

**From:** Richard Cuttell [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 03 September 2020 11:26  
**To:** HPCNuclear <HPCNuclear@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** HPC Project - Non-Material Change 4

Dear Sir / Madam

We object to this application because of what it means in the long term. The DCO process was both complex, stressful and time consuming. Our group battled against overwhelming odds to try and preserve the way of life for those most badly affected on the boundary of the development site. The impression was given that this order was to be adhered to for the life of the build and beyond.

The whole process is now being manipulated and changed by the developer. This is happening in small sections but the cumulative effect will be considerable. The EA are currently considering the fish deterrent device and soon there will be another major application to change the way spent fuel is stored. Even road improvements are being reconsidered.

In conclusion this application on its own may seem insignificant but it is changing the permission that was granted. All the variations need to be considered to ensure the DCO process is not undermined or devalued.

Yours faithfully

Richard Cuttell  
Chairperson  
West Hinkley Action Group.