bobl, a fyddai 85% yn gyraeddadwy, ac os felly, sut gellir cyflawni hyn heb amharu ar fusnesau eraill ar Ynys Môn?</p> <p>(c) Pa fecanweithiau a gynigir a fyddai'n sicrhau bod 85% o'r gweithlu'n bobl leol, a pha gosbau a gynigir os nad yw'r targed hwn yn cael ei fodloni?</p>
<b>Q10.5.7</b>	Llywodraeth	Q	Mae Cymraeg 2050: Strategaeth y Gymraeg (2017) yn gosod nod o

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
	Cymru		gyrraedd miliwn o siaradwyr Cymraeg erbyn 2050 – a allwch chi ddarparu gwybodaeth am: (a) y sefyllfa bresennol o ran nifer y siaradwyr Cymraeg; (b) p'un a ydych chi ar y trywydd iawn i gyflawni'r amcan hwn; a (c) sut ydych chi'n rhagweld y gallai'r cynllun hwn effeithio ar gyrraedd y targed (yn gadarnhaol neu yn negyddol)?
<b>Q10.5.8</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae gwaith y Cydlynnydd y Gymraeg a'i Diwylliant arfaethedig yn cael ei ystyried yn allweddol i ddatblygiad a chyflawniad mesurau gwella a lliniaru'r Gymraeg a'i diwylliant (Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] paragraff 6.4.474). (a) Pryd fyddai'r penodiad hwn yn cael ei wneud? (b) O ystyried cwmpas y rôl, a ellir ei wneud gan un unigolyn yn realistig?
<b>Q10.5.9</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraff 6.4.476 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yn nodi nifer o gynigion ar gyfer mesurau lliniaru:  Mae pwynt bwled 3 yn cyfeirio at sicrhau bod aelod staff sy'n siarad Cymraeg ar baneli cyfweld lle mae angen sgiliau Cymraeg ar yr ymgeiswyr. (a) Faint o swyddi a ragwelir gan Horizon lle bydd y Gymraeg yn 'angenrheidiol'? (b) pa ddarpariaethau a gynigir ar gyfer cyfweld ymgeiswyr Cymraeg iaith gyntaf ar gyfer rolau lle nad oes 'angen' iddyn nhw siarad Cymraeg?  Mae pwynt bwled 4 yn cyfeirio at ddarparu hyfforddiant sy'n 'codi ymwybyddiaeth' o'r iaith – allwch chi ddarparu rhagor o wybodaeth am y canlynol: (a) beth fydd cynnwys yr hyfforddiant 'ymwybyddiaeth iaith'; (b) sut bydd hyn yn wahanol i'r hyfforddiant iaith Gymraeg; a

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>(c) sut bydd 'hyfforddiant ymwybyddiaeth' yn diogelu a/neu'n tyfu faint mae'r Gymraeg yn cael ei defnyddio?</p> <p>Pwynt bwled 6 – pam ddywedir 'mae'n bosibl bydd' yn hytrach na 'bydd' casglu data'n cael ei gysylltu â Gwasanaeth Rheoli Llety'r Gweithwyr?</p>
<b>Q10.5.10</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>(a) A allwch chi ddarparu manylion ynghylch pryd fydd gwerthusiad effaith y prosiect ar y Gymraeg yn y KSA yn cael ei gwblhau (paragraff 6.4.480 yn y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406])?</p> <p>(b) A fyddai'r gwerthusiad yn cynnwys argymhellion hefyd, ac os felly, sut byddai'r rhain yn cael eu gweithredu?</p>
<b>Q10.5.11</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	Q	A allwch chi esbonio pam gaiff strategaeth Gwella a Lliniaru'r Gymraeg a'i Diwylliant ei gynnig yn ystod cyfnod adeiladu'r prosiect yn unig (paragraff 6.4.480 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406]) – a ddylid ymestyn hyn i gynnwys y cyfnodau gweithredu a datgomisiynu?
<b>Q10.5.12</b>	IACC, Llywodraeth Cymru a Phartion â Buddiant	Q	<p>Mae paragraff 6.4.483 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yn datgan bod y mesurau a gynigir i gefnogi ac ymestyn y Gymraeg a'i diwylliant yn cydymffurfio ag egwyddorion y polisiau lleol a chenedlaethol amrywiol yn ymwneud â chryfhau'r Gymraeg.</p> <p>Gan gyfeirio'n benodol at PPW9, TAN20, Cymraeg 2050 a Deddf Llesiant Cenedlaethau'r Dyfodol (Cymru) 2015, a all yr IACC, Llywodraeth Cymru ac unrhyw Bartion â Buddiant ddweud a ydyn nhw'n cytuno â'r datganiad hwn, ac os ddim, pam?</p>
<b>Q10.5.13</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	A allwch chi gadarnhau y bydd y byrddau gwybodaeth a nodwyd yn y pedwerydd pwynt bwled ym mharagraff 4.4.1 yn y WNCocP [APP-414] yn ddwyieithog?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q10.5.14</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	A allwch chi gadarnhau y bydd yr arwyddion yn ymwneud â gwyro'r llwybrau troed ac yn benodol, Lôn Las Copr (paragraff 6.2.6 y MPSS Sub CoCP [APP-415]) yn ddwyieithog?
<b>Q10.5.15</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC a GCC	Q	A oes unrhyw wybodaeth ar gael ynghylch yr effaith y mae adeiladu a gweithredu Wylfa A wedi ei chael ar y Gymraeg, ei diwylliant, yr economi leol a rhanbarthol a'r farchnad dai yn y tymor hir?  Os oes gwybodaeth ar gael, a gafodd y wybodaeth hon ei hystyried wrth lunio'r Cais, yn enwedig o ran y gwersi a ddysgwyd?
<b>Q10.5.16</b>	IACC a GCC	Q	O'r 47 ysgol gynradd a 5 ysgol uwchradd ar yr ynys, faint o'r rhain sy'n ysgolion cyfrwng Cymraeg?
<b>Q10.5.17</b>	IACC	Q	Mae'r ganolfan drochi cyfrwng Cymraeg cynradd (WLIC) yn llawn ar hyn o bryd – sut bydd y problemau capasiti hyn yn cael eu datrys? (APP-433)  Beth yw'r ddarpariaeth ar gyfer plant oedran uwchradd?
<b>Q10.5.18</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Gan ystyried yr effaith bosibl a gaiff y gweithwyr ychwanegol sydd eu hangen yn y cynnig ar y Gymraeg a'i diwylliant, ai gweithwyr sydd ynghlwm yn uniongyrchol ag adeiladu'r cynnig a'r gwaith datblygu cysylltiedig yn unig a gafodd eu hystyried, neu a gafodd y gweithwyr cyfleusterau, y gweithwyr ychwanegol sydd eu hangen ar fusnesau yn y gadwyn gyflenwi, twristiaeth a'r rhai sydd eu hangen er mwyn adeiladu'r tai parhaol ychwanegol eu cynnwys hefyd?
<b>Q10.5.19</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	Q	Er bod y strategaethau iaith amrywiol yn amlinellu cynigion ar gyfer gweithwyr a phlant oedran ysgol, beth fydd yn cael ei gynnig ar gyfer

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			dibynyddion fel partneriaid/gwŷr/gwragedd a phlant y tu hwnt i oedran ysgol a all symud i Ynys Môn gyda'r gweithwyr?
<b>Q10.5.20</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae'r Asesiad Effaith ar Iechyd NTS[APP-428] paragraff 2.4.39 yn cyfeirio at ymrwymiad lliniaru sy'n ymwneud â hunaniaeth gymunedol gan gynnwys mentrau i wella a dathlu hunaniaeth leol. Pa fentrau a gynigir?
<b>Q10.5.21</b>	Llywodraeth Cymru	Q	A allwch chi ddarparu diweddariad ar Fframwaith ac Arweiniad Asesiad Risg y Gymraeg?
<b>Q10.5.22</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Cynigir hyfforddiant ar y Gymraeg 'pan fydd hyn yn ofyniad perthnasol ar gyfer swydd' (APP-433). Pa gyfleoedd/cymorth/anogaeth fydd ar gael i weithwyr pan na fydd dysgu'r iaith yn 'ofyniad perthnasol'?
<b>Q10.5.23</b>	IACC, GCC, Llywodraeth Cymru a Phartion â Buddiant	Q	Byddai mwyafrif y mesurau lliniaru a gwella a gynigir yn cael ei diogelu trwy S106 – ydych chi'n ystyried:  (a) Ai dyma'r mecanwaith priodol? ac (b) A fyddai'n bodloni'r prawf ar gyfer rhwymedigaethau cynllunio?
<b>Q10.5.24</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC, GCC, Llywodraeth Cymru a Phartion â Buddiant	Q	Mae'r strategaeth ar gyfer y Gymraeg yn dibynnu ar gyflogi canran benodol o siaradwyr Cymraeg; pa ddulliau lliniaru a gynigir/sy'n angenrheidiol os na ellir bodloni'r targedau hyn?
<b>Q10.5.25</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	(a) Pa grwpiau a sefydliadau fyddai'n cael eu gwahodd i fod yn aelodau o'r grŵp rheoli a fyddai'n goruchwylio'r Strategaeth Gwella a Lliniaru'r Gymraeg a'i Diwylliant a'r grŵp rhanddeiliaid a fyddai'n monitro'r strategaeth hon? (b) Pwy fyddai'r grwpiau hyn yn atebol iddynt?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			(c) O ystyried bod llawer o grwpiau yn ymwneud â'r Gymraeg a'i diwylliant yn sefydliadau gwirfoddol, pa adnoddau fyddai ar gael iddyn nhw i'w galluogi i fynyachu/denu aelodau'r grwpiau hyn?
<b>Q10.5.26</b>	IACC	Q	Yn eich RR (paragraff 5.14.1) rydych chi'n datgan, yn eich barn chi, nad yw'r dDCO yn adlewyrchu ymrwymiad presennol yr ymgeisydd i eirioli dros y Gymraeg fel 'llinyn euraidd' trwy gydol bob agwedd ar y prosiect. Pa newidiadau ydych yn dymuno eu gweld yn y dDCO er mwyn sicrhau bod yr ymrwymiad hwn yn cael ei wireddu?
<b>Q10.5.27</b>	IACC, GCC, Llywodraeth Cymru	Q	Gofynnwyd i'r Ymgeisydd baratoi Datganiad Tir Cyffredin gyda sefydliadau sy'n cynrychioli'r Gymraeg ac mae wedi cyflwyno Cynrychiolaeth Berthnasol. Yn eich barn chi, pa sefydliadau ddylai'r Ymgeisydd eu cynnwys?
<b>10.6</b>	<b>General Questions</b>		
<b>Q10.6.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Codwyd pryderon trwy'r RR ynghylch diogelwch y trigolion, yn enwedig ar gyfer menywod a phlant. A all yr ymgeisydd ddarparu manylion am ei bolisiau diogelu neu amlygu ym mhle yn y dogfennau y gellir dod o hyd iddyn nhw?
<b>Q10.6.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	A all yr ymgeisydd ddarparu rhagor o fanylion am sut byddai'r Cynllun Cymorth i'r Gymdogaeth yn gweithio, o ystyried y pryderon a nodwyd yn yr RR o ran pa mor ddigonol ydyw?
<b>Q10.6.3</b>	IACC, GCC, Llywodraeth Cymru a Phartion â Buddiant	Q	Mae paragraff 3.2.15 yng Nghod Ymarfer Adeiladu Wylfa Newydd (WNCocP) [APP-414] yn rhestru nifer o effeithiau cymdeithasol-economaidd y mae'r Ymgeisydd yn ystyried y bydd angen eu monitro. Ydych chi'n cytuno â'r rhestr hon? A oes unrhyw beth wedi cael ei hepgor, neu a ddylid dileu rhywbeth?
<b>Q10.6.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd,	Q	A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau a fydd hi'n bosibl cynnal cyfarfodydd y Grŵp



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
	IACC a Phartion â Buddiant		<p>Cysylltu â'r Gymuned yn ddwyieithog?</p> <p>Mae paragraff 3.2.29 yr WNCocP [APP-414] yn esbonio y bydd trigolion gerllaw yn cael pecynnau gwybodaeth. A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio:</p> <p>(a) Beth mae 'gerllaw' yn ei olygu?  (b) Pa mor aml fyddai'r pecynnau gwybodaeth yn cael eu darparu?  (c) A ddylid ehangu'r rhestr er mwyn cynnwys oriau/dyddiau gwaith a llwybrau/amseroedd y cerbydau danfon?  (ch) A fydd y pecynnau'n ddwyieithog?</p> <p>A yw'r IACC/IP yn dymuno rhoi unrhyw sylwadau ar ffurf a chynnwys y pecynnau gwybodaeth?</p>
<b>Q10.6.5</b>	IACC	Q	<p>Mae paragraff 3.3.4 yr WNCocP [APP-414] yn datgan y bydd y gofrestr gwynion ar gael i'r IACC ar gais. A yw'r IACC yn fodlon â'r trefniadau hyn, neu a fyddai'n fwy priodol i'r wybodaeth gael ei hanfon atyn nhw'n chwarterol a/neu ei chyhoeddi ar wefan HNP?</p>
<b>Q10.6.6</b>	IACC	Q	<p>Cyfeirir at raglen foderneiddio ysgolion yn yr ES (paragraff 1.3.14 yn yr APP-088) er mwyn osgoi capasiti gormodol.</p> <p>(a) A luniwyd y cynllun moderneiddio hwn gan wybod am gynigion Wylfa a'r newidiadau arfaethedig i'r boblogaeth oedran ysgol o ganlyniad i hynny?  (b) A yw'r ardaloedd lle cynigir lleihau'r capasiti yng ngogledd Ynys Môn?</p>
<b>Q10.6.7</b>	NWP	Q	<p>Mae'r ES yn darparu manylion am nifer y gorsafoedd tân ac ambiwlans ond ni ddarperir gwybodaeth am nifer y gorsafoedd heddlu na sut reolir plismona ar yr ynys. A allwch chi:</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>(a) Ddarparu manylion ynghylch faint o orsafoedd heddlu sydd ar Ynys Môn a'u lleoliadau – gorsafoedd â staff a di-staff.</p> <p>(b) Esbonio p'un a fydd y rhain yn cael eu cadw yn ystod oes y prosiect, neu a oes cynlluniau i leihau/cyfuno gorsafoedd neu gynlluniau ar gyfer gorsafoedd ychwanegol neu dros dro?</p>
<b>Q10.6.8</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	A all yr ymgeisydd ddarparu unrhyw dystiolaeth/data o brosiectau eraill tebyg (gan gynnwys Wylfa A) o ran nifer y gweithwyr adeiladu sy'n symud i'r ardal gyda'u teuluoedd yn ystod y cyfnod adeiladu?
<b>Q10.6.9</b>	IACC	WF	Mae Crynodeb Annhechnegol ES [APP-401] yn nodi bod gan y ddwy ysgol agosaf, sef Ysgol Gynradd Cemaes ac Ysgol Gymuned Llanfechell, uchafswm o 89 o ddisgyblion a 119 o ddisgyblion. A allwch chi gadarnhau a yw'r rhain yn ysgolion ag un dosbarth ym mhob blwyddyn, ac os felly, beth yw meintiau'r dosbarthiadau ac a yw'r ysgolion yn llawn?
<b>Q10.6.10</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC a GCC	Q	<p>Mae'r Crynodeb Annhechnegol ES [APP-401] yn nodi (paragraff 3.3.8) y byddai Cronfa Seilwaith Cymunedol yn cael ei sefydlu er mwyn darparu rhagor o adnoddau i reoli neu wrthbwysu'r effeithiau yn ystod adeiladu na ellir eu rhagweld hyd yma, ac felly eu lliniaru, gan gynnwys yr effaith ar gyfleusterau cymunedol ac addysg.</p> <p>(a) Os na ellir eu rhagweld, sut mae'r swm sydd ei angen ar gyfer y gronfa wedi cael ei gyfrifo?</p> <p>(b) A yw'r IACC a'r GCC yn fodlon y byddai digon o arian yn y gronfa ar gyfer y dulliau lliniaru sydd eu hangen?</p> <p>(c) Pwy fydd yn monitro a oes angen y gronfa hon? a</p> <p>(ch) Sut bydd y taliadau'n cael eu cytuno a'u talu?</p>
<b>Q10.6.11</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac	Q	Ac eithrio ôl-lenwi swyddi (Paragraff 1.6.6 yn yr ES [APP-088]), pa fesurau

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
	NWFR		eraill a gynigir i sicrhau y bydd digon o wirfoddolwyr hyfforddedig neu swyddogion tân ac achub amser llawn ar gael i ddarparu digon o wasanaethau i Ynys Môn?
<b>Q10.6.12</b>	IACC, Llywodraeth Cymru, NRW, NWP, NWFR, BCUHB, GCC ac Ambiwlans Cymru	Q	Byddai'r Codau Ymarfer Adeiladu'n dibynnu ar Fwrdd Rhaglen troswaol a chyfres o is-grwpiau ymgysylltu (gan gynnwys llety a thwristiaeth).  (a) Sut byddai'r byrddau/is-grwpiau hyn yn gweithredu ac, yn benodol, i bwy y byddan nhw'n atebol? (b) A fyddai cod llywodraethu ganddynt? (c) Nodwyd y byddech yn gofyn i gael eich cynrychioli ar y byrddau hyn - a oes gennych chi'r adnoddau a'r personél perthnasol ar gael i fynychu'r byrddau hyn?
<b>Q10.6.13</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd / ONR	Q	A fyddech cystal ag ymateb i'r pryderon a godwyd gan y Partion â Buddiant [RR-127 ac RR-129] ynghylch effaith ardal wacáu ar gyfer digwyddiad mawr (e.e. ar rwydweithiau pŵer a thrafnidiaeth) pe bai digwyddiad o'r fath yn codi?
<b>Q10.6.14</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraff 5.1.6 y WAMS [APP-412] yn nodi bod ychydig llai na 62,000 o bobl ar draws y DCCZ mewn swyddi 'sy'n berthnasol i niwclear'. A allwch chi ddiffinio'r hyn a olygir gan swydd 'sy'n berthnasol i niwclear'?
<b>11.</b>	<b>Traffig a Thrafnidiaeth</b>		
<b>11.0</b>	<b>Awyrennau</b>		
<b>Q11.0.1</b>	IACC a'r RAF	Q	Ni chynhwyswyd buddiannau hedfan sifil a milwrol yn yr Asesiad o Effeithiau Amgylcheddol (EIA). Fodd bynnag, mae maes awyr sifil (Maes Awyr Ynys Môn/Maes Awyr y Fali/Rhosneigr) a chanolfan RAF (Maes Awyr Heneglwys/Mona) ar yr ynys. A all IACC a'r RAF gadarnhau eu bod yn

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			cytuno na fyddai unrhyw effeithiau arwyddocaol tebygol ar fuddiannau hedfan sifil a milwrol ac amddiffyn o ganlyniad i'r Cais?
<b>11.1</b>	<b>Traffig</b>		
<b>Q11.1.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC a Llywodraeth Cymru	Q	<p>Mae paragraffau 4.3.34 a 4.3.37 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yn nodi y byddai'n cymryd 18 mis i adeiladu'r cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio arfaethedig a 15 mis i adeiladu'r Ganolfan Logisteg.</p> <p>A all yr Ymgeisydd:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Esbonio pam y bydd yn cymryd mor hir i'w hadeiladu?</li> <li>(b) Pa drefniadau dros dro a fydd ar waith i reoli cerbydau adeiladu tra bydd y ganolfan logisteg yn cael ei hadeiladu? a</li> <li>(c) Ph'un a yw'r modelu trafndiaeth wedi ystyried y symudiadau ychwanegol hyn gan gerbydau cyn i'r cyfleusterau hyn ddechrau gweithredu?</li> </ul> <p>A hoffai IACC/yr Awdurdod Priffyrdd wneud unrhyw sylwadau?</p>
<b>Q11.1.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	WF	<p>Mae paragraff 6.2.12 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yn cyfeirio at weithlu arfaethedig o 850 yn Safle'r Orsaf Bŵer – ar y sail hon, pam mae angen 1,100 o leoedd parcio parhaol, fel y cynigir yn WN16 y dDCO [APP-029], a sut mae hyn yn cyd-fynd â'r datganiad ym mharagraff 5.3.7 yr WNC0CP [APP-414] sy'n dweud y bydd lleoedd parcio yn Safle'r Orsaf Bŵer yn cael eu cyfyngu i annog defnydd o'r cyfleuster Parcio a Rhannu a'r bysiau gwennol, ac i leihau nifer y cerbydau preifat a yrrir i'r safle?</p>
<b>Q11.1.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	ADC	<p>Mae paragraff 6.2.17 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yn dweud y byddai gwelliannau priffyrdd oddi ar y llinell yn arwain at nifer o fuddiannau mewn lleoliadau penodol, ond dim ond un enghraifft mae'n ei rhoi. A all yr Ymgeisydd nodi ble arall, heblaw am Lanfachraeth, a fyddai'n elwa o'r gwaith hwn, yn ei farn ef?</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			Yn Llanfachraeth, disgwylir gostyngiad 60% mewn lefelau traffig – o ystyried bod y pentref hwn yn un cymharol fach mewn ardal wledig, beth yw'r lefelau traffig presennol yn Llanfachraeth ac a ydynt ar lefel sy'n cyfiawnhau dosbarthu'r gostyngiadau arfaethedig fel gwelliant?
<b>Q11.1.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	Q	<p>(a) Pwy sy'n darparu gwasanaethau bws ar Ynys Môn ar hyn o bryd?</p> <p>(b) Pryd y dyfarnwyd y contract iddynt a phryd y bydd angen ei adnewyddu?</p> <p>(c) A ystyriwyd y posibilrwydd o ddefnyddio gwasanaethau bws presennol neu'r darparwr bws presennol ar gyfer y gweithlu, ac yn enwedig y cysylltiad rhwng y cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio a'r WNDA?</p> <p>(ch) A ystyriwyd y posibilrwydd o ganiatáu i'r gymuned ehangach ddefnyddio bysiau'r gweithlu?</p> <p>(d) A yw'r Ymgeisydd yn cynnig unrhyw fesurau i wella/cefnogi'r gwasanaethau bws presennol ar yr ynys fel bod y gweithlu lleol yn cael ei annog i deithio'n gynaliadwy?</p>
<b>Q11.1.5</b>	IACC, GCC yr Ymgeisydd a Llywodraeth Cymru	Q	<p>Codwyd pryderon mewn sawl RR ynglŷn â'r ffaith bod rhaid i bontydd Menai a Britannia gau weithiau.</p> <p>A all IACC/GCC roi manylion ynglŷn â'r canlynol:</p> <p>(a) Pa mor aml mae Pontydd Menai a Britannia wedi cau yn ystod y pum mlynedd diwethaf?</p> <p>(b) Pa mor aml y caewyd y ddwy bont ar yr un pryd?</p> <p>(c) Pa fesurau sydd ar waith ar hyn o bryd pan fydd pont yn cau e.e. sut a ble mae traffig yn cael ei ddargyfeirio neu ei ddal, a sut mae hyn yn effeithio ar amserau teithio/tagfeydd?</p> <p>(ch) Cyflwr strwythurol y ddwy bont a ph'un a oes unrhyw waith cynnal</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>a chadw/atgyweirio wedi'i gynllunio yn ystod cam adeiladu'r prosiect a fyddai'n arwain at gau'r naill bont neu'r llall yn rhannol neu'n llawn?</p> <p>A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau:</p> <p>(a) P'un a ystyriwyd cau'r pontydd yn ei asesiad/modelu trafndiaeth?</p> <p>(b) Pa fesurau y mae'n eu cynnig yn ystod y cam adeiladu a gweithredu i reoli traffig os bydd rhaid i un o'r pontydd neu'r ddwy bont gau?</p>
<b>Q11.1.6</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Bwriedir i fwyafrif y nwyddau gael eu cludo ar y môr gan ddefnyddio'r MOLF. A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau a yw'r TA yn cynnwys unrhyw foddelu ar gyfer adegau pan na fyddai'r MOLF ar gael, o bosibl, o ganlyniad i dywydd gwael/difrod storm ac, yn arbennig, p'un a fyddai hyn yn arwain at gynnydd mewn symudiadau traffig ar y rhwydwaith ffyrdd a'r effaith y byddai hyn yn ei chael.
<b>Q11.1.7</b>	GCC	Q	Yn eich RR, amlygoch bryder ynglŷn â phroblem bresennol yn ymwneud â 'pharcio anghyfreithlon' ar Ynys Môn. A allwch chi roi mwy o fanylion ynglŷn â'r hyn rydych chi'n ei feddwl a pham rydych chi'n credu y gallai'r Cais waethygu'r broblem hon?
<b>Q11.1.8</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Er mwyn lleihau symudiadau cerbydau gymaint â phosibl, a archwiliodd yr Ymgeisydd y posibilrwydd o waredu gwastraff o'r safle trwy'r MOLF ac, os felly, pam y'i diystyriwyd fel opsiwn?
<b>Q11.1.9</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau bod cludo gwastraff adeiladu o'r holl safleoedd ar y ffyrdd wedi cael ei gynnwys yn y modelu traffig, ac amlygu ble yn y dogfennau y gellir dod o hyd i'r wybodaeth hon.
<b>Q11.1.10</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC a	Q	Mae'r modelu/asesiad traffig wedi'i seilio ar y dybiaeth y bydd modd danfon mwyafrif y deunyddiau yn uniongyrchol i'r safle trwy'r MOLF. A oes unrhyw

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
	Llywodraeth Cymru		fodelu/cynllun wrth gefn sy'n ymdrin â'r cynnydd posibl mewn symudiadau cerbydau pe byddai oedi wrth adeiladu'r MOLF? Yn arbennig, a fyddai'r rhwydwaith ffyrdd yn gallu ymdopi ag unrhyw symudiadau ychwanegol a allai godi ac, os bydd cynnydd mewn symudiadau, sut byddai hyn yn effeithio ar breswylwyr lleol?
<b>Q11.1.11</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Disgwylir i gapasiti Fferi Caergybi-Dulyn gynyddu 50% erbyn 2020. A gynhwyswyd y cynnydd yn nifer y cerbydau a fyddai'n defnyddio'r gwasanaeth hwn yn y modelu traffig?
<b>Q11.1.12</b>	IACC a Llywodraeth Cymru	Q	Mae traffig adeiladu sy'n gysylltiedig â Phrosiect arfaethedig Cysylltiad Grid Gogledd Cymru wedi cael ei eithrio o'r modelu oherwydd y byddai'n gymharol gyfyngedig (20 cerbyd yr awr i'r ddau gyfeiriad), ac oherwydd bod rhywfaint o ansicrwydd ynglŷn â symudiadau. Yn eich barn chi, o ystyried y lefelau traffig cymharol isel ar Ynys Môn, a ddylai'r symudiadau hyn gael eu cynnwys yn y modelu?
<b>Q11.1.13</b>	NWP	Q	Yn eich RR, rydych yn honni bod y data gwaelodlin a ddefnyddiwyd ar gyfer y modelu/asesiad traffig yn anghywir. A allwch chi roi mwy o fanylion ynglŷn â pham yr ydych yn credu ei fod yn anghywir; pa ddata gwaelodlin ydych chi'n credu y dylai fod wedi cael ei ddefnyddio a pham, a beth fyddai hyn yn ei olygu i'r TA?
<b>Q11.1.14</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Beth yw uchder, lled, hyd a chylch troi mwyaf y cerbydau mwyaf (yn cario llwyth llawn) y mae'r Ymgeisydd yn bwriadu eu defnyddio yn ystod y camau adeiladu a gweithredu?
<b>Q11.1.15</b>	IACC a Llywodraeth Cymru	Q	(a) O ystyried natur wledig y rhwydwaith ffyrdd, a yw'r Awdurdod Priffyrdd yn fodlon bod y rhwydwaith ffyrdd (yn dilyn y gwelliannau arfaethedig i'r ffyrdd) yn gallu ymdopi â swm y traffig a'r llwythi a

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>gynigir ac na fyddai'n cael ei ddifrodi o ganlyniad i'r defnydd gan draffig adeiladu?</p> <p>(b) Os bydd y rhwydwaith ffyrdd yn cael ei ddifrodi gan draffig adeiladu, pwy fyddai'n gyfrifol am ei atgyweirio?</p> <p>(c) A hoffai'r Awdurdod Priffyrdd awgrymu unrhyw ofynion i leihau effaith traffig adeiladu ar y rhwydwaith ffyrdd gymaint â phosibl a chynnal amodau presennol y ffyrdd yn ychwanegol at y rhai a awgrymwyd eisoes gan yr Ymgeisydd?</p>
<b>Q11.1.16</b>	IACC, GCC a Llywodraeth Cymru		A yw'r awdurdodau lleol yn cytuno â disgrifiad yr Ymgeisydd o'r sefyllfa waelodlin o ran llifoedd traffig, ac a allant gadarnhau p'un a oeddent wedi cyfrannu at gwmpasu'r arolygon trafndiaeth ar gyfer sefydlu'r waelodlin?
<b>Q11.1.17</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC a Network Rail	Q	<p>Mae paragraff 6.5.10 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yn datgan bod digon o gapasiti yn y rhwydwaith rheilffyrdd strategol i ymdopi â'r cynnydd yn y galw yn ystod blynyddoedd cynnar y prosiect – a allwch chi:</p> <p>(a) Roi mwy o fanylion ynglŷn â beth fydd yn digwydd yn ystod gweddill cyfnod y prosiect i sicrhau bod digon o gapasiti ar gael, yn enwedig yn ystod y prif gyfnod adeiladu;</p> <p>(b) Cadarnhau p'un a allai fod angen cyfraniadau gan y datblygwr i gyflawni hyn a beth fyddent yn cael eu defnyddio ar ei gyfer? A</p> <p>(c) Chadarnhau p'un a fyddai gwasanaeth bws yn cysylltu â'r orsaf drenau yn y Fali naill ai'n uniongyrchol i'r WNDA neu'r cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio fel bod defnyddwyr y rheilffordd yn gallu defnyddio'r bysiau gwennol i'r WNDA?</p>
<b>Q11.1.18</b>	Network Rail	Q	A allwch chi roi diweddariad ynglŷn â'r cynigion ar gyfer cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio Abergele yng Ngorsaf Drenau Abergele?
<b>Q11.1.19</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd,	WF	Mae paragraff 5.2.3 yr WNCocP [APP-414] yn ymdrin â rheoli danfoniadau



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
	IACC a Llywodraeth Cymru		<p>i'r safle. A all yr Ymgeisydd:</p> <p>(a) Gadarnhau beth fydd yn digwydd pan fydd y gwelliannau i'r A5025 oddi ar linell y briffordd yn dod i rym o ran amserau danfon?</p> <p>(b) Esbonio pam mae cyfyngiadau ar ddanfoniadau yn ystod amserau dechrau/gorffen yr ysgol wedi'u cynnig ar gyfer y camau adeiladu cychwynnol yn unig (tudalen 12 y TA [APP-101].</p> <p>(c) Cyngori p'un a ddylai cyfyngiadau fod ar waith yn ystod oriau brig y nos hefyd, e.e. 17.00-18.30, er mwyn lleihau tagfeydd gymaint â phosibl?</p> <p>(ch) Esbonio'r hyn a olygir gan 'gyfyngiadau'.</p> <p>(d) Cadarnhau beth fyddai'r oriau danfon – mae'r WNCOP [APP-414] yn awgrymu 07:00-19:00, ond mae'r TA [APP-101] yn datgan y 'gellid defnyddio'r' cyfnod o 19:00 i 23:00.</p> <p>(dd) Esbonio pam y byddai angen i'r ganolfan logisteg weithredu ar sail 24 awr pe byddai danfoniadau'n cael eu cyfyngu i 07:00-19:00 (neu 23:00).</p> <p>A oes gan IACC/Llywodraeth Cymru yr Awdurdod Priffyrdd unrhyw sylwadau ynglŷn â'r trefniadau hyn?</p>
<b>Q11.1.20</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Mae paragraff 5.3.5 yr WNCOP [APP-414] yn cyfeirio at ddarparu gwasanaeth bws penodol ar gyfer gweithwyr adeiladu sy'n byw ar Ynys Môn, ond yna mae'n cynnwys Bangor a Chaernarfon sydd ar y tir mawr. A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau:</p> <p>(a) P'un a gynigir gwasanaeth bws penodol ar gyfer gweithwyr sydd wedi'u lleoli ym Mangor a Chaernarfon?</p> <p>(b) A fyddai'r gwasanaeth hwn am ddim neu'n cael ei gymorthdal?</p> <p>(c) A fyddai hyn yn golygu bod angen gosod safleoedd bws newydd neu</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>wella rhai presennol ac, os felly, sut byddai hyn yn cael ei ariannu?</p> <p>(ch) A ystyriwyd y posibilrwydd o ganiatáu i'r gymuned ehangach ddefnyddio'r gwasanaeth hwn?</p> <p>(d) A fyddai'r gwasanaeth yn parhau pan fydd y gwaith yn gweithredu i alluogi gweithwyr i deithio'n gynaliadwy a lleihau traffig ffyrdd gymaint â phosibl?</p>
<p><b>Q11.1.21</b></p>	<p>Yr Ymgeisydd</p>	<p>ADB</p>	<p>Cyfeirir at ddefnyddio bysiau gwennol rhwng y cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio a'r safleoedd adeiladu. A all yr Ymgeisydd:</p> <p>(a) Gadarnhau'r hyn mae'n ei olygu gan 'fws gwennol', oherwydd bod cyfeiriadau eraill yn y dogfennau'n awgrymu mai bysiau mini a gynigir.</p> <p>(b) Os na chynigir bysiau mini, a allwch chi esbonio pam y cynigir lleoedd parcio i 50 o fysiau mini yn y safle Parcio a Theithio a beth fyddai'r bysiau mini'n cael eu defnyddio ar ei gyfer?</p> <p>(c) Os cynigir bysiau mini, a allwch chi esbonio ble y byddai'r rhain yn cael eu parcio/cadw, ac os cynigir darparwr allanol, a yw'r teithiau i/o'r depo i'r safle wedi cael eu cynnwys yn y modelu traffig?</p> <p>(ch) Nodi faint o deithwyr y gallai bws gwennol eu cludo.</p> <p>(d) Esbonio'r hyn a olygir gan dechnoleg 'allyriadau isel' ar gyfer bysiau a chadarnhau p'un a ystyriwyd defnyddio 'bysiau gwennol' trydan neu hybrid.</p> <p>(dd) Nodi pa mor aml y byddai'r bysiau'n rhedeg a faint o deithiau y disgwylir iddynt eu gwneud yn ystod diwrnod.</p> <p>(e) Cadarnhau p'un a fyddai angen i ddefnyddwyr dalu am barcio.</p> <p>(f) Cadarnhau p'un a fyddai'r bws gwennol am ddim neu'n cael ei gymorthdalu?</p> <p>(ff) Cadarnhau y byddai'r bysiau gwennol yn gallu cyrraedd y llwybrau a ddangosir ar Ffigur 5-5 y TA [APP-101]</p> <p>(g) Esbonio pam na chynigir unrhyw fysiau gwennol ar gyfer rhannau</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>dwyreiniol a deheuol yr ynys ar hyn o bryd?</p> <p>Mae'n ymddangos bod gwrthddywediad ym mharagraff 4.2.4 ys is-CoCP Parcio a Theithio (PRSCoCP)[APP-418] gan ei fod yn dweud y byddai'r cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio ar waith o 06:00 tan 20:00 ond y byddai hefyd yn gweithredu 24 awr, saith diwrnod yr wythnos. A allwch chi:</p> <p>(a) Gadarnhau'r oriau gweithredu; ac  (b) Esbonio sut y byddai defnyddwyr yn cael mynediad at y cyfleuster hwn os nad yw'r gwasanaeth ar gael 24/7.</p>
<p><b>Q11.1.22</b></p>	<p>Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC a Llywodraeth Cymru</p>	<p>ADB</p>	<p>Cynigir sefydlu system adnabod rhifau cerbyd awtomatig (ANPR) ar gyfer cerbydau sy'n defnyddio'r cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio.</p> <p>A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau p'un a yw hefyd yn bwriadu sefydlu system rhwystr mynediad/gadael?</p> <p>A all IACC/Llywodraeth Cymru yr Awdurdod Priffyrdd gadarnhau p'un a ydynt yn fodlon y byddai defnyddio ANPR yn atal cerbydau rhag ciwio ar y briffordd?</p> <p>IACC – yn eich RR, rydych wedi codi amheuan ynglŷn â chydnerthedd y rhwydwaith priffyrdd wrth gyffordd 4 yr A55 – a allwch chi esbonio beth yw'ch pryder?</p>
<p><b>Q11.1.23</b></p>	<p>Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC</p>	<p>ADB</p>	<p>O ganlyniad i'w leoliad, gallai'r cynllun Parcio a Theithio orlifo. A all yr Ymgeisydd ddisgrifio beth fyddai'n digwydd pe byddai'r cyfleuster yn gorlifo, gan gynnwys beth fyddai'r trefniadau parcio amgen, os o gwbl?</p> <p>A all IACC/yr Awdurdod Priffyrdd nodi pa fesurau a fyddai'n briodol, yn eu</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			barn nhw, pe byddai'r cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio'n gorlifo?
<b>Q11.1.24</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC a Llywodraeth Cymru	ADC	<p>A all yr Ymgeisydd:</p> <p>(a) Roi enghreifftiau o gynlluniau eraill lle y defnyddiwyd y System Orlhain Asedau Rheoli Dosbarthiad (DMATS) arfaethedig yn llwyddiannus?</p> <p>(b) Nodi p'un a fydd rhaid i gyflenwyr dalu am ddefnyddio'r system ac a allai hyn atal defnyddio cyflenwyr lleol llai o faint?</p> <p>(c) Sut y bydd cerbydau'n cael eu hatal rhag cyrraedd y ganolfan logisteg yn gynnar neu aros ar y rhwydwaith ffyrdd amgylchynol tan eu hamser cyrraedd a drefnwyd?</p> <p>A yw IACC/Llywodraeth Cymru yr Awdurdod Priffyrdd yn fodlon y bydd defnyddio DMATS yn rheoli danfoniadau fel y disgwylir gan yr Ymgeisydd?</p>
<b>Q11.1.25</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC a Llywodraeth Cymru	WA/WE	<p>Mae Cod Ymarfer Gweithredu Wylfa Newydd (WNCooP) [APP-421] yn amlinellu cyfres o fesurau sy'n ceisio lleihau teithiau i'r safle mewn car gymaint â phosibl. Pa fesurau a gynigir i leihau teithiau i'r safle mewn car gymaint â phosibl ar gyfer y gweithlu cyfnodau cau?</p> <p>A yw IACC/Llywodraeth Cymru yr Awdurdod Priffyrdd yn fodlon â'r manylion a gynhwysir yn y Strategaeth Teithio Gweithredol arfaethedig fel y'u hamlinellir yn adran 5 y WNCooP?</p>
<b>Q11.1.26</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a IACC	WA	A yw'r TA yn cynnwys modelu ar gyfer yr effaith y byddai'r 1,000 o weithwyr ychwanegol a fyddai'n ofynnol bob naw mis i ymdrin â chyfnodau cau yn ei chael ar y rhwydwaith ffyrdd?
<b>Q11.1.27</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac	Q	Byddai'r cynnig yn arwain at yr angen i gau neu ddargyfeirio nifer o Hawliau

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
	IACC		Tramwy Cyhoeddus (PRoW). Yn ogystal â'r mesurau ffisegol arfaethedig fel arwyddion, a ystyriwyd gwefan/dolen i wefan IACC lle y gellid rhoi gwybodaeth ynglŷn â'r llwybrau sydd ar gau/wedi'u dargyfeirio a gwybodaeth am lwybrau amgen a graddfeydd amser ac am ba mor hir y byddant ar waith?
<b>Q11.1.28</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae'r orsaf bŵer bresennol (Wylfa A) wrthi'n cael ei datgomisiynu. A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau bod effaith y gwaith hwn, gan gynnwys y symudiadau traffig cysylltiedig, wedi cael ei hystyried yn yr ES a'r TA ar gyfer y cais?
<b>Q11.1.29</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	Q	Mae cyfraniad wedi'i gynnig i ariannu gwelliannau i'r rhwydwaith PRoW er mwyn lleihau effaith colli'r 32 PRoW i effaith niweidiol gymedrol.  Yr Ymgeisydd – faint o gyllid a gynnigir? IACC – Beth fyddai'r arian hwn yn cael ei wario arno ac a fyddai'n ddigonol i leihau'r effaith i un niweidiol gymedrol, fel yr honnir gan yr Ymgeisydd? Os na, pam?
<b>Q11.1.30</b>	IACC	Q	Rydych wedi codi pryderon penodol ynglŷn ag effaith y cynnig ar Lwybr Arfordir Cymru a Lôn Las Copr – faint o bobl sy'n defnyddio'r llwybrau hyn ar hyn o bryd ac a wyddys faint maen nhw'n ei gyfrannu at economi Ynys Môn?
<b>Q11.1.31</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Cynigir PRoW newydd o fewn yr WNDA yn ystod y cam gweithredu yn ogystal â llwybr natur, darparu byrddau picnic, maes parcio newydd a byrddau dehongli. Sut byddai'r rhain yn cael eu sicrhau?
<b>Q11.1.32</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	ADC	Yn ystod y prif gyfnod adeiladu, byddai llif cerbydau nwyddau trwm (HGV) ar hyd yr A5025 yn cynyddu rhwng 71 a 101% (ddwywaith y lefelau

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>presennol). Yr Ymgeisydd:</p> <p>(a) Mae'r TA yn amcangyfrif y byddai 40 o symudiadau HGV yr awr i'r ddau gyfeiriad ar 'adegau brig' h.y. symudiad bob 45 eiliad. A allwch chi gadarnhau yr hyn a olygir gan 'adegau brig' a pha mor hir h.y. wythnos/mis/blwyddyn y disgwylir i hyn ddigwydd.</p> <p>(b) Cadarnhewch b'un a oedd y modelu trafndiaeth ar gyfer traffig ar hyd yr A5025 yn cynnwys y symudiadau a gynhrychir gan y bysiau gwennol parcio a theithio?</p> <p>(c) A allwch chi gadarnhau bod y gwelliannau arfaethedig i'r briffordd oddi ar linell y ffordd wedi cael eu dylunio i ymdopi â'r lefelau hyn o draffig?</p> <p>IACC/yr Awdurdod Priffyrdd – a allwch chi:</p> <p>(a) gadarnhau p'un a ydych chi'n credu bod dyluniad y gwaith priffyrdd arfaethedig oddi ar linell y ffordd yn foddhaol?</p> <p>(b) Yn eich RR, rydych chi'n gofyn i derfyn/trothwy gael ei osod ar nifer y cerbydau HGV a fyddai'n defnyddio'r A5025. A allwch chi esbonio pam rydych yn credu y byddai terfyn o'r fath yn angenrheidiol ac, os yw'n angenrheidiol, beth y dylai fod a sut y dylai gael ei orfodi.</p>
<b>Q11.1.33</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Llywodraeth Cymru	Q	Mae paragraffau 3.3.5-3.3.9 yn cyfeirio at Bolisi Cynllunio Cymru 9 (PPW9), yn enwedig mewn perthynas â'r cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio arfaethedig. A oes angen i'r adran hon gael ei diweddarau i adlewyrchu PPW10 sydd ar ddod ac, os felly, a fyddai'n effeithio ar unrhyw un o'r tybiaethau neu'r casgliadau?
<b>Q11.1.34</b>	IACC	Q	A yw'r cais yn cydymffurfio â pholisïau perthnasol y CDLIC sy'n ymwneud â thrafnidiaeth, yn enwedig polisïau PS11, PS12, TRA1, TRA2 a TRA4? Os na,

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			pam?
<b>Q11.1.35</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraffau 3.4.15-3.4.26 y TA [APP-101] yn cyfeirio at Ganllawiau Cynllunio Atodol (SPG) IACC ar gyfer adeiladu'r gwaith niwclear newydd yn Wylfa. Os yw SPG drafft 2018 wedi cael eu mabwysiadu, a oes angen i'r adran hon gael ei diweddarau ac, os felly, a fyddai'n effeithio ar unrhyw un o'r tybiaethau neu'r casgliadau?
<b>Q11.1.36</b>	Llywodraeth Cymru	Q	Hyd at 2028, mae'n rhaid i chi dalu toll gysgod i Carillion plc a John Laing group plc am yr A55 yn seiliedig ar niferoedd cerbydau ac argaeledd lonydd hyd at 2028. Sut bydd y cais yn effeithio ar y doll hon ac a oes gennych chi'r adnoddau i ymdopi ag unrhyw gynnydd a allai godi o ganlyniad i'r Cais?
<b>Q11.1.37</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Cynigir lle parcio diogel, dan orchudd i barcio beiciau ar gampws y safle i annog dewisiadau teithio cynaliadwy at ddibenion hamdden (paragraff 5.1.44 y TA [APP-101]). Mae hyn yn dibynnu ar y ffaith bod gweithwyr sydd wedi'u lleoli ar gampws y safle yn dod â beiciau gyda nhw. A fyddai Horizon yn ystyried darparu beiciau i annog patrymau teithio cynaliadwy?
<b>Q11.1.38</b>	IACC	Q	A allwch chi gadarnhau bod Tabl 5-3 y TA [APP-101] yn cynnwys y datblygiad cyfan yr ymrwymwyd iddo?
<b>Q11.1.39</b>	IACC	Q	Yn eich RR, rydych yn nodi yr hoffech weld mwy o opsiynau parcio a rhannu mewn lleoliadau strategol eraill addas. A allwch chi gadarnhau: (a) Beth rydych chi'n ei olygu gan barcio a rhannu? (b) Sut byddai hyn yn wahanol i'r dewis rhannu ceir a gynigir gan yr Ymgeisydd? (c) Ym mha lleoliadau strategol yr hoffech weld cyfleusterau o'r fath; a (ch) Pha gyfran o draffig gweithwyr yr hoffech ei gweld yn defnyddio

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			cyfleuster o'r fath?
<b>Q11.1.40</b>	IACC	Q	A ydych chi'n cytuno â'r tybiaethau a wnaed gan yr Ymgeisydd mewn perthynas â rhannu ceir o ran y galw am barcio ceir (7.3.11- 7.4.12 y TA [APP-101]). Os na, pa ffigurau ydych chi'n credu y dylid eu defnyddio a sut byddai hyn yn effeithio ar nifer y lleoedd y bydd eu hangen?
<b>Q11.1.41</b>	IACC	Q	Mae'r Ymgeisydd wedi nodi y byddai'n disgwyl i weithwyr rheoli cyfleusterau rannu ceir. Fodd bynnag, o ystyried bod mwyafrif y rhain yn debygol o fod yn byw gartref, a ydych chi'n credu bod y dybiaeth hon yn gywir? Os na, pam?
<b>Q11.1.42</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae gweithwyr rheoli cyfleusterau ar gyfer Campws y Safle yn tybio rhaniad o 70% ar gyfer y sifft ddydd a 30% ar gyfer y sifft nos. Fodd bynnag, gan y byddai mwyafrif y gweithwyr adeiladu'n defnyddio'r cyfleusterau yn y nos, a yw'r dybiaeth hon yn gywir?  Mae'n ymddangos bod y modelu trafndiaeth yn defnyddio ffigur o 350 o weithwyr cyfleusterau. Fodd bynnag, mewn rhan arall o'r dogfennau, rhoddir ffigur o 690 ar gyfer cyfnod brig blwyddyn 5 (paragraff 2.4.13 y Crynodeb Annhechnegol o'r Asesiad o'r Effaith ar Iechyd [APP-427]). A allwch chi gadarnhau bod y modelu traffig a'r cyfrifiadau parcio wedi ystyried y nifer hon o staff cyfleusterau?
<b>Q11.1.43</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Cynhaliwyd y TA ar y sail y byddai 1,500 o weithwyr yn dod o'r tu allan i'r DU. A gynhaliwyd unrhyw foddelu i asesu beth fyddai'r goblygiadau i'r TA os, o ganlyniad i Brexit, na fydd yn bosibl recriwtio gweithwyr o Ewrop ac felly y byddai angen mwy o weithwyr o'r DU ac Iwerddon?
<b>Q11.1.44</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	(a) A fyddai Llwythi Anwahanadwy Anghyffredin (AILs), yn enwedig y



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>rhai y mae angen iddynt gael eu hebrwng, yn cael eu trefnu i deithio y tu allan i oriau brig y bore a'r nos?</p> <p>(b) A allwch chi gadarnhau p'un a fyddai'n rhaid i AILs deithio trwy'r Ganolfan Logisteg neu a fyddent yn mynd yn uniongyrchol i'r WNDA?</p> <p>(c) Os bwriedir iddynt fynd yn uniongyrchol i'r WNDA, sut byddent yn cael eu rheoli i sicrhau na fyddent yn achosi tagfeydd ar yr A5025 ar gyfer traffig adeiladu arall?</p>
<b>Q11.1.45</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADB	O ran y cyfleuster parcio a theithio, mae Pennod F1 paragraff 1.1.7 yn datgan bod y gwaith ar y cyfleuster yn cynnwys cylchfan newydd. [I1] Nid yw'n eglur p'un a fyddai'r gylchfan hon yn cael ei chadw neu ei datgomisiynu ar ôl i'r orsaf bŵer gael ei hadeiladu. A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau?
<b>Q11.1.46</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADD	O ran y gwelliannau i'r A5025 oddi ar linell y briffordd, mae Pennod G1 yn gwneud sawl cyfeiriad at adfer cyfadeiladau (e.e. paragraffau 1.5.24, 1.5.27, 1.5.36, 1.5.55, 1.5.60, 1.5.75, 1.5.80, 1.5.100), ond nid yw'n ymddangos bod adfer wedi'i sicrhau yn y dDCO. A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau sut y byddai adfer yn cael ei sicrhau?
<b>Q11.1.47</b>	IACC	ADC	<p>Mae Adran 3 yr is-CoCP Gwelliannau i'r A5025 Oddi ar Linell y Briffordd [APP-420] yn amlinellu'r ymgynghoriad cyn-gychwyn ar gyfer eiddo a busnesau o fewn 100m o'r gwaith arfaethedig. O ystyried maint Ynys Môn a phwysigrwydd yr A5025 fel llwybr gogledd/de, a ydych chi'n credu bod hyn yn ddigonol?</p> <p>Os ydych chi'n credu y byddai hyn yn annigonol, pa fesurau eraill yr hoffech i'r Ymgeisydd eu cynnal?</p>
<b>Q11.1.48</b>	IACC	Q	Esboniwch statws cynllunio Parc Cybi (y Ganolfan Logisteg).
<b>Q11.1.49</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	ADB ac ADC	Esboniwch ymhellach y rhesymeg wrth wraidd safleoedd ar wahân ar gyfer y ganolfan logisteg a'r safle Parcio a Theithio. Pam na fyddai'r ddau safle'n

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			cael eu cydleoli?
<b>Q11.1.50</b>	Llywodraeth Cymru, IACC a'r Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>Yn ddiweddar, cyhoeddodd Llywodraeth Cymru y llwybr a ffeirir ar gyfer trydedd groesfan ar draws Afon Menai, gyda'r bwriad o ddechrau ei hadeiladu yn 2021.</p> <p>Llywodraeth Cymru – a allwch chi roi rhagor o fanylion am y llwybr arfaethedig a'r rhaglen adeiladu ac unrhyw sylwadau ar sut y gallent effeithio ar y cais?</p> <p>Yr Ymgeisydd – nid ydych wedi cynnwys y drydedd groesfan yn y '<i>Rhestr fer o safleoedd prosiectau yn y dyfodol sy'n rhesymol ragweladwy</i>' [APP-397] – a allwch chi esbonio pam na chynhwyswyd y groesfan a ph'un a ddylai gael ei chynnwys yn awr?</p> <p>Nid yw'r groesfan wedi'i chynnwys yn yr ES ar hyn o bryd (ES Cyfrol 1 – Effeithiau cronol 12 – Cwmpas tudalen 16) yn amodol ar drafodaethau pellach â Llywodraeth Cymru – Rhowch ddiweddariad ar y trafodaethau hyn a ph'un a oes angen i'r ddogfen hon a/neu'r ES gael eu diweddarau o ganlyniad iddynt.</p> <p>IACC – a oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau?</p>
<b>12.</b>	<b>Newid Arfordirol</b>		
<b>Q12.0.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WD	Golygwch Bennod D12 [APP-131] paragraff 12.4.14 i gyfeirio at Ffigur D-12.
<b>Q12.0.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC	Golygwch Bennod D12 paragraff 12.4.39 i gyfeirio at Ffigur D-3.
<b>Q12.0.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC	Pennod D12 paragraff 12.5.60 – a all yr Ymgeisydd egluro ystyr "Pe byddai angen, byddai gweithdrefnau ychwanegol fel setliad yn cael eu darparu i fodloni'r terfyn hwn ym mhennod D1" [APP-120]

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q12.0.4</b>	Yr Ymddiriedolaeth Genedlaethol	WC/WD	A all yr Ymddiriedolaeth Genedlaethol fanylu ar y pryderon yn ei RR [RR-053] ynglŷn â chasgliadau'r wybodaeth hydro-geomorffoleg, hydrodynameg a dynameg tonnau a gynhwysir yn y cais?
<b>Q12.0.5</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac NRW	WC	Mae NRW yn dweud yn ei RR [RR-088] bod angen mwy o wybodaeth i ddangos na fydd newidiadau i brosesau arfordirol o ganlyniad i bresenoldeb y strwythurau morol yn effeithio ar gefn graean Lagŵn Cemlyn. A wnaiff yr Ymgeisydd ddarparu'r wybodaeth hon?
<b>13.</b>	<b>Cwestiynau Cyffredinol</b>		
<b>Q13.0.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	Q	Mynegwyd pryderon bod yr enwau a ddefnyddiwyd ar gyfer safle'r cais yn anghywir ac mai'r enwau cywir ar gyfer y safle cyfan yw Cors Tyddyn Waen, Cors Tŷ Coch a Chors Bron Haul. A allai'r Ymgeisydd/IACC roi gwybod beth yw'r enwau cywir, yn eu barn nhw, a sicrhau bod unrhyw ddogfennau/gohebiaeth yn defnyddio'r enwau lleoedd cywir o hyn ymlaen.
<b>Q13.0.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau bod yr adeiladau – yn enwedig yr adweithydd a'r adeiladau storio gweddillion tanwydd – wedi cael eu dylunio i leihau'r posibilrwydd o ddifrod/distryw i'r eithaf o ganlyniad i ddigwyddiadau hinsoddol unigryw (fel Tswnami) neu ymosodiad terfysgol?
<b>Q13.0.3</b>	IACC a Menter Môn	Q	Rhowch ddiweddariad ar gynnydd y cais am statws Awyr Dywyll ac, os bu'n llwyddiannus, y goblygiadau i unrhyw strategaeth oleuo ar gyfer y cynnig.
<b>Q13.0.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	A allwch chi ddarparu dogfen gyfeirio/rhestr termau sy'n rhestru'r holl brif fyrfoddau a ddefnyddir drwy gydol dogfennau'r cais, megis WAMS, CoCP, CEMP, WAS ac ati, mewn un man?
<b>Q13.0.5</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae sawl cyfeiriad drwy gydol y dogfennau Cod Ymarfer Adeiladu at beidio

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			â gweithio ar Wyliau Banc (e.e. paragraff 4.2.2 yr is-CoCP Parcio a Theithio [APP-418]). A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau ei fod yn golygu gwyliau Banc a Chyhoeddus a diwygio'r dogfennau fel y bo'r angen?
<b>Q13.0.6</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Byddai gweithwyr adeiladu'n symud rhwng safleoedd. A oes perygl y gallai halogiad gael ei drosglwyddo rhwng safleoedd gan weithwyr ac, os felly, pa fesurau ydych chi'n eu cynnig i sicrhau na fyddai hyn yn digwydd?
<b>14.</b>	<b>Dyluniad Da</b>		
<b>Q14.0.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	Esboniwch pam nad oes unrhyw Luniadau Dylunio Manwl yn Rhan 6 o Atodlen 2 y Gorchymyn Caniatâd Datblygu drafft (dDCO) [APP-029] ar gyfer unrhyw un o'r adeiladau a'r strwythurau arfaethedig ar y Prif Safle allan gyda safle'r Ynys Bŵer.
<b>Q14.0.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd a Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn (IACC), Comisiwn Dylunio Cymru (DCfW) a Phartïon â Buddiant	WA	O ran safle'r Orsaf Bŵer a'r Datganiad Dylunio a Mynediad, Cyfrol 2 [APP-408]:  (a) A yw'r egwyddorion dylunio a nodwyd yn Rhan 5 yn ddigonol i fodloni'r 'Meini Prawf Dyluniad Da ar gyfer seilwaith ynni' mewn perthynas ag 'estheteg' a amlinellwyd yn adran 4.5 o EN-1 ac a fyddent wedi'u sicrhau'n ddigonol yn y dDCO [APP-029];  (b) A yw'r cysyniad o 'Fframwaith Grid' (para. 4.1.9) i danategu'r ymagwedd at ddyluniad, cynllun ac uwchgynllunio yn briodol ac a ydyw wedi'i wireddu'n dda yn y cynigion dylunio a chynllun a amlinellwyd yn y Datganiad Dylunio a Mynediad Cyfrol 2 [APP-408];  (c) A yw'r palet tirwedd dangosol arfaethedig (Adran 6.2); y palet deunyddiau cyffredinol (Adran 6.4) a'r palet lliwiau (para. 4.1.22 ff) yn foddhaol ac a fyddent wedi'u sicrhau'n ddigonol yn y dDCO [APP-029]?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q14.0.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC a DCfW	WA	<p>O ran yr adeiladau arfaethedig ar safle'r Orsaf Bŵer y tu allan i'r Ynys Bŵer (yr adeiladau 'math swyddfa' a 'diwydiannol'):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) A fyddai'r mecanweithiau cywir ar waith i gyflawni rhagoriaeth bensaernïol;</li> <li>(b) A ddylai trefniadau gael eu diogelu i sicrhau adolygiad dylunio parhaus o'r elfennau hyn wrth i'r prosiect fynd rhagddo; ac</li> <li>(c) A fyddai gwerth i gynnal cystadlaethau dylunio neu bensaernïol i gyflawni adeiladau o ragoriaeth sy'n ategu'r buddsoddiad sylweddol mewn cynhyrchu pŵer ac yn ychwanegu gwerth at brofiad cyflogeion, ymwelwyr a thrigolion?</li> </ul>
<b>Q14.0.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC, NRW, NT a Phartion â Buddiant	WF	<p>A yw'r ymagwedd at ddylunio a'r cynigion ar gyfer Ardal Ddatblygu Wylfa Newydd (WNDA) yn bodloni amcanion y prosiect a amlinellwyd yn adran 2.3 o'r Datganiad Dylunio a Mynediad Cyfrol 1 [APP-407] o ran:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Integreiddio cynaliadwyedd ym mhob dyluniad ffisegol;</li> <li>(b) Datblygu ymagwedd werdd a chynaliadwy wrth ddatblygu a rheoli'r adeiladau a gweithgareddau gweithredol; a</li> <li>(c) Sicrhau bod yr holl elfennau wedi'u dylunio i gysylltu â harddwch a chymeriad amrywiol Ynys Môn a gwarchod a gwella'r amgylchedd naturiol cyn belled ag y bo modd?</li> </ul> <p>Os na, beth arall ddylid ei wneud a sut ellid ei sicrhau?</p>
<b>Q14.0.5</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC, DCfW, NRW a Phartion â Buddiant	WF	<p>A yw'r Egwyddorion Dylunio Gorsaf Bŵer a amlinellwyd yn y Datganiad Dylunio a Mynediad Cyfrol 2 [APP-408] Adran 5 (tudalen 700 ff), yn briodol ac yn ddigonol i gyflawni'r amcanion canlynol a bennwyd ym mhara. 1.5.2 y ddogfen hon [APP-408] ac os nad ydynt, beth ellid gwneud yn ei gylch:</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Adlewyrchu pwysigrwydd ei leoliad ar Ynys Môn;</li> <li>(b) Cynnal diwylliant ac iaith unigryw Ynys Môn;</li> <li>(c) Integreiddio cynaliadwyedd ym mhob dyluniad fffisegol;</li> <li>(d) Datblygu ymagwedd werdd a chynaliadwy wrth ddatblygu a rheoli'r adeiladau a gweithgareddau gweithredol;</li> <li>(e) Adeiladu ar etifeddiaeth yr Orsaf Bŵer Bresennol, a helpu i greu menter gadarnhaol ar gyfer Ynys Môn;</li> <li>(f) Sicrhau bod yr holl elfennau'n cael eu dylunio i gysylltu â harddwch a chymeriad amrywiol Ynys Môn a gwarchod a gwella'r amgylchedd naturiol cyn belled ag y bo modd?</li> </ul>
<b>Q14.0.6</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Esboniwch sut fydd yr egwyddorion dylunio ar gyfer cynigion goleuo, a gweithredu cynigion goleuo ar bob safle yn ymateb i gynnig IACC am Statws Gwarchodfa Awyr Dywyll, ac yn cynnig egwyddorion a pholisiau sy'n ymateb yn gadarnhaol i'r fenter hon?
<b>Q14.0.7</b>	IACC, DCfW a Phartion â Buddiant	WE	<p>Gan ystyried ei leoliad gerllaw ffin Ardal o Harddwch Naturiol Eithriadol (AHNE) Ynys Môn, a yw'r Datganiad Dylunio a Mynediad [APP-409]; y cynlluniau o'r paramedr a nodwyd yn Atodlen 2, Rhan 4 a'r Gofynion mewn perthynas â Gwaith Cyfleusterau Oddi ar Safle'r Orsaf Bŵer Rhif 5, yn Atodlen 3 o'r dDCO [APP-029] yn bodloni amcanion y polisi cynllunio mewn perthynas â chyflawni datblygiad cynaliadwy o ansawdd uchel ar gyfer y Cyfleusterau Oddi ar Safle'r Orsaf Bŵer; gan gyfeirio at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Egwyddorion dylunio;</li> <li>(b) Strategaeth uwchgynllunio;</li> <li>(c) Cynaliadwyedd amgylcheddol;</li> <li>(d) Cynigion tirlunio caled a meddal – gan gynnwys cynigion i blannu;</li> <li>(e) Ôl-troed, uchder a chrynswth adeiladau a strwythurau;</li> <li>(f) Deunyddiau a phaletau lliwiau;</li> </ul>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>(g) Triniaethau wyneb;  (h) Goleuadau;  (i) Triniaethau ffiniau;  (j) Hygyrchedd;  (k) Diogelwch y gymuned;  (l) Cyfundrefnau cynnal a chadw; a  (m) Chynigion dylunio dangosol.</p> <p>Ac os na, beth ddylid gwneud yn ei gylch?</p>
<b>Q14.0.8</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WE	<p>O ran cynigion y Cyfleusterau Oddi ar Safle'r Orsaf Bŵer a ddisgrifiwyd yn y Datganiad Dylunio a Mynediad [APP-409]:</p> <p>(a) Esboniwch pam mae'n angenrheidiol parcio pedwar cynhwysydd ISO yn barhaol (wedi'u stacio fesul pâr) yn yr agored ar y safle a ph'un a ymchwiliwyd i opsiynau gwahanol, er enghraifft, o fewn yr Ynys Bŵer?</p> <p>(b) A ellid gwneud darpariaeth ar gyfer parcio/storio beiciau a phwyntiau gwefru cerbydau trydan ar y safle?</p>
<b>Q14.0.9</b>	IACC	WE	<p>A yw'r berthynas rhwng yr adeilad MEEG/AECC arfaethedig ar safle'r Cyfleusterau Oddi ar Safle'r Orsaf Bŵer a'r eiddo preswyl i'r dwyrain o'r adeilad arfaethedig yn foddhaol – gweler y Datganiad Dylunio a Mynediad Cyfrol 3 Rhan 1) [APP-409] Ffigurau 20 (tudalen 41) a 32 (tudalen 55). Os nad ydyw, pam?</p>
<b>Q14.0.10</b>	IACC, DCfW, NT, Partneriaeth Gogledd Ynys Môn (NAP) a	ADA	<p>A yw'r Datganiad Dylunio a Mynediad [APP-409]; cynllun y paramedr a nodwyd yn Atodlen 2, Rhan 4 a'r Gofynion mewn perthynas â Gwaith Campws y Safle Rhif Gwaith 3, yn Atodlen 3 o'r dDCO [APP-029], yn cynnwys y dimensiynau mwyaf a ddangosir yn WN20, yn bodloni amcanion</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
	Phartion â Buddiant		<p>y polisi cynllunio mewn perthynas â chyflawni datblygiad cynaliadwy o ansawdd uchel ar gyfer Campws y Safle; gan gyfeirio at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Egwyddorion dylunio;</li> <li>(b) Strategaeth uwchgynllunio;</li> <li>(c) Cynaliadwyedd amgylcheddol;</li> <li>(d) Cynigion tirlunio caled a meddal – gan gynnwys cynigion i blannu;</li> <li>(e) Ôl-troed, uchder a chrynswth adeiladau a strwythurau;</li> <li>(f) Deunyddiau a phaletau lliw;</li> <li>(g) Triniaethau wyneb;</li> <li>(h) Goleuadau;</li> <li>(i) Triniaethau ffiniau;</li> <li>(j) Hygyrchedd;</li> <li>(k) Diogelwch y gymuned;</li> <li>(l) Cyfundrefnau cynnal a chadw; a</li> <li>(m) Chynigion dylunio dangosol.</li> </ul> <p>Os na, beth ddylid gwneud yn ei gylch?</p>
<b>Q14.0.11</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADA	<p>O ran y cynigion ar gyfer Campws y Safle a amlinellwyd yn y Datganiad Dylunio a Mynediad [APP-409]:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Nodwch y lleoliad arfaethedig ar gyfer cyfleuster iechyd Campws y Safle ac esboniwch sut fydd cerbydau gwasanaethau ac ambiwlansys yn mynd ato?</li> <li>(b) Esboniwch y deunydd y byddai'r 'paneli cladid effaith pren' arfaethedig yn cael ei wneud ohono; sut fyddent yn cael eu gosod ar yr adeiladau a'r safonau y maent yn eu bodloni ar gyfer delio ag amodau tywydd eithafol a diogelwch tân?</li> <li>(c) Disgrifiwch y cynigion ar gyfer sylfeini adeiladau Campws y Safle a'r</li> </ul>



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>mecanwaith ar gyfer tynnu'r adeiladau hynny ac adfer y tir yn ystod proses datgomisiynu Campws y Safle?</p> <p>(d) Disgrifiwch sut fyddai offer ar ben toeon blociau adeiladau Campws y Safle yn cael eu cuddio o'r golwg, gan gynnwys o bell?</p>
<b>Q14.0.12</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC, DCfW a Phartion â Buddiant	ADB	<p>A yw'r Datganiad Dylunio a Mynediad [APP-410]; Cynlluniau o'r Paramedr a nodwyd yn Atodlen 2, Rhan 4; y Cynlluniau Dylunio Manwl a nodwyd yn Atodlen 2, Rhan 6 a'r Gofynion mewn perthynas â'r Cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio Rhif Gwaith 6 yn Atodlen 3 o'r dDCO [APP-029] yn bodloni amcanion y polisi cynllunio mewn perthynas â chyflawni datblygiad cynaliadwy o ansawdd uchel ar y cyfleuster Parcio a Theithio yn Dalar Hir; gan gyfeirio at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Egwyddorion dylunio;</li> <li>(b) Strategaeth uwchgynllunio;</li> <li>(c) Cynaliadwyedd amgylcheddol;</li> <li>(d) Cynigion tirlunio caled a meddal – gan gynnwys cynigion i blannu;</li> <li>(e) Ôl-troed, uchder a chrynswth adeiladau a strwythurau;</li> <li>(f) Deunyddiau a phaletau lliwiau;</li> <li>(g) Triniaethau wyneb;</li> <li>(h) Goleuadau;</li> <li>(i) Triniaethau ffiniau;</li> <li>(j) Hygyrchedd;</li> <li>(k) Diogelwch cymunedol;</li> <li>(l) Cyfundrefnau cynnal a chadw; a</li> <li>(m) Strategaeth ôl-weithredu.</li> </ul> <p>Os na, beth ddylid gwneud yn ei gylch?</p>
<b>Q14.0.13</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd,	ADC	Gan ystyried ei leoliad o fewn AHNE Ynys Môn, a yw'r Datganiad Dylunio a

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
	IACC, DCfW a Phartion â Buddiant		<p>Mynediad [APP-409, APP-410]; y cynlluniau o'r paramedr a nodwyd yn Atodlen 2, Rhan 4; y Cynlluniau Dylunio Manwl a nodwyd yn Atodlen 2, Rhan 6 a'r Gofynion mewn perthynas â'r Ganolfan Logisteg Rhif Gwaith 7 yn Atodlen 3 o'r dDCO [APP-029] yn bodloni amcanion y polisi cynllunio mewn perthynas â chyflawni datblygiad cynaliadwy o ansawdd uchel ar gyfer y Ganolfan Logisteg ym Mharc Cybi; gan gyfeirio at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Priodoldeb y defnydd arfaethedig ar gyfer y safle;</li> <li>(b) Oriau gweithredu;</li> <li>(c) Egwyddorion dylunio;</li> <li>(d) Strategaeth uwchgynllunio;</li> <li>(e) Cynaliadwyedd amgylcheddol;</li> <li>(f) Cynigion tirlunio caled a meddal – gan gynnwys cynigion i blannu;</li> <li>(g) Ôl-troed, uchder a chrynswth adeiladau a strwythurau;</li> <li>(h) Deunyddiau a phaletau lliwiau;</li> <li>(i) Triniaethau wyneb;</li> <li>(j) Goleuadau;</li> <li>(k) Triniaethau ffiniau;</li> <li>(l) Hygyrchedd;</li> <li>(m) Diogelwch cymunedol;</li> <li>(n) Cyfundrefnau cynnal a chadw; a</li> <li>(o) Strategaeth ôl-weithredu.</li> </ul> <p>Os na, beth ddylid gwneud yn ei gylch?</p>
<b>Q14.0.14</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADA	A ddylid darparu cynllun diwygiedig i liniaru pryderon ynghylch effaith weledol Campws arfaethedig y Safle? Os na, pam? Sut fyddai cyflawni a phennu camau yn cael eu sicrhau drwy'r dDCO?
<b>15.</b>	<b>Defnydd Tir</b>		
<b>Q15.0.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	O ystyried maint cyfyngedig Wylfa A a'r ôl-troed cyfyngedig arfaethedig

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			sydd ei angen ar gyfer cyfadeilad arfaethedig yr orsaf bŵer (236 hectar) a all yr ymgeisydd naill ai ddarparu datganiad neu amlygu ble yn nogfennau'r cais, heblaw am baragraff 6.5.16 o'r Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406], y gellir gweld y cyfiawnhad dros faint o dir (407 hectar) sydd ei angen ar gyfer Ardal Ddatblygu Wylfa Newydd (WNDA)?
<b>Q15.0.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC, yr Ymddiriedolaeth Genedlaethol a Phartion â Buddiant	Q	<p>Mae PPW9 yn datgan na ddylid datblygu tir comin ac na ddylid atal neu rwystro mynediad yn ddiangen:</p> <p>(a) A oes unrhyw ran o'r tir naill ai o fewn WNDA neu ar gyfer y safleoedd datblygu cysylltiedig wedi'i dosbarthu yn dir comin?</p> <p>(b) Os felly, a all yr ymgeisydd ddarparu map neu gynllun yn amlygu'r ardaloedd sydd wedi'u dosbarthu yn dir comin? a</p> <p>(c) Pha fesurau a gynigir i sicrhau bod mynediad yn cael ei gynnal neu, lle caiff mynediad ei gyfyngu neu'i golli, bod hwn yn cael ei leihau?</p>
<b>Q15.0.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	<p>(a) Darparwch gynllun, ac os oes angen, tabl cysylltiedig sy'n dangos yn ffisegol lleoliad y Dosbarthiadau Tir Amaethyddol amrywiol ar gyfer WNDA.</p> <p>(b) Faint o WNDA fyddai wedi'i ddsbarthu fel Tir Gorau a Mwyaf Amlbwrpas (BMV)?</p> <p>(c) Mae Polisi Cynllunio Cymru a Nodyn Cyngor Technegol 6 yn rhoi cyngor ynglŷn â BMV. Sut fyddai'r cynnig yn cydymffurfio â'r cyngor hwn?</p>
<b>Q15.0.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADC	<p>A fyddai unrhyw dir BMV yn cael ei golli o ganlyniad i'r Newidiadau arfaethedig i briffordd yr A5025?</p> <p>A fyddai'r newidiadau arfaethedig i briffordd yr A5025 yn arwain at unrhyw faterion gwahanu o bobtu'r ffordd ar gyfer ffermydd neu fusnesau ar hyd y</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			llwybr arfaethedig?
<b>Q15.0.5</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Ym mha fodd y delir â thor-amodau cynlluniau amaeth-amgylcheddol posibl yn y tymor byr a'r hirdymor gan y Cais? Pwy fyddai'n cymryd y cyfrifoldeb am ddelio ag unrhyw dor-amodau, yr Ymgeisydd neu lofnodwr y cynllun, os mai'r llofnodwr ydyw, a yw'r Ymgeisydd yn cynnig unrhyw gymorth neu gyngor iddo?
<b>16.</b>	<b>Angen am y datblygiad</b>		
<b>Q16.0.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraff 1.3.15 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yn dweud na fu unrhyw newidiadau perthnasol mewn amgylchiadau ers mabwysiadu EN-1 ac EN-6. O ystyried i'r dogfennau hyn gael eu mabwysiadu yn 2011, a all yr ymgeisydd ymhelaethu ar y datganiad hwn a darparu rhagor o dystiolaeth ynglŷn â sut ddaeth i'r casgliad hwn?
<b>Q16.0.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraff 5.2.3 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yn cyfeirio at ostyngiad mewn capasiti trydan gan gyfeirio at lo. Er bod gorsafoedd pŵer sy'n rhedeg ar lo yn cael eu diddymu, mae nifer yn cael eu trosi i nwy. A yw hyn yn cael ei ystyried yn y datganiad hwn ac os nad ydyw, sut mae hyn yn effeithio ar gapasiti?
<b>Q16.0.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WA	A all yr Ymgeisydd ddarparu ymatebion i baragraffau 6.4.11 a 6.4.23 o'r Arfarniad o Gynaliadwyedd o'r Datganiad Polisi Cenedlaethol Niwclear drafft diwygiedig: Prif Adroddiad yn ei Ddatganiad Cynaliadwyedd [APP-426]?
<b>Q16.0.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Yn NPS-EN6 mae paragraff 1.7.4 yn datgan mai un o brif ganfyddiadau'r Arfarniad o Gynaliadwyedd Niwclear yw bod "Cydberthynasau allweddol rhwng bioamrywiaeth ac effeithiau cynaliadwyedd eraill wedi'u nodi. Roedd y rhain yn ymwneud yn fwyaf nodedig â rheoli perygl llifogydd, ansawdd

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			dŵr a chymunedau cynaliadwy." A all yr Ymgeisydd adrodd ar y cydberthynasau hyn yn y Datganiad Cynaliadwyedd?
<b>17.</b>	<b>Cyd-destun Polisi</b>		
<b>Q17.0.1</b>	IACC a Llywodraeth Cymru	Q	<p>Mae'r Ymgeisydd wedi gwneud asesiad o'r datblygiad arfaethedig yn erbyn Datganiadau Polisi Cenedlaethol (NPS) EN-1 ac EN-6, yn ogystal ag ystyried polisi cynllunio sy'n benodol i Gymru (Polisi Cynllunio Cymru a Nodiadau Cyngor Technegol [TANs]) a pholisiau cynlluniau datblygu lleol yr ystyrir eu bod yn berthnasol i'r datblygiad.</p> <p>(a) A yw IACC a Llywodraeth Cymru yn fodlon fod y Datganiad Cynllunio yn mynd i'r afael â'r holl agweddau perthnasol ar Ddatganiadau Polisi Cenedlaethol, polisi Cymru a pholisiau cynlluniau datblygu?</p> <p>(b) A yw IACC a Llywodraeth Cymru'n cytuno â chasgliadau'r ymgeisydd fod y 'Datblygiad Arfaethedig yn cydymffurfio â'r profion polisi cynllunio perthnasol'?</p>
<b>Q17.0.2</b>	Llywodraeth Cymru ac IACC	Q	<p>(a) A yw IACC a Llywodraeth Cymru yn derbyn bod yr achos angen am y prosiect yn cael ei ddadlau, fel yr amlinellir yn y Datganiad Cynllunio?</p> <p>(b) Yn benodol, bod y prosiect, mewn egwyddor, yn cydymffurfio â Pholisi Cynllunio Cymru (PPW9)?</p>
<b>Q17.0.3</b>	IACC	Q	<p>A allai IACC ddarparu'r wybodaeth ganlynol:</p> <p>(a) Polisiau'r Cynllun Datblygu yr ystyrir eu bod yn berthnasol;</p> <p>(b) Unrhyw bolisiau Cynllun Datblygu yr ystyrir bod y cynllun yn gwrthdaro â nhw;</p> <p>(c) P'un a fyddai unrhyw wrthdaro a nodir yn gyfystyr â rheswm i wrthod caniatâd; a</p> <p>(d) Ph'un a fyddai angen unrhyw fesur lliniaru pellach, ac os ydyw, beth</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			ddylai hwn fod?
<b>Q17.0.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, Llywodraeth Cymru ac NRW	Q	<p>A yw'r Cais yn helpu i gyflawni'r canlynol:</p> <p>(a) Strategaeth Cymru ar y Newid yn yr Hinsawdd (Llywodraeth Cymru, 2010);</p> <p>(b) Chwyldro Carbon Isel – Datganiad Polisi Ynni Llywodraeth Cymru (2010);</p> <p>(c) Ynni Cymru : Newid Carbon Isel;</p> <p>(d) Adnewyddu'r Economi: Cyfeiriad Newydd (Llywodraeth Cymru, 2010)</p> <p>(e) Cymraeg 2050: Strategaeth y Gymraeg (2017)</p>
<b>Q17.0.5</b>	Llywodraeth Cymru	Q	<p>A yw Deddf Llesiant Cenedlaethau'r Dyfodol (Cymru) (2015) yn berthnasol i'r cais hwn? Ac os ydyw, a fyddai'r Cais yn cyflawni'r nodau llesiant a amlinellwyd yn y Ddeddf, a phe na fyddai, pam hynny?</p>
<b>Q17.0.6</b>	Llywodraeth Cymru, IACC ac NRW	Q	<p>Mae'r ymgeisydd yn dweud yn y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] yr ystyrir bod i'r Nodiadau Cyngor Technegol (TAN) a oedd ar waith cyn mabwysiadu EN-1 a EN-6 lai o bwys na'r rheiny a fabwysiadwyd ar ôl hynny. Byddai hyn yn cynnwys include: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• TAN 11: Sŵn (1997)</li> <li>• TAN 15: Datblygu a Pherygl o Lifogydd (2004)</li> <li>• TAN 18: Trafnidiaeth (1997)</li> </ul> <p>A all y partion wneud sylw ar y pwys yr ystyriant y dylid ei roi i'r dogfennau hyn?</p> <p>O'r Nodiadau Cyngor Technegol perthnasol sy'n ôl-ddyddio'r NPSau, a oes unrhyw agweddau ar y cais yr ystyria'r partion eu bod yn methu</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			cydymffurfio â'r TANau hyn neu'n mynd yn groes iddynt?
<b>Q17.0.7</b>	Llywodraeth Cymru	Q	Rhowch ddiweddariad ar gynnydd Cynllun Morol Cenedlaethol Cymru?
<b>Q17.0.8</b>	Llywodraeth Cymru a'r Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>A all Llywodraeth Cymru roi diweddariad ar gynnydd Polisi Cynllunio Cymru Argraffiad 10 10 (PPW10)?</p> <p>A all yr ymgeisydd gynghori p'un a oes angen i baragraff 5.4.45 y Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406] gael ei ddiweddarau i adlewyrchu'r polisi a gynhwysir yn PPW10 datblygol?</p>
<b>Q17.0.9</b>	IACC a'r Ymgeisydd	Q	<p>IACC:</p> <p>(a) Rhowch ddiweddariad ar Ganllawiau Cynllunio Atodol drafft Wylfa Newydd 2018 (yr SPG) y cyfeirir atynt ym mharagraffau 5.4.48 i 5.4.1 o'r Datganiad Cynllunio [APP-406]?</p> <p>(b) Darparwch gopi o'r fersiwn fwyaf diweddar o'r SPG a dynodwch pa bwys yr ystyriwch y dylid ei roi iddo.</p> <p>(c) Os yw'r SPG wedi'i fabwysiadu, amlinellwch beth yr ystyriwch chi yw'r goblygiadau i'r Cais?</p> <p>Yr Ymgeisydd - ym mharagraff 5.4.51 a 5.4.5-5.4.7 o'r Datganiad Cynllunio rydych yn dweud bod rhannau o'r SPG diwygiedig, yn eich barn chi, yn anghyson â'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol ar y Cyd (JLDP) a chyngor gan yr Arolygiaeth Gynllunio ar y Canllawiau Cynllunio Atodol ar gyfer Prosiectau Seilwaith o Arwyddocâd Cenedlaethol (NSIPau). A allwch chi:</p> <p>(a) Ddarparu esboniad pellach o ble yr ystyriwch fod yr SPG drafft yn anghyson â'r dogfennau hyn?</p> <p>(b) Cynghorwch p'un a oes angen i'r SPG a pherthnasedd SPG ar</p>

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			<p>dudalennau 60 a 61 o'r Datganiad Cynllunio gael ei ddiweddarau i adlewyrchu unrhyw gynnydd a wnaed o ran mabwysiadu/cymeradwyo'r SPG?</p> <p>(c) Yng ngolau'r uchod, pa bwys yr ystyriwch y dylid ei roi i'r SPG?</p> <p>IACC – A wnewch chi ymateb i sylw'r ymgeisydd mewn perthynas â'r anghysonderau honedig rhwng yr SPG a'r JLDP a chyngor a ddarparwyd yn Nodyn Cyngor 2 yr Arolygiaeth Gynllunio?</p>
<b>Q17.0.10</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	A wnewch chi ymateb i'r awgrym a wnaed mewn Sylwadau Perthnasol (yn cynnwys RR-004 a Clare RR-069), yng nghyd-destun Datganiad Polisi Cenedlaethol EN-5 adran 2.3, y dylai'r orsaf gynhyrchu ac unrhyw gysylltiad grid newydd cysylltiedig fod yn destun cais unigol.
<b>Q17.0.11</b>	Llywodraeth Cymru, IACC ac NRW	Q	A yw'r partion yn ystyried, yng nghyd-destun cenedlaethol Cymru, y mae unrhyw bolisiau, strategaethau neu fentrau eraill presennol, neu sydd i ddod yn y dyfodol, sy'n berthnasol i'r archwiliad ac y dylid eu hystyried felly gan yr Awdurdod Archwilio yn ystod yr archwiliad?
<b>Q17.0.12</b>	Y Grid Cenedlaethol (NG) a'r Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraff 3.14.1 y Datganiad Polisi Cenedlaethol EN-6 yn nodi na chafodd materion trawsyrru trydan eu hystyried yn yr Asesiad Lleoli Strategol ('SSA'). Gan nodi'r cyd-destun a ddarparwyd gan Gyfeirnod y Cais: 7.1 – <i>Datganiad Cysylltiad â'r Grid Trydan</i> [REF] a gwaith atgyfnerthu trawsyriad y rhwydwaith a grynhowyd yn adran 4 y ddogfen honno, pa effeithiau y gellid eu disgwyl yn rhesymol i ddeillio o'r gweithiau cysylltiedig hyn? (CS)
<b>Q17.0.13</b>	NG a'r Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae paragraffau 1.6.47-1.6.49 o <i>Gyfeirnod y Cais.: 6.4.1 ES Cyfrol D – Datblygiad WNDA D1 – Datblygiad Arfaethedig</i> [REF] yn dynodi y byddai pedwar cylched yn cysylltu â'r cysylltiad 400kV presennol. Mae paragraff



Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			1.6.47 yn tynnu sylw at mai'r Grid Cenedlaethol sydd â chyfrifoldeb am yr is-orsaf, gan gynnwys gwaith atgyfnerthu'r rhwydwaith yn ehangach. Fodd bynnag (ac yn absenoldeb camau gweithredu neu benderfyniadau perthnasol gan rai eraill), a yw'r gofynion ar y rhwydwaith trawsyrru o ddatblygiadau ynni eraill (naill ai rhai presennol neu yn yr arfaeth) ar yr ynys neu o amgylch yr ynys yn cael unrhyw effeithiau posibl ar y gallu i gyflawni'r cynnig hwn? (CS)
<b>Q17.0.14</b>	IACC	Q	Gwnaed nifer o gyfeiriadau ynglŷn â'r angen i'r cais greu etifeddiaeth hirdymor ar gyfer Ynys Môn. A all IACC esbonio ymhle y mae'r dyhead hwn wedi'i amlinellu mewn polisi mabwysiedig fel y JDLP, a ph'un a fyddai'r cynnig yn eu barn nhw yn cydymffurfio â pholisi o'r fath, ac os na fyddai, pam?
<b>18.</b>	<b>Morgludiant a Mordwyo</b>		
<b>Q18.0.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Darparwch yr astudiaeth efelychu llongau y cyfeiriwyd ati yn APP-080 ac yn yr Asesiad Risg Mordwyaethol APP-235.
<b>Q18.0.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, Tŷ'r Drindod	WF	Ai'r Cynllun Gwaith Morol Cymhorthion Parhaol i Fordwyo APP-017 yw'r cynllun a gymeradwywyd gan Dŷ'r Drindod? Os na, beth yw statws y cynllun a beth a gymeradwywyd gan Dŷ'r Drindod?
<b>Q18.0.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Cadarnhewch led y sianel wrth y fynedfa i'r harbwr.
<b>Q18.0.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Beth yw maint mwyaf llongau sy'n gallu angori yn yr harbwr, o ran tunelledd, hyd a drafft (y pellter o linell y dŵr i waelod corff y llong)? Dangoswch ble yn y dogfennau presennol y gellir dod o hyd i'r wybodaeth hon neu rhowch fanylion y modelu a wnaed mewn perthynas â'r llongau hyn.
<b>Q18.0.5</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Pa ystyriaeth a roddwyd i ddadlwytho llongau sydd wedi'u hangori a llongau

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			ro-ro? Dangoswch ble yn y dogfennau presennol y gellir dod o hyd i'r wybodaeth hon neu rhowch fanylion y modelu a wnaed mewn perthynas â dadlwytho.
<b>Q18.0.6</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	A yw'r Ymgeisydd yn bwriadu cymhwysu'r Cod Diogelwch Cyfleuster Porthladdoedd a Llongau Rhyngwladol (y Cod ISPS)? Os felly, rhowch fanylion. Os na, pam?
<b>Q18.0.7</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	A yw'r Ymgeisydd yn bwriadu gwneud cais i ddod yn Awdurdod Diogelwch Porthladd o dan Reoliadau Diogelwch Porthladdoedd 2009? Os felly, rhowch fanylion. Os na, pam?
<b>Q18.0.8</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Pa ardal y byddai'r Cod ISPS yn berthnasol iddi?
<b>Q18.0.9</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Pwy fyddai'r Swyddog Diogelwch Porthladd?
<b>Q18.0.10</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Ble mae'r Cynllun Diogelwch Porthladd?
<b>Q18.0.11</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Sut y cydymffurfir â gofynion eraill Rheoliadau Diogelwch Porthladdoedd 2009?
<b>Q18.0.12</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	A fyddai ardal gyfan y Terfynau Harbwr yn ardal waharddedig? Os felly, pryd, sut a chan bwy y byddai'r ardal hon yn cael ei phatrolio?
<b>Q18.0.13</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Beth yw diben yr angorfa gilfan? Pam y byddai'n angenrheidiol?
<b>Q18.0.14</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Pa ddarpariaeth a wnaed ar gyfer Cychod Peilot a ble byddai'r rhain yn cael eu lleoli?
<b>Q18.0.15</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Beth yw'r grymoedd gwynt a'r uchder tonnau mwyaf a fodelwyd yn yr

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			arolwg halio? Darparwch gopi o'r adroddiad.
<b>Q18.0.16</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Rhowch fanylion yr haen amddiffynnol dros y draethlin y cyfeiriwyd ati yn 11.4.
<b>Q18.0.17</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Beth yw'r trefniadau diogelwch a gynigir ar gyfer yr harbwr?
<b>Q18.0.18</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Beth fydd yn digwydd i'r harbwr ar ôl i'r gwaith arfaethedig gael ei gwblhau? A fyddai'n cael ei amgylchynu â ffens?
<b>Q18.0.19</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Sut mae WNDA a'r Harbwr yn rhyngweithio? Beth yw'r trefniadau diogelwch a'r llinellau awdurdodaeth?
<b>Q18.0.20</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Rhowch fwy o fanylion am yr 8 symudiad llong y cyfeiriwyd atynt yn y cais am newid.
<b>Q18.0.21</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Beth yw diben y RoRo a pham mae'n angenrheidiol?
<b>Q18.0.22</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Beth yw'r cymysgedd llongau y bwriedir iddynt ddefnyddio'r harbwr a ble y modelwyd hyn?
<b>Q18.0.23</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Sut ac o ble y byddai Peilotiaid a'r Harbwrfeistr yn cael eu recriwtio a phryd a sut y byddent yn cael eu hyfforddi? Pa gamau a fyddai'n cael eu cymryd i sicrhau bod yr aelodau staff hyn yn cael eu cadw?
<b>Q18.0.24</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WC	Gan feddwl am y MOLF arfaethedig, a ystyriodd yr Ymgeisydd ddefnyddio cyfleusterau presennol yr harbwr yng Nghaergybi ac, os felly, pam na ddatblygwyd hynny fel opsiwn?
<b>Q18.0.25</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd,	WC	Mae Adran 4.3 yr Is-CoCP Gwaith Morol [APP-416] yn ymdrin â goleuadau'r

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
	Asiantaeth y Môr a Gwylwyr y Glannau a Thŷ'r Drindod		safle – a oes unrhyw broblemau mordwyo posibl a allai godi i longau o ganlyniad i oleuadau'r safle tra bydd y MOLF yn cael ei adeiladu? Os oes, sut gallai'r rhain gael eu lliniaru?
<b>19.</b>	<b>Ystyriaethau Trawsffiniol</b>		
<b>Q19.0.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae sawl RR (RR-136, RR-139, RR-141 i RR143) yn mynegi pryderon ynglŷn â chyfrifiadau gwasgariad ar gyfer senario damwain ddifrifol sy'n dangos halogiad posibl i wledydd yr Undeb Ewropeaidd (UE). Yn arbennig, cyfeirir at fethodoleg "prosiect flexRISK" ar gyfer rhagfynegiadau modelu gwasgariad yn achos senario damwain niwclear. A all yr Ymgeisydd esbonio sut yr ymdriniwyd â'r risg eithafol hon yn rhan o'r cais am ganiatâd datblygu ac unrhyw ganiatadau eraill ar wahân sy'n ofynnol i weithredu a chynnal a chadw'r Datblygiad Arfaethedig (fel yr amlinellir yn Nogfen 5.4 y Cais 5.4 [APP-053])?
<b>Q19.0.2</b>	Asiantaeth Rheoli Argyfwng Denmarc	Q	A all Asiantaeth Rheoli Argyfwng Denmarc [RR- 135] fanylu ar ei phryderon ynglŷn â swyddogaethau diogelwch a weithredir, neu beidio, yn safle Wylfa?
<b>Q19.0.3</b>	Y Weinyddiaeth Trawsnewid Ecolegol	Q	A all y Weinyddiaeth Trawsnewid Ecolegol fanylu ar ei phryderon a fynegwyd yn ei RR [RR-138] ynglŷn â gwybodaeth annigonol a roddwyd yn yr asesiad sgrinio trawsffiniol?
<b>Q19.0.4</b>	Y Swyddfa dros Reoli Niwclear (ONR)	Q	Pa gamau y gellir eu cymryd i fodloni IPs y rhoddir ystyriaeth briodol i'r pryderon ynglŷn â halogiad posibl o ganlyniad i ddamwain ddifrifol? Mae'r ExA yn ymwybodol o adrannau 4.10 a 2.7 NPS EN-1 ac EN-6, yn y drefn honno, ac na ddylai ystyriaeth o'r cais am ganiatâd datblygu geisio dyblygu'r cyfryw faterion sydd o fewn cylch gorchwyl Rheoleiddwyr Niwclear.
<b>20.</b>	<b>Rheoli Gwastraff a Rheoli Gwastraff Ymbelydrol</b>		

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q20.0.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd / ONR	WF	Ymatebwch i'r pryderon a godwyd yn RR-110 ynglŷn â diogelwch gwastraff ymbelydrol sy'n cael ei storio.
<b>Q20.0.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	WF	Ymatebwch i'r materion a godwyd yn RR-087 mewn perthynas â'r cyfleusterau sgorio gwastraff ymbelydrol arfaethedig a ph'un a ydynt yn dod o fewn adran 14 Deddf Cynllunio 2008.
<b>Q20.0.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	WF	Mae paragraff B.5.1 Atodiad B EN-6 yn datgan bod y Llywodraeth yn fodlon y bydd trefniadau effeithiol yn bodoli i reoli a gwaredu'r gwastraff a fyddai'n cael ei gynhyrchu gan orsafoedd pŵer niwclear newydd ac, o ganlyniad, na ddylai'r Awdurdod Archwilio fynd i'r afael â hyn. Fodd bynnag, a oes unrhyw faterion cynllunio yn ymwneud â rheoli gwastraff ymbelydrol ar y safle sy'n awgrymu y byddai effeithiau arwyddocaol yn debygol mewn perthynas â'r materion hyn ac, os felly, pam?
<b>Q20.0.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Ymatebwch i bryder Llywodraeth Cymru [RR-092] ynglŷn â faint o wastraff a fyddai'n cael ei gynhyrchu gan y datblygiad arfaethedig.
<b>Q20.0.5</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Ymatebwch i sylw Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru [RR-088] (ym mharagraff 3.1.1) nad yw'r Datganiad Amgylcheddol yn cynnwys digon o fanylion i ddangos sut y byddai gwastraff adeiladu'n cael ei reoli a, phe byddai'r cais yn llwyddiannus, y byddai angen i Gynlluniau Rheoli Gwastraff Safle manwl gael eu cytuno trwy Ofyniad DCO.
<b>Q20.0.6</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Amlinellir 'Hierarchaeth Wastraff Horizon' yn adran 15 Cod Ymarfer Gweithredu ('CoOP') Wylfa Newydd a'r strategaeth o fewn Cod Ymarfer Adeiladu ('CoCP') Wylfa Newydd. Sut mae Hierarchaeth Wastraff Horizon yn adlewyrchu neu'n mynd i'r afael ag amcanion polisi ar gyfer rheoli gwastraff, gan gynnwys y rheiny o fewn adran 5.14 y 'Datganiad Polisi Cenedlaethol Trosfwaol ar Ynni' (EN-1) a 'Tuag at Ddyfodol Diwastraff'

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
			Llywodraeth Cymru?
<b>Q20.0.7</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae'r amcanion polisi ar gyfer rheoli gwastraff yn cynnwys y rheiny o fewn adran 5.14 y 'Datganiad Polisi Trosfwaol ar Ynni' (EN-1), a 'Tuag at Ddyfodol Diwastraff' Llywodraeth Cymru. Sut mae'r cynnig yn mynd i'r afael ag 'asesiad yr Ymgeisydd' ar gyfer rheoli gwastraff, fel yr amlinellir ym mharagraff 5.14.6 EN-1? A oes 'Cynllun Rheoli Gwastraff Safle', ac a yw o fewn/a yw'n cynnwys adran 9 y CoCP?
<b>Q20.0.8</b>	NRW	Q	Mae eich RR yn datgan nad oes digon o fanylion yn ES C6 i ddangos sut y bydd gwastraff adeiladu a gynhyrchir yn cael ei reoli ac y bydd angen diweddarau'r asesiad o gapasiti rheoli gwastraff sydd ar gael cyn a thrwy gydol y cam adeiladu. A all NRW esbonio beth yw'r diffygion a pham mae'n ystyried bod Cynllun Rheoli Gwastraff Safle yn angenrheidiol yn hytrach na'r mesurau a amlinellir yn y CoCP?
<b>21.</b>	<b>Ansawdd ac Adnoddau Dŵr</b>		
<b>Q21.0.1</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd ac IACC	WF	A all yr Ymgeisydd gadarnhau: (a) a oedd yn ymwybodol o Astudiaeth Ansawdd Dŵr Ymdrochi'r UE (WEFO) ym Mae Cemaes, y cyfeirir ati yn [RR-017]? (b) A oedd yr adroddiad yn cynnwys asesiad o effaith y cynnig ar y bae? (c) A gynhwyswyd canfyddiadau'r adroddiad yn asesiad yr Ymgeisydd o ansawdd dŵr yn yr ES a/neu'r asesiad o Gydymffurfio â'r Gyfarwyddeb Fframwaith Dŵr? (ch) A all IACC ymhelaethu ar ei bryderon yn ei RR [RR-020] paragraff 5.7.5 ynglŷn ag ansawdd dŵr ymdrochi ym Mae Cemaes?
<b>Q21.0.2</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADA	Mae Pennod D1 – paragraff 1.8.18 – yn datgan bod angen ymestyn gwaith trin dŵr Cemaes ar gyfer campws y safle. Nid yw'n eglur p'un a yw'r gwaith hwn yn rhan o'r datblygiad awdurdodedig. Os felly, pa ran o'r dDCO ydyw (Gwaith 3A(c) neu 'datblygiad cysylltiedig arall' rhan (b))?

Cyfeirnod	Ymatebwr:	Lleoliad:	Cwestiwn:
<b>Q21.0.3</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Mae Pennod G1 – yn sôn am gwlfertau ym mharagraffau 1.3.9, 1.3.62, 1.3.147 – a wnewch chi gadarnhau ble mae'r rhain wedi'u lleoli?
<b>Q21.0.4</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd, IACC ac NRW	WF	Mae Adran 10.4 Is-CoCP Prif Safle'r Orsaf Bŵer [APP-415] yn amlinellu amrywiaeth o waith monitro ac arolygu a fydd yn cael ei wneud. Pwy sy'n gyfrifol am asesu'r holl waith monitro ac arolygu hwn? Os IACC neu NRW sy'n gyfrifol, a oes ganddynt yr arbenigedd a/neu'r adnoddau i wneud y gwaith neu a fyddai angen cyfraniad gan y datblygwr i ariannu'r agwedd hon ar y cynnig?
<b>Q21.0.5</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	ADB	Mae paragraff 10.3.2 y PRSCoCP [APP-418] yn cyfeirio at waith trin carthffosiaeth ar y safle – a yw cyfleuster o'r fath yn angenrheidiol?
<b>Q21.0.6</b>	Yr Ymgeisydd	Q	Esboniwch oblygiadau dileu Gwaith Rhif 12 (Gwaith Clirio a Pharatoi'r Safle) o'r DCO a darparu'r gwaith hwn o fewn darpariaethau'r cais cynllunio presennol yn unig, pe byddai'n cael ei ganiatáu. Os bydd Gwaith Rhif 12 yn symud ymlaen o dan y DCO, beth yw'r goblygiadau i'r cytundeb a106 yn ymwneud â Gwaith Paratoi'r Safle a'r cytundeb a106 sy'n cael ei drafod ar gyfer y cais DCO?

CoCP = Cod Ymarfer Adeiladu

CoOP = Cod Ymarfer Gweithredu

MPSSSCoCP = Is-god Ymarfer Adeiladu Prif Safle'r Orsaf Bŵer

**Application by Horizon Nuclear Power for the Wylfa Newydd Nuclear Power Station Project**  
**The Examining Authority's written questions and requests for information (ExQ1)**

**Issued on 06 November 2018**

The following table sets out the Examining Authority's (ExA's) written questions and requests for information - ExQ1. If necessary, the examination timetable enables the ExA to issue a further round of written questions in due course. If this is done, the further round of questions will be referred to as ExQ2.

Questions are set out using an issues-based framework derived from the Initial Assessment of Principal Issues provided as Annexe B to the Rule 6 letter of 25 September 2018. Questions have been added to the framework of issues set out there as they have arisen from representations and to address the assessment of the application against relevant policies.

Column 2 of the table indicates which Interested Parties (IPs) and other persons each question is directed to. The ExA would be grateful if all persons named could answer all questions directed to them, providing a substantive response, or indicating that the question is not relevant to them for a reason. This does not prevent an answer being provided to a question by a person to whom it is not directed, should the question be relevant to their interests.

Each question has a unique reference number which starts with 1 (indicating that it is from ExQ1) and then has an issue number and a question number. For example, the first question on air quality and emissions issues is identified as Q1.1.1. When you are answering a question, please start your answer by quoting the unique reference number.

If you are responding to a small number of questions, answers in a letter will suffice. If you are answering a larger number of questions, it will assist the ExA if you use a table based on this one to set out your responses. An editable version of this table in Microsoft Word is available on request from the case team: please contact [Wylfa@pins.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:Wylfa@pins.gsi.gov.uk) and include 'Wylfa Newydd' in the subject line of your email.

Responses are due by **Deadline 2: 04 December 2018**.



## Abbreviations used

<b>PA2008</b>	<i>The Planning Act 2008</i>	<b>MP Order</b>	<i>The Infrastructure Planning (Model Provisions) Order 2009</i>
<b>Art</b>	<i>Article</i>	<b>NPS</b>	<i>National Policy Statement</i>
<b>ALA 1981</b>	<i>Acquisition of Land Act 1981</i>	<b>NSIP</b>	<i>Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project</i>
<b>BoR</b>	<i>Book of Reference</i>	<b>R</b>	<i>Requirement</i>
<b>CA</b>	<i>Compulsory Acquisition</i>	<b>RR</b>	<i>Relevant Representation</i>
<b>CPO</b>	<i>Compulsory purchase order</i>	<b>SI</b>	<i>Statutory Instrument</i>
<b>dDCO</b>	<i>Draft DCO</i>	<b>SoS</b>	<i>Secretary of State</i>
<b>EM</b>	<i>Explanatory Memorandum</i>	<b>SoCG</b>	<i>Statement of Common Ground</i>
<b>ES</b>	<i>Environmental Statement</i>	<b>SSSI</b>	<i>Site of Special Scientific Interest</i>
<b>ExA</b>	<i>Examining Authority</i>	<b>SoCG</b>	<i>Statement of Common Ground</i>
<b>LIR</b>	<i>Local Impact Report</i>	<b>TP</b>	<i>Temporary Possession</i>
<b>LPA</b>	<i>Local planning authority</i>	<b>TA</b>	<i>Transport Assessment</i>
<b>MP</b>	<i>Model Provision (in the MP Order)</i>		
<b>BCUHB</b>	<i>Bwrdd Lechyd Prifysgol Betsi Cadwaladr/Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board</i>		
<b>CBHG</b>	<i>Camaes Bay History Group</i>		
<b>DAP</b>	<i>Destination Anglesey Partnership</i>		
<b>DcFW</b>	<i>Comisiwn Dylunio Cymru/Design Commission for Wales</i>		
<b>DCWW</b>	<i>Dŵr Cymru/Welsh Water</i>		
<b>GAPS</b>	<i>Gwynedd Archaeological Planning Services</i>		
<b>GCC</b>	<i>Cygnor Gwynedd/Gwynedd County Council</i>		
<b>IACC</b>	<i>Cyngor Dir Ynys Môn/Isle of Anglesey County Council</i>		
<b>IP</b>	<i>Interested Party</i>		
<b>LbCC</b>	<i>Cygnor Cymuned</i>		

	<i>Llanbadrig/Llanbadrig Community Council</i>
<b>LdCC</b>	<i>Cygnor Cymuned Llanddona/Llandonna Community Council</i>
<b>LPCC</b>	<i>Cygnor Cymuned LLangoed a Penmon/LLangoed and Penmon Community Council</i>
<b>MCA</b>	<i>Maritime and Coastguard Agency</i>
<b>NAP</b>	<i>North Anglesey Partnership</i>
<b>NDA</b>	<i>Nuclear Decommissioning Authority</i>
<b>NG</b>	<i>National Grid</i>
<b>NRW</b>	<i>Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru/Natural Resources Wales</i>
<b>NWEAB</b>	<i>Bwrdd Uchelgais Economaidd Gogledd Cymru/North Wales Economic Ambition Board</i>
<b>NWFR</b>	<i>Tân ac Achub Gogledd Cymru/North Wales Fire and Rescue</i>
<b>NWP</b>	<i>Heddlu Gogledd Cymru/North Wales Police</i>
<b>NWWT</b>	<i>Ymddiriedolaeth Natur Gogledd Cymru/North Wales Wildlife Trust</i>
<b>NT</b>	<i>National Trust</i>
<b>PAWB</b>	<i>Pobol Atal Wylfa B/People Against Wylfa B</i>
<b>PHW</b>	<i>Lechyd Choeddus Cymru/Public Health Wales</i>
<b>TAG</b>	<i>Tregele Action Group</i>
<b>VCC</b>	<i>Cyngor Cymuned Fali/Valley Community Council</i>

**WG**            *Llywodraeth Cymru/Welsh  
Government*

**WHGT**        *Welsh Historic Gardens Trust*

<b>Location</b>	<b>Reference</b>
<i>General question</i>	<b>Q</b>
<i>The NSIP</i>	
<i>Power station itself</i>	<b>WA</b>
<i>Other on site works at power station</i>	<b>WB</b>
<i>Permanent Marine Works</i>	<b>WC</b>
<i>Temporary Marine Works</i>	<b>WD</b>
<i>Off-site Power Station Facilities</i>	<b>WE</b>
<i>Wylfa Newydd Development Area</i>	<b>WF</b>
<i>Associated development</i>	
<i>Site campus</i>	<b>ADA</b>
<i>Park and Ride</i>	<b>ADB</b>
<i>Logistics Centre</i>	<b>ADC</b>
<i>A5025 Off-line highways Improvements</i>	<b>ADD</b>
<i>Ecological Compensation Sites</i>	<b>ADE</b>
<i>Code of Construction Practice</i>	<b>CoCP</b>
<i>Code of Operational Practice</i>	<b>CoOP</b>
<i>Main Power Station Site Sub Code of Construction Practice</i>	<b>MPSSSCoOP</b>
<i>Workforce Accommodation Management Strategy</i>	<b>WAMS</b>

## **The Examination Library**

References in these questions set out in square brackets (eg [APP-010]) are to documents catalogued in the Examination Library. The Examination Library can be obtained from the following link:

[The Examination Library](#)

It will be updated as the examination progresses.

## **Citation of Questions**

Questions in this table should be cited as follows:

Question reference: issue reference: question number, eg ExQ1.1.1 – refers to question 1 in this table

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>1.</b>	<b>Air Quality including Dust</b>		
<b>Q1.0.1</b>	The Applicant	WB	With reference to paragraph 5.5.44 of Chapter D5 Air Quality [APP-124] and Figure D5-1 of APP-237, to what extent would there be flexibility for the location of the proposed packet sewage treatment plant, and has that flexibility any implications for the assessment made in relation to odour?
<b>Q1.0.2</b>	The Applicant	WB	Paragraph 1.9.58 of Chapter D1 Proposed Development [APP-120] provides a conclusion on the potential for odours to be released from the proposed packet sewage treatment plant, and further context is provided by paragraphs 7.4.2 and 7.4.4 of the MPSSSCoCP [App-415]. Further details are sought of the type(s) of packet sewage treatment system that have resulted in the conclusions within paragraph 1.9.58 and that would demonstrate the suitability of the approach in the MPSSSCoCP [App-415].
<b>Q1.0.3</b>	The Applicant	WB	In relation to paragraphs 1.9.57 and 1.9.58 of APP-120, and paragraphs 5.4.18 to 5.4.26 of APP-124, should the sewage treatment plant be a separate numbered 'work' item within the development?
<b>Q1.0.4</b>	The Applicant	WB	Paragraph 5.4.22 of Chapter D5 Air quality [APP-124] refers to 'embedded mitigation' and other possible works in regard to the potential effects of odour from the existing Cemaes Waste Water Treatment Works ('WwTW'). How much certainty would there be in regard to the delivery of the mitigation (and other works) referred to in paragraph 5.4.22?
<b>Q1.0.5</b>	The Applicant and NRW	WB	ES D13 paras 13.5.14-15 state that construction derived sewage and site campus sewage would both have a maximum daily rate of discharge as 1,598m <sup>3</sup> . It seems unlikely that the discharges would be exactly the same. [I3] Is this correct, are they both the same and is there a need for any

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			limits to be set and controlled through a suitable mechanism?  Is NRW content this could be controlled through the EP?
<b>Q1.0.6</b>	IACC and NRW	Q	With reference to Table D5-46 of Chapter D5 Air Quality [APP-124], and section 7.5 of the MPSSSCoCP [APP-415], the ExA notes that many of these measures are yet to be fully defined/specified, including the monitoring locations and plant emission standards (see paragraph 7.5.2 of [APP-415]). IACC and NRW would also be involved in the specification of these works post-consent, and in determining any "additional modelling and assessment [that] would be undertaken to support the development of the scheme as it matures". IACC and NRW are invited to comment on: whether they consider that it would be appropriate these matters to be deferred until later; and, whether or not sufficient certainty is provided for their delivery through the provisions of the dDCO.
<b>Q1.0.7</b>	The Applicant	WF	Paragraph 1.6.192 of the <i>Application Reference No.: 6.4.1 ES Volume D – WNDA Development D1 – Proposed Development</i> [REF] With regard to steam plumes from the six auxiliary boilers; how often would the larger plumes (for example, up to 100m in length) be apparent? And, how many larger plumes would be expected to occur/be visible at any one time?
<b>Q1.0.8</b>	The Applicant	Q	Please respond to the Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board [RR-076] query on how the developer would ensure that there is no deterioration in local air quality (either short or long-term) and how this will be measured, validated and evaluated.
<b>Q1.0.9</b>	The Applicant	Q	Please respond to the concerns expressed by representors (including RR-051, RR-52, RR-97, RR-104, RR-002, RR-018, RR-050, RR-054, RR-060 and RR-063) regarding the potential for there to be effects from noise,

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			dust/on air quality, lighting and general 'pollution'.
<b>2.</b>	<b>Biodiversity</b>		
<b>Q2.0.1</b>	IACC and NRW	WF	Are IACC and NRW content that the baseline assessments for Soils and Geology in Chapter B7 [APP-072] and Terrestrial and Freshwater Ecology in Chapter B9 [APP-074] are sufficient?
<b>Q2.0.2</b>	The Applicant	WF	Can the Applicant explain to what extent there is confidence that the ecological baseline, primarily based on ecological surveys undertaken in or before 2016, is robust and suitable to inform the assessment of effects?
<b>Q2.0.3</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter A2 [APP-056] para 2.6.1 states that the spent fuel storage facility would not commence until year 15. Is the Applicant proposing to undertake pre-construction surveys prior to this?
<b>Q2.0.4</b>	The Applicant	WA	In Table 9-7, Chapter B9 [APP-074], page B9-34 the Applicant states that the mechanism to achieve water level management at Cemlyn Lagoon is still under consideration. Can the Applicant explain whether this is required to mitigate significant effects, and as Cemlyn Bay is outside of the application site, how would these measures be secured?
<b>Q2.0.5</b>	The Applicant	WA	In Table 9-7 (Chapter B9, page B9-35), can the Applicant provide an update on the programme of monitoring beach profiles and water level changes within Cemlyn Bay?
<b>Q2.0.6</b>	NRW and IACC	WA	Are NRW/IACC content with the 15 year lease arrangements described in Chapter B9 para 9.3.8 for the ecological mitigation areas?
<b>Q2.0.7</b>	The Applicant	WF	Chapter B9 para 9.4.27 explains that professional judgement will be applied

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>in concluding significance. However, there appears to be some anomalies in implementing this methodology in Chapter D9 eg:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• para 9.5.152 notes a small magnitude change on lichen from habitat loss and concludes a negligible effect. Lichen are identified as having 'medium' value in Table D9-5. The significance scale in Figure B1-2 indicates this combination should actually result in minor/moderate effects.</li> <li>• paras 9.5.158-159 state that many invertebrates would die from vegetation and topsoil clearance and from attraction to light. Yet this is considered to be a small magnitude of change.</li> <li>• Para 9.5.216 identifies the potential loss of an entire Chough breeding population, yet this is only considered to be a medium magnitude of change in para 9.5.217.</li> <li>• Para 9.5.359 identifies a small magnitude of change for habitat loss and fragmentation to red squirrels and concludes negligible effect. Squirrels are of 'medium' value in Table D9-5. The significance scale in Figure B1-2 indicates this combination should result in minor/moderate effects.</li> <li>• Para 9.5.372 notes a 'large' magnitude of change for fragmentation of hedgehog, yet para 9.5.374 subsequently downgrades the magnitude to 'small' without explanation.</li> </ul> <p>Can the Applicant clarify these anomalies?</p>
<b>Q2.0.8</b>	The Applicant	WA	How would the 'other areas' identified in Chapter D9 [APP-128] para 9.3.30 be secured in the MPSSCoCP [APP-415]?
<b>Q2.0.9</b>	The Applicant	WA	How would the buffer zone around Dame Sylvia Crowe's Mound, described in Chapter D9 para 9.4.5, be secured?



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q2.0.10</b>	The Applicant	WA	How would no night working during site clearance, described in Chapter D9 para 9.4.7, be secured?
<b>Q2.0.11</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D9 para 9.4.18 refers to appendix D8-8 Summary of preliminary design for construction surface water drainage [APP-167]. Should this be document be referenced in the MPSSSCoCP?
<b>Q2.0.12</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D9 para 9.4.24 refers to pre-construction surveys detailed in the MPSSSCoCP. Can the Applicant explain where these are and how they would be secured?
<b>Q2.0.13</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D9 para 9.4.53 details drainage measures which are contained in the MPSSSCoCP [APP-415]. Can the Applicant confirm where these are detailed?
<b>Q2.0.14</b>	NRW	WA	Is NRW content with the mitigation measures described in the Mitigation Route Map [APP-422] Item 0209 for protecting surface water quality at Tre'r Gof SSSI?
<b>Q2.0.15</b>	The Applicant	WA	NRW does not agree with the Applicant's hydrogeological modelling for Tre'r Gof and considers dewatering may cause significant damage. What is the Applicant's response?
<b>Q2.0.16</b>	The Applicant and NRW	WA	What would be the effects on Tre'r Gof SSSI if the drainage system cannot be improved compared to the baseline, and can further refinements be included within the authorised development?
<b>Q2.0.17</b>	The Applicant	WA	In Chapter D9 Table D9-11 long-term botanical monitoring is proposed for Tre'r Gof SSSI. Can the Applicant provide more detail as to where the

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			monitoring is presented?
<b>Q2.0.18</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D9 para 9.5.50 identifies a number of measures implemented to protect the Tre'r Gof SSSI. Can the Applicant identify where all of these are secured in the MPSSSCoCP?
<b>Q2.0.19</b>	NRW	WA	In its RR para 4.4.1 [RR-088] NRW considers that there is inadequate consideration of direct mitigation at Tre'r Gof SSSI. Are there any further direct measures that NRW suggest to protect the SSSI?
<b>Q2.0.20</b>	IACC and NRW	WA	Are IACC and NRW content that air quality monitoring as described in Sections 7.5 and 7.6 of the MPSSSCoCP would be sufficient to protect Tre'r Gof SSSI?
<b>Q2.0.21</b>	NRW, NWWT and RSPB	WA	Do NRW, NWWT and RSPB agree that the creation and management of species - rich grassland with a close sward and coastal heath/grassland mosaic as described in Chapter 8.16 [APP-424] paras 6.5.7 and 6.5.12 and the management of Mound A as described in 5.4.12 would be sufficient for providing optimal foraging for Chough?
<b>Q2.0.22</b>	NRW, NWWT, RSPB	WA	Do NRW, NWWT and RSPB agree that the mitigation described in the MPSSSCoCP 8.3.3 would be sufficient to protect Chough nesting sites from construction noise?
<b>Q2.0.23</b>	The Applicant	WA	Can the Applicant identify where in the Landscape and Habitat Management Strategy and the MPSSSCoCP the details are of Chough monitoring as described in Chapter D9 Table D9-10 page 133?
<b>Q2.0.24</b>	NRW	WA	Is NRW content that reptile translocation (Chapter D9 paras 9.5.189 – 190 and para 9.5.337) could be secured through species mitigation licence, or

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			should more detail be included in the MPSSSCoCP?
<b>Q2.0.25</b>	The Applicant	WA	How would the measures in Chapter D9 para 9.5.247 to protect barn owl roosts be secured?
<b>Q2.0.26</b>	The Applicant	WA	How would the measures to protect bats, at Chapter D9 paras 9.5.294, 9.5.295, 9.5.313 and 9.5.317 be secured?
<b>Q2.0.27</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D9 para 9.5.326 states that all permanent culverts would be of appropriate diameter for otter and would have mammal ledges, how would this be secured?
<b>Q2.0.28</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D9 para 9.5.340 states that the realignment works for Nant Caerdegog Isaf (Watercourse 13) would be programmed approx. 12 months in advance of backfilling. How would this be secured in section 10.2 of the MPSSSCoCP?
<b>Q2.0.29</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D9 para 9.5.350 discusses mitigation in the form of water vole translocation, how would this be secured in the MPSSSCoCP?
<b>Q2.0.30</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D9 para 9.5.486 details provisions to mitigate potential changes in water quality during construction. Does the Applicant propose any specific mitigation during the operational phase?
<b>Q2.0.31</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D9 para 9.7.23 Cors Gwawr and Cae Canol-dydd SSSIs are identified as Ecological Compensation Sites. Has the Applicant undertaken baseline assessments of these sites to inform the likely success of the compensation measures?
<b>Q2.0.32</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D9 Table D9-10 states on p133 that "Monitoring is detailed ...within

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			the Landscape and Habitat Management Strategy” and on p140 “Monitoring of habitats and species surveys would be undertaken ... in the Ecology and Landscape Management Strategy”. Can the Applicant clarify where the strategy is presented please?
<b>Q2.0.33</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D9 table D9-10 on page 136 states that the off-site enhancement area would be established prior to the commencement of start of Site Preparation and Clearance Work, how would this be secured?
<b>Q2.0.34</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D9 Table D9-10 p137 states that “The clearance of vegetation, topsoil stripping and removal of dry stone walls would be carried out in a directional manner to encourage movement of notable mammals”, how would this be secured?
<b>Q2.0.35</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D9 Table D9-10 states on p140 that annual monitoring of red squirrel within Dame Sylvia Crowe’s Mound would be undertaken during construction. Can the Applicant clarify where the monitoring strategy is presented?
<b>Q2.0.36</b>	The Applicant	WA	The MPSSSCoOP [APP-415] at para 4.4.1 states that “Construction lighting will be designed to reduce sky glow, glare and light spill onto sensitive receptors”. With the exception of Mound E, no maximum lux levels are given. Is the Applicant planning to develop a lighting strategy?
<b>Q2.0.37</b>	The Applicant	WA	Should the SSSI compensation strategy documents (Appendices D9-23 [APP-190] and D9-24, APP-191]) be certified documents and referred to within the dDCO?
<b>Q2.0.38</b>	RSPB	Q	In its RR [RR-084] RSPB refers to a joint Ecological Options paper which sets out a series of recommendations for Natura 2000 sites. Can RSPB

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			provide a copy of the paper?
<b>Q2.0.39</b>	RSPB, NT and NWWT	WA	In its RR RSPB is concerned that the measures chosen to protect the Tern colony are deficient. What measures do the RSPB/NT/NWWT suggest that have not been committed to by the Applicant?
<b>Q2.0.40</b>	NT	WF	In its RR [RR-053] NT states that it is concerned about the lack of detail in relation to environmental monitoring. Can NT elaborate on these concerns?
<b>Q2.0.41</b>	IACC	WF	In its RR [APP-020] para 5.7.2 IACC expresses concern that the impacts on the natural environment have not been properly addressed. Can IACC elaborate on these concerns?
<b>Q2.0.42</b>	IACC	ADB	In its RR IACC has outstanding concerns regarding impacts on ecology including water voles. Can IACC elaborate on these concerns?
<b>Q2.0.43</b>	NRW	WF	In its RR NRW [RR-088] para 4.5.1 NRW advises that the duration of post-construction monitoring is not satisfactory. What duration would NRW consider to be satisfactory?
<b>Q2.0.44</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D7 [APP-126] para 7.4.6 states that as “if any new foul water outfalls are required during construction or operation, they would ..... not affect any sites of geological importance”. How would this be secured?
<b>Q2.0.45</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D7 para 7.4.7 assumes that “the new discharge pipe would utilise this concrete channel as far as practicable such that any excavations into the local geology would be limited.” How would this be secured?
<b>Q2.0.46</b>	The Applicant	ADB	Chapter F7 [APP-272] para 7.4.21 states that pollution prevention strategies would be implemented during operation in accordance with

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			section 10 of the Wylfa Newydd Code of Operational Practice. Should reference also be made to Section 10.3 of the Park and Ride sub-CoCP [APP-418]?
<b>Q2.0.47</b>	The Applicant	ADD	Chapter G7 [APP-310] para 7.4.12 assumes that topsoil and subsoil stripped from the flood compensation area would be reinstated to the area following excavation of underlying geology to lower the landform. How would this be secured?
<b>Q2.0.48</b>	The Applicant	ADD	Chapter G7 para 7.4.13 states that the height of topsoil storage mounds would be limited to 2m. How would this be secured?
<b>Q2.0.49</b>	NRW and IACC	ADD	Do NRW and IACC agree with the assumptions made in Chapter G9 [APP-312] paras 9.3.4- 9.3.8 regarding ecological receptors, as the survey data is more than two years old?
<b>Q2.0.50</b>	The Applicant	ADD	Chapter G9 para 9.4.4 refers to site clearance information being shown on figures G1-2a to G1-2j ((Application Reference Number: 6.7.48). Should these be in the Booklet?
<b>Q2.0.51</b>	The Applicant	ADD	Can the Applicant clarify why in Chapter G9 Tables G9-10 and G9-11 changes in noise and vibration have been considered for bats, otter and fish during construction, but only for bats during operation?
<b>Q2.0.52</b>	The Applicant	ADD	Can the Applicant confirm whether there is the potential for any combined effects to occur to ecological receptors from the A5025 works?
<b>Q2.0.53</b>	The Applicant	ADD	Chapter G9 para 9.5.47 refers to a noise attenuation fence along the Afon Alaw crossing, can the Applicant confirm where this would be secured in the Code of Construction Practice?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q2.0.54</b>	The Applicant	ADD	In relation to vehicle collision during operation, Chapter G9 refers to “embedded measures, described in the Wylfa Newydd CoOP.... [which] would provide safe passage under the road.” (e.g. para 9.5.469.5.64). Can the Applicant confirm where this would be secured in the Code of Construction Practice?
<b>Q2.0.55</b>	The Applicant	ADD	Can the Applicant confirm where all of the strategies to protect protected and legally controlled species described in Appendix G9-10 [APP-334] would be secured?
<b>Q2.0.56</b>	NRW	ADD	Is NRW content that the habitat provisions and post construction monitoring for Great Crested Newts in new ponds, in Table G9-17, would be secured through the GCN Licence?
<b>Q2.0.57</b>	The Applicant	ADD	Can the Applicant confirm where post-construction monitoring of bat boxes as described in Table G9-10 would be secured?
<b>Q2.0.58</b>	The Applicant	ADD	In Chapter G9, temporary land take for sections 3, 5, and 7 is less than that taken permanently. In addition, areas of habitat lost listed in Tables G9-12 to 16 do not always add up to the permanent or temporary habitat loss detailed within the text of Chapter G9. Can the Applicant clarify the total temporary and total permanent land take for the A5025 works?
<b>Q2.0.59</b>	The Applicant	ADD	Can the Applicant clarify the total habitat provision for GCN in Section 3, as it is unclear whether the ponds described in Chapter G9 paras 9.6.5 and 9.6.6 are the same.
<b>Q2.0.60</b>	The Applicant	ADD	Can the Applicant clarify how the mitigation described in Chapter G9 paras 9.6.5, 9.6.6 and 9.6.10 would be secured?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q2.0.61</b>	The Applicant	ADD	Can the Applicant confirm that the Landscape Plan in Appendix G10-9 [APP-344] and dDCO requirements OH9 and OH10 [APP-029] satisfy the enhancements for species and groups described in Chapter G9 paras 9.7.6 and 9.7.7, and not solely for water vole?
<b>Q2.0.62</b>	NRW	ADD	Can NRW clarify why it suggests that long-term monitoring and management of land identified for mitigation and/or compensation measures is required to ensure that the project would not be detrimental to the maintenance of the Favourable Conservation Status of affected species?
<b>Q2.0.63</b>	NRW	WF	Paragraph 4.4.3 of the MPSSSCoCP [APP-415] states that no lighting would be used for the MUGAs after 21:00 hours during the winter months to prevent light trespass onto Tin-y-Maes bat barn and the adjacent ecological mitigation area. Given that it would get dark earlier than this during winter months do you consider the proposed 21:00 curfew appropriate or should it be earlier and if so what time?
<b>Q2.0.64</b>	NRW and IACC	WF	Are the trigger levels for dust at ecological receptors set out in paragraph 7.6.6 of the MPSSSCoCP [APP-415] appropriate? If you consider that different trigger levels should apply then provide further details/explanation.
<b>Q2.0.65</b>	NRW and IACC	WF	Paragraph 7.6.9 of the MPSSSCoCP [APP-415] sets out the actions that would occur should the trigger levels be exceeded. This list currently does not include a requirement to cease work – should it and if so why?
<b>Q2.0.66</b>	NRW	ADB	Can you confirm that you are satisfied with the proposed measures to deal with badgers, bats and water voles as set out in section 11 of the Park and Ride Sub CoCP [APP-418]?



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>3.</b>	<b>Climate Change and Resilience</b>		
<b>Q3.0.1</b>	The Applicant	Q	Climate change and adaptation is covered in Section 5.6 of the Sustainability Statement [APP-426], but the approach does not appear to fully comply with the requirements of EN-1 and EN-6. Section 5.6 explains how the project would help reduce climate change effects and mitigation during construction, but adaptation is not so detailed. Can the Applicant demonstrate please how paras 4.8.6 - 4.8.8, 4.8.10 and 4.8.12 of EN1 would be satisfied?
<b>Q3.0.2</b>	The Applicant	ADA	In the Carbon and Energy report [APP-423] Carbon Footprinting Methodology, Figure 4-4 shows that Construction includes operation of the Campus but Table 4-1 only includes energy use for construction plant. Figure 5-5 does include the Campus. Can the Applicant clarify where the operational impacts of the Campus have been addressed?
<b>Q3.0.3</b>	The Applicant	WC	Can the Applicant explain how potential storm surges resulting from climate change has been addressed for the protection of the MOLF and Power Station?
<b>4.</b>	<b>Development Consent Order</b>		
	Part 2		<b>PRINCIPAL POWERS</b>
<b>Q4.0.1</b>	The Applicant	Q	<b><u>Article 4 - Limits of Deviation</u></b> Justify the flexibility provided by this article.
<b>Q4.0.2</b>	IACC	WF	<b><u>Article 5 - Effect of the Order on the Site Preparation Permission</u></b>  Is IACC, as LPA, content with the mechanisms proposed to enable an interface between the planning permission for the site preparation works

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			and authorisation under the DCO for Work No 12?
Q4.0.3	IACC	WF	<b><u>Article 5 - Effect of the Order on the Site Preparation Permission</u></b> Do the requirements set out in Schedule 3 correspond with the planning conditions?
Q4.0.4	IACC	WF	<b><u>Article 5 - Effect of the Order on the Site Preparation Permission</u></b> Would there be any unintended consequences for the LPA's ability to enforce/would there be any uncertainty? – eg if Horizon serves notice on IACC informing it that it intends to undertake and complete the SP&C works under the DCO and therefore <u>all</u> conditions of the planning permission would become unenforceable, are there any conditions which need to have a life beyond the planning permission?
Q4.0.5	The Applicant	Q	<b><u>Article 6 - Maintenance of the authorised development</u></b> Justify the flexibility provided by this article.
Q4.0.6	IACC	Q	<b><u>Article 10 - Defence to proceedings in respect of statutory nuisance</u></b>  Is IACC, as Environmental Health Authority, content with the defence provided by article 10 – ie that there is sufficient mitigation for nuisance caused by noise, dust, vibration, dust or lighting proposed by the CoCPs.
Q4.0.7	IACC	Q	<b><u>Article 10 - Defence to proceedings in respect of statutory nuisance</u></b> Does IACC, as Environmental Health Authority, wish comment on the Statement of Statutory Nuisances (APP-052)
	Part 3		<b>STREETS</b>
Q4.0.8	IACC/Highways Authority	Q	Confirm that all the streets listed in parts 1 and 2 of Schedule 5 (Streets subject to alteration of layout) are accurate?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
Q4.0.9	IACC and the Applicant	Q	<p><b><u>Article 11 - Power to alter layout etc of streets and Article 12 Street Works</u></b></p> <p>11 (5) and 12 (3)  (a) Can the applicant confirm if the days referred to are working days or consecutive days?  (b) Have discussions been had, and agreement been reached with IACC, as local highway authority on deemed consent for applications after 56 days?</p>
Q4.0.10	IACC	Q	Do you consider it necessary to stop up/extinguish the streets listed in Schedule 6?
Q4.0.11	IACC and Interested Parties	Q	<p>Do you consider the permanent stopping up or extinguishing of private means of access listed in Schedule 7 is necessary?</p> <p>If not please list the relevant streets that should not be included and explain why?</p>
Q4.0.12	IACC and the Applicant	Q	<p><b><u>Article 16 - Temporary Stopping up of Streets and Article 18 - Access to Works</u></b></p> <p>Is the 28 days in referred to in 16 (8) and 18(2) working days or consecutive days?</p> <p>Have discussions been had, and agreement been reached with IACC, as local highway authority, on deemed consent for applications after 28 days in 16(8) given this would be outside the normal statutory process?</p> <p>Given the definition of 'street' under Article 2 (Interpretation) what 'other</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			public rights of way' may be temporarily stopped? Is the IACC satisfied that this would be necessary?
<b>Q4.0.13</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	Are there any differences between the proposed restrictions and those that would apply under Road Traffic Regulations Act 1984? If so how do they compare?
<b>Q4.0.14</b>	The Applicant and Interested Parties	Q	<p><b><u>Article 17 - Use of Private Roads for Construction</u></b></p> <p>17 – What private roads are intended to be used within the order limits during construction and have discussions been had, and agreement been reached with the owners of these roads regarding their use?</p> <p>Can the applicant confirm that there would be severance/access issues?</p>
<b>Q4.0.15</b>	IACC	Q	<p><b><u>Article 19 - Construction and Maintenance of new and altered streets</u></b></p> <p>19 –Have discussions been had, and agreement reached over the proposed 12 month post completion maintenance proposals?</p>
	Part 5		<b>POWERS OF ACQUISITION</b>
<b>Q4.0.16</b>	The Applicant	Q	In relation to Compulsory Acquisition and with reference to the representation from Magnox Limited [RR-013], has any potential conflict been identified with the Nuclear Site Licence issued under the Nuclear Installations Act?
<b>Q4.0.17</b>	The Applicant	Q	With reference to the representation from SP Energy Networks [RR-014], provide an update on negotiations in regard to the proposed protective provisions within Schedule 15. This to include updates in respect of

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			negotiations with SP Energy Networks and any other parties. In doing so, please confirm any proposed amendments to the draft protective provisions within Schedule 15.
<b>Q4.0.18</b>	The Applicant	Q	Respond to the matters raised in relation to compulsory acquisition by Davis Meade Property Consultants on behalf of MW, EW, & M Harper [RR-048].
<b>Q4.0.19</b>	The Applicant	Q	Respond to the matters raised in relation to compulsory acquisition by Davis Meade Property Consultants on behalf of Messrs G & I Hughes [RR-122].
<b>Q4.0.20</b>	The Applicant	Q	Respond to matters raised in the representation from the National Trust [RR-053], regarding National Trust land and proposed Compulsory Acquisition. Signpost where in the application, information is provided as to as whether National Trust land is the subject of proposed Compulsory Acquisition.
<b>Q4.0.21</b>	The Applicant	Q	Respond to the matters raised by Welsh Government [RR-092] in regard to the identification of Crown Land and the land referred to within the representation.
<b>Q4.0.22</b>	The Applicant	ADC	Respond to the matters raised by Network Rail [RR-089] in regard to the proposed Compulsory Acquisition of the rights/land referred to within the representation.
<b>Q4.0.23</b>	The Applicant	Q	In regard to the Book of Reference [Application Reference Number 4.3 Parts 1, 2 and 3], the Applicant is requested to provide a completed Compulsory Acquisition Objections Schedule. (See Annex A of this document)

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
Q4.0.24	The Applicant	Q	For Compulsory Acquisition to be included in a DCO, s.122 of the 2008 Act states that the conditions in s.122 (2) and (3) must be met. Please clarify the missing reference (currently stated to be " <b>Error! Reference source not found</b> ") at paragraph 9.2.4 of Statement of Reasons (APP-032).
Q4.0.25	The Applicant	Q	Regulation 6(2) of Statutory Instrument 2015 No.462 ( <i>"The Infrastructure Planning (Interested Parties and Miscellaneous Prescribed Provisions) Regulations 2015"</i> ) states that compulsory acquisition must be implemented within 5 years through the serving of a 'notice to treat' under section 5 of the Compulsory Purchase Act 1965. Provide details of how the applicant would meet the cost of the proposed compulsory acquisition within that timeframe.
Q4.0.26	The Applicant	Q	Article 28(2) states that " <i>..., nothing in this paragraph prevents the undertaker remaining in possession of land after the end of that period, if...</i> ". Provide further clarity around the purpose and intent of this provision.
Q4.0.27	The Applicant	Q	Confirm the purpose of, and reason for, including Article 29 (6)(a).
Q4.0.28	The Applicant	Q	The Explanatory Memorandum sets out the purpose of Article 31. Does this provide sufficient certainty for those who would be the subject of compulsory acquisition?. If not, why not?
Q4.0.29	The Applicant	Q	Articles 31 & 32 are limited to 'subsoil', and the term is used elsewhere in the draft DCO. Should 'subsoil' be defined under Article 2? . If 'subsoil' is the soil lying immediately under the surface soil (or topsoil), is there certainty regarding the material below plot 88 and in other locations? If not, should the drafting include '...rock and/or subsoil...'?
Q4.0.30	The Applicant	Q	Provide further details, within the context of the Explanatory Memorandum, for the approach set out within Articles 33(6)&(9) and the potential

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			implications of such a decision for the delivery of the proposed development.
<b>Q4.0.31</b>	The Applicant	Q	Article 35(4) includes the following "...the undertaker must either acquire the land under paragraph 1(a) or,...". Clarify the context and the nature of the acquisition referred to.
<b>Q4.0.32</b>	The Applicant	Q	Article 39 – Clarify the article number referred to in the text "...which article 3838 (Apparatus and rights of statutory undertakers in stopped-up streets) or Part 3 of the 1991 Act applies...". Is it article 38 as stated in the relevant part of the Explanatory Memorandum?
<b>Q4.0.33</b>	The Applicant	Q	Paragraph 2(3)(b) of draft DCO Schedule 12 contains proposed replacement text for section 58 of the Land and Compensation Act 1973. The proposed replacement text appears to have the effect of introducing a restriction that would cause the last paragraph of section 58 to be only applicable to section 58(1) b. Please justify this or provide alternative drafting so that 51 (a) is still effective.
<b>Q4.0.34</b>	The Applicant	Q	Paragraph 3(2)(b) makes reference to "...paragraph 10 of Schedule 13 to the Wylfa Newydd (Nuclear Generating Station) Order...". Does this reference need to be corrected as there appears to be no paragraph 10 in Schedule 13?
<b>Q4.0.35</b>	The Applicant	Q	Paragraph 10.21 of the Explanatory Memorandum (Application Reference Number 3.3 Rev 2.0) notes Schedule 12 to contain modifications to extend compensation provisions and create of new rights and impose restrictive covenants. Please summarise these modifications and provide greater detail on their effects, including those within paragraph 6 of the proposed Schedule 12 in relation to substitute section 8.

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
	Part 6		<b>MARINE WORKS</b>
<b>Q4.0.36</b>	The Applicant	WC/WD	<b><u>Article 43 – Incorporation of the 1847 Act</u></b> Explain the practical effect of not incorporating sections 3A to 34, 36, 40 to 50, 59, 60, 67, 71-72, 77 to 82, 85, 89, 91 to 99, 101, 102, and 104 of the 1847 Act into the DCO article 43 (1)
<b>Q4.0.37</b>	The Applicant	WC/WD	<b><u>Article 43 – Incorporation of the 1847 Act</u></b> Provide the text of sections 35, 37, 39 and 69 of the 1847 Act as amended by article 43 (2) to (5)
<b>Q4.0.38</b>	The Applicant	WC/WD	<b><u>Article 43 – Incorporation of the 1847 Act</u></b> Explain the practical effect of construing certain definitions in the 1847 Act in accordance with article 43 (6)
<b>Q4.0.39</b>	The Applicant	WC/WD	<b><u>Article 43 – Incorporation of the 1847 Act</u></b> Explain further what fines and forfeitures might be recoverable, how and by whom (article 43 (7))
<b>Q4.0.40</b>	The Applicant	WC/WD	<b><u>Article 49 Maintenance of marine works</u></b>  Provide further justification for the power to ‘maintain’ the marine works – given the meaning of “maintain” as set out in Article 2:
<b>Q4.0.41</b>	The Applicant	WC/WD	<b><u>Article 50 – Subsidiary works</u></b>  Please confirm whether the subsidiary works are identified in Schedule 1 (authorised development) and are therefore subject to requirements and caught by the proviso that such other works “necessary or convenient” must not give rise to any materially new or materially different



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			environmental effects from those assessed as set out in the Environmental Statement.
Q4.0.42	The Applicant	WC/WD	<p><b><u>Article 50 – Subsidiary works</u></b></p> <p>Provide justification as to why it is “necessary and convenient” to have the power to carry out each of the works identified in Article 50.</p>
Q4.0.43	NRW	WC/WD	<p><b><u>Article 53 Power to dredge</u></b></p> <p>The applicant explains that</p> <p><i>Article 53 gives Horizon the right to dredge within the harbour limits for the purpose of constructing, maintaining and operating the Marine Works. These powers are subject to the requirements set out under the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 to obtain marine licences. In addition, the approval of NRW is required to deposit any dredging below mean high water springs, and this approval can be given subject to conditions and restrictions.</i></p> <p>What is the additional approval to deposit referred to by the applicant and what does NRW need to consider before providing this approval? Having regard to the matters relevant to determining a marine licence (section 69 of the Marine and Coastal Access Act) is NRW content that sufficient conditions and restrictions on the power to dredge can be provided in the marine licence (and any other consent to deposit) and that no further controls are required in the DCO in relation to dredging?</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
Q4.0.44	Trinity House	WC/WD	<b><u>Articles 56, 57,58,59,71</u></b>  Is Trinity House content with the drafting and purpose of Articles 56, 57, 58, 59 and 71? If not, why not?
Q4.0.45	MCA	WC/WD	<b><u>Article 59 - Safety of Navigation</u></b>  Is the MCA content with the drafting and purpose of Articles 59? If not, why not?
Q4.0.46	The Applicant	WC/WD	<b><u>Article 61 - Byelaws</u></b>  Is it the intention to allow all of the vessels referred to in sub-paragraph (i) top operate in the harbour?
	Part 7		<b>MISCELLANEOUS AND GENERAL</b>
Q4.0.47	The Applicant, IACC and GAPS	Q	Article 72: Explain and justify why, given the substantial archaeological investigation that has been carried out, it is necessary to introduce a special procedure for the removal of human remains? Identify any locations where there is a thought to be potential for the discovery of human remains that have not yet been identified.
Q4.0.48	The Applicant	Q	Article 73: Explain why it is necessary to “safeguard any agreement entered into by Horizon pursuant to its rights under article 9(1)(b) (consent to transfer the benefit of Order) so that no other enactment or rule of law may modify or frustrate the rights and obligations of the parties under any such lease or agreement”. In what circumstances might it be applied?
Q4.0.49	The Applicant	Q	Article 74: Explain by way of justification the possible circumstances in which it might be necessary to carry out ‘permitted’ development within the Order Land on land defined as ‘operational’ under the powers of the Town

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 in respect of Class B (harbour undertaking) and Class G (electricity undertakers) under Part B of the GDPO. Why is it necessary to seek permitted development rights and why will this potential development not be granted through the DCO?
<b>Q4.0.50</b>	IACC	Q	Article 74: is the local planning authority content that the land within the Order limits is treated as 'operational land' and permitted development rights under (a) Part 17 of Schedule 2 of the T and CP (General Permitted Development) Order 1995; and (b) Class B (harbour undertakings) and Class G (electricity undertakers) under Part B of the GDPO applied?
<b>Q4.0.51</b>	IACC	Q	Article 75: is the local planning authority content with the disapplication of the Hedgerow Regulations 1997 as proposed?
<b>Q4.0.52</b>	The Applicant	Q	Article 76: (a) clarify that all four volumes of the Design and Access Statement are included for certification; and (b) correct the duplication of 'Wylfa Newydd CoCP'.
<b>Q4.0.53</b>	IACC	Q	Article 77: is the local planning authority content with the arrangements for serving notices?
<b>SCHEDULES</b>			
<b>Schedule 2</b>			
<b>Q4.0.54</b>	IACC	Q	Is IACC satisfied that the approved plans listed in Schedule 2 are correct and reflect any changes or amendments that may have occurred since the application was submitted?
<b>Schedule 3</b>			

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q4.0.55</b>	The Applicant	Q	Explain how each requirement satisfies the tests for planning conditions (namely that they should be kept to a minimum and only imposed where they are necessary, relevant to planning and to the development to be permitted, enforceable, precise and reasonable in all other respects).
<b>Q4.0.56</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>3 (4) attempts to limit the extent to which a tailpiece (or other drafting) might permit changes to the approved scheme to only those minor or immaterial changes which have been subject to EIA.</p> <p>The applicant's attention is drawn to:</p> <p>Section 17 of Advice Note 15  <a href="https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/advice_note_15_version_1.pdf">https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/advice_note_15_version_1.pdf</a>  <i>R. (on the application of Hubert) v Carmarthenshire CC Queen's Bench Division (Administrative Court), 05 August 2015</i>  <i>R. (on the application of Midcounties Co-operative Ltd) v Wyre Forest DC Queen's Bench Division (Administrative Court) 27 March 2009</i></p> <p>Having regard to the above, provide commentary for each requirement which provides flexibility in relation to approving and varying final details whether or not by use of a tailpiece (for example PR3 which would appear to have the same effect as a tailpiece in that it would allow the applicant to amend/alter the design and layout of the proposed park and ride facility at a later stage).</p> <p>This commentary should justify why the flexibility is necessary and confirm that it would not have the effect of allowing the LPA to permit materially different development from that assessed in the ES and fixed by the</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			parameters of the DCO in the detailed design drawings (thereby bypassing the need to seek authorisation for a change through section 153 of the Planning Act 2008) or to dispense altogether with the need for any scheme. The applicant is also asked to have regard to Regulations 18 to 19 of the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2009 and the definition of “subsequent application” and to consider whether any drafting in the DCO (eg Article 79 and Schedule 18) and requirements needs to be changed as a consequence.
<b>Q4.0.57</b>	IACC	Q	PW7 / PW8 - The construction of the authorised development would be managed and controlled through either the Wylfa Newydd Code of Construction Practice [8.6] or a specific Code of Construction Practice [8.7-8.13]. Is IACC satisfied with the level of detail contained within these documents?
<b>Q4.0.58</b>	The Applicant	Q	1 (5) How are vents, chimney stacks and flues dealt with in Article 3 (1) (5) – are they included or excluded from the envelope of the building?
<b>Q4.0.59</b>	The Applicant	Q	PW6 - specifically provides protection of breeding wild birds. Why are the same protections not proposed for other protected species such as Great Crested Newts, Bats etc
<b>Q4.0.60</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	PW8 - the Wylfa Newydd Code of Conduct would appear not to need to be submitted to or approved by IACC. Has this been discussed and agreement reached between the Applicant and IACC? If not, why not?
<b>Q4.0.61</b>	The Applicant	Q	PW9 –provide a justification as to why (1) one month and (2) three months would be appropriate timescales?
<b>Q4.0.62</b>	The Applicant	Q	SPC4 – applies to European Protected Species. Should other protected

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			species have similar requirements? If not, why not?
<b>Q4.0.63</b>	The Applicant	Q	SPC5 –provide the information that is currently omitted and shown as [*].
<b>Q4.0.64</b>	The Applicant	Q	SP6 –why is this requirement necessary?
<b>Q4.0.65</b>	IACC and the Applicant	Q	SPC12 – given the size of the proposed construction vehicles, is the proposed 8 meters setback for gates sufficient to ensure that waiting vehicles would be clear of the highway?
<b>Q4.0.66</b>	The Applicant	Q	WN4A –why under the maximum parameter are there two columns for height – H (m) and H (m AOD) and why is there only H (m) for the minimum parameter?
<b>Q4.0.67</b>	The Applicant	Q	WN7 – why is H (m above finished ground level) used here rather than AOD?
<b>Q4.0.68</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	<p>WN9 (1) – What is meant by ‘commissioned’ and should this be included in Article 2 - Interpretation?</p> <p>Is IACC satisfied with this as a trigger for the submission of the final landscape and habitat scheme?</p> <p>WN9 (2) Should this be reworded to include <i>but not limited to</i> details of? Should the list be expanded to include hard landscaping details; lighting; street furniture and signage; boundary treatment etc?</p> <p>WN9 (4) as worded this seems to place the onus on IACC to identify seriously damaged or diseased trees and shrubs during the 5 year aftercare period. If this is the case, does IACC have the resource and expertise to</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			undertake this requirement and if not, why not?
<b>Q4.0.69</b>	IACC	Q	WN11 (2) is IACC satisfied that the habitat and landscape works cover all the areas where management schemes would be needed?
<b>Q4.0.70</b>	The Applicant	Q	WN13 (1) should 'Reptile Receptor Site has been...' be replaced with 'Reptile Receptor Site <i>must be...</i> ? If not, why not?
<b>Q4.0.71</b>	The Applicant	Q	WN14 (1) should 'Great Crested Newt Receptor Site has been...' be replaced with 'Great Crested Newt Receptor Site <i>must be...</i> ? If not, why not?
<b>Q4.0.72</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	<p>WN15 and WN16 – These requirements control the number of parking spaces proposed during construction and operation. Can the Applicant:</p> <p>(a) explain why this is worded as a maximum rather than a specific number?</p> <p>(b) Explain why there is no minimum number?</p> <p>(c) indicate where in the DCO, the design and layout of the car parking, (including provision of disabled parking spaces; electric vehicle charging points; lighting; drainage; provision of petrol/oil interceptors or other methods of pollution control etc) is detailed and secured??</p> <p>Is IACC, as Local Highways Authority, content that this refers only to a maximum number of spaces rather than a specific number of spaces?</p> <p>Is IACC, as Local Highways Authority, satisfied that there is a mechanism by which it will be able to ensure approval of the design and layout of the car parking? If so, how?</p>
<b>Q4.0.73</b>	IACC	Q	WN19 - requires the submission and approval of the detailed design of the

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>site campus.</p> <p>This appears to be the only requirement that seeks to secure the design/provision of the site campus.</p> <p>Is IACC satisfied that, with particular reference to the noise generated from plant; drainage (management and control); hours of use of the MUGAs; external lighting, parking and floodlighting etc, the requirement adequately secures these matters? If not, why not?</p>
<b>Q4.0.74</b>	The Applicant	Q	Table WN20 – no point from which height is to be measured is given – should this be AOD?
<b>Q4.0.75</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	<p>WN21(2)</p> <p>(a) should this list be prefaced with “include <i>but not limited to</i>”?</p> <p>(b) Should (e) include a reference to hedges?</p> <p>(c) Should the list be expanded to include means of enclosure; external and street lighting; street furniture and signage?</p> <p>(d) Does IACC have the resource and expertise to undertake this requirement and if not, why not?</p>
<b>Q4.0.76</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>WN23 - this does not appear to provide a trigger date/activity for the submission of the decommissioning strategy and therefore as currently worded, could the site campus facility be permanently retained?</p> <p>As the site campus is defined as a temporary facility, how should the requirement be amended to include a trigger for the submission of these details?</p>
<b>Q4.0.77</b>	IACC and NRW	Q	WN25 - this only appears to require submission and approval of details for



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>the marine works to NRW.</p> <p>Are IACC and NRW content?</p>
<b>Q4.0.78</b>	The Applicant, IACC and NRW	Q	<p>WN26 (a), (b), (c) and (d) - is AOD the correct point of reference or would Above Chart Datum (ACD), Mean High Water (MHW) or Mean Low Water (MLW) be more appropriate?</p> <p>Should definitions be provided for crest and roundhead in Table WN26a?</p>
<b>Q4.0.79</b>	The Applicant and NRW	WC/WD	<p>WN 28 - What is the relationship between this requirement and the consents required (by NRW) for depositing as set out in Article 53? Is the flexibility under the requirement "unless otherwise agreed with NRW" consistent with the need to secure a variation of any such consents?</p> <p><b><i>WN28 Disposal of Dredged Material</i></b>  <i>Any surplus dredged material arising from the authorised development that cannot be re-used must be disposed of at Holyhead North, unless otherwise agreed with NRW.</i></p>
<b>Q4.0.80</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	<p>OPSF2 – Is IACC satisfied that the requirement would adequately secure the proposed facilities including for example: drainage, noise from plant, archaeological implications and management, car park design and layout, refuse collection, cycle storage etc?</p> <p>(4) How would the landscaping details that would be managed by (4) submitted/approved? Should this be a separate requirement? Does IACC have the resource and expertise to undertake this requirement and if not, why not?</p>
<b>Q4.0.81</b>	The Applicant	Q	Table OPSF3 – no point from which height is to be measured is given –

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			should this be AOD?
<b>Q4.0.82</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>OPSF5 - is entitled operational car and cycle parking but the requirement only refers to parking spaces –does the requirement need to encompass cycle parking?</p> <p>Emergencies does not appear to be defined in order to meet the enforceability test for requirements should it be?</p> <p>The car parking is stated as a maximum rather than a specific number, is this acceptable to the IACC/Highway Authority?</p> <p>Unless details are provided as part of OPSF2 the design/layout of the parking including drainage, lighting, disabled parking spaces and electric vehicle charging points etc is not required to be submitted and approved – is this acceptable to the IACC/Highways Authority?</p>
<b>Q4.0.83</b>	The Applicant	Q	Signpost where in the application a decommissioning strategy for the off-site power station facilities is secured.
<b>Q4.0.84</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	<p>Confirm whether the detailed drawings relating to the park and ride facility in Schedule 2 of the draft DCO include details of landscaping and a maintenance regime given that there currently appears to be no requirement, apart from maintenance, regarding landscaping for the park and ride facility?</p> <p>IACC may wish to comment?</p>
<b>Q4.0.85</b>	The Applicant	Q	PR3 (4) explain how the landscaping details for any amended scheme that would be managed by (4) would be submitted/approved. Should this be a

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>separate requirement?</p> <p>Does IACC have the resource and expertise to undertake this requirement and if not, why not?</p>
<b>Q4.0.86</b>	The Applicant	Q	PR4 – no point from which height is to be measured is given – should this be AOD?
<b>Q4.0.87</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	<p>PR5 Operational parking –includes cycle parking – should this be retitled operational car and cycle parking?</p> <p>The parking spaces are stated as a maximum rather than a specific number, is this acceptable to the IACC/Highway Authority? Should a minimum number be defined?</p> <p>The maximum figure given is 1,900 – however the Planning Statement [8.1] (paragraph 4.3.16) states that it would provide parking for 1,900 cars, 55 minibuses and 35 motorcycles –clarify whether the number of parking spaces proposed includes or excludes the parking for minibuses and motorcycles?</p> <p>Unless details are provided as part of either PR2 or PR3 the design/layout of the parking including drainage, lighting, disabled parking spaces and electric vehicle charging points does not appear to need to be submitted and approved by IACC. Does IACC as Local Highways Authority, wish to comment?</p>
<b>Q4.0.88</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	PR6 - does not appear to provide a trigger date/activity for the submission of the decommissioning strategy and therefore as currently worded the park and ride facility could potentially be permanently retained.

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>As the park and ride is defined as a temporary facility, how should the requirement be amended to include a trigger for the submission of these details?</p> <p>Is IACC satisfied with the list of details as to what the decommissioning strategy should include as set out in (2)?</p>
<b>Q4.0.89</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	<p>LC3 (4) - explain how the landscaping details for any amended scheme that would be managed by (4) be submitted/approved – should this be a separate requirement?</p> <p>Does IACC have the resource and expertise to undertake this requirement and if not, why not?</p>
<b>Q4.0.90</b>	IACC	Q	<p>PR2 requires the submission and approval of the detailed design of the logistics centre. This appears to be the only requirement that provides any control over the design /provision of the logistics centre.</p> <p>Is IACC satisfied that, with particular reference to drainage (management and control); hours of use of use; external lighting etc, the requirement adequately secures these matters? If not, why not?</p>
<b>Q4.0.91</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>LC4 - no point from which height is to be measured is given – should this be AOD?</p>
<b>Q4.0.92</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>LC6 – can the applicant provide details of what search and screening facilities, waiting and inspection mean? How many vehicles at any one time are likely to be undertaking these activities?</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>Emergencies does not appear to be defined in order to meet the enforceability test for requirements should it be?</p> <p>The parking is stated as a maximum rather than a specific number, is this acceptable to IACC, as Local Highway Authority?</p>
<b>Q4.0.93</b>	The Applicant, IACC and Cadw	Q	<p>LC7 as currently worded appears not to provide a trigger date/activity for the submission of the decommissioning strategy and therefore as currently worded the logistics centre could potentially be permanently retained.</p> <p>Given this is meant to be a temporary facility can the applicant reword the requirement to include a trigger for the submission of these details?</p> <p>Is IACC satisfied with the list of details as to what the decommissioning strategy should include as set out in (2)?</p>
<b>Q4.0.94</b>	The Applicant	Q	OH6 - no point from which height is to be measured is given – should this be AOD?
<b>Q4.0.95</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	<p>OH8 (2) should lighting be included in this list?</p> <p>(4) Does IACC have the resource and expertise to undertake this requirement and if not, why not?</p>
<b>Q4.0.96</b>	The Applicant, IACC and NRW	Q	<p>OH9 (1) and OH10 (1) should this read 'prior to completion'?</p> <p>Is this the right trigger for the submission of these details or should the information be submitted at the same time as the details for work No 8?</p> <p>Given that the works relate to a water vole enhancement area would they</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			require clearance from NRW as well as IACC?
<b>Q4.0.97</b>	The IACC and NRW	Q	ECS2 (1) given the works relate to ecological compensation sites would they require clearance from NRW as well as IACC?  Are the IACC and NRW satisfied with the list contained within (2)?
<b>Q4.0.98</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	ECS3 (1) should "will" be replaced with "must" in the last line?
<b>Q4.0.99</b>	IACC and NRW	Q	ECS4 are the IACC and NRW satisfied with the list contained within (1) and do the works require clearance from NRW as well as the IACC?
<b>Schedule 17</b>			
<b>Q4.0.100</b>	IACC	Q	Schedule 17 (Article 75) is the local planning authority content with the list of Important Hedgerows to be removed?
<b>Schedule 18</b>			
<b>Q4.0.101</b>	The Applicant	Q	Schedule 18 (Article 79): Provide a full explanation and justification for the departure from the standard drafting in relation to Procedure in relation to certain approvals set out in Appendix 1 of PINS Advice Note Number 15 (rev. 2) Drafting Development Consent Orders published in July 2018.
<b>Q4.0.102</b>	IACC and other discharging authorities	Q	Schedule 18 (Article 79 ): Comment on the procedures; timescales; categorisation of scale of development and fees proposed in relation to the appeals procedure proposed in Schedule 18 (Article 79). Are the proposals reasonable and proportionate and would they be adequately resourced?
<b>Schedule 19</b>			
<b>Q4.0.103</b>	IACC	Q	Schedule 19 Part 1 (Article 80) proposes exclusion, modifications, etc to Public General Legislation.

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>(a) Is IACC as highway authority content with the disapplication of sections 141, 169, 171A and 173 of the Highways Act 1980 and that in the absence of these powers there is adequate control in application documents such as Wylfa Newydd CoCP, A5025 Off-line Highway Improvements sub-CoCP and the DAS?</p> <p>(b) Is IACC as planning authority content that it is appropriate that for the purposes of the CIL Regulations, any building within the authorised development will fall within the exemption under regulation 6 and will not to be considered as "development" for the purposes of levying CIL?</p> <p>(c) Is IACC as highway authority content with the disapplication of Sections 56(1), 56(1A), 56A, 61(1), 62(2), 62(4), 63(1), 64, 66, 71 to 74A, 77, 78, 82(1) to 84, 86, 87 to 106 and Schedule 3A of the 1991 Act and that it is appropriate to disapply and/or that adequate controls are provided in application documents such as the Wylfa Newydd CoCP, Wylfa Newydd CoOP and/or relevant sub-CoCPs?</p> <p>(d) Is IACC as planning authority content that it is sufficient to apply section 57(2) of the 1990 Act so that (without any further controls) the "specific associated development" Work No. 3A (Site Campus), 6 (the Park and Ride Facility at Dalar Hir) and Work No. 7 (the Logistics Centre at Parc Cybi) revert to their original use following construction and that planning permission will not be required for the resumption of the purpose for which that land was normally used before the development consent was granted?</p> <p>(e) Is IACC as planning authority, and for the purposes of enforcing any section 106 agreement by ensuring that it binds all of the land and interests in it, content that the undertaker is to be deemed to be a person interested</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>in the Order Land or any part of it when entering the agreement (notwithstanding the fact that the applicant may not have an interest in all of the land) and that any transferee is to be treated as a person deriving title (section 106 (3))?</p> <p>(f) Does IACC have any comments on the applicant's proposed application of section 239 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990?</p> <p>(g) Does IACC as local authority have any comments on paragraph 6 of Schedule 19 which ensures that IACC must take into account the thresholds outlined in the Wylfa Newydd CoCP and any relevant sub-CoCPs before issuing a notice under section 60(5) and that any underground tunnelling works must be regulated by sections 60 and 61 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974?</p> <p>(h) Is IACC as planning authority content with the applicant's proposed disapplication of section 42 of Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976?</p>
<b>Q4.0.104</b>	IACC	WF	<p>Schedule 19 Part 2 (Article 81) proposes amendments to Local Byelaws.</p> <p>Is IACC content with the disapplication of the Twrcelyn Rural District Council Foreshore Byelaw 1952 and does the DCO and its requirements provide sufficient controls in relation to the use of the foreshore within the parishes Llanbadrig and Llanallgo (namely, in relation to the ability to erect structures or park or drive vehicles along the foreshore)?</p>
<b>Q4.0.105</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>With reference to Schedule 19 Part 1 (Article 80).</p> <p>(a) Identify why each of the provisions in Part 3 of the 1991 Act have</p>



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>been disapplied, ie by virtue of being not relevant, or managed through DCO powers (in which case stating the relevant power), or managed through protective provisions relating to apparatus owned by statutory undertakers (in which case identifying the relevant protective provision) or addressed through the Wylfa Newydd CoCP, Wylfa Newydd CoOP and/or relevant sub-CoCPs (in which case identifying the specific control in these documents).</p> <p>(b) Why is it necessary “for the avoidance of doubt” to apply section 239 (Use and development of burial grounds)?</p> <p>(c) Section 42 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 provides as follows:</p> <p>42.— Certain future local Acts etc. to be subject to the planning enactments etc. except as otherwise provided.</p> <p>(1) An Act or order to which this section applies shall have effect subject to—</p> <p>(a) the provisions of the enactments relating to town and country planning;</p> <p>(b) the provisions of the enactments relating to historic buildings and ancient monuments;</p> <p>except so far as the Act or order expressly provides otherwise.</p> <p>(2) This section applies to an Act or order which is—</p> <p>(a) a local Act passed after or in the same Session as this Act;</p> <p>(b) a provisional order confirmed by an Act so passed; or</p> <p>(c) an order which is made in the exercise of powers conferred by an Act and comes into force after the passing of this Act or in the same Session as this Act, and which authorises the carrying out on land specified in the Act or order of works of a kind so specified.</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>Except so far as the Act or order expressly provides otherwise.</p> <p>1) On what basis is the DCO is considered to be a 'local Act' within the meaning of Section 42?</p> <p>2) Provide greater justification for disapplying section 42.</p>
<b>Q4.0.106</b>	The Applicant	WA	Why are Work 1I (Simulator and Training Building) and Work 1K (Vehicle Inspection Bay) not included in the list of works covered by the Power Station Works Requirement (4) despite being referenced in WN4A of the Draft DCO [APP-029]
<b>General Questions</b>			
<b>Q4.0.107</b>	The Applicant and GCC	Q	[RR-021] questions the ability of DCO Requirements to ensure high levels of site campus occupancy and thus, reduce pressures on other areas. Would the draft DCO ensure high levels of campus occupancy and why?
<b>Q4.0.108</b>	The Applicant	Q	Draft DCO Schedule 3 requirement PW7 seeks to address the Wylfa Newydd Code of Construction Practice ('CoCP'). Would the CoCP sufficiently address potential impacts of the proposed development on soils and geology, and from soils and geology on local communities (including in regard to contamination)?
<b>Q4.0.109</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	Paragraph 7.4.62 of the Planning Statement states that the Accommodation and Tourism services would stay in place for the first two years of operation can the applicant:

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>(a) Confirm if this is operation of the first or second reactor?</p> <p>(b) Why two years is considered an appropriate time period</p> <p>Can the IACC confirm if they consider two years would be an acceptable time period and if not can they outline how long they think would be necessary and why?</p>
<b>Q4.0.110</b>	The Applicant	Q	Provide a copy of the draft Heads of Terms for the s106 under discussion for the DCO application?
<b>Q4.0.111</b>	Applicant, IACC, NRW, Welsh Government and other parties involved with the S106	Q	Section 7.4 of the Planning Statement [APP-406] deals with Planning obligations and outlines the proposed heads of terms. Please set out how the proposals would meet with the tests for planning obligations.
<b>Q4.0.112</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>Can you confirm whether document 3.4 Draft Heads of Terms for Planning Obligations referred to in the Guide to the Application [APP-004] and in the Mitigation Route Map [APP-422] has been submitted or is intended to be submitted?</p> <p>If it has not been submitted or is not intended to be submitted can you confirm whether Section 7 of the Planning Statement [APP-406] is the relevant document regarding the draft Heads of Terms and if this is correct can the application documentation be amended to correct the references to document 3.4?</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q4.0.113</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>The Applicant has provided a Mitigation Route Map (APP-422) indicating the different pathways through which mitigation would be secured. The Applicant is requested to provide an updated Mitigation Route Map /Schedule of Mitigation which includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An update to the 'Securing Mechanism' column indicating by reference to specific paragraphs where the mitigation has been included;</li> <li>• A new column indicating the state of progress in relation to whether the mitigation proposed has been secured and if not why not; and</li> <li>• Deletion of mitigation that would be secured via a s106 Agreement.</li> </ul> <p>The Applicant is requested to provide a second Mitigation Route Map /Schedule of Mitigation which sets out:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The mitigation that is proposed to be provided by way of a s106 Agreement and the source of that mitigation;</li> <li>• A column providing clear reference to where that mitigation is included in the s106 Agreement; and</li> <li>• A separate column indicating what would happen to that mitigation in the absence of a s106 Agreement.</li> </ul>
<b>Q4.0.114</b>	Applicant, IACC, Welsh Government, NRW and Emergency Services	Q	<p>A Programme Board would be responsible for setting and reviewing the monitoring programme and having an oversight of the funding from the Section 106. Can further details be provided as to how this would work in particular what process/mechanism would be put in place in the event of a dispute?</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>5.</b>	<b>Habitats Regulation Assessment</b>		
<b>Q5.0.1</b>	The Applicant	WA	Chapter D9 para 9.5.451 [APP-128] states that geomorphological monitoring is proposed during operation to identify any changes in baseline conditions at Cemlyn Bay SSSI and SAC. Can the Applicant confirm that this is the marine programme referred to in Section 14 of the CoCP?
<b>Q5.0.2</b>	NRW and RSPB	WFD	In paras 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 of its RR [RR-088] NRW, and RSPB in its RR [RR-084] consider that adverse effects on the Anglesey SPA cannot be ruled out due to noise, vibration and visual disturbance. Can NRW and RSPB explain why?
<b>Q5.0.3</b>	NRW and RSPB	WD	What is NRW and RSPB's views on the effectiveness of the monitoring proposals described in paragraphs 10.3.43 – 10.3.53 of the Shadow HRA [APP-050]?
<b>Q5.0.4</b>	The Applicant	WF	In para 4.3.7 of its RR NRW considers that adverse effects on the site integrity of the Dee Estuary SPA cannot be ruled out. Can the Applicant comment?
<b>Q5.0.5</b>	The Applicant	WA	In para 4.3.9 of its RR NRW requests additional information on coastal processes modelling to assess adverse effects on Esgair Gemlyn. Can the Applicant provide this?
<b>Q5.0.6</b>	The Applicant	WA	In para 4.3.10 of its RR NRW requires further clarity in relation to the mitigation measures detailed in 7.4.5 of the Shadow HRA and in relation to the drainage proposed on and around Mound E. Can the Applicant provide this clarity?
<b>Q5.0.7</b>	The Applicant	WA	In para 4.4.7 of its RR NRW is not able to conclude that the proposed

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			compensation package for Tre'r Gof SSSI will deliver adequate quantity or quality of replacement habitat. Can the Applicant comment?
<b>Q5.0.8</b>	The Applicant	WA	In para 4.4.9 of its RR NRW states that chapter D9 does not fully address how mitigation measures will offset the air quality exceedances identified at Cae Gwyn SSSI. Can the Applicant provide further clarification?
<b>Q5.0.9</b>	The Applicant	WF	Can the Applicant justify why the worst case scenarios given in Table 2.2 of the Shadow HRA [APP-050] were chosen and explain what the reasons are for those scenarios which differ from those used in the ES?
<b>Q5.0.10</b>	The Applicant	WF	Can the Applicant explain why the ExA should be confident that all the relevant bird species and associated European sites have been identified, given the absence of survey data for certain areas affected by the Proposed Development as stated in para 4.7.2?
<b>Q5.0.11</b>	NRW and RSPB	WC/WD	Can NRW and RSPB confirm that they agree that the seabird survey described in the Seabird Baseline Review [APP-225] and the Shadow HRA [APP-050] is adequate for the purposes of assessing effects on European sites?
<b>Q5.0.12</b>	The Applicant	WD	Can the Applicant provide summaries of raw data for the Minesto survey of seabirds in the vicinity of the disposal site referred to in the Shadow HRA [APP-050] para 6.5.35?
<b>Q5.0.13</b>	The Applicant	WD	Can the Applicant explain why the ExA should be confident that the data is representative of the whole area of the Disposal Site and its associated Zone of Influence, given that paragraph 6.5.35 of the Shadow HRA states that the surveys only covered the southern part of the Disposal Site and Zone of Influence?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q5.0.14</b>	NRW and RSPB	WF	Can NRW and RSPB confirm that they agree with the method of apportioning bird species to different European sites described in paragraphs 6.5.63 – 6.5.67 of the Shadow HRA? If not, why not?
<b>Q5.0.15</b>	The Applicant and NRW	WF	Can the Applicant and NRW comment on the decision to exclude in-combination air quality effects where the process contribution from the Proposed Development is less than 1% of the critical load in light of the judgement in <i>Wealden v SSCLG</i> [2017] EWHC 351 (Admin)?
<b>Q5.0.16</b>	NRW, RSPB, and NWWT	WF	Are NRW, RSPB and NWWT content with the Applicant's conclusion regarding likely significant effects on European sites as expressed in the Shadow HRA and the Shadow HRA Addendum [AS-0101]? If not, why not?
<b>Q5.0.17</b>	The Applicant	WF	In the light of the 'People Over Wind and Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta' judgement from the European Court of Justice (C-323/17), can the Applicant advise to what extent the decommissioning strategy secured through Requirement PW10 of the dDCO, constitutes a measure to avoid or reduce effects on European sites?
<b>Q5.0.18</b>	The Applicant	WD	For discharges to the marine environment from dewatering, para 7.4.12 of the Shadow HRA, can the Applicant explain where the discharge points would be? How have 'normal' rainfall levels been defined? What suspended sediment levels would be expected when these normal rainfall levels do not apply?
<b>Q5.0.19</b>	NRW	WA	Do NRW agree that there will be no adverse effects on integrity on the Cemlyn Bay SAC from the levels of dissolved copper, lead and zinc from discharges during construction, as described in section A2 of the Shadow HRA?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q5.0.20</b>	The Applicant	WA	Can the Applicant explain how the estimates of salinity shown in Table 7-4 of the Shadow HRA have been calculated?
<b>Q5.0.21</b>	The Applicant	WA	What evidence has the Applicant relied on in reaching the conclusion, in Table 7-29 of the Shadow HRA, that effects from the Visitor and Media Centre and the North Wales Connection can be managed and mitigated to result in no significant in-combination effects on Cemlyn Bay SAC?
<b>Q5.0.22</b>	The Applicant	WD	The predicted ambient noise levels during construction used for the assessment of effects on marine mammals does not include blasting (Shadow HRA para 8.3.116). Can the Applicant explain why the noise from blasting has not been considered and what effect would including blasting have on the conclusion of no adverse effects on integrity?
<b>Q5.0.23</b>	The Applicant	WD	For in-combination effects on the designated marine mammal features of SACs, where the conclusions rely on the delivery of adequate mitigation by other projects, can the Applicant explain where it is relying on mitigation secured through consents and where it is relying on mitigation which it is assuming will be delivered?
<b>Q5.0.24</b>	The Applicant	WD	For in-combination effects on the designated marine mammal features of SACs, where the conclusions rely on the assumption that underwater noise disturbance will be limited to a small area (for instance the effects from the tankers associated with the Amlwch Liquefied Natural Gas referred to in Table 8-55), can the Applicant identify the evidence that these conclusions are based on?
<b>Q5.0.25</b>	The Applicant	WD	For in-combination effects on the designated marine mammal features of SACs, where the conclusions rely on the assumption that changes in



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			turbidity or suspended sediment would only occur over a limited distance, can the Applicant identify the evidence that these conclusions are based on?
<b>Q5.0.26</b>	The Applicant	WD	As LSE was identified for airborne noise on marine mammals and the potential for adverse effects on integrity are considered in the Shadow HRA, can the Applicant explain why in-combination effects can be excluded para 8.4.4 of Shadow HRA?
<b>Q5.0.27</b>	The Applicant	WA	In para 10.3.44 of the Shadow HRA, can the Applicant explain what other feasible and reasonable measures can be used to reduce noise levels?
<b>Q5.0.28</b>	The Applicant	WA	Can the Applicant indicate the likely duration and frequency of surface blasting at the main power station site during construction, and how frequently would noise levels be reached which would lead to 'fly ups' by Terns at the Cemlyn Bay colony?
<b>Q5.0.29</b>	The Applicant	WA	Can the Applicant explain why the ExA should be confident that the noise levels reported for the trial blasting in Chapter 9 Appendix D13-13 [APP-231] represent the noise levels likely to be experienced at the Cemlyn Bay Tern colony from surface blasting during construction?
<b>Q5.0.30</b>	The Applicant	WD	The Shadow HRA, at para 10.3.79 -10.3.81, refers to modelling of light spill from the MOLF. Can the Applicant clarify in which document this modelling is presented?
<b>Q5.0.31</b>	The Applicant	WA	Can the Applicant confirm whether the calculation of numbers of fish likely to be entrained/impinged in the cooling water intake (paras 10.3.228 – 10.3.234 of the Shadow HRA) takes into account the use of the acoustic fish deterrent?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q5.0.32</b>	NRW and RSPB	WD/WE	Do NRW or RSPB have any concerns about effects on the Anglesey Terns SPA for impacts resulting from change or loss of foraging habitat, changes in marine water quality or impingement/entrainment of prey species during construction and operation?
<b>Q5.0.33</b>	NRW	WD	Can NRW provide the evidence that supports para 4.3.7 of its RR [RR-088] that some Sandwich Terns that breed at Cemlyn also form part of the passage Tern feature of the Dee Estuary SPA and Ramsar site?
<b>Q5.0.34</b>	NRW	WD	Do NRW agree that the Sandwich Tern population of the Anglesey SPA is approximately five times larger than it was at the point that the SPA was designated (para 10.3.59 of the Shadow HRA), and if so would the conservation objectives for the SPA be undermined if the Sandwich Tern colony at the Cemlyn Bay colony experienced a reduction in numbers for the period of construction?
<b>Q5.0.35</b>	The Applicant	WA	Para 10.3.40 of the Shadow HRA concludes that there would be no adverse effect on the integrity of the Anglesey Terns SPA as a result of intra-project effects during construction but it is not clear to the ExA why these minor effects would not combine to have an adverse effect on the integrity of the SPA. The Applicant is requested to provide a detailed explanation, with supporting justification/evidence where necessary, as to why the effects from construction identified in paragraph 10.3.40 would not undermine the conservation objectives of the SPA.
<b>Q5.0.36</b>	The Applicant	WA	Table 10-12 of the Shadow HRA concludes that there would be no adverse in-combination noise and visual effects between the Proposed Development and Wylfa Decommissioning on the Sandwich Tern population of the Anglesey Terns SPA. Can the Applicant explain how the requirement for

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			some of the Wylfa Decommissioning works to be carried out in the non-breeding season has been secured? Which works would be covered by this restriction? Given that the disturbance impact to birds from the Wylfa Decommissioning is reported as ranging from slight to moderate, why should the ExA be confident that adverse in-combination effects could be avoided?
<b>Q5.0.37</b>	The Applicant	WA	Can the Applicant explain what evidence it has relied on in table 10-23 in reaching the conclusion that there would be no adverse effects on the integrity of the Anglesey Terns SPA from in-combination effects of the Proposed Development with the Anglesey Eco Park, the North Wales Connection, the Holyhead Deep 10MW Tidal kite installation, the Demonstration Zone, the Amlwch Liquid Natural Gas and West Anglesey the Wylfa Visitor and Media Reception Centre?
<b>Q5.0.38</b>	The Applicant	WD/E	For the resilience measures described in section 11.3 of the Shadow HRA, can the Applicant explain why an incident response plan to address adverse effects from increased sediment loads discharging to Cemlyn lagoon during storm events would not constitute a mitigation measure?
<b>Q5.0.39</b>	RSPB, NWWT, NT and NRW	WD/E	Can RSPB, NWWT, NT and NRW confirm if delivery of the 'resilience measures' proposed in section 11.3 of the Shadow HRA would be sufficient to allay their concerns about the effects on the Anglesey Terns SPA? If not, why not and what additional measures would be required?
<b>Q5.0.40</b>	The Applicant	WD/E	Can the Applicant confirm if they are developing a package of compensatory measures for effects on the Anglesey Terns SPA? What measures are they considering?
<b>Q5.0.41</b>	NRW	WD/E	Can NRW advise if it would be possible to develop a package of

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			compensatory measures for the effects of the Proposed Development on the Anglesey Terns SPA? What measures should be included in such a package?
<b>Q5.0.42</b>	The Applicant	WF	In Table 11-1 of the Shadow HRA, can the Applicant explain why mitigation would not be secured directly through the dDCO, rather than through a number of certified documents, which would give more certainty that adverse effects would be avoided?
<b>Q5.0.43</b>	The Applicant	WA	Measures to protect Cemlyn Bay SAC from the impact of increased visitor numbers during construction rely on the implementation of the Workforce Management Strategy [APP-413] via the Code of Conduct. Implementation and enforcement of a Code of Conduct (dDCO requirement PW8) will be the responsibility of contractors, can the Applicant explain why the ExA should be confident that the delivery of these measures would be adequately secured?
<b>Q5.0.44</b>	NRW and NWWT	WF	Can NRW and NWWT confirm if, in their view, the measures proposed in the Workforce Management Strategy [APP-413] would be sufficient to avoid adverse effects on integrity from trampling and increased visitor use? If not, why not?
<b>Q5.0.45</b>	NRW	WF	Can NRW either confirm that the conservation objectives in the Shadow Habitat Regulations Assessment are correct or provide the conservation objectives for the sites for which they feel likely significant effects cannot be excluded?
<b>Q5.0.46</b>	The Applicant and NRW	WA	Can the Applicant and NRW review the recent judgement Case C-164/17: Reference for a preliminary ruling from Supreme Court (Ireland) made on 3 April 2017 — Edel Grace, Peter Sweetman v An Bord Pleanala and advise on

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			any implications they think the judgement has for the Appropriate Assessment of the Proposed Development?
<b>Q5.0.47</b>	The Applicant	WF	ES D13 para 13.5.70 is somewhat contradictory with regards to the discharge point for the fish recovery and return system. It first states that the location has yet to be determined but is stated to be located 'to the north of the eastern breakwater in the region of the -6.0m contour'. It subsequently states the discharge point would be fixed to the northern face of the eastern breakwater. Can the Applicant clarify?
<b>6.</b>	<b>Historic Environment</b>		
<b>Q6.0.1</b>	Cadw	Q	Outline the implications for the Examination, if any, of the provisions of the Historic Environment (Wales) Act (2016); including those provisions now in force and those yet to be implemented.
<b>Q6.0.2</b>	IACC and Cadw	Q	<p>With reference to 6.2.11 ES Volume B - <i>Introduction to the environmental assessments B11 - Cultural heritage</i> [APP-076] are you content with the Applicant's methodologies; assessment criteria; measures of magnitude of change and impact and conclusions for Cultural Heritage across the project in relation to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Planning Inspectorate Scoping Opinion particularly in relation to the 'action taken';</li> <li>(a) Statutory and non-statutory consultations carried out by the Applicant;</li> <li>(b) Topic specific methodologies and assessment criteria; particularly: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Assessment of parameters</li> <li>ii. Identification of study areas</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>iii. Identification of receptors</li> <li>iv. Identification of baseline conditions</li> <li>v. Assessment of effects</li> <li>vi. Limitations</li> </ul> <p>If not outline your concerns and explain how the issues might be resolved.</p>
<b>Q6.0.3</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>Referring to Table B11-14 <i>DMRB criteria for establishing the value of heritage assets</i> of 6.2.11 ES Volume B - <i>Introduction to the environmental assessments B11 - Cultural heritage</i> [APP-076]:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Explain why Scheduled Monuments and Grade I and Grade II* buildings are not valued as 'very high'?</li> <li>(b) Does a value of 'high' result in less than optimal mitigation?</li> </ul>
<b>Q6.0.4</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>With reference to para. 5.8.13 of EN-1 explain, with examples, how the significance, the contribution of their settings and the positive contributions heritage assets can make to sustainable communities and economic vitality has been sustained or enhanced across the project.</p>
<b>Q6.0.5</b>	IACC, Cadw, NT, GAPS and WHGT	WF, WE, ADB, ADC and ADD	<p>Are you content with the Applicant's approach to Cultural Heritage for each site as set out in D11 [APP-130]; E11 [APP-248]; F11 [APP276]; G11 [App-314] and H11 [APP-365] and the Baseline Studies in APP-202 and APP-204 in relation to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the study area;</li> <li>(b) the description and analysis of the baseline environment;</li> <li>(c) the design basis and proposed activities for the assessment of effects;</li> <li>(d) the approach to the assessment of effects methodology;</li> </ul>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>(e) the proposed approach to additional mitigation, including the proposed mechanisms to secure additional mitigation through the Codes of Construction Practice, sub Codes of Construction Practice and the proposed Section 106 Agreement; and</p> <p>(f) the analysis of residual effects;</p> <p>during construction, operation and decommissioning for terrestrial archaeology, historic buildings and historic landscape types?</p> <p>If not please state the nature of the concern and how any issue might be dealt with.</p>
<b>Q6.0.6</b>	IACC, Cadw, Welsh Government, NT, GAPS and WHGT	WF, WE, ADB, ADD and ADC	<p>Referring to Effects on Heritage Assets on all sites – D11-6 [APP-213], E11-2 [APP-263], F11-5 [APP-301], G11-5 [APP-351] and H11-2 [APP-381] is the Applicant's assessment of:</p> <p>(a) the likely effects;</p> <p>(b) the proposals for additional mitigation; and</p> <p>(c) the significance of residual effects</p> <p>Satisfactory for archaeological remains; historic buildings; and historic landscapes and gardens during construction, operation and decommissioning?</p> <p>If not explain why and outline what needs to be done to address any issues.</p>
<b>Q6.0.7</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>List those Scheduled Monuments, Listed Buildings, Conservation Areas and Registered Historic Landscapes and Parks and Gardens assessed as subject to substantial harm or total loss of significance as a consequence of the</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			project in all of its phases and across all sites and in each case outline the reasons why the substantial harm or loss of significance is necessary in order to deliver substantial public benefits that outweigh that loss or harm; and the steps taken to mitigate the harm and/or loss of significance.
<b>Q6.0.8</b>	The Applicant IACC, Cadw, NT, GAPS and WHGT	WF, WA, WB, WC, WD, WE, ADB, ADC, ADD and ADE	<p>Are the proposals for delivering a Cultural Heritage Management Strategy set out in section 12 of the relevant Code of Construction Practice and sub-Codes of Construction Practice [APP-414 to APP-420] adequate to effectively plan, manage and control all construction activities in relation to Cultural Heritage and deliver acceptable outcomes that meet the criteria set out in EN-1Part 5.8 (Historic Environment) and heritage policies at national (Wales) and local levels?</p> <p>Indicate where there might be deficiencies and the action that might be taken to remedy them.</p>
<b>Q6.0.9</b>	The Applicant	WF, WA, WB, WC, WD, WE, ADB, ADC, ADD and ADE	<p>Referring to Effects on Heritage Assets on all sites – D11-6 [APP-213], E11-2 [APP-263], F11-5 [APP-301], G11-5 [APP-351] and H11-2 [APP-381]:</p> <p>(a) Explain the processes and timetables through which each of the additional mitigation measures proposed in respect of archaeological remains; historic buildings; and historic landscape types during construction, operation and decommissioning will be designed, approved by the competent authorities and undertaken on site;</p> <p>(b) How will they be secured through the dDCO [APP-029] and relevant Code and Sub-codes of Construction Practice;</p> <p>(c) What measures will be taken to create the necessary partnerships and provide adequate resources to agree and implement these works and how can these be secured through the dDCO?</p>



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q6.0.10</b>	The Applicant	WA	Outline in tabular form the programme for implementing the various measures of mitigation set out in section 12 of the relevant Code of Construction Practice and sub-Codes of Construction Practice [APP-414 to APP-420] to enable the ExA to understand the sequence of activity in relation to heritage assets for each development site; the order of events; their broad timing and the length of period allowed for their implementation.
<b>Q6.0.11</b>	IACC, Cadw, NT, GAPS and WHGT	WF/WA	<p>With reference to Cestyll Garden (Historic Landscape Type 2) and on the Register of Parks and Gardens of Special Historic Interest in Wales and the sites of Cestyll House and kitchen garden, do you agree with the Applicant's assessment of effects; proposals for additional mitigation; and the analysis of the significance of residual effect during construction, operation and decommissioning, as set out in 6.4.11 ES Volume D - WNDA Development D11 - <i>Cultural heritage</i> [APP-130]?</p> <p>Referring to the Relevant Representations submitted on this issue [RR-020; RR-053; RR-092]:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) What further evidence is required to identify the extent of potential impacts?</li> <li>(b) What further detail is required to enable assessment of the harm?</li> <li>(c) Are there any additional measures that could be taken to mitigate the impact of the development on this heritage asset?</li> <li>(d) What should be included in the planning obligation to be agreed with National Trust, Cadw and Gwynedd Archaeological Planning Service referred to in para. 6.4.203 of 8.1 Planning Statement [APP-406] intended to address the designs of appropriate landscape measures to restore and/or enhance the former location of the Cestyll Garden kitchen garden?</li> </ul>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q6.0.12</b>	The Applicant	WF/WA	<p>Respond to the Welsh Government's comments in its relevant representation [RR-092] in relation to Cestyll registered historic park and garden and its setting that:</p> <p>(a) Greater detail should be provided on how the design of the proposed landscaping (mounding, tree planting, restoration of field boundaries) within the current essential setting has been informed by the impact on the registered park and garden.</p> <p>(b) Visualisations are requested to show how the power station will appear in views adjacent to the southern extent of the Cestyll and would inform a landscaping scheme for this area.</p> <p>(c) Further clarification is required on how the valley garden will be accessed if the historic access is removed through the proposed landscaping mound/bank.</p>
<b>Q6.0.13</b>	The Applicant, IACC, Cadw, NT, GAPS and WHGT	WF/WA	<p>With reference to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Felin Gafnan Corn Mill (Grade II* Listed Building);</li> <li>• Mill House at Felin Gafnan (Grade II* Listed Building); and</li> <li>• The Corn-drying House at Felin Gafnan (Grade II Listed Building);</li> </ul> <p>(a) Do you agree with the Applicant's assessment of effects; proposals for additional mitigation; and the analysis of the significance of residual effect during construction, operation and decommissioning, set out in 6.4.11 ES Volume D - WNDA Development D11 - Cultural heritage [APP-130]?</p> <p>(b) Are there any additional measures that could be taken to mitigate the impact of the development on these heritage assets?</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q6.0.14</b>	NT	WF/WA	<p>In the light of the National Trust relevant representation [RR-053] in which it states that it has responsibility for three listed buildings which adjoin the proposed harbour, including Felin Gafnan a Grade II* mill. Explain:</p> <p>(a) The additional detail required to enable a full assessment of the impact of the proposal;</p> <p>(b) How sufficient weight should be given to the heritage assets; and</p> <p>(c) What an adequate level of mitigation and compensation might be?</p> <p>If appropriate the response might be contained in a statement of common ground.</p>
<b>Q6.0.15</b>	The Applicant	WF/WA	<p>Respond in detail to the National Trust relevant representation [RR-053] in which it states that it 'has responsibility for three listed buildings which adjoin the proposed harbour, including Felin Gafnan a Grade II* mill. The application lacks detail in order to assess fully the impact of the proposal. The application does not give sufficient weight to the heritage assets and an inadequate level of mitigation and compensation is proposed.'</p>
<b>Q6.0.16</b>	The Applicant, IACC, Cadw, NT, GAPS and WHGT	WF/WA	<p>Is there merit in drawing up a comprehensive Conservation Management Plan for the group of heritage assets comprising:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cestyll Garden (Historic Landscape Type 2)</li> <li>• The sites of Cestyll House and kitchen garden</li> <li>• Felin Gafnan Corn Mill (Grade II* Listed Building);</li> <li>• Mill House at Felin Gafnan (Grade II* Listed Building); and</li> <li>• The Corn-drying House at Felin Gafnan (Grade II Listed Building);</li> <li>• Cafnan House (Grade II Listed Building)</li> </ul>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			If so, how might this be done and how might it be secured through the dDCO?
<b>Q6.0.17</b>	The Applicant	WF/WA	<p>Produce a plan at scale 1: 1250 of the area from Porth-y-Pistyll extending south to Cemlyn Road showing the location of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cestyll Garden (Historic Landscape Type 2);</li> <li>• The site of Cestyll House and kitchen garden;</li> <li>• Felin Gafnan Corn Mill (Grade II* Listed Building);</li> <li>• Mill House at Felin Gafnan (Grade II* Listed Building); and</li> <li>• The Corn-drying House at Felin Gafnan (Grade II Listed Building);</li> <li>• Cafnan House (Grade II Listed Building)</li> </ul> <p>in relation to the boundaries of the WNDA area, the Wylfa NPS site and the Wylfa Power Station site.</p> <p>Show (a) the works planned for this location during site preparation and clearance and construction; and (b) the situation during the operation period, accurately plotting the proposed boundary fences, the proposed line of the Welsh Coastal Path and the proposed landform and landscape.</p> <p>How would the public access these heritage assets during construction, operation and decommissioning?</p>
<b>Q6.0.18</b>	IACC, Cadw, Welsh Government, NT and GAPS	WF	<p>With reference to the Amlwch and Parys Mountain Landscape of Outstanding Historic Interest, do you agree with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the methodology;</li> <li>(b) assessment criteria</li> <li>(c) evaluation of relative importance;</li> </ul>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>(d) assessment of overall significance of impact; and  (e) the conclusion that: 'The overall impact of the development on the historic landscape on the Register has been assessed to be very low.' of 6.4.78 ES Volume D WNDA Development App D 11-7 <i>Assessment of the significance of the impact of development on the historic landscape for the Wylfa Newydd Power Station</i> [APP-214]?</p> <p>If not state why and suggest how the issue might be dealt with?</p>
<b>Q6.0.19</b>	IACC, Cadw, Welsh Government, NT and GAPS	ADC	<p>6.8.1 ES Volume H - Logistics Centre H1 - <i>Proposed development</i> [APP-355] proposes the maintenance of the view between the Ty Mawr Standing Stone and the Trefignath Burial Chamber across the southern corner of the site.</p> <p>(a) Do the proposals illustrated in Fig A2-5 Logistics Centre Masterplan in 8.1.10 <i>ES Figure Booklet- Volume A</i> [APP-06] achieve this objective in a satisfactory manner?  (b) Are impacts on the significance of the setting of these scheduled monuments adequately mitigated?</p> <p>If not explain how the issues might be addressed.</p>
<b>Q6.0.20</b>	The Applicant	ADD	<p>Address the IACC concern in relation to the A5025 Off-line highway improvements that: ' There is a lack of detail on possible impacts on heritage assets including Siop Soar and Black Lion Inn (Grade II listed buildings) and Capel Soar Standing Stone, and how these will be mitigated and/or compensated for'?</p>
<b>Q6.0.21</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>(a) How would an agreed archaeological excavation and recording programme be agreed, adhered to and completed prior to the</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			Examination; and (b) Explain how archaeological mitigation is to be achieved across all the sites? Welsh Government [RR-092] IACC [RR-020] NT [RR-053]
<b>Q6.0.22</b>	The Applicant	WF	Further to the NT RR[RR-053] can you set out the marine archaeological assumptions concerning Porth-y-Pistyll and Porth y Felin.
<b>Q6.0.23</b>	The Applicant	Q	Would archaeological digs and/or archaeological finds be accessible to local groups as suggested by Cemaes Bay History Group [RR-016] and Jesse Hughes Youth Club [RR-051] and if so how would this be secured?
<b>7.</b>	<b>Landscape and Visual</b>		
<b>Q7.0.1</b>	NRW, IACC, GCC, NT and Cadw	Q	Are you content with the Applicant's approach to the assessment of Landscape and Visual effects as set out in 6.2.10 ES Volume B - <i>Introduction to the environmental assessments</i> B10 - Landscape and visual [APP-075] including:  (a) Assessment of parameters (b) Identification of study areas (c) Identification of receptors (d) Identification of baseline conditions (e) Assessment of effects (f) The use of: i. Design Manual for Roads and Bridges assessment methodology for the A5025 Off-line Highway Improvements; and ii. Night-time visual effects assessment methodology for the Power Station

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>(g) Limitations</p> <p>If not state your reasons and recommendations for addressing any issues.</p>
<p><b>Q7.0.2</b></p>	<p>NRW, IACC, GCC, Cadw, NT, VCC, LdCC, LPCC, LbCC and TAG</p>	<p>WF</p>	<p>1. Is the Applicant's approach to the landscape and visual effects of the development in relation to the Wylfa Newydd Development Area as set out in 6.4.10 ES Volume D - WNDA Development D10 - Landscape and visual [APP-129] adequate and if not how should any issues be addressed; with reference to:</p> <p>(a) The approach to the Study Area as described in section 10.2; including the Zones of Theoretical Visibility, the main Assessment Points and the extent of theoretical visibility of the different assessment points within the overarching study area, for the different assessment stages presented in figures D10-18 to D10-27 of 6.4.101 ES Volume D - WNDA Development Figure Booklet - Volume D (Parts 1 and 2) [App-237 and APP-238];</p> <p>(b) The summary of the landscape and visual baseline conditions within the study areas including the Value of Landscape Receptors summarised in Table D10-3; the selected Representative and Illustrative viewpoints for both daytime and night-time described in Section 3 and shown in Figures D10.14 – D10.17 of 6.4.101 ES Volume D - WNDA Development Figure Booklet - Volume D (Part 1 of 2) [APP-237 and APP-238];</p> <p>(c) Design basis and activities for construction, operation and decommissioning, including Embedded and Good Practice mitigation;</p> <p>(d) Assessment of effects including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• evaluation of sensitivity of receptors</li> <li>• effects on landscape and seascape character</li> <li>• daytime and night-time effects</li> <li>• effects on landscape and seascape character</li> </ul>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• visual effects</li> </ul> <p>(e) Additional mitigation during construction, operation and decommissioning;</p> <p>(f) Residual effects and Additional Mitigation for construction, operation and decommissioning summarised in Tables D10.43 to D10 for landscape; daytime visual; night-time visual; and (ecological compensation sites) receptors.</p> <p>1. Is the approach of using representative community views as opposed to individual viewpoints sufficient for assessing daytime and night-time visual effects on community receptors?</p>
<b>Q7.0.3</b>	NRW, IACC, NT and IPs	Q	<p>(a) Bearing in mind the special qualities of the Isle of Anglesey AONB as set out in the AONB Management Plan (see para 2.1.30 of 8.16 Landscape and Habitat Management Strategy (Part 1 of 2) [APP-424]) are the mitigation measures outlined in D10.14 of ES Volume D - WNDA Development D10 - Landscape and visual [APP-129] in relation to the Isle of Anglesey AONB sufficient to meet the policy objectives of EN-1 paras. 5.9.9 to 5.9.11 and both Welsh national and local planning policies, including policies in the AONB Management Plan, as summarised in paragraphs 6.4.244 to 6.4.257 of 8.1 Planning Statement [APP-406]?</p> <p>(b) If not what additional measures could be taken to meet the policy objectives and how might they be secured through the dDCO?</p>
<b>Q7.0.4</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>IACC [RR-0202], NRW [RR-088] and NT [RR-053] raise concerns over impacts on the Anglesey AONB. Respond to the assertions that:</p> <p>(a) Detailed proposals will need to be submitted to ensure mitigation of</p>



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>impacts upon the AONB are fully developed and to confirm that the power station's landscape and visual integration with the AONB has been developed to best effect; and</p> <p>(b) Landscape harm in and adjoining the Anglesey AONB is underestimated and inadequate mitigation and compensation is proposed</p> <p>Show how in relation to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Wylfa Newydd Development Area</li> <li>• Off-site Power Station Facility</li> <li>• A5025 Off-line highway improvements</li> <li>• Logistics Centre at Parc Cybi</li> </ul> <p>the mitigation measures outlined in D10.14 of ES Volume D - WNDA Development D10 - Landscape and visual [APP-129] in relation to the Isle of Anglesey AONB meet the policy objectives of EN-1 paras. 5.9.9 to 5.9.11 and both Welsh national and local planning policies, including policies in the AONB Management Plan, as summarised in paragraphs 6.4.244 to 6.4.257 of 8.1 Planning Statement [APP-406]?</p>
<b>Q7.0.5</b>	NRW, IACC, GCC, Cadw, NT, VCC, LdCC, LPCC, LbCC, NAP, TAG and IPs	WF	<p>Are the photomontage views across all sites as set out in [APP-199]; [APP-200]; [APP-261], [APP-378], [APP-296] and [APP-343] adequate and are you satisfied with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The choice of selected locations;</li> <li>• The methodology for photomontage;</li> <li>• The chosen timeframes for the images?</li> </ul> <p>(a) Are there any additional viewpoints that would be helpful?</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			(b) Do the images raise any issues or concerns and how might these be addressed?
<b>Q7.0.6</b>	The Applicant	WE	All the viewpoints in 6.5.23 ES Volume E - Off-Site Power Station Facilities: AECC ESL and MEEG App E10-5 - Photomontage viewpoints [APP-261] are described as "view from footpath south of the MEEG"; this cannot be accurate in each case. Please provide a corrected version.
<b>Q7.0.7</b>	The Applicant	WF	<p>The Tregle Action Group [RR-101] and other local residents [RR-117 and RR-118] are concerned about how effective the artificial drumlins will be in protecting the quality of life of Tregle residents.</p> <p>Provide a set of photomontage images from Representative Viewpoint 18 (left) to show the position and height of the proposed mound opposite the residential properties on the A5025 in Tregle and explain the extent to which it will mitigate noise, dust and light pollution during construction and operation.</p>
<b>Q7.0.8</b>	The Applicant	WA	<p>In relation to the proposed Breakwater and MOLF:</p> <p>(a) Provide information on the potential range of materials for their construction and the potential palette of colour through the operational period. Explain how the selected colours would be maintained.</p> <p>(b) How would the agreed materials and colour be secured within the dDCO?</p> <p>(c) Explain the purpose and use of the MOLF post-construction?</p>
<b>Q7.0.9</b>	NRW, IACC, NT, Cadw and IPs	WE	Is the Applicant's approach to the landscape and visual effects of the development in relation to the Off-Site Power Station facilities as set out in 6.5.10 ES Volume E - Off-Site Power Station Facilities: AECC ESL and MEEG

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>E10 - Landscape and visual [APP-248] adequate and if not how should any issues be addressed; with reference to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) The approach to the Study Area as described in section 10.2; including the Zones of Theoretical Visibility (Fig E10.1[APP-265]).</li> <li>(b) The summary of the landscape and visual baseline conditions within the study areas;</li> <li>(c) The selected Representative viewpoints described in 6.5.22 ES Volume E - Off-Site Power Station Facilities: AECC ESL and MEEG App E10-4 - Representative viewpoints [APP-260];</li> <li>(d) Design basis and activities for construction, operation and decommissioning, including Embedded and Good Practice mitigation;</li> <li>(e) Assessment of effects during construction, operation and decommissioning, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• evaluation of sensitivity of receptors</li> <li>• effects on landscape character</li> <li>• daytime and night-time effects</li> <li>• visual effects</li> </ul> </li> <li>(f) Additional mitigation during operation (Table E10.30);</li> <li>(g) Residual effects (Table E10.4)for construction, operation and decommissioning.</li> </ul> <p>(6.5.20 ES Volume E - Off-Site Power Station Facilities: AECC ESL and MEEG App E10-2 - Landscape effects schedule [APP-258] and 6.5.21 ES Volume E - Off-Site Power Station Facilities: AECC ESL and MEEG App E10-3 - Visual effects schedule [APP-259])</p>
<b>Q7.0.10</b>	NRW, IACC, Cadw and NT	ADC	Is the Applicant's approach to the landscape and visual effects of the development in relation to the Logistics Centre as set out in 6.8.10 ES Volume H - Logistics Centre H10 - Landscape and visual [APP-364]

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>adequate and if not how should any issues be addressed; with reference to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) The approach to the Study Area as described in section 10.2; including the Zones of Theoretical Visibility (Fig E10.1 [APP-383]).</li> <li>(b) The summary of the landscape and visual baseline conditions within the study areas;</li> <li>(c) The selected Representative viewpoints described in 6.8.24 ES Volume H - Logistics Centre App H10-4 - Representative viewpoints [APP-378];</li> <li>(d) Design basis and activities for construction, operation and decommissioning, including Embedded and Good Practice mitigation;</li> <li>(e) Assessment of effects during construction, operation and decommissioning, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• evaluation of sensitivity of receptors</li> <li>• effects on landscape character</li> <li>• visual effects for recreational, community and transient receptors and visitors to the Trefignath Burial Chamber and the Ty Mawr Standing Stone</li> <li>• long distance views</li> <li>• night-time views</li> </ul> </li> <li>(f) Additional mitigation during construction (Table H10-3) and operation (Table H10-4);</li> <li>(g) Residual effects for landscape (Table H10.5) and visual (Table H10-6) for construction and operation.</li> </ul>
<b>Q7.0.11</b>	NRW, IACC and IPs	ADB	<p>Is the Applicant's approach to the landscape and visual effects of the development in relation to the Park and Ride facility at Dalar Hir as set out in 6.6.10 ES Volume F - Park and Ride F10 - Landscape and visual [APP-275] adequate and if not how should any issues be addressed; with reference to:</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) The approach to the Study Area as described in section 10.2; including the Zones of Theoretical Visibility (Fig F10.1 [APP-303]).</li> <li>(b) The summary of the landscape and visual baseline conditions within the study areas;</li> <li>(c) The selected Representative viewpoints described in 6.6.30 ES Volume F - Park and Ride App F10-4 - Representative viewpoints [APP-295];</li> <li>(d) Design basis and activities for construction, operation and decommissioning, including Embedded and Good Practice mitigation;</li> <li>(e) Assessment of effects during construction, operation and decommissioning, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• evaluation of sensitivity of receptors</li> <li>• effects on local landscape</li> <li>• visual effects for recreational, community and transient receptors</li> <li>• night-time views</li> </ul> </li> <li>(f) Additional mitigation during construction (Fig F10.3), operation (Fig F10.4) and decommissioning (Fig F10.5);</li> <li>(g) Residual effects for landscape (Fig F10.6) and visual (Fig F10-7) for construction, operation and decommissioning.</li> </ul>
<b>Q7.0.12</b>	The Applicant a	ADB	Applicant – Please confirm the proposed hours of lighting for the Park and Ride? (paragraph 4.3.1 of the PRSCoCP [APP-418])
<b>Q7.0.13</b>	NRW, IACC and IPs	ADC	<p>Is the Applicant’s approach to the landscape and visual effects of the development in relation to the A5025 Off-line highway improvements as set out in 6.7.10 ES Volume G - A5025 Off-line Highway Improvements G10 - Landscape and visual [APP-313] adequate for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 1: Valley</li> </ul>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 2: Llanfachraeth</li> <li>• Section 3: Llanfaethlu</li> <li>• Section 7: Cefn Coch; and</li> <li>• Power Station Access Road Junction</li> </ul> <p>and if not how should any issues be addressed; with reference to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) The approach to the Study Area as described in section 10.2; including the Zones of Theoretical Visibility (Fig G10-13 to G10-17 [APP-354]).</li> <li>(b) The summary of the landscape and visual baseline conditions within the study areas;</li> <li>(c) The selected Representative and Illustrative viewpoints described in 6.7.37 ES Volume G - A5025 Off-line Highway Improvements App G10-5 - Representative viewpoints [APP=340] and 6.7.38 ES Volume G - A5025 Off-line Highway Improvements App G10-6 - Illustrative viewpoints [APP-341];</li> <li>(d) Design basis and activities for construction and operation, including Embedded and Good Practice mitigation;</li> <li>(e) Assessment of effects during construction, operation and decommissioning, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• evaluation of sensitivity of receptors</li> <li>• effects on local landscape</li> <li>• visual effects for residential, users of community areas, users of PROW, transport routes and visitors to selected receptors;</li> <li>• night-time views</li> </ul> </li> <li>(f) Additional mitigation during construction and operation (Table G10-9);</li> <li>(g) Residual effects for landscape character (Table G10-11) and visual (Table G10-12) for construction and operation?</li> </ul>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q7.0.14</b>	The Applicant	ADD	In relation to residential properties at Llanfachraeth (R24, R25, R27, R28, R30, R33, R36 and R40) and any other residential properties which experience very large or large adverse effects from light spillage during both construction and operation of the A5025 Off-line highway improvements explain how these impacts would be mitigated in practical terms with the aid of plans and sections. [APP-313].
<b>Q7.0.15</b>	The Applicant	ADD	Residents of Plas Ellen [RR-063] are concerned about (a) noise from increased traffic on A5025; (b) visual impact & noise from proposed bypass; and (c) closer proximity to our property, of proposed bypass.  Explain how this situation would be mitigated including whether noise abatement barriers to the east side of the A5025 are to be installed.
<b>Q7.0.16</b>	The Applicant	Q	Chapter G1 states that operational lighting is required for section 1 (para 1.3.46) and the power station access road (para 1.3.197) – Please can you provide design drawings for this lighting or indicate where in the documentation this information can be found and indicate how this lighting would be secured.
<b>Q7.0.17</b>	The Applicant	Q	Chapter D1 para 1.6.97 refers to heavy lift cranes of different heights (“two very heavy lift cranes up to 270m high, one mobile very heavy lift crane up to 220m high, approximately 40 tower cranes up to 192m high and a large number of smaller mobile cranes”). The heights do not accord with the max heights provided in Table D1-4; it is assumed this discrepancy is because of measurements in Table D1-4 are AOD (and it is these heights that accord with Tables WN2B & WN2C of the draft DCO). Similarly, the number of cranes in Table D1-4 do not accord with paragraph 1.6.97; it is therefore unclear how many would be in use at any one time.

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			(a) Is there a maximum number of cranes proposed? What would be the maximum crane height?
<b>Q7.0.18</b>	The Applicant	WF	Explain how the 'proposal to create artificial drumlins and 2 metre perimeter fencing close to us will have a permanent impact upon our environment' will be mitigated during construction and operation [RR-007].
<b>Q7.0.19</b>	The Applicant	WF	Provide a CGI of the main site and WNDA area at 5-year operational stage at maximum parameters (i.e. maximum height of buildings and structures and minimum height of landscape re-modelling, including new 'drumlins') from the bend in the A5025 at GR 3170 8866.
<b>8.</b>	<b>Marine Environment</b>		
<b>Q8.0.1</b>	NRW and IACC	Q	Are IACC and NRW content that the surveys to inform the baseline assessments of the marine environment given in Chapter B13 [APP-078] are sufficient? If not, why not?
<b>Q8.0.2</b>	The Applicant	Q	Can the Applicant explain the extent to which it considers the marine ecological baseline remains accurate considering that surveys were taken in or before 2016?
<b>Q8.0.3</b>	NRW	WC/WD	Is NRW content with the assessment in Chapter D13 [APP-132] Table D13-4 of the value of marine environment receptors, e.g. rocky shore and pool as medium?
<b>Q8.0.4</b>	The Applicant	WC/WD	Can the Applicant identify VP-1 on Figure D13-12 [APP-238]?
<b>Q8.0.5</b>	The Applicant	WD	Can the Applicant clarify the measures taken to prevent polluted water from the concrete batching plant from entering the sea?
<b>Q8.0.6</b>	The Applicant	WC/WD	Are the metrics used for the assessment of underwater noise consistent



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			with the Marine Strategy Framework Directive and the UK guidance documents?
<b>Q8.0.7</b>	The Applicant	WD	Chapter D13 para 13.5.8 states that run off would be treated if pH levels exceed 8, would this be secured in the CoCP?
<b>Q8.0.8</b>	The Applicant	WD	How would monitoring of suspended solids in seawater, Chapter D13, para13.5.27, be secured?
<b>Q8.0.9</b>	The Applicant	WD	How would maximum lighting levels Chapter D13, para 13.5.74, be secured?
<b>Q8.0.10</b>	The Applicant	WD	Can the Applicant confirm that the dredging plan referred to in Chapter D13 para 13.5.78 would be secured in the CoCP?
<b>Q8.0.11</b>	The Applicant	WD	In Chapter D13, para 13.6.13, limits for sewage discharge are given, how would these be secured?
<b>Q8.0.12</b>	The Applicant	WD	Chapter D13 para 13.6.45 gives exclusion limits for grazing, how would these be secured?
<b>Q8.0.13</b>	The Applicant	WD	Chapter D13 para 13.6.281 gives worst case hammer parameters used for modelling. Are these parameter restrictions secured in the dDCO?
<b>Q8.0.14</b>	The Applicant	WD	Chapter D13 para 13.6.366 states that JNCC best practice for piling will be adopted. What measures would be taken for other noise impacts during construction?
<b>Q8.0.15</b>	NRW	WD	Can NRW confirm that they are content that the Disposal Site described in Chapter D13 section 13.7 would be the best available site and would have

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			sufficient capacity? If not, why not?
<b>Q8.0.16</b>	NRW	WD	Is NRW content that the additional mitigation outlined in Chapter D13 para13.8.6 would be sufficient to reduce the significance from moderate to minor for the introduction and spread of non-native species? If not, why not?
<b>Q8.0.17</b>	NRW	WC/WD	Is NRW content that the enhancement described in Chapter D13 para13.8.8 would be sufficient to reduce the significance from moderate to minor for effects on subtidal and intertidal habitats from direct loss under the footprint of the Marine Works?
<b>Q8.0.18</b>	The Applicant	WC	How would the enhancement measures for ecological enhancement described in Chapter D13 para 13.8.8 and the monitoring programme in 13.8.9 be secured?
<b>Q8.0.19</b>	The Applicant	WD	Para 8.3.12 of the Marine Works sub CoCP ([APP-416]) details noise limitation measures for Tern. Can the Applicant describe how day to day decisions would be made?
<b>Q8.0.20</b>	The Applicant	WC	NRW in its RR [RR-088] para 4.2.2 advises that further information is required to demonstrate that benthic invertebrates in the Skerries Water Body would not be at risk of deterioration. Can the Applicant provide this information?
<b>Q8.0.21</b>	The Applicant and NRW	WC/WD	NRW in para 4.2.9 of its RR does not agree that "there are no effects predicted on the bathing water at Cemaes, and that the Wylfa Newydd Project is considered to be compliant with the Bathing Water Directive". Can the two parties resolve NRW's concerns?
<b>Q8.0.22</b>	NRW	WC	In its RR para 4.3.3 NRW identifies potential increased impingement of fish

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			by the creation of a sheltered bay. Can NRW suggest how this can be predicted?
<b>Q8.0.23</b>	The Applicant and NRW	WD	In its RR para 4.6.1 NRW advise that there is insufficient evidence to demonstrate that cumulative effects of the project on benthic habitats are insignificant? Can the Applicant comment?
<b>Q8.0.24</b>	The Applicant, IACC and NRW	Q	<p>Paragraph 6.4.127 of the Planning Statement [APP-406] makes reference to the fact that there is a flood risk for the proposed Park and Ride. It then states that 'Proposed additional mitigation measures would be implemented...' Can the applicant confirm what these additional mitigation measures would be and whether they would be implemented?</p> <p>Can the IACC and NRW confirm what additional measures they consider would be necessary and how they would wish to see these additional measures secured?</p>
<b>Q8.0.25</b>	IACC and NRW	Q	The requirements as currently worded rely on the CoCP [APP-414-APP-421] to deliver the detail with regards to drainage strategies and flood mitigation plans. Whilst the CoCPs refer to the drafting of these documents by the applicant it does not appear that they would have to be submitted to or be approved by either the IACC or NRW (eg section 10.5 of the WNC0CP [APP-414]). Given the concerns in relation to drainage and flooding (particularly in relation to the proposed Park and Ride) are the IACC and NRW satisfied with these proposals and if they are not what mechanisms would they want to see put in place to control these elements of the scheme?
<b>Q8.0.26</b>	The Applicant, IACC and NRW	WA	<p>The Wylfa Newydd Code of Operational Practice [APP-421] in 10.3 refers to the development of a flood mitigation plan for the operational phase.</p> <p>Applicant – would this plan be drawn up in conjunction with IACC and NRW</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			and would it be submitted for approval to IACC and/or NRW?  IACC/NRW – would you want this plan to be submitted to you for approval?
<b>Q8.0.27</b>	The Applicant	WF	ES D13 para 13.5.70 is somewhat contradictory with regards to the discharge point for the fish recovery and return system. It first states that the location has yet to be determined but is stated to be located 'to the north of the eastern breakwater in the region of the -6.0m contour'. It subsequently states the discharge point would be fixed to the northern face of the eastern breakwater. Can the Applicant clarify?
<b>9.</b>	<b>Noise and Vibration</b>		
<b>Q9.0.1</b>	The Applicant	Q	Paragraph 6.4.13 of ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125] notes that final reinstatement activities would begin in month 112 i.e. after the end of year 9 (108 months). This appears to conflict with the information in the Gantt style chart at Figure A2-6 Construction Timeline of ES document A2 [APP-056], which indicates that final reinstatement would take place in years 7 – 9. Please explain this apparent discrepancy.
<b>Q9.0.2</b>	The Applicant	WF	Paras 6.4.39 – 6.4.41 ES document B6 [APP-071] states that a spreadsheet technique, that employs an empirical prediction method, has been used to estimate vibration levels. These paragraphs suggest that the approach is justified as the accurate prediction of vibration propagation requires complex computational models populated with detailed input data, and that this is beyond the scope of a vibration assessment at this stage of the proposal. It is also stated that empirical prediction methods tend to overestimate vibration levels so therefore represent a conservative method. Please confirm whether this approach was agreed with relevant consultees.

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q9.0.3</b>	IACC and NRW	WF	Paragraph 6.4.61 of ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125] identifies a number of potential noise sources that have been scoped out of the assessment on the basis that they are likely to have negligible effects. It is not indicated whether this approach was agreed with the relevant consultation bodies. Please could IACC and NRW confirm the extent to which they are happy that all relevant noise sources have been taken into account?
<b>Q9.0.4</b>	IACC and NRW	WF	In respect of potential noise from the fans used to push air through the reactor building stacks, paragraph 6.4.62 of ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125] notes that the exhaust system is not yet specified and the noise levels are unknown, and that a combined sound power worst case scenario of approximately 110dB(A) has been assumed based on professional judgement. It is not indicated if this has been discussed with relevant consultation bodies. Please could IACC and NRW indicate if they have any objections to the assumptions made to establish the worst case for the exhaust system, ie the level of 110dB(A).
<b>Q9.0.5</b>	The Applicant	WF	Paragraph 6.4.63 of ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125] sets out the building platform heights that were used for the noise modelling. These do not reflect either the minimum or maximum parameters for any of the zones as specified in Table WN5 of Requirement WN5 in Schedule 3 to the dDCO [APP-029]. Please explain the basis for the platform heights that were chosen for modelling purposes.
<b>Q9.0.6</b>	The Applicant	Q	Table D6-18 (on Page D6-41) of ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125] presents the predicted significance of construction noise effects at residential receptor groups A – H in terms of the number of dwellings that may be affected according to each magnitude of change criterion (large to negligible). The subsequent information summarises the results, however

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>there appear to be some omissions/discrepancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• no reference is made to receptor group C, although of the 5 groups for which there would potentially be a large magnitude of change it contains the second highest number of dwellings (8), resulting in a major significant effect;</li> <li>• within the table, 416 dwellings (the largest number affected in any one location) are identified in receptor group H as experiencing a moderate significant effect due to a small magnitude of change, although it is stated that the assessment will focus mainly on additional mitigation for properties in groups B and F on the basis that the greatest improvements might be made at those locations;</li> <li>• in paragraph 6.5.12, 814 dwellings in total appear to be anticipated to experience a 'moderate significant' effect due to a small magnitude of change, although 815 are identified in the table; and</li> <li>• in paragraph 6.5.15, 44 dwellings in total are anticipated to experience a minor effect (not significant) due to a negligible magnitude of change, although 43 are identified in the table.</li> </ul> <p>Please could the Applicant explain/clarify these apparent discrepancies and any implications for the assessment, particularly in terms of the need to mitigate any significant effects?</p>
<b>Q9.0.7</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>Tables D6-19, D6-20 and D6-21 of ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125] present the equivalent information as above for hotels and schools (also identified as high-sensitivity receptors); community buildings and places of worship (identified as medium-sensitivity); and commercial buildings and offices, respectively. However, the names and locations are not identified. In order to provide the context for these receptors, the Applicant is requested to provide this information or state where it may be</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			found in the application documents.
<b>Q9.0.8</b>	The Applicant	Q	In relation to operation, Table D6-26 (page 52) of ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125] is described as presenting the initial estimated impact level during normal operations for the most affected property within each residential receptor group (prior to modification for relevant contextual factors). However, it does not include receptor group H. Please could the Applicant provide this information or explain the apparent omission.
<b>Q9.0.9</b>	IACC	Q	Please could IACC state whether they agree with the Applicant's assumption in paragraph 6.5.111 of ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125] that emergency alarm tests would not result in a likely significant effect at nearby noise sensitive receptors, despite the apparent lack of detail to inform this view?
<b>Q9.0.10</b>	The Applicant	Q	In relation to the construction of the radioactive waste facilities, the Applicant concludes in paragraph 6.5.115 of ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125] that the potential effects are not likely to be significant. It is also stated that the plant list and programme for the construction of the spent fuel store have not yet been compiled and as a result no noise or vibration modelling has been undertaken. Please could the Applicant justify the conclusions reached as to the absence of likely significant effects from activities associated with the waste facilities when there is so little detail currently available regarding their construction?
<b>Q9.0.11</b>	The Applicant	Q	The predicted significant noise and vibration residual effects are set out in Table D6-35 in Section 6.7 (Pages D6-77 to D6-82) of ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125]. Para 6.7.2 states that no significant residual effects were identified for the operational or decommissioning

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>phases, however this conflicts with the information contained in Table D6-35, which identifies a major effect during the decommissioning phase. The significant residual effects are identified as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a major effect at 321 and a moderate effect at 850 residential properties from exposure to construction noise (mainly in receptor groups B and H);</li> <li>• a major effect at 1 hotel and a moderate effect at 4 hotels from exposure to construction noise;</li> <li>• a moderate effect at 1 school from exposure to construction noise;</li> <li>• a moderate effect at 1 place of worship from exposure to construction noise;</li> <li>• a moderate effect at 1 commercial property and 5 offices from exposure to construction noise;</li> <li>• a moderate effect at residential properties, and other buildings discussed in (ES D6) Section 6.5.29 onwards, which are in close proximity to the WNDA from exposure to construction vibration; and</li> <li>• a major effect on residential properties, schools and hotels during the decommissioning phase from exposure to decommissioning noise.</li> </ul> <p>In respect of the sixth bullet above in relation to construction vibration, the pre-mitigation effect is described for all receptors as major, which is reduced to moderate for all receptors following additional mitigation. However, in Section 6.5 the pre-mitigation effects for a number of the receptors are predicted to be moderate. It is unclear to which receptors it refers. Please could the Applicant identify the specific receptors for which a significant residual effect is predicted in respect of construction vibration and the level of significance of the effect?</p>
<b>Q9.0.12</b>	IACC	Q	It is not stated whether the list of projects included in the cumulative



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			effects assessment were agreed with relevant stakeholders. Please could IACC state whether they are satisfied that the list encompasses all the developments that could contribute to a cumulative significant effect together with the proposed development, and if not, identify other developments that they consider to be relevant.
<b>Q9.0.13</b>	The Applicant	WF	In respect of the Power Station transformers, paragraphs 6.4.89 to 6.4.92 of ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125] describe the noise near field limits that are set out in the Wylfa Newydd Code of Operational Practice ('CoOP') [APP-421], and notes that these have been discussed with the IACC, although it is not indicated if they were agreed. Paragraphs 6.4.95 – 6.4.97 state that the modelling indicates that the limits would be exceeded at particular receptors and that there are various ways of achieving the required reduction. An example is provided. The information in the CoOP does not provide any more details of specific mitigation and therefore certainty that effective measures would be implemented. Please could the Applicant identify the proposed mitigation measures, set out where they are secured in the dDCO [APP-029] or other application document, and justify the confidence they have in their efficacy?
<b>Q9.0.14</b>	IACC	Q	Paragraph 6.4.26 of ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125] states that compliance with the Noise and Vibration Management Strategies set out in the Wylfa Newydd Code of Construction Practice ('CoCP') and the MPSSSCoCP [APP-414 and APP-415, respectively] would be a requirement of the contract between Horizon and the contractors appointed to undertake the works. Section 2.4 of APP-414 notes that the contractors would be required to prepare Construction Environmental Management Plans ('CEMPs') accordingly, which would be 'reviewed and accepted' by the Applicant prior to commencement of the works. Please could IACC indicate if they are content with this approach?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q9.0.15</b>	IACC and NRW	Q	Section 6.4 of ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125] provides a high level description of the proposed mitigation measures and states in relation to a number of them that they will be implemented 'as far as practicable'. Although cross-reference is made to the Wylfa Newydd Code of Construction Practice [APP-414] no more specific information is provided within that document. Are IACC and NRW satisfied that implementation of the proposed measures is sufficiently certain?
<b>Q9.0.16</b>	The Applicant	Q	Paragraph 6.4.28 of ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125] states that heavy plant and equipment would comply with the noise limits quoted in the Outdoor Noise Directive 2000/14/EC, as set out in the Wylfa Newydd Code of Construction Practice (WNCocP) [APP-414]. However the noise limits have not been specified in the WNCocP. Please could the Applicant provide this information or identify its location within the application documents.
<b>Q9.0.17</b>	The Applicant	WF	Paragraph 6.4.44 of ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125] notes that key potentially noisy activities would be restricted during the more sensitive evening, night-time and weekend periods, but provides little information on what these would comprise and how this would be achieved. No additional information is provided in the MPSSSCoCP [APP-415]. Please could the Applicant provide details of all the activities that it is proposed would be restricted and how such restrictions would work?
<b>Q9.0.18</b>	Interested Parties	Q	No justification has been provided in ES document D6 Noise and vibration [APP-125] for why the significant residual effects cannot be mitigated further. Please could Interested Parties state if they are content that the mitigation proposed is appropriate and if not, why not.

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q9.0.19</b>	The Applicant	Q	Paragraph 4.3.6 of the representation from Welsh Government [RR-092] highlights the contexts provided by: the Well Being of Future Generations Act 2015; the 2017 statutory policy guidance on local air quality management in Wales; and, Welsh Government's draft Noise and soundscape action plan 2018-2023 (currently in consultation). How has the Environmental Statement addressed these contexts in relation to the development's potential impacts on air quality and noise?
<b>Q9.0.20</b>	The Applicant	Q	Paragraph 1.6.105 of the <i>Application Reference Number: 6.4.1 ES Volume D – WNDA Development D1 – Proposed Development</i> [REF] states that '...near neighbours would receive appropriate notification of periods of blasting...'. Who would be considered a 'near neighbour' and what would be considered 'appropriate notification'?
<b>Q9.0.21</b>	The Applicant	Q	With reference to Application Reference Number: <i>6.4.6 1 ES Volume D – WNDA Development D6 – Noise and vibration</i> [REF], to what extent would blasts vary in scale e.g. size of charge and tonnage of rock displaced? Who would be likely to hear the blasting and how frequently would it be expected to occur?
<b>Q9.0.22</b>	The Applicant	ADE	Respond to concerns raised within representations (including RR-100, RR-113 and RR-106), regarding the possible impacts associated with topsoil stripping and removal.
<b>Q9.0.23</b>	The Applicant	Q	Please respond to concerns raised by Interested Parties (RR-101, RR-119, RR-040, RR-117, RR-118 and RR-007) regarding: the effectiveness of mitigation for those who would experience impacts (some of which may be major or moderate impacts) from the proposed development; and, the effectiveness of the Neighbourhood Support Scheme

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q9.0.24</b>	Cyngor Cymuned Mechell Community Council	WF	In your RR [RR-040] you have identified that you consider that a number of properties in Mynydd Mechell would be affected by noise and disturbance. Can you provide further detail as to how you reached this conclusion and identify which properties would be affected.
<b>Q9.0.25</b>	The Applicant	WF	Artificial drumlins are proposed as a means of mitigating noise. Could the applicant provide examples of where artificial drumlins have been used successfully to mitigate noise and if possible provide the opportunity for the ExA to visit a site where drumlins similar to those proposed are in operation?
<b>Q9.0.26</b>	The Applicant	WF	The WNCocP[APP-414] proposes a Local Noise Mitigation Strategy (LNMS) for, amongst other things, properties that would be adversely affected by noise relating to traffic, subject to a number of criteria (paragraph 8.3.13). Can the Applicant explain what mechanisms are proposed to deal with disputes or where a claim is rejected, eg where a property owner considers that there is an issue but HNP consider that it does not meet the criteria set out in paragraph 8.3.13 or where the property owner considers that the improvements proposed (paragraph 8.3.17) are unacceptable?
<b>Q9.0.27</b>	The Applicant	WF	Paragraph 8.4.3 [APP-414] sets out what would happen should noise and vibration exceed the set levels. Can the Applicant explain: (a) Why cease work is not included on this list? (b) If they considered whether baffles/portable noise barriers should be included in bullet point 4 and if not whether they should be included as a potential measure? (c) What is meant by 'other feasible and reasonable measures' and how could this be further defined?
<b>Q9.0.28</b>	The Applicant	ADB	Paragraph 8.3.1 of the Park and Ride sub-CoCP [APP-418] sets out a

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>number of good practice measures to minimise noise. Can the Applicant:</p> <p>(a) Confirm that the Park and Ride will be laid out in such a way as to maximise traffic flows and minimise the need for shuttle buses or other vehicles with reversing beepers to reverse; and</p> <p>(b) Advise what measures would be put in place to minimise noise from reversing beepers.</p>
<b>Q9.0.29</b>	The Applicant	ADC	<p>A number of good practice mitigation measures to minimise noise are proposed at paragraph 8.2.4 of the Logistics Centre sub CoCP [APP-419]. Can the Applicant explain how delivery drivers will be made aware of these measures and how they will be enforced on-site?</p>
<b>Q9.0.30</b>	The Applicant	WF	<p>ES B6 para 6.4.82 states that "It is not possible to predict blasting vibrations until blasting designs are complete. Therefore, this assessment has set out limit values for blasting vibration, which are considered to result in negligible or minor effects, and all blasts would be designed to be below these thresholds." These thresholds are provided in section 8.2 of the Main Power Station site sub-CoCP. Explain how such a design process would ensure vibration levels are to be maintained below these thresholds during construction?</p>
<b>Q9.0.31</b>	The Applicant and IACC	ADC	<p>A number of schools (eg Cemaes Primary School) have been highlighted as potentially being affected by noise and it is stated that measures may be needed to mitigate these effects. Can the Applicant confirm:</p> <p>(a) whether when the schools were assessed regard was had to the effect of the proposal on their external areas (eg playgrounds and sports pitches);</p> <p>(b) whether any of these areas would be affected; and</p> <p>(c) if mitigation measures would be required what form these measures</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>would take to enable pupils at the school to continue to play or participate in sport outside?</p> <p>Does the IACC have any comments they wish to make?</p>
<b>10.</b>	<b>Socio Economic</b>		
<b>10.1</b>	<b>Accommodation</b>		
<b>Q10.1.1</b>	The Applicant	WF	<p>In Table 5.2 of the Applicant's Sustainability Statement can the Applicant advise on:</p> <p>Objective 3 – the relevancy of jobs, skills, education and training</p> <p>Objective 4 – why accommodation is not a negative against the benchmark during construction</p> <p>Objective 5 – sustainable transport is a major positive, while there is no mention in the Sustainability Statement of electric or hybrid vehicles, particularly relevant to buses.</p> <p>Objective 13 – accommodation is minor positive but the description given in para 5.15.10 suggests yellow, a range of possible positive and negative outcomes.</p>
<b>Q10.1.2</b>	IACC	ADA	Do you consider that the proposed site campus would comply with policy PS 10 of the JLDP? If not, why not?
<b>Q10.1.3</b>	The Applicant	ADA	<p>Respond, with evidence, to IACC's [RR-020] concerns that:</p> <p>(a) There is insufficient justification of the need to accommodate up to 4000 workers on site;</p> <p>(b) Why alternative locations for the temporary accommodation, including other potential sites within the NWDA and those elsewhere have been rejected;</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			(c) The on and off-site leisure and other facilities are insufficient for a workforce of 9000?
<b>Q10.1.4</b>	The Applicant	ADA	<p>Paragraph 4.3.14 of the Planning Statement [APP-406] refers to the site campus being provided in a 'phased manner' and the ES [APP-088– paragraph 1.4.9 and APP-122 – paragraph 3.4.6] refers to the bed spaces becoming available once workforce thresholds have been met as set out in the dDCO requirement.</p> <p>Can the applicant</p> <p>(a) Indicate where in the submitted documentation further information on this can be found or provide further details as to how this phasing will work and whether it would be linked to the WAMS?</p> <p>(b) Indicate which is the relevant requirement and where are the thresholds referred to?</p> <p>(c) What the workforce numbers would be prior to the need for the site campus being triggered and what the accommodation arrangements for these workers would be?</p> <p>(d) Why there is a 5 year build programme for the site campus.</p> <p>(e) When in the build programme/phasing the health and social facilities element (including the MUGAs) of the site campus would be delivered and if this is not in the first phases what alternative arrangements would be made for workers health and social needs during this period.</p>
<b>Q10.1.5</b>	IACC and Applicant	ADA	<p>Reference has been made in the RR [RR-020] to an extant planning permission (LPA ref: 46C427K/TR/EIA/ECON) for accommodation for 3,500 workers at Cae Glas and Kingsland Sites in Holyhead.</p> <p>(a) Can the IACC provide a copy of the decision notice and committee</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>report for this planning permission and any comments they may wish to make on this scheme; and</p> <p>(b) Can the applicant expand further their reasons (Section 2.2 APP-122] as to why they have chosen to provide an on-site campus for workers rather than use the consented sites which are available now in planning terms and would deliver a long term legacy?</p>
<b>Q10.1.6</b>	IACC and Applicant	WA	<p>Once operational 1,000 temporary workers would be required every nine months to deal with planned outages for a 25-30 day period. The effects of this have not been assessed as outages were undertaken at Wylfa A without undue effect on the tourism stock (para 1.5.125 [APP-088]).</p> <p>(a) How many workers were required for the outages at Wylfa A and how frequently were they undertaken?</p> <p>(b) Where were these workers accommodated?</p> <p>(c) Were outages for Wylfa A timed so that they did not occur during the August tourism peak?</p> <p>(d) Would outages for Wylfa B be timed to avoid the August tourism peak and if the IACC consider that this is necessary would this need to be controlled by a requirement?</p>
<b>Q10.1.7</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>Some workforce accommodation is proposed to be drawn from the headroom available from Caravan and Camping accommodation. Can the applicant:</p> <p>(a) Clarify whether the headroom numbers stated are only from permanent pitches (ie static/mobile caravans and permanently erected tents) or does it include temporary pitches (ie where a caravan would need to be brought onto site or a tent pitched)?</p> <p>(b) If the number does include temporary pitches can the applicant</p>



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>provide figures for temporary and permanent pitches and for the permanent pitches indicate how many of those would be in a caravan or static/mobile home and how many would be in a tent.</p> <p>(c) Provide examples of other projects where it was considered acceptable to use tents to accommodate workers?</p> <p>(d) Reference is made to workers potentially bringing their own caravans. Looking at other similar projects elsewhere is there any data as to indicate how many this could be and where they would locate?</p>
<b>Q10.1.8</b>	The Applicant, IACC and GCC	Q	<p>Policy TAI 14 of the JLDP covers the use of caravans, mobile homes and other non-permanent forms of accommodation for temporary workers. However, this is subject to a number of criteria.</p> <p>(a) Can the applicant confirm if, when they assessed camping and caravanning headroom, these criteria were considered and only those sites/pitches that met the criteria were included in the final calculations and if not, why not?</p> <p>(b) Can the IACC and GCC indicate how many caravan/camp sites in the KSA they consider would meet the criteria set out in the policy and what headroom they would deliver?</p>
<b>Q10.1.9</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>(a) Can you confirm whether or not the figures provided for bed spaces within the private rented sector include bed spaces that would come from holiday self-catering accommodation or second homes?</p> <p>(b) Explain how you have differentiated between private rented and holiday cottages/flats?</p> <p>(c) Provide details of what safeguards you have put in place to ensure that double counting of holiday cottages/flats as both 'tourist accommodation', 'private rented sector' or 'second homes' has not occurred?</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q10.1.10</b>	GCC and Bangor University	Q	<p>Do you have any concerns regarding the effect of the demand for temporary worker accommodation may have on the private rented stock in Bangor that is currently used by/available to University students?</p> <p>(a) What is the current number of students at Bangor University?  (b) Of these how many need accommodation?  (c) Of those who need accommodation how many can be accommodated in halls of residence or university accommodation and how many need to use the private rented sector?  (d) Does the University have a student accommodation strategy and if so does it factor in the potential impact of the application and are there any proposals in the future for the University either on its own or in partnership to provide additional student accommodation?  (e) Do you have any comments you wish to make on this matter to the ExA?</p>
<b>Q10.1.11</b>	IACC	Q	<p>In your RR [RR-020] (paragraph 5.10.1) you states that you consider that the figures used by HNP in determining the capacity of existing accommodation is unreliable and overestimates capacity. What evidence can you provide to verify this statement?</p>
<b>Q10.1.12</b>	IACC, GCC and the Welsh Government	Q	<p>Do you consider that the proposed mitigation measures set out in Section 1.6 of the ES volume C [APP-088] with regards to monitoring and managing housing and supporting the provision of additional housing would be satisfactory?</p>
<b>Q10.1.13</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>Paragraph 1.5.37 [APP-088] estimates that approximately 600 workers would seek to move into owner-occupied stock (ie buy a house/flat). Can you confirm if these would be construction or operational workers and if so,</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			can you provide separate figures for each?
<b>Q10.1.14</b>	IACC, GCC, Grŵp Cynefin and Pennaf Housing Group	Q	<p>(a) Please provide details of the amount of affordable housing that has been constructed within the KSA in the last five years broken down into housing type (eg flat, house), size (eg 1 bed, 2 bed) and tenure type (eg social rented, intermediate or discount market rent);</p> <p>(b) What is the current demand for affordable housing in the KSA?</p> <p>(c) Has sufficient housing been provided to meet the current need for affordable housing and if so is there currently any spare capacity?</p> <p>(d) What measures are proposed to ensure local people retain access to affordable housing?</p>
<b>Q10.1.15</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>(a) How would the Workforce Accommodation Management Strategy(WAMS) be funded?</p> <p>(b) Would workers be charged to use the service?</p> <p>(c) Would accommodation providers be charged to register/use the service?</p>
<b>Q10.1.16</b>	The Applicant	ADA	<p>The Workforce Management Strategy [APP-413] indicates that there would be a range of social, leisure and sporting facilities on the site campus in order to occupy workers during their free time. Can the Applicant confirm:</p> <p>(a) What facilities would be provided on site.</p> <p>(b) Whether these facilities would be free and if not whether they would be subsidised.</p> <p>(c) Whether home-based workers would be eligible to use the facilities</p> <p>(d) Indicate how they have calculated that they have sufficient facilities to meet the needs of the proposed 4,000 or 9,000 workers (if they are to be open to home based workers).</p> <p>(e) Indicate whether or not they would be available for use by the wider</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			community (paragraph 2.3.1 BP18). (f) Indicate whether any consideration has been given to whether the social facilities could be constructed in such a way that they could be retained during operation as a legacy benefit for the operational workforce/local community?
<b>Q10.1.17</b>	The Applicant, IACC and GCC	Q	The accommodation strategy assumes that housing developers would anticipate and respond to the demand created by the Wylfa Newydd Project. Given the lead time for construction are any of the parties pro-actively engaging with developers on this matter to ensure that the housing stock needed is delivered on-time and at an affordable price? How would the proposed Housing Fund help with the delivery of this stock?
<b>Q10.1.18</b>	The Applicant	Q	The proposed accommodation strategy is predicated on 2,000 workers being home-based. Paragraph 1.5.21 of the ES [APP-088] states that if this occurred that demand could exceed supply for 'certain accommodation stock types'. Please clarify which stock types would be affected.
<b>Q10.1.19</b>	The Applicant	Q	Whilst the availability of accommodation has been looked at with regard to affordability has any assessment of the suitability of accommodation for workers been undertaken? If so what criteria were used?
<b>Q10.1.20</b>	The Applicant	Q	Availability of accommodation is referred to as headroom. (a) Can the applicant clarify what is meant by headroom? (b) Given that the majority of workers (particularly during construction) would be single individuals, please confirm how a double bedroom has been counted eg would a two bed unit be shown as having a headroom of two or four persons?
<b>Q10.1.21</b>	The Applicant	Q	Reference is made to the fact that licensing and planning restrictions may

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
	and IACC		<p>exist for caravan and camping sites regarding occupancy.</p> <p>(a) Do similar restrictions (eg planning conditions) exist for other property within the tourism stock such as holiday cottages?</p> <p>(b) If they do how would this effect the availability figures and has this been factored in?</p> <p>(c) Would the IACC support the temporary removal/suspension of these conditions/licence restrictions to enable stock to be used for worker accommodation during the construction phase?</p>
<b>Q10.1.22</b>	The Applicant, IACC and GCC	Q	<p>Section 9.3 of the ES [APP-412] makes a number of statements as to what the Housing Fund 'could' do. Can the applicant confirm:</p> <p>(a) What it 'would' do?</p> <p>(b) How it would operate and who would make decisions about how the funds would be most effectively spent?</p> <p>(c) What funds it would have available and would these be available at the outset or would they be phased across the project as a whole?</p> <p>(d) What would happen in the event of a dispute?</p> <p>Can the IACC and GCC confirm if they consider that the Housing Fund would help boost the supply of housing in the area? If not, why not?</p>
<b>Q10.1.23</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>It is envisaged that an element of accommodation would be delivered through the use of latent accommodation (spare rooms, annexes etc). Can the applicant set out what measures they would propose with regards to safeguarding?</p>
<b>Q10.1.24</b>	IACC and GCC	Q	<p>The WAMS [APP-412] would not be a certified document albeit that some of the measures it contains would be secured through other mechanisms such</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			as Section 106. Are the IACC and GCC satisfied that the WAMS should not be a certified document?
<b>Q10.1.25</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>The WAMS [APP-412] states (paragraph 1.1.5) states that as there will be a number of other large scale infrastructure projects under construction in the UK at the same time as the proposal. As a result workers would have a choice about where they work and consequently the accommodation offer for this project would need to be attractive.</p> <p>Can the applicant explain how this is reflected in the design, layout and facilities of the site campus (with particular reference to the size of the rooms)?</p>
<b>Q10.1.26</b>	The Applicant	Q	Paragraph 2.1.1 of the WAMS [APP-412] sets out three overarching aims for the WAMS and this includes 'providing a positive legacy'. Can the applicant advise how this would be achieved through the site campus which is a temporary facility?
<b>Q10.1.27</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>(a) How many people have used the Property Price Support Plan since it came into effect in April 2015?</p> <p>(b) How is an eligible property defined?</p> <p>(c) Is there any mechanism in the event of a dispute as to whether a property is (i) eligible or (ii) over the valuations?</p> <p>(d) Is there a mechanism to review the £5,000 sum towards the costs of moving and professional fees to account for annual rises in the costs of providing these services?</p>
<b>Q10.1.28</b>	IACC	Q	The ES [APP-088] refers to the fact that the number of houses being built on Ynys Môn has decreased by 60% since 2008-2009.

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>Can the IACC provide the following information:</p> <p>(a) Did the IACC have five years' worth of housing land supply during this period? If so what was it and was it met?</p> <p>(b) provide an update on the current 5 year housing land supply position?</p> <p>(c) advise whether it is a supply side issue (ie a lack of planning consents) or a delivery issue (ie developers are not implementing planning consents) for any shortfalls?</p>
<b>Q10.1.29</b>	IACC and the Applicant	Q	<p>The WAMS [APP-412] makes reference to the fact that the current JLDP housing targets reflect the forecast for population growth that will occur as a result of the Energy Island Project (EIP). Can IACC explain:</p> <p>(a) What is the EIP?</p> <p>(b) The WAMS states that this is the proposal would be the biggest single element of the EIP. What are the other elements?</p> <p>Applicant – Can you confirm that the cumulative effects of the EIP were taken into account when drawing up the application documents?</p>
<b>Q10.1.30</b>	GCC	Q	<p>The KSA also includes Gwynedd but no information regarding the number of new homes being built in Gwynedd appears to have been provided.</p> <p>Can GCC:</p> <p>(a) Detail how many homes were built between 2008/9 and 2015/16 and whether this represents an increase or decrease on previous years?</p> <p>(b) Advise whether you currently have a 5 year housing land supply position; and</p> <p>(c) Indicate whether the current 5 year housing land supply position</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			includes an allowance for the housing needs that would be generated by the proposal?
<b>Q10.1.31</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	The ES refers to the fact that Ynys Môn has the ninth highest proportion of second homes for local authorities in England and Wales (paragraph 1.3.9 [APP-088]). How many second homes are there on Ynys Môn and what proportion of the housing stock is this? Does the definition of second homes include holiday lets?
<b>10.2</b>	<b>Employment</b>		
<b>Q10.2.1</b>	The Applicant	Q	Figure C1-5 of the ES [APP-088] - please explain what the difference between a labourer and a general labourer is and why it was considered necessary to differentiate between the two.
<b>Q10.2.2</b>	IACC, GCC and Welsh Government	Q	The Jobs and Skill Strategy [APP-411] would not be secured by the dDCO. Given this sets out how Horizon would work with stakeholders to fund additional training to meet the skill needs of the project are the IACC, GCC and the Welsh Government satisfied with this approach?
<b>Q10.2.3</b>	The Applicant	Q	Paragraph 6.2.9 of the Planning Statement [APP-406] refers to home-based workers –  (a) Can you please explain what is meant by a home-based worker, where in the documentation this is defined and if 1,260 of these would be from Ynys Môn where would the remaining 740 home-based workers be based? (b) Is the home-based workers target in relation to the project as a whole (construction, operation and decommissioning) or only construction? (c) Given the operational workforce is indicated to be 850 – what is the



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			target for home-based workers for this element of the scheme? (d)
<b>Q10.2.4</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>Paragraph 1.3.7 of the ES Volume C [APP-088] states that data shows Ynys Môn's resident workforce contains a relatively high proportion of high-level skills (standard occupational classification groups 1-3).</p> <p>(a) Of the roles set out in figure C1-5 of ES volume C [APP-088] how many of these would be classified as high-level skills?  (b) If the majority of these roles are low-level skills how is the applicant proposing to fulfil these positions from the local workforce?  (c) How does the Jobs and Skills Strategy [APP-411] reflect the training required given the current skill levels of the home-based workers?</p>
<b>Q10.2.5</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>Paragraph 3.2.33 of the Planning Statement [APP-406] states that around a third of the operational workforce will be required to be skilled to a technical level.</p> <p>(a) Please define what is meant by skilled to a technical level?  (b) How many of the construction workforce would be required to be skilled to a technical level?  (c) How many of the operational workforce would be required to be skilled to a technical level?  (d) What measures (other than apprenticeships) are being proposed to ensure that locally-based workers have access to the training to become skilled to a technical level?</p>
<b>Q10.2.6</b>	The Applicant	Q	Planned outages will require an additional 1,000 workers every nine months – how many of these would be home-based workers?
<b>Q10.2.7</b>	The Applicant	Q	The Jobs and Skills Strategy [APP-411] would provide a brokerage service

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			by backfilling vacant posts to support business whose workers moved the Wylfa Newydd project. Would companies be charged to use this service?
<b>Q10.2.8</b>	The Applicant	Q	A pilot for the Jobs and Skills Strategy was proposed for the Site Preparation and Clearance Proposals. Has the pilot commenced and if it has can you provide an update on how it has operated?
<b>Q10.2.9</b>	The Applicant	Q	Would the Employment and Skills Portal be bilingual?
<b>Q10.2.10</b>	The Applicant, IACC, GCC, NWP, PHW and BCUHB	Q	<p>The Workforce Management Strategy [APP-413] sets out parameters for codes of conduct relating to workforce behaviour (paragraph 2.2.1) and employer behaviour (paragraph 2.3.1). Can the applicant:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Confirm if home based workers would have to sign the codes of conduct?</li> <li>(b) Explain what is meant by workers being off-site?</li> <li>(c) Confirm that the codes would not breach workers (in particular home based workers) Human Rights or employment rights.</li> <li>(d) Explain what the ramifications for breaching the codes would be.</li> <li>(e) Explain how the codes would be enforced?</li> </ul> <p>Are the IACC, GCC, NWP, PHW and BCUHB satisfied with the measures proposed by the WMS given the concerns they have expressed with particular reference to safeguarding and anti-social behaviour? If not, why not?</p>
<b>Q10.2.11</b>	The Applicant, IACC, GCC and NWP	Q	Bullet point 8 (BP8) of paragraph 2.3.1 of the Workforce Management Strategy [APP-413] states that 'appropriate security checks will be carried out on construction personnel' and bullet point 17(BP17) deals with checks regarding vulnerable adults and children. Can the applicant:

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>(a) Explain why BP8 is only considered necessary for construction personnel and not the whole workforce; and  (b) Explain what would happen if the employee failed the security or screening checks?</p> <p>Can the IACC, GCC and NWP confirm:</p> <p>(a) That the list of proposed checks in BP8 is sufficient; and  (b) Whether the proposed measures in BP8 and BP17 satisfactorily address their concerns regarding Safeguarding?</p>
<b>Q10.2.12</b>	The Applicant	Q	The ES [APP-122] refers to the embedded mitigation for the decommissioning phase being similar to construction (paragraph 3.4.37). Is any mitigation proposed for the operatives in terms of retraining programmes etc to enable them to find alternative employment within the area when the plant has closed?
<b>Q10.2.13</b>	The Applicant	Q	Can you provide an update on the progress with the Jobs and Skills Strategy?
<b>Q10.2.14</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	<p>(a) How much money would be available to the Skills fund?  (b) Given the advocated need for flexibility and the wide range of projects and activities it could fund would the proposed amount be sufficient to mitigate the effect of the application?</p>
<b>Q10.2.15</b>	The Applicant	Q	Tables 3-4 to 3-6 of the Jobs and Skills Strategy [APP-411] set out the time it would take to train for the different roles. Given the time taken for some of these positions when would this training commence?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
Q10.2.16	The Applicant	Q	<p>It would appear from table 3-7 of the Jobs and Skills Strategy [APP-411] that the majority of opportunities for home based workers would be in site services.</p> <p>Can the applicant outline what is being done to maximise opportunities for home based workers in the other fields where there would be better rates of pay and longer term opportunities?</p>
Q10.2.17	The Applicant	Q	How would the Wylfa Newydd Employment and Skills Service be funded?
Q10.2.18	The Applicant	Q	<p>Can you provide an update on the development of your apprenticeship scheme and/or are you still providing funding to Cwmni Prentis Menai?</p> <p>Is the Horizon apprenticeship scheme predicated on the financial support provided by the Government for apprenticeships?</p>
Q10.2.19	The Applicant	Q	Horizon would only be a principal employer for operational staff. What contractual measures are proposed to ensure that during construction and decommissioning contractors maximise the opportunities for the recruitment of local people?
Q10.2.20	The Applicant	Q	Can you explain how your Graduate Development Scheme specifically encourages applicants from North Wales and/or who might be a Welsh speaker?
Q10.2.21	The Applicant	Q	<p>(a) Is the school engagement work undertaken in both Welsh and English?</p> <p>(b) Would the Education Officers be bi-lingual?</p>
Q10.2.22	The Applicant	Q	Given that the creation of local jobs and supply chain opportunities would be one of the major benefits that would be delivered by the scheme why hasn't the supply chain action plan been further developed?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q10.2.23</b>	The Applicant	Q	You state that there would be no or very limited displacement of existing workers –what evidence, in particular drawn from other similar projects such as Hinckley C, have you to support this claim?
<b>Q10.2.24</b>	IACC	Q	In your RR [RR-020] you refer to the need for better management and mitigation of displacement of existing workers in order to avoid significant adverse effects on existing and local businesses. What measures would you like to see proposed?
<b>Q10.2.25</b>	The Applicant	Q	In para 6.3.26 the Applicant discusses a “fair wage”. What is the Applicant’s intentions regarding the UK Living Wage?
<b>Q10.2.26</b>	The Applicant	Q	The applicant indicates that up to 690 staff would be required to manage the facilities, including the site campus. What measures (such as training programmes) is the Applicant proposing to ensure that there would be sufficient staff available with the relevant skills to manage the facility without causing staff shortages for existing service businesses particularly those in the tourism sector?
<b>10.3</b>	<b>Health</b>		
<b>Q10.3.1</b>	IACC, BCUHB, PHW and Interested Parties	Q	Paragraph 6.3.95 of the Planning Statement [8.1] lists potential adverse impacts on health and well-being. Do you agree and if not, why not?
<b>Q10.3.2</b>	The Applicant	ADA	Prescriptions are free in Wales for all those registered with a Welsh GP. How would the cost of prescriptions for workers registered with the on-site campus GP be covered?
<b>Q10.3.3</b>	BCUHB and	Q	The ES ([6.3.1] – paragraph 1.3.10) states that there are 23 GP surgeries

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
	PHW		(11 on Ynys Môn and 12 on Menai mainland).  (a) Could these surgeries take additional patients? (b) How many patients who live on Ynys Môn have to use a surgery on the mainland? (c) Is there a current healthcare strategy for the future delivery of health provision in the KSA and does it account for the additional capacity that would be required as a result of the Application?
<b>Q10.3.4</b>	The Applicant	Q	The Emergency Services have concerns regarding the pressure that could be placed on the services they provide and the potential loss of experienced/skilled staff to the project. How would this be addressed?
<b>Q10.3.5</b>	BCUHB and PHW	Q	Is there capacity within the existing mental health services to deal with any increase in demand for services that may arise as a result of the application? Would additional funding be required? Are services currently available in the Welsh language?
<b>Q10.3.6</b>	The Applicant, BCUHB and PHW	Q	Applicant - Would any increase in demand for support services relating to alcohol, smoking, drugs or communicable diseases (in particular STDs) be provided by the on-site healthcare provision or through the use of existing off-site services?  BCUHB and PHW - If off-site services were used do they have the capacity to deal with any increase in demand?  All - Would these services be available in the Welsh language?
<b>Q10.3.7</b>	BCUHB, PHW	Q	The applicant intends to establish a Health and Well-being Monitoring Group

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
	and the Emergency service providers		to monitor the implementation of the Health Impact Assessment mitigation. Do you have the relevant staff and resources available to participate in this group?
<b>Q10.3.8</b>	The Applicant, BCUHB and PHW	Q	Applicant – when would the bi-lingual Community Involvement Officer be appointed?  BCUHB and PHW - Could this role be carried out successfully by one person?
<b>10.4</b>	<b>Local Business and Tourism</b>		
<b>Q10.4.1</b>	The Applicant, IACC, GCC and Welsh Government	Q	The Supply Chain Charter (and Action Plan) envisages business development opportunities for local (Ynys Môn) and regional (North Wales) businesses.  (a) Has any work been undertaken to review whether the businesses needed to supply the applicant are available either locally or regionally? (b) If it has what were the findings? In particular what percentage of the applicants supply needs could currently be met either locally or regionally? (c) If suppliers are not available locally because companies are too small/ have limited resources/limited skills what support/help is proposed to enable existing companies to have the potential to supply/bid for work? (d) Is there sufficient office space, warehouse space, and small business units to meet the needs of the organisations/companies that would supply the project? (e) There are 11 construction companies on Ynys Môn – what measures are proposed to protect these businesses and to ensure that they can maintain their workforce during the construction period?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q10.4.2</b>	The Applicant	Q	Paragraph 1.5.89 of the ES [APP-088] refers to deterioration of tourism accommodation due to long term occupancy, reduced maintenance and lower expectations for accommodation. What pro-active measures are proposed to minimise any degradation of this stock and to ensure that when it is vacated by workers it would be of a standard that is suitable for tourism lets?
<b>Q10.4.3</b>	The Applicant	WA	<p>The ES [APP-088 –paragraph1.5.98] states that the food and drink sector could also significantly benefit by the facilities management and catering contracts required through the entire lifecycle of the project:</p> <p>(a) What measures are proposed to ensure that these contracts are awarded to local companies rather than large contract catering companies?</p> <p>(b) Given that there will be on-site catering facilities which will service the needs of the development what realistic opportunities would there be for the local food and drink sector to benefit from the scheme?</p>
<b>Q10.4.4</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>Regarding labour churn:</p> <p>(a) What is the median wage of a construction worker working on a similar project such as Hinckley C and how does this compare to the median wage for KSA residents?</p> <p>(b) What other 'benefits' could an employee of the scheme expect eg subsidised/free accommodation, subsidised meals, private healthcare, free or subsidised sports and leisure facilities etc?</p>
<b>Q10.4.5</b>	The Applicant and IACC	WA	Reference is made to a future intention by Horizon to provide a Visitor and media reception centre near the Power Station Site which would provide a focal point in the north of the island and a local community facility. How would the provision of this facility be secured?



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
Q10.4.6	The Applicant	Q	A Tourism Fund is proposed to address any material effects on the local tourism sector in Ynys Môn. Are there any further details available as to how this would operate, the funding available to it and how it would be secured?
Q10.4.7	The Applicant and IACC	Q	The proposed off-line Highways Improvements would result in the bypassing of a number of villages. What measures are proposed to mitigate any business that would be affected as a result of a drop in passing trade?
<b>10.5</b>	<b>Welsh Language and Culture</b>		
Q10.5.1	Fforum Iaith Strategol Ynys Môn	Q	In your RR [RR-041] you state that measures are necessary to protect the Welsh language – can you provide further detail as to what measures you consider would be necessary?
Q10.5.2	Menter Iaith Môn and Fforum Iaith Strategol Ynys Môn	Q	In your RR [RR-028 and RR-041] you have stated a concern regarding the availability of Welsh Language trainers/teachers and the length of time it takes to train a Welsh language trainer/teacher – can you: (a) provide details of the number of registered Welsh trainers/teachers that there are; (b) the length of time it takes to train a language trainer/teacher; and (c) examples regarding issues with recruitment eg how difficult has it been to recruit Welsh speaking teachers to the local schools?
Q10.5.3	The Applicant	Q	The IACC consider that an Educational Strategy is necessary, was such a strategy considered and if it was why it was concluded that such a strategy was not necessary?
Q10.5.4	IACC, GCC and	Q	The Daily Construction Commuting Zone (DCCZ) is a 90 minute drive time

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
	Interested Parties		from the main power plant site. Can you provide information on the percentage of Welsh speakers that reside within this area?
<b>Q10.5.5</b>	IACC, GCC and Interested Parties	Q	<p>Reference is made in the documentation to Horizon providing a peripatetic teacher service (paragraph 6.2.28 of the Planning Statement [APP-406]) and indicates that initially two teachers would be funded (paragraph 7.4.27).</p> <p>(a) Does a peripatetic teacher service for Welsh language currently exist on Ynys Môn?</p> <p>(b) If it doesn't how would the service operate; who would manage it and how long would it run for eg during construction only? During construction and a time limited period of operation? During construction, operation and decommissioning?</p> <p>(c) Would two teachers be sufficient – given that it has been indicated that any service may potentially need to extend into Gwynedd?</p>
<b>Q10.5.6</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>Paragraph 6.2.41 of the Planning Statement [APP-406] states that 85% of the operational workforce would be local people.</p> <p>(a) Please define what is meant by a local person or where in the documentation this definition can be found.</p> <p>(b) Given that the operational workforce would be 850 people would 85% be achievable and if so how this would be achieved without disrupting the workforce of other businesses on Ynys Môn?</p> <p>(c) What mechanisms are proposed that would ensure that 85% of the workforce are local people and what penalties are proposed if this target was not met?</p>
<b>Q10.5.7</b>	Welsh Government	Q	Cymraeg 2050: Welsh language strategy (2017) sets a goal of having a million Welsh speakers by 2050 – can you please provide information as to:

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>(a) the current situation with regards to the number of Welsh speakers;  (b) whether you are currently on course to meet this objective; and  (c) how you envisage that this scheme may affect (positively or negatively) you achieving this target?</p>
<b>Q10.5.8</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>The work of the proposed Welsh Language and Culture Coordinator is seen as key to the development and implementation of the Welsh language and culture mitigation and enhancement measures (Planning Statement [APP-406] paragraph 6.4.474).</p> <p>(a) When would this appointment be made?  (b) Given the scope of the role could it realistically be covered by one person?</p>
<b>Q10.5.9</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>Paragraph 6.4.476 of the Planning Statement [APP-406] sets out a number of proposed mitigation measures:</p> <p>Bullet point 3 refers to ensuring that a Welsh-speaking member of staff is included on interview panels where candidates are required to have Welsh language skills.</p> <p>(a) How many jobs does Horizon envisage will be 'required' to have Welsh language skills?  (b) what provisions are proposed for interviewing candidates whose first language is Welsh for roles that are not 'required' to have Welsh language skills?</p> <p>Bullet point 4 refers to providing language 'awareness' training – can you provide further information as to:</p> <p>(a) what will constitute 'language awareness' training;  (b) how this differs from learning the Welsh language training; and  (c) how 'awareness training' will protect and/or grow the use of the</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>Welsh language?</p> <p>Bullet point 6 - why is it that the collection of data 'may be' rather than 'will be' linked to the Worker Accommodation Management Service?</p>
<b>Q10.5.10</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>(a) Can you provide details of when the evaluation of the impact of the project on the Welsh language in the KSA will be completed (paragraph 6.4.480 of the Planning Statement [APP-406])?</p> <p>(b) Would the evaluation also include recommendations and if so how would they be implemented?</p>
<b>Q10.5.11</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	Can you explain why a Welsh Language and Culture Mitigation and Enhancement strategy is only proposed during the construction period of the project (paragraph 6.4.480 of the Planning Statement [APP-406]) – should this be extended to include operation and decommissioning?
<b>Q10.5.12</b>	IACC, Welsh Government and Interested Parties	Q	<p>Paragraph 6.4.483 of the Planning Statement [APP-406] states that the measures proposed to support and enhance Welsh language and culture comply with the principles of the various national and local policies relating to the strengthening of the Welsh language.</p> <p>With particular reference to PPW9, TAN20, Cymae 2050 and the Wellbeing of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 can the IACC, Welsh Government and any Interested Parties indicate whether they agree with this statement and if they don't why?</p>
<b>Q10.5.13</b>	The Applicant	WF	Can you confirm that the information boards mentioned at bullet point four of paragraph 4.4.1 of the WNCocP [APP-414] would be bi-lingual?
<b>Q10.5.14</b>	The Applicant	WF	Can you confirm that the signage relating to the diversion of footpaths and

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			in particular for the Lôn Las Copr (paragraph 6.2.6 of the MPSS Sub CoCP [APP-415]) would be bi-lingual?
<b>Q10.5.15</b>	The Applicant, IACC and GCC	Q	<p>Is there any information available regarding the effect that the construction and operation of Wylfa A has had long term on Welsh language and culture, the local and regional economy and the housing market?</p> <p>If there is, was this information taken into account, in particular in terms of lessons learned, when the Application was being drawn up?</p>
<b>Q10.5.16</b>	IACC and GCC	Q	Of the 47 primary Schools and 5 secondary schools on the island how many of these are Welsh medium schools?
<b>Q10.5.17</b>	IACC	Q	<p>The primary Welsh language immersion centre (WLIC) is currently at full capacity – how will these capacity issues be addressed ?(APP-433)</p> <p>What is the provision for secondary aged children?</p>
<b>Q10.5.18</b>	The Applicant	Q	When considering the effect that additional workers needed by the proposal may have on the Welsh language and culture was it only workers that were directly involved in the construction of the proposal and the associated development that were considered or were the facilities workers, additional workers required by supply chain businesses, tourism and needed to construct the additional permanent housing also included?
<b>Q10.5.19</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	Whilst the various language strategies outline proposals for workers and school aged children what proposals would there be for dependents such as partners/spouses and non-school age children who may relocate to Ynys Môn with workers?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q10.5.20</b>	The Applicant	Q	The Health Impact Assessment NTS[APP-428] paragraph 2.4.39 refers to a mitigation commitment relating to community identity including initiatives to enhance and celebrate local identity. What initiatives are proposed?
<b>Q10.5.21</b>	Welsh Government	Q	Can you provide an update on the development of the Welsh Language Risk Assessment Framework and Guidance?
<b>Q10.5.22</b>	The Applicant	Q	Welsh language training is proposed where 'this is a relevant requirement within a post' (APP-433). What opportunities/support/encouragement would there be for workers where it is not 'a relevant requirement' to learn the language?
<b>Q10.5.23</b>	IACC, GCC, Welsh Government and Interested Parties	Q	The majority of the proposed mitigation and enhancement measures would be secured via a S106 – do you consider:  (a) That this is the appropriate mechanism? and (b) Would it meet the test for planning obligations?
<b>Q10.5.24</b>	The Applicant, IACC, GCC, Welsh Government and Interested Parties	Q	The strategy with regards to the Welsh language is predicated on the employment of a certain percentage of Welsh speakers what mitigation is proposed/necessary if these targets cannot be met?
<b>Q10.5.25</b>	The Applicant	Q	(a) Which groups and organisations would be invited to be members of the management group that would oversee the Welsh Language and Cultural Mitigation and Enhancement Strategy and the stakeholder group that would monitor this strategy? (b) Who would these groups be accountable too? (c) Given many of the Welsh language and cultural groups are voluntary organisations what resources would be made available to them to enable

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			them to attend/resource membership of these groups?
<b>Q10.5.26</b>	IACC	Q	In your RR [RR-020] (paragraph 5.14.1) you state that in your opinion the dDCO does not reflect the current commitment of the applicant in advocating the Welsh language as a 'golden thread' throughout all aspects of the project. What amendments to the dDCO would you wish to see to ensure that this commitment is realised?
<b>Q10.5.27</b>	IACC, GCC and the Welsh Government	Q	The Applicant has been asked to prepare a Statement of Common Ground with organisations that representation the Welsh Language and have submitted a Relevant Representation. Which organisations do you consider the Applicant should include?
<b>10.6</b>	<b>General Questions</b>		
<b>Q10.6.1</b>	The Applicant	Q	Concerns have been raised through the RR regarding safety for residents particularly for women and children. Can the applicant provide details of their safeguarding policies or highlight where in the documentation this information can be found.
<b>Q10.6.2</b>	The Applicant	Q	Can the applicant provide further detail as to how the Neighbourhood Support Scheme would work given concerns highlighted in the RR as to its adequacy?
<b>Q10.6.3</b>	IACC, GCC, Welsh Government and Interested Parties	Q	Paragraph 3.2.15 of the Wylfa Newydd Code of Construction Practice (WNCocP) [APP-414] lists a number of socio-economic impacts that the Applicant considers will need monitoring. Do you agree with this list? Has anything been omitted or should anything be removed?
<b>Q10.6.4</b>	The Applicant, IACC and	Q	Can the Applicant confirm whether the Community Liaison Group meetings will have the facility to be bi-lingual?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
	Interested Parties		<p>Paragraph 3.2.29 of the WNCocP [APP-414] advises that nearby residents will be provided with information packs. Can the Applicant advise:</p> <p>(a) What they mean by 'nearby'?</p> <p>(b) How often the information packs would be provided?</p> <p>(c) Whether the list should be expanded to include amongst other things hours/days of working and routes/times of delivery vehicles?</p> <p>(d) Whether the packs would be bi-lingual?</p> <p>Do the IACC/IP have any comments they may wish to make on the form and content of information packs?</p>
<b>Q10.6.5</b>	IACC	Q	<p>Paragraph 3.3.4 of the WNCocP [APP-414] states that the complaints register will be made available to the IACC upon request. Are the IACC satisfied with this arrangement or would it be more appropriate for the information to be sent to them once a quarter and/or published on HNP's website?</p>
<b>Q10.6.6</b>	IACC	Q	<p>Reference is made in the ES (paragraph 1.3.14 of APP-088) to a school modernisation programme to reduce excess capacity.</p> <p>(a) Has this modernisation plan been drawn up in knowledge of the Wylfa proposals and the proposed changes to the school age population that could result?</p> <p>(b) Are the areas where capacity is proposed to be reduced in North Anglesey?</p>
<b>Q10.6.7</b>	NWP	Q	<p>The ES provides details of the number of fire and ambulance stations but no information is provided with regards to the number of police stations or</p>



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>how policing of the island is managed. Can you:</p> <p>(a) Provide details of the number and location of police stations on Ynys Môn – both staffed and unstaffed.</p> <p>(b) Advise whether these will be retained during the lifetime of the project or whether there are plans to reduce/consolidate stations or plans for additional or temporary stations?</p>
<b>Q10.6.8</b>	The Applicant	Q	Can the applicant provide any evidence/data from other similar projects (including Wylfa A) as to the number of construction workers who relocate with their families to the area during construction?
<b>Q10.6.9</b>	IACC	WF	The ES Non-Technical Summary [APP-401] states that the two closest schools Ysgol Gynradd Cemaes and Ysgol Gymuned Llanfechell have a capacity of 89 pupils and 119 pupils respectively. Can you confirm if these are single form entry schools and if so what are their class sizes and are the schools at capacity?
<b>Q10.6.10</b>	The Applicant, IACC and GCC	Q	<p>The ES Non-Technical Summary [APP-401] states that (paragraph 3.3.8) a Community Infrastructure Fund would be set up to provide additional resource to manage or offset effects during construction that cannot yet be predicted and therefore mitigated including impacts on community facilities and education.</p> <p>(a) If they cannot yet be predicted how has the amount needed for the fund been calculated?</p> <p>(b) Are the IACC and GCC satisfied that there would be sufficient money in the fund to cover the necessary mitigation?</p> <p>(c) Who will be monitoring the need for this fund? and</p> <p>(d) How will payments be agreed and paid?</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
Q10.6.11	The Applicant and NWFR	Q	Apart from backfilling positions (Paragraph 1.6.6 of the ES [APP-088]) what other measures are proposed to ensure that there would be sufficient trained volunteers or full time fire and rescue officers available to provide sufficient cover for Ynys Môn?
Q10.6.12	IACC, Welsh Government, NRW, NWP, NWFR, BCUHB, GCC and Welsh Ambulance	Q	<p>The Codes of Construction Practice would rely on an overarching Programme Board and a series of engagement sub-groups (including for accommodation and tourism).</p> <p>(a) How would these boards/sub-groups work in particular who would they be accountable too?</p> <p>(b) Would they have a code of governance?</p> <p>(c) It is indicated that you would be asked to be represented on these boards do you have the resources and the relevant personnel available to attend these boards?</p>
Q10.6.13	The Applicant / ONR	Q	Please respond to the concerns raised by Interested Parties [RR-127 and RR-129] regarding the effect (e.g. on transport and power networks) of an evacuation zone for a major incident if one were to occur.
Q10.6.14	The Applicant	Q	Paragraph 5.1.6 of the WAMS [APP-412] states that there are just under 62,000 people across the DCCZ in 'nuclear relevant' occupations. Can you define what is meant by a 'nuclear relevant' occupation?
<b>11.</b>	<b>Traffic and Transport</b>		
<b>11.0</b>	<b>Aviation</b>		
Q11.0.1	IACC and RAF	Q	Civil and military aviation and defence interests were scoped out of the EIA. However, there is both a civil airport (Anglesey Airport/Valley Airfield/Rhosneigr) and an RAF base (Heneglwys/Mona Airfield) on the

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			island can the IACC and RAF confirm that they agree that there would be no likely significant effects on civil and military aviation and defence interests as a result of the Application?
<b>11.1</b>	<b>Traffic</b>		
<b>Q11.1.1</b>	The Applicant, IACC and Welsh Government	Q	<p>Paragraphs 4.3.34 and 4.3.37 of the Planning Statement [APP-406] indicate that it would take 18 months to construct the proposed Park and Ride facility and 15 months to construct the Logistics Centre.</p> <p>Can the applicant:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Advise why they will take this length of time to construct?</li> <li>(b) What interim arrangements will be in place to manage construction vehicles whilst the logistics centre is under construction? and</li> <li>(c) Whether the transport modelling took account for these additional vehicle movements before these facilities comes on-line?</li> </ul> <p>Does the IACC/Highways Authority have any comments to make?</p>
<b>Q11.1.2</b>	The Applicant and IACC	WF	<p>Paragraph 6.2.12 of the Planning Statement [APP-406] refers to a proposed workforce of 850 at the Power Station Site – on this basis why is it necessary for 1,100 permanent parking spaces as proposed by WN16 of the dDCO [APP-029] and how does this fit with the statement at paragraph 5.3.7 of the WNC0CP [APP-414] that states that parking spaces at the Power Station Site will be restricted to encourage the use of Park and Share and shuttle buses and reduce the number of private vehicles being driven to the site?</p>
<b>Q11.1.3</b>	The Applicant and IACC	ADC	<p>Paragraph 6.2.17 of the Planning Statement [APP-406] states that the off-line highways improvements would deliver a number of benefits in specific locations but then only cites one example. Can the applicant outline where else, other than Llanfachraeth, they consider would benefit from these</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			works?  In Llanfachraeth a 60% reduction in traffic levels is envisaged – given this is a relatively small village in a rural area what are the existing traffic levels in Llanfachraeth and are they at such a level as to classify the proposed reductions as an improvement?
<b>Q11.1.4</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	(a) Who currently provides bus services on Ynys Môn? (b) When were they awarded the contract and when will it be up for renewal? (c) Was the possibility of using existing bus services or the existing bus provider considered for the workforce and in-particular the connection between the Park and Ride and the WNDA? (d) Has the possibility of opening up the workforce buses to the wider community been considered? (e) Is the applicant proposing any measures to enhance/support the current bus service provision on the island so that the local workforce is encouraged to travel sustainably?
<b>Q11.1.5</b>	IACC, GCC, Welsh Government and the Applicant	Q	Concerns have been raised in a number of the RR regarding the fact that the Menai and Britannia bridges occasionally have to shut.  Can the IACC/GCC provide details of:  (a) How frequently the Menai and Britannia Bridges have shut over the last five years? (b) How often both bridges were shut at the same time? (c) What measures are currently in place when a bridge shuts eg how and where is traffic diverted or held and how does this effect travel times/congestion?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>(d) The structural state of both of the bridges and whether any maintenance/repair work is planned during the construction phase of the project which would result in either partial or full closure of either of the bridges?</p> <p>Can the applicant confirm:</p> <p>(a) Whether bridge closures were factored into their transport assessment/modelling?</p> <p>(b) What measure they propose during construction and operation to manage traffic if one or both of the bridges has to close?</p>
<b>Q11.1.6</b>	The Applicant	Q	The proposal is that the majority of freight would be moved by sea using the MOLF. Could the applicant advise if the TA includes any modelling for times when the MOLF may not be available due to bad weather/storm damage and in particular whether this would result in an increase in traffic movements on the road network and the impact that this would have.
<b>Q11.1.7</b>	GCC	Q	In your RR [RR-021] you highlighted a concern regarding an existing problem with 'illegal parking' on Ynys Môn. Can you provide further detail as to what you mean and why you consider that the Application may exacerbate this problem?
<b>Q11.1.8</b>	The Applicant	Q	In order to minimise vehicular movements did the applicant explore the possibility of removing waste from the site via the MOLF and if they did why was this dismissed as an option?
<b>Q11.1.9</b>	The Applicant	Q	Can the applicant confirm that the transportation of waste generated from construction from all of the sites by road was included in the traffic modelling and highlight where in the documentation this information can be found.

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q11.1.10</b>	The Applicant, Welsh Government and IACC	Q	The traffic modelling/assessment is predicated on the basis that the MOLF will enable delivery of the majority of materials direct to the site. Is there any modelling/contingency that deals with the potential increase in vehicular movements should construction of the MOLF be delayed. In particular would the road network have the capacity to take any additional movements that may result and if there is an increase in movements how would this affect local residents?
<b>Q11.1.11</b>	The Applicant	Q	A 50% increase in capacity of the Holyhead-Dublin Ferry is proposed by 2020. Was the increase in the number of vehicles using this service included in the traffic modelling?
<b>Q11.1.12</b>	IACC and Welsh Government	Q	Construction traffic associated with the proposed North Wales Grid Connection Project has been excluded from the modelling on the basis that it would be relatively limited (20 vehicles per hour per direction) and there is a degree of uncertainty over movements. In your opinion given the relatively low levels of traffic on Ynys Môn should these movement be included in the modelling?
<b>Q11.1.13</b>	NWP	Q	In your RR [RR-124] you allege that the baseline data used for the traffic modelling/assessment is incorrect. Can you provide further details of why you consider it is incorrect; what baseline data you consider should have been used and why and what would this means for the TA?
<b>Q11.1.14</b>	The Applicant	Q	What is the maximum height, width, length and turning circle of the largest vehicles (fully laden) that the applicant intends to use during construction and operation?
<b>Q11.1.15</b>	IACC and Welsh	Q	(a) Given the rural nature of the road network is the Highways Authority

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
	Government		<p>satisfied that the road network (following the proposed road improvements) is capable of taking the volume of traffic and loads proposed and would not be damaged as a result of the use by construction traffic?</p> <p>(b) If the road network is damaged by construction traffic who would be responsible for its repair?</p> <p>(c) Are there any requirements that the Highways Authority would suggest to minimise the effect of construction traffic on the road network and maintain existing road conditions over and above those already suggested by the applicant?</p>
<b>Q11.1.16</b>	IACC, Welsh Government and GCC		Do the local authorities agree with the applicant's description of the baseline situation regarding traffic flows and can they confirm whether they were involved in scoping the transport surveys for establishing the baseline?
<b>Q11.1.17</b>	The Applicant, IACC and Network Rail	Q	<p>Paragraph 6.5.10 of the Planning Statement [APP-406] states that there is sufficient capacity in the strategic rail network to accommodate the increase in demand in the early years of the project – can you:</p> <p>(a) Provide further detail of what will happen for the remainder of the project to ensure sufficient capacity, particularly during the peak of construction, is available;</p> <p>(b) Confirm whether developer contributions may be required to achieve this and what they would be used for? And</p> <p>(c) Confirm whether there would be a bus service connecting the railway station at Valley either direct to the WNDA or to the Park and Ride so that rail users can utilise the shuttle buses to the WNDA?</p>
<b>Q11.1.18</b>	Network Rail	Q	Can you provide an update on the proposals for the Abergele Park and Ride at Abergele Railway Station?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q11.1.19</b>	The Applicant, Welsh Government and IACC	WF	<p>Paragraph 5.2.3 of the WNCōP [APP-414]deals with the management of deliveries to the site can the applicant:</p> <p>(a) Confirm what will happen once the A5025 off-line highway improvements become operational in terms of delivery times?  (b) Explain why restrictions on deliveries during school start/end times are only proposed for the initial stages of construction (page 12 of the TA [APP-101].  (c) Advise whether to minimise congestion, restrictions should also be in place during the evening peak eg 17.00-18.30?  (d) Explain what is meant by 'restrictions'.  (e) Confirm what the delivery hours would be – the WNCōP [APP-414] infers 07:00-19:00 but the TA [APP-101]states that the period from 19:00 to 23:00 'could be used'  (f) Explain why the logistics centre would need to operate on a 24 hour basis if deliveries would be restricted to 07:00-19:00 (or 23:00)</p> <p>Does the IACC/Highways Authority/Welsh Government have any comments on these arrangements?</p>
<b>Q11.1.20</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>Paragraph 5.3.5 of the WNCōP [APP-414]refers to the provision of a dedicated shuttle bus service for construction workers living in Ynys Môn but then includes Bangor and Caernarfon which are on the mainland. Can the applicant confirm:</p> <p>(a) Whether a dedicated bus service is proposed for workers based in Bangor and Caernarfon?  (b) Would this service be free or subsidised?  (c) Would this require the instillation of new or improvements to existing</p>



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>bus stops and if so how would this be funded?</p> <p>(d) Has the potential of opening this service up to the wider community been considered?</p> <p>(e) Would the service continue once the plant is operational to enable workers to travel sustainably and minimise road traffic?</p>
<b>Q11.1.21</b>	The Applicant	ADB	<p>Reference is made to the use of shuttle buses between the Park and Ride and the construction sites. Can the applicant:</p> <p>(a) Confirm what they mean by 'shuttle bus' as it would appear from other references within the documentation that minibuses are proposed.</p> <p>(b) If minibuses are not proposed can you explain why parking for 50 minibuses at the Park and Ride site is proposed and what the minibuses would be used for?</p> <p>(c) If buses are proposed can you please explain where these would be parked/stored and if an outside provider is proposed have the journeys to and from the depot to site been included in the traffic modelling?</p> <p>(d) Provide details of how many passengers a shuttle bus could accommodate.</p> <p>(e) Explain what is meant by 'low emissions' technology for buses and confirm whether the use of electric or hybrid 'shuttle buses' has been considered?</p> <p>(f) Indicate how frequently the buses would run and how many journeys it is envisaged that the buses would make over the course of a day.</p> <p>(g) Confirm whether users would be required to pay for parking?</p> <p>(h) Confirm whether the shuttle bus would be free or subsidised?</p> <p>(i) Confirm that the shuttle buses would be capable of accessing the routes shown on Figure 5-5 of the TA [APP-101]</p> <p>(j) Explain why no shuttle buses are currently proposed for the east and south of the island?</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>Paragraph 4.2.4 of the Park and Ride sub CoCP (PRSCoCP)[APP-418] appears to be contradictory stating that the Park and Ride would operate from 06:00 to 20:00 but that it would also operate 24 hours, seven days a week. Can you:</p> <p>(a) Confirm the hours of operation and  (b) Explain how users would access this facility if the service is not 24/7.</p>
<b>Q11.1.22</b>	The Applicant, Welsh Government and IACC	ADB	<p>An automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) system is proposed for vehicles using the Park and Ride.</p> <p>Can the applicant confirm whether they also propose a barrier entry/exit system?</p> <p>Can the IACC/Highways Authority/Welsh Government advise whether they are satisfied that the use of ANPR would prevent vehicles queuing on the highway?</p> <p>IACC – in your RR [RR-020] you have questioned the resilience of the highway network at junction 4 of the A55 – can you explain what your concern is?</p>
<b>Q11.1.23</b>	The Applicant and IACC	ADB	<p>Due to its location the Park and Ride scheme has the potential to flood. Can the applicant outline what would happen if the facility flooded including what, if any, the alternative parking arrangements would be?</p> <p>Can the IACC/Highways Authority comment on what measures they consider would be appropriate in the event that the Park and Ride flooded?</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q11.1.24</b>	The Applicant, Welsh Government and IACC	ADC	<p>Can the applicant:</p> <p>(a) Provide examples of other schemes where the proposed Distribution Management Asset Tracking System (DMATS) has been successfully used?</p> <p>(b) Advise whether there is a cost attached to the use of the system for suppliers and whether this could preclude the use of smaller local suppliers?</p> <p>(c) How will vehicles be prevented from turning up early to the logistics centre or from waiting on the surrounding road network until their allotted arrival time?</p> <p>Is the IACC/Highways Authority/Welsh Government satisfied that the use of DMATS will manage deliveries as envisaged by the applicant?</p>
<b>Q11.1.25</b>	The Applicant, Welsh Government and IACC	WA/WE	<p>The Wylfa Newydd Code of Operational Practice (WNCOP) [APP-421] sets out a series of measures which aim to minimise trips to the site by car. What measures are proposed to minimise trips to the site by car for the outage workforce?</p> <p>Are the IACC/Highways Authority/Welsh Government satisfied with the details contained within the proposed Operational Travel Strategy as set out in section 5 of the WNCOP?</p>
<b>Q11.1.26</b>	The Applicant, and IACC	WA	Does the TA include modelling for the impact on the road network of the additional 1,000 workers that would be required every nine months to deal with outages?
<b>Q11.1.27</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	The proposal would result in the need to close or divert a number of Public Rights of Way (PRoW). In addition to the proposed physical measures such

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			as signage, has a website/link to the IACC website been considered where these closures/diversions and information regarding alternative routes and timescales for how long they will place could be advertised?
<b>Q11.1.28</b>	The Applicant	Q	The existing power station (Wylfa A) is in the process of being decommissioned. Can the applicant confirm that the effect of these works including the associated traffic movements have been taken into account in the ES and TA for the application?
<b>Q11.1.29</b>	The Applicant and IACC	Q	A contribution to fund improvements to the PRoW network is proposed in order to reduce the effect of the loss of the 32 PRoW to moderate adverse.  Applicant – how much funding is proposed? IACC – What would this funding be spent on and would it be sufficient to reduce the effect to moderate adverse as advocated by the applicant? If not why not?
<b>Q11.1.30</b>	IACC	Q	You have raised particular concerns [RR-020] regarding the effect of the proposal on the Llwybr Affordir Cymru and the Lôn Las Copr – how many people currently use these routes and is it known how much they contribute to the economy of Ynys Môn?
<b>Q11.1.31</b>	The Applicant	Q	New PRoW are proposed within the WNDA during operation in addition to a nature trail, provision of picnic tables, a new car park and interpretation boards. How would these be secured?
<b>Q11.1.32</b>	The Applicant and IACC	ADC	At peak construction HGV flows along the A5025 would be increased by between 71-101% (twice current levels). Applicant:  (a) The TA estimates that 'at peak' there would be 40 HGV movements

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>per hour/per direction ie a movement every 45 seconds. Can you confirm what you mean by 'at peak' and how long ie a week/month/year it is envisaged that this would occur.</p> <p>(b) Confirm whether the transport modelling for the traffic along the A5025 included the movements generated by the park and ride shuttle buses?</p> <p>(c) Can you confirm that the proposed off-line highways improvements have been designed to accommodate these levels of traffic?</p> <p>IACC/Highways Authority can you:</p> <p>(a) confirm whether you consider that the design of the proposed off-line highways works is satisfactory?</p> <p>(b) In your RR you request that there should be a cap/threshold placed on the number of HGVs that would use the A5025. Can you explain why you think such a cap would be necessary and if it is necessary what it should be and how it should be imposed.</p>
<b>Q11.1.33</b>	The Applicant and Welsh Government	Q	Paragraphs 3.3.5-3.3.9 refers to Planning Policy Wales 9 (PPW9) in particular with regard to the proposed Park and Ride. Does this section need to be updated to reflect the emerging PPW10 and if so would it affect any of the assumptions or conclusions?
<b>Q11.1.34</b>	IACC	Q	Does the application comply with the relevant transport related policies of the JDLP in particular policies PS11, PS12, TRA1, TRA2 and TRA4? If not, why not?
<b>Q11.1.35</b>	The Applicant	Q	Paragraphs 3.4.15-3.4.26 of the TA [APP-101] refer to the IACC's Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) for the new nuclear build at Wylfa. If the 2018 draft SPG has been adopted does this section need to be

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			updated and if so would it affect any of the assumptions or conclusions?
<b>Q11.1.36</b>	Welsh Government	Q	Until 2028, you have to pay a shadow toll to Carillion plc and John Laing group plc for the A55 based on vehicle numbers and lane availability until 2028. How will the application affect this toll and do you have the resources to cover any increase that may arise as a result of the Application?
<b>Q11.1.37</b>	The Applicant	Q	Covered and secure cycle parking is proposed at the site campus to encourage sustainable travel options for leisure purposes (para 5.1.44 of the TA [APP-101]). This is reliant on site campus based workers bringing bikes with them. Would Horizon consider providing bikes to encourage sustainable travel patterns?
<b>Q11.1.38</b>	IACC	Q	Can you confirm that Table 5-3 of the TA [APP-101] captures all the committed development?
<b>Q11.1.39</b>	IACC	Q	In your RR [RR-020] you have indicated that you would wish to see more park and share options in other suitable strategic locations. Can you please confirm: (a) What you mean by park and share? (b) How this would differ from the car sharing proposed by the applicant. (c) Where are the strategic locations you would wish to see such facilities located: and (d) What proportion of the workers traffic would you wish to see use such a facility?
<b>Q11.1.40</b>	IACC	Q	Do you agree with the assumptions that the Applicant has made regarding car sharing in relation to demand for car parking (7.3.11- 7.4.12 of the TA [APP-101]). If not what figures do you consider should be used and how

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			would this effect the number of spaces required?
<b>Q11.1.41</b>	IACC	Q	The applicant has indicated that facilities management workers would be expected to car share. However, given that the majority of these are likely to be homebased workers do you consider that this assumption is correct? If not, why not?
<b>Q11.1.42</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>Facilities management workers for the Site Campus assumes a split of 70% for day shift and 30% for night shift. However, given the majority of construction workers would be using the facilities at night is this assumption correct?</p> <p>The Transport modelling would appear to use a figure of 350 facilities workers. However, elsewhere in the documentation a figure of 690 is given for peak year 5 (paragraph 2.4.13 of the Health Impact Assessment Non-Technical Summary [APP-427]). Can you confirm that the traffic modelling and parking calculations accounted for this number of facilities staff?</p>
<b>Q11.1.43</b>	The Applicant	Q	The TA has been undertaken on the basis that 1,500 workers would be non UK based. Has any modelling been undertaken to assess what the implications for the TA would be if, as a result of Brexit, it is not possible to recruit workers from Europe and as a result more workers from the UK and Ireland would be required?
<b>Q11.1.44</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>(a) Would Abnormal Indivisible Loads (AILs) particularly those requiring an escort be scheduled to occur outside of the morning and evening peaks?</p> <p>(b) Can you confirm whether AILs would be required to go via the Logistics Centre or would they go direct to the WNDA?</p> <p>(c) If they are to go direct to the WNDA how would they be managed to</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			ensure that they would not cause congestion on the A5025 for other construction traffic?
<b>Q11.1.45</b>	The Applicant	ADB	With regards to the park and ride facility, Chapter F1 para 1.1.7 states that the facility works include a new roundabout. [I1] It is unclear whether this roundabout would be retained or decommissioned following construction of the power station. Can the Applicant clarify?
<b>Q11.1.46</b>	The Applicant	ADD	In relation to the A5025 offline highway improvement works, Chapter G1 makes numerous references to the reinstatement of compounds (e.g. para 1.5.24, 1.5.27, 1.5.36, 1.5.55, 1.5.60, 1.5.75, 1.5.80, 1.5.100) although reinstatement does not appear to be secured in the dDCO. Can the Applicant confirm how reinstatement would be secured?
<b>Q11.1.47</b>	IACC	ADC	Section 3 of the A5025 Off-line Highways Improvements sub CoCP [APP-420] sets out the pre-commencement consultation for properties and business within 100m of the proposed works. Given the size of Ynys Môn and the importance of the A5025 as a north/south route do you consider that this is sufficient?  If you consider that this would be insufficient what other measures would you wish the Applicant to undertake?
<b>Q11.1.48</b>	IACC	Q	Explain the planning status of Parc Cybi (Logistics Centre).
<b>Q11.1.49</b>	The Applicant and IACC	ADB and ADC	Explain further the reasoning behind separate sites for the logistics centre and the Park and Ride site. Why could the two sites not be co-located?
<b>Q11.1.50</b>	The Welsh Government,	Q	The Welsh Government recently announced the preferred route for a third crossing across the Menai with construction indicated to start in 2021.



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
	IACC and the Applicant		<p>Welsh Government - can you provide further details of the proposed route and construction programme and any comments on how it may affect the application?</p> <p>Applicant – you do not include the third crossing in the list of '<i>Reasonably foreseeable future projects short list sites</i>' [APP-397] – can you explain why the crossing was not included and whether it should now be included?</p> <p>The crossing is currently scoped out of the ES (ES Volume 1 – Cumulative effects 12 – Scope page 16) subject to further discussions with the Welsh Government – Please provide an update on these discussions and whether this document and/or the ES needs to be updated in light of them.</p> <p>IACC – do you have any comments?</p>
<b>12.</b>	<b>Costal Change</b>		
<b>Q12.0.1</b>	The Applicant	WD	Edit Chapter D12 [APP-131] para 12.4.14 to refer to Figure D-12.
<b>Q12.0.2</b>	The Applicant	WC	Edit Chapter D12 para 12.4.39 to refer to Figure D-3.
<b>Q12.0.3</b>	The Applicant	WC	Chapter D12 para 12.5.60 can the Applicant clarify the meaning of "If required additional procedures such as settlement would be provided to meet this limit in chapter D1" [APP-120]
<b>Q12.0.4</b>	National Trust	WC/WD	Can the National Trust detail its concerns in its RR [RR-053] regarding the conclusions of the hydro-geomorphology, hydrodynamics and wave dynamic information contained in the application.

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
Q12.0.5	The Applicant and NRW	WC	NRW advises in its RR [RR-088] that further information is required to demonstrate that changes in coastal processes due to the presence of the marine structures will not affect the Cemlyn Lagoon shingle ridge. Would the Applicant provide this information?
<b>13.</b>	<b>General Questions</b>		
Q13.0.1	The Applicant and IACC	Q	Concerns have been raised that the names used for the application site are incorrect and that the correct names for the whole site are Cors Tyddyn Waen, Cors Tŷ Coch and Cors Bron Haul. Could the Applicant/IACC advise what are, in their opinion, the correct names and ensure that going forward any documentation/correspondence uses the correct place names.
Q13.0.2	The Applicant	WA	Can the Applicant confirm that the buildings – in particular the reactor and spent fuel storage buildings – have been designed to minimise the potential for damage/destruction through either unique climatic events (such as Tsunami) or terrorist attack?
Q13.0.3	IACC and Menter Môn	Q	Please provide an update on the progress of the bid for Dark Sky status and if this has been successful the implications for any lighting strategy for the proposal?
Q13.0.4	The Applicant	Q	Can you provide a reference document/glossary that lists all the main abbreviations used throughout the application documents such as WAMS, CoCP, CEMP, WAS etc in one place?
Q13.0.5	The Applicant	Q	There are several references throughout the Code of Constriction Practice documents to work not occurring on Bank Holidays (eg paragraph 4.2.2 of the Park and Ride sub CoCP [APP-418]). Can the Applicant confirm that they mean Bank and Public holidays and amend the documents as

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			necessary?
<b>Q13.0.6</b>	The Applicant	Q	Construction workers would be mobile between sites. Is there an issue that contamination could be transferred between sites by workers and if so what measures do you propose to ensure that this would not happen?
<b>14.</b>	<b>Good Design</b>		
<b>Q14.0.1</b>	The Applicant	WA	Explain why there are no Detailed Design Drawings in Part 6 of Schedule 2 of the dDCO [APP-029] for any of the proposed buildings and structures on the Main Site out with the Power Island site.
<b>Q14.0.2</b>	The Applicant and IACC, DCfW and IPs	WA	<p>In respect of the Power Station site and the Design and Access Statement, Vol 2 [APP-408]:</p> <p>(a) Are the design principles set out in Part 5 adequate to meet the 'Criteria for Good Design for energy infrastructure' in respect of 'aesthetics' set out in section 4.5 of EN-1 and would they be adequately secured in the dDCO [APP-029];</p> <p>(b) Is the concept of a 'Grid Framework' (para. 4.1.9) to underpin the approach to design, layout and master planning appropriate and is it well realised in the design and layout proposals set out in the Design and Access Statement Vol. 2 [APP-408];</p> <p>(c) Are the proposed indicative landscape palette (Section 6.2); overarching materials palette (Section 6.4) and the colour palette (para. 4.1.22 ff) satisfactory and would they be adequately secured in the dDCO [APP-029]?</p>
<b>Q14.0.3</b>	The Applicant, IACC and DCfW	WA	In respect of the proposed buildings on the Power Station site outside of the Power Island (the 'office-type' and 'industrial' buildings):

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Would the right mechanisms be in place to achieve architectural excellence;</li> <li>(b) Should arrangements be secured to ensure continuing design review of these elements as the project progresses; and</li> <li>(c) Would there be value in holding design or architectural competitions to achieve buildings of excellence that complement the significant investment in power generation and add value to the employee, visitor and resident experience?</li> </ul>
<b>Q14.0.4</b>	The Applicant, IACC, NRW, NT and IPs	WF	<p>Does the approach to design and the proposals for the WNDA meet the project objectives set out in section 2.3 of the Design and Access Statement Vol.1 [APP-407] with respect to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Integrating sustainability into all physical designs;</li> <li>(b) Developing a green and sustainable approach in the development management of the buildings and operational activities; and</li> <li>(c) Ensuring that all the elements are designed to connect with the varied beauty and character of Ynys Môn and conserve and enhance the natural environment as far as possible?</li> </ul> <p>If not, what more should be done and how could it be secured?</p>
<b>Q14.0.5</b>	The Applicant, IACC, DCfW, NRW and IPs	WF	<p>Are the Power Station Design Principles set out in the Design and Access Statement Vol.2 [APP-408] Section 5 (page 700 ff), appropriate and sufficient to achieve the following objectives set out in para. 1.5.2 of this document [APP-408] and if not what can be done about it:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Reflect the importance of its setting in Ynys Môn;</li> <li>(b) Uphold the unique culture and language of Ynys Môn;</li> </ul>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(c) Integrate sustainability into all physical designs;</li> <li>(d) Develop a green and sustainable approach in the development and management of the buildings and operational activities;</li> <li>(e) Build on the legacy of the Existing Power Station, and help to create a positive for Ynys Môn;</li> <li>(f) Ensure that all the elements are designed to connect with the varied beauty and character of Ynys Môn and conserve and enhance the natural environment as far as possible?</li> </ul>
<b>Q14.0.6</b>	The Applicant	Q	Explain how the design principles for and the implementation of lighting proposals on all sites will respond to the IACC bid for Dark Sky Reserve Status and propose principles and policies that positively respond to this initiative?
<b>Q14.0.7</b>	IACC, DCfW and IPs	WE	<p>Bearing in mind its location adjacent to the boundary of the Anglesey AONB, do the Design and Access Statement [APP-409]; the parameter plans identified in Schedule 2, Part 4 and the Requirements in relation to Off-site Power Station Facilities Work Number 5, in Schedule 3 of the dDCO [APP-029] meet the planning policy objectives in respect of achieving high quality, sustainable development for the Off-site Power Station Facilities; with reference to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Design principles;</li> <li>(b) Master planning strategy;</li> <li>(c) Environmental sustainability;</li> <li>(d) Hard and soft landscaping proposals – including planting proposals;</li> <li>(e) Building and structure footprint, height and massing;</li> <li>(f) Materials and colour palettes;</li> <li>(g) Surface treatments;</li> <li>(h) Lighting;</li> </ul>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			(i) Boundary treatments; (j) Accessibility; (k) Community safety; (l) Maintenance regimes; and (m) Illustrative design proposals.  And if not what should be done about it?
<b>Q14.0.8</b>	The Applicant	WE	With respect to the Off-site Power Station Facilities proposals described in the Design and Access Statement [APP-409]:  (a) Explain why it is necessary to permanently park four ISO containers (stacked in pairs) in the open on the site and whether alternative options, for example, within the Power Island, have been investigated? (b) Could provision be made for cycle parking/storage and electric vehicle charging on site?
<b>Q14.0.9</b>	IACC	WE	Is the relationship between the proposed MEEG/AECC building on the Off-site Power Station Facilities site and the residential property to the east of the proposed building satisfactory – see Design and Access Statement Vol. 3 Part 1) [APP-409] Figures 20 (page 41) and 32 (page 55). If not, why not?
<b>Q14.0.10</b>	IACC, DCfW, NT, NAP and IPs	ADA	Do the Design and Access Statement [APP-409]; the parameter plan identified in Schedule 2, Part 4 and the Requirements in relation to Site Campus Works Work Number 3, in Schedule 3 of the dDCO [APP-029], including the maximum dimensions shown in WN20, meet the planning policy objectives in respect of achieving high quality, sustainable development for the Site Campus; with reference to:

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Design principles;</li> <li>(b) Master planning strategy;</li> <li>(c) Environmental sustainability;</li> <li>(d) Hard and soft landscaping proposals – including planting proposals;</li> <li>(e) Building and structure footprint, height and massing;</li> <li>(f) Materials and colour palettes;</li> <li>(g) Surface treatments;</li> <li>(h) Lighting;</li> <li>(i) Boundary treatments;</li> <li>(j) Accessibility;</li> <li>(k) Community safety;</li> <li>(l) Maintenance regimes; and</li> <li>(m) Illustrative design proposals.</li> </ul> <p>If not what should be done about it?</p>
<b>Q14.0.11</b>	The Applicant	ADA	<p>In relation to the proposals for the Site Campus set out in the Design and Access Statement [APP-409]:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Identify the proposed location for the Site Campus health facility and explain how it will be accessed by service vehicles and ambulances?</li> <li>(b) Explain the material from which the proposed ‘timber effect cladding panels’ would be made; how they would be fixed to the buildings and the standards they meet for dealing with extreme weather conditions and fire safety?</li> <li>(c) Describe proposals for the Site Campus buildings’ foundations and the mechanism by which they would be removed and the ground re-instated during decommissioning of the Site Campus?</li> <li>(d) Describe how roof top plant on the Site Campus accommodation</li> </ul>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			blocks would be hidden from view, including long distance views?
<b>Q14.0.12</b>	The Applicant, IACC, DCfW and IPs	ADB	<p>Do the Design and Access Statement [APP-410]; the Parameter Plans identified in Schedule 2, Part 4; the Detailed Design Plans identified in Schedule 2, Part 6 and the Requirements in relation to Park and Ride Facility Work Number 6 in Schedule 3 of the dDCO [APP-029] meet the planning policy objectives in respect of achieving high quality, sustainable development for the Park and Ride facility at Dalar Hir; with reference to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Design principles;</li> <li>(b) Master planning strategy;</li> <li>(c) Environmental sustainability;</li> <li>(d) Hard and soft landscaping proposals – including planting proposals;</li> <li>(e) Building and structure footprint, height and massing;</li> <li>(f) Materials and colour palettes;</li> <li>(g) Surface treatments;</li> <li>(h) Lighting;</li> <li>(i) Boundary treatments;</li> <li>(j) Accessibility;</li> <li>(k) Community safety;</li> <li>(l) Maintenance regime; and</li> <li>(m) Post-operation strategy.</li> </ul> <p>If not, what should be done about it?</p>
<b>Q14.0.13</b>	The Applicant, IACC, DCfW and IPs	ADC	Bearing in mind its location within the Anglesey AONB, do the Design and Access Statement [APP-409, APP-410]; the parameter plans identified in Schedule 2, Part 4; the Detailed Design Plans identified in Schedule 2, Part 6 and the Requirements in relation to Logistics Centre Work Number 7 in Schedule 3 of the dDCO [APP-029] meet the planning policy objectives in



Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>respect of achieving high quality, sustainable development for the Logistics Centre at Parc Cybi; with reference to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) The appropriateness of the proposed use for the site;</li> <li>(b) Hours of operation;</li> <li>(c) Design principles;</li> <li>(d) Master planning strategy;</li> <li>(e) Environmental sustainability;</li> <li>(f) Hard and soft landscaping proposals – including planting proposals;</li> <li>(g) Building and structure footprint, height and massing;</li> <li>(h) Materials and colour palettes;</li> <li>(i) Surface treatments;</li> <li>(j) Lighting;</li> <li>(k) Boundary treatments;</li> <li>(l) Accessibility;</li> <li>(m) Community safety;</li> <li>(n) Maintenance regimes; and</li> <li>(o) Post-operation strategy.</li> </ul> <p>If not, what should be done about it?</p>
<b>Q14.0.14</b>	The Applicant	ADA	<p>Should a revised layout be provided to mitigate concerns over the visual impact of the proposed Site Campus? If not, why not? How would delivery and phasing be secured through the dDCO?</p>
<b>15.</b>	<b>Land Use</b>		
<b>Q15.0.1</b>	The Applicant	Q	<p>Given the limited size of Wylfa A and the proposed limited footprint needed for the proposed power station complex (236 hectares) can the applicant either provide a statement or highlight where in the application documentation, other than paragraph 6.5.16 of the Planning Statement</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			[APP-406], the justification can be found for the amount of land (407 hectares) required for the WNDA?
<b>Q15.0.2</b>	The Applicant, IACC, National Trust and Interested Parties	Q	<p>PPW9 states that common land should not be developed and access should not be prevented or impeded unnecessarily:</p> <p>(a) Is any of the land within either the WNDA or for the associate development sites classified as common land?</p> <p>(b) If so can the applicant provide a map or plan which highlights the areas classified as common land? and</p> <p>(c) What measures are proposed to ensure access is maintained or where it is restricted or lost that this is minimised?</p>
<b>Q15.0.3</b>	The Applicant	WF	<p>(a) Please provide a plan and if necessary an accompanying table that physically shows the location of the various Agricultural Land Classifications for the WNDA.</p> <p>(b) How much of the WNDA would be classified as Best and Most Versatile (BMV)?</p> <p>(c) Planning Policy Wales and Technical Advice Note 6 provide advice regarding BMV. How would the proposal comply with this advice?</p>
<b>Q15.0.4</b>	The Applicant	ADC	<p>Would any BMV land be lost as a result of the proposed A5025 Off-line highway improvements?</p> <p>Would the proposed A5025 Off-line highways improvements result in any severance issues for farms or businesses along the proposed route?</p>
<b>Q15.0.5</b>	The Applicant	Q	How would potential short and long term breaches of agri-environment schemes caused by the Application be dealt with? Who would take the responsibility for dealing with any breaches, the Applicant or the signatory

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			of the scheme, if it is the signatory is the Applicant proposing any support or advice to them?
<b>16.</b>	<b>Need for the development</b>		
<b>Q16.0.1</b>	The Applicant	Q	Paragraph 1.3.15 of the Planning Statement [APP-406] states that there have been no relevant changes in circumstances since EN-1 and EN-6 were adopted. Given these documents were adopted in 2011 can the applicant expand on this statement and provide further evidence as to how they reached this conclusion?
<b>Q16.0.2</b>	The Applicant	Q	Paragraph 5.2.3 of the Planning Statement [APP-406] refers to reduction in electricity capacity with reference to coal. Whilst coal fired power stations are being retired a number are being converted to gas. Is this taken into account in this statement and if not how does this effect capacity?
<b>Q16.0.3</b>	The Applicant	WA	Can the Applicant provide responses to paras 6.4.11 and 6.4.23 of the Appraisal of Sustainability of the revised draft Nuclear NPS: Main Report in its Sustainability Statement [APP-426]?
<b>Q16.0.4</b>	The Applicant	Q	In NPS-EN6 para 1.7.4 states that one of the main findings of the Nuclear Appraisal of Sustainability is that "Key inter-relationships between biodiversity and other sustainability effects were identified. These were most notably in relation to flood risk management, water quality and sustainable communities." Can the Applicant report on these inter-relationships in the Sustainability Statement?
<b>17.</b>	<b>Policy Context</b>		
<b>Q17.0.1</b>	IACC and the Welsh Government	Q	The Applicant has made an assessment of the proposed development against National Policy Statements (NPS) EN-1 and EN-6, as well as considering planning policy specific to Wales (PPW and TANS) and local

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			<p>development plan policies which are considered to be relevant to the development.</p> <p>(a) Are the IACC and the Welsh Government satisfied that the Planning Statement addresses all relevant aspects of National Policy Statements, Welsh policy and development plan policies?</p> <p>(b) Are the IACC and the Welsh Government in agreement with the applicant's conclusions that the 'Proposed Development complies with the relevant planning policy tests'?</p>
<b>Q17.0.2</b>	Welsh Government and IACC	Q	<p>(a) Do the Welsh Government and the IACC accept the need case for the project is made, as set out in the Planning Statement?</p> <p>(b) In particular, that in principle, the project conforms with Planning Policy Wales (PPW9)?</p>
<b>Q17.0.3</b>	IACC	Q	<p>Could the IACC provide the following information:</p> <p>(a) The Development Plan policies that they consider relevant;</p> <p>(b) Any Development Plan policies with which the scheme is considered to conflict;</p> <p>(c) Whether any identified conflict would amount to a reason to refuse consent; and</p> <p>(d) Whether any further mitigation would be required and if it is what this should be?</p>
<b>Q17.0.4</b>	The Applicant, the Welsh Government and NRW	Q	<p>Does the Application help deliver the following:</p> <p>(a) Climate Change Strategy for Wales (Welsh Government, 2010);</p> <p>(b) Low Carbon Revolution – Welsh Government Energy Policy Statement (2010);</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			(c) Energy Wales : A Low Carbon Transition; (d) Economic Renewal: A New Direction (Welsh Government, 2010) (e) Cymraeg 2050: Welsh Language Strategy (2017)
<b>Q17.0.5</b>	Welsh Government	Q	Is the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act (2015) applicable to this application? And if it is, would the Application achieve the well-being goals set out in the Act and if it would not why would it not?
<b>Q17.0.6</b>	Welsh Government, the IACC and NRW	Q	The applicant states in the Planning Statement [APP-406] that the Technical Advice Notes (TANs) that were in place prior to the adoption of EN-1 and EN-6 are considered to attract less weight than those that were adopted subsequently. This would include: - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• TAN 11: Noise (1997)</li> <li>• TAN 15: Development and Flood Risk (2004)</li> <li>• TAN 18: Transport (1997)</li> </ul> <p>Can the parties comment on the weight they consider should be given to these documents?</p> <p>Of the relevant TANs that post-date the NPSs are there any aspects of the application that the parties consider fail to conform with or contradict these TANs?</p>
<b>Q17.0.7</b>	Welsh Government	Q	Provide an update on the progress of the Welsh National Marine Plan?
<b>Q17.0.8</b>	Welsh Government and the Applicant	Q	Can the Welsh Government provide an update on the progress of Planning Policy Wales Edition 10 (PPW10)?  Can the applicant advise whether paragraph 5.4.45 of the Planning

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			Statement [APP-406] needs to be updated to reflect the policy contained within the emerging PPW10?
<b>Q17.0.9</b>	IACC and the Applicant	Q	<p>IACC:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Provide an update on the draft Wylfa Newydd Supplementary Planning Guidance 2018 (the SPG) that is referred to in paragraphs 5.4.48 to 5.4.1 of the Planning Statement [APP-406]?</li> <li>(b) Provide a copy of the most recent version of the SPG and indicate what weight you consider it should be given.</li> <li>(c) If the SPG has been adopted, outline what you consider are the implications for the Application?</li> </ul> <p>Applicant - in paragraph 5.4.51 and 5.4.5-5.4.7 of the Planning Statement you state that parts of the revised SPG are, in your opinion, inconsistent with JLDP and advice from the Planning Inspectorate on SPG's for NSIPs. Can you:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Provide further explanation of where you consider the draft SPG is inconsistent with these documents?</li> <li>(b) Advise whether the SPG and relevance of SPG on pages 60 and 61 of the Planning Statement needs to be updated to reflect any progress made on the adoption/approval of the SPG?</li> <li>(c) In light of the above what weight do you consider should be given to the SPG?</li> </ul> <p>IACC – Please respond to the applicants comment with regards to the alleged inconsistencies between the SPG and the JLDP and advice provided in the PINS Advice Note 2?</p>

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
<b>Q17.0.10</b>	The Applicant	Q	Please respond to the suggestion made in Relevant Representations (including RR-004 and Clare RR-069) that in the context of National Policy Statement EN-5 section 2.3, the generating station and any associated new grid connection should be the subject of a single application.
<b>Q17.0.11</b>	Welsh Government, IACC and NRW	Q	Do the parties consider that within the Welsh national context, there are any other present or forthcoming future policies, strategies or initiatives that are relevant to the examination and should therefore be considered by the ExA during the examination?
<b>Q17.0.12</b>	NG and the Applicant	Q	Paragraph 3.14.1 of National Policy Statement EN-6 notes that electricity transmission issues were not taken into consideration in the Strategic Siting Assessment ('SSA'). Noting the context provided by Application Reference Number: 7.1 – <i>Electricity Grid Connection Statement</i> [REF] and the network transmission reinforcement works summarised in section 4 of that document, what impacts reasonably could be expected to result from these associated works? (CS)
<b>Q17.0.13</b>	NG and the Applicant	Q	Paragraphs 1.6.47-1.6.49 of <i>Application Reference No.: 6.4.1 ES Volume D – WNDA Development D1 – Proposed Development</i> [REF] indicate that four circuits would link to the existing 400kV connection. Paragraph 1.6.47 highlights the responsibility for the substation, including wider network reinforcements, lies with National Grid. However (and in the absence of relevant actions or decisions taken by others), do the demands on the transmission network from other energy developments (either existing or planned) on or around the island have any potential impacts on the deliverability of this proposal? (CS)
<b>Q17.0.14</b>	IACC	Q	Several references have been made regarding the need for the application to deliver a long term legacy for Ynys Môn. Can the IACC explain where

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			this aspiration is set out in adopted policy such as the JDLP and whether in their opinion the proposal would comply with such a policy and if not, why not?
<b>18.</b>	<b>Shipping and Navigation</b>		
<b>Q18.0.1</b>	The Applicant	WF	Provide the vessel simulation study referred to in APP-080 and referenced in the Navigational Risk Assessment APP-235.
<b>Q18.0.2</b>	The Applicant Trinity House	WF	Is the Marine Works Permanent Aids to Navigation Plan APP-017 the plan that has been approved by Trinity House? If not, what is the status of the plan and what plan has been approved by Trinity House?
<b>Q18.0.3</b>	The Applicant	WF	Confirm the width of the channel at the entrance to the harbour.
<b>Q18.0.4</b>	The Applicant	WF	What is the maximum size of vessel in terms of tonnage, length and draft that can berth at the harbour? Please signpost within the existing documentation or provide details of the modelling that has been undertaken in respect of these vessels.
<b>Q18.0.5</b>	The Applicant	WF	What allowance has been made for unloading berthed vessels and ro-ro vessels? Please signpost within the existing documentation or provide details of where the modelling that has been undertaken in respect to unloading.
<b>Q18.0.6</b>	The Applicant	WF	Is the Applicant intending to apply the International Ship and Port Facility Security Code (the ISPS Code)? If so, provide details. If not, why not?
<b>Q18.0.7</b>	The Applicant	WF	Is the Applicant intending to apply to become a Port Security Authority? Port Security Regulations 2009 If so, provide details. If not, why not?



<b>Reference</b>	<b>Respondent:</b>	<b>Location:</b>	<b>Question:</b>
<b>Q18.0.8</b>	The Applicant	WF	What area would be covered by the ISPS Code?
<b>Q18.0.9</b>	The Applicant	WF	Who would the Port Security Officer be?
<b>Q18.0.10</b>	The Applicant	WF	Where is the Port Security Plan?
<b>Q18.0.11</b>	The Applicant	WF	How are the other requirements of the Port Security Regulations 2009 being adhered to?
<b>Q18.0.12</b>	The Applicant	WF	Would the full extent of the Harbour Limits be a prohibited area? If so, when, how and by whom would this area be patrolled?
<b>Q18.0.13</b>	The Applicant	WF	What is the purpose of the layby berth? Why would this be necessary?
<b>Q18.0.14</b>	The Applicant	WF	What provision has been made for Pilot Boats and where would these Pilot Boats be based?
<b>Q18.0.15</b>	The Applicant	WF	What are the maximum wind and wave heights that have been modelled in the tow survey? Provide a copy of the report.
<b>Q18.0.16</b>	The Applicant	WF	Provide details of the protective layer over the shore line referred to in 11.4
<b>Q18.0.17</b>	The Applicant	WF	What are the security arrangements proposed for the harbour?
<b>Q18.0.18</b>	The Applicant	WF	What happens to the harbour after completion of the proposed works? Would it be fenced off?
<b>Q18.0.19</b>	The Applicant	WF	How do the WNDA and the Harbour interact? What are the security arrangements and lines of jurisdiction?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
Q18.0.20	The Applicant	WF	Provide further details of the 8 vessel movements referred to in the change request.
Q18.0.21	The Applicant	WF	What is the purpose of the RoRo and why is this needed?
Q18.0.22	The Applicant	WF	What is the mix of vessels proposed for using the harbour and where has this been modelled?
Q18.0.23	The Applicant	WF	How and from where would Pilots and the Harbour Master be recruited and when and how would they be trained? What steps would be undertaken to secure retention of these personnel?
Q18.0.24	The Applicant	WC	When considering the proposed MOLF did the applicant consider using the existing harbour facilities at Holyhead and if they did why was this not taken forward as an option?
Q18.0.25	The Applicant, Maritime and Coastguard Agency and Trinity House	WC	Section 4.3 of the Marine Works Sub CoCP [APP-416] deals with site lighting - are there any potential navigation issues that could arise for vessels from site lighting during construction of the MOLF? If there are how could these be mitigated?
<b>19.</b>	<b>Transboundary Considerations</b>		
Q19.0.1	The Applicant	Q	A number of RRs (RR-136, RR-139, RR-141 to RR143) express concerns regarding dispersion calculations for a severe accident scenario which demonstrate possible contamination of EU countries. In particular, references are made to the "project flexRISK" methodology for dispersion modelling predictions in the case of a nuclear accident scenario. Can the Applicant explain how this extreme risk has been addressed as part of the application for development consent and any other separate consents required for the operation and maintenance of the Proposed Development

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			(as set out in Application Document 5.4 [APP-053]?)
<b>Q19.0.2</b>	Danish Emergency Management Agency	Q	Can the Danish Emergency Management Agency [RR- 135] provide details of its concerns regarding safety functions implemented or not at the Wylfa site?
<b>Q19.0.3</b>	Ministry for the Ecological Transition	Q	Can the Ministry for the Ecological Transition provide details of its concerns expressed in its RR [RR-138] in relation to insufficient information given in the transboundary screening assessment?
<b>Q19.0.4</b>	ONR	Q	What steps can be taken to satisfy IPs that due consideration is given to the concerns related to potential contamination resulting from a severe accident? The ExA is aware of sections 4.10 and 2.7 of NPS' EN-1 and EN-6 respectively, and that consideration of the application for development consent should not seek to duplicate such matters that are within the remit of Nuclear Regulators.
<b>20.</b>	<b>Waste Management and Radioactive Waste Management</b>		
<b>Q20.0.1</b>	The Applicant /ONR	WF	Please respond to the concerns raised in RR-110 regarding the security of stored radioactive waste.
<b>Q20.0.2</b>	The Applicant	WF	Please respond to the matters raised in RR-087 in relation to the proposed radioactive waste storage facilities and whether they fall within section 14 of the Planning Act 2008.
<b>Q20.0.3</b>	The Applicant and IACC	WF	Paragraph B.5.1 of Annex B to EN-6 states that the Government is satisfied that effective arrangements will exist to manage and disposal of the waste that would be produced from new nuclear power stations and as a result, the Examining Authority should not address this. However, are there any planning issues relating to the on-site management of radioactive waste

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			that indicate significant effects would be likely in regard to these matters and if so, why?
<b>Q20.0.4</b>	The Applicant	Q	Please respond to Welsh Government's [RR-092] concern in relation to the volume of waste that would be generated by the proposed development.
<b>Q20.0.5</b>	The Applicant	Q	Please respond to Natural Resources Wales' [RR-088] comment (at paragraph 3.1.1) that the Environmental Statement contains insufficient detail to demonstrate how construction waste would be managed, and if the application were to be successful, detailed Site Waste Management Plans would need to be agreed through a DCO Requirement.
<b>Q20.0.6</b>	The Applicant	Q	The 'Horizon Waste Hierarchy' is set out in section 15 of the Wylfa Newydd Code of Operational Practice ('CoOP') and the strategy within the Wylfa Newydd Code of Construction Practice ('CoCP'). How does the Horizon Waste Hierarchy reflect or address policy objectives for waste management, including that within section 5.14 of the 'Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy' (EN-1) and Welsh Government's 'Towards Zero Waste'?
<b>Q20.0.7</b>	The Applicant	Q	Policy objectives for the management of waste include those within section 5.14 of the 'Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy' (EN-1), and Welsh Government's 'Towards Zero Waste'. How does the proposal address the 'Applicant's assessment' for managing waste as set out paragraph 5.14.6 of EN-1? Is there a specific 'Site Waste Management Plan', and is it within/does it include section 9 of the CoCP?

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
Q20.0.8	NRW	Q	Your RR [RR-088] states that there is insufficient detail in ES C6 to demonstrate how construction generated waste will be managed and that the assessment of available waste management capacity will need to be updated prior to and throughout the construction phase. Can NRW explain where the deficiencies lie and why it considers that a Site Waste Management Plan is necessary as opposed to measures set out within the CoCP?
<b>21.</b>	<b>Water Quality and Resources</b>		
Q21.0.1	The Applicant and IACC	WF	Can the applicant confirm: (a) if they were aware of the EU (WEFO) Bathing Water Quality in Cemaes Bay Study, as is referred to in [RR-017]? (b) Did the report include an assessment of the impact of the proposal on the bay? (c) Was the findings of the report included in the applicants assessment of water quality in the ES and or the Water Framework Directive Compliance assessment? (d) Can IACC expand on its concerns in its RR [RR-020] para5 .7.5 in relation to bathing water quality at Cemaes Bay?
Q21.0.2	The Applicant	ADA	Chapter D1 – para 1.8.18 – states that the extension of the Cemaes water treatment plant is required for the site campus. It is not clear whether these works form part of the authorised development? If so, what part of the dDCO (is it Work 3A(c) or 'other associated development part (b))?
Q21.0.3	The Applicant	Q	Chapter G1 – mentions culverts in paras 1.3.9, 1.3.62, 1.3.147 – could you please clarify where these are located.
Q21.0.4	The Applicant, IACC and NRW	WF	Section 10.4 of the Main Powers Station Site Sub CoCP [APP-415] sets out a variety of monitoring and survey work that will be undertaken. Who is responsible for assessing all this monitoring and survey work? If it is the

Reference	Respondent:	Location:	Question:
			IACC or NRW do they have the expertise and/or resources to undertake the work or would a developer contribution be required to fund this aspect of the proposal?
<b>Q21.0.5</b>	The Applicant	ADB	Paragraph 10.3.2 of the PRSCoCP [APP-418] refers to an on-site sewage treatment plant – is such a facility necessary?
<b>Q21.0.6</b>	The Applicant	Q	Explain the implications of removing Works No12 (Site Clearance and Preparation Works) from the DCO and delivering these works only within the provisions of the current planning application, should it be granted. If Works No12 proceed under the DCO, what are the implications for the s106 relating to the Site Preparation Works and the s106 under discussion for the DCO application?

## Appendix A - Q4.0.23

### WYLFA NEWYDD : LIST OF ALL OBJECTIONS TO THE GRANTING OF COMPULSORY ACQUISITION POWERS

Obj No. <sup>i</sup>	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref No <sup>ii</sup>	RR Ref No <sup>iii</sup>	WR Ref No <sup>iv</sup>	Other Doc Ref No <sup>v</sup>	Interest <sup>vi</sup>	Permanent/ Temporary <sup>vii</sup>	Plot(s)	CA? <sup>viii</sup>	Status of objection
1.	Ann Tooze	20010302	002							
2.	Roger Dobson	20010295	007							
3.	Magnox Ltd	20010387	013							
4.	SP Energy Networks	20010386	014							
5.	Gwawr Jones	20011643	042							
6.	Davis Meade Property Consultants <i>on behalf of MW, EW &amp; M Harper</i>	WYLF-AP045	048							
7.	Humphreys Waste Recycling Ltd	20010971	050							
8.	National Trust	20010995	053							
9.	Coed Cottages	20011089	058							
10.	Mark Bennet <i>on behalf of residents of Plas Ellen</i>	20011165	063							
11.	SP Energy Networks on behalf of SP Manweb	20011563	080							
12.	The Representative Body of The Church in Wales	WYLF-AP140	081							
13.	Caroline Bateson	20011594	085							
14.	Addleshaw Goddard LLP <i>on behalf of Network Rail Infrastructure Ltd</i>	20011596	089							
15.	Welsh Government	20011597	092							
16.	Bryngwran Cymunedol Ltd	WYLF-SP004	093							
17.	Andrew Robert Patience	20011626	098							
18.	Brian Horsey	20011640	103							
19.	Dafydd Owen		106							





## Appendix A - Q4.0.23

---

<sup>i</sup> Obj = objection number.

<sup>ii</sup> Reference number assigned to each Interested Party (IP) and Affected Person (AP)

<sup>iii</sup> Reference number assigned to each Relevant Representation (RR) in the Examination library

<sup>iv</sup> Reference number assigned to each Written Representation (WR) in the Examination library

<sup>v</sup> Reference number assigned to any other document in the Examination library

<sup>vi</sup> This refers to parts 1 to 3 of the Book of Reference:

- Part 1, containing the names and addresses of the owners, lessees, tenants, and occupiers of, and others with an interest in, or power to sell and convey, or release, each parcel of Order land;
- Part 2, containing the names and addresses of any persons whose land is not directly affected under the Order, but who "would or might" be entitled to make a claim under section 10 of the Compulsory Purchase Act 1965, as a result of the Order being implemented, or Part 1 of the Land Compensation Act 1973, as a result of the use of the land once the Order has been implemented;
- Part 3, containing the names and addresses of any persons who are entitled to easements or other private rights over the Order land that may be extinguished, suspended or interfered with under the Order.

<sup>vii</sup> This column indicates whether then Applicant is seeking compulsory acquisition or temporary possession of land/ rights

<sup>viii</sup> CA = compulsory acquisition. The answer is 'yes' if the land is in parts 1 or 3 of the Book of Reference and the Applicant is seeking compulsory acquisition of land/ rights

---