

## Adran 55 Derbyn Ceisiadau

### Rhestr Wirio Cais Adran 55<sup>1</sup>

Adran 55(2) Derbyn Ceisiadau					
1	O fewn 28 niwrnod (yn dechrau'r diwrnod ar ôl iddo gael y cais), rhaid i'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol benderfynu p'un ai derbyn y cais ai peidio.	Dyddiad derbyn	Dyddiad disgwyl 28 niwrnod	Dyddiad y penderfyniad	
		<b>1 Mehefin 2018</b>	<b>29 Mehefin 2018</b>	<b>28 Mehefin 2018</b>	
Adran 55(3) – caiff yr Ysgrifennydd Gwladol dderbyn cais <u>dim ond</u> os yw ef neu hi yn dod i'r casgliad:-		<b>Sylwadau'r Arolygiaeth Gynllunio</b>			
<b>Adran 55(3)(a) ac adran 55(3)(c) Ei fod yn gais am orchymyn sy'n rhoi caniatâd datblygu</b>					
2	<p>A yw'r datblygiad yn brosiect seilwaith o arwyddocâd cenedlaethol<sup>2</sup> (NSIP) (neu a yw'n ffurfio rhan o NSIP); ac a yw'r cais yn datgan, ar yr olwg gyntaf, ei fod yn gais am orchymyn caniatâd datblygu<sup>3</sup> (DCO) o dan Ddeddf Cynllunio 2008, neu eiriau cyfatebol? A yw'r cais yn nodi'r datblygiad y mae'n ymwneud ag ef (h.y. o fewn pa gategori neu gategoriâu yn adrannau 14-30 y mae cynllun y cais yn dod)?</p> <p>Os nad yw'r datblygiad yn dod o fewn y categorïau yn adrannau 14-30, a yw'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol wedi rhoi cyfarwyddyd o dan adran 35 Deddf Cynllunio 2008 i'r datblygiad gael ei drin fel datblygiad y mae angen</p>	<p><b>Ydyw</b></p> <p>Mae'r datblygiad arfaethedig a amlinellir yn <b>Atodlen 1</b> y <b>DCO drafft</b> (DCOd) (<b>Dogfen 3.1</b>) yn Brosiect Seilwaith o Arwyddocâd Cenedlaethol (NSIP)), sef datblygiad sy'n dod o fewn categorïau a14 ac a15 Deddf Cynllunio 2008.</p> <p>Mae hyn yn gyson â'r crynodeb a roddwyd ym <b>Mlwch 4</b> y <b>Ffurflen Gais (Dogfen 1.1)</b> sy'n dod i'r casgliad bod y cais yn un ar gyfer NSIP.</p>			

<sup>1</sup> Mae cyfeiriadau yn y ddogfen hon at yr Ysgrifennydd Gwladol yn cynnwys cyfeiriadau (lle y bo'n berthnasol) at Gyfarwyddiaeth Ceisiadau a Chynlluniau Mawr yr Arolygiaeth Gynllunio sy'n cyflawni swyddogaethau yn ymwneud â chaniatáu prosiectau seilwaith o arwyddocâd cenedlaethol ar ran yr Ysgrifennydd Gwladol

<sup>2</sup> Diffinnir NSIP yn gyffredinol yn adran 14 ac amlinellir y trothwyon manwl ar gyfer pob un o'r categorïau penodol yn adrannau 15-30

<sup>3</sup> Mae angen caniatâd datblygu ar gyfer datblygiad i'r graddau y mae'r datblygiad yn NSIP neu'n ffurfio rhan o NSIP (adran 31 Deddf Cynllunio 2008)

	caniatâd datblygu ar ei gyfer?	
<b>3</b>	<b>Crynodeb - adran 55(3)(a) ac adran 55(3)(c)</b>	<p>Mae <b>Blwch 4 y Ffurflen Gais (Dogfen 1.1)</b> yn cadarnhau bod yr ymgeisydd wedi dangos bod y cais fel y'i cyflwynwyd yn gais ar gyfer gorchymyn sy'n rhoi caniatâd datblygu o dan Ddeddf Cynllunio 2008.</p> <p>Mae digon o dystiolaeth wedi cael ei rhoi i ddangos bod y cais yn NSIP yn unol ag Adrannau 14(1) a 15(2) Deddf Cynllunio 2008.</p>
<b>Adran 55(3)(e) Bod yr ymgeisydd, o ran y cais a gyflwynwyd, wedi cydymffurfio â Phennod 2 Rhan 5 (y weithdrefn cyn-ymgeisio)</b>		
4	Yn unol â'r Rheoliadau AEA <sup>4</sup> , a wnaeth yr ymgeisydd (cyn cynnal ymgynghoriad yn unol ag adran 42) naill ai (a) ofyn i'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol roi barn sgrinio mewn perthynas â'r datblygiad y mae'r cais yn ymwneud ag ef, neu (b) hysbysu'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol yn ysgrifenedig ei fod yn bwriadu darparu datganiad amgylcheddol mewn perthynas â'r datblygiad hwnnw?	<p>(a) <b>Na</b>, ni ofynnodd yr Ymgeisydd am farn sgrinio mewn perthynas â'r datblygiad.</p> <p>(b) <b>Do</b>, ar 23 Tachwedd 2009, cyn dechrau cam un yr ymgynghoriad a42 ar 28 Medi 2014.</p> <p>Cyflwynwyd ail adroddiad cwmpasu ar 19 Mawrth 2016 i sicrhau bod newidiadau i'r prosiect yn cael eu hystyried yn y broses gwmpasu. Cyflwynwyd atodiad i hwn ar 4 Mai 2016. (<b>Dogfen 6.11 Crynodeb Annhechnegol o'r Datganiad Amgylcheddol</b>).</p>
5	A dderbyniwyd unrhyw gynrychiolaethau digonolrwydd ymgynghori <sup>5</sup> gan awdurdodau "A", "B", "C" a "D"; os felly, a ydynt yn cadarnhau bod yr ymgeisydd wedi cydymffurfio â'r dyletswyddau o dan adran 42, adran 47 ac adran 48?	<p><b>Do</b></p> <p>Mae un awdurdod cynhaliol ("B"), sef Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn (y Cyngor).</p> <p>Yn ei Gynrychiolaeth Digonolrwydd Ymgynghori (AoCR), cadarnhaodd y Cyngor fod yr Ymgeisydd wedi cydymffurfio â'i ddyletswyddau o dan a42, a47 ac a48 Deddf Cynllunio 2008.</p> <p>Roedd y Cyngor yn pryderu am hyd Ymgynghoriad Cyn-ymgeisio Cam 3 (PAC3) yr Ymgeisydd a'r diffyg manylion a lluniadau technegol ar gyfer y campws ar y safle yn ystod PAC3. Er gwaethaf hyn, mae'r Cyngor yn fodlon bod yr Ymgeisydd wedi cydymffurfio ag a47 Deddf Cynllunio PA2008.</p>

<sup>4</sup> Rheoliad 8 Rheoliadau Cynllunio Seilwaith (Asesu Effeithiau Amgylcheddol) 2017 (Rheoliadau AEA 2017), neu Rheoliad 6 Rheoliadau Cynllunio Seilwaith (Asesu Effeithiau Amgylcheddol) 2009 (Rheoliadau AEA 2009) (lle mae Rheoliad 37 Rheoliadau AEA 2017 yn berthnasol).

<sup>5</sup> Mae adran 55(4) Deddf Cynllunio 2008 yn darparu bod rhaid i'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol ystyried yr adroddiad ymgynghori, ac unrhyw gynrychiolaethau digonolrwydd ymgynghori a dderbyniwyd

	<p>Mae'r Cyngor yn datgan yn ei AoCR bod y diffyg manylion, cynlluniau a mesurau lliniaru yn Ymgynghoriad Cyn-ymgeisio Cam 2 (PAC2) yr Ymgeisydd yn golygu y bu'n anodd i'r Cyngor ymateb mor llawn ac mor ystyrlon i'r ymgynghoriad PAC2 a PAC3 ag y byddai wedi dymuno. Fodd bynnag, mae trafodaethau pellach â'r Ymgeisydd wedi galluogi'r Cyngor i wneud sylwadau ystyrlon a dylanwadu ar y prosiect, ac felly, mae'r Cyngor o'r farn bod yr Ymgeisydd wedi bodloni ei rwymedigaethau o dan a42 Deddf Cynllunio 2008.</p> <p>Mae'r AoCR wedi cael ei hystyried yn ofalus ac mae ar gael i'w gweld ar dudalen y <a href="#">prosiect</a> ar y wefan Cynllunio Seilwaith Cenedlaethol.</p>
--	--

#### Adran 42: Dyletswydd i Ymgynghori

	A wnaeth yr ymgeisydd ymgynghori â'r unigolion perthnasol a amlinellir yn adran 42 Deddf Cynllunio 2008 ynglŷn â'r cais arfaethedig?	
6	Adran 42(1)(a) unigolion rhagnodedig <sup>6</sup> ?	<p><b>Do</b></p> <p>Mae'r Ymgeisydd wedi darparu rhestr o unigolion yr ymgynghorwyd â nhw o dan a42(1)(a) ar 30 Awst 2016, 23 Mai 2017, ac 16 Ionawr 2018 yn <b>Atodiad D1 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>. Mae'r Atodiad wedi rhannu'r ymgynghoreion a42(1)(a) yn dri chategori.</p> <p>Tabl 1: Ymgynghoreion yr ymgynghorwyd â nhw yn unol ag adran 42          Tabl 2: Cynghorau Cymuned          Tabl 3: Ymgymerywyr statudol perthnasol</p> <p>Mae'r Arolygiaeth wedi sylwi nad yw'r rhestr o ymgynghoreion a ragnodir yn <b>Atodiad D1 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> yn cynnwys yr ymgynghoreion canlynol:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Y Comisiwn Cydraddoldeb a Hawliau Dynol</li> <li>• OFGEM</li> <li>• Fforwm Cydnerthedd Lleol Gogledd Cymru</li> <li>• E.ON UK Plc</li> </ul>

<sup>6</sup> Amlinellir ymgynghoreion statudol yn Atodlen 1 Rheoliadau Cynllunio Seilwaith (Ceisiadau: Ffurflenni a Gweithdrefn Ragnodedig) 2009

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Wales and West Utilities Ltd</li> <li>• Yr Awdurdod Datgomisiynu Niwclear. Er nad yw wedi'i restru'n ymgynghorai a ragnodir yn <b>Atodiad D1</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Doc 5.1)</b>, mae <b>paragraff 5.4.120</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> yn datgan bod Horizon wedi dechrau proses ymgysylltu â'r Awdurdod Datgomisiynu Niwclear, sy'n awgrymu yr ymgynghorwyd ag ef yn ystod Cam 2.</li> </ul> <p>O ystyried amgylchiadau unigol yr achos hwn, a chan ddefnyddio ymagwedd ragofalus i sicrhau bod pawb y gallai'r cais effeithio arnynt, neu y gallent fod â buddiant ynddo, yn cael cyfle i gymryd rhan yn llawn wrth archwilio'r cais, mae'r Arolygiaeth yn awgrymu bod yr Ymgeisydd yn cynnwys y cyrff uchod ymhlith y rhai y bydd yn rhoi gwybod iddynt fod y cais wedi cael ei dderbyn o dan a56(2)(a) Deddf Cynllunio 2008, oni bai bod cyfiawnhad penodol dros beidio â gwneud hynny.</p> <p>Mae <a href="#">cyngor ar A51</a> wedi cael ei gyhoeddi ynglŷn â'r mater hwn.</p>
7	Adran 42(1)(aa) y Sefydliad Rheoli Morol <sup>7</sup> ?	<p><b>Do.</b></p> <p>Mae <b>Atodiad D1</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> yn datgan yr ymgynghorwyd â'r Sefydliad Rheoli Morol ar 30 Awst 2016 (Cam 2) a 23 Mai 2017 (Cam 3).</p>
8	Adran 42(1)(b) pob awdurdod lleol o fewn adran 43 <sup>8</sup> ?	<p><b>Do</b></p> <p>Mae'r Ymgeisydd wedi ymgynghori â Chyngor Sir Ynys Môn, sef yr unig awdurdod lleol perthnasol o fewn a43. Mae <b>Atodiad D1</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> yn datgan yr ymgynghorwyd ag ef ar 30 Awst 2016 (Cam 2) a 23 Mai 2017 (Cam 3).</p>
9	Adran 42(1)(c) Awdurdod Llundain Fwyaf (os yw yn ardal Llundain Fwyaf)?	Amherthnasol.

<sup>7</sup> O ran unrhyw achos lle y byddai'r datblygiad arfaethedig yn effeithio ar unrhyw un o'r ardaloedd a nodir yn adran 42(2) Deddf Cynllunio 2008, neu a fyddai'n debygol o effeithio arnynt

<sup>8</sup> Dyma'r diffiniad o "awdurdod lleol" yn adran 43(3): Yr awdurdod "B" lle mae tir yr ymgeisydd o fewn ardal yr awdurdod; yr awdurdod "A" lle mae unrhyw ran o ffin ardal A hefyd yn rhan o ffin ardal B; yr awdurdod "C" (haen uwch) lle mae tir y cais o fewn ardal yr awdurdod hwnnw; yr awdurdod "D" lle mae awdurdod o'r fath yn rhannu ffin ag awdurdod "C"

10	Adran 42(1)(d) pob unigolyn o fewn un categori adran 44 neu fwy <sup>9</sup> ?	<p><b>Do</b></p> <p>Mae'r Ymgeisydd wedi ymgynghori â phob unigolyn o fewn un categori adran a44 neu fwy, fel y disgrifir yn <b>Adran 1.4.1 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>.</p>
<p><b>Adran 45: Amserlen ar gyfer Ymgynghoriad adran 42</b></p>		
11	A oedd yr ymgeisydd wedi hysbysu ymgynghoreion adran 42 o'r dyddiad cau ar gyfer derbyn ymatebion i'r ymgynghoriad; ac, os felly, a oedd y dyddiad cau a nodwyd gan yr ymgeisydd yn rhoi 28 niwrnod neu fwy iddynt ymateb, yn dechrau y diwrnod ar ôl iddynt dderbyn y dogfennau ymgynghori?	<p><b>Oedd</b></p> <p>Anfonwyd y llythyr dyddiedig 30 Awst 2016 ynglŷn ag ymgynghoriad cam 2 at ymgynghoreion a42, ac mae'n cadarnhau mai'r dyddiad cau ar gyfer ymateb oedd 25 Hydref 2016, sy'n fwy na 28 niwrnod ar ôl dyddiad y llythyr hysbysu a42 (<b>Atodiad B.6 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>).</p> <p>Anfonwyd rhai llythyrau a oedd yn cynnwys dyddiad ac eraill nad oeddent yn cynnwys dyddiad ar 22 Mai 2017 ynglŷn ag ymgynghoriad cam tri at ymgynghoreion a42, ac maen nhw'n cadarnhau mai'r dyddiad cau ar gyfer ymateb oedd 22 Mehefin 2017, sy'n fwy na 28 niwrnod ar ôl dyddiad y llythyr hysbysu a42 (<b>Atodiad C.6 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>). Mae'r copi enghreifftiol o'r llythyrau nad ydynt yn cynnwys dyddiad yn dangos eu bod yn datgan yn anghywir bod yr ymgynghoriad yn dechrau ar 24 Mehefin 2017, yn hytrach na 24 Mai 2017. Felly, aifonwyd llythyrau eraill i gywiro'r camgymediad hwn. Nid yw'r llythyrau enghreifftiol hyn yn cynnwys dyddiad ychwaith, ond mae'r Ymgeisydd yn datgan y'u hanfonwyd ar 23 Mai 2017, sef 28 niwrnod cyn diwedd yr ymgynghoriad.</p> <p>Mae'r Ymgeisydd yn esbonio yn <b>Adran 6.2.12 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> bod adolygiad a gynhaliwyd yn ystod y cyfnod ymgynghori cam tri wedi amlygu buddiannau tir ychwanegol a gynhwyswyd yn y DCO. Roedd camgymeriad hefyd o ran faint o amser a roddwyd i ymateb i'r ymgynghoriad, felly anfonwyd llythyrau ar 12 Mehefin 2017 yn cadarnhau mai'r dyddiad cau ar gyfer ymateb oedd 12 Gorffennaf, ac ar 14 Mehefin 2017 yn cadarnhau mai'r dyddiad cau ar gyfer ymateb oedd 14 Gorffennaf 2017, sy'n fwy na 28 niwrnod. Rhoddir copïau enghreifftiol o'r llythyrau hyn yn <b>Atodiad C.6 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>.</p>

<sup>9</sup> Categori 1: perchennog, deiliad prydles, tenant neu feddiannwr tir; Categori 2: unigolyn sydd â buddiant yn y tir neu sydd â'r pŵer i werthu a throsglwyddo'r tir neu ryddhau'r tir; Categori 3: unigolyn sydd â hawl i wneud hawliad perthnasol. Nid oes gofyniad i wirio cywirdeb y rhestr(au) na ph'un a yw'r ymgeisydd wedi gwneud ymholiadau diwyd

		Anfonwyd y llythyr dyddiedig 16 Ionawr 2018 ynglŷn ag ymgynghoriad ar dir ychwanegol at ymgynghoreion a42, ac mae'n cadarnhau mai'r dyddiad cau ar gyfer ymateb oedd 16 Chwefror 2018, sy'n fwy na 28 niwrnod ar ôl dyddiad y llythyr hysbysu a42. Rhoddir copiâu enghreifftiol o'r llythyrau hyn yn <b>Atodiad E.2</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> .
<b>Adran 46: Dyletswydd i hysbysu'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol o'r cais arfaethedig</b>		
12	A gyflenwodd yr ymgeisydd wybodaeth i hysbysu'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol o'r cais arfaethedig; ac, os felly, a gyflwynwyd y wybodaeth i'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol ar y dyddiad y'i hanfonwyd at yr ymgynghoreion adran 42 neu cyn hynny? A wnaed hyn wrth ddechrau ymgynghori o dan adran 42 neu cyn hynny?	<p><b>Do</b></p> <p>Rhoddodd yr Ymgeisydd rybudd o dan a46 ar 31 Awst 2016, sef ar ddechrau neu cyn dechrau'r ymgynghoriad a42 ar 31 Awst 2016; ac ar 23 Mai 2017, sef ar ddechrau neu cyn dechrau'r ymgynghoriad a42 ar 24 Mai 2017.</p> <p>Mae copi o'r llythyr dyddiedig 31 Awst 2016 sy'n cynnwys rhestr o'r dogfennau ymgynghori a anfonwyd at yr Ysgrifennydd Gwladol wedi'i gynnwys yn <b>Atodiad B.1</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>, ac mae copi o'r llythyr dyddiedig 23 Mai 2017 sy'n cynnwys rhestr o'r dogfennau ymgynghori a anfonwyd at yr Ysgrifennydd Gwladol wedi'i gynnwys yn <b>Atodiad C.1</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>.</p>
<b>Adran 47: Dyletswydd i ymgynghori â'r gymuned leol</b>		
13	A baratôdd yr ymgeisydd ddatganiad ymgynghori â'r gymuned (SoCC) ynglŷn â sut yr oedd yn bwriadu ymgynghori â phobl sy'n byw yng nghyffiniau'r tir?	<p><b>Do</b></p> <p>Lluniodd yr Ymgeisydd Ddatganiad Ymgynghori â'r Gymuned (SoCC) ym mis Medi 2014 a gyflenwir gyda'r cais (<b>Atodiad A.4</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>).</p> <p>Lluniwyd SoCC wedi'i ddiweddarau ym mis Ionawr 2016 ac fe'i cyflenwir gyda'r cais (<b>Atodiad B.5</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>).</p> <p>Diweddarwyd y SoCC ymhellach ym mis Mai 2017 ac fe'i cyflenwir gyda'r cais (<b>Atodiad C.5</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>).</p>
14	A ymgynghorwyd ag awdurdodau "B" a (lle y bo'n berthnasol) "C" ynglŷn â chynnwys y SoCC; os felly, a oedd y terfyn amser ar gyfer derbyn ymatebion yn rhoi 28 niwrnod, yn dechrau y diwrnod ar ôl y diwrnod yr oedd awdurdodau "B" a (lle y bo'n	<p><b>Do</b></p> <p>Anfonodd yr Ymgeisydd y SoCC drafft i Gyngor Sir Ynys Môn ('Awdurdod B') ar 8 Gorffennaf 2014 a phennodd ddyddiad cau o 15 Awst 2014 i gael ymateb. (<b>Atodiad A.1</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>).</p>

	berthnasol) “C” wedi derbyn y dogfennau ymgynghori?	<p>Anfonodd yr Ymgeisydd ail ddrafft y SoCC i'r Cyngor ('Awdurdod B') ar 22 Rhagfyr 2015. Ni phennodd yr Ymgeisydd ddyddiad cau, ond cynghorodd fod angen ymateb o fewn 28 niwrnod o dderbyn y cais am sylwadau. (<b>Atodiad B.2 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>).</p> <p>Anfonodd yr Ymgeisydd drydydd drafft y SoCC i'r Cyngor ('Awdurdod B') ar 10 Mawrth 2017 a phennodd ddyddiad cau o 10 Ebrill 2017 i gael ymateb. (<b>Atodiad C.2 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>).</p>
15	A yw'r ymgeisydd wedi rhoi ystyriaeth i unrhyw ymatebion a dderbyniwyd wrth baratoi'r SoCC?	<p><b>Ydyw</b></p> <p>Mae'r Ymgeisydd wedi esbonio yn <b>Nhabl 3-1 (SoCC 2014), Tabl 3.2 (SoCC 2016) a Thabl 3.3 (SoCC 2017) yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> sut mae'r ymatebion a gafwyd o ganlyniad i'r ymgynghoriad ar y SoCC wedi cael eu hystyried. Mae enghreifftiau o hyn o'r SoCC cyntaf yn cynnwys egluro'r diffiniad o'r gymuned leol yn fanylach yng nghyd-destun yr ymgynghoriad hwn, ychwanegu'r iaith Gymraeg at y rhestr o effeithiau posibl o ganlyniad i'r datblygiad arfaethedig, ac ychwanegu adran ar gyfryngau cymdeithasol mewn perthynas â sut y byddai'r ymgynghoriad yn cael ei hysbysebu.</p>
16	A sicrhawyd bod y SoCC ar gael i'w archwilio mewn ffordd sy'n gymharol gyfleus i bobl sy'n byw yng nghyffiniau'r tir; ac a gyhoeddwyd hysbysiad mewn papur newydd sy'n cylchredeg yng nghyffiniau'r tir yn datgan ble a phryd y gellir archwilio'r SoCC?	<p><b>Do</b></p> <p>Sicrhawyd bod y tri SoCC ar gael mewn llyfrgelloedd ledled Ynys Môn, Conwy a Gwynedd, yn ogystal ag yn swyddfeydd Cyngor Sir Ynys Môn, Cyngor Gwynedd a Chyngor Bwrdeistref Sirol Conwy, sy'n rhesymol gyfleus o ystyried lleoliad y cynllun. Roedd y tri SoCC ar gael ar wefan yr Ymgeisydd hefyd. Cyhoeddwyd hysbysiadau yn datgan pryd a ble y gellid eu harchwilio fel a ganlyn:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cyhoeddwyd y SoCC cyntaf yn y canlynol: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Daily Post, 16 Medi 2014</li> <li>Holyhead and Anglesey Mail, 17 Medi 2014</li> <li>Caernafon and Denbigh Herald, 18 Medi 2014</li> <li>North Wales Chronicle, 18 Medi 2014</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Rhoddir copiâu o'r hysbysiadau papur newydd yn <b>Atodiad A.3 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b></p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cyhoeddwyd yr ail SoCC yn y canlynol: Daily Post, 22 Ionawr 2016 North Wales Chronicle, 21 Ionawr 2016 Golwg, 22 Ionawr 2016</li> </ul> <p>Rhoddir copiâu o'r hysbysiadau papur newydd yn <b>Atodiad B.4 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cyhoeddwyd y trydydd SoCC yn y canlynol: Daily Post, 26 Ebrill 2017 a 19 Mai 2017 Holyhead and Anglesey Mail, 26 Ebrill 2017 a 17 Mai 2017 North Wales Chronicle, 27 Ebrill 2017 Golwg, 27 Ebrill 2017</li> </ul> <p>Rhoddir copiâu o'r hysbysiadau papur newydd yn <b>Atodiad C.4 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b></p>
17	A yw'r SoCC yn nodi p'un a yw'r datblygiad yn ddatblygiad AEA <sup>10</sup> ; ac a yw'n amlinellu sut mae'r ymgeisydd yn bwriadu rhoi cyhoeddusrwydd i'r wybodaeth amgylcheddol ragarweiniol ac ymgynghori arni?	<p><b>Ydyw</b></p> <p>Mae'r SoCC cyntaf (<b>Atodiad A.4 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori, Dogfen 5.1</b>) yn nodi ar dudalen 4 bod y cynllun yn ddatblygiad AEA a sut mae'r ymgeisydd yn bwriadu ymgynghori ar y wybodaeth amgylcheddol ragarweiniol.</p> <p>Mae'r ail SoCC (<b>Atodiad B.5 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori, Dogfen 5.1</b>) yn nodi ar dudalen 4 bod y cynllun yn ddatblygiad AEA a sut mae'r ymgeisydd yn bwriadu ymgynghori ar y wybodaeth amgylcheddol ragarweiniol.</p> <p>Mae'r trydydd SoCC (<b>Atodiad C.5 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori, Dogfen 5.1</b>) yn nodi ar dudalen 5 bod y cynllun yn ddatblygiad AEA a sut mae'r ymgeisydd yn bwriadu ymgynghori ar y wybodaeth amgylcheddol ragarweiniol.</p>
18	A yw'r ymgeisydd wedi cynnal yr ymgynghoriad yn unol â'r SoCC?	<p><b>Ydyw</b></p> <p>Mae <b>Adran 3.4.17 i 3.4.29 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> yn rhoi tystiolaeth</p>

<sup>10</sup> Rheoliad 12 Rheoliadau AEA 2017, neu Reoliad 10 Rheoliadau AEA 2009 (lle mae Rheoliad 37 Rheoliadau AEA 2017 yn berthnasol).



o'r ymgynghoriadau a gynhaliodd yr ymgeisydd yn unol â'r SoCC yn 2014, 2016 a 2017.

**Adran 48: Dyletswydd i roi cyhoeddusrwydd i'r cais arfaethedig**

19	<p>A roddodd yr ymgeisydd gyhoeddusrwydd i'r cais arfaethedig, o dan adran 48, yn y modd a ragnodir yn Rheoliad 4(2) Rheoliadau Cynllunio Seilwaith (Ceisiadau: Ffurflenni a Gweithdrefn Ragnodedig) (CFfGR) 2009?</p>	<p><u>Ymgynghoriad 2016</u></p> <p><b>Do</b></p> <p>Ni chyfeirir yn benodol at ymgynghoriad 2016 ym mhrif ran yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>, ond mae toriadau o hysbysiadau 2016 wedi'u darparu yn <b>Atodiad B (Adran B.7) yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b></p> <p><u>Ymgynghoriad 2017</u></p> <p><b>Do</b></p> <p>Mae'r Ymgeisydd wedi disgrifio papurau newydd a dyddiadau cyhoeddusrwydd a48 ym <b>Mharagraff 3.4.49 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> ac mae <b>Adran 6.7.1 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> yn manylu ar bapurau newydd a dyddiadau perthnasol y cyhoeddusrwydd a48, fel yr amlinellir isod.</p> <p>Darperir toriadau o'r hysbysiadau a48 a gyhoeddwyd yn 2017 yn <b>Atodiad C (Adran C.7) yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>.</p> <p><u>Ymgynghoriad 2018</u></p> <p><b>Do</b></p> <p>Mae'r Ymgeisydd wedi disgrifio papurau newydd a dyddiadau cyhoeddusrwydd a48 ym <b>Mharagraff 9.7.1 yr Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>. Mae hyn hefyd yn manylu ar bapurau newydd a dyddiadau cyhoeddusrwydd a48 2018, fel yr amlinellir isod.</p> <p>Darperir toriadau o'r hysbysiadau a48 a gyhoeddwyd yn 2018 yn <b>Atodiad E (Adran E.4) yr Atodiadau i'r Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>.</p>	
		<b>Papur(au) newyddion</b>	<b>Dyddiad</b>
	am ddwy wythnos yn olynol o leiaf mewn un papur newydd lleol neu fwy sy'n cylchredeg yn y cyffiniau y	<p><u>Ymgynghoriad 2016</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Daily Post</li> </ul>	19 a 26 Awst 2016

byddai'r datblygiad arfaethedig yn cael ei leoli ynddynt;	<u>Ymgynghoriad 2017</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Daily Post</li> </ul> <u>Ymgynghoriad 2018</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Daily Post</li> </ul>	12 a 19 Mai 2017 10 a 15 Ionawr 2018. Gydag anghysondeb; gweler blwch 21 isod.
unwaith mewn papur newydd cenedlaethol;	<u>Ymgynghoriad 2016</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Guardian</li> </ul> <u>Ymgynghoriad 2017</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Guardian</li> </ul> <u>Ymgynghoriad 2018</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Guardian</li> </ul>	26 Awst 2016  20 Mai 2017  15 Ionawr 2018
unwaith yn Gazette Llundain ac, os yw'r datblygiad yn effeithio ar dir yn yr Alban, Gazette Caeredin; a	<u>Ymgynghoriad 2016</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• London Gazette</li> </ul> <u>Ymgynghoriad 2017</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• London Gazette</li> </ul> <u>Ymgynghoriad 2018</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• London Gazette</li> </ul>	30 Awst 2016  19 Mai 2017  15 Ionawr 2018
lle mae'r cais arfaethedig yn ymwneud â datblygiad ar y môr – (i) unwaith yn Rhestr Lloyds; ac (ii) unwaith mewn cyfnodolyn masnach pysgota priodol?	<u>Ymgynghoriad 2016</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lloyds List</li> <li>• Fishing News</li> </ul> <u>Ymgynghoriad 2017</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lloyds List</li> <li>• Fishing News</li> </ul>	25 Awst 2016 1 Medi 2016  18 Mai 2017 19 Mai 2017

		<u>Ymgynghoriad 2018</u>			15 Ionawr 2018 11 Ionawr 2018
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lloyds List</li> <li>Fishing News</li> </ul>			
20	A oedd yr hysbysiad adran 48 yn cynnwys y wybodaeth sy'n ofynnol yn Rheoliad 4(3) y Rheoliadau CFfGR?	<b>Oedd</b> Darperir y rhan fwyaf o'r wybodaeth sy'n ofynnol fel yr amlinellir isod, heblaw am (f), mewn perthynas â'r trydydd hysbysiad a48.			
	<b>Gwybodaeth</b>	<b>Paragraff</b>		<b>Gwybodaeth</b>	<b>Paragraff</b>
a)	Enw a chyfeiriad yr ymgeisydd.	Paragraph 1	b)	Datganiad bod yr ymgeisydd yn bwriadu gwneud cais i'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol am ganiatâd datblygu	Paragraph 1
c)	datganiad ynghylch p'un a yw'r cais yn ddatblygiad AEA	Paragraph 8	d)	crynodeb o'r prif gynigion, gan nodi lleoliad neu lwybr y datblygiad arfaethedig	Paragraph 4
e)	datganiad bod y dogfennau, y cynlluniau a'r mapiau sy'n dangos natur a lleoliad y datblygiad arfaethedig ar gael i'w harchwilio yn rhad ac am ddim yn y manau (gan gynnwys o leiaf un cyfeiriad yng nghyffiniau'r datblygiad arfaethedig) ac ar yr amserau a nodwyd yn yr hysbysiad	Paragraph 12	f)	y dyddiad olaf y bydd y dogfennau, y cynlluniau a'r mapiau hynny ar gael i'w harchwilio	Do, gydag anghysond eb; gweler blwch 21 isod.
g)	p'un a godir tâl am gopïau o unrhyw un o'r dogfennau, y cynlluniau neu'r mapiau, a swm unrhyw dâl	Paragraph 13	h)	manylion sut i ymateb i'r cyhoeddusrwydd	Paragraph 15
i)	terfyn amser ar gyfer derbyn yr ymatebion hynny gan yr ymgeisydd, nad yw'n llai na 28 niwrnod ar ôl dyddiad olaf cyhoeddi'r hysbysiad	Paragraph 16			

21	<p>A oes unrhyw arsylwadau mewn perthynas â'r hysbysiad adran 48 a ddarparwyd uchod?</p> <p>Ar gyfer ymgynghoriad 2018, nid yw'r hysbysiad a48 a gyhoeddwyd yn rhan o'r ymgynghoriad ar dir ychwanegol (<b>Atodiad E4</b>) yn datgan y dyddiadau pryd y mae'r dogfennau ar gael i'w gweld. Fodd bynnag, mae'n datgan ble y gellir eu gweld ac yn rhoi dyddiadau'r cyfnod ymgynghori.</p> <p>Ar gyfer ymgynghoriad 2018, ymddangosodd yr hysbysiad a48 (<b>Atodiad E4</b>) yn y Daily Post ar 10 a 15 Ionawr 2018. Er nad yw hyn yn bythefnos llawn, y dyddiad cau ar gyfer ymateb oedd 16 Chwefror 2018 ac anfonwyd llythyrau a42 at ymgynghoreion penodol yn amlinellu hyn, sy'n darparu cyfnod ymgynghori o fwy na 28 niwrnod.</p>	
22	<p>A anfonwyd copi o'r hysbysiad adran 48 at y cyrff ymgynghori AEA ac unrhyw unigolyn yr hysbyswyd yr ymgeisydd amdano yn unol â'r Rheoliadau AEA <sup>11</sup>?</p>	<p><b>Do</b></p> <p>Anfonwyd yr hysbysiad at y cyrff ymgynghori AEA ar 20 Gorffennaf 2017 ac ar 16 Ionawr 2018. Rhoddir copiâu o'r llythyrau perthnasol yn <b>Atodiad C (Adran C.6)</b> ac <b>Atodiad E (Adran E.4)</b>, yn ôl eu trefn.</p> <p>Mae'r Ymgeisydd wedi disgrifio dyddiadau'r cyhoedduswydd a48 ym <b>Mharagraff 9.7.2</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b>.</p>
<p><b>Adran 49: Dyletswydd i ystyried ymatebion i ymgynghori a chyhoedduswydd</b></p>		
23	<p>A yw'r ymgeisydd wedi rhoi ystyriaeth i unrhyw ymatebion perthnasol i'r ymgynghoriad adran 42, adran 47 ac adran 48?</p>	<p>Mae'r Ymgeisydd wedi amlinellu yn <b>Adrannau 4.6, 5.5 a 6.10</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> y camau a gymerwyd ar ôl ystyried yr ymatebion a gafwyd i'r ymgynghoriad. Mae'r Ymgeisydd hefyd wedi disgrifio yn <b>Atodiadau A8, B10 ac C10</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> yr ystyriaeth a roddwyd i'r ymatebion na arweiniodd at newid.</p> <p>Nid oedd yr ymgynghoriad tir statudol ychwanegol a gynhaliwyd ym mis Ionawr 2018 wedi arwain at unrhyw newidiadau i'r cais arfaethedig. Mae <b>Adran 9</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> ac <b>Atodiad E9</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> yn amlinellu pam nad oedd yr ymgynghoriad tir ychwanegol wedi arwain at unrhyw newidiadau.</p> <p>Mae'n ymddangos bod y camau wedi'u hadlewyrchu yn ffurf derfynol y cais a gyflwynwyd, a lle nad yw ymateb wedi arwain at newid yn y cais, mae'n ddigon amlwg y rhoddwyd ystyriaeth iddo.</p>

<sup>11</sup> Rheoliad 13 Rheoliadau AEA 2017, neu Reoliad 11 Rheoliadau AEA 2009 (lle mae Rheoliad 37 Rheoliadau AEA 2017 yn berthnasol).

<b>Arweiniad ynglŷn â'r weithdrefn cyn-ymgeisio</b>		
24	I ba raddau mae'r ymgeisydd wedi rhoi ystyriaeth i arweiniad yr Adran Cymunedau a Llywodraeth Leol (DCLG) 'Deddf Cynllunio 2008: Arweiniad ar y broses cyn-ymgeisio' <sup>12</sup> ?	Mae <b>Pennod 3.3</b> yr <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> yn esbonio sut mae'r Ymgeisydd wedi ystyried canllawiau'r DCLG ynglŷn â'r broses cyn-ymgeisio. Ar ôl adolygu'r cais, mae'n ymddangos bod yr Ymgeisydd wedi amlygu ac ystyried canllawiau perthnasol y DCLG.
<b>25</b>	<b>Crynodeb – adran 55(3)(e)</b>	Mae'r cais fel y'i cyflwynwyd gan yr Ymgeisydd wedi cydymffurfio â Phennod 2 Rhan 5 (gweithdrefn cyn-ymgeisio). Cydymffurfiwyd â'r holl ddyletswyddau perthnasol. Er bod rhai anghysondebau yn yr ymgynghoriad, rhoddwyd <a href="#">cyngor a51</a> i'r Ymgeisydd i'w cywiro.
<b>Adran 55(3)(f) ac Adran 55(5A) Bod y cais (gan gynnwys atodiadau) o safon foddhaol o ystyried i ba raddau y mae'n cydymffurfio ag adran 37(3) (ffurf a chynnwys cais) ac unrhyw safonau a osodwyd o dan adran 37(5) ac yn dilyn unrhyw arweiniad perthnasol o dan adran 37(4)</b>		
26	A yw wedi'i lunio gan ddilyn y ffurf ragnodedig a amlinellir yn Atodiad 2 y Rheoliadau CFfGR, ac a yw'n cynnwys: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• datganiad byr sy'n esbonio pam mae'n dod o fewn cylch gorchwyl yr Ysgrifennydd Gwladol; a</li> <li>• datganiad byr sy'n nodi'n glir lleoliad safle'r cais, neu'r llwybr os yw'n gynllun llinol?</li> </ul>	<b>Ydyw</b> Mae <b>Blwch 4</b> y <b>Ffurflen Gais (Dogfen 1.1)</b> yn rhoi datganiad sy'n esbonio pam mae'r datblygiad o fewn cylch gorchwyl yr Ysgrifennydd Gwladol: Mae <b>Blwch 5</b> y <b>Ffurflen Gais (Dogfen 1.1)</b> yn rhoi disgrifiad annhechnegol byr o'r safle, ac mae <b>Blwch 6</b> yn rhoi lleoliad y cynnig. Mae <b>Terfyn y Gorchymyn (Dogfen 2.1)</b> yn dangos lleoliad y datblygiad arfaethedig.
27	A gyflwynwyd adroddiad ymgynghori gydag ef?	<b>Do</b> Mae'r cais yn cynnwys <b>Adroddiad Ymgynghori (Dogfen 5.1)</b> ac <b>Atodiadau i'r Adroddiad Ymgynghori A.1 – A8, B1 – B10, C1 – C10, D.1 – D.7 ac E.1 – E9 (Dogfen 5.1)</b> .

<sup>12</sup> Mae'n rhaid i'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol roi ystyriaeth i'r graddau y mae'r ymgeisydd wedi rhoi ystyriaeth i'r arweiniad a gyhoeddwyd o dan adran 50

28	Lle mae cynllun yn cynnwys tair dalen ar wahân neu fwy, a ddarparwyd cynllun allwedd sy'n dangos y berthynas rhwng y gwahanol ddalenni? <sup>13</sup>	<p><b>Do</b></p> <p>Darparwyd cynllun allwedd ar gyfer y cynlluniau canlynol sy'n cynnwys 3 dalen neu fwy: Cynlluniau Tir; Terfynau'r Gorchymyn; Cynlluniau Gwaith; Tirffurf Derfynol, dylunio draenio; Dyluniad draenio tirffurf adeiladu, Cadw Tirweddu, Gwaredu perthi; Gwaredu Coed a Pherthi.</p> <p>Mae'r cynllun allwedd Hawliau Tramwy yn ymdrin â'r cyfresi canlynol o gynlluniau sy'n cynnwys 3 dalen neu fwy: Hawliau Tramwy, diddymu a chau Hawliau Tramwy ar gyfer cynlluniau adeiladu; Hawliau Tramwy yn ystod adeiladu; Hawliau Tramwy ar gyfer cynlluniau gweithredu.</p> <p>Nid oes cynllun allwedd ar gyfer y cynlluniau canlynol sy'n cynnwys 3 dalen neu fwy: Cynllun safle presennol Llanfachraeth; Cynllun Trefniant Cyffredinol Llanfachraeth; Cynllun clirio safle arfaethedig Llanfachraeth; Cynllun draenio arfaethedig Llanfachraeth; Cynllun trefniant cyffredinol arfaethedig Cefn Coch; cynllun draenio arfaethedig Cefn Coch; Croestoriadau Safle Dalar Hir. Rhoddwyd <a href="#">cyngor Adran 51</a> i'r Ymgeisydd ynglŷn â hyn.</p>					
29	A gyflwynwyd y dogfennau a'r wybodaeth a amlinellir yn Rheoliad CFfGR 5(2) gydag ef?	<p><b>Do</b></p> <p>Mae'r dogfennau a'r wybodaeth sy'n ofynnol gan reoliad CFfGR 5(2) wedi'u hamlinellu yn y dogfennau a'r lleoliadau yn y cais fel y'u rhestrir isod:</p>					
<b>Gwybodaeth</b>		<b>Dogfen</b>		<b>Gwybodaeth</b>		<b>Dogfen</b>	
a)	Lle y bo'n berthnasol, y datganiad amgylcheddol sy'n ofynnol o dan y Rheoliadau AEA <sup>14</sup> ac unrhyw farn neu gyfarwyddyd cwmpasu neu sgrinio	Crynodeb annhechnegol <b>Dogfen 6.11</b> ; Cyfrol A <b>Dogfennau 6.1.1-6.1.10</b> ; Cyfrol B <b>Dogfennau 6.2.1-6.2.22</b> ; Cyfrol C	b)	Y gorchymyn arfaethedig drafft	<b>Gorchymyn Caniatâd Datblygu (Dogfen 3.1)</b>		

<sup>13</sup> Rheoliad 5(4) Rheoliadau Cynllunio Seilwaith (Ceisiadau: Ffurflenni a Gweithdrefn Ragnodedig) 2009

<sup>14</sup> Rheoliadau AEA 2017, neu Reoliadau AEA 2009 (lle mae Rheoliad 37 Rheoliadau AEA 2017 yn berthnasol)

		<p><b>Dogfennau 6.3.1-6.3.32;</b> Cyfrol D (<i>WNSA</i>) <b>Dogfennau 6.4.1-6.4.101;</b> Cyfrol E (<i>Cyfleusterau Gorsaf Bŵer Oddi ar y Safle</i>) (<b>Dogfennau 6.5.1-6.5.27;</b> Cyfrol F (<i>Parcio a Theithio</i>) <b>Dogfennau 6.6.1-6.6.38;</b> Cyfrol G (<i>A5025 Gwelliannau i'r Briffordd Nad Ydynt yn Dilyn Llinell Wreiddiol y Ffordd</i>) <b>Dogfennau 6.7.1-6.7.48;</b> Cyfrol H (<i>Canolfan Logisteg</i>) <b>Dogfennau 6.8.1-6.8.29;</b> Cyfrol I <b>Dogfennau 6.9.1-6.9.14;</b> a Chyfrol J <b>Dogfennau 6.10.1-6.10.3.</b></p>			
	A yw hwn o safon foddhaol?	<b>Ydy</b>		A yw hwn o safon foddhaol?	<b>Ydy</b>
c)	Memorandwm esboniadol yn esbonio diben ac effaith darpariaethau yn y gorchymyn drafft	<b>Memorandwm Esboniadol (Dogfen 3.3)</b>	d)	Lle y bo'n berthnasol, llyfr cyfeirio (lle mae'r cais yn ymwneud ag unrhyw gaffael gorfodol)	<b>Llyfr Cyfeirio (Dogfen 4.3)</b>
	A yw hwn o safon foddhaol?	<b>Ydy</b> Gyda rhai anghysondebau		A yw hwn o safon foddhaol?	<b>Ydy</b>

		(gweler Blwch 30)			
e)	Copi o unrhyw asesiad perygl llifogydd	<b>Dogfen Gyfeirio Asesiad o Ganlyniadau Llifogydd Drosfwaol (Dogfen 5.5)</b>	f)	Datganiad ynghylch p'un a yw'r cynnig yn ymwneud ag un neu fwy o'r materion a amlinellir yn adran 79(1) Deddf Diogelu'r Amgylchedd 1990 (niwsans statudol) ac, os felly, sut mae'r ymgeisydd yn bwriadu eu lliniaru neu gyfyngu arnynt	<b>Datganiad Niwsans Statudol (Dogfen 5.3)</b>
	A yw hwn o safon foddhaol?	<b>Ydy</b>		A yw hwn o safon foddhaol?	<b>Ydy</b>
h)	Datganiad o resymau a datganiad ariannu (lle mae'r cais yn ymwneud ag unrhyw gaffael gorfodol)	<b>Datganiad Ariannu (Dogfen 4.2)</b>	i)	Cynllun tir sy'n amlygu'r canlynol:- (i) y tir sy'n ofynnol ar gyfer y datblygiad arfaethedig, neu y bydd y datblygiad arfaethedig yn effeithio arno; (ii) lle y bo'n berthnasol, unrhyw dir y bwriedir arfer pwerau caffael gorfodol drosto neu unrhyw hawliau i ddefnyddio'r tir; (iii) unrhyw dir y bwriedir dileu hawddfrentiau, ymrwymadau caeth a hawliau preifat eraill mewn perthynas ag ef; ac (iv) ac unrhyw dir categori arbennig a thir cyfnewid	<b>Dogfen 2.2 Cynlluniau Tir</b> i) Yn cynnwys 5 dalen a chynllun allwedd yn ymwneud ag Ardal Ddatblygu Wylfa Newydd, a chynlluniau ychwanegol yn ymwneud â'r rhannau eraill: A5025 Y Fali, A5025 Llanfachraeth, A5025 Llanfaethlu, A5025 Cefn Coch, Parcio a Theithio Dalar Hir, Canolfan Logisteg Parc CYBI a Safleoedd Digolledu Ecolegol Cors Gwawr, Cae Canol-Dydd a Thŷ



				<p>Du</p> <p>ii a iii) Mae'r cynlluniau'n cynnwys cod lliw i wahaniaethu rhwng y gwahanol fathau o dir</p> <p>iv) Mae Cynllun Tir Categori Arbennig wedi'i gynnwys ar gyfer Ardal Ddatblygu Wylfa Newydd</p>
	A yw hwn o safon foddhaol?	<b>Ydy</b>		<b>Ydy</b> , gyda rhai anghysondebau; gweler Blwch 30
j)	<p>Cynllun gwaith sy'n dangos, mewn perthynas â nodweddion presennol:-</p> <p>(i) lleoliad arfaethedig neu (o ran cynllun llinol) lwybr ac aliniad arfaethedig y datblygiad a'r gwaith;</p> <p>(ii) a'r terfynau ar gyfer cynnal y datblygiad a'r gwaith ac unrhyw derfynau gwyro a ddarperir yn y gorchymyn drafft</p>	<p><b>Dogfen 2.3 Cynlluniau Gwaith</b></p> <p>i) Yn cynnwys 5 dalen a chynllun allwedd yn ymwneud ag Ardal Ddatblygu Wylfa Newydd, a chynlluniau ychwanegol yn ymwneud â'r rhannau eraill: A5025 Y Fali, A5025 Llanfachraeth, A5025 Llanfaethlu, A5025 Cefn Coch, Parcio a Theithio</p>	k)	<p>Lle y bo'n berthnasol, cynllun sy'n amlygu unrhyw ffordd fynediad newydd neu wedi'i newid, cau strydoedd neu ffyrdd neu unrhyw achosion o ddargyfeirio, diddymu neu greu hawliau tramwy neu hawliau mordwyo cyhoeddus</p> <p><b>Dogfen 2.4 Cynlluniau Hawliau Tramwy</b></p> <p>Yn cynnwys Hawliau Tramwy presennol (5 dalen a Chynllun Allwedd), cynlluniau Dileu a Chau ar gyfer adeiladu (5 dalen), Hawliau Tramwy yn ystod adeiladu (5 dalen), Hawliau Tramwy ar gyfer gweithredu (5</p>

		Dalar Hir, Canolfan Logisteg Parc CYBI a Safleoedd Digolledu Ecolegol Cors Gwawr, Cae Canol-Dydd a Thy Du  ii) Mae'r cynlluniau'n cynnwys y terfynau gwyro			dalen) ar gyfer Ardal Ddatblygu Wylfa Newydd. Hefyd, cynlluniau ar wahân ar gyfer yr ardaloedd ersill a restrir yn y Cynlluniau Tir/Gwaith uchod
	A yw hwn o safon foddhaol?	<b>Ydy</b>		A yw hwn o safon foddhaol?	<b>Ydy</b>
l)	Lle y bo'n berthnasol, cynllun gyda gwybodaeth gysylltiedig sy'n amlygu:-  (i) unrhyw safleoedd neu nodweddion cadwraeth natur statudol/anstatudol e.e. safleoedd o bwysigrwydd daearegol/tirwedd;  (ii) cynefinoedd rhywogaethau a warchodir, cynefinoedd pwysig neu nodweddion bioamrywiaeth eraill; ac  (iii) ardaloedd dŵr mewn cynllun rheoli basn afon,  ynghyd ag asesiad o unrhyw effeithiau y mae'r datblygiad arfaethedig yn debygol o'u cael ar safleoedd, nodweddion, cynefinoedd neu ardaloedd o'r fath	Cynlluniau:  (i) <b>WDA - Dogfen 6.4.101</b> (Ffigurau D7-8; D9-1 i D9-11; D10-7 i D10-16; a D13-1, D13-5, D13-6, D13 i D19); <i>Safleoedd Digolledu Ecolegol - Dogfen 6.4.18</i> (Ffigurau 11 i 13; Ffigur 20); <i>Cyfleusterau Oddi ar y Safle - Dogfen 6.5.27</i> (Ffigurau E9-1; E10-2 ac E10-4); <i>Parcio a Theithio - Dogfen 6.6.38</i> (Ffigurau F9-1; F10-2 a F10-4);	m)	Lle y bo'n berthnasol, cynllun gyda gwybodaeth gysylltiedig sy'n amlygu unrhyw safleoedd neu nodweddion amgylchedd hanesyddol statudol/anstatudol (e.e. henebion cofrestredig, safleoedd Treftadaeth y Byd, adeiladau rhestredig, safleoedd archaeolegol a meysydd brwydr cofrestredig) ynghyd ag asesiad o unrhyw effeithiau y mae'r datblygiad arfaethedig yn debygol o'u cael ar safleoedd, nodweddion neu adeileddau o'r fath	<b>WDA - Dogfen 6.4.101</b> (Ffigurau D11-1 i D11-21) a <b>Dogfen 6.4.11</b> (asesiad).  <i>Safleoedd Digolledu Ecolegol - Dogfen 6.4.18</i> (cynlluniau ar Ffigurau 21-23).  <i>Cyfleusterau Oddi ar y Safle - Dogfen 6.5.27</i> (Ffigur E11-1) a <b>Dogfen 6.5.11</b> (asesiad).  <i>Parcio a Theithio - Dogfen 6.6.38</i> (Ffigur 11-1) a <b>Dogfen 6.6.11</b> (asesiad).  <i>Gwelliannau</i>

	<p><i>Gwelliannau</i>  <i>Priffyrdd - Dogfen</i>  <b>6.7.48</b> (Ffigurau G9-1 i G9-16); <i>Canolfan Logisteg - Dogfen</i>  <b>6.8.29</b> (Ffigurau H9-1; H10-2 a H10-4)</p> <p>(ii) <i>WNDA - Dogfen</i>  <b>6.4.101</b> (Ffigurau D9-1 i 9-11 ar gyfer daearol a D13-1,5,6,13-19 ar gyfer morol); Safleoedd Digolledu Ecolegol  <b>Dogfen 6.4.18</b> (Ffigurau 11 i 13); Cyfleusterau Oddi ar y Safle - <b>Dogfen 6.5.17</b>; Parcio a Theithio – <b>Dogfen 6.6.17</b>; <i>Gwelliannau Priffyrdd - Dogfen</i>  <b>6.7.48</b> (Ffigurau G9-1 i G9-16); <i>Canolfan Logisteg - Dogfen</i>  <b>6.8.17</b></p> <p>(iii) Asesiad o Gydymffurfio â'r Gyfarwyddeb Fframwaith Dŵr (CFfD) - <b>Dogfen 8.26</b> (Ffigurau 1-3 yn dangos ardaloedd</p>		<p><i>Priffyrdd - Dogfen</i>  <b>6.7.48</b> (Ffigurau G11-1 i G11-11) a <b>Dogfen 6.7.11</b> (asesiad).</p> <p><i>Canolfan Logisteg - Dogfen 6.8.29</i> (Ffigur 11-1) a <b>Dogfen 6.8.11</b> (asesiad).</p>
--	---	--	---

		dyfrol y CFfD) a Gwybodaeth i Ategu Rhanddirymiad Erthygl 4(7) y Gyfarwyddeb Fframwaith Dŵr <b>Dogfen 8.27</b>			
	A yw hwn o safon foddhaol?	<b>Ydy</b>		A yw hwn o safon foddhaol?	<b>Ydy</b>
n)	Lle y bo'n berthnasol, cynllun gydag unrhyw wybodaeth gysylltiedig sy'n amlygu unrhyw dir y Goron	<b>Dogfen 2.5 Cynllun Ystad y Goron</b>	o)	Unrhyw gynlluniau, lluniadau a thrychiadau eraill sy'n angenrheidiol i ddisgrifio'r cynnig ar gyfer caniatâd datblygu sy'n dangos manylion dylunio, golwg allanol, a gosodiad delfrydol adeiladau/adeileddau, draenio, rheoli dŵr wyneb, dull mynediad i gerbydau a cherddwyr, ac unrhyw le parcio a thirweddu	<b>Ydy</b> Mae Adran 23 y Ffurflen Gais ( <b>Dogfen 1.1</b> ) yn rhestru cynlluniau, lluniadau a thrychiadau eraill yr ystyrir eu bod yn angenrheidiol i ategu'r cais
	A yw hwn o safon foddhaol?	<b>Ydy</b>		A ydynt o safon foddhaol?	<b>Ydy</b>
p)	Unrhyw un o'r dogfennau a ragnodir gan Reoliad 6 y Rheoliadau CFfGR:	Mae Adran 22 y Ffurflen Gais ( <b>Dogfen 1.1</b> ) yn datgan bod Datganiad Cysylltiad Grid ( <b>Dogfen 7.1</b> ) wedi cael ei gyflwyno yn unol â Rheoliad 6 y Rheoliadau CFfGR	q)	Unrhyw ddogfennau eraill yr ystyrir eu bod yn angenrheidiol i ategu'r cais.	Mae Adran 23 y Ffurflen Gais ( <b>Dogfen 1.1</b> ) yn rhestru dogfennau eraill yr ystyrir eu bod yn angenrheidiol i ategu'r cais
	A ydynt o safon foddhaol?	<b>Ydy</b>		A ydynt o safon foddhaol?	<b>Ydy</b>

30	<p>A oes unrhyw arsylwadau mewn perthynas â'r dogfennau a ddarparwyd uchod?</p> <p><b>Rheoliad 5(2)(i)</b></p> <p>Wrth adolygu'r <b>Cynlluniau Tir (Dogfen 2.2)</b>, rydym wedi amlygu'r anghysondebau canlynol:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Anghysondebau o ran y termau a ddefnyddir yn 'Allwedd' y Cynllun Tir o gymharu â'r disgrifiadau a ddefnyddir yn y <b>Llyfr Cyfeirio (Dogfen 4.3)</b></li> <li>• Nid yw'r allwedd yn gwahaniaethu rhwng llinellau dotiog, toredig a solet</li> <li>• Nid oes cyfeirnod llain ar ddalen 4 y cynllun tir i'r dwyrain o Lain 9 a amlygir fel DOSBARTH 1: TIR I'W GAFFAEL YN ORFODOL</li> <li>• Mae'r <b>Llyfr Cyfeirio (Dogfen 4.3)</b> Rhan 1, Colofn 3 yn darparu 'Disgrifiad o Dir' i amlygu lleiniau tir sydd i'w caffael yn orfodol. Nid yw'r tirnodau ac enwau'r ffyrdd a ddisgrifir yn y Llyfr Cyfeirio wedi'u hamlygu ar y <b>Cynlluniau Tir (Dogfen 2.2)</b>. Mae'r rhain yn cynnwys, ond nid yn gyfyngedig i: briffordd yr A5025, Gorsaf Betrol Tregele, Mynydd Ithel</li> <li>• Mae rhai o'r lleiniau ar y Cynlluniau Tir yn rhy fach i'w dehongli a byddent yn elwa o fewnosodiad, fel y gwelir ar gyfer llain 57 ar Ddalen 4 y Cynllun Tir.</li> </ul> <p><b>Memorandwm Esboniadol</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Byddai'r Memorandwm Esboniadol yn elwa o gyfiawnhad ychwanegol ynglŷn â pham mae dibyniaeth ar Ddarpariaethau Model mewn rhai manau yn parhau i fod yn berthnasol ac yn briodol.</li> </ul> <p>Rhodddwyd <a href="#">cyngor a51</a> ynglŷn â'r mater hwn.</p>
31	<p>A yw'r cais yn cynnwys adroddiad sy'n amlygu unrhyw safle(oedd) Ewropeaidd y mae rheoliad 48 Rheoliadau Cadwraeth (Cynefinoedd Naturiol ac ati) 1994 yn berthnasol iddo/iddynt; neu unrhyw safle(oedd) Ramsar y gallai'r datblygiad arfaethedig effeithio arno/arnynt, ynghyd â digon o wybodaeth a fydd yn galluogi'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol i wneud asesiad priodol o'r goblygiadau i'r safle os yw'n ofynnol gan reoliad 48(1)?<sup>15</sup></p> <p><b>Ydyw</b></p> <p>Darperir Adroddiad Asesiad Rheoliadau Cynefinoedd (HRA) yn yr <b>Asesiad Rheoliadau Cynefinoedd Cysgodol (Dogfen 5.2)</b>.</p> <p>Mae'r adroddiad yn amlygu safleoedd Ewropeaidd perthnasol a'r effeithiau tebygol arnynt. Ystyrir bod y wybodaeth a ddarparwyd yn yr adroddiad yn ddigonol ar gyfer derbyn.</p> <p>Sylwer: bydd yr Awdurdod Archwilio yn gallu gofyn cwestiynau yn ystod yr archwiliad. Gallai hyn olygu bod angen gwybodaeth ychwanegol i lywio'r adroddiad HRA a'r awdurdod cymwys. Rhodddwyd <a href="#">cyngor a51</a> ynglŷn â'r pwynt hwn. Yn dibynnu ar y math o wybodaeth sy'n ofynnol ac i ba raddau y mae ar gael, mae'n bosibl na fydd modd cael gafael arni yn ystod amserlen statudol yr archwiliad.</p>

<sup>15</sup> Rheoliad 5(2)(g) Rheoliadau Cynllunio Seilwaith (Ceisiadau: Ffurflenni a Gweithdrefn Ragnodedig) 2009

32	Os yw'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol yn gofyn amdanynt, dau gopi papur o'r ffurflen gais a dogfennau a chynlluniau ategol eraill <sup>16</sup>	<b>Oes</b> Cyflenwyd dau gopi caled o'r cais.
33	A yw'r ymgeisydd wedi rhoi ystyriaeth i arweiniad y DCLG 'Deddf Cynllunio 2008: Arweiniad ar lenwi ffurflen gais', ac a yw hyn wedi golygu bod y cais wedi cael ei baratoi i safon sy'n dderbyniol ym marn yr Ysgrifennydd Gwladol?	<b>Ydyw</b> Mae'n ymddangos bod y cais yn cydymffurfio'n gyffredinol â'r Canllawiau, ac mae'r Arolygiaeth Gynllunio yn fodlon bod yr Ymgeisydd wedi rhoi ystyriaeth i'r Canllawiau.
<b>34</b>	<b>Crynodeb – adran 55(3)(f) ac adran 55(5A)</b>	Mae'r Arolygiaeth Gynllunio o'r farn bod y cais a gyflwynwyd yn cyd-fynd yn gyffredinol â gofynion a55(3)(f) o ystyried a55(5A), ac yn dod i'r casgliad bod y cais o safon foddhaol ac y gellir ei dderbyn.  Er bod rhai materion wedi cael eu hamlygu yn y rhestr wirio, nid yw'r un ohonynt yn debygol o achosi anfantaes i unrhyw un sy'n dymuno cymryd rhan yn yr archwiliad. Rhoddwyd <a href="#">cyngor Adran 51</a> i'r ymgeisydd i fynd i'r afael ag unrhyw faterion.
<b>Rheoliadau Cynllunio Seilwaith (Ffioedd) 2010 (SI106)</b>		
<b>Ffioedd i gyd-fynd â chais</b>		
35	A dalwyd y ffi yr un pryd ag y cyflwynwyd y cais <sup>17</sup> ?	<b>Do</b> Derbyniwyd ffi'r cais cyn i'r cais gael ei gyflwyno ar 30 Mai 2018.

Llofnod Electronig	Enw	Dyddiad
<b>Arweinydd Achos</b>	<i>Kay Sully</i>	28 Mehefin 2018

<sup>16</sup> Rheoliad 5(2)(r) Rheoliadau Cynllunio Seilwaith (Ceisiadau: Ffurflenni a Gweithdrefn Ragnodedig) 2009

<sup>17</sup> Mae'n rhaid i'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol godi ffi ar yr ymgeisydd mewn perthynas â'r penderfyniad gan yr Ysgrifennydd Gwladol o dan adran 55. Os na fydd yr ymgeisydd yn talu'r ffi, ni fydd angen i'r Ysgrifennydd Gwladol ystyried y cais hyd nes y bydd yn derbyn y taliad. Mae'n rhaid talu'r ffi yr un pryd ag y cyflwynir y cais.

<b>Arolygydd Derbyn</b>	<i>Frances Fernandes</i>	28 Mehefin 2018
-------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------

## Section 55 Acceptance of Applications

### Section 55 Application Checklist<sup>1</sup>

Section 55(2) Acceptance of Applications				
1	Within 28 days (starting day after receipt) the Secretary of State must decide whether or not to accept the application.	Date received	28 day due date	Date of decision
		<b>1 June 2018</b>	<b>29 June 2018</b>	<b>28 June 2018</b>
Section 55(3) – the Secretary of State may <u>only</u> accept an application if the Secretary of State concludes that:-		Planning Inspectorate Comments		
<b>s55(3)(a) and s55(3)(c) It is an application for an order granting development consent</b>				
2	Is the development a nationally significant infrastructure project <sup>2</sup> (NSIP) (or does it form part of an NSIP); and does the application state on the face of it that it is an application for a development consent order <sup>3</sup> (DCO) under the Planning Act 2008 (the PA2008), or equivalent words? Does the application specify the development to which it relates (i.e. which category or categories in ss14-30 does the application scheme fall)?  If the development does not fall within the categories in	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>The proposed development set out in <b>Schedule 1</b> of the <b>draft DCO (dDCO) (Doc 3.1)</b> is a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP)), which is a development falling within the categories in s14 and s15 of the PA2008.</p> <p>This is consistent with the summary provided in the <b>Application Form (Doc 1.1)</b> in <b>Box 4</b> which concludes that the application is for an NSIP.</p>		

<sup>1</sup> References in this document to the Secretary of State include references (where applicable) to the Planning Inspectorate Major Applications and Plans Directorate which carries out functions related to consenting nationally significant infrastructure projects on behalf of the Secretary of State

<sup>2</sup> NSIP is defined generally in s14 with the detailed thresholds for each of the specified categories being set out in ss15-30

<sup>3</sup> Development consent is required for development to the extent that the development is or forms part of an NSIP (s31 of the PA2008)



	ss14-30, has a direction been given by the Secretary of State under s35 of the PA2008 for the development to be treated as development for which development consent is required?	
<b>3</b>	<b>Summary – s55(3)(a) and s55(3)(c)</b>	<p><b>Box 4</b> of the <b>Application Form (Doc 1.1)</b> confirms that the applicant has demonstrated that the application as submitted is an application for an order granting development consent under the PA2008.</p> <p>Sufficient evidence has been provided to demonstrate that the application is an NSIP pursuant to Sections 14(1) and 15(2) of the PA2008.</p>
<b>s55(3)(e) The applicant in relation to the application made has complied with Chapter 2 of Part 5 (pre-application procedure)</b>		
4	In accordance with the EIA Regulations <sup>4</sup> , did the applicant (prior to carrying out consultation in accordance with s42) either (a) request the Secretary of State to adopt a screening opinion in respect of the development to which the application relates, or (b) notify the Secretary of State in writing that it proposed to provide an environmental statement in respect of that development?	<p>(a) <b>No</b>, the Applicant did not request a screening opinion in respect of the development.</p> <p>(b) <b>Yes</b>, on 23 November 2009, before the start of stage one s42 consultation on 28 September 2014.</p> <p>A second scoping report was submitted 19 March 2016 to ensure that changes to the project were considered in the scoping process. An addendum to this was submitted on 4 May 2016. (<b>Doc 6.11 Environmental Statement Non-Technical Summary</b>).</p>
5	Have any adequacy of consultation representations <sup>5</sup> been received from “A”, “B”, “C” and “D” authorities; and if so do they confirm that the applicant has complied with the duties under s42, s47 and s48?	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>There is one host (“B”) authority, which is the Isle of Anglesey County Council (the Council).</p> <p>In its Adequacy of Consultation Representation (AoCR), the Council confirmed that the Applicant had complied with their duties under s42, s47 and s48 of the PA2008.</p>

<sup>4</sup> Regulation 8 of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 (2017 EIA Regulations), or Regulation 6 of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2009 (2009 EIA Regulations) (where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies).

<sup>5</sup> S55(4) of the PA2008 provides that the Secretary of State must have regard to the consultation report, and any adequacy of consultation representations received

		<p>The Council had concerns over the length of the Applicant's Pre Application Consultation Stage 3 (PAC3) and the lack of details and technical drawings for the on-site campus during PAC3. Despite this, the Council is satisfied that the Applicant has complied with s47 of PA2008.</p> <p>The Council states in its AoCR that the omission of details, plans and mitigation from the Applicant's Pre Application Consultation Stage 2 (PAC2) made it difficult for the Council to respond as fully and meaningfully to the PAC2 and PAC3 consultation as it would have liked. However, further discussions with the Applicant has enabled the Council to meaningfully comment and influence the project and therefore in the opinion of the Council, the Applicant's obligations under s42 of PA2008 have been met.</p> <p>The AoCR has been carefully considered and is available to view on the <a href="#">project page</a> of the National Infrastructure Planning website.</p>
<b>s42: Duty to Consult</b>		
	Did the applicant consult the applicable persons set out in s42 of the PA2008 about the proposed application?	
6	s42(1)(a) persons prescribed <sup>6</sup> ?	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>The Applicant has provided a list of persons consulted under s42(1)(a) on 30 August 2016, 23 May 2017, and 16 January 2018 at <b>Appendix D1</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b>. The Annex has divided the s42(1)(a) consultees into three categories.</p> <p>Table 1: Consultees consulted in accordance with Section 42  Table 2: Community Councils  Table 3: Relevant statutory undertakers</p> <p>The Inspectorate notes that the list of prescribed consultees in <b>Annex D1</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> does not include the following consultees:</p>

<sup>6</sup> Statutory consultees set out in Schedule 1 of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Equality and Human Rights Commission</li> <li>• OFGEM</li> <li>• North Wales Local Resilience Forum</li> <li>• E.ON UK Plc</li> <li>• Wales and West Utilities Ltd</li> <li>• The Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Although it is not listed as a prescribed consultee in <b>Annex D1</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b>, <b>paragraph 5.4.120</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc5.1)</b> states Horizon has entered into an engagement process with the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority, which does indicate they were consulted at Stage 2.</li> </ul> <p>Given the individual circumstances of this case and taking a precautionary approach to ensure that all persons potentially affected by, or potentially likely to have an interest in, the application are given the opportunity to participate fully in the examination of the application; the Inspectorate suggests that the Applicant includes the above bodies amongst those on whom they serve notice of the accepted application under s56(2)(a) of PA2008 unless there is a specific justification why this may not be necessary.</p> <p><a href="#">S51 advice</a> has been issued regarding this matter.</p>
7	s42(1)(aa) the Marine Management Organisation <sup>7</sup> ?	<p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>Appendix D1</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> lists the MMO as being consulted on 30 August 2016 (Stage 2) and 23 May 2017 (Stage 3).</p>
8	s42(1)(b) each local authority within s43 <sup>8</sup> ?	<p><b>Yes</b></p>

<sup>7</sup> In any case where the proposed development would affect, or would be likely to affect, any of the areas specified in s42(2) of the PA2008

<sup>8</sup> Definition of “local authority” in s43(3): The “B” authority where the application land is in the authority’s area; the “A” authority where any part of the boundary of A’s area is also a part of the boundary of B’s area; the “C” authority (upper tier) where the application land is in that authority’s area; the “D” authority where such an authority shares a boundary with a “C” authority

		The Applicant has consulted the Isle of Anglesey County Council as the only applicable local authority within s43. <b>Appendix D1</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> states it was consulted on 30 August 2016 (Stage 2) and 23 May 2017 (Stage 3).
9	s42(1)(c) the Greater London Authority (if in Greater London area)?	Not applicable.
10	s42(1)(d) each person in one or more of s44 categories <sup>9</sup> ?	<b>Yes</b> The Applicant has consulted each person in one or more s44 categories as described in <b>Section 1.4.1</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> .
<b>s45: Timetable for s42 Consultation</b>		
11	Did the applicant notify s42 consultees of the deadline for receipt of consultation responses; and if so was the deadline notified by the applicant 28 days or more starting with the day after receipt of the consultation documents?	<b>Yes</b> The letter dated 30 August 2016 for stage two consultation, was sent to s42 consultees and confirms a deadline of responses being 25 October 2016, which is more than 28 days after the date of the s42 notification letter ( <b>Appendix B.6</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> ).  Some undated and dated letters sent on 22 May 2017, for the stage three consultation, were sent to s42 consultees and confirms a deadline of responses being 22 June 2017, which is more than 28 days after the date of the s42 notification letter ( <b>Appendix C.6</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> ). The sample copy of the undated letters shows that they incorrectly stated that the consultation launched on 24 June 2017, rather than 24 May 2017. Therefore further letters were resent correcting this error. These sample letters are also undated but the Applicant states that these were sent on 23 May 2017, which was 28 days before the close of the consultation.  The Applicant explains at <b>Section 6.2.12</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> that

<sup>9</sup> Category 1: owner, lessee, tenant or occupier of land; Category 2: person interested in the land or has power to sell and convey the land or to release the land; Category 3: person entitled to make a relevant claim. There is no requirement to check the accuracy of the list(s) or whether the applicant has made diligent inquiry

		<p>during the stage three consultation period a review identified additional land interests that had been brought into the DCO. There was also an error in the length of time given to respond to the consultation therefore letters were sent on 12 June 2017 confirming a deadline of responses by 12 July and 14 June 2017 confirming a deadline of responses by 14 July 2017, which is more than 28 days. Sample copies of these letters are at <b>Appendix C.6</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b>.</p> <p>The letter dated 16 January 2018, for consultation on additional land, was sent to s42 consultees and confirms a deadline of responses being 16 February 2018, which is more than 28 days after the date of the s42 notification letter. Sample copies of these letters are at <b>Appendix E.2</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b>.</p>
<b>s46: Duty to notify Secretary of State of proposed application</b>		
12	<p>Did the applicant supply information to notify the Secretary of State of the proposed application; and if so was the information supplied to the Secretary of State on or before the date it was sent to the s42 consultees? Was this done on or before commencing consultation under s42?</p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>The Applicant gave notice under s46 on 31 August 2016, which was on or before the beginning of s42 consultation on 31 August 2016; and on 23 May 2017, which was on or before the beginning of s42 consultation on 24 May 2017.</p> <p>A copy of the letter dated 31 August 2016 incorporating a list of the consultation documents sent to the Secretary of State is included in <b>Appendix B.1</b> to the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> and a copy of the letter dated 23 May 2017 incorporating a list of the consultation documents sent to the Secretary of State is included in <b>Appendix C.1</b> to the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b></p>
<b>s47: Duty to consult local community</b>		
13	<p>Did the applicant prepare a Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) on how it intended to consult people living in the vicinity of the land?</p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>The Applicant produced a Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) in September 2014 which is supplied with the application (<b>Appendix A.4</b> of the <b>Consultation Report Doc 5.1</b>).</p> <p>An updated SoCC was produced January 2016 and is supplied with the application</p>

		<p>(<b>Appendix B.5</b> of the <b>Consultation Report Doc 5.1</b>).</p> <p>The SoCC was further updated in May 2017 and is supplied with the application (<b>Appendix C.5</b> of the <b>Consultation Report Doc 5.1</b>).</p>
14	<p>Were “B” and (where relevant) “C” authorities consulted about the content of the SoCC; and if so was the deadline for receipt of responses 28 days beginning with the day after the day that “B” and (where applicable) “C” authorities received the consultation documents?</p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>The Applicant sent the draft SoCC to Isle of Anglesey County Council (‘B Authority’) on 8 July 2014 and set a deadline of 15 August 2014 for a response. (<b>Appendix A.1</b> of the <b>Consultation Report Doc 5.1</b>).</p> <p>The Applicant sent the second draft SoCC to the Council (‘B Authority’) on 22 December 2015. The Applicant did not set a deadline but advised that a response was required within 28 days of receipt of the request for comments. (<b>Appendix B.2</b> of the <b>Consultation Report Doc 5.1</b>).</p> <p>The Applicant sent the third draft SoCC to the Council (‘B Authority’) on 10 March 2017 and set a deadline of 10 April 2017 for a response. (<b>Appendix C.2</b> of the <b>Consultation Report Doc 5.1</b>).</p>
15	<p>Has the applicant had regard to any responses received when preparing the SoCC?</p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>The Applicant has explained in <b>Table 3-1 (SoCC 2014)</b>, <b>Table 3.2 (SoCC 2016)</b> and <b>Table 3.3 (SoCC 2017)</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> how the responses that were received as a result of consultation on the SoCC have been taken into consideration. Examples of this from the first SoCC include adding greater clarity about the definition of the local community in the context of this consultation, the Welsh Language being added to the list of potential impacts as a result of the proposed development, and adding a section on social media in relation to how the consultation would be advertised.</p>
16	<p>Has the SoCC been made available for inspection in</p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>

<p>a way that is reasonably convenient for people living in the vicinity of the land; and has a notice been published in a newspaper circulating in the vicinity of the land which states where and when the SoCC can be inspected?</p>	<p>All three SoCCs were made available at libraries across Anglesey, Conwy and Gwynedd as well as the council offices of Isle of Anglesey County Council, Gwynedd and Conwy Borough County Council which is reasonably convenient having regard to the location of the scheme. All three SoCCs were also available on the Applicant's website. Notices stating when and where it could be inspected were published as follows: :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The first SoCC was published in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Daily Post, 16 September 2014</li> <li>Holyhead and Anglesey Mail, 17 September 2014</li> <li>Caernafon and Denbigh Herald, 18 September 2014</li> <li>North Wales Chronicle, 18 September 2014</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Copies of the newspaper notices are at <b>Appendix A.3</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The second SoCC was published in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Daily Post, 22 January 2016</li> <li>North Wales Chronicle, 21 January 2016</li> <li>Golwg, 22 January 2016</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Copies of the newspaper notices are at <b>Appendix B.4</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The third SoCC was published in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Daily Post, 26 April 2017 and 19 May 2017</li> <li>Holyhead and Anglesey Mail, 26 April 2017 and 17 May 2017</li> <li>North Wales Chronicle, 27 April 2017</li> <li>Golwg, 27 April 2017</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
---	--

		Copies of the newspaper notices are at <b>Appendix C.4</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b>
17	Does the SoCC set out whether the development is EIA development <sup>10</sup> ; and does it set out how the applicant intends to publicise and consult on the preliminary environmental information?	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>The first SoCC (<b>Appendix A.4 of the Consultation Report, Doc 5.1</b>) sets out on page 4 that the scheme is EIA development and how the applicant proposes to consult on the preliminary environmental information.</p> <p>The second SoCC (<b>Appendix B.5 of the Consultation Report, Doc 5.1</b>) sets out on page 4 that the scheme is EIA development and how the applicant proposes to consult on the preliminary environmental information.</p> <p>The third SoCC (<b>Appendix C.5 of the Consultation Report, Doc 5.1</b>) sets out on page 5 that the scheme is EIA development and how the applicant proposes to consult on the preliminary environmental information.</p>
18	Has the applicant carried out the consultation in accordance with the SoCC?	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Section 3.4.17 to 3.4.29</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> provides evidence of the consultations the applicant carried out in accordance with the SoCC in 2014, 2016 and 2017.</p>
<b>s48: Duty to publicise the proposed application</b>		
19	Did the applicant publicise the proposed application, under s48, in the prescribed manner set out in Regulation 4(2) of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009?	<p><u>2016 Consultation</u></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>No specific reference to the 2016 consultation is noted in the body of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> but clippings of the 2016 advertisements are provided in <b>Appendix</b></p>

<sup>10</sup> Regulation 12 of the 2017 EIA Regulations, or Regulation 10 of the 2009 EIA Regulations (where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies).



		<p><b>B (Section B.7) of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b></p> <p><u>2017 Consultation</u></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>The Applicant has described the newspapers and dates of s48 publicity in <b>Paragraph 3.4.49 of The Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> and <b>Section 6.7.1 of The Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> details the newspapers and relevant dates of the s48 publicity as set out below.</p> <p>Clippings of the published 2017 s48 notices are provided at <b>Appendix C (Section C.7)</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b>.</p> <p><u>2018 consultation</u></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>The Applicant has described the newspapers and dates of s48 publicity in <b>Paragraph 9.7.1 the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b>. This also details the newspapers and dates of the 2018 s48 publicity as set out below.</p> <p>Clippings of the published 2018 s48 notices are provided at <b>Appendix E (Section E.4)</b> of the <b>Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 5.1)</b>.</p>	
		<b><i>Newspaper(s)</i></b>	<b><i>Date</i></b>
	for at least two successive weeks in one or more local newspapers circulating in the vicinity in which the proposed development would be situated;	<p><u>2016 Consultation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Daily Post</li> </ul> <p><u>2017 Consultation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Daily Post</li> </ul> <p><u>2018 Consultation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Daily Post</li> </ul>	<p>19 and 26 August 2016</p> <p>12 and 19 May 2017</p> <p>10 and 15 January 2018. With a discrepancy; see</p>

		box 21 below.
once in a national newspaper;	<u>2016 Consultation</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Guardian</li> </ul> <u>2017 Consultation</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Guardian</li> </ul> <u>2018 Consultation</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Guardian</li> </ul>	<i>26 August 2016</i>  <i>20 May 2017</i>  <i>15 January 2018</i>
once in the London Gazette and, if land in Scotland is affected, the Edinburgh Gazette; and	<u>2016 Consultation</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• London Gazette</li> </ul> <u>2017 Consultation</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• London Gazette</li> </ul> <u>2018 Consultation</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• London Gazette</li> </ul>	30 August 2016  19 May 2017  15 January 2018
where the proposed application relates to offshore development – (i) once in Lloyds List; and (ii) once in an appropriate fishing trade journal?	<u>2016 Consultation</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lloyds List</li> <li>• Fishing News</li> </ul> <u>2017 Consultation</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lloyds List</li> <li>• Fishing News</li> </ul>	25 August 2016  1 September 2016  18 May 2017 19 May 2017

		<u>2018 Consultation</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lloyds List</li> <li>• Fishing News</li> </ul>		15 January 2018 11 January 2018	
20	Did the s48 notice include the required information set out in Regulation 4(3) of APFP Regulations?	<b>Yes</b> Most of the required information is provided as set out below, with the exception of (f), in relation to the third s48 notice.			
	<b>Information</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>		<b>Information</b>	<b>Paragraph</b>
a)	The name and address of the applicant.	Paragraph 1	b)	A statement that the applicant intends to make an application for development consent to the Secretary of State	Paragraph 1
c)	a statement as to whether the application is EIA development	Paragraph 8	d)	a summary of the main proposals, specifying the location or route of the proposed development	Paragraph 4
e)	a statement that the documents, plans and maps showing the nature and location of the proposed development are available for inspection free of charge at the places (including at least one address in the vicinity of the proposed development) and times set out in the notice	Paragraph 12	f)	the latest date on which those documents, plans and maps will be available for inspection	Yes with a discrepancy see box 21 below.
g)	whether a charge will be made for copies of any of the documents, plans or maps and the amount of any charge	Paragraph 13	h)	details of how to respond to the publicity	Paragraph 15

i)	a deadline for receipt of those responses by the applicant, being not less than 28 days following the date when the notice is last published	Paragraph 16	
21	Are there any observations in respect of the s48 notice provided above?		
	<p>For the 2018 consultation, the s48 notice issued as part of the consultation on additional land (<b>Appendix E4</b>) doesn't state the dates when the documents are available to view. It does, however, state where they can be viewed and provides the dates of the consultation period.</p> <p>For the 2018 consultation, the s48 notice (<b>Appendix E4</b>) appeared in The Daily Post on 10 and 15 January 2018, whilst this does not constitute the two full weeks, the deadline of responses was 16 February 2018 and s42 letters were sent to targeted consultees outlining this, which provides more than a 28 day consultation period.</p>		
22	Has a copy of the s48 notice been sent to the EIA consultation bodies and to any person notified to the applicant in accordance with the EIA Regulations <sup>11</sup> ?	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>The notice was sent to the EIA consultation bodies on 20 July 2017 and on 16 January 2018, copies of the relevant letters are produced in <b>Appendix C (Section C.6)</b> and <b>Appendix E (Section E.4)</b> respectively.</p> <p>The Applicant has described the dates of s48 publicity in <b>Paragraph 9.7.2</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b>.</p>	
<b>s49: Duty to take account of responses to consultation and publicity</b>			
23	Has the applicant had regard to any relevant responses to the s42, s47 and s48 consultation?	<p>The Applicant has set out at (<b>Section 4.6, 5.5</b> and <b>6.10</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b>) the actions that have been taken having regard to the consultation responses received. The Applicant has also described in <b>Appendices A8, B10</b> and <b>C10</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> also sets out the consideration given to responses that did not lead to a change.</p> <p>The additional statutory land consultation conducted in January 2018 did not result in</p>	

<sup>11</sup> Regulation 13 of the 2017 EIA Regulations, or Regulation 11 of the 2009 EIA Regulations (where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies).

		<p>any changes to the proposed application. (<b>Section 9</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> and <b>Annex E9</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> which sets out why no changes resulted from the additional land consultation.</p> <p>The actions appear to be reflected in the final form of the application submitted. Where a response has not led to a change in the application, it is sufficiently clear that regard was had to it.</p>
<b>Guidance about pre-application procedure</b>		
24	To what extent has the applicant had regard to DCLG guidance 'The Planning Act 2008: Guidance on the pre-application process' <sup>12</sup> ?	<b>Chapter 3.3</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> explains how the Applicant has had regard to DCLG guidance on the pre-application process. Having reviewed the application, it appears that the Applicant has identified and had regard to the relevant DCLG guidance.
<b>25</b>	<b>Summary - s55(3)(e)</b>	The application as made by the Applicant has complied with Chapter 2 of Part 5 (pre application procedure). All relevant duties have been complied with. Whilst there are some consultation discrepancies, <a href="#">s51 advice</a> has been provided to the Applicant to remedy these.
<b>s55(3)(f) and s55(5A) The application (including accompaniments) achieves a satisfactory standard having regard to the extent to which it complies with section 37(3) (form and contents of application) and with any standards set under section 37(5) and follows any applicable guidance under section 37(4)</b>		
26	<p>Is it made in the prescribed form as set out in Schedule 2 of the APFP Regulations, and does it include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a brief statement which explains why it falls within the remit of the Secretary of State; and</li> <li>a brief statement that clearly identifies the location of the application site, or the route if it</li> </ul>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Box 4</b> of the <b>Application Form (Doc 1.1)</b> explains why the development falls within the remit of the Secretary of State.</p> <p><b>Box 5</b> of the <b>Application Form (Doc 1.1)</b> provides a brief non-technical description of the site, whilst <b>Box 6</b> provides the location of the proposal. The <b>Order Limit (Doc 2.1)</b> shows the location of the proposed development.</p>

<sup>12</sup> The Secretary of State must have regard to the extent to which the applicant has had regard to guidance issued under s50

	is a linear scheme?	
27	Is it accompanied by a consultation report?	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>The application is accompanied by a <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> and <b>Consultation Report Appendices A.1 – A8, B1 – B10, C1 – C10, D.1 – D.7 and E.1 – E9 (Doc 5.1)</b>.</p>
28	Where a plan comprises three or more separate sheets has a key plan been provided showing the relationship between the different sheets? <sup>13</sup>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>A key plan has been provided for the following plans which comprise 3 or more sheets: Land Plans; Order Limits; Works Plans; Final Landform, drainage design; Construction landform drainage design, Landscaping Retention, Hedgerow removal; Tree and Hedgerow removal.</p> <p>The Rights of Way key plan covers the following sets of plans comprising 3 sheets or more: Rights of Way, Rights of Ways extinguishment and stopping up for construction plans; Rights of Way during construction; Rights of Way for operation plans.</p> <p>There is no key plan for the following plans which comprise 3 or more sheets:</p> <p>Llanfachraeth existing site plan; Llanfachraeth General Arrangement Plan; Llanfachraeth proposed site clearance plan; Llanfachraeth proposed drainage plan; Cefn Coch proposed general arrangement plan; Cefn Coch proposed drainage plan; Dalar Hir Site Cross Sections. <a href="#">Section 51 advice</a> has been provided to the Applicant on this.</p>
29	Is it accompanied by the documents and information set out in APFP Regulation 5(2)?	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>The documents and information required by APFP regulation 5(2) are set out in the documents and locations within the application as listed below:</p>

<sup>13</sup> Regulation 5(4) of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

Information		Document	Information		Document
a)	Where applicable, the environmental statement required under the EIA Regulations <sup>14</sup> and any scoping or screening opinions or directions	<p>Non-technical summary <b>Document 6.11</b>; Volume A</p> <p><b>Documents 6.1.1-6.1.10</b>; Volume B</p> <p><b>Documents 6.2.1-6.2.22</b>; Volume C</p> <p><b>Documents 6.3.1-6.3.32</b>; Volume D (<i>WNSA</i>)</p> <p><b>Documents 6.4.1-6.4.101</b>; Volume E (<i>Off-site Power Station Facilities</i>)</p> <p><b>(Documents 6.5.1-6.5.27)</b>; Volume F (<i>Park and Ride</i>)</p> <p><b>Documents 6.6.1-6.6.38</b>; Volume G (<i>A5025 Off-line Highway Improvements</i>)</p> <p><b>Documents 6.7.1-6.7.48</b>; Volume H (<i>Logistics Centre</i>)</p> <p><b>Docs 6.8.1-6.8.29</b>; Volume I</p>	b)	The draft proposed order	<b>Development Consent Order (Doc 3.1)</b>

<sup>14</sup> The 2017 EIA Regulations, or the 2009 EIA Regulations (where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies)

		<b>Documents 6.9.1-6.9.14; and Volume J Documents 6.10.1-6.10.3.</b>			
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b>		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b>
c)	An explanatory memorandum explaining the purpose and effect of provisions in the draft order	<b>Explanatory Memorandum (Doc 3.3)</b>	d)	Where applicable, a book of reference (where the application involves any compulsory acquisition)	<b>Book of Reference (Doc 4.3)</b>
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b> With some discrepancies (see Box 30)		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b>
e)	A copy of any flood risk assessment	<b>Overarching FCA Signposting Document (Doc 5.5)</b>	f)	A statement whether the proposal engages one or more of the matters set out in section 79(1) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (statutory nuisances) and if so how the applicant proposes to mitigate or limit them	<b>Statement of Statutory Nuisances (Doc 5.3)</b>
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b>		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b>
h)	A statement of reasons and a funding statement (where the application involves any compulsory acquisition)	<b>Funding Statement (Doc 4.2)</b>	i)	A land plan identifying:- (i) the land required for, or affected by, the proposed development; (ii) where applicable, any land over which it is proposed to exercise powers of compulsory	<b>Doc 2.2 Land Plans</b> i) Comprising 5 sheets and key plan relating to the



			<p>acquisition or any rights to use land;</p> <p>(iii) any land in relation to which it is proposed to extinguish easements, servitudes and other private rights; and</p> <p>(iv) any special category land and replacement land</p>	<p>Wylfa Newydd Development Area, and further plans relating to the other sections: A5025 Valley, A5025 Llanfachraeth, A5025 Llanfaethlu, A5025 Cefn Coch, Dalr Hir Park and Ride, Parc CYBI Logistics Centre and Cors Gwawr, Cae Canol-Dydd and Ty Du Ecological Compensation Sites</p> <p>ii and iii) The plans include a colour code to distinguish the various types of land</p> <p>iv) A Special Category Land Plan is included for the Wylfa Newydd Development Area</p>
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b>	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b> , with some discrepancies; see

				Box 30	
j)	<p>A works plan showing, in relation to existing features:-</p> <p>(i) the proposed location or (for a linear scheme) the proposed route and alignment of the development and works; and</p> <p>(ii) the limits within which the development and works may be carried out and any limits of deviation provided for in the draft order</p>	<p><b>Doc 2.3 Works Plans</b></p> <p>i) Comprising 5 sheets and key plan relating to the Wylfa Newydd Development Area, and further plans relating to the other sections: A5025 Valley, A5025 Llanfachraeth, A5025 Llanfaethlu, A5025 Cefn Coch, Dalr Hir Park and Ride, Parc CYBI Logistics Centre and Cors Gwawr, Cae Canol-Dydd and Ty Du Ecological Compensation Sites</p> <p>ii) The plans include the limits of deviation</p>	k)	<p>Where applicable, a plan identifying any new or altered means of access, stopping up of streets or roads or any diversions, extinguishments or creation of rights of way or public rights of navigation</p>	<p><b>Doc 2.4 Rights of Way Plans</b></p> <p>Comprising Existing Rights of Way (5 sheets and Key Plan), Extinguishment and Stopping up for construction plans (5 sheets), Rights of Way during construction (5 sheets), Rights of Way for operation (5 sheets) for the Wylfa Newydd Development Area. Also separate plans for the other areas listed in the Land/Works Plans above</p>
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b>		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b>
l)	Where applicable, a plan with accompanying information identifying:-	<p>Plans:</p> <p>(i) <i>WNDA</i> -</p>	m)	Where applicable, a plan with accompanying information identifying any statutory/non-statutory	<i>WNDA</i> - <b>Document 6.4.101</b> (Figures

<p>(i) any statutory/non-statutory sites or features of nature conservation e.g. sites of geological/ landscape importance;</p> <p>(ii) habitats of protected species, important habitats or other diversity features; and</p> <p>(iii) water bodies in a river basin management plan,</p> <p>together with an assessment of any effects on such sites, features, habitats or bodies likely to be caused by the proposed development</p>	<p><b>Document 6.4.101</b> (Figures D7-8; D9-1 to D9-11; D10-7 to D10-16; and D13-1, D13-5, D13-6, D13 to D19); <i>Ecological Compensation Sites</i> - <b>Document 6.4.18</b> (Figures 11 to 13; Figure 20); <i>Off-site Facilities</i> - <b>Document 6.5.27</b> (Figures E9-1; E10-2 and E10-4); <i>Park and Ride</i> – <b>Document 6.6.38</b> (Figures F9-1; F10-2 and F10-4); <i>Highway Improvements</i> - <b>Document 6.7.48</b> (Figures G9-1 to G9-16); <i>Logistics Centre</i> - <b>Document 6.8.29</b> (Figures H9-1; H10-2 and H10-4)</p> <p>(ii) <i>WNDA</i> - <b>Document 6.4.101</b></p>	<p>sites or features of the historic environment, (e.g. scheduled monuments, World Heritage sites, listed buildings, archaeological sites and registered battlefields) together with an assessment of any effects on such sites, features or structures likely to be caused by the proposed development</p>	<p>D11-1 to D11-21) and <b>Document 6.4.11</b> (assessment).</p> <p><i>Ecological Compensation Sites</i> - <b>Document 6.4.18</b> (plans on Figures 21-23).</p> <p><i>Off-site Facilities</i> - <b>Document 6.5.27</b> (Figure E11-1) and <b>Document 6.5.11</b> (assessment).</p> <p><i>Park and Ride</i> - <b>Document 6.6.38</b> (Figure 11-1) and <b>Document 6.6.11</b> (assessment).</p> <p><i>Highway Improvements</i> - <b>Document 6.7.48</b> (Figures G11-1 to G11-11) and <b>Document 6.7.11</b> (assessment).</p> <p><i>Logistics Centre</i> - <b>Document 6.8.29</b> (Figure 11-1) and <b>Document 6.8.11</b></p>
--	---	---	--

	<p>(Figures D9-1 to 9-11 for terrestrial and D13-1,5,6,13-19 for marine); Ecological Compensation Sites  <b>Document 6.4.18</b>  (Figures 11 to 13);  Off-site Facilities -  <b>Document 6.5.17</b>;  Park and Ride –  <b>Document 6.6.17</b>;  Highway  Improvements -  <b>Document 6.7.48</b>  (Figures G9-1 to G9-16); Logistics Centre  - <b>Document 6.8.17</b></p> <p>(iii) Water  Framework Directive  Compliance  Assessment -  <b>Document 8.26</b>  (Figures 1-3 show  WFD water bodies)  and Water  Framework Directive  Information to  Support Article 4(7)  Derogation  <b>Document 8.27</b></p>		<p>(assessment).</p>
--	--	--	----------------------

	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b>		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b>
n)	Where applicable, a plan with any accompanying information identifying any Crown land	<b>Doc 2.5 Crown Estate Plan</b>	o)	Any other plans, drawings and sections necessary to describe the development consent proposal showing details of design, external appearance, and the preferred layout of buildings/structures, drainage, surface water management, means of vehicular and pedestrian access, any car parking and landscaping	<b>Yes</b> Section 23 of the Application Form ( <b>Doc 1.1</b> ) lists other plans, drawings and sections considered necessary to support the application
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b>		Are they of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b>
p)	Any of the documents prescribed by Regulation 6 of the APFP Regulations:	Section 22 of the Application Form ( <b>Doc 1.1</b> ) states that a Grid Connection Statement ( <b>Doc 7.1</b> ) has been submitted in accordance with Reg 6 of the APFP Regs	q)	Any other documents considered necessary to support the application.	Section 23 of the Application Form ( <b>Doc 1.1</b> ) lists other documents considered necessary to support the application
	Are they of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b>		Are they of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b>
30	Are there any observations in respect of the documents provided above?				
	<b>Regulation 5(2)(i)</b> Upon review of the <b>Land Plans (Doc 2.2)</b> , we have identified the following discrepancies::				

- Inconsistencies with the wording used in the Land Plan 'Legend' in comparison to the descriptions used in the **Book of Reference (Doc 4.3)**
- the legend does not distinguish between dotted, dashed and solid lines
- Land plan sheet 4 to the east of Plot 9 identified as CLASS 1: LAND TO BE COMPULSORILY ACQUIRED does not have a plot reference
- The **Book of Reference (Doc 4.3)** (BoR) Part 1, Column 3 provides a 'Description of Land' to identify land plots to be compulsorily acquired. The landmarks and road names as described in the BoR are not identified on the **Land Plans (Doc 2.2)**, these include, but are not limited to: A5025 highway, Tregle Service Station, Mynydd Ithel
- Some of the plots on the Land Plans are too small to decipher and would benefit from an insert, an example of this is plot 57 on Land Plan Sheet 4.

**Explanatory Memorandum (EM)**

- The EM would benefit from additional justification as to why reliance on Model Provisions in some places is still relevant and appropriate.

[S51 advice](#) has been issued regarding this matter.

31	<p>Is the application accompanied by a report identifying any European site(s) to which regulation 48 of the Conservation (Natural Habitats, &amp;c.) Regulations 1994 applies; or any Ramsar site(s), which may be affected by the proposed development, together with sufficient information that will enable the Secretary of State to make an appropriate assessment of the implications for the site if required by regulation 48(1)?<sup>15</sup></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>A Habitat Regulations Assessment Report is provided in the <b>Shadow Habitats Regulations Assessment (Doc 5.2)</b>.</p> <p>The report identifies relevant European sites and the likely effects on those sites. It is considered that the information provided in the report is adequate for acceptance.</p> <p>Note: the Examining Authority will ask questions during the examination. This may result in additional information being required to inform the HRA report and the competent authority. <a href="#">S51 advice</a> has been provided on this point. Depending upon the type and availability of information required, it may not be possible to obtain this during the statutory timetable of the examination.</p>
----	---	---

<sup>15</sup> Regulation 5(2)(g) of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

32	If requested by the Secretary of State, two paper copies of the application form and other supporting documents and plans <sup>16</sup>	<b>Yes</b> Two hard copies of the application were supplied
33	Has the applicant had regard to DCLG guidance 'Planning Act 2008: Application form guidance', and has this regard led to the application being prepared to a standard that the Secretary of State considers satisfactory?	<b>Yes</b> The application appears to be in general conformity with the Guidance and the Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that the applicant has had regard to the Guidance.
<b>34</b>	<b>Summary - s55(3)(f) and s55(5A)</b>	The Planning Inspectorate considers that the submitted application generally accords with the requirements of s55(3)(f) having regard to s55(5A) and concludes that the application is of a satisfactory standard and can be accepted.  Whilst some issues have been identified in the checklist, none are likely to prejudice any persons wishing to take part in the examination. <a href="#">Section 51 advice</a> has been provided to the applicant to address any issues.
<b>The Infrastructure Planning (Fees) Regulations 2010 (SI106)</b>		
<b>Fees to accompany an application</b>		
35	Was the fee paid at the same time that the application was made <sup>17</sup> ?	<b>Yes</b> The application fee was received before submission of the application on 30 May 2018.

<sup>16</sup> Regulation 5(2)(r) of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

<sup>17</sup> The Secretary of State must charge the applicant a fee in respect of the decision by the Secretary of State under section 55. If the applicant fails to pay the fee, the Secretary of State need not consider the application until payment is received by the Secretary of State. The fee must be paid at the same time that the application is made.

Electronic Signature	Name	Date
<b>Case Leader</b>	<i>Kay Sully</i>	28 June 2018
<b>Acceptance Inspector</b>	<i>Frances Fernandes</i>	28 June 2018